

DEED POLE #1-2-3-4-5-6

By David Roland Hinkson

DEED POLE #1

Eddie asked me to explain the Deed Pole concepts so I'm writing this article.

While I lived in Las Vegas I had met a man named George E. Mitzel. Mr. Mitzel was only five years old when he immigrated from Germany (This was before Adolf Hitler had become the chancellor).

As I got to know Mr. Mitzel and we became friends he told me about his "Valley Wells Ranch." He went on to say "he had owned 1200 Gas Stations and an Oil Refinery during the Second World War and he had passed out gas rationing cards as there was a shortage of fuel during the War." He further stated: "that in the 50's he had sold everything and that he had built a Casino on the Las Vegas Strip called the "Castaways", that was later sold to Howard Hughes. " He then purchased the Valley Wells Ranch. The Valley Wells Ranch is over 750,000 Acres. Well I was really impressed. Then he started telling me about his Title problem. He said: "that he and the people before him had paid taxes on this property and that they had made all of the improvements including drilling many wells, (I later learned that this property was the original Ranch that the TV show "Bonanza" was based on.) and now the Federal Government had come in and claimed that they owned all of his Land. He then showed me that the County of San Bernardino had now even returned his newest property tax payment.

The Valley Wells Ranch was grabbed from Mitzel during the presidency of Jimmy Carter using the FLPMA (Federal Land Policy Management Act). During this same period of time some of the Counties complained about the Federal Government Claiming the land (not previously claimed by the Feds) and they contended that the U.S. Government had now laid claim to the Unappropriated Lands. Since the U.S. Government had signed an agreement with all of the States saying that taxes would be paid anytime Unappropriated Lands were officially Appropriated/claimed the States felt that they should now be paid Property Taxes! Congress of the United States Agreed with the States and officially passed a Congressional Act called "PILT" (Payment In Lieu Of Taxes). Now every year the U.S. Government sends PILT payments as property taxes to each County where Federal Lands exist.

The problem is that we seem to be missing some legal concepts that have changed. It seemed somehow that land ownership and Titles had changed. How can land be owned for 125 years and be taxed by a County and just be taken away with no Due Process of Law? Maybe someone canceled the Republic and created a Democracy.

I had a late friend that was a retired law professor named "Charles Crum". I asked Charles if he would help me figure out this situation to see if we could somehow get George his Ranch back.

Charles and I drove and spent a number of weeks traveling to five different County Courthouses and looking through old microfiche. Charles had felt that the answer was somehow in the concept of Spanish Land Grants. Later we found this to be a dead end as the land could have been claimed by any Citizen in a Republic even if Mexico was the Sovereign. As we looked through all of these old records it became very clear to us that someone had actually gone into the San Bernardino Court house and had deliberately damaged the Microfiche concerning the Valley Wells Ranch. It seems to both of us that someone had used Acetone and an Swab to just make them unreadable. It was apparent to us that a Federal Agent had done this.

We than traveled to the University of Las Vegas and went into their old Federal Archives section. After three days of looking though old documents we uncovered some truth.

Before the Civil War the pioneers had moved west across the plains claiming land. Each Claimant claimed land under a concept called "Multiple Use" claiming land under Common Law concepts (Republican Form of Governments use Common Law).

Under the custom of Multiple Use and Common Law concepts each Claimant was allowed to claim the amount of land that could be farmed but not more than was reasonable. Therefore and man and wife were allowed to claim 330 acres' of prairie. If they were claiming a Cattle Ranch that had no water and not much grass they were able to claim even larger areas.

The way that the land was claimed a Claimant had to first prove that he was the first person in time or who had ever

claimed the said piece of land. This was accomplished by checking with the Federal Land Office (later became the BLM).

*Note: The Federal Land Office was originally created for the sole purpose of allowing a place to post public notice claims on Unappropriated lands for Mining, Farming and/or Ranching - at no time did the Federal Government ever claim they owned this land - in fact that land was always/referred to as Unappropriated unless Appropriated/claimed. The Federal Government while setting up this Land Office signed an agreement with all of the States (after the Civil War) agreeing that as they did not own and were not Appropriators of these lands they would not be paying any County Property Taxes, but once a qualified Citizen Claimant claimed a piece of Unappropriated Land the Claimant would now have to pay County Property Taxes.

There are Supreme Court Cases that verify that the sole and only purpose that the Federal Government held Unappropriated lands was the creation of new States and to manage and register Public Notice Claims.

Once the Claimant has verified with the Land Office that no one had previously claimed the land the Claimant would go Survey the land and mark the corners of his claim using piles of Rocks (steel was not used as the Bible teaches not to use steel). Now that the land was surveyed the actual Survey was now proof of ownership. I found it interesting that he who does the Survey is now the owner! Now the Claimant would go to the Land Office and fill out and file a Deed Pole. A Deed Pole is basically a type of Quick Claim Deed whereas the Claimant Deeds himself the land in the name of God or Jesus. In a Common Law Society everybody owns the land until it is claimed in the name of God! Now to keep track of the ownership the Claimant maintains and keeps his own "Abstract Of Title" (in a safe place). This Abstract Of Title was proof of ownership. Under the Common Law the claimant will own the property unless someone can show that they had filed a claim previously (in time) and were now injured. There is no Crime or Dispute unless there is an injured party!

While in the Federal Archives at the UNLV we found a very interesting Supreme Court Case. This case was about a man who had filed a Lawsuit right after the Civil War (in a court of the United States). In the Law Suit the Plaintiff stated that they had filed a Deed Pole prior to the Civil War (in a court of the United States of America) and after the Civil War the Federal Government (now a private Corporation as it was incorporated by Abe - incorporated without authorization of the Constitution) had given the same land to someone else as a reward for Military Service. The Supreme Court (of the United States) Stated in their decision "that a Deed Pole (Common Law) always takes president over a Federal Land Patent" (issued by a Corporation - U.S. Inc.). This case has never been overturned. Even now a Deed Pole will take president over a Patent.

My question now is where did the United States of American ever get authority to Incorporate as a private Corporation (U.S.), who owns this private Corporation, and where did they get the Constitutional authority/jurisdiction to even issue a Land Patent? None of these powers can be found in the Constitution or ever existed in the Constitution! Then I figured out how they (U.S. Inc.) wove their scam to get it done using a scheme of Constructive Fraud (There is no Statute of Limitation for Fraud!).

The Constitution of the United States of American and the U.S. Constitution (whether legit or not) both gave Federal Jurisdiction to the supposed Federal Government only over Coastal Waterways, high seas and places below the high Water Mark (not inside a Sovereign State - the US Coast Guard has no Constructional authority as it was created by an illegal and unauthorized privately owned Corporation using a Statutory Law scheme - Statutory Law is Civil Law for private Corporations).

So they now had the U.S. Coast Guard conduct a massive Surveying Operation under Maritime Authority (Ocean Jurisdiction). This Clondestine survey (Survey of the Ocean) was done everywhere in the Insular States. The States were all then incorporated as sub-corporations under the United States (Incorporated) as sub corporations. The U.S. Coast Guard then used Brass Caps as the official markers for this Oceanic type of Survey as Brass is always used near Salt Water (as found in the Ocean). Then they put the number 1 Brass Cap on the highest Mountain Peak (Mt. Diablo - in CA) in each Divisioned Surveyed area and this number 1 Brass Cap was referred to as the High Watermark. Now every Survey that is taken off of the number 1 Brass Cap and all Surveyed Property subject to is now legally under the high water mark giving them supposed Maritime Federal Jurisdiction. Now all surveying is done using "Legal Descriptions" (survey of ocean) and feet and inches are used instead of Mets Chains (as is required in a Republic). This whole elaborate scheme was put together to expand their supposed Federal Jurisdiction beyond what the Constitution authorized.

