FROM: 49619379

TO:

SUBJECT: letter to professors DATE: 03/17/2021 08:06:43 AM

My name is Amy Gonzalez. I am a 48 year old devoted and loving wife to my husband and soul mate, Juan, and mother of two amazing children, Christopher, 28 years old and Tatiana, 14 years old. My second passion after my family is taking care of my patients as a RN of 28 years. It was like a knife to my heart the day I received notice that because the prosecutors sent my indictment, pretrial, which had nothing to do with my profession, to the Texas Board of Nursing, my Nursing license was being revoked, especially since I didn't know that anything that I had done could ever have been possibly interpreted as criminal activity. One of my many goals once I am exonerated of these charges is to actively practice in my profession again by taking care of the COVID patients rather than watching them on the news.

My father, Thomas Matusiewicz, who suffered from a left frontal lobe meningioma (brain tumor), shot and killed my ex sister-in-law, Christine Belford, her friend, Laura Mulford, injured 2 Capitol Police Officers and then committed suicide at the Delaware Courthouse on 2/11/13. My brother, David Matusiewicz, mother, Lenore Matusiewicz, and myself were all charged, convicted and sentenced to LIFE in prison for this crime after taking our case to trial. We went through the direct appeal process, rehearing en banc, writ of certiorari to the Supreme Court, all of which were denied. My mother passed away at FMC Carswell 3 months after her "emergency sentencing" at her hospital bedside ONLY 12 days after she had brain tumor surgery. This made her the first person sentenced to LIFE IN PRISON to the already precedential/landmark case in this nation. My brother, David and I have 2255/ineffective assistance of counsel motions currently in the courts. Just one of our very significant issues is that a brain tumor expert/neuropsychologist was never called to testify as an expert witness regarding my father's left frontal lobe meningioma for the jury to hear which would have established the fact that he acted alone (reasonable doubt).

I put a video that was legally obtained by a Private Investigator hired by David's lawyer during the child custody proceedings back in 2006 on You Tube in 2011 to show my ex sister-in -law dragging my Autistic niece by the arm across the gravel road 7 times. I also took a polygraph that my mother and I both took and passed on the Internet asking for help for these children since we believe they were being physically and sexually abused by their mother. These were all public forums via the Internet. The only things I ever sent directly to my nieces were greeting cards of love and affection and a Rosary.

My mother, brother and I have been convicted for exercising our Freedom of Speech, which many Americans practice on a daily basis. The prosecutors have made this a precedential/landmark case in order to convict more people using this cyber stalking statute. My goal is to change this policy, using this precedential case to reverse this conviction so Free Speech is protected once again especially when you post your voice on the Internet in an "unthreatening" manner. See Professor Eugene Volokh's One to One vs. One to Many Speech AND Speech Integral to Criminal Conduct: Exception (cites our case).

I am reaching out to find an expert/professor in this field to see if I have any blind spots in helping to prove my innocence. I need an expert opinion as I fight this case if you can answer some questions, I would greatly appreciate it.

- 1. Could you help and or recommend a specialized cyber stalking attorney for a 2255 evidentiary hearing that would be willing to assist us pro bono?
- 2. I've noticed the statute has been amended several times, approximately every 6 years. What was the original landscape of the statute at the time of my conviction? I was arrested on 8/8/13 and convicted on 7/10/15....there were never any threats made.
- 3. Would you be willing to look at the prosecutors argument and support dissent with current specialized thinking? Is this defamation of character as per the prosecutors and does it warrant a life sentence? How do you support that is is not defamation?
- 4. What did Congress intend in authoring the Cyber Stalking law...There is always a transcript (Federal register?) wherein Congress discusses what they want to accomplish by bringing this cyber law into existence.
- 5. Do you have any take on our father's brain tumor (left frontal lobe meningioma) and why it was not explored further by those defending us to establish reasonable doubt to prove that he acted independently?

Thank you so much for your time and attention in this matter.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Respectfully,

11.2 11.