

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2 FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII

3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CR 17-00101 LEK
 4))
 Plaintiff,) Honolulu, Hawaii
 5) March 3, 2020
 vs.)
 6) JURY DELIBERATION - DAY 2;
 (1) ANTHONY T. WILLIAMS,) JURY QUESTION;
 7) JURY VERDICT
 Defendant.)
 8)

9
 10 TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS
 BEFORE THE HONORABLE LESLIE E. KOBAYASHI
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

11 APPEARANCES:

12 For the Government: KENNETH M. SORENSON, AUSA
 13 GREGG PARIS YATES, AUSA
 Office of the United States Attorney
 14 300 Ala Moana Boulevard, Suite 6100
 Honolulu, Hawaii 96850

15 Also Present: MEGAN CRAWLEY, FBI Special Agent

16 For the Defendant (1) ANTHONY T. WILLIAMS, *Pro Se*
 17 Anthony T. Williams: 05963-122
 Federal Detention Center Honolulu
 18 Inmate Mail/Parcels
 P.O. Box 30080
 19 Honolulu, Hawaii 96820

20 Standby Counsel: LARS ROBERT ISAACSON, ESQ.
 1100 Alakea Street, 20th Floor
 21 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

22 Official Court Reporter: Debra Read, RDR
 United States District Court
 23 300 Ala Moana Boulevard
 Honolulu, Hawaii 96850

24 Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript
 25 produced with computer-aided transcription (CAT).

1 TUESDAY, MARCH 3, 2020 11:18 A.M.

2 (Open court out of the presence of the jury.)

3 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: This is Criminal Case No.

4 CR 17-00101 LEK, United States of America versus Anthony T.
5 Williams.

6 Counsel, please make your appearances for the record.

7 MR. SORENSON: Your Honor, good morning.

8 Assistant U.S. Attorneys Ken Sorenson and Gregg Yates here
9 for the United States. We have FBI Special Agent Megan Crawley
10 with us.

11 THE COURT: All right. Good morning to all of you.
12 Mr. Williams.

13 THE DEFENDANT: Good morning.

14 Private attorney general Anthony Williams appearing sui
15 juris.

16 THE COURT: All right. Good morning.

17 MR. ISAACSON: Lars Isaacson as standby counsel.

18 THE COURT: Good morning as well.

19 All right. So you all should have been provided with a
20 copy of juror question No. 1 which states as follows: "Your
21 Honor, could we please have the transcript of the trial? Thank
22 you."

23 The government has proposed a suggested response. The
24 court has proposed a response.

25 I'll turn first to the court's proposed response and let

1 me go through the reasons for the same.

2 In taking a look at the case law, specifically *United*
3 *States of America v. William De Palma*, which appears at 414
4 F.2d 394, a Ninth Circuit case decided in 1969, the court in
5 addressing the Failure to Grant Request of the Jury to Re-read
6 Certain Evidence, the court stated, "The reading of certain
7 witnesses' testimony after the jury retires places emphasis on
8 it which might well result in the jury's failure to consider
9 the evidence as a whole. This is particularly true when the
10 defendant's evidence is diametrically opposed to that of the
11 prosecution, or vice versa. Perhaps if any evidence is read,
12 all should be read. Any trial could thus be almost endless."

13 So I think that indicates the Ninth Circuit's position
14 that providing readback of certain testimony given at the trial
15 may impermissibly emphasize some witnesses' testimony over
16 others. Also well taken is the whole thing of giving them the
17 entire transcript or reading it back.

18 The court is concerned about providing the transcript
19 of -- any transcript or portion thereof of the trial because it
20 could be that the jury only reads certain parts of it and
21 therefore emphasizes or concentrates on only a certain part.

22 The court also consulted *United States of America v.*
23 *Montgomery*, which appears at 150 F.3d 983 which is a 1998 Ninth
24 Circuit case. And there the court did give certain transcripts
25 to the jury in the jury room, but gave a supplemental jury

1 instruction which the court indicated was not abuse of
2 discretion to provide those transcripts. But the supplemental
3 jury instructions stated, "I want you to bear in mind that the
4 testimony at trial is the evidence, not the transcripts. The
5 transcript is not authoritative. If you remember something
6 different from what appears in the transcript, your collective
7 recollection is controlling. In other words, the transcripts
8 may not serve as a substitute for the collective memories of
9 the jury or take the place of the assessment of the credibility
10 of witnesses subject to the usual rules. Finally, as the court
11 has previously instructed you, you must weigh all the evidence
12 in the case and not focus on any one portion of the trial."