Since the U.S. Coast Guard did the original Survey (under Common Law) the (U.S. Government/Corporation) Claimant now became the new owner by default (as there are no Citizens of the United States Of America (only U.S. Corporate Citizens)). As U.S. Citizens are not Citizens of the United States Of America (and are Corporate Chattel property of the U.S. corporation) they legally can not file a Deed Pole (pursuant to Common Law). But if any Citizen of the United States

Of America (there are no citizens now claiming to be citizens of the United States of America) were to actually file a Claim using a real "Deed Pole" they would now be able to take the land away from the U.S. Government because the U.S. (Corporate) Government is not allowed to Claim land as a Claimant (not authorized by the United States Constitution or the Constitution Of The United States America).

In 1889 (after the USA became the US Corporation) the US Congress passed the 1889 Mining and Act and the 1889 Desert Land Entre Act. Both of the Acts (Corporate - Statutory) created a Legal overly that almost mirrored the same rights that Citizens Of The United States Of America already had under the Common Law.

1. The Desert Land Entre Act authorized a U.S. Citizen (man, women and or a married couple) to lay claim to 330 acres of prairie. All a Claimant had to do to qualify for a DLE was to find Unappropriated Desert Land (now managed by the BLM) and Prove that there was enough Water to grow a crop on just 40 acres. Once the first crop was verified to have been planted the new farmer could then apply for a Patent and would receive ownership (as it was mandated). Now the farm had to pay County Taxes to the County as the land had now been Appropriated. The DLE Act was Amended after World War 2 to guarantee that these Veterans would have a priority in filing DLE claims. The DLE Law was even amended after World War 2 to guarantee that any World War 2 Veteran would have priority in Claiming Desert Lands under the DLE Act. It is interesting to note that all of the farms in the whole State of Idaho were claimed as DLE's. It is also interesting to note that both of these laws are still on the books and valid, yet the BLM ignores them and will not let anyone file a DLE or move forward applying for a Land Patent. It seems that the only people who are now allowed to use these different Land Acts are Barrack Mining (owned by Bush) or some other entity that is working with Harry Reid or some International Bankers.

DEED POLE #2

The question becomes why the Congress of the United States (as they have been so liberal and Rhino) not cancel these two Acts. The reason is that the Congress of the United States Of America (Common Law Congress before the Civil War) passed both of these Acts and now the U.S. Congress is meeting unconstitutionally as the Congress of the United States (by Common Law definition the United States Territory consists of only the Ten Miles Square known as the District Of Columbia - and the definition of the Insular States is referred to as the Territory Of the United States Of America - see 1880 Black's Law Dictionary).

No where in the Constitution of the United States or the Constitution Of the United States Of America does it grant the Federal Government any Territorial Jurisdiction or the right to own Land for any purposes within the Insular States except for "Needful Fort and Dockyards" (see Article 1) and to create more states (see supreme Court Rulings on Statehood). While going through the old Federal Archives at the UNLV, I looked up the Congressional Acts where the different Congresses granted Statehood before and after the Civil War. Before the Civil War Congress Stated "we the Congress Of the United States Of America in the year of our Lord . . . grant Statehood to the California as Republic under Equal Footing. Then right after the Civil War the new Congress stated "we the Congress of the United States . . . grant Statehood to the State of Nevada." Note there was a change as the Congress Of the United States Of America never reconvened after the Civil War. Therefore the Congress of the United States Of America "Sini Dei" (Latin word for died). The current Congress of the United States is now a Corporate entity and this Congress now meets as Corporate Directors.

The real Common Law Citizens need to get back together and reconvene the Congress Of the United States Of America pursuant to Common Law. Laws created by a Common Law Congress are called "Statutes At Large. The concept of Statute's At Large are based on the concept that all laws are created by Biblical Scripture and God is the only law maker and any law that is based on Biblical concepts is called "Statute At Large" not "Statutes". All Statutory law makers now removed the word Lord or God from each law that is enacted as is required under the Enabling Clause - even the law makers for Sub Corporate entities (County and State) no longer use proper Enabling Clauses - this further makes all of these Statutory Laws Null and Void. Some law makers will actually pass a Statute at Large using the correct Enabling Clauses and then send the law to the Legislative Council Bureau (Governor appointed team of Lawyers) and this Bureau rewrites the Law to make it Statutory as they remove the Enabling Clause and now these these new laws are published and referred to as Revised Statutes (i.e. Nevada Revised Statutes). Now all Statutory laws that are created by the U.S. Corporation (and Sub Corporations) are referred to as Civil Laws.

Therefore instead of getting our unalienable (we get Inalienable) rights from God we now get our Civil Rights from the Statutory Corporation (pursuant to a Corporate Amendment - only three Corporate States Ratified the 14th Amendment (see Bill Benson's Book "The Law That Never Was") and only two Corporate States Ratified the 16th Amendment (Therefore the 16th Amendment is Constructive Amendment fraud).

They renamed the Unappropriated Lands as Public Lands (in the Statutes) - Public Land denotes Corporate Lands for Corporate Citizens. They have played word meaning games to steal and cancel all of our Common Law Rights. We need

clarification on all of our legal meanings. It is the job of the sitting Congress to protect true legal meanings. Redefining the legal meanings could fix all of the Constitutional Constructive fraud misapplication problems. The book the "Liberty Amendment" (written by Mark Levine) addressed having an Article 5 Convention of the States to clarify the Constitution (using the Amendment process) by reinstating the original intent. The States maybe do not need to Clarify the original intent using Article 5 if the Congress would do their job and clarify the original intent of the Constitution using Statutes.

Congress needs to fix the original intent of the definitions and Rules of Evidence in the criminal Statutes as well - Hearsay and Conspiracy evidence needs to be clarified so that Rank Hearsay is not allowed to kidnap Citizens without some supporting real evidence. Federal Courts need to have the definitions of their Jurisdiction clarified so they will keep their Jurisdiction out of the Insular States.

The (Statutory - Corporate) 1889 Mining Act authorized U.S. Citizens (Corporations legally can not have Citizen - this is why the definition of "Person" is defined in all Statute Laws as a Corporation - therefore a Corporate Citizen is Chattel Property) to lay claim upon 20 acres on any Public Lands (Unappropriated Lands - so now only Citizens who legally claim to live in the 10 miles square as U.S. Citizens can legally qualify - these (so called Public) lands are now managed by the BLM) and then the Claimant must prove that there is enough mineral value to be profitable. Once the claim is proved minerally profitable the new Miner could then apply for a U.S. Patent and would receive ownership by being granted a Land Patent (i.e. it was mandated). Now the owners of the Mining Property have to pay local County Property Taxes.

So in 1990, I filed a Millsite Claim on some land in Nevada. I had bought a piece of land that was land locked and I found out that if I filed a Millsite Claim I could now acquire a permanent easement to unlock the land locked land. This worked good. After that I actually found a real need for the Millsite Claims as my late friend Douglas had found the Mother Load of Platinum out in the Nevada Desert (These Claims have never been mined and now no one knows exactly where they are except me).

So after doing more battling with the BLM over Mitzels Valley Wells Ranch (note the word Wells is used in the name as all of the Water was and is still proven to belong to the Valley Wells Ranch) and being wrongfully estopeled at every turn, we decided to file Four DLE's on the Valley Wells Ranch (right across from Whiskey Petes Casino on the California side of the Boarder on the North side of I-15). The Claimants were as follows: 1. George Mitzel, 2, George Grazadei, Max Wilson and David Hinkson. We 100% proved that we owned the water and even got a well drilling permit from San Bernardino County. On our Desert Land Entre filings we listed Pistachio's as our forty acre (of the 330 acres) crop on all of the claims (a Claimant must plant at least 40 acres to Patent a 320 acre Claim pursuant to DLE). The Federal Court now denied all of our claims without cause - we appealed and again they denied all of our claims. Then the BLM proposed to put Valley Wells Ranch (and almost all of the land in many states) into these Managed Area Plans pursuant to FLPMA.

