

Rudy Davis

From: RILEY DANIEL (14528052)
Sent Date: Sunday, August 26, 2018 3:21 PM
To: ruddavis@yahoo.com
Subject: Second Reply to Author

Thursday 08-23-18 2pm

Kristen replied to my last reply, this is my reply back. She intends to tell the Browns ordeal "from various, nuanced [slight difference in] perspectives." She pleads that her complete "editorial control" over the book means it will be a trustworthy account. And that her deal with Monier (the marshal) will help facilitate publishing, making it possible to reach a large audience (though she doesn't mention the name of this publisher). She mentions that in 2009 she met with the Browns regarding the book, and that the Browns trusted her. Again she offers no compensation.

Kristen,

Like a fly, I swatted you away, and like a fly you come back to try and land on me again. You say we had met at the Browns, though I cannot put a face to the name. You say that you were one of the few reporters Ed did not excommunicate from the property, and that you tried your best to report "the events in a fair way." You pitch yourself to me as one of the good journalist, not one of those disreputable ones. Giving an example: you related how you took factual notes about a Brown supporter that did not gel with another reporter's foregone outcome of his story, so this other reporter disregarded your truthful input. At that point, knowing you were working for a dishonest employer spreading disinformation--you didn't quit! No, you stayed on with your birds of a feather. That speaks volumes to me about your character. I would have quit instantly.

Your thin veneer of virtue, covers another run of the mill greedy, ambitious, untrustworthy, academia trained journalist. With a firm brush, I believe I paint you in your true colors. It would be inconsistent with the frankness of my character not to inform you that the mass media is the enemy and you are in their camp. The righteous should choose their friends carefully.

My gut tells me that your book will not capture the essence of what we were trying to accomplish and the lawlessness the enemy used to prevent that accomplishment. Break the law to enforce the law was the enemy's M.O. Your book, if written truthfully, should undermine the enemy's use of direct taxation and leave the reader with a sense of horror and anger towards this government. The Browns lost everything to these thugs not to mention all that I have lost. They will be homeless when they get out and they're currently as poor as a stray cat. Yet, you offer NOTHING in return to the main characters of your story. This fact forces itself upon my mind, producing a suspicion of your motives. Let no man seek his own, but every man another's wealth.

I personally will not consent to be used, so you and that dolt Monier, can make a profit off my sacrifice and suffering. The past 11 years of reflection has ripened me to a nobler plane that will not allow me to be your prostitute.

There is no way your book can be comprehensive without my testimony and knowledge of events. Your book would be mediocrity personified at best. Remember, I was the only one there from our side, when the enemy tried to kill me. I was the only one there when the enemy tried to convince me to become a paid spy. I was the only one there from our side, who witnessed the enemy preparing for an all out assault on the Brown's home. And the list goes on and on.....If you were worth you salt you would offer compensation. For me, to cooperate with you and to be associated on a project with Monier, would be like two scorpions in a bottle working a truce. As for Monier, may his dying pillow be a cactus lobe.

Unless you compensate me, I bid you farewell, in the sense I will ignore you like the signing of crickets on a summer evening. Take Good care.