13 So that's the reason for the court's proposed instruction.

14 The government has suggested a response, and everyone
15 should have a copy of this: "As you have been instructed, the
16 evidence you are to consider is comprised of the sworn
17 testimony and the exhibits admitted at trial. A transcript of
18 testimony is never provided to the jury. You must rely upon
19 your recollection of the testimony. You may also rely upon the
20 collective recollection of your fellow jurors to assist you in
21 resolving factual questions. The Court has permitted you to
22 bring your notebooks into the deliberation room. You may
23 refresh your recollection with your notes, but you must always
24 rely upon your recollection and the collective recollection of
25 your fellow jurors in reaching your verdict."

1 So my concern with what the government has suggested is
2 with particularity the line, "a transcript of testimony is
3 never provided to the jury." I don't think that's supported by
4 case law. I think it's not an abuse of a discretion,
5 apparently in the *Montgomery* case, if we did so. So that would
6 be my concern --

7 MR. SORENSON: Yeah.

8 THE COURT: -- in giving that part of the
9 instruction.

10 But I think for all intents and purposes, our suggested
11 responses are very similar about encouraging them to rely on
12 their recollection of the testimony and instructing them that a
13 transcript is not controlling, but it's their recollection.

14 All right. So I'll hear from Mr. Williams.

15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. I think the jury needs to have
16 the transcript as it was just -- you read the case law, that if
17 they need it, they should have all of it instead of just
18 portions. I think they should have it. I think they are owed
19 to have that transcript because of course, obviously, there's
20 some things in there that they want to make sure that they have
21 the right recollection, or maybe there's a few people that have
22 a different take on what is actually said and I think that
23 transcript would basically clear that up.

24 And I think since they're not asking for portions of it,
25 they're actually asking for the whole trial transcript, I think

1 they should have that --

2 THE COURT: All right.

3 THE DEFENDANT: -- based on the case law that you
4 just read.

5 THE COURT: Okay. I think the case law that I just
6 read sort of indicated they shouldn't get the entire transcript
7 because it could be endless and it would have to be reread for
8 them as opposed to give them the transcript, because we don't
9 know if they just concentrate on certain parts.

10 So, Mr. Sorenson, the government's position?

11 MR. SORENSON: Well, Your Honor, I'm in accord with
12 your instruction. As far as mine goes, the second sentence, my
13 understanding is -- at least my understanding has always been
14 the jury never gets the transcript, but it does look like
15 there's been a case, at least one, where a court did give a
16 portion of one.

17 I mean, if the Court preferred the government's, we would
18 just say strike that second sentence. But we're fine with
19 either one, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: All right. So over the objection of
21 Mr. Williams then, the court is going to provide the response
22 as set forth in the court's proposed response and that's based
23 on the *Montgomery* case that I just cited as well as the *De*
24 *Palma*.

25 Should they request specific witnesses, then we can

1 revisit the issue with regard to a readback of a witness's
2 testimony.

3 Yes.

4 MR. ISAACSON: May I make an inquiry?

5 Your Honor, the only thing about yours is it says, "The
6 entire transcript cannot be read back to you." I don't -- the
7 only thing that they might be -- 'cause they're asking for it
8 to be given the transcript, not to be read back. I don't know
9 if that would be -- they may be confused a little bit by that
10 answer.

11 THE COURT: Well, yeah. I'm -- I don't want them to
12 think that they can request a part of it because I would never
13 give them -- quite frankly, as a judge, I would not give them a
14 transcript of a witness because I don't know what they're
15 looking at, and they're probably -- not probably -- there's a
16 high likelihood or a risk that they would only look at certain
17 parts of the transcript. I don't know that they're looking at
18 all of it 'cause when you heard the witness, you heard the
19 witness's entire testimony.