An Area Plan under FLPMA (Federal Land Policy Management Act) has a public comment period. I appealed all of these new Area Plans (in Nevada) under the grounds that FLPMA Section 1173 ? (verify this section #) states "that any Management Plan under this Act that effects more than 100,000 acres must be sent to the U.S. Congress within 90 days or it is Null and Void." (Quote from Memory). They ignored the law as they knew Congress was and is asleep and they have never sent any of their Management Area Plans to Congress, thus moving millions of acres in California, Utah, Nevada, Arizona, New Mexico, Oregon and Washington, ex. into these illegal unconstitutional Management Area Plans. These plans (along with the Endangered Species Act) were used to cancel everybody right to claim or use Public Lands under Common Law (concepts). Before FLPMA the Federal Government designated huge tracks of Land as Wilderness to stop all Common Law usage of the Lands so that the land could be pledged for debt to foreign Banking interest. Now they can use Area Plans to make sure that only their selected friends get to use the Public Lands.

These Area Plans (in Nevada) were put together using a Coalition of Environment Groups (NGO's - Non Government Agencies including: the Nature Conservancy, Serra Club, Ducks Unlimited, etc.) and the BLM had a series of huge Books (Phone Book size) published that control all of the use and activity on the (now called) Public Lands.

All of this activity has in effect shut down thousands of small mining companies loggers and farms. Many of these attacks are conducted for the financial gain of Large Corporations (i.e. Warehouse stopped all logging in places that compete with them based on a Spotted Owl Management Plan. The Federal agencies also attack private property without Jurisdiction - see Desert Tortoise attack against Clark County)

Nevada was known as the Silver State because there was so much Silver mined. Now the only future Claimants to all of these resources is China working with Harry Reid and George Bush's Barrack Mining (Barrack Mining got it Patents as I was being rejected). Now all of the Nevada Mining Schools are shut down. I believe Nevada has more Rare Earths than anywhere on the planet and now all of these resources are being stolen from the people (i.e. Harry Reid works with China)/

As I legally fought these Managed Area Plans I used my Legal Research and concepts going back to the very beginning and founding of this Nation and actually made the legal arguments in Court that showed that the U.S. Government does not own the Public Lands (or Unappropriated Lands) or have Exclusive Legislative Jurisdiction. For example: Wayne Pearson had some Millsite Claims and Douglas Noland had many Mining Claims. I argued the Equal Footing Doctrine for both Claimants, the Equal Footing Doctrine states "that anything given to one State is required to be given to all States equally." Therefore it is unconstitutional for the States to have been forced to give up 88% of their Territory (Blackmail) in order to be granted Statehood (when other States were not). Therefore all National Parks do not belong to the U.S. Federal Government and they further have no Exclusive Jurisdiction therein.

TERRITORIAL JURISDICTION: The United States Constitution and the Constitution Of The United States America both limit the Territorial Jurisdiction of the Federal Government to the 10 miles square and/or land used for needful Forts and Dockyards.

Therefore just because the Federal Government buys land and/or claims that they own land, still does not give them Exclusive Legislative Jurisdiction or Common Law ownership.

In 1971 President Nixon had the Attorney General created a Commission to analyze the Federal Jurisdiction issue. In conclusion the report stated that in Post Offices, Public and Federal Lands and even the Military Bases where the State had not granted Exclusive Legislative Jurisdiction - any crimes committed are to be charged only under State Law (not Federal Law).

The only time the Federal Government has Territorial Jurisdiction within a State is when the State Legislature grants the Federal Government Exclusive Legislative Jurisdiction pursuant to an authorized activity (i.e. needful Forts and Dockyards).

Therefore most Military Bases and Post Offices having never received a grant of Exclusive Legislative Jurisdiction have no Jurisdiction any time a crime is committed within there boundaries (most of the time the Federal Government does not even bother to apply for Exclusive Legislative Jurisdiction even on their Military Bases and they never apply for Federal Prisons. A person can inquire with the State in question to find out).

If the Federal Government has no Exclusive Legislative Jurisdiction on so called Public Lands maybe they are exactly what they say they are Managers (Bureau of Land Management). Management means they should only maintain the original Land Office Filing and grant Land Patents (as agreed to by treaty) and settle disputes but never deny anyone access to the Public/Unappropriated Lands as they do not have Exclusive Legislative Jurisdiction or ownership. As the States do have Exclusive Legislative Jurisdiction the Feds have violated there Agreement with the States when they denied any Common Law Claimant the right to appropriate Unappropriated Public Lands.

DEED POLE #3

. Therefore for the United States to withhold 88% of the land from Statehood before they were granted Statehood violated the "Equal Footing Doctrine" and the "Separation Of Power Doctrine" as found in the Tenth Amendment (when arguing 10th Amendment "Separation of Power" concept, I quoted a Supreme Court case called New York vs. United States). In New York vs. United States the Supreme Court ruled "that anything a State agrees to even with consent is Null and Void if it violates the Separation of Powers as found in the 10th Amendment." Therefore when the States surrendered the Unappropriated Lands this act is Void as it violated Separation of Powers and Equal Footing Doctrine as found in the Constitution. Then to further make my case I showed that all of the Unappropriated Public Lands are listed Publically in all of the County Recorder's Offices in every State as belonging to the United States Of America (not the United States) which actually means that the Individual Insular States have Recorded all of these Lands (in their Statutory Recording Office) as belonging to the United States Of America not the United States (see 1880 Blacks Legal Dictionary - showing that the legal definition of the United States Of America represents the Insular Republic States). Therefore all of these recorded documents show the real owner of Record is all of the Republic States and any Citizen (of the United States Of America - Common Law Citizen) that resides in a Republic State and is a Citizen therein (of that Republic State - States used to grant Citizenship - a U.S. Census was supposed to be a count of Citizens who reside only in the Ten Miles Square). To cancel the right to file a Common Law Claim and use the Public/Unappropriated Lands is a violation of Due Process Of Law.

The BLM did not charge grazing fees to use the land in the past (before FLPMA was enacted) then they started charging grazing fees thereafter. Then they started putting restrictions on the amount of grazing that could be done (pursuant to Animal Units). Then they started constantly increasing the price of these grazing fees. The BLM labeled and charged these Rental Fees to get all of the Cattle Ranchers to except the fact that they were now just renters on the land (prior to FLPMA these lands were considered privately owned (under Common Law) and the owner could go and actually borrow money on the Ranch from a Bank. In 1993, I wrote a Brief for a Cattle Rancher that lived in Nevada named Wayne Pearson. In this

Brief, I argued that the BLM did not have Jurisdiction and they came back and responded that the Federal Government can do what ever it wants with lands that it owns (they cited the Supreme Court Ruling "Wild Horse and Burro Act"). I appealed there decision stating that their argument was mute as the as the Federal Government also never owned the Public Lands or the Unappropriated Public Lands. They surrendered by dropping the demand for all of the Rental Fees and they sealed the record and never published the decision or papers. There official response was that they arrived at the Ranch with Coffee and Doughnuts and verbally surrendered and then left. Then Mr. Pearson brought over his Road Grader and graded my long driveway to show his appreciation. Now it is clear how the Valley Wells Ranch was owned and then stolen. The thieves do not own Valley Wells Ranch and they further have no Jurisdiction and a Deed Pole will take president over a Patent - we should take back what's ours!

As the Rail Roads pushed west and east across the plains (before the Civil War) each Rail Road was given one square mile of land on each side of the tracks they laid under the right to claim Unappropriated lands under Common Law.

I read the book "Matrix", I was very disappointed as I saw that no real successful stories or concepts were highlighted. I think the overall concepts were accurate to a degree in this book but overall I think that they missed the 7th Amendment Concepts involving the Common Law. We must now use Statute Law to scheme our way back to the Common Law. Here is an actual example of how I used the Common Law/Deed Pole/7th Amendment process to un Statutorize a Federal Millsite Claim in Law Vegas Nevada.

