

From: GARY NORTINGTON  
Date: 8/17/2018 7:53:18 AM  
To: Rudy Davis

Attachments: □

---

29. POMafia #10: FEDERAL COURT MOTION ON JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

Dear Brother Rudy,

The following 2nd Motion on Judicial Misconduct is on an OPINION AND ORDER from federal court that like File 24 POMafia #9 had timing near when I was transferred to Lakeland Corr. Facility (LCF). Maybe they hoped I couldn't react to these for lack of time and resources. It only addresses one wrongly decided issue of a possible three, and the same LITEKY judicial bias issue as POMafia #9. It's as if they were coordinated by the same Beast (Rev 13). Please change the title of prior file 24 to POMafia #9: STATE COURT MOTION ON JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
Gary M. Northington,  
Plaintiff Pro Se, No. 2:16-cv-12931

vs Judge Paul D. Forman  
ABDELLATIF, et al, MAGISTRATE MONA K. MAJZOUB  
Defendants

\_\_\_\_\_ /

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION  
OF OPINION AND ORDER (DOC #128)

Plaintiff Gary M. Northington Pro Se moves this Honorable Court for reconsideration on the OPINION AND ORDER (Doc # 128) which he received on 10 AUGUST 2018:

1. Plaintiff believes the Court erred in dismissing Defendant CORIZON HEALTH INC. for issue on custom causing Eighth Amendment violation (OPINION, Doc #128, p.22-27). In particular where it says, "a plaintiff cannot rely solely on a single instance" (OPINION p. 27, bottom).
2. The Complaint (Doc #13, 20, 25-27, 34, 38-40, 53, 56) clearly shows the refusal to treat serious medical need with deliberate indifference was not a single instance because it carried on for 8-years and seven Defendants.
3. A corporate defendant is liable for custom "so widespread as to have the force of law". BRYAN COUNTY v BROWN, 520 US 387, 404 (1997).

4. The Complaint clearly proves widespread policy to falsify medical records and not do diagnostic tests with deliberate indifference to serious medical need that falls within BRYAN, supra.

5. The Court ruled on defendants' Motion to Compel Discovery that "Defendants may reasonably seek records from dates prior to the events at issue" as evidence (Doc #127, PgID 1305).

6. To grant one party an evidentiary use and not grant another party a reciprocal use is denial of Constitutional Rights. USCA 1, 5, 14.

7. Therefore, the Court should reconsider and reverse its OPINION AND ORDER on dismissal of Defendant CORIZON.

8. The Court should not give appearance of favoring one party over another. LITEKY v U.S., 510 US 540, 551 (1994).

#### RELIEF REQUESTED

THEREFORE, Plaintiff Gary M. Northington Pro Se requests this Honorable Court to vacate its dismissal of Defendant CORIZON.

Respectfully submitted.

/s/ Gary M. Northington

\_\_\_\_\_ Dated: 13 AUG 2018

Gary M. Northington 193035  
141 First  
Coldwater, MI 49036  
(517) 278-6942 email @ JPay.com

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Gary M. Northington, certify that on this day I served one copy of foregoing Motion, upon:

CHAPMAN LAW GROUP

RONALD W. CHAPMAN

KEVIN MCQUILLAN

CARLY VAN THOMME

1441 W. Long Lake Road, Suite 310

Troy, MI 48098, and

MI ATTORNEY GENERAL

KRISTEN SIMMONS

P.O. Box 30736

Lansing, MI 48909,

by mailing to them.

Federal Judges make 21% of the court filing fee of \$450 as a contribution to their retirement

fund. The federal judges then, with false judicial decisions, cause a prisoner to pay another \$500 to file appeal in an attempt to get the NAZI/COMMUNIST-like judicial decision reversed. \$450 is 3 to 4-years of wages for most State of Michigan prisoners. I made \$40 so far this year, which may be the total. This is an abuse by the very rich against the very poorest of this country.

Read Ephesians 6:12.

No king but King Jesus!!! Revelation 17:14.