20 So if I did permit it, it would be a readback of a
21 specific witness and they'd read back the entirety of that
22 testimony 'cause then you don't place any specific emphasis on
23 just, you know, one part of Witness X's testimony.

24 But you're right, I didn't say, you know -- I specifically
25 meant readback and I want them to know that -- or send them the

1 message that they'd have to come back into court and I'm going
2 to have to read back -- or one of you is going to have to read
3 back the transcript.

4 MR. SORENSON: Well, Your Honor, that might be at
5 least a concern because we don't want to get another question.

6 Perhaps if we amend it to say, "The entire trial
7 transcript cannot be provided to you, or read back to you."

8 THE COURT: I'm fine with that.

9 MR. SORENSON: Yeah.

10 THE COURT: I'm fine with that. We can do that.
11 That's what we'll give them.

12 MR. SORENSON: Yeah.

13 THE COURT: Okay. Very good. Then we will let
14 you -- yes, you have a question, Mr. Williams?

15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. I think we should -- need to
16 inquire more on the reason why --

17 THE COURT: We can't. We can't communicate with the
18 jury. We can only respond to their questions. I can't ask
19 them, "Why do you want it? What are you discussing?" I'm not
20 permitted to do that.

21 THE DEFENDANT: 'Cause I think they should have it
22 if they --

23 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Your objection is
24 noted. Thank you very much.

25 You're remanded back to the custody of the U. S. Marshal

1 Services and we are in recess. We will of course advise you if
2 we have any other communications. Good day.

3 (A recess was taken at 11:27 A.M. and the jury.
4 continued its deliberations till 3:02 P.M.)

5 (Open court out of the presence of the jury.)

6 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: This is Criminal Case
7 CR 17-00101 LEK, United States of America versus Anthony T.
8 Williams.

9 Counsel, please make your appearances for the record.

10 MR. SORENSON: Good afternoon, Your Honor.

11 Assistant U.S. Attorneys Ken Sorenson and Gregg --

12 MR. YATES: Yates.

13 THE COURT: His name is Gregg Yates, yeah.

14 MR. SORENSON: -- Gregg Yates here for the United
15 States. And FBI Special Agents Megan Crawley and Heather
16 Cowell's with us.

17 THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon to all of
18 you.

19 Mr. Williams and Mr. Isaacson?

20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Afternoon.

21 Private attorney general Anthony Williams appearing sui
22 juris.

23 MR. ISAACSON: Lars Isaacson, standby counsel.

24 THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon to you both.

25 If nothing needs to be addressed, I'm going to have

1 Ms. Elkington get the jury to return the verdict.

2 Thank you. We're in recess.

3 (A recess was taken.)

4 (Open court in the presence of the jury.)

5 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: This is Criminal Number
6 CR 17-00101 LEK, United States of America versus Anthony T.
7 Williams.

8 Counsel, please make your appearances for the record.

9 MR. SORENSON: Good morning, Your Honor.

10 Assistant U.S. Attorneys Ken Sorenson and Gregg Yates here
11 for the United States. We have Megan Crawley and Heather
12 Cowell with us.

13 THE COURT: Good afternoon to all of you.

14 Mr. Williams.

15 THE DEFENDANT WILLIAMS: Good afternoon.

16 Private attorney general Anthony Williams appearing sui
17 juris.

18 THE COURT: Mr. Isaacson.

19 MR. ISAACSON: Lars Isaacson, standby counsel.

20 THE COURT: Good afternoon.

21 And good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen of the jury. We
22 are here for the return of the verdict form. It's my
23 understanding that the jury has reached a verdict in this
24 matter. And will the foreperson of the jury please rise and
25 state her name for the record.

1 THE FOREPERSON: Diana Reynolds.

2 THE COURT: Has the jury reached a verdict in this
3 case?

4 THE FOREPERSON: We have, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: Is the verdict form signed and dated and
6 all required answers given?

7 THE FOREPERSON: Yes, ma'am.

8 THE COURT: If you would kindly give the verdict to
9 the courtroom manager.

10 Court is opening the sealed envelope to make sure that the
11 verdict form is in proper form.

12 The court notes that each and every one of the questions
13 have been answered by the jury. It is dated and signed by the
14 foreperson and therefore I'm going to hand it to the courtroom
15 manager who will read the verdict out loud. And you may be
16 seated. Thank you.