DEED POLE: I helped a Millsite owner (Ron Allen) who had a millsite Claim out on Boulder Hwy (in Las Vegas NV.) It seemed that the BLM had "Red Tagged" all of the property on his Millsite Claims (they had put notices on all of his Milling Equipment to have it towed away) as they were going to evict him (in 60 days) from his Millsite Claim. I therefore created a Deed Pole for him, whereas he Deeded the Land to himself. Therefore RON ALLEN and God herby Deed Poled the Land to Ron Wayne; Allen - a Christian man on the Lands. I then took the original Millsite filing papers and used them as the official Legal Land Survey (to prove Common Law ownership). I then put an instrument number on the front of this Deed Pole and Survey to make it an Official Instrument (i.e. 29392). I then added the following statement on the first page of the Deed Pole. "The recording of this Deed Pole in no way creates a Holder In Due Course or an Attorney In Fact (This Instrument was recorded for the sole purpose of giving Public Notice that the recording of this Document did not give ownership of this Paper to the County (as the County is Incorporated as a Sub Corporation under the State and all State of's are incorporated under the U.S. Inc. - the U.S. Corporation actually owns by Constructive Fraud all of the Real Estate in every State and County. Therefore pursuant to this constructive fraud the Federal Government has effectively cancelled State Sovereignty, stolen all private property and enslaved the Citizens by canceling all the Common Law rights.

The last page of this Deed Pole had the original signatures on it to facilitate and finalize the Deed Pole (two Witnesses must witness the Signature on a Common Law Document). We now add an extra last Statutory Notary page just for the County Recorder to look at as the County Recorder only looks at the last Page on any Document presented for Recording (*Note the Recorder will refuse to Record Documents if the Signatures on the last page are not original ink and Notarized because they want to be the Holder In Due Course).

The last page (Statutory) stated as follows: "This Document known as instrument number 29392 is a copy of original Instrument number 29392 that was recorded with the Clark County Recorders Office on (date) for the sole and only purpose of creating Public Notice that original Instrument number 29392 as agreed to between the parties listed herein was Recorded to give Official Public Notice; Instrument number 29392 is a Common Law Document that is Recorded, Filed and protected under and pursuant to the 7th Amendment of the Constitution of the United States Of America/United States (which both guarantee that any controversy that exceeds \$20 shall be protected by a Common Law Jurisdiction, Trial and/or Court in the Venue of the Common Law as guaranteed under Article 4 Section 4, guarantee of a Republican Form of Government under the Constitution of the United States/United States of America; and all Republics use Common Law. Therefore this Recorded Document now gives Public Notice that if anyone has or had a claim or disagreement with this "Official Deed Pole" and/or the original Claimant's Survey as described herein they will have 30 days pursuant to the UCC rules to object or they are forever estopeled. If no objection is filed and/or recorded here in (i.e. Clark) County Recorders Office the matter will be considered estopeled and will be considered closed/settled (In Erie Railroad v. Thompson the U.S. Supreme Court ruled that there is not Common Law Federal Jurisdiction)."

If the Federal Government has no Common Law Jurisdiction the Federal Court or its Sub Corporate entities (like the Counties/State Of's) can not convene a Statutory Court to even hear the disagreement - this is because a Corporation uses Statutory Law and can not use Common Law and they further cannot convene a Common Law Court (only assembled Citizens without Statutory authority can convene a Common Law Grand Jury). Then the regular Notary Statement was added to Notarize the signatures. Then the Instrument Number was typed (and placed in the upper corner) on the Statutory page making the whole filing a Security. Its now their problem as to how to convene and impanel a Common Law, Grand

Jury, Court and/or Jury. Maybe they will have to actually talk to the real Citizens without the phony District Attorneys (Districts are Statutory Federal areas - and the word Attorney means to Attorn - which means to cancel or change something - i.e. when Common Law is converted to the Statutory Venue it has been Attorned).

The Recorder excepted the Deed Pole and Recorded all of the Papers we then mailed a nice Official Notice letter Certified Mail to the BLM giving them time to respond. They defaulted and never responded. But 60 days later they did show up down the road near Ron's Millsite where they had Nevada Power turn off the Electrical Power to Ron's Millsite by removing the power lines where they crossed a different property. As far as I know Ron now owns the land and pays no property taxes. There is no reason to record any Documents in the Recorders Office in the future as the Recorders Office is no longer needed but if we do Record Documents we need to always be aware of their past constructive fraud schemes and make sure that we do not give them back the real fee simple (fee is the taxes (fees) must simply be paid first to keep ownership) ownership (using an Attorney In Fact (Notary) or a Holder In Due Course (Paper). Example there was a property that had a Deed Pole filed on it after a mortgage was secured. Upon default the lender could not foreclose - and when taxes were delinquent the County never tired to collect back taxes of Auction off the property - and the IRS never bothered to file a Notice of Lien - and Federal Estate Taxes could not be collected upon death.

In short we Record the Deed Pole this way to remove the property from their Babylonian fraudulent system - use the Babylonian system to our advantage. We need to be careful not to fall back into their scheme until a real Common Law Records Office is available.

This Constructive Fraud scheme gives them the legal power to Auction off property when Taxes are not paid from the Court House steps (without Due Process - in violation of the 7th Amendment of the Constitution in violation of Common Law).

Then of course all of the pre published printed Deed Forms always have certain words all Capitalized to remove the right of Common Law as an all Capitalized name is legally and officially under Statutory Law a fictional character known as a "Vivid Personification (see the U.S. Stiles Manual Section 33.3 (interesting?). So any time in Law you print your name in all Caps your entity has no Common-law Rights. Therefore filing a Deed Pole and these other concepts can cancel the Counties ability to charge and collect property taxes and a lenders ability to foreclose. Therefore we should always try to stay on moral high ground while conducting our business affairs.

Now that we understand that the Citizens of the United States have a Statutory right to claim Public Land and the Citizens of the United States Of America also have a right under Common Law to Claim Unappropriated Land we realize that all type of Citizens have the right to claim land as Claimants and these rights are protected under one of the two different Constitutions as the United States Constitution (Corp.) and the Constitution Of the United States of America. We can also use Deed Pole along with Land Survey to re-secure our rights under the Common Law.

DEED POLE #4

COMMON LAW STORIES: In 1990, one of my employees by the name of John Rasakas was pulled over for speeding and got a ticket for not having proof of insurance. Ron asked me to go to court with him as he had already pleaded not guilty.

I had told Ron about some of the concepts concerning the 7th Amendment and how a Statutory Court could not enter into the Common Law Venue. Since the ticket was Venued in North Las Vegas, I traveled with him to the North Las Vegas Court House. We were a few minutes early so we went in a sat down. Soon a man approached us and he said: "John Rasakas" ? John answered: Yes. "The man said: "I'm the District Attorney (D.A.) and I want to offer you a deal before we start - If you will pay just \$100 today I will settle this ticket and we will not have a Court Hearing." J.R. said: "we do not want to pay a \$100.

We have requested a Common Law Jury Trial pursuant to the 7th Amendment as this controversy exceeds \$20.00." DA stated: "The Supreme Court has ruled that there is no Jury Trial for Misdemeanors, therefore you can not have a Jury Trial!" David Hinkson stated: "Excuse me Counselor, but I think you are referring to the Blaton vs. City of North Las Vegas Case where the Supreme Court ruled that there is no Jury Trial for Misdemeanors based on the 16th Amendment." DA: States: "Yes that is the case and I'm the North Las Vegas Attorney that took that issue before the Supreme Court and presented the argument - and they ruled there is no Jury trial for Misdemeanors" (Blaton vs. City of North Las Vegas). D.H. states: "Counselor you never argued the Seventh Amendment and therefore you have no Star Desises (Court President using previous case law) and as you know if it is not argued it is not decided - and we have put you on Notice that John wants a Trial pursuant to the 7th Amendment right of Common Law." DA: Walks away and sits down. J.R. and D.H. both sit down. Judge inters into the Court. Bailiff announces "all rise" and we all stood up and sat back down. Now D.A. runs up and interrupts the Judge (before the Judge got started calling names) and starts whispering into the Judges ear. Then the

D.A. sits back down. Judge states: "John Rasakas" John stands up. Judge points at John and roars "get the Hell out of my Court Room." J.R. says " Ah, Ah, is Ah, my case dismissed? Judge said: "Just leave, and I'm dismissing the Officer (Patrolmen) that came to testify against you." So we all got up and walked out together. Out in the Hall as we walked out the Highway Patrolmen said: "I've never seen that Judge act like that before." It would seem they really do not want to a discuss the Common Law.

