Month Day, 2017

Address of Congressman

IN RE:	Francis	Schaeffer	Cox i	s in a	n illegal	CMU	Prison
Dear _			_,				

I am writing to you about the Schaeffer Cox case. Malicious prosecution is a growing cancer in the U.S. Department of Justice. The cat is coming out of the bag, and truth is becoming more and more main stream, especially with the Bundy Ranch trials now in progress. Now, it's possible the evil tyrants that occupy some high-level positions and operate the floundering main stream media may be able to squelch the growing public descent and escape justice awhile longer, or on the other hand, as it appears to me, the tyrants reach for power is nearing its end. Bottom line is: you have an opportunity to slip into the right side of the growing movement and come out the other side smelling like a rose. Yes, I'm trying to sell you on the idea that actually doing the right thing will yield you a incredibly fierce loyal constituency and a place in the history books. Please understand, the Bundy and Cox cases aren't isolated tragedies. These cases are however perfect glaring examples of how backward the U.S. Justice Department has been operated for the last few decades. In the following paragraphs I'll do my best to present you with some glaring examples of injustice in the Schaeffer Cox case.

Now to give you a frame of reference: Schaeffer Cox has no

prior criminal history and comes from a good family. His father is a Westpointer. Schaeffer Cox has never used drugs or alcohol or been mixed up in any such foolishness. He's a well educated businessman. He is not a criminal, or a "bad guy," or anything like that. All he was doing was speaking his opinion regarding the need to reduce corruption and put more emphasis on the people's rights.

The following several paragraphs include, video clips and e-mails, part of evidence gathered by the FBI, but the Jury in the Cox case was not allowed to hear this evidence. The recordings and actions of the FBI prove, the FBI knew, Schaeffer was incapable of the crimes they charged him with.

To make it easy for you to view the videos I have included the title of the YouTube video followed by a hot link. The below referenced court document referencing the e-mail evidence is located in a dropbox.

Schaeffer was targeted by some corrupt FBI agents and politically motivated Assistant US Attorneys for "talking smack" about the government. I know this for a fact because I have heard the recording of FBI Agent Westerhause saying so with his own mouth.

Secret FBI recording proves Schaeffer was targeted for "TALKING SMACK" about government. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7ZvfkkhIKrM

The FBI "investigated" Schaeffer Cox for no good reason, just

like the Gestapo and Stazi used to do. Then, when the FBI agent found out Cox wasn't doing anything bad, they paid street thugs to try to bully Cox into plotting a crime. When Cox told the thugs that that was a bad idea, one of the thugs, Bill Fulton, attacked Schaeffer Cox's friend Les Zerbe with a hunting knife and held it to his throat while saying he was going to "slit his throat open" unless Cox and Zerbe agreed to commit a crime.

Paid govt snitch Bill Fulton threatens to slit Schaeffer Cox's throat and bleed him out at his feet.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VjDzzwTAzMQ&list=PLpnWaa1C7I4MxmCODaAlfagK1giaLk6JD&index=1

And the only reason that Bill Fulton attacked Zerbe instead of Cox was because Cox was holding his two-year-old baby son in his arms. As you listen to this recording please remember that both Olson and Fulton were working for the FBI and testified at trial.

FBI informant Fulton on tape bragging about murdering target because he had no plan for violence.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=769U3vOzGo4&list=PLpn Waa1C7I4MxmCODaAlfagK1giaLk6JD&index=5

It is clear these FBI agents and their street-thug-businesspartners are completely out of control. As the public outrage grows over this case and others like it, would it be a good idea to do the right thing and support the victim rather than the perpetrator? Are you on board? Schaeffer Cox isn't a criminal. He has zero criminal history and no predisposition to commit crimes of any sort, much less a violent crime. So when he got this do-or-die death threat, he packed up his wife and two little kids and tried to leave the country to get away from the danger. That's all he knew to do. Cox was in fear of his life. Cox had already seen Bill Fulton commit an armed violent assault previously when Fulton got mad at Cox for refusing to plot a crime. So Cox knew it was serious when Fulton said he'd kill Cox if Cox said no to another one of Fulton's proposed crimes!

Schaeffer Cox said he was committed to "being like Gandhi, not Rambo," and he just wanted to get himself and his family away from the danger for good. I know this is true because I've heard it for myself. As you watch the first of the two videos below make note the FBI informant is the individual proposing unscrupulous behavior. Schaeffer is just trying to protect his family while the FBI, through the use of Confidential Human Sources, overtly challenges Cox to commit a crime or else face death.

Audio proof that Schaeffer was planning to leave the state, not conspiring to murder feds.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FDc9fCufYMA

Schaeffer Cox provides clarity on Ghandi not Rambo https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pFa5VTrWWjQ

The FBI case agent on this case knew that Cox had rejected anything violent or criminal. He knew Cox was leaving the country for his family's safety. The lead prosecuting attorneys, Skrocki and Bottini, both knew it too. I know this because I've seen their emails back and forth saying so. Here's a LINK TO THE EMAILS. (June 2010 email "SC was exposed as a self-aggrandizing fraud. He had no plan." (top of page 24) also "SC does not want CHS 2 to know he's in town. Wants CHS1 To broker deal. Willing to discuss trucker to arrange transport." (bottom of page 23) Also "Any idea of SC's intentions with the list? Especially in light of the fact that he's leaving?" (top of page 19) {This can all be found in the 24 page ADDENDUM TO THE PRESENTENCE REPORT 3:11-cr-22-RJB Dkt 535-1 SEALED}) A link to the PreSentence Report is listed below: https://www.dropbox.com/sh/535zz3ks8ws8h1t/AACIaDkaiZuvKUzAirMZHfja?dl=0&preview=PSR(1).pdf

Rather than let the honest, non-criminal, innocent Schaeffer Cox just say no and walk away, FBI Agent Rick Southerland sent in one of the FBI's local business partners, JR Olson, who is a well known con-man and self-described "drug wholesaler" with a criminal record a mile long.

This infamous local drug lord, JR Olson, actually chased the Cox family down as they were driving to leave the country and disabled their vehicle in the middle of the Alaska wilderness, many miles from help, in the dead of a dangerously cold subzero winter, where you will freeze to death if your car dies. Cox had his wife, two week old daughter, and 3 year old son with him at this point. JR Olson reminded the Cox family that their lives were in danger from Bill Fulton, who was now looking for them, since Cox had again refused sign up for violence. JR Olson then made up a story about how if the Cox family went with him and hid out in the attic of a friend's house they would

be safe until he could arrange a "trucker" to haul them out to safety. This "trucker" story was all just a lie to convince the Cox family that help was on the way. The Cox family didn't even know this guy. But with their car disabled by Olsen, and in fear for their lives from Bill Fulton, the Cox family had no other choice but to go with Olson and wait on the "trucker" to arrive and give them a ride to safety.

Audio proof that Schaeffer was planning to leave the state, not conspiring to murder feds.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FDc9fCufYMA

JR Olson, a local drug lord held the whole Cox family hostage against their will for 21 days in that attic. Then told Schaeffer Cox that the "trucker" had arrived. But it wasn't a trucker waiting for him, it was a SWAT team ambush.

The illegal FBI/DOJ entrapment and takedown of Francis Schaeffer Cox

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BwtoESUbuRo

The evidence is clear. The FBI conspired with and used criminals to generate evidence of crime the prosecutors twisted some of the manufactured evidence while withholding the exculpatory evidence all in a concerted effort to convince a jury that Schaeffer had committed a crime.

So let's see if we can sum-up everything to this point in one runon sentence: Schaeffer Cox and his friends and family have never done anything criminal in their whole lives, so when the FBI sent in paid street thugs to literally hold a knife to their throats to try to convince them to become criminals, it's no surprise that Cox says "no" and tries to run for his life with his young family in tow, only to be actually forcibly kidnapped under threat of death, by a well known local drug lord who works in partnership with the corrupt FBI and US Attorney's office in Alaska, namely Steven Skrocki and Joseph Bottini, who have a very high profile and controversial history of corruptly going after their political critics.

Then, after what these crooks called "the take down," they charge Schaeffer Cox with conspiracy to murder federal officials. But they didn't -- AND STILL CAN'T -- offer anything specific such as who, or when or how or why. The so-called conspiracy is just some generalized allegations. As FBI Agent Westerhause said, it's all because Cox had been "talking smack about the government." And it gets even worse. The prosecutor, Bottini ended up under investigation for lying and hiding evidence in several related political cases. So Bottini made Skrocki the number one on the case and then sat in the gallery whispering instructions to Skrocki over the bar on how to railroad Schaeffer Cox, the man they both knew was innocent. Bottini, through his willing puppet Skrocki, lied to the judge and to the jury, and coached their local drug lord business partner, JR Olson, to lie under oath to the jury. They falsely swore that Bill Fulton never made threats, that Schaeffer Cox was NOT leaving the country for his safety, and that Cox had agreed to some sort of violent plan against the government. All three of those statements are the exact opposite of the truth, and Skrocki, Bottini, Fulton, and Olson all knew it. And I've seen the videos that prove this.

Now let's move on to what happened after the trial. After deceiving the court, the jury, and the public, Skrocki and Bottini had Schaeffer Cox thrown in some special type of secret prison called the "Communications Management Unit" that obstructs his access to his attorneys, and has severely punished him for responding to inquiries from a movie producer who wants to make a film about the Schaeffer Cox case. You could be skeptical at this point regarding the "secret prison", after all we live in the USA, well I have called and inquired about rules regarding books to inmates in the CMU housing. During this call I ask about sending books to a certain inmate and the prison official said he wasn't sure because the inmate was in CMU housing and he would have to transfer me to a different person. I followed with "What does CMU stand for?" The official replied "Controlled Monitoring Unit." Now, my question to the reader is this: The existence of Communications Management Unit prisons is well documented at this point and has been for some time, why would the prison official claim that CMU stands for Controlled Monitoring Unit, if there was not some deception going on?

A compilation of the online info I have found regarding CMU prisons:

A letter from Congress to the BOP is referenced below. The following sentence taken from the same letter:

As you are aware, the CMUs were established in 2006 and 2008 without public knowledge and hence without the opportunity for public comment, as required by law

Congressional Letter to BOP Regarding the CMUs https://ccrjustice.org/congressional-letter-bop-regarding-cmus

Will Potter's presentation on CMU prisons http://willpotter.com/cmu/

Gitmo in the Heartland https://www.thenation.com/article/gitmo-heartland/

It appears that the above referenced sources that confirm the BOP bypassed the normal oversight rules of Congress to create the CMUs.

I have written inmates in CMU housing. Some have written me back, others have written back after delays of over 30 days, and Schaeffer has not ever written me despite the fact I have sent him letters and money for close to a year. Now, by myself I can't do much about the human rights abuses going on in the CMUs, but I'm not alone. Dear reader, will you turn a blind eye? Will you stand against the evil tyrants and lift your voice? How about send me a letter and I'll provide you with the address of a CMU inmate?