17 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: In the United States of
18 America versus Anthony T. Williams, CR 17-00101 LEK, We, the
19 jury, answer the questions submitted to all of us as follows:

20 As to Count 1, wire fraud, as to the offense of wire fraud
21 as charged in Count 1 of the Superseding Indictment, We, the
22 jury, find the defendant, Anthony T. Williams, guilty.

23 As to Count 2, as to the offense of No. 2, as to the
24 offense of wire fraud as charged in Count 2 of the Superseding
25 Indictment, We, the jury, find the defendant, Anthony T.

1 Williams, guilty.

2 As to the -- No. 3, as to the offense of wire fraud,
3 charged in Count 3 of the Superseding Indictment, We, the jury,
4 find the defendant, Anthony T. Williams, guilty.

5 No. 4, as to the offense of wire fraud as charged in
6 Count 4 of the Superseding Indictment, We, the jury, find the
7 defendant, Anthony T. Williams, guilty.

8 No. 5, as to the offense of wire fraud as charged in
9 Count 5 of the Superseding Indictment, We, the jury, find the
10 defendant, Anthony T. Williams, guilty.

11 No. 6, as to the offense of wire fraud as charged in
12 Count 6 of the Superseding Indictment, We, the jury, find the
13 defendant, Anthony T. Williams, guilty.

14 No. 7, as to the offense of wire fraud as charged in
15 Count 7 of the Superseding Indictment, We, the jury, find the
16 defendant, Anthony T. Williams, guilty.

17 No. 8, as to the offense of wire fraud as charged in
18 Count 8 of the Superseding Indictment, We, the jury, find the
19 defendant, Anthony T. Williams, guilty.

20 No. 9, as to the offense of wire fraud as charged in
21 Count 9 of the Superseding Indictment, We, the jury, find the
22 defendant, Anthony T. Williams, guilty.

23 No. 10, as to the offense of wire fraud as charged in
24 Count 10 of the Superseding Indictment, We, the jury, find the
25 defendant, Anthony T. Williams, guilty.

1 No. 11, as to the offense of wire fraud as charged in
2 Count 11 of the Superseding Indictment, We, the jury, find the
3 Defendant, Anthony T. Williams, guilty.

4 No. 12, as to the offense of wire fraud as charged in
5 Count 12 of the Superseding Indictment, We, the jury, find the
6 defendant, Anthony T. Williams, guilty.

7 13, as to the offense of wire fraud as charged in Count 13
8 of the Superseding Indictment, We, the jury, find the
9 defendant, Anthony T. Williams, guilty.

10 14, as to the offense of wire fraud as charged in Count 14
11 of the Superseding Indictment, We, the jury, find the
12 defendant, Anthony T. Williams, guilty.

13 15, as to the offense of wire fraud as charged in Count 15
14 of the Superseding Indictment, We, the jury, find the
15 defendant, Anthony T. Williams, guilty.

16 As to Count 16, as to the offense of mail fraud as charged
17 in Count 16 of the Superseding Indictment, We, the jury, find
18 the defendant, Anthony T. Williams, guilty.

19 17, as to the offense of mail fraud as charged in Count 17
20 of the Superseding Indictment, We, the jury, find the
21 defendant, Anthony T. Williams, guilty.

22 18, as to the offense of mail fraud as charged in Count 18
23 of the Superseding Indictment, We, the jury, find the
24 defendant, Anthony T. Williams, guilty.

25 19, as to the offense of mail fraud as charged in Count 19

1 of the Superseding Indictment, We, the jury, find the
2 defendant, Anthony T. Williams, guilty.

3 20, as to the offense of mail fraud as charged in Count 20
4 of the Superseding Indictment, We, the jury, find the
5 defendant, Anthony T. Williams, guilty.

6 21, as to the offense of mail fraud as charged in Count 21
7 of the Superseding Indictment, We, the jury, find the
8 defendant, Anthony T. Williams, guilty.