In 1990, Wayne Pearson came to me and told me a tragic story. He stated: "My son was pulled over by the Highway Patrol and he knew the officer from High School so he had made a sarcastic comment. The Officer then punched Wayne Jr. and a fist fight erupted. Then the wife and two teenage sons got out of the car and a family fist fight ensued. Soon more patrolmen showed up and beat the whole family up, arresting them a hauling them all to the Clark County Jail. They were charged with assaulting an officer of the law, resisting arrest and speeding. Bond was set at \$10,000 apiece. Wayne Pearson then said what should I do?" D.H. said: "I don't know, and it sounds very serious. Maybe we could use the 7th Amendment and demand a Common Law Trial! D.H. explained the whole concept to Wayne (88 year old man). Then we traveled together to see the D.A. Wayne stated to the Clark County D.A.: that we want a 7th Amendment Trial based on Common Law for my whole family as the controversy exceeds \$20.00." DA stated: "You will get your common Law Trial!" Wayne Stated: Goody Goody and we both headed for the Door without pause. Right before we were out the Door the D.A. screamed: "Hold it. Hold it. We can settle this today. How about I reduce all of the charges to a parking ticket and you pay a total of \$50.00 Court Costs." Wayne said: "let me talk to my Lawyer" and he then whispered in my ear: "What should I do?" D.H. whispered back: "Give them the \$50.00 before he changes his mind."

In 1991, Bobby Bericini (owned the Las Vegas show called the Lido that performed at the Stardust Hotel & Casino in Las Vegas Nevada - his show used performing Orangutans and Tigers - the Orangutan Clyde was in the movie "Any which Way But Loose" - with Clint Eastwood) contacted me after hearing me on the Radio and told me about how the Department of Fish and Wildlife had seized his animals claiming that they were not getting proper care (PETA had filed a complaint - and the FAWS seemed to be working with PETA) because there was not a full time Vet on the pay roll. They were going to save the Tigers and Orangutans by Euthanizing them. D.H. asked Bobby where they got their Jurisdiction and he said: "that he had applied for and gotten a Federal License to have Exotic Animals and that might have given them Jurisdiction and he had also shipped the animals from Las Vegas to Arizona for the winter" (crossing a State Line in Interstate Commerce). Then D.H. asked Bobby: "where did they had grabbed these animals" and B.B. said: "Right after they arrived in Arizona" (transported from Nevada). B.B. then said: "I have a Hearing in Federal Court tomorrow morning and I need you to go with me to Court" and D.H. said: "I will not be able to go to court with you as I can not speak for you as I'm not a Lawyer, but I will type up a Brief for you and you can read it into the record and then rest your defense."

So I stayed up all night and wrote B.B. a Legal Brief as he was due to be in Court in the Morning (the time allowed for him to have filed a brief was long past). I then slept till lunch and when I awoke B.B. was knocking at my door. B.B. said: "I read the whole Brief into the record (and served the court a copy) as my Defense Statement and rested, and then the Judge postponed his official ruling and instead continued the Hearing until tomorrow. He then asked me who wrote the Brief and I said my friend Dave/" Then the Judge said: "Is Dave a Lawyer?" And I said: "No!" Then the Judge said: "I want who ever wrote this Brief in my Court Room tomorrow as I have some questions to ask." Then B.B. said: "Will you go to court with me tomorrow?" I really did not want to go but I reluctantly said: Yes. The next day B.B. picked me up and we drove to the Foley Federal Building in Las Vegas Nevada. We entered the Court Room and sat down. We were the only people in the room when the Judge said: "Mr. Beracini is this Dave here?" B.B. said: "Yes your Honor!" D.H. stood up and walked up to the Bar and stood in front of the Judge. The Judge stated: "Mr. Hinkson this is the greatest brief that I have ever read are you a Lawyer?" D.H. said: "No your Honor." Judge said: "Are you a Paralegal?" D.H. said: "Not officially pursuant to Nevada Law, but sometimes I do some Legal Research and Legal Briefs your Honor." Judge said: "Then who or how did you manage to write such a brief?" D.H. said: "Well I work sometime with George Granzadei (famous well know Mob Attorney) and he taught me how to write Briefs." Judge said: Well that explains it as George is a great Lawyer. I just wanted to meet the person who wrote this Brief. Good Job and I'm dismissing the whole case against Mr. Beracini and I want him given back his animals within 72 hours! By the way tell George Hi for me." After that Bobby invited me out to meet Clyde and see Clyde's new son.

In 1994, Roland Hinkson got in an accident in Salt Lake as he had encountered Black Ice. He's ticket was for reckless driving. I told him about using the 7th Amendment Common Law concepts and he went to court and told the Judge that he wanted a 7th Amendment Trial and the Judge said he had never heard of this and ask R.H. to come back tomorrow. The next day R.H. went back to Court and the Judge reduced the ticket to a parking ticket and charged him \$50.00.

14TH AMENDMENT: The 14th Amendment of the U.S. Constitution is an Amendment that Amended the Constitution of the United States (not the Constitution of the United States of America). If the National Government creates an Amendment after the United States of America, Sini Died, the new Amendment did not amend the Constitution of the United States Of

America only the Constitution of the United States. Further a new Amendment can not be created that steps on an a previous Article without first canceling that Article (and I'm not sure if an Article can be canceled). Therefore if the 14th Amendment was Ratified it would have only Amended the Constitution of the United States.

I interviewed a man on my talk show named "Bill Benson." Bill had written a Book called the "Law That Never Was." Bill had gone to every State records Department to find out and verify if the 14th Amendment had ever been Ratified. He only found three States that had Ratified the 14th Amendment. So the U.S. Corporate Government had announced that the 14th Amendment had been Ratified when it was not. This is Constructive Amendment Fraud. The 14th Amendment is not legitimate. The first part of the 14th Amendment states: "Citizens Born or Nationalized in the United States" this is interesting as the Definition of "United States" is and has always been a Citizen of the District of Columbia" (10 miles square carved out of Virginia). But it this case what it really means is a Corporate Statutory, 10 mile Square Venued, Corporate Citizen with no birth right to God and no rights pursuant to Common Law. When Abe Lincoln gave the Blacks U.S. Citizenship it was to declare then Citizen of the U.S. Corporation within the 10 square so now they have Jurisdiction under Color Of Law and this is where the world Colored came from.

The reason the Shadow Government (private U.S. Corporation) wanted to get everybody to except Federal Venue within the District of Columbia is that they had created a private Corporation to overlay the real Venue with the same name and they wanted everyone to believe that nothing had changed. They wanted all of the U.S. Citizens to except the new Venue within the District of Columbia. They then divided all of the Insular States into Federal Districts that overlaid upon the Republic Insular States.

Now the second part of the the 14th Amendment States that you can't question the debt. This was needed by the International Bankers so that they could borrow money on their created securities that were now everybody's Birth Certificates, Private Property, Contracts and all Property in Commerce without anyone ever suing them. So they put all of our names and other legal descriptions everywhere in all Contracts as Capitalized Letters (see U.S. Stiles Manual section 33.3 - that legally defined all of us and our property as Vivid Personifications - when I was in the U.S. Navy (not the Navy of the United States of America) the Yeomen's role sheet showed my name as follows: David Roland HINKSON. When I asked why this was done I was told that he was ordered to do it this way. It never made any sense to me at that time. It is obvious that thousands of Lawyers have worked very hard over a period of time (over a 100 years) to weave and create a complete overlay of every concept including County (ofs), State (ofs) and a complete new Federal system (Abe was an Attorney). We are truly slaves on the Land (plantation) - with overlay masters.

DEED POLE 5

In 1913, the U.S. Congress (Corporate) voted to publish a huge Text that had been written by Federal Reserve Attorneys that then was Published as Title 26 (the Federal Reserve is not Federal as Congress never created and it has no Reserve as the usury Money is just printed with no backing). The entire U.S. Congress knew that this Document was written full of Unconstitutional Text (as the Federal Government has no Jurisdiction outside of the 10 miles square and this Text further violated Article 1) but they proceeded to vote on Publishing it as Title 26 anyway, in a manner that disrespected the people and the Constitution of the United States/United States of America, creating the the biggest fraud, hoax and theft in U.S. Statutory History. Now tens of thousands of people have been thrown into prison based on the largest kidnapping scheme in World history.

As there is no evidence showing that any of the Text that was Published as Title 26 was ever voted or passed into law (into law - therefore this law is not Positive), I decided in 1988 to write a letter to the Clerk of the Congressional Record asking for the original Congressional Act that authorized all of Title 26 to be published (in 1916) as U.S. Code. The beginning of the full Text or the Pre-Text was never Published. Therefore the Act that authorized the Text to be printed and Published as Title 26 was never Published in Title 26. I find it interesting that Congress could pass a Statute and no one gets to see it! This Pre-Act in part stated as follows: "We the Congress of the United States herein authorize the attached Text to be published in its entirety as Title 26, even though it is not and has not been passed into law (therefore it is not Positive Law). The rights of all citizens shall remain the same after this Text is published. This part of the Act shall not be published, the Text that is to be published in it's entirety is everything beyond or after this paragraph." Title 18 also was published in its entirety the same way without the U.S. Congress ever voting on it, therefore it is not Positive Law.

This is Statutory Constructive Fraud and falsifying a Public Notice Document with the intent to defraud. This is a crime! There is no Statute Of Limitation for Fraud. To see this Document call the U.S. Congressional Records Clerk and ask for a Certified copy of the Pre-Act or beginning of the Text (that was not published) that became Title 26.

Therefore if the Text of the IRS Code was not passed into Positive law (listed as not positive - is this what the definition of positive law is?) the IRS Code, and Title 18 in their entirety including all subsequent amendments are not Positive Statute Law. Therefore anytime you see the footnote "not Positive Law" you should be a little suspicious.

The Congress has since, Amended the IRC (and Title 18) hundreds of times. Therefore these Amendments are not Positive law. Therefore they have Amended a Non Law, Non Statue - it seems that fraud just keeps continuing and expanding. Just because you want a law passed doesn't mean you have a right to just Publish it committing Constructive Fraud! Further by Amending Text that has not been passed into Positive law, does not legitimize the original Statue as you have now just Amended Un-Statutized Text.

I also asked the Congressional Records Clerk to provide me with the Statute or Act that created the Internal Revenue Service and FBI. In response to the inquiry about the IRS "there is no Congressional Record that shows that the Internal Revenue was ever created as a federal agency" (I guess they are not Positive either) - and there is further "no Congressional Record that shows that the FBI was ever created by Congress as a federal agency using a Congressional Act" - but the clerk did state (in writing) that "the FBI had been created by Eleanor Roosevelt" (without Congressional Approval) - later I found out that the FDA, BOP and the CIA were all never created by Congress using a Congressional Act. It would seem that we should research all of the federal agencies to see which ones really exist in law (note the BATF is actually a federal agency of the United States and it is Constitutional).

The official Identification that BATF Agents plainly displays the words "United States" whereas, the FBI, IRS and FDA Identifications do not. For an agent to actually be a Federal Officer of the United States the agent must be given a "Delegation Of Authority" signed by the President. As they do not want to create any more Constitutional violations the Executive Branch does not give the IRS, FDA and/or FBI Agents a Delegation Of Authority that would attempt to give agents venue within the Insular States.

The Executive Branch also does not issue a "Delegation Of Authority" authorizing a federal worker to work in a location or Insular State where they have no Jurisdiction. For example U.S. Prosecutors, Federal Judges, FDA Agents, IRS Agents and US Marshall are not issued a D.O.A. as they have no Jurisdiction outside of the 10 miles square or inside Insular states - they are not issued a Delegation of Authority and their Identification Papers further do not display the words "United States" - there are over 500 Supreme Court Rulings that all state that "without a "Delegation Of Authority" you are not a Federal Agent and have no authority to act in that capacity. This lack of Constitutional power explains why none of the Prosecutors are issued a Delegation Of Authority or are allowed to display the words "United States" in their "Official Identification Papers."

The Federal Government therefore has no Delegation Of Authority as they conduct bogus trials in these so called overlaid Federal Districts. Therefore it seems obvious that we have thousands of people pretending to be Federal Officers acting out of bounds.

Therefore even if you are a Federal Agent Authorized (via Delegation) in one Venue (like the United States - 10 mile square/Corp Jurisdiction) and you are working outside of this assigned Venued (in the United States Of America - Insular State) and/or "Delegated Scope Of Authority," you still are not working as a Federal Agent and you still do not have Federal Immunity for any of your actions as you would now become an ordinary Citizen. It would seem that the Executive Branch gets away with unleashing these unconstitutional agents to eat out our substance because they use a Pocket Judges (who also have no "Delegation Of Authority" to work in the Venue he is conducting Court in - inside the Insular States), who authorizes murderous force to promote the fraud. Most of the Judges now help rouge Agents and Confidential Informants conduct bogus trials based on mass perjury to rob and plunder the innocent (see the book "Cesspool of Judicial Corruption"). Therefore they use a combination of criminal and civil attacks.

The 16th Amendment of the Constitution is an Amendment that Amended the Constitution of the United States (not the Constitution of the United States Of America). If the National Government creates an Amendment after the United States of America Sini Died, the new Amendment does not Amend the Constitution of the United States Of America only the Constitution of the "United States." Therefore if the 16th Amendment was Ratified (which is wasn't) it would have only Amended the Constitution of the "United States." Therefore this Amendment either way would not effect the real "Republic Insular States."

I interviewed a man on my talk show who claimed he had gone and physically verified each state to see if the 16th Amendment had ever been Ratified. He only found two States that had Ratified the 16th Amendment. Therefore, I made over 100 calls to the different State Recorder's Offices along with the Attorney General's requesting a copy of the "Certified Official Ratification Papers" that would have shown that the State had Ratified the 16th Amendment and I verified that almost all of the Ratification process had indeed failed (there is supposed to be a record maintained even if Ratification failed - so you can always collect info). Therefore, the U.S. Corporate Government has fraudulently announced that the 16th Amendment had been Ratified when it had not. This is Constructive Constitutional Amendment Fraud. The 16th Amendment is not legitimate as it was never Ratified.

Therefore even if the 16th Amendment was legitimate (as it is not - because it was not ratified) they say that it authorized the Federal Income Tax and the creation of the IRS (which it did not).

When the 18th Amendment was Ratified it created Proabition - later the 21st Amendment canceled Proabition. Therefore it took an Amendment to the U.S. Constitution to create Proabition and a separate Amendment to cancel Proabiton. Then how did the 16th Amendment create taxation without canceling Article 1. The 16th Amendment did not canceled Article 1. I actually found a Supreme Court case (called "Union Pacific Railroad v. ???"), that said: "the 16th Amendment did not cancel Article 1, and did not create or authorize a new type of Federal Tax inside Insular States."

Therefore someone needs to serve "Official Certified Notice" on each Attorney General notifying them that the 16th Amendment was never Ratified.

Article 1, Sates: "that all taxation shall be by apportionment (each State Citizen is to pay the same amount) pursuant to State census (total amount divided by the amount of State citizens) of the States (States were supposed to do their own Census and grant Citizenship and protect there own boarders). Senators were to collect their State's share of the funds (by Census) and deliver it to the Federal Government for the purposes of Nation Defense (the Constitutionally only authorized activities that are enumerated). It seems we have a rouge runaway Federal Government. I wonder if the 17th Amendment that created Senators elected by popular votes.? I doubt it was. Therefore there is no 17th

Amendment in the Constitution Of the United Of America.

How many times have you seen the word "Person" written in the Text of a Statute - and thought it meant you, when in fact the word "Person" is defined in most Statutory definitions as an "Individual" or "Corporation." Then you of course thought you were an "Individual" when the definition of "Individual" is a "Person" or a Corporation or a "DBA" (Doing Business As). Therefore they hide Statutory fraud in the subversion of the definitions.