9 22, as to the offense of mail fraud as charged in Count 22
10 of the Superseding Indictment, We, the jury, find the
11 defendant, Anthony T. Williams, guilty.

12 23, as to the offense of mail fraud as charged in Count 23
13 of the Superseding Indictment, We, the jury, find the
14 defendant, Anthony T. Williams, guilty.

15 24, as to the offense of mail fraud as charged in Count 24
16 of the Superseding Indictment, We, the jury, find the
17 defendant, Anthony T. Williams, guilty.

18 25, as to the offense of mail fraud as count -- as charged
19 in Count 25 of the Superseding Indictment, We, the jury, find
20 the defendant, Anthony T. Williams, guilty.

21 26, as to the offense of mail fraud as charged in Count 26
22 of the Superseding Indictment, We, the jury, find the
23 defendant, Anthony T. Williams, guilty.

24 27, as to the offense of mail fraud as charged in Count 27
25 of the Superseding Indictment, We, the jury, find the

1 defendant, Anthony T. Williams, guilty.

2 28, as to the offense of mail fraud as charged in Count 28
3 of the Superseding Indictment, We, the jury, find the
4 defendant, Anthony T. Williams, guilty.

5 29, as to the offense of mail fraud as charged in Count 29
6 of the Superseding Indictment, We, the jury, find the
7 defendant, Anthony T. Williams, guilty.

8 30, as to the offense of mail fraud as charged in Count 30
9 of the Superseding Indictment, We, the jury, find the
10 defendant, Anthony T. Williams, guilty.

11 31, as to the offense of mail fraud as charged in Count 31
12 of the Superseding Indictment, We, the jury, find the
13 defendant, Anthony T. Williams, guilty.

14 32, as to the offense of mail fraud as charged in Count 32
15 of the Superseding Indictment, We, the jury, find the
16 defendant, Anthony T. Williams, guilty.

17 Your Honor, it is dated Honolulu, Hawaii, March 3rd, 2020,
18 and signed by the foreperson.

19 THE COURT: Thank you. So ladies and gentlemen,
20 before I excuse you, the parties do have a right to ask for a
21 jury poll to make sure that each of you agree with the verdict
22 that was just read.

23 Do the parties request that the judge -- that the court
24 poll the jury?

25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

1 THE COURT: Agreed, Mr. Sorenson?

2 MR. SORENSON: We agree, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: All right. So, Ms. Elkington, do we
4 have a microphone for the --

5 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: Mics, Your Honor, on both
6 sides.

7 THE COURT: Okay. We'll start with Juror No. 1, and
8 Ms. Elkington will ask you if agree with the verdict that was
9 just read.

10 THE JUROR NO. 1: I do.

11 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: Juror No. 1, is the verdict
12 so read your verdict?

13 THE JUROR NO. 1: Yes.

14 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: Juror No. 2, is the verdict
15 so read your verdict?

16 THE JUROR NO. 2: Yes.

17 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: Juror No. 3, is the verdict
18 so read your verdict?

19 THE JUROR NO. 3: Yes.

20 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: Juror No. 4, is the verdict
21 so read your verdict?

22 THE JUROR NO. 4: Yes.

23 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: Juror No. 5, is the verdict
24 so read your verdict?

25 THE JUROR NO. 5: Yes.

1 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: Juror No. 6, is the verdict
2 so read your verdict?

3 THE JUROR NO. 6: Yes.

4 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: Juror No. 7, is the verdict
5 so read your verdict?

6 THE JUROR NO. 7: Yes.

7 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: Juror No. 8, is the verdict
8 so read your verdict?

9 THE JUROR NO. 8: Yes. Yes.

10 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: Juror No. 9, is the verdict
11 so read your verdict?

12 THE JUROR NO. 9: Yes. Yes.

13 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: Juror No. 10, is the verdict
14 so read your verdict?

15 THE JUROR NO. 10: Yes. Yes.

16 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: Juror No. 11, is the verdict
17 so read your verdict?

18 THE JUROR NO. 11: Yes.

19 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: And Juror No. 12, is the
20 verdict so read your verdict?

21 THE JUROR NO. 12: Yes.

22 THE COURT: The verdict is, therefore, received and
23 will be made part of the record.

24 At this time, ladies and gentlemen, I thank you for your
25 kind attention and your service to this court, to your country.

1 You are now discharged from your jury duty.

2 My law clerk will take you into my chambers and I'm happy
3 to answer any questions you have about the legal process or our
4 courtroom procedures.

5 Please rise for the jury as they are excused.

6 (Open court out of the presence of the jury.)

7 THE COURT: You may be seated. All right. So the
8 jury verdict has been received. It will be filed in this
9 matter.

10 Ms. Elkington, if you would set a sentencing date and
11 time.

12 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: Your Honor, I can set
13 sentencing for June 24th at 3:15.

14 THE COURT: All right. So June 24, 2020, at that
15 time will be the sentencing hearing.

16 Mr. Williams, do you have any motions or anything you'd
17 like to raise at this time?

18 THE DEFENDANT: Well, I'ma file my judgment of
19 acquittal within 14 days. I also will be filing my appeal from
20 this unjust conviction.

21 I would like for the sentencing to be sooner so I can go
22 ahead and get my appeal in.

23 THE COURT: Yeah. So with the sentencing, our
24 probation needs a certain number -- amount of time in order to
25 prepare a Presentence Investigation and Report. You'll be

1 given a copy of the report. It'll have information about your
2 social history, your criminal history, about the facts of the
3 35 counts, as well as a recommendation as to how your
4 sentencing guidelines should be calculated.

5 Then you'll be given a draft of that report and will have
6 an opportunity to file any objections, comments, or changes
7 that you propose to the content of the report.

8 Mr. Sorenson and Mr. Yates will also have that opportunity
9 to make any objections.

10 And then it'll be put in final with a response to any
11 objections by probation, and then I will resolve the objections
12 at the hearing. And that's why it's set so far down the road
13 because probation needs that time to do so.

14 So then it's 14 days after the judgment of your conviction
15 is entered that is the deadline for you to file notice of
16 appeal, both as to the trial as well as your sentencing.

17 So I can't move it up is the short response to that long
18 one.

19 THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

20 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Sorenson, Mr. Yates, anything
21 raised for the court?

22 MR. SORENSON: Not at this time. We, of course,
23 understand that Mr. Williams will remain in custody, Your
24 Honor. He is currently in state custody. I don't know when
25 that's going to run. It's probably still a while. So if the

1 issue of detention does come up because of something in Florida
2 or something in him being eligible up there, then we'll come
3 back to the Court.

4 THE COURT: All right. But I understand your
5 position at this point is you're asking the court now that the
6 jury has returned a verdict of guilty, that you're asking me to
7 detain him pending sentencing?

8 MR. SORENSON: Yes, Your Honor. He's in detention
9 anyway, but we do ask for that as well.

10 THE COURT: All right. So the court's minutes will
11 reflect that the court orders him detained pending sentencing
12 based on the jury verdict of today.

13 So that order should cover should there be anything that
14 happens on his state conviction, if he should be released from
15 detention on the state conviction. I think our minutes will
16 indicate the order is he's being detained pending sentencing
17 given the jury verdict.

18 MR. SORENSON: Thank you, Your Honor.

19 THE COURT: All right. If nothing further, then,
20 Mr. Williams, I remand you back to the custody of the U.S.
21 Marshal Services, and I wish you a very good day.

22 THE DEFENDANT: One more thing. Because I expect
23 the Florida conviction to be overturned, that was one of the
24 things that they tried to use, so I wanted to put that on the
25 record that that will also be in my appeal.

1 THE COURT: All right. Very good. So noted.

2 All right. I wish everyone a good day and we're in
3 recess.

4 MR. SORENSON: Thank you.

5 (Proceedings concluded at 3:21 P.M.)

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, DEBRA READ, Official Court Reporter, United States District Court, District of Hawaii, do hereby certify that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §753 the foregoing is a complete, true, and correct transcript of the stenographically reported proceedings held in the above-entitled matter and that the transcript page format is in conformance with the regulations of the Judicial Conference of the United States.

DATED at Honolulu, Hawaii, April 13, 2020.

/s/ Debra Read
DEBRA READ, CSR CRR RMR RDR