Here are some examples of the twisted "Code" definitions: "Rule 1 (of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure) - Definitions (a) Scope. (1) In General. These rules govern the procedure in all criminal proceedings . . . (3) Territorial Courts. These rules govern the procedure in all criminal proceeding in the following courts: (A) . . . Guam; (B) . . . Northern Mariana Islands' and (C) . . . Virgin Islands." *Note there is not mention of Insular States. Then "Rule 1 (b) . . . Definitions. (defines) "State" includes (in law the definition of "includes" means to exclude everything else) the District of Columbia, and commonwealth, territory, or possession of the United States" (*note there is no mention of Insular States in the definition of "State"). Then in IRC Section 7701. Definitions. (1) Person. The term "Person" shall be construed to mean and include an individual a trust, estate, partnership, association, company or corporation. *Note "individual" is a "Person" and "Person" is a Corporation. Then (9) United States. The term "United States" when used in a geographical sense includes only the States and the District of Columbia. States! What's a State? (10). The term "State" shall be construed to include the District of Columbia, where such construction is necessary to carry out provisions of this title. (*note: the term "United States" means the "District of Columbia" and the term "State" means the District of Columbia! - "(b) Definitions. (B) a United states attorney or an authorized assistant' . . .(note the way that you "Authorize" is to issue a D.O.A. - but they don't because they can't) the Constitution only authorized one "Attorney General" without mention of an assistant. Most State Constitutions also only authorize the creation of one Attorney General. Therefore most Constitutions do not even authorize assistant prosecutors to be created and all Federal Prosecutors that are prosecuting inside the Insular States have no Constitutional authority as the Constitution did not Delegate the "United State Attorney" the power to hire or appoint assistants.

DEED POLE 6

Is seems to me that the reason the Constitution never authorized the Federal Government to hire assistants to work inside the Insular States is because assistants were not needed where you were not given Jurisdiction (within the Insular States).

The Federal Government (Attorneys) know they have no Jurisdiction to conduct a criminal trial, demand a tax return, collect federal income tax and/or set minimum wages inside an Insular State. Therefore they use phony definitions to weave all of Title 26 (IRC) and (United States/United States Of America) all other U.S. Code Titles to create Statutory fraud. This fraud creates a violation of Due Process under both Constitutions. Take your pick.

All of this is Statutory Fraud but one thing is for sure all of these definitions and Jurisdictional concepts now need to be clarified by the current Congress (U.S. Congress) to match the original intent of the founding fathers (who created the Constitution of the the United States?).

Where in the United States Constitution did it authorize the United States (10 mile Square) as a separate Country (apart from "of America") to create local Courthouses inside the Insular States. If the Constitution had authorized Federal Courthouses to be placed inside Insular States the U.S. Constitution would have specifically specified it (but it did not).

Both Constitutions gave Jurisdiction for needful "forts" and "dockyards" to be placed within Insular States. Therefore forts' and dockyards were authorized to be created within Insular States and the Federal Congress can apply and receive Exclusive Legislative Jurisdiction from the State Legislators if they desire to give it. If the State Legislature does not want to give Exclusive Legislative Jurisdiction they do not have to. Therefore it is possible to have needful forts and dockyards where the U.S.

Federal Government has no Jurisdiction. If even forts "forts" and dockyards" didn't get automatic Exclusive Legislative Jurisdiction then obviously court houses also have no Constitutional construction that authorized or mandates a Federal Courthouse to be built or given Exclusive Legislative Jurisdiction within the Insular States.

Federal Courthouses were only authorized by both Constitutions to be built in Federal Territories before Statehood is granted. A Federal Courthouse in a Federal Territory automatically has Exclusive Legislative Jurisdiction (without even requesting it). Upon the grant of Statehood the Exclusive Legislative Jurisdiction, ceases and the Federal Courthouse is no longer a Federal Courthouse or a seat of Government with Exclusive Legislative Jurisdiction, therefore, it is not a real Federal Courthouse. A Federal Courthouse is built to conduct Federal Business and to be a seat or center of Federal Territory. I find it strange that Federal Courthouses were not built inside the Insular States from the founding of the country. Most Federal Courthouses and different Federal Districts are not that old.

Therefore all of the Kangaroo criminal trials conducted within Insular States were conducted without Federal Jurisdiction. We need to demand that each State grant Exclusive Legislative Jurisdiction to each Federal Courthouse or shut them down. If Exclusive Legislative Jurisdiction is granted it should be granted with stipulations that define Federal Jurisdiction pursuant to their real Constitutional power (limited).

Therefore the Federal Districts were created (and displayed on the Kellogg's Corn Flakes Box in 1971) as Sub United States Districts overlaid over and on top of Insular States to wrongfully expand the Venue of the District of Columbia. Now every State in the Union is part of the District of Columbia Territory.

The Zip Code law was used to help create these U.S. Districts as the new Abbreviations that were created by the U.S. Congress plainly show that all of the abbreviations represent newly defined U.S. Districts. I believe you will also find definition fraud and that this law is not Positive.

The Federal Prison System has been expanding inside the Insular States. The Federal Government has not applied for Exclusive Legislative Jurisdiction for these Prisons from any State. Therefore there is no Exclusive Legislative Jurisdiction in these Prisons and any crime committed within these Prisons is cognizable only at the local County or State level.

In 1938, the United States Supreme Court shut down the new deal when they ruled in Schechter Poultry (295 U.S. 543 (1935)). Then from that time forward all of the U.S. Prosecutors started putting statements concerning Interstate Commerce in to all of their Indictments to justify their Jurisdiction. Then a few years after that they have forgotten all about the Schechter Case as they no longer try to prove Interstate Commerce. No new Supreme Court ruling has changed the Schechter decision, in fact the U.S. Supreme Court quoted Schechter in the Alfonso Lopez decision, but they did not quote this part of the Schechter case and this part has not been overruled:

"Schechter Poultry 295 U.S. 543 (1935); Poultry handled by defendants at their slaughterhouse market was in a "current" or "flow" of interstate commerce and was thus subject to congressional regulation. The mere fact that there may be a constant flow of commodities into a State does not mean that the flow continues after the property has arrived . . . the flow in interstate commerce had ceased. The poultry had come to a permanent rest within the State and

was not held or sold by defendants in relation to any further transaction in interstate commerce and was not destined for transportation to other States."

Therefore Interstate Commerce starts when an object ships and ends when the object arrives, unless further intent to continue commerce is established. Other than commerce they have absolutely no Jurisdiction (in the Insular States), but the United States Constitution only gave them them power to make sure commerce was not impeded and was therefore free flowing. In Lopez the Court established that the gun in a school zone did not effect commerce (as they quoted Schecter). Now prosecutors wrongfully state that when a gun is manufactured in New York they now forever have Jurisdiction over the gun when it appears in any other State. In both the Schecter and Lopez, Supreme Court decisions both Courts show that this concept is incorrect as the Federal Government has no Jurisdiction after the gun reaches its final destination.

Before the Civil War the States were created as Republic States under Common Law. The 7th Amendment was Ratified as part of the Constitution of the United States Of America (before Incorporation). Then after the Civil War the United States was incorporated as a newly formed corporation called the "United States Constitution." The incorporators took the "Constitution Of the United States" and used it as the new Corporate bylaws for the "United States Constitution (Inc)." During this transition a few fraudulent changes were made. For example the real 13th Amendment did not allow Titles of Nobility to hold public office - this would have stopped any Titles of Nobility from holding public office - this would have stopped any and all Lawyers from holding public office or becoming Judges. Therefore there are two Federal Constitutions as the "Constitution of the United States" is the Federal Constitution before the Civil War and the "United States Constitution that was created as the Corporate bylaws after the Civil War. There really never was a separate and single "Constitution for the United States of America" as the territory of the United States of America consisted of all of the Insular State Constitutions.

Prior to the phony 14th Amendment Ratification scam, if a citizen in an Insular State tried to file a lawsuit under any one of the Federal Amendments the Supreme Court always threw the Case out as citizens only had the right to use their State Constitutions to address there civil right violations.

The States created before the Civil War were Republic States. After the Civil War the new States were created as Sub Corporation States under the parent Corporation (Loco Parentay (Latin) - see Church of Jesus Christ v. U.S.). The new Corporate States now use the original Common Law Constitution (with a few fraudulent modifications) as their Corporate Bylaws. Everything was Revised! Therefore States created before the Civil War have two State Constitutions and the states created after the Civil War now have one Statutized Corporate (Bylaws).

The Constitution of the United States was written to limit Federal power to only protecting everybody's right to conduct commerce freely. For example; if an object is shipped that is worth more than \$20.00 across a State Line and somebody objects, we now have a controversy that exceeds \$20.00 and the controversy is to be adjudicated in a Common Law Court - under the Constitutional right guaranteed by the 7th Amendment - which States in part as follows "in any controversy that exceeds \$20 the right of a Common Law shall be preserved." The question then becomes a "controversy" between who? The answer is that the Constitution was written only to limit the power of the Federal Government to protect our rights, therefore I would say it is safe to say that the 7th Amendment pertains to a dispute between a Citizen and the Federal Government (this would include IRS disputes)- as the Federal Government has no Common Law Venue - (as a Statutory Corporation residing in a

maritime Jurisdiction) they can not even start to enter into the \$20.00 controversy. Therefore they are violating their corporate charter (U.S. Constitution) as they sue and seize assets without due process in violation of their 7th Amendment. Therefore their behavior is a violation of both Federal Constitutions.

There is no misunderstanding, mistake or even confusion on the part of the Government Attorneys that write all of these laws that are then placed in front of the Congress to be voted on (and later some become Statute). There is no misunderstanding, mistake or confusion on the part of the Government Attorneys that write all of these Coded Regulations that never even go in front of Congress. The words and concepts are in fact put into Code (this is why it is called Code), a secret code that is easy to see and as plain as day if a person, individual or even a corporation just reads the real definitions and stops making false assumptions. The real definitions are not hard to find as they are plainly written to match reality or the real legal situation as it pertains to Venue, Jurisdiction and/or Identification. Some words are also not even defined to further confuse and get you to make false assumptions. To notice the secret code is like seeing the King that has no cloths as you can be mocked or crucified. The law and legal concepts are what they state they are and no amount of burying your head in the sand or sticking your fingers in your ears will change the truth as the truth should set you free.

They seem to have a complete system for Cradle to Grave to control rob and finance every aspect of our life without the Common Law.

This is just a note to make a couple of clarifications to the Deed Pole that I sent earlier.

1. Where it states Instrument Number on the heading, please move that all the way over to the left side of the page.
2. Fix the date and remove the j as the j is a typing mistake.
3. Above, where the Signature of Jesus Christ is placed you need to have two witnesses sign. In Common Law you have to have Witness, not a Notary Public. So, we need to put all of the Common Law section that is the Instrument number on either the First Page or fill up the first two pages with the Common Law Section; as the Last page needs to be the Signature page with the official and original Notary Stamp on it.

The Last Notary page can include some of the same language that was used in the first part of this. For example you could repeat the last two paragraphs starting at either Therefore, and this would be fine.

4. You need the bottom part of this Deed Poll to now state at the bottom the page numbers as Page One of Three and Two of Three and of course the page that is the Three of Three is the only original page.

Now you would take this Deed Poll to the County Recorders Office and just give it to them and pay the \$5.00 filing fee. They they charge you the Transfer Stamp Tax just pay it. Now you need to ask them for a Certified Copy and they will gladly make a copy and should charge you about \$3.00 for the Certified Copy.

5. Now you need to also add a statement, that: "this Deed Pole includes the Water rights as springs and under ground lakes and/or any and all Water that is under or flowing through said property.
6. Now you need to make a Copy of this certified document, that you will now mail to the BLM in order to fish for their response.
8. Mail a copy of the Certified Deed Pole along with a letter to the BLM and wait for their response. So, as you can see this could be kind of fun, as I assure you that the BLM will never respond to this Registered Letter. They do not want to ever address this issue. What I will predict will now happen, is what happened in the past, as they will just leave you alone for ever; or they might turn off your power. Power is the way they get even. They work with NV Power to do this.

This is a good way to win if you are grazing your cows. But if you want to build a building, this is still possible, but the problem will be, that no lender will want to give you a FRN loan. on this real title. So, we will really have to pay cash for any infrastructures that we want to build out of our pocket for now.

If I have more to add to the Deed Pole like a seventh item, I will email it to you in the next few days. I now just need to review what I have sent you and make sure that I have covered all of the Bases.

I think that we should right now get the legal descriptions on the whole 3,000 acres and an extra 1,000 acres for a millsite. I think that we should then add a statement to the Deed Pole that states: This Deed

Pole Claim was filed for the Common Law purpose of Mining, and on the extra 1,000 acres that is to be used for the Mill site, we can say this Deed Pole Mining Claim was filed for the Common Law purpose of being a Millsite, that will be used to process our Ore and to Make Mineral Solutions for Medline and Fertilizers. I think that we should place on the record what are intentions are. The reason for this is that under the Common Law, even after the Yates Family filed for their Cattle Ranch, a miner who found some valuable mineral, could have filed a Common Law Deed Poll for the purpose of mining. Note that this concept is called Multi Use in the Common Law. It means that many people can share the land and claim it for different purposes.

Your friend Dave

It is my understanding that in the original Common Law Venue, people used their Christian names to originally claim land using/via the Deed Pole Concept. Therefore, if this is true, I would propose that we file these Deed Poles in my name as I'm in prison and they can not do much more to me; or we could use any other person that wants to step forward for this job. I'm ok being the target in this matter. Then we need to Deed Pole all of these claims into a Common Law Trust within the next day. We should not delay at all.

Pat Shannon talks about using a Constitutional Trust in his book "Miracle in Atlanta". I don't know what the difference is between and Constitutional Trust and a Common Law Trust. Maybe they are the same. Maybe you could ask him about this as he said in the book that he had an expert somewhere out there who does trusts and specialized in trusts.

But for now I'm thinking that we might want to claim these Deed Poles in my name and then Quick Claim them to some kind of trust. The more chain of title we can put on the record in my opinion the better as it creates more controversy.

Let me know what your expert thinks about this.

Your friend Dave

It might also be possible to get some other groups interested in these Deed Poll Concepts. For example the FLDS group of Mormons live out in the Desert in isolated areas, as they are trying to stay out of the De facto Government. They would be very interested in all of my concepts. Remember, I was friends with a lot of them and have spoken to their group up near St George in the past; about the minerals and infectious disease. They used to buy my Copper and other mineral products. I know the Barlows very well for example. You might give Mr. Barlow a call and ask if he remembers me coming to speak and then tell him about my Deed Pole ideas.

Also, it would now be possible to have any and all people who bought their properties in the normal De facto Babylonian way go ahead and file a Deed Pole right on top of their current ownership. Now they can not be foreclosed on, do not have to pay their property taxes and can not longer be zoned in a way that is irritating to them. So, there are a lot of reasons to have a Deed Pole done on your property. Now we could have thousands of these De jure Islands spring up all over the place. But remember, we should go ahead and pay them their taxes and not start a fight for the time as we need to stay on high ground and all we have for money is their worthless butt wipe FRN's.

If you are going to bring the world out of the New World Order it will be done using God's Law and concept and lots of education. The key is Education.

In larger settlements that end up being populated, there will be no speed limit signs posted as only God is a Law Maker. No one will be prosecuted unless there is an injured party. Our Sheriff would enforce the Common Law and keep the Satanic Forces out of our De jure Territory.

So, as you can see we could really create a movement that springs up everywhere a little and a lot where there are these so called De facto, public lands, which are really De jure Un-appropriated Lands. Again we need to get people to gether to defend against the De facto and slowly move ourselves to the De jure. This is the start of a whole movement. Cliven can be the leader.

So, be thinking about some of the groups that might be interested in joining. It is my opinion that a lot of members of the LDS Church would join this movement. For example my friend Dale Hunt in Las Vegas, he would join. We just need a leader.

Anyway I will email you later.

Please add or change this statement in the middle of the Deed Pole.

"I have claimed the following real property, including but not limited to, the Mineral Rights, Water Rights, Air Space Above, and all other rights that can or are attached to these said same legal descriptions as follows: