

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2 FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII

3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CR 17-00101 LEK
 4))
 Plaintiff,) Honolulu, Hawaii
 5) February 24, 2020
 vs.)
 6) JURY TRIAL - DAY 12
 (1) ANTHONY T. WILLIAMS,)
 7))
 Defendant.)
 8)
 _____)

9 TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS
 10 BEFORE THE HONORABLE LESLIE E. KOBAYASHI
 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

12 APPEARANCES:

12 For the Government: KENNETH M. SORENSON, AUSA
 13 GREGG PARIS YATES, AUSA
 14 Office of the United States Attorney
 300 Ala Moana Boulevard, Suite 6100
 Honolulu, Hawaii 96850

15 Also Present: MEGAN CRAWLEY, FBI Special Agent

16 For the Defendant (1) ANTHONY T. WILLIAMS, *Pro Se*
 17 Anthony T. Williams: 05963-122
 Federal Detention Center Honolulu
 18 Inmate Mail/Parcels
 P.O. Box 30080
 19 Honolulu, Hawaii 96820

20 Standby Counsel: LARS ROBERT ISAACSON, ESQ.
 1100 Alakea Street, 20th Floor
 21 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

22 Official Court Reporter: Debra Read, RDR
 United States District Court
 23 300 Ala Moana Boulevard
 Honolulu, Hawaii 96850

24 Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript
 25 produced with computer-aided transcription (CAT).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

I N D E X

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF WITNESSES

<u>DEFENDANT'S WITNESS</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
----------------------------	-------------

ANTHONY WILLIAMS Direct Examination By Mr. Isaacson	23
---	----

I N D E X

E X H I B I T S

<u>NO.</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
------------	-------------

808	96
2057-21-29	111
2077-29-30	118
2079-1	160
2080	146
2081-1-2	121
2081-3 -4	125
2083-3-4	130
2086-1-6	127
2093-25-62	139
2099-24-25	133
2113	46
2114-9-10	94
2127	40
2128	114
2129	116
2130	60
2131	62
2164-12-21	74
2172	54
2184	175
2198	167

1 MONDAY, FEBRUARY 24, 2020 8:52 A.M.

2 (Open court out of the presence of the jury.)

3 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: Criminal No. 17-00101 LEK,
4 United States of America versus Anthony T. Williams.

5 This case has been called for further jury trial, day 12.

6 Counsel, please make your appearances for the record.

7 MR. SORENSON: Good morning, Your Honor.

8 Assistant U.S. Attorneys Ken Sorenson and Gregg Yates
9 here for the United States. We have FBI Special Agent Megan
10 Crawley with us.

11 THE COURT: All right. Good morning to all of you.
12 Mr. Williams.

13 THE DEFENDANT: Good morning.

14 Private attorney general Anthony Williams appearing sui
15 juris.

16 MR. ISAACSON: Good morning, Your Honor.

17 Lars Isaacson with Ms. Beecher.

18 THE COURT: All right. Good morning to all of you.

19 All right. So everyone can be seated except for
20 Mr. Williams. I'm going to be asking you questions regarding
21 your right to testify or remain silent and not testify.

22 The record will reflect that the jury is not present.

23 So, Mr. Williams, do you understand that you have a
24 constitutional right pursuant to the Sixth Amendment to testify
25 or remain silent and not testify in your defense?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

2 THE COURT: Did you have an opportunity to research
3 for yourself your right to testify and to discuss this right,
4 if you wish, with your standby counsel, Lars Isaacson?

5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have.

6 THE COURT: And what is your decision today as to
7 whether or not you wish to testify in your defense?

8 THE DEFENDANT: I wish to testify.

9 THE COURT: Did anyone threaten you or force you to
10 make this decision?

11 THE DEFENDANT: Well, I mean, these false charges
12 making me have to do this to defend myself.

13 THE COURT: Right.

14 THE DEFENDANT: Or I wouldn't have to testify.

15 THE COURT: Okay. So but no one else forced you or
16 threatened you that you should testify as opposed to remain
17 silent and not testify?

18 THE DEFENDANT: No, ma'am. No.

19 THE COURT: Do you feel well and alert enough to
20 make this decision?

21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

22 THE COURT: Do you feel that you had adequate time
23 to research this decision and to discuss it with Mr. Isaacson?

24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have.

25 THE COURT: And, Mr. Isaacson, did you have adequate

1 time to discuss with Mr. Williams his right to testify or
2 remain silent and not testify?

3 MR. ISAACSON: Well, Mr. Williams made his own
4 decisions in this case. I have certainly let him know my
5 thoughts as to the possible dangers in testifying, but he is, I
6 think, of clear mind and knows what he wants to do.

7 THE COURT: All right. But you had an opportunity
8 to meet with him?

9 MR. ISAACSON: Yes.

10 THE COURT: You weren't precluded from spending time
11 and answering his questions?

12 MR. ISAACSON: We met for a good long period on
13 Saturday and we have met numerous times before.

14 THE COURT: All right. And, Mr. Williams, you
15 understand if you take the stand and testify on your own
16 behalf, that the government will have an opportunity to
17 cross-examine you and to ask you questions?

18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, and I look forward to it.

19 THE COURT: All right. And in asking -- or taking
20 the stand, one of the things that -- areas that they may cover
21 with you would be your conviction in the state of Florida and
22 the circumstances surrounding those criminal charges.

23 You understand this?

24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do understand.

25 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Sorenson or Mr. Yates,

1 is there anything else that you feel that the court should
2 cover with Mr. Williams?

3 MR. SORENSON: No, Your Honor. We think you've
4 covered it quite adequately.

5 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

6 Mr. Williams, do you have any questions for the court
7 regarding exercising your right to testify?

8 THE DEFENDANT: No, I do not.

9 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. You may be
10 seated.

11 All right. So I've asked that the jurors report today at
12 9:30 to the jury pool because I didn't know how long this
13 procedure would take. So we'll stand in recess until then.

14 But I did want to cover two things. One is the process by
15 which the -- Mr. Williams's testimony will be taken.

16 Mr. Williams, it's my understanding -- or why don't you
17 tell me how you prefer to handle it, if you want to do a
18 narrative or you prefer to have questions asked of you by
19 Mr. Isaacson and you respond?

20 THE DEFENDANT: Really a combination of both 'cause
21 with him questioning me, it's going to take me to actually
22 explain a lot of things that -- you know, the process, how
23 my -- you know, why I came up with the mortgage process, why
24 documents I had to file, you know, things like that.

25 So the answer that he will ask me would be more than just

1 a yes or no question.

2 THE COURT: Yes. So it's going to be direct, so it
3 is open-ended.

4 THE DEFENDANT: Right.

5 THE COURT: What I meant by a narrative is is that
6 if it's just you talking to the jury, and saying that, I had
7 placed a time limit on that. But if it's going to be in
8 response to questions, then I'm not going to place a time
9 limit --

10 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, it's going to be in response
11 to the questions 'cause we talked about this.

12 THE COURT: So understandably I know you're going to
13 need to explain your process.

14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

15 THE COURT: So yes, I agree with you that's not
16 merely a yes or no. If it's unduly long, you may incur a
17 objection that it's narrative, and if so, I'll rule on the
18 objection at that time.

19 But certainly it'll be a answer-and-question format. All
20 right. Fair enough. Okay.

21 MR. SORENSON: Your Honor?

22 THE COURT: Yes.

23 MR. SORENSON: Just so we're clear, obviously our
24 narrative objections may be more limited here because it does
25 appear there'll be some narrative. But if there is going to be

1 a question-and-answer format, then those narrative objections
2 may come --

3 THE COURT: Yes.

4 MR. SORENSON: -- because I suspect we'll get off
5 track and it could be a clumsy process. But if we think it's
6 going adrift, we will object. But, I mean --

7 THE COURT: Right, I agree with you. I mean, I'm
8 not -- I think in a question-and-answer format there should not
9 be a 10-minute answer. You know, it can be elicited by, "What
10 did you do next?" or, "Why did you do that?" you know, an
11 explanation. It needs to be broken up. It can't just be tell
12 us your name and how did you have this process, and for the
13 next half hour, you know, we hear from Mr. Williams. It's not
14 a lecture, but question and answer.

15 MR. SORENSON: Right.

16 THE COURT: "Tell me about the process in terms of
17 the UCC filing statement," then he can give a long answer with
18 regard to that. But it can't be everything in the world with
19 regard to that.

20 So I think you guys have it worked out. If you have a
21 problem --

22 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, it's not going to be like
23 that. We have it so he ask me direct questions and I answer.
24 It's not going to be 30 minutes explanation.

25 I mean, it's a process, but he have -- we have the

1 question to ask, you know, for me to answer those questions.

2 THE COURT: Okay. Good.

3 MR. SORENSON: And if we hear hearsay or whatever
4 objections --

5 THE COURT: Yeah, of course, like any other witness.

6 MR. SORENSON: -- we'll try to jump in. Thank you,
7 Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: All right. Very good. And then is it
9 your intent, Mr. Williams, then once you complete your
10 testimony and a cross-examination, redirect, then you'll be
11 resting?

12 THE DEFENDANT: Right, and then I'll be reissuing my
13 judgment of acquittal.

14 THE COURT: Right. And then we'll hear other
15 motions with regard to that.

16 And then the government then will make its case for why it
17 should put on a rebuttal, and I think based on what you said,
18 I'd permit it in those limited areas.

19 MR. SORENSON: Yes, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: All right. And then we're still
21 intending to close on Wednesday because I'd like Tuesday to
22 make sure we have all of the exhibits in order because there
23 were pages that came in of certain things, so I don't want
24 there to be any question that the correct exhibits went back
25 with the jury.

1 MR. SORENSON: Yes, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: All right.

3 MR. SORENSON: And we'll have -- I think we'll have
4 a PowerPoint as part of our closing presentation, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: Okay.

6 MR. ISAACSON: Your Honor, may I inquire?

7 THE COURT: Yes.

8 MR. ISAACSON: Your Honor, as you may recall, there
9 are a few videos, three videos in fact, that Mr. Williams would
10 lay a foundation to. If you'd like us to now -- we've provided
11 the videos to the government -- perhaps we could lay that now
12 outside of the jury. We could play it. I think you've already
13 tentatively said as long as he could lay the foundation.

14 You want to do it now, Judge, or wait till --

15 THE COURT: No. He can wait till the examination.

16 MR. SORENSON: There are only two videos.

17 MR. ISAACSON: Three.

18 THE COURT: You guys need to in the half hour before
19 we bring the jury in discuss that. And then have you worked
20 out the technology on how it's going to be -- it'll be shown on
21 their iPads and so forth?

22 MR. ISAACSON: Ms. Beecher assured me it's going to
23 be smooth as silk, going to work absolutely perfectly. She has
24 completely assured me she will do so.

25 THE COURT: Well, she's terrific, so I have no doubt

1 that she will work that well.

2 All right. Anything else that we need to take up before
3 we go on recess?

4 MR. ISAACSON: I would, Judge -- I know that you've
5 ruled about the 609 and the other evidence. When it comes up
6 on cross, are you going to have a sidebar to discuss at least a
7 limiting instruction on that or -- I know there's --

8 THE COURT: You talking about the Florida
9 conviction?

10 MR. ISAACSON: Florida conviction, the idea that the
11 tax stuff may come in, it's all intertwined. I mean, there's a
12 number of issues. I don't know if you're going to --

13 THE COURT: So I'll let you put your
14 objection -- Mr. Williams can put his objections on the record
15 now and then it'll be preserved for -- for his examination.

16 MR. ISAACSON: May I speak with him for a moment?

17 THE COURT: Yeah, sure.

18 MR. ISAACSON: All right. Mr. Williams asked me to
19 make these objections if I may, Judge. Just one moment.

20 THE COURT: Yes, why don't you do that. And then
21 when it comes up, if it comes up during the cross, you can say,
22 "Objection on the grounds previously raised," and I'll say,
23 "Overruled on the grounds I previously ruled on."

24 MR. SORENSON: And also, Your Honor, my
25 understanding is is if we go into the unauthorized practice of

1 law conviction in Florida, we're doing that for impeachment
2 purposes.

3 THE COURT: Correct.

4 MR. SORENSON: However, the conviction for grand
5 theft for us is a substantive argument. It's already in
6 evidence.

7 THE COURT: Correct.

8 MR. SORENSON: So we'll inquire on that, but that
9 should not be subject to a limiting instruction.

10 And also the Hawaii injunction, Your Honor, we think
11 that's going to be -- that should be evidence, substantive
12 evidence.

13 THE COURT: Right, 'cause that happened in Hawaii.

14 MR. SORENSON: Yes, Your Honor.

15 THE COURT: Right.

16 MR. SORENSON: Relates directly.

17 MR. ISAACSON: Your Honor, if I may, I think I'm
18 ready.

19 Your Honor, with regard to the 60- -- so there are three
20 different issues. Mr. Williams testifies, it's 609. In terms
21 of the forms of the convictions, I'm going from my memory a
22 little bit, but I do recall that they really do not give
23 much -- any factual layout of what the underlying offenses
24 were.

25 Clearly there's a grand theft charge. And I think these

1 all occur -- first argument is going to be they're all after.
2 They're all after this -- the time alleged in the indictment,
3 they're all after. So I don't think it'd be relevant to show
4 his intent for this crime.

5 Secondly, I don't believe that the convictions that have
6 been presented to me give adequate detail as to what actually
7 occurred. There was more of a conviction. I think
8 there's -- one doesn't even have a copy of the indictment. So,
9 I don't think they can just be idea, Oh, you were convicted of
10 grand theft of a house, necessarily translate to what he's
11 charged with today, wire fraud with regard to a fraudulent
12 scheme. So I would say to that there's a problem with that
13 one.

14 In regard to the unauthorized practice of law, again that
15 sounds like it's relevant, but we don't have any of underlying
16 facts to show it's exactly what's going on here and again it is
17 subsequent.

18 So I think the 609, the actual conviction documents, do
19 not give enough detail for you to be able to ascertain whether
20 or not they show dishonesty or the purpose under 609.

21 In regard to the integration with the underlying offense,
22 in looking at the *Sayakhom* case, 186 F.3d 928, cited by the
23 government, certainly if they're intertwined, but it does cite
24 a case, the *Ripinsky* case, "uncharged crimes were direct
25 evidence of the ongoing conspiracy charged in the indictment."

1 I would say that the -- the crimes that they're alleging,
2 the Florida crimes, are not direct evidence of this conspiracy.
3 They are, again, afterwards and not the same in time, and
4 again, they're not exactly the same in terms of what he's
5 charged with today.

6 Finally, Judge, I guess in regard to this -- the tax
7 documents, Judge, I think -- one more second on that, if I
8 could?

9 THE COURT: Yes.

10 MR. ISAACSON: Sorry, Judge. One more, sorry. One
11 more second, Judge.

12 I think, Judge, in my read of the tax cases has more to do
13 with whether or not the tax fraud was involved in the exact
14 crime that we have here. I would suggest, Judge, that the
15 tax -- what it is is are you sheltering the proceeds. If you
16 are somehow taking the proceeds from a fraudulent -- you know,
17 a gigantic fraud and you're not reporting your tax because you
18 don't want anybody to know about it, I would suggest that
19 factual relationship has not been done in this case.

20 The documents that I think we've seen indicate there the
21 tax returns were not filed for a period of time, not
22 specifically about trying to hide the proceeds from this fraud.

23 So I would suggest, Judge, based on those bases under 609
24 and the extrinsic evidence and the tax documents, those should
25 not be admitted for those reasons.

1 In regard to the rebuttal evidence of the injunction done
2 in that case, again, I think you would have to show certainly
3 he was served by it -- I mean, I don't know if they're going to
4 present evidence of that -- that he had notice of, he was
5 served by it for it to have any relevance at all.

6 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.

7 Mr. Sorenson, do you wish to --

8 MR. SORENSON: All I want to say is that
9 Exhibit 822, which the defense has, which is the grand theft
10 conviction out of Florida, along with a conviction for unlawful
11 filing of false documents or records against property, there is
12 the indictment on the back if the Court is concerned about the
13 nature of the charges there. They are pretty much the same as
14 what happened here, as you might expect, that he engaged in the
15 course of business relating to the negotiations of mortgage
16 transactions with an individual by the name of William Hatchett
17 without a current mortgage broker's license issued by the state
18 of Florida.

19 So the same underlying conduct that we have here is what
20 we have in Florida, and I think that was the testimony we had
21 from Special Agent Lavelle.

22 We also have this unlawful filing of false documents that
23 we may inquire into as well, Your Honor. So --

24 MR. ISAACSON: May I --

25 THE COURT: Yes.

1 MR. ISAACSON: One moment, Your Honor. May I?

2 THE COURT: Uh-huh.

3 THE DEFENDANT: Also, I'd like to state for the
4 record the unlicensed broker's charge was actually dropped and
5 dismissed.

6 MR. ISAACSON: Sorry, Your Honor, I didn't want to
7 make a factual misstatement. I was looking at -- well, look,
8 Judge. Look what happened here. I apologize to Mr. Sorenson
9 for my misstatement.

10 MR. SORENSON: No problem, Lars. Maybe you should
11 let Mr. Williams handle this.

12 THE COURT: Mr. Sorenson, Mr. Williams had indicated
13 that the unlicensed broker charge was dismissed.

14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

15 MR. SORENSON: Unlicensed broker -- you mean in
16 Florida?

17 THE COURT: Yes, in Florida. That's -- I think you
18 were talking to Mr. Isaacson, so you may have missed that.

19 MR. SORENSON: Yeah. Unlicensed practice of law was
20 the violation that he was convicted of. I think five counts,
21 right? He's talking about unlicensed broker which may be
22 something different.

23 THE COURT: I don't know. You had mentioned there
24 was -- that you may inquire on the --

25 MR. SORENSON: Oh the unlawful filing of false

1 documents or records against property.

2 THE COURT: Okay. I'm sorry. So Mr. Williams was
3 saying I guess there was another charge or something that --

4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Count 2, unlicensed mortgage
5 broker, that was dismissed. I was not charged with that. That
6 was dropped and dismissed. It's on the indictment, but it was
7 dropped. It was dismissed.

8 THE COURT: So if that's the case, you're not going
9 to inquire about that.

10 MR. SORENSON: Right. Yeah, let me just clarify
11 that because I think we have maybe different information here.
12 He was convicted of Counts 1 through 3. Counts 2 and 3 were
13 the unlawful filing of false documents, Your Honor, and I
14 can -- I can give the Court this also.

15 THE COURT: No. I just wanted to make sure you were
16 aware of what Mr. Williams --

17 MR. SORENSON: No, no, I understand. It does appear
18 he was convicted of both of those as well --

19 THE COURT: Okay.

20 MR. SORENSON: -- according to our documentation.

21 MR. ISAACSON: Your Honor?

22 THE COURT: Go ahead.

23 MR. ISAACSON: Your Honor, I want to actually back
24 up. We don't, I think, have a copy of the indictment on the
25 grand theft. We have a copy of the arrest warrant and I think

1 I'm correct. That's what I was --

2 MR. SORENSON: Yeah, it's the warrant to arrest. It
3 just has the counts though, Your Honor, that he was charged
4 with, Counts 1, 2, and 3.

5 MR. ISAACSON: And I know you don't -- one more
6 thing. So the Count 1 document, *Williams, et al.*, 075059, it
7 merely states this in regard to the grand theft, just says,
8 "Whereas, John Calabro this day has made an oath that on the
9 17th day of March 2015 through on or about the 19th day of
10 September 2016, one Anthony Troy Williams did there -- then and
11 there did unlawfully obtain -- unlawfully and knowingly obtain,
12 endeavor to obtain the property of Bank of America NA, to wit,
13 the value of \$100,000 or more with the -- with intent to
14 permanently deprive Bank of America to the right of the
15 property" --

16 THE COURT: Slow down, slow down.

17 MR. ISAACSON: -- "or a benefit therefrom, or to
18 appropriate the property to his own use or the use of a person
19 not entitled to the use of the property -- of the property,
20 contrary to Section 812.014(2)(a) subsection 1 of the Florida
21 statutes."

22 I think that's all we have and I don't think that's enough
23 to tie it to the conduct in this particular case.

24 THE COURT: All right. So having taken into account
25 arguments of counsel, over the objection of the defense, I'm

1 going to allow that evidence to be presented in the rebuttal
2 case and also you're going to cross-examine him --

3 MR. SORENSON: Yes, Your Honor.

4 THE COURT: -- and his testimony.

5 It is relevant with regard to the conduct in this case.
6 It's called a different, you know, type of offense. It has a
7 different statute and so forth, but what the court's taking a
8 look at is the essence of what was involved in terms of real
9 estate ownership, mortgages, and so forth.

10 There is substantial similarity that's sufficient to be
11 relevant with regard to the issues in this case. What
12 Mr. Williams is charged in this case is an offense that
13 requires a state of mind that is much more than negligence. It
14 shows a knowing sense of action, and therefore, the court finds
15 that it is relevant with regard to the issues in this case,
16 both the Florida felony convictions as well as the unlawful
17 practice of law.

18 All right. So at the time that it comes up in the
19 questioning, you can raise an objection and you can just say,
20 "Based on what I raised previously," and I will overrule it
21 based on this ruling.

22 MR. ISAACSON: And for the record, couple more.
23 Judge, under 403 balancing, this will be extremely prejudicial.
24 Basically it's the same things, state charges. I think the
25 jury could really just say, Oh, he must be guilty of this event

1 because of that.

2 And secondly, Your Honor, I think I must at least submit
3 Mr. Williams did try to bring in witnesses from across the
4 country and was prohibited from doing so. Now it seems unfair
5 for the Court to say, "Oh, this can come in," and yet the
6 witnesses from Florida and other places were struck -- stricken
7 by this Court.

8 THE COURT: All right. So you're right, under a 403
9 balancing it does have a prejudicial effect with regard to
10 Mr. Williams. You've asked for a jury instruction with regard
11 to that which we are going to give should he testify, and he's
12 indicated his intent is he's going to testify.

13 With regard to the witnesses from the other jurisdiction,
14 though, that was to prove that this system actually works in
15 those jurisdictions. But the commonality with Hawaii in terms
16 of Bureau of Conveyances and those issues was never shown that
17 there's any kind of similarity, that there's -- that there's a
18 relevance with regard to Hawaii recordation and the
19 responsibilities with regard to that.

20 So the court's prior ruling stands with regard to that.

21 All right. Anything else that we need to take up before
22 we recess and bring in --

23 MR. SORENSON: Not from us, Your Honor.

24 THE COURT: Thank you.

25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, one more thing.

1 THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Williams.

2 THE DEFENDANT: I got a letter on my *Batson*
3 objection, and in that letter from the Court it stated that I
4 agreed to the jury, which I did not agree to this jury. I did
5 not agree to this jury. I had --

6 THE COURT: In the entering order with regard to
7 that? I'll go take a look at that.

8 THE DEFENDANT: I just had to accept it.

9 THE COURT: Did you -- right. You put your
10 objection on the record, so --

11 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, but the way it's written like
12 I objected to the *Batson* but agreed to this jury, and I didn't
13 agree to this jury.

14 THE COURT: Okay. I'll go take a look at that. I
15 agree that you objected and you did not consent to the jury.
16 And our -- was that an entering order or it was the minutes?
17 We'll go take a look at that and then I'll get back to you with
18 regard to that.

19 Okay. Just remind everybody that the witness exclusion
20 rule is still in effect, so there shouldn't be any witnesses in
21 the courtroom when you testify -- any of the witnesses that
22 previously testified --

23 MR. ISAACSON: Oh.

24 THE COURT: -- including Ms. Thompson[sic], yeah.

25 All right. We're in recess.

1 (A recess was taken.)

2 (Open court in the presence of the jury.)

3 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: Criminal No. 17-00101 LEK,
4 United States of America versus Anthony T. Williams.

5 The matter is set for further jury trial, day 12.

6 Counsel, please make your appearances for the record.

7 MR. SORENSON: Good morning, Your Honor.

8 Assistant U.S. Attorneys Ken Sorenson and Gregg Yates here
9 for the United States. We have FBI Special Agent Megan Crawley
10 with us.

11 THE COURT: All right. Good morning to all of you.
12 Mr. Williams.

13 THE DEFENDANT: Good morning.

14 Private attorney general Anthony Williams appearing sui
15 juris.

16 THE COURT: Mr. Isaacson.

17 MR. ISAACSON: Good morning, Your Honor.

18 Lars Isaacson with Ms. Beecher present at counsel table.

19 THE COURT: All right. Good morning to all of you.
20 And good morning, ladies and gentlemen of the jury. Welcome
21 back. Today the defense is going to call their last witness.

22 And your last witness, Mr. Williams?

23 THE DEFENDANT: It would be myself.

24 THE COURT: All right. Please take the stand and be
25 sworn in.

1 Mr. Isaacson, I believe you'll be asking questions of
2 Mr. Williams.

3 ANTHONY WILLIAMS, THE DEFENDANT HEREIN, WAS SWORN

4 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: Thank you. Please be
5 seated.

6 You can state your name and spell your last name for the
7 record.

8 THE WITNESS: Anthony Williams, W-i-l-l-i-a-m-s.

9 THE COURT: All right. Your witness, Mr. Isaacson.

10 MR. ISAACSON: Thank you, Your Honor.

11 DIRECT EXAMINATION

12 BY MR. ISAACSON:

13 Q Good morning. I'm Lars Isaacson, standby counsel.

14 Mr. Williams, where were you born?

15 A Pineville, Louisiana.

16 Q Okay. When were you born?

17 A August 9th, 1971.

18 Q All right. Tell us a little about your upbringing
19 and your parents and your family.

20 A My mother's a missionary in the Church of God in
21 Christ. My dad's also a minister in the Church of God in
22 Christ, so I was raised Pentecostal Holiness.

23 Q Okay. Were you ever -- did you serve in the armed
24 forces?

25 A Yes, I did, in the U.S. Army. I worked under

1 Colonel Heinz, Colonel Delk, and Colonel Barone.

2 Q Did you receive a discharge of any kind?

3 A Yes, honorable discharge.

4 Q Okay. When did that occur?

5 A 1994.

6 Q Now, were you ever married?

7 A Yes, I was.

8 Q And do you have children?

9 A Yes. I have four children.

10 Q Can you tell us about your children?

11 A My eldest son, Marcellus, he's 27, he has his own
12 studio. My daughter Yakel, she has her own nail shop. My son
13 Antonio, he's actually in the military. He's in the Army
14 stationed at Fort Benning, Georgia. And my youngest son is 17,
15 he's still in high school.

16 Q Very good. You all from the south?

17 A Yes, sir.

18 Q Now, your family going back a long ways down there?

19 A Yes, sir.

20 Q All right. We talk a little bit alike. I know it.
21 So after you left the military, what year did you
22 get your discharge you said?

23 A 1994.

24 Q What did you after you left the military?

25 A I sold life insurance for Ohio State Life Insurance

1 Company.

2 Q And how long did you do that?

3 A Approximately seven years.

4 Q Okay. So let me go a little bit -- you talked about
5 your mother being a missionary. Do you yourself -- are you a
6 man of faith, would you say?

7 A Yes, sir, I'm a minister. I been a minister since
8 1994.

9 Q Okay. What religion are you?

10 A It's nondenominational. It's not a religion because
11 the Bible don't teach denomination. The Bible teaches one
12 faith in our Creator and our Savior and denominations basically
13 divide people.

14 Q There's been discussion in this case about Hebrew
15 names. Does that fit into your religion in some way?

16 A Yes, it does.

17 Q How does it do that?

18 A Because the Bible -- all the Hebrew -- all the
19 profits were Hebrews, so the premise of the Bible is the Hebrew
20 people being delivered from bondage out of Egypt, and that's
21 where the premise of our faith is is in the Hebrew.

22 Q Now, in the submitted documents in this case,
23 there's Hebrew names I guess you have used. Can you explain
24 why you use those names?

25 A Well, as a tenet of my faith, those names have a

1 meaning. With Yosepha Hezekyah, we use the true name for God
2 which is Yahweh. That's the reason why you say hallelujah
3 because hallelujah means praise Yahweh.

4 Q Okay. And these -- on some of the correspondence
5 we've seen in a letterhead there was your -- I guess Anthony T.
6 Williams, then your other name. Why would you put those on
7 your letterhead?

8 A To express that my faith, my name, my Hebrew name.
9 I wanted people to also know my Hebrew name as well as my birth
10 name.

11 Q All right. So at some point did you have -- become
12 interested in mortgages?

13 A Yes. I had some family members and friends that
14 were basically kicked out on the streets and I didn't
15 understand why they didn't have a reprieve in the courtroom. I
16 knew a little bit about the Constitution. The Seventh
17 Amendment says you have to have a trial by jury before you can
18 be deprived of your property and that didn't happen, I mean,
19 they was just kicking people out. People didn't have no
20 justice in the court. That's when I started doing research on
21 my own.

22 Q Let me ask you now. Where was this all occurring?

23 A That was in Louisiana, Georgia, and Tennessee.

24 Q Okay. So were you living in Georgia at the time?

25 A Yeah, I was living in Georgia.

1 Q Were there people in Georgia that this kind of came
2 about?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Did you do -- did you try to educate yourself in any
5 way about this issue?

6 A Very extensively. I would stay up sometimes 3:00 or
7 4:00 in the morning researching foreclosure laws, the state
8 laws, federal law to kind of help people to have some type of
9 remedy, some type of relief.

10 Q Now, I know you're not an expert in this, you know,
11 but in terms of what you yourself learned about this, what did
12 you learn about mortgages that caused your attention?

13 A The first thing that I learned is that when a
14 homeowner so-called purchases a home, whoever's at the closing,
15 they give you a stack of papers and they basically just tell
16 you, Sign here, Initial here, Sign here. They never explain
17 the contents of the mortgage.

18 One of the things they don't explain is that when
19 you sign the note, that note is actually a promissory note.
20 It's actually a negotiable instrument.

21 Q Let me stop you there. Now, you used words there
22 like negotiable instrument. What does that mean to you,
23 Mr. Williams?

24 A Negotiable instrument, it's considered money. If
25 you read the Uniform Commercial Code, negotiable instrument is

1 money. So you can use a Federal Reserve note, check, money
2 order, traveller's checks. All those are negotiable
3 instruments.

4 Q Now, how does that fit into the mortgage concerns
5 you might have had about mortgages?

6 A Well, when the homeowner would sign the note, what
7 the bank would do, they would -- they would stamp it paid to
8 the order of themselves. And once they did that, that actually
9 created the funds to finance the loan. The bank never loaned
10 any homeowners any of their money.

11 Q Okay. Let me -- so you had a negotiable instrument
12 was created; is that correct?

13 A That's correct.

14 Q Now, how do you get to that to -- did I hear you
15 right that the banks don't actually lend the money?

16 A Correct.

17 Q Is that --

18 A That's correct.

19 Q How does that work?

20 A What I did, I actually sent it's called a RESPA,
21 Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act qualified written request
22 to the bank to have them validate the debt under the Fair Debt
23 Collection Practices Act.

24 Q Why is that important?

25 A That's important because it's under federal law if

1 you disputing a debt, then whoever's asserting that you owe
2 them, then they have to validate that debt within 30 days.

3 Q And what if they don't do that, from your
4 understanding?

5 A Well, according to the FDCPA 1692, if they don't
6 validate it, then the debt is no longer valid.

7 Q Okay. So let's go on now. That's something you
8 learned in your research?

9 A Yes, sir.

10 Q Is that all about negotiable instruments you learned
11 in regard to mortgage or is there more?

12 A That's part of it. There's more.

13 Q What else did you learn, sir, about that?

14 A That the actual mortgage, by law a mortgage cannot
15 be done longer than five years. Most of the residential
16 mortgage are done for 30. There is a provision in the National
17 Banking Act that allows a bank to give you a mortgage for
18 10 years, but there have to be some type of extenuating
19 circumstances for them to extend it for 10 years.

20 Q Okay. In terms of -- you said something about banks
21 not laying out money. Does that have anything to do with
22 mortgage insurance?

23 A Yes.

24 Q How does that relate?

25 A Well, what the banks do in every mortgage, you will

1 find it's either No. 10 or a No. M. -- if any of you all have a
2 mortgage, when you go home, you can look at that M or No. 10,
3 and it's going to say mortgage insurance. And what the
4 mortgage insurance does is it basically covers the loan. If
5 you default, if the borrower defaults, then the bank gets the
6 insurance for the amount of the loan that they say they loaned
7 the homeowner.

8 Q Well, Mr. Williams, now, you're aware of foreclosure
9 actions of course, right?

10 A Correct.

11 Q And in those actions, don't the banks say, Hey,
12 we're owed money somehow?

13 A That's correct.

14 Q Do you take issue with that?

15 A Yes, I do.

16 Q And why do you take issue with that?

17 A Because I send them multiple letters asking them to
18 validate the debt. One of the things I actually do is say,
19 well, if you loan my client this money, all I'm asking to see
20 is the debit from the day that you said you loaned this money.
21 It should show a debit from your bank account that you debited
22 \$500,000 to pay for this home for my client. And to date in
23 18 years not one bank has ever validated it.

24 Q Let me see if I understand, Mr. Williams. So, you
25 know, you buy a house, a check -- you know, there is money

1 that's transferred so, you know, the previous owner gets paid
2 off, but how then -- are you saying the bank doesn't get a
3 debit?

4 A No, sir, they do not.

5 Q How does it not -- maybe explain that a little bit
6 more.

7 A If you remember the CPB representative that
8 testified, and I questioned him about, "Did you ever see the
9 check that was cut for the amount of the loan?"

10 He said, "No."

11 I said, "Did you ever see the bank statement where
12 there was a debit from your bank account?"

13 He said, "No," because it doesn't exist.

14 What they did is took the note that the homeowner
15 signed and they actually credited that \$500,000 to the bank's
16 ledger instead of debiting \$500,000.

17 Q And why is that important?

18 A Because it's fraud. Because they actually making
19 the homeowner think that they loaned them money out of their
20 bank and that they took a loss, and they never did.

21 Q If the homeowner -- now, what is your understanding
22 about if the homeowner defaults? Is the mortgage insurance
23 paid at that point or --

24 A Yes, it actually pays the bank the amount of
25 whatever they said they loaned the homeowner.

1 Q All right. Let me move on to
2 assignments -- fraudulent assignments. Are you familiar with
3 that term?

4 A Yes, I am, very.

5 Q What does that mean?

6 A Uhm, 60 Minutes did a show, extend about -- a 2-week
7 show on this where they had what they call foreclosure mills or
8 the document signing companies. And what they would do, say
9 like if I got hired, I would have to sign assignments, at least
10 400 a day in order to meet my quota. So even though my name is
11 Anthony Williams, I would be signing somebody else's name who
12 was supposed to be like a vice president of a company.

13 Q So let me back up. So is this what people have
14 referred to as robo-signing?

15 A That's correct, that's robo-signing.

16 Q What exactly is robo-signing?

17 A What they doing instead of having the actual
18 executive or a person that actually work for the bank that has
19 a lawful authority to sign a note, they would hire a company
20 just to hire lay people, just regular people, and they would
21 sign these people's names on these documents, at least 400 a
22 day to meet their quota, and they was using these documents to
23 actually foreclose on homeowner's homes.

24 Q Well, I mean, Mr. Williams, you know, what would be
25 the problem with that? Why is that so wrong?

1 A Well, because you don't have authority to sign
2 somebody else's name on a document that you are not a employee
3 of that company, neither do you have any authorization to sign
4 as if you are that vice president or president of that company.

5 Q Now, does this -- we've heard the expression MERS a
6 little bit. Do you know what the word MERS means?

7 A Yes. MERS means Mortgage Electronic Registration
8 System.

9 Q Does that have anything to do with this robo-signing
10 what you've already talked about?

11 A Yes, it does. Actually MERS --

12 Q How does it fit?

13 A MERS -- what they did, MERS was a company that was
14 formed so the mortgage company could bypass the actual
15 recording of the documents in the county where they wouldn't
16 have to pay the actual filing fee. So what they did, they made
17 a system called the MERS system so what they could do, they
18 could put the assignments of the mortgage through the system
19 without having to go through the Bureau of Conveyances or
20 through County Clerk's office.

21 Q Seems like you're talking about assigning -- there's
22 a problem where they're assigning this stuff.

23 A Yes.

24 Q So normal person, you go down, you get a mortgage
25 from the mortgage company, you sign something, and you now owe

1 a mortgage and the mortgage company -- you're talking about
2 assigning it to somebody else?

3 A Right.

4 Q Is that the problem you're saying?

5 A Right. That's the problem because when you assign
6 it, whoever you assigning it to, they have to actually own the
7 original mortgage that you signed and the actual original note.
8 But they never do. They never have the original mortgage, they
9 don't have the original note. And so the assignment is
10 fraudulent because whoever they're assigning to, in order for
11 them to collect on that debt, they would actually have to have
12 the actual documents in their possession and they never do.

13 Q Okay. And the robo, you talked about that, that you
14 can't have people signing other people's names.

15 A That's correct.

16 Q And the MERS, is that the same thing or is that a
17 little bit different?

18 A Well, MERS is actually part of the robo-signing.
19 Because what they do, MERS, they actually had these document
20 companies where they would assign these mortgages, and then
21 what they would do, they would assign it to another company.
22 That's why if any of the jurors have a mortgage, they'll
23 probably notice that within four or five years they get a
24 letter say, Hey, we're American Service Company; we're taking
25 over your mortgage, so now you send your mortgage payment to

1 us. And you'll see that about every four or five years you'll
2 change services. And the reason why they do that is to try to
3 circumvent the law because the law states a mortgage cannot be
4 longer than five years. But they circumvent that by doing
5 that, passing it around.

6 Q Let me move on to something else now. Are you
7 familiar with the gold standard and the United States going
8 bankrupt? You heard about those type of things?

9 A Yes.

10 Q Is that related to what you do or your understanding
11 in regard to the mortgage things that you do?

12 A Yes.

13 Q How does that work?

14 A Well, in 1933, the United States went completely
15 bankrupt. And they can actually research this. It was
16 March 5th, 1933, where our President Franklin Delano Roosevelt
17 made it actually illegal for you to have gold or silver. So
18 what they did, Congress had to write a bill, it's called House
19 Joint Resolution 182, to give the American people actually a
20 remedy because they took our ability to pay our debts because
21 in the Constitution under Article I, Section 8 through 10 says
22 that only gold or silver shall be used in the payment of debt.
23 So we can't have gold and silver now, so they had to give us a
24 way to actually pay our debts.

25 Q All right. And so how does that -- how does that

1 fit into your -- the concept that a mortgage may or may not
2 be -- to what you do in regard to the mortgage debt?

3 A Well, in order for a bank to actually loan you any
4 lawful money, according to the Constitution, they would have to
5 have loaned you gold or silver and they don't do that. What
6 they do, they use the promissory note to actually finance the
7 loan to create the funds by your signature to finance it.

8 Q Now, you talked about -- tell me about the right to
9 jury trial. Does that fit into your thoughts and your research
10 as to mortgages?

11 A Yes. Every American citizen has a right to a trial
12 by jury. You look up the Seventh Amendment. The Seventh
13 Amendment is very specific. It says that in suits at common
14 law, right to a trial by jury shall be preserved if the
15 controversy exceeds \$20.

16 Now, we know in America every home is more than \$20,
17 so therefore, you cannot be deprived unless you have a trial by
18 jury.

19 Q What about the Hawaii Constitution? Is there a
20 similar provision there?

21 A Yes. The provision in the Hawaii Constitution is
22 Hawaii, Section 1 -- Article I, Section 13.

23 Q Now what does that say, as you recall?

24 A The exact same thing, that the only difference in
25 Hawaii it says if it's over 5,000, if it exceeds \$5,000, then

1 you have a right to a trial by jury.

2 Q Now, taking all these different things together,
3 would you use these things in what you did?

4 A Yes.

5 Q So, Mr. Williams, let's talk a little bit about what
6 you used this. I mean, you talked about in Georgia you started
7 to get interested in trying to help people?

8 A Yes.

9 Q When you say help people, you know, Mr. Williams,
10 there are lawyers out there, you know. Why couldn't somebody
11 just go to a lawyer instead of going to see you?

12 A Well, anybody that paid an attorney at law, they
13 spent at least 25- to \$30,000. They end up losing anyway and
14 getting kicked out on the streets.

15 Q Okay. Now, let's back -- let's go a little bit
16 toward -- is it -- sorry.

17 You have called yourself a private attorney general.

18 A Yes.

19 Q Where did that come from?

20 A That's actually was codified by Congress. The first
21 mention of it was *Associated Industries v. Ickes* in 1943 where
22 the judge in that case said that average citizens can be
23 private attorney generals to basically protect the interests of
24 the public when the attorney general is either overwhelmed or
25 not doing their job.

1 Q Well, seems like there's been some statements by the
2 government witnesses in this case how kind of evil thing this
3 might be to call yourself that. Do you consider it evil what
4 you were doing?

5 A No. I mean, I actually -- if you pull up the
6 exhibits -- it's 2127 --

7 Q Would you like me to pull up --

8 A Yes, pull that exhibit up.

9 Q All right. One moment.

10 One moment, Your Honor. Hang on a sec.

11 Can you see the screen, Mr. Williams?

12 A Yes, I can.

13 Q Is that what you're talking about?

14 A Yes, sir.

15 Q Okay. All right. Well, okay. Mr. Williams, let me
16 just ask you -- so let me get back to the question for a sec.

17 So private attorney general means --

18 A Just an average citizen who sees that there's a need
19 that's in the best interest of the public and they litigate on
20 behalf of the public to protect the rights of the public.

21 Q Okay. And you believe you'd like the jury to see
22 2127 in support of your case?

23 A Yes.

24 MR. ISAACSON: Your Honor, I guess we'd move the
25 admission of 2127.

1 THE COURT: Mr. Sorenson?

2 MR. SORENSON: Your Honor, we're just seeing this
3 document now.

4 THE DEFENDANT: It's actually one of you all
5 exhibits too.

6 THE COURT: Wait. I'm sorry. You can't -- you're
7 one of the witnesses.

8 MR. SORENSON: Can I have just a moment? Can I have
9 just a moment to look at it?

10 THE COURT: Please.

11 MR. SORENSON: Your Honor, I guess we object. It
12 seems to be an incomplete document. It looks like it's a
13 state -- Supreme Court of Florida judgment of some form. It
14 really just appears to completely lack relevance.

15 Your Honor, does the Court have it in front of --

16 THE COURT: I have it on the screen in front of me,
17 yes.

18 MR. SORENSON: Okay. We object, Your Honor. It
19 isn't tied in to any relevance. It's apparently a document
20 that opines that the court lacks jurisdiction to review a
21 decision of the court of appeals, but we don't know what that
22 court of appeals decision was. So it's just 403, certainly,
23 Your Honor.

24 THE COURT: All right. Over the objection of the
25 government, it's received.

1 (Exhibit 2127 received into evidence.)

2 THE COURT: Do you wish to publish?

3 THE WITNESS: Yes.

4 MR. ISAACSON: Could we publish, please?

5 THE COURT: Yes, you may.

6 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Mr. Williams, you have indicated
7 this is relevant to the issue of private attorney general?

8 A Yes, it is.

9 Q Can you please explain to the jury why Exhibit 2127
10 is relevant to that?

11 A This is a letter from the Supreme Court of Florida
12 addressed to me, and they address me as Private Attorney
13 General Anthony Williams.

14 Q Oh, at the top, sorry. I got it. Okay. So that
15 is -- it refers to the State of Florida -- or the Supreme Court
16 of Florida sent you that letter?

17 A Yes.

18 Q Okay. But let's talk a little bit about the
19 underpinnings of it a little bit. So when did you first start
20 thinking or referring to yourself as a private attorney
21 general?

22 A Probably about 2011.

23 Q Okay. And where were you living at that time?

24 A At that time I was actually in the state of
25 Tennessee.

1 Q Okay. And what did you -- what did it mean to you?
2 Was it just you'd call yourself this, or did you feel there was
3 something that you had to do to become a private attorney
4 general?

5 A Well, it's -- I mean, it's not no special sort of
6 certification that's given to someone. But Congress gave all
7 American citizens, like every -- all the jurors can be private
8 attorney generals. If you -- you see something that's wrong in
9 the system and you're trying to correct it on behalf of the
10 people, then Congress has said then you are a private attorney
11 general.

12 Q Okay. In terms -- so you started in Tennessee kind
13 of where you started this. Did you do something with the
14 secretary of state there at all?

15 A Yes, I did.

16 Q What did you do that?

17 A I filed a oath of office for the private attorney
18 general so I could at least have it certified that, you know,
19 the status is codified by Congress and also the U.S. Supreme
20 Court. So I wanted to make sure that people knew that this
21 wasn't just something I made up out of thin air and just
22 conferred on myself, that this is actually a congressional act
23 and U.S. Supreme Court ruling.

24 MR. ISAACSON: May I approach, Your Honor, with the
25 exhibits over here, the badge?

1 THE COURT: Approach to whom?

2 MR. ISAACSON: Mr. Williams to show it to him.

3 THE COURT: No. You can give it to the courtroom
4 manager.

5 MR. ISAACSON: Oh, I'm sorry.

6 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Now, Mr. Williams, you have in
7 front of you -- is it 500, the badge?

8 A Yes.

9 Q What is -- what is Exhibit 500? It's already been
10 admitted into evidence.

11 A Exhibit 500 is my sovereign peace officer badge. I
12 actually went to the Davidson County sheriff's office after I
13 researched the law that citizens can actually make citizen
14 arrest. If we see whether it's a police officer, judge,
15 anyone, you can actually make a citizen's arrest. I researched
16 the law.

17 So what I did, I went down to the Davidson's County
18 sheriffs and I asked them -- I said, "Well, I researched the
19 law where me as a citizen can actually arrest people, you know,
20 if they broke the law, including public servants." And I said,
21 "Well, I'm here to get my badge and gun from you office to
22 certify me."

23 And so I gave them my oath of office. I gave them
24 my certification apostille from secretary of state and they
25 called the sergeant -- the captain to talk to me.

1 So he took me in the back and asked me why I wanted
2 to do this. I said, well, I wanted to make sure that I'm
3 holding public servants and public officials accountable.

4 He said --

5 MR. SORENSON: Objection to the hearsay.

6 THE COURT: All right. Overruled. All right. It's
7 foundational.

8 Okay. Ask another question.

9 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) So the badge itself, let me be
10 very clear, is that badge something that you based it on your
11 research? What is it based upon, your belief that you can have
12 that badge?

13 A Well, it's not only based on my research, it's based
14 on my conversation when I actually went to the Davidson County
15 sheriffs office.

16 If you bring up Exhibit 2113, this is the sovereign
17 peace officer oath that I actually had to file.

18 MR. ISAACSON: Okay. Well, one moment, Your Honor.
19 Oh, sorry. I'm sorry. 2113?

20 THE WITNESS: Yes.

21 MR. ISAACSON: Okay. Your Honor, I'd like -- this
22 is not in evidence. I would like to show it to the witness, if
23 I may?

24 THE COURT: You may.

25 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Sir, do you recognize what's

1 been marked as Exhibit 2113?

2 A Yes, I do.

3 Q How do you recognize?

4 A This is actually the apostille document.

5 Q No, don't describe it. Have you seen it before?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Okay. Where have you seen it before?

8 A This was issued to me by the Secretary of State of
9 Tennessee.

10 Q When you say issued to you, you mean handed
11 physically to you?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And do you recognize that seal on there?

14 A Yes.

15 Q Is that the seal of the -- I guess the great state
16 of Tennessee?

17 A Yes, it is.

18 Q It was handed to you by a public official?

19 A Yes, it was.

20 Q And is this a true and accurate copy of the document
21 handed to you by the County Clerk of Davidson County,
22 Tennessee?

23 A Yes, it is.

24 MR. ISAACSON: Your Honor, we'd move Exhibit 2113
25 into evidence.

1 THE COURT: Do you wish to voir dire on the exhibit?

2 MR. SORENSON: Yes, Your Honor, if we could have
3 just a moment?

4 THE COURT: You may.

5 MR. SORENSON: Your Honor, it appears that this is a
6 multiple-page exhibit. I'm not sure that --

7 THE COURT: Are you asking to admit the entire
8 exhibit or just the first page?

9 THE WITNESS: I have to see the whole exhibit. Is
10 that the --

11 MR. ISAACSON: Yes, Your Honor. Sorry. I was
12 talking about the first page.

13 But you'd like the whole document, Mr. Williams?

14 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. I got to see --

15 MR. ISAACSON: If we could show him?

16 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. I'd like to see how many
17 pages it is.

18 Yeah, yeah, this is actually the whole document. This was
19 actually the whole document that was filed.

20 THE COURT: If you look at the second page, is that
21 your signature on the second page?

22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is.

23 THE COURT: As you look at that document, you
24 reviewed it before you signed it; is that correct?

25 THE DEFENDANT: That's correct.

1 THE COURT: All right. And is this a true and
2 accurate copy of the document that you received from the State
3 of Tennessee?

4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is.

5 THE COURT: All right. Do you have any objections,
6 Mr. Sorenson?

7 MR. SORENSON: I think we only received the first
8 page, Your Honor. Looks like the rest of it was drafted by
9 him, probably the first page was too. But no objection, Your
10 Honor.

11 THE COURT: All right. Received.

12 (Exhibit 2113 received into evidence.)

13 THE COURT: Do you wish to publish?

14 MR. ISAACSON: Yes, Your Honor. Is that the entire
15 211- --

16 THE COURT: Yes, 2113 I believe is the number. Is
17 that the exhibit number?

18 MR. ISAACSON: Yes, Your Honor, it is.

19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

20 MR. ISAACSON: Yes, Your Honor, I'd request to
21 publish it.

22 THE COURT: Yes, that's currently what's on the
23 screen. Thank you.

24 Do you wish to publish?

25 MR. ISAACSON: Your Honor, if I may.

1 THE COURT: All right. You may.

2 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Mr. Williams, so what -- you
3 talked about being in Tennessee back in, I guess, 2011. Does
4 this fit in -- the apostille, is that this document you're
5 referring to?

6 A Yes, sir.

7 Q And what is -- what is your understanding of what
8 apostille is?

9 A When you apostille a document, it's a document
10 that's certified by the secretary of state where if you travel
11 to another state or another country, whatever country you go to
12 would have to accept that document as being true and correct
13 and being authenticated by the secretary of state.

14 Q Okay. Why did you go to the trouble to get this?

15 A Well, I wanted the people to know that it's not just
16 something I made up, that I actually did this according to law.

17 Q Okay. So -- so the next page, what is the next
18 page, Mr. Williams?

19 A The next page is actually my oath of office for
20 sovereign peace officer.

21 Q So why did you have an oath of office for being a
22 sovereign police -- I mean -- sorry -- for being a private
23 attorney general?

24 A Well, this is for the sovereign peace officer.

25 Q Is there a difference?

1 A There's a difference.

2 Q What's the difference?

3 A The difference is the sovereign peace officer
4 actually gives you the authority as a citizen to make arrest.
5 The private attorney general is not a position where you make
6 arrest. It's a position where you basically protect the public
7 interest by filing litigations or defending people against,
8 like, different foreclosures or lawsuits, things like that.

9 Q Mr. Williams, on that page that you're looking at,
10 2113-002, there is an oath there. Where did that oath come
11 from?

12 A It's the oath that most of the sheriffs have to
13 take. I just kind of edited a little bit to, you know, read a
14 little different. The way I read mine is, "On my honor I would
15 never betray my badge, my integrity, my character, my faith in
16 Yahweh or the public trust" --

17 Q Stop right there. Why is that important?

18 A Because I'm a minister and I have to adhere by the
19 principles of my faith, so I took a oath to do that.

20 Q Well, go ahead and finish up.

21 A It say, "I will always have the courage to hold
22 myself and others accountable for our actions. I will always
23 uphold the Constitution of the United States of America, my
24 community, the agency I serve, and the spirit laws of Yahweh."

25 Q So again, why is that important?

1 A Because all laws actually come from the biblical law
2 which is spiritual law.

3 Q Okay. At this point you did this on your own? You
4 went -- is my understanding. Am I correct?

5 A Yes, sir.

6 Q You went to Tennessee, you lived there, you said,
7 "I'm going to file this"?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And you actually talked to public officials about
10 it?

11 A Yes. I actually talked to the sheriff about filing
12 it.

13 Q Okay. And the rest of the documents in this
14 exhibit, are they -- if I could go to -- you have now a
15 driver's license application?

16 A No. This is actually --

17 Q Oh, sorry.

18 A -- the nonwithholding of federal taxes.

19 Q All right. Federal taxes.

20 A Yes.

21 Q Okay. And is that relevant to your mortgage
22 scenario at all?

23 A Not to the mortgage, but more so just exposing the
24 IRS for the fraud that they been perpetrating on the American
25 people.

1 Q Okay. So let's -- now we're back in Georgia. Let's
2 move on if we can.

3 One moment, if I could, Your Honor?

4 THE COURT: You may.

5 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) So, Mr. Williams, you're in
6 Tennessee at this point?

7 A Yes.

8 Q Now, in 2011, what did you do at this point on in
9 regard to your endeavors with mortgages?

10 A Well, after I filed the sovereign peace officer oath
11 of office, I was sworn in by the Davidson County sheriffs.
12 They told me to send this paperwork to the law enforcement
13 agency that actually issued their badges and that's -- they
14 sent me the sovereign peace officer badge.

15 Q Is that badge important to you, Mr. Williams?

16 A It's important to show the people that the American
17 people actually has more power than the representative that we
18 actually elect. We basically confer limited powers to them as
19 long as they follow the Constitution and protect our rights.
20 If they don't, then we have the right to remove them from
21 office.

22 Q Okay. Is this based on the research that you had
23 done?

24 A Yes.

25 Q And when you took that oath to get these things and

1 to do this stuff, nobody was forcing you to do it, were they?

2 A No, they did not.

3 Q No bar association was forcing you to do; you did
4 this on your own?

5 A I just did it on my own.

6 Q All right. So let's talk a little bit about the ID
7 you have in front of you. Is that 501?

8 A Yes.

9 Q Okay. Tell me about 501 or tell the jury about 501.

10 A Well, the 501 is the -- my private attorney general
11 ID. After I did research about the government IDs and you
12 heard Dr. Horowitz talked about the straw man, what I found out
13 during my research is that every ID that you get from the
14 government is going to have your name in all capital letters.
15 I started researching why is my driver's license, my social
16 security card, everything you get from the government it has
17 your name in all capitals. So I started doing research on why
18 the capitalization of all the names on government documents and
19 that's how I came across the legal fiction and the straw man
20 concept and started researching about that. And once I
21 researched it, that it's actually a corporation they made in
22 your name, but they didn't tell the American people that this
23 is what they were doing.

24 And so when you go down and get a driver's license,
25 if you look on your driver's license, your name will be in all

1 capital letters. If you get a state ID, it's going to be in
2 all capital letters.

3 Q How does that tie in to the ID that you have in
4 front of you, 501?

5 A If you notice my ID, my name is not all capital
6 letters; it's upper case/lower case, how it's supposed to be.
7 So there is no government ID that would actually put your name
8 without that straw man all capital letters.

9 So what I did, I actually sent a letter to the FBI
10 and to the Department of Justice asking them is there any law
11 or would this be illegal for me to make -- have my own ID made
12 without that all capital letters? And they never said that
13 there was any law that it was against, and so that's when I had
14 this ID made.

15 Q Okay. The purpose of this ID and the badge, was it
16 to try and mislead people --

17 MR. SORENSON: Objection. Leading.

18 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) -- or --

19 THE COURT: Okay. Finish your --

20 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) -- or was it for some other
21 purpose?

22 THE COURT: All right. The objection's overruled.

23 THE WITNESS: It was to show the lawfulness of the
24 badge, the ID, that I wasn't hiding anything. And I also, you
25 know, put this on YouTube, like all my IDs. When I actually

1 went to the County, the Davidson County sheriff to get this,
2 this is actually on YouTube where I actually talked to the
3 sheriff, all that, him telling me who I needed to send it to,
4 that's actually on YouTube.

5 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Okay. There's a discussion
6 about you getting on planes --

7 A Yes.

8 Q -- with this badge. Tell us about how that worked.

9 A When I did the research about the IDs that the TSA
10 would accept and they had a list of all the IDs and basically
11 it had to be a governmental ID, but they also stated that if
12 you didn't have a ID that you still could fly. But if you had
13 an ID that they didn't recognize, then they would have to do
14 some checking, they would have to verify it before they would
15 allow you on the plane.

16 Q So were you able to fly on a plane?

17 A Yes, after they verified the private attorney
18 general ID.

19 Q All right. All right. Mr. Williams, in this case,
20 the government -- Defense Exhibit 2172 is a video.

21 A Yes.

22 Q Okay. Have you -- and I'm going to proffer, if I
23 may for his benefit, of you in a airport?

24 A Yes.

25 Q Have you reviewed that video?

1 A Yes.

2 Q Is that you on the video?

3 A Yes, it is.

4 Q Can you say that with all certainty that's you on
5 the video --

6 A Hundred percent that's me.

7 MR. ISAACSON: Your Honor, at this point we'd
8 admit -- we'd move to admit the video with no audio on it.

9 THE COURT: Mr. Sorenson?

10 MR. SORENSON: No objection, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: All right. Received.

12 (Exhibit 2172 received into evidence.)

13 THE COURT: Do you wish to publish?

14 MR. ISAACSON: Yes.

15 (Video played, not reported.)

16 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) So, Mr. Williams, you saw that
17 video -- the jury saw that video and you -- was that you on the
18 video?

19 A Yes, it was.

20 Q Can you tell just a little bit about -- not what
21 anybody said, but what happened a little bit? Where was that?

22 A That right there I think that was in Fort
23 Lauderdale, Florida, when I was coming -- I was actually flying
24 here.

25 Q Okay. So, but that was normally -- was that routine

1 or not routine how you went through there?

2 A Well, that was routine, after I was met with
3 rejection at first because they had never seen that before.
4 They had to take my ID in the back. They made several calls
5 and I told them, to make it easier for them, all they had to do
6 was call the FBI. And then once they did that, then I didn't
7 have no problem going through the airports any more.

8 Q Okay. So was that one of the reasons you would use
9 this ID?

10 A Yes.

11 Q All right. So let's move ahead a little bit. So
12 you started out -- is that where you started out, in Tennessee
13 and Georgia?

14 A Georgia first and then moved to Tennessee.

15 Q Okay. Did things grow a little bit or did you
16 continue in different -- let me just say this. Sorry.

17 When did you come to Hawaii?

18 A Came -- the first time was 2012.

19 Q Okay. What were the circumstances of you coming to
20 Hawaii?

21 A One of the clients that testified here, Ms. Robbin
22 Krakauer, she actually called me. She saw several of my videos
23 where I stopped some foreclosures in the mainland, and so she
24 called me, could I fly in to speak with them about possibly
25 helping them with their foreclosure.

1 Q So let me back -- just a little bit back up. So
2 when you said you would help people with foreclosures, what
3 kind of things would you do to help people?

4 A Uhm, if -- it depends on where they are in
5 foreclosure; that would determine what I had to do. If you had
6 an eviction, of course I had to do more things urgently because
7 if you have an eviction notice, usually they getting ready to
8 kick you out in 24 hours to a week.

9 Now, if you just got foreclosure, we've got more
10 time.

11 So it depends on --

12 Q Let's break it up a little bit. So somebody comes
13 to you and they need help with a foreclosure -- let's say a
14 foreclosure. Do they -- have they often times gone through
15 attorneys or not seen attorneys? How does that usually happen
16 to you?

17 A I'd say 90 percent of the people that come to me
18 already had hired an attorney and lost and basically spent so
19 much money, they didn't really have that much money to pay me.

20 Q And so let's say somebody was in -- received notice
21 of foreclosure. What are the types of things you would do to
22 help these people?

23 A Depending on what the last motion that the bank
24 would file, if they got a judgment, I would file a motion to
25 vacate judgment. And one of the grounds I would use is that

1 they didn't have their constitutional right of a trial by jury.

2 Depending on the mortgage document, if MERS is in
3 there, I would use the MERS argument that MERS has already been
4 deemed fraudulent by the U.S. Supreme Court, and so those are
5 the type of documents I would file to halt the foreclosure
6 proceeding.

7 Q And what was your -- in stopping the foreclosure,
8 what was your goal in those things?

9 A Just keep people in the house, keep people from
10 being kicked out on the streets.

11 Q Right. And what was the condition of these people
12 when they came to you?

13 A Most of the people didn't have hardly any money to
14 pay. They at they last end. They have nowhere else to turn.

15 Q Were you successful in these regards?

16 A A lot of them I was successful. A lot of them were
17 too far gone. But I still was able to keep them in their house
18 longer than they would have been.

19 Q Okay. In regard to -- now, what promises did you
20 give these people?

21 A I didn't -- only thing I would promise them is that
22 I would fight for them the hardest that probably anybody else
23 would fight for them. I could promise them I could probably
24 keep them in their house longer than they normally would have.
25 But as far as saving their house, like for them to stay in

1 their forever, I could never promise nobody that in foreclosure
2 because the way I saw the court system was.

3 Q Yeah. Now, you would actually -- would you actually
4 go to the courthouse?

5 A Yes, I would.

6 Q And what would you -- now, you're not -- maybe we
7 haven't covered this yet. Are you an attorney at law?

8 A No, I'm not.

9 Q Okay. And that's a member of the bar association?

10 A Never wanted to be.

11 Q Okay. Why?

12 A Well, I can't be that corrupt, first of all. I
13 can't take cases -- 'cause I do criminal law too -- but as an
14 attorney at law and member of the bar I would have to accept
15 cases that I didn't believe in. Like if I -- if you came to me
16 with a criminal case and you was a defendant and I felt like
17 you was guilty, I couldn't represent you because my conscience
18 won't allow me to do that. I can't defend someone who I feel
19 like is guilty. I can't do that.

20 Q Okay. In terms of the mortgage, when you would talk
21 to people about what you did and -- sorry.

22 What would you tell them about your status as an
23 attorney or nonattorney when you would meet people?

24 A Well, I was emphatic I'm not an attorney at law; I
25 never went to law school. I took some paralegal courses at

1 Kaplan University, but I'm not a member of the bar. I don't
2 have a license to practice law because I found out that neither
3 attorney at laws have a license. They have a certificate of
4 admission that was issued by the Supreme Court, but it's not a
5 license like all other professions are issued by the state.

6 Q Mr. Williams, so at times have you been told you
7 shouldn't be doing what you're doing 'cause you're not a
8 lawyer?

9 A Yes, several times. If you look at the
10 Exhibit 2130, this is actually a cease and desist order.

11 Q Well, let's -- hang on a second. Would you like us
12 to take a look at 2130?

13 A Yes.

14 Q Okay. I think that's one of the -- oh. Okay.
15 There it is. Okay.

16 Do you -- do you see the document on the screen,
17 Mr. Williams?

18 A Yes.

19 Q Is that the 2130 you're talking about?

20 A Yes, it is.

21 Q Is it relevant to what we're speaking of right now?

22 A Yes, it is.

23 Q Would you like me to move it into evidence?

24 A Yes, I would.

25 MR. ISAACSON: Mr. Williams would like to move in

1 Exhibit 2130.

2 MR. SORENSON: No objection.

3 THE COURT: Received.

4 (Exhibit 2130 received into evidence.)

5 MR. ISAACSON: May I publish it, Your Honor?

6 THE COURT: You may.

7 MR. ISAACSON: Thank you.

8 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Mr. -- or, Mr. Williams, 2130
9 apparently is a letter from the State Bar of California
10 addressed to you; is that correct?

11 A That's correct.

12 Q And what is your -- I don't want you to read or
13 anything -- but what is your understanding of this letter?

14 A I have a office in Newport Beach, California, and
15 they saw that I was appearing in court for a lot of clients in
16 California same way I was doing in all the other states, and
17 they basically said because I'm not a member of the bar, that I
18 could be in violation of the California code for unlicensed
19 practice of law and they sent me this letter.

20 Q Now, did that -- this letter cause you to do
21 anything?

22 A Yes. I responded to this letter.

23 Q Okay. And how did you respond?

24 A It's actually on 2131, my handwritten response.
25 They actually wrote this --

1 Q Hang on. Hang on one second sir, if you don't mind.
2 Could we pull up for his review 2131?
3 Okay. Sir, do you see what's been marked as 2131?
4 A Yes.
5 Q And do you recognize the handwriting?
6 A Yes. That's my handwriting.
7 Q Okay. And do you recognize the name of the person
8 who the letter's addressed to?
9 A Yes.
10 Q And can you go to the second page of that, please?
11 Is this again your handwriting?
12 A Yes, it is.
13 Q And could we go to the next page?
14 Is that your signature on it?
15 A Yes, it is.
16 Q Okay. Do you recognize this document?
17 A Yes, I do.
18 Q Who wrote this document?
19 A I wrote that document in response to the letter.
20 Q In response to the letter. Is this document
21 basically the same as when you wrote it, a good copy of it?
22 A Yes, it is.
23 MR. ISAACSON: Your Honor, we'd move Exhibit 2131
24 into evidence.
25 THE COURT: Any objection?

1 MR. SORENSON: No objection.

2 THE COURT: Received.

3 (Exhibit 2131 received into evidence.)

4 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Mr. Williams, I'm not going
5 to -- the jury can see the letter?

6 THE COURT: It's not published. Do you want it
7 published?

8 MR. ISAACSON: Sorry, Your Honor. Permission to
9 publish the letter.

10 THE COURT: Yes, you may publish.

11 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Let me ask you a little bit
12 without going through the whole letter. Why did you write this
13 letter?

14 A I wrote this letter because when you get a cease and
15 desist letter from a bar association, you have to respond to
16 the letter as to why you feel like your actions is not the
17 unlicensed practice of law, and you have to respond to what
18 authority do you have to be in court, you know, assisting
19 people without being a member of the bar. So this is my
20 response to their letter.

21 Q Okay. And why do you -- the letter speaks for
22 itself, but the gist of it. Why -- what did you tell
23 California?

24 A Well, I told California if they could show me an
25 article or the amendment of the Constitution, if they can show

1 me a Supreme Court ruling that has overruled *Schwartz v. Board*
2 *of Examiners*, *NAACP v. Button*, *Gideon v. Wainwright*, and I gave
3 them nine proofs of claims. If they could give me those proof
4 of claims where it says I could not assist people in court,
5 then I would shut down my California office and fire all my
6 employees and tell them to cease assisting people in
7 California.

8 Q Okay. So it's pretty significant: Bar association
9 says to you, Hey, we're aware you're doing this, and they ask
10 you to respond?

11 A Uh-huh.

12 Q You know, why didn't you just go, okay, you know,
13 these -- the bar association's for the people, you know. What
14 made you say to them, Hey, it's more important, these *Gideon*
15 and these other cases? What was the impetus that made you feel
16 so strongly?

17 A Well, because it's already been ruled on by the
18 Supreme Court and we all know that the Supreme Court is the
19 highest court in the land. So once the Supreme Court rules on
20 something, doesn't matter what a district court judge says, it
21 doesn't matter what an appellate judge court says. It matters
22 what the Supreme Court has ruled.

23 So when the Supreme Court says I can go in the
24 courtroom and assist people, it doesn't matter if the district
25 court says they disagree with the U.S. Supreme Court, I'm going

1 to abide by what the Supreme Court says.

2 Q And when you -- let me see if I understand. When
3 you would go to court, did you ever tell a judge, "Hey, I'm a
4 lawyer, member of the bar association"?

5 A No, I would say the opposite. I mean, you'll see my
6 YouTube videos where I basically state the opposite.

7 Q And are you aware that members of the Hawaii bar or
8 other bar associations are issued a card? Bar card is what
9 they're called. You familiar with that? You heard of that?

10 A Yes, I have.

11 Q Did you ever try to make up yourself a bar card to
12 impersonate a member of the Hawaii bar or member of the bar?

13 A No, never.

14 Q Now, you laughed about that. Why didn't you do
15 that?

16 A Because anybody that know me, they know I hate the
17 bar association. I wouldn't have any type of association with
18 it.

19 Q Okay. Was your reason for standing up to the
20 California bar based on your -- you're just being cocky, or is
21 it based on the fact of what you believe was true?

22 A Well, based on the law and based on application that
23 I had already been assisting people in court in Georgia,
24 Tennessee, North Carolina, Texas, Illinois, and never had been
25 charged with -- or never even received a letter like this

1 before. This is the first time I've received a letter like
2 this.

3 Q All right. So let me -- one moment, Your Honor, may
4 I?

5 THE COURT: You may.

6 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Okay. So let's go back a little
7 bit now to your time to Hawaii, coming to Hawaii, right? So
8 you came to Hawaii -- Ms. Krakauer -- her request?

9 A That's correct.

10 Q Where does she live?

11 A She lived on the Big Island.

12 Q Okay. And what was her problem?

13 A She was in foreclosure. She was saying that her and
14 her husband had spent roughly 35,000 on attorneys, they hardly
15 ever filed anything, they basically just lost a lot of money.

16 Q Okay. And what did you do for Ms. Krakauer?

17 A I fought her foreclosure. I didn't charge her
18 anything because how much she had already paid attorneys, and I
19 just kept stopping the auctions. She had several auctions they
20 had I stopped. Several foreclosure evictions I stopped.

21 Q Let me just back up. So how do you stop a
22 foreclosure auction?

23 A Well, the way I did it is I had the UCC lien filed,
24 and so what I would do, I would have my client show up at the
25 auction with the UCC lien so whoever bid on that house, they

1 would have to pay that lien, so they would -- they would cancel
2 the auction.

3 Q Let's back up a little bit. So what is the Uniform
4 Commercial Code as far as you know?

5 A Well, the Uniform Commercial Code is the code that's
6 uniform through all 50 states. Every state has adopted the
7 Uniform Commercial Code. So if you look under Hawai Revised
8 Statute, say if you look up UCC 1-308, Hawaii Revised Statute
9 might have Hawaii Revised Statute 49-1-308. So it's uniform
10 through all. But they might have they own little prefix before
11 the 1-308, but it's still 308.

12 Q And so you would create for people a UCC filing
13 statement? Is that what you just said?

14 A That's correct.

15 Q Yeah. What is a UCC filing statement?

16 A The filing -- it's a financing statement --

17 Q Financing statement?

18 A -- that shows who's the debtor and who's the secured
19 party and what type of interest you have in the property that
20 you're asserting.

21 And so what I would do, I would have the homeowner
22 as the secure-party creditor and the legal fiction with the
23 straw man as the debtor. So that way that would put them in
24 front as the superior lien to anybody else that would file a
25 claim against their property.

1 Q Okay. So let's say John Smith had a property on the
2 Big Island and there was Mortgage A, right? And they are
3 foreclosing on him. So you file this UCC document and what
4 would -- what was your understanding of what it would
5 accomplish?

6 A It would stop that foreclosure.

7 Q Okay. So it's a little confusing I guess to have
8 the person who is -- has not only the -- the -- so complex I
9 can't even say it -- so who was not only the loaner, but the
10 receiver. Did I get that right?

11 A Right.

12 Q Okay. Why did you have to do that?

13 A Well, because it's -- people don't understand that
14 the debtor, the all-capital named person that's a legal
15 fiction, that's a corporation. It's spelled the way your name
16 is, but it's not you in law. Like, if you look up Black's Law
17 Dictionary, you read what the straw man is. It's a
18 transmitting utility where you can transact business. That's
19 the reason why when you go to get a driver's license or just a
20 state ID or social security card, if you look on your social
21 security card, your name is in all caps, it's not upper
22 case/lower case. So that's actually your transmitting utility
23 to use in commerce.

24 And so what I did is to make sure that there's a
25 distinction between the straw man, the transmitting utility,

1 and the actual live person man or woman.

2 Q Okay. And the very least effective this UCC
3 statement filing, apparently they took it to the sale --
4 proposed sale?

5 A That's correct.

6 Q And what is your understanding occurred with
7 Ms. Krakauer?

8 A When she took it there, whoever's -- the bank was
9 there and they was trying to bid on it. And when she presented
10 the UCC --

11 MR. SORENSON: Objection. I think this is from
12 speculation not from personal knowledge.

13 THE WITNESS: I was actually --

14 THE COURT: Sustained. If you can lay a foundation.

15 MR. ISAACSON: Certainly.

16 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Were you there at the sale?

17 A Yes, I was.

18 Q And did you see Ms. Krakauer there?

19 A Yes.

20 Q And where did this sale take place?

21 A It was in Pahoa.

22 Q Pahoa, okay. And it was her property, your
23 understanding, up for auction?

24 A Yes, it was.

25 Q So it had already been foreclosed on; they were

1 going to sell it to the highest bidder?

2 A Yes.

3 Q You saw Ms. Krakauer?

4 A Yes.

5 Q What is your understanding -- I don't want you to
6 say exactly what you said -- what she did with the document?

7 A She presented it at the auction and said whoever
8 wants to buy this house, they have to cure this lien first.
9 And so the representative of the bank, he asked to see it. And
10 so when he saw it, he's like, Well, we got to cancel the
11 auction because now this UCC lien has been filed.

12 Q So the effect of this document was at least to keep
13 Ms. Krakauer in her home for a while longer; is that correct?

14 A Yes.

15 Q Okay. Now, on these UCC statements, now MEI, who --
16 what is MEI?

17 A MEI is my mortgage company that I call it, Mortgage
18 Enterprise Investments.

19 Q Okay. Is that here in Hawaii?

20 A Here in Hawaii and also in Tennessee and also in
21 Texas.

22 Q Okay. So you came to Hawaii and Ms. Krakauer -- was
23 MEI first set up when you first met Ms. Krakauer or was that
24 later?

25 A No, it was already set up before I came here.

1 Q Okay. So when you did these UCC statements, did you
2 put down that MEI would get money if somehow was foreclosed on?

3 A Oh, no. If you read the mortgage that I file on
4 every client, I actually had a provision in that mortgage that
5 their home cannot be foreclosed on by anybody other than
6 themselves.

7 Q Okay. So let me be very clear. So MEI, the
8 documents you created for these people, if it was foreclosed
9 on, are you saying that you and MEI would gain nothing from it?

10 A Exactly.

11 Q So this was done totally for the benefit of the
12 person attempting to stave off foreclosure?

13 A Exactly.

14 Q All right. So let's talk about Ms. Krakauer a
15 little bit more -- Krakauer, sorry. What happened to her?
16 What happened to her property?

17 A Well, after I got illegally incarcerated, I wasn't
18 able to file the other documents and so she ended up losing the
19 house while I was incarcerated because I couldn't respond -- I
20 never got the documents while I was locked up from her to be
21 able to respond to keep her in her home.

22 Q Okay. So let's -- Your Honor, may I inquire? This
23 is a good time to take a break or do you want to keep going?

24 THE COURT: We're going to keep going for about
25 another half hour.

1 MR. ISAACSON: Oh, half hour. Sorry, Judge, sorry.

2 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) So let's talk a little bit about
3 your MEI that you set up here. Now, did you set it up by
4 yourself or did you have other people with you?

5 A I actually set it up by myself. I contacted the
6 DCCA. If you will look at the -- I think it's Exhibit 2164,
7 No. 11, it's actually email between me and DCCA before I set
8 up --

9 Q Hang on one second if we could. Could we pull
10 up -- sorry. What is it again? Which one?

11 A 2164.

12 Q 2164?

13 A Starting at page 11.

14 MR. ISAACSON: One moment, Your Honor. Oh, I'm
15 sorry, Your Honor, one moment.

16 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Mr. Williams, 2164 -- could he
17 be given the exhibit, please? I'm sorry.

18 THE COURT: He may.

19 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Mr. Williams, you have that in
20 front of you?

21 A Yes, I do.

22 Q Okay. Little bit different since you're up there
23 and I'm here. But starting at page 11 of 2164, did you want to
24 refer to that, sir?

25 A Not at page 11, but starting at page 12.

1 Q At page 12. And how far do you wish to talk about,
2 Mr. Williams?

3 A 12 to 21.

4 Q Okay. This is not in evidence is my understanding.
5 But, Mr. Williams, can you identify, without
6 describing the contents, what -- you can start with 2164-12 to
7 2164-15.

8 A This is a email --

9 Q Let me stop. Do you recognize this document?

10 A Yes.

11 Q Okay. What is this document?

12 A This is a email correspondence to the DCCA.

13 Q Okay. Who wrote it?

14 A I wrote the email.

15 MR. ISAACSON: Oh, I'm sorry. I might be mistaken.
16 Are these documents in evidence already?

17 THE COURT: What's the exhibit number?

18 MR. ISAACSON: This is 2164-12 through -15.

19 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: I do not show that those
20 particular pages are in evidence. Got 98, 99, 100, 159, 163,
21 172 --

22 MR. ISAACSON: Okay. Thank you. Sorry.

23 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Sir, let me back up again.
24 These pages 12 through 15 of 2164, do you recognize that?

25 A Yes, I do.

1 Q How do you recognize that?

2 A This is a email I sent to the DCCA.

3 Q How do you know that?

4 A It says from me, Anthony Williams, at my email

5 address to the -- I guess the liaison for DCCA.

6 Q Do you recognize the email address as being yours?

7 A Yes, it is.

8 Q And how long have you had that email address?

9 A At that point probably about five years.

10 Q Okay. And do you recognize Ms. Chung's email

11 address?

12 A Yes, I do.

13 Q At dcca.hawaii.gov?

14 A Yes, I do.

15 Q And have you had a chance to review the contents of

16 this document?

17 A Yes, I have.

18 Q Is this email the same email that you sent to

19 Ms. Chung?

20 A Yes, it is.

21 Q And -- okay. And that was done relevant to this

22 case; is that correct?

23 A Yes, it is.

24 MR. ISAACSON: Your Honor, we would move in 2164-12

25 through 2164-15.

1 THE COURT: Any objection?

2 MR. SORENSON: Yes, Your Honor. I think for
3 continuity we should probably go all the way to -21 which
4 includes Ms. Chung's response.

5 THE COURT: Any objection including the response?

6 MR. ISAACSON: Mr. Williams, do you have any
7 objection?

8 THE DEFENDANT: No. Want it all in.

9 MR. ISAACSON: No, Judge, we don't have any
10 objection.

11 THE COURT: All right. Received by agreement,
12 Exhibit 2164, pages 12 through 21.

13 You wish to publish?

14 MR. ISAACSON: Yes, Your Honor.

15 (Exhibits received into evidence.)

16 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) All right. Mr. Williams, so
17 again, it's in evidence now. You don't have to talk
18 about -- read it word by word, but who is Ms. Chung?

19 A She was the representative the DCCA that you had
20 to -- when you open up a business here, she was the one that
21 you had to send the paperwork to.

22 Q Okay. And why were you sending her an email about
23 this?

24 A Because I understood that with my company being a
25 foreign sovereign entity, I know she probably hasn't dealt with

1 a company quite like mine, so I end up emailing her the actual
2 laws regarding a foreign sovereign entity to kind of educate
3 her so she would know what type of company I was having
4 registered here in Hawaii.

5 Q Okay. And that's what this email is about?

6 A Yes, sir.

7 Q Okay. And did you -- okay. So let's -- that's the
8 email we have right here back in April 2013?

9 A That's correct.

10 Q If you would go to page 16, 2164-16? If I could
11 publish that page? And I don't know how to make it bigger.

12 But -- but, you can just describe what it is, can't
13 you, Mr. Williams?

14 A Yes.

15 Q Is this your -- what is this?

16 A This is the application for the registration of my
17 trade name for my business here in Hawaii.

18 Q Thank you. Thank you. So you -- my understanding,
19 so you went ahead and registered this, right?

20 A Yes, I did.

21 Q So you registered it as a business?

22 A Yes, I did.

23 Q Okay. All right. Now, going down a little bit
24 further, you had gone back and forth with Ms. Chung; is that
25 correct?

1 A That's correct.

2 Q What was the end result of it?

3 A She said that they was going to refund me back my
4 application fee because they didn't have no category to put my
5 company under. It wasn't -- she said, "Well, we don't have no
6 type of company like yours, so we don't have a category to
7 license it."

8 Q So why was your company so different?

9 A Because my company I strictly follow the
10 constitutional law and biblical law. And if any laws are not
11 in harmony with the Constitution, biblical, and the U.S.
12 Supreme Court ruling, I reject it.

13 Q Okay. In terms of -- well, what kind of company
14 were you trying to set up?

15 A This was a mortgage and foreclosure assistance.

16 Q Okay. And can you -- is that kind of what you've
17 been talking about already what you did?

18 A Right. She asked me was I going to do mortgage
19 loans? I said no.

20 She said mortgage financing? I said no.

21 She said loan modification? I said no.

22 She said, will you do like any HELOCs? I said no,
23 my company is not to loan any money. My company is
24 specifically set up to assist homeowners in keeping their homes
25 and exposing the fraud or system in fighting their foreclosure.

1 Q So in terms of what your -- MEI -- we're talking
2 about MEI, right?

3 A Uh-huh.

4 Q So -- so can you break down the percentage of what
5 you did with MEI? Was it foreclosure? Was it something else?
6 Can you break it down?

7 A 99 percent of MEI business is foreclosure. Only
8 1 percent -- probably not even 1 percent of the people did the
9 mortgage reduction.

10 Q Okay. So that's been talked about during this trial
11 quite a lot. Can you explain to the jury what the
12 mortgage -- your mortgage -- your mortgage reduction program,
13 please describe it to the jury.

14 A Well, the mortgage reduction program was
15 specifically for people who weren't in foreclosure, who
16 actually still could pay their mortgage. If you could pay your
17 mortgage, what we would do is put your payments in a escrow
18 where -- you know, your payments, whatever you was making.

19 What we did, we sent a qualified written request or
20 a RESPA to the bank giving them 60 days to respond to the FDCPA
21 request to validate the debt, make sure there was nothing wrong
22 with the mortgage, no predatory lending, things like that.

23 Once the bank either didn't respond or responded
24 improperly, then that's when we would file the documents for
25 the UCC, the new mortgage. We didn't just file it just, you

1 know, off the writ. No. There's a process you have to go
2 through. You have to give the mortgage company a actual
3 opportunity to answer the complaint. So if they don't answer,
4 then there's default provisions according to the FDCPA then
5 that if they don't, then we can file these type of documents to
6 protect the homeowner.

7 Q Mr. Williams, I'm -- let me jump a little bit now.
8 Is this RESPA? Are we talking about RESPA?

9 A Yeah, this is part of the RESPA. It's part of Real
10 Estate Settlement Procedures Act.

11 Q Okay. So what is RESPA?

12 A It was a act that was passed by Congress in order to
13 protect homeowners from like predatory lending, you know,
14 any -- any type of fraudulent practices by the banks against
15 homeowners. 'Cause what a lot of banks would do, they would
16 approve people for a home they know people couldn't afford.
17 Like one of my clients, she had a balloon payment that
18 ballooned at the fifth year --

19 Q Let me just stop. So RESPA, again, is a federal
20 act?

21 A Yes, it is.

22 Q And what is it designed to do?

23 A It's designed to protect homeowners by any type of
24 fraudulent activity by the banks.

25 Q And if there was -- have you seen fraudulent

1 activities?

2 A Yes.

3 Q Could you please describe what you saw?

4 A A lot of the homes they were getting by predatory
5 loans. A lot of loan officer would fabricate what the people
6 actually made in order to get them to be able to actually get
7 the so-called loan. They would get the house knowing that the
8 people would not be able to continue to pay for it and where
9 they could subsequently foreclose on the home, take the home,
10 kick the people out.

11 Q Okay. So how would you use RESPA to try to help
12 people?

13 A Well, every bank, every mortgage company knows the
14 Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act. There are several
15 provisions in there where if you were disputing the validity of
16 the debt, if you was disputing the servicing of the account,
17 then the bank has 60 days to respond. If they don't respond,
18 then they're acquiescing that the debt is not valid.

19 Q Okay. And how would you use that to benefit
20 somebody who -- sorry -- in regard -- sorry.

21 How would you use that to benefit people in Hawaii?

22 A Well, if -- there was only really one person that
23 actually did the mortgage reduction here in Hawaii and I didn't
24 get to finish it because I was illegally incarcerated.

25 Q Sorry. Back up. Is this part of a foreclosure or

1 part of the mortgage?

2 A The foreclosure's different than the mortgage
3 reduction.

4 Q All right.

5 A It's two separate.

6 Q This is part of the mortgage reduction?

7 A Right.

8 Q Okay. So by filing this claim with these mortgage
9 companies, you were saying they had to respond within a certain
10 amount of time or what would happen?

11 A If they don't respond within the proper amount of
12 time, then there was a default provision under the Real Estate
13 Settlement Procedures Act that says they can file a lien or
14 security or negotiable instrument or any type of security on
15 their property to protect their property and that the bank
16 agrees to this.

17 Q Okay. So what kind of response did you get from the
18 banks when you sent them -- was it a letter you sent?

19 A It was a certified letter and it was also an
20 affidavit sworn under oath by the homeowner.

21 Q Okay. And does this have the effect of
22 extinguishing a mortgage?

23 A If they don't respond, then, yes.

24 Q Okay. And has that ever worked for you in other
25 places or --

1 A On the mainland it did.

2 Q All right. So it has worked?

3 A Yes, it has.

4 Q In regard now -- I don't want to confuse too much

5 here -- but the UCC that has to do with foreclosure, does that

6 also have to do with the mortgage program?

7 A Yes, yes, it does both.

8 Q How does it have to do with the mortgage reduction

9 program?

10 A The mortgage reduction program we do the UCC also to

11 put a superior lien on the home, so if the bank tried to come

12 in later and tried to claim a security interest, then the

13 homeowner would already have that UCC lien already filed under

14 their name and on their property.

15 Q Mr. Williams, what is a QWR?

16 A QWR is just initials for qualified written request.

17 That's a actual term under the Real Estate Settlement

18 Procedures Act that you have to send to the bank to give them

19 an opportunity to respond to that RESPA request.

20 Q Okay. So let's -- so is it -- when would you send

21 the RESPA or -- would you use this QWR?

22 A Yes.

23 Q That's how would you communicate?

24 A That's correct.

25 Q Is it a form or a letter or both or --

1 A My QWR form is 17 pages.

2 Q Okay. So this is what you would send to the bank or
3 whoever --

4 A Yes, it is.

5 Q Okay. And now, would you do letters to the FDIC
6 or --

7 A FDIC.

8 Q FDIC.

9 A Yes.

10 Q Why would you do that?

11 A Well, I would send a letter to the FDIC because all
12 banks and mortgage companies are governed by the FDIC. And so
13 what they have to do, they have to file a annual report and
14 they have to file a quarterly report. Now, in these reports
15 what they have to do if they had, say, a hundred homes that
16 went in default, they would have to file the FDIC report. They
17 would have to file a report that how much they lost because of
18 these people didn't pay their mortgage and they would have to
19 file this report.

20 So what I would do, I would write the FDIC and say,
21 Hey, can I get the Bank of America report for, say, the
22 quarterly -- first quarter of 2013? And there was never a
23 quarterly report or annual report that showed the losses that
24 they were claiming they had a loss for the homes that they say
25 was in default.

1 Q Okay. And how would that affect this process, your
2 mortgage reduction process?

3 A Well, when they wouldn't give me the -- well, when
4 they would send me that, then I would attach that letter, I
5 would send a copy of that to the Department of Housing and
6 Urban Development, the Federal Trade Commission, the
7 House -- Housing Oversight Commission, and also I sent a letter
8 to -- a copy of that to the Department of Justice and the FBI.

9 Q Okay. Now, are you familiar with credit dispute
10 letter?

11 A That's correct.

12 Q Is that separate or something else?

13 A That's part of it.

14 Q Part of the QWR?

15 A Well, it's not part of the QWR, but it's another
16 step in the process of either fighting a foreclosure or
17 assisting in the mortgage reduction process.

18 Q Mr. Williams, let me see if I understand this. So
19 in terms of this mortgage reduction programs and the helping
20 people get out of foreclosure, you're filing stacks --

21 A Yeah.

22 Q -- and stacks of paper, are you not?

23 A Yes.

24 Q I mean, this isn't like one little page; this is
25 stacks and stacks to try -- to try to do stuff; is that

1 correct?

2 A Yes.

3 Q And the same thing with the foreclosure defense?

4 A Yes.

5 Q You're filing --

6 A It's even more in foreclosure.

7 Q Well, there's been discussion of you drafted

8 pleadings for Ms. Thomas. I believe that's just one. How many

9 pleadings in her case, just on the top of your head, did you

10 file or help her file in regard to Ms. Thomas's foreclosure

11 situation?

12 A To give you a number, at least over 250 documents.

13 Q So motions of every kind?

14 A Yes.

15 Q And this and this, challenging every part of it?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And the case eventually went to the Intermediate

18 Court of Appeal, right?

19 A Yes.

20 Q Now, Ms. Thomas has testified -- jump around a

21 little bit -- but that you helped draft documents while you are

22 awaiting trial on this case?

23 A Yes, I have. I still am.

24 Q Okay. Mr. Williams, let me talk just a second about

25 FDC and the conditions of FDC just a little bit. That's a

1 jail, correct?

2 A Yes.

3 Q It's not a country club; it's a jail?

4 A Right. I'm still in jail right now.

5 Q Still in jail. And what kind of typewriters do you
6 have or printers of any kind?

7 A We don't have any. The typewriter that we did have,
8 they took that away.

9 Q Okay. And so you were doing this by hand and those
10 kind of things?

11 A Most of the time when they put me in solitary
12 confinement for making too many complaints about the condition
13 of the jail, I would have to handwrite the motions for her and
14 mail it to her, and then she would have to type it out and file
15 it.

16 Q So while you're sitting in jail waiting for this,
17 you're still trying to help her out?

18 A Yes.

19 Q Why? Why?

20 A Because she's my client. I mean, nobody else is
21 going to help her.

22 Q Mr. Williams, you got all the world of trouble.
23 You're sitting here in federal trial, you're --

24 MR. SORENSON: Objection. Leading.

25 THE COURT: Sustained. Is this a good time to take

1 a recess? We're coming up on those. Or you can ask one more
2 question.

3 MR. ISAACSON: Maybe I finish this last one.

4 THE COURT: Yes, please.

5 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Mr. Williams, with all the
6 things on your mind, why would you spend the time worrying
7 about Ms. Thomas when you had so much to worry about yourself?

8 A Because if I don't do it, her and her mother going
9 to get kicked out on the street. They're going to be homeless.

10 MR. ISAACSON: Your Honor, we can take a break now.

11 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.

12 Ladies and gentlemen, if you would leave your notebooks
13 and your iPads behind. Of course, don't discuss the case with
14 anyone or allow anyone to discuss it with you. We are going to
15 take our first break, 15 minutes.

16 Please rise for the jury. They're on recess, as are we.
17 Thank you.

18 (A recess was taken.)

19 (Open court out of the presence of the jury.)

20 THE COURT: All right. The record will reflect the
21 presence of Mr. Williams on the stand and counsel present. The
22 jury is not present.

23 Is there an issue or question you'd like to bring up,
24 Mr. Isaacson?

25 MR. ISAACSON: Yes, Your Honor. You know, I'm

1 assisting Mr. Williams by doing this, and during the break he
2 wanted me to approach and talk about certain exhibits he
3 wanted. I did, and the government issued -- had a concern.

4 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Sorenson?

5 MR. SORENSON: Well, I was just concerned because
6 Mr. Isaacson was apparently discussing the testimony either
7 that had occurred or that was going to occur with Mr. Williams.
8 And while somebody is testifying, as the Court has pointed out,
9 it's not proper to have conversations with that person about
10 their testimony by anyone.

11 Secondly, Mr. Isaacson said that this was the defendant.
12 I pointed out that Mr. Isaacson is not his attorney, in any
13 event, but even if he was, I don't believe that an attorney can
14 approach a defendant during testimony and talk to them. So...

15 THE COURT: All right. So the court's ruling is
16 that there's no coaching of the witness, in other words,
17 saying, you know, "You testified this way. We're" -- but
18 certainly because Mr. Isaacson is assisting Mr. Williams, you
19 can discuss with him, "What exhibits do you want in next?"
20 because you need guidance from him because he's representing
21 himself.

22 Is that helpful?

23 MR. SORENSON: I think it is.

24 MR. ISAACSON: Thank you, Your Honor.

25 THE COURT: Okay. Anything else that we need to

1 take up?

2 MR. ISAACSON: No. Just have a few minutes with
3 Mr. Williams?

4 THE COURT: Yes, yes, of course. So how about like
5 10 more minutes? Is that good?

6 All right. We're in recess for 10 more minutes. Thank
7 you.

8 (A recess was taken.)

9 (Open court out of the presence of the jury.)

10 THE COURT: All right. The record will reflect the
11 presence of Mr. Williams on the witness stand, counsel. The
12 jury's not yet present.

13 Are there any other matters before we go get the jury?

14 MR. SORENSON: No, Your Honor.

15 THE COURT: All right. And, Mr. Williams, in
16 follow-up, we checked the minutes and so I'm having them
17 revised with regard to the jury selection. The words will be
18 stricken out that the parties agree to the jury and I'll just
19 indicate that the jury was sworn and your objection is noted
20 about that.

21 THE DEFENDANT: All right.

22 THE COURT: Thank you. We'll have that filed today.
23 If nothing further, then we'll have Ms. Elkington go get
24 the jury. We're in recess.

25 (A recess was taken.)

1 (Open court in the presence of the jury.)

2 THE COURT: And the record will reflect the presence
3 of the ladies and gentlemen of the jury, counsel, and
4 Mr. Williams is on the stand.

5 Your witness.

6 MR. ISAACSON: Thank you, Your Honor.

7 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Mr. Williams, going back a
8 little bit to the sovereign peace officer, now that's -- let me
9 see if I understand it. Is that different -- you said
10 difference between sovereign peace officer and the private
11 attorney general, correct?

12 A That's correct.

13 Q And the sovereign peace officer -- could we pull
14 up -- sorry -- Exhibit 2133? Has it been admitted? I'm sorry?

15 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: It has.

16 MR. ISAACSON: Okay. Your Honor, I would ask that
17 2133 be published.

18 THE COURT: It may.

19 MR. ISAACSON: Could we scroll down to the next
20 page, please? And -- okay.

21 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Is this the sovereign peace
22 officer pledge you talked about before?

23 A That's correct.

24 Q We already went over that, correct?

25 A That's correct.

1 Q Anything else to 2133?

2 A No. It's actually -- well, I had to actually swear
3 in. The county clerk actually had to swear me in after I filed
4 this, so it just wasn't like on my own. They actually told me
5 I had to swear in. The clerk swore me in and then I was able
6 to send the apostille documents to the law enforcement agency,
7 and that's how they issued me the sovereign peace officer
8 badge.

9 Q Okay. Can we move to 2114?

10 A Yes.

11 Q Okay. Can we pull up 2114, please.

12 A It's page 7-8. It's already been admitted.

13 Q Okay. It's been admitted?

14 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: Page 7 and 8 are admitted?

15 MR. ISAACSON: They are.

16 Is that what you're asking, Mr. Williams, 7 and 8?

17 THE WITNESS: Yes.

18 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: Those are the pages --

19 MR. ISAACSON: Oh, they are admitted.

20 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: Correct.

21 MR. ISAACSON: Sorry, sorry. May I publish, Your
22 Honor?

23 THE COURT: You may.

24 MR. ISAACSON: Sorry.

25 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Mr. Williams -- are we at page 7

1 here?

2 A Yes.

3 MR. ISAACSON: May we publish page 7?

4 THE COURT: You may. It is.

5 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Okay. Mr. Williams, you
6 recognize this document?

7 A Yes, I do.

8 Q It's already been admitted into evidence. What is
9 it about this document you believe relevant to your being a
10 sovereign peace officer or private attorney general?

11 A This is the actual law enforcement file that the FBI
12 have on me. If you look at my ID on the back, I have Do not
13 detain, Do not arrest on the back. And I got this from the FBI
14 file. Where you see it highlighted, it says, "Do not detain or
15 arrest this individual unless there is evidence of a violation
16 of federal, state, or local statutes." I actually got this
17 from the FBI file and put it on my ID.

18 Q Okay. Why did you put it on your ID?

19 A So when I would have any encounters with any law
20 enforcement agency, I would actually advise them to call the
21 FBI to verify that I am a private attorney general, that I do
22 have a oath of office filed in apostille and Secretary of
23 State. If they call them, then I have no problem. If they
24 didn't call them, that's where I would be unlawfully detained
25 if they didn't call them.

1 Q Okay. Very good. Is there -- is there another page
2 you want -- can we go to page 8?

3 Okay. Is that -- is that what you want to refer to,
4 Mr. Williams, in regard to this document?

5 A That's correct.

6 Q Okay. Could we go to --

7 A Well, actually, you got to go down a little more on
8 this 214[sic].

9 Q Okay. So it's not in evidence at this point, I
10 think?

11 A No, not yet.

12 Q What page are you --

13 A Got to go down.

14 Q Okay. Do you need to see the paper document,
15 Mr. Williams?

16 A Yeah, 'cause it's not -- that's page 9.

17 Q Mr. Williams, what page do you want to refer to?

18 A It's page 9.

19 Q Okay. Mr. Williams, do you recognize this document?

20 A Yes, I do.

21 Q Can you -- without disclosing the contents, can you
22 describe what this is?

23 A This is a letter from me to the FBI Honolulu.

24 Q Do you recognize the letterhead on this?

25 A Yes, it's my company letterhead.

1 Q And is that the letterhead you were using back in
2 October 2014?

3 A Yes, it is.

4 Q Okay. Have you had a chance to review pages 009 and
5 0010?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Okay. And do you recognize this document?

8 A Yes, I do.

9 Q Do you recognize the contents of the document as
10 something that you created?

11 A Yes, it is.

12 Q And it's your -- it's electronically signed, but did
13 you create this document?

14 A Yes, I did.

15 Q And this -- basically this form do you recognize as
16 not having any substantial changes?

17 A No, it does not.

18 Q Is it relevant to this case?

19 A Yes, it is.

20 MR. ISAACSON: Your Honor, I would move
21 Exhibit 2114-0009 and -00010 into evidence.

22 THE COURT: Any objection?

23 MR. SORENSON: Your Honor, I think 2114, the
24 criminal history part, is that in? Is that correct? Is this
25 another part of that document? 'Cause we don't have one here.

1 THE COURT: You don't have those pages?

2 MR. SORENSON: Well, I do not, Your Honor. I just
3 need to see them.

4 THE COURT: Okay. Could you show them to
5 Mr. Sorenson?

6 MR. SORENSON: Yeah. No objection, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: All right. So this is 2114, pages 8 and
8 9, you say?

9 THE DEFENDANT: 9 and 10.

10 MR. ISAACSON: 9 and 10, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: 9 and 10, all right. Received.

12 MR. ISAACSON: May I publish, Your Honor?

13 THE COURT: You may.

14 (Exhibits received into evidence.)

15 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Mr. Williams, what is this
16 document?

17 A This is a document that I drafted to send to the FBI
18 Honolulu based on the previous document of them having me in
19 their system as a possible terrorist organization member, and
20 I'm writing to them to take that out of the file 'cause I'm not
21 a terrorist, I've never been part of a terrorist, I don't
22 advocate terrorism, anything like that. I'm a peaceful man and
23 this is making my encounter with law enforcements more
24 strenuous than it should be.

25 Q Okay. So what was the purpose of sending this

1 letter? What did you want to accomplish with it?

2 A For them to take me off the terrorist watch list and
3 to not classify me as someone who's possible or connected with
4 any type of terrorist organization.

5 Q Okay. And did you get a response from them?

6 A They never responded.

7 Q Okay. Thank you.

8 Sorry, Your Honor. One moment.

9 Could we pull up exhibit -- Government's
10 Exhibit 808? Could I ask you guys for help with that?

11 Okay. I don't believe this is -- this is not in
12 evidence.

13 Mr. Williams, could you look at Government
14 Exhibit 808? Do you recognize that document?

15 A Yes, I do.

16 Q Okay. And -- sorry, Your Honor.

17 Mr. Williams, how -- do you want to refer to the
18 entire document or just pages in it?

19 A Really the entire document.

20 Q Okay. Mr. Williams, 808, what is it? Is it a
21 pleading of some kind?

22 A Yes. It's a motion in this case.

23 Q Filed by myself to help you -- assist you out?

24 A That's correct.

25 Q All right. And you would -- want it to be entered

1 into evidence in this case?

2 A Yes, I would.

3 MR. ISAACSON: Okay. I guess I would move in, Your
4 Honor, Government's Exhibit 808.

5 THE COURT: Any objection?

6 MR. SORENSON: Yes, Your Honor. It appears to be a
7 pleading written by Mr. Williams as a part of this litigation.
8 Your Honor, we think it's improper to come in as evidence. He
9 certainly can testify, but these are his out-of-court
10 statements and I think there's probably a legal argument here.
11 He's certainly not a legal expert.

12 THE COURT: All right. Over the government's
13 objection, it's received.

14 MR. ISAACSON: Mr. Williams, would you like me to
15 publish this document?

16 THE COURT: You may.

17 (Exhibit 808 received into evidence.)

18 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Mr. Williams, what part of the
19 document would you like to be referred?

20 A I can't -- it's only on the first page right now. I
21 can only see the first page, the heading.

22 MR. ISAACSON: Could he -- could he -- thank you.

23 THE COURT: All right. The record will reflect the
24 hard copy of the entire document's before the witness.

25 MR. ISAACSON: Thank you, Your Honor.

1 THE WITNESS: It don't say what page number on here.
2 It says 2 of 13. It's under Exhibit A, page 2 of Exhibit A.

3 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Okay. These are not, uhm,
4 labeled at the bottom, I'm afraid, but it is the -- one says
5 498-2, page 2 of 13. Is that the one you'd like, Mr. Williams?

6 A Yeah, that's correct.

7 Q Okay. There you go. All right. Thank you,
8 Ms. Beecher.

9 Mr. Williams, what is it about -- what is this
10 document?

11 A This is a mandatory judicial notice to notice the
12 court of my law certification affidavit that was filed in the
13 county in Broward that had -- shows the laws that I don't have
14 to be a member of the bar association in order to assist people
15 in court.

16 Q Okay. You have -- this is a 2-page motion. Do you
17 want to refer to any of the other documents?

18 A Yes. The exhibits -- Exhibit 1 is the actual
19 Broward County recordation of the fees --

20 Q I'm sorry, sir. So that'd be 498-2 at the top of
21 the page, page 4 of 13?

22 A Yes.

23 Q So what is -- it's in evidence. So what is it,
24 Mr. Williams?

25 Could we publish that -- sorry. Sorry. Could we

1 publish that page?

2 THE COURT: It's currently on the screen.

3 MR. ISAACSON: Your Honor, if we could go forward.

4 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Is that the page right there,
5 Mr. Williams?

6 A That's correct.

7 Q Okay. What is that document?

8 A That's the actual receipt from the Broward County
9 Clerk's Office for the law certification being filed.

10 Q Okay. And what does that mean -- as far as you
11 know, what does law certification mean?

12 A If you look at the next page, it's an affidavit that
13 I had filed stating the Supreme Court rulings that actually
14 give me the authority to assist people in court as a lay person
15 and not as a bar member.

16 Q Okay. And this was something you created yourself?

17 A Yes.

18 Q That your signature there?

19 A Yes, it is.

20 Q Okay. What is your belief or what is your
21 expectations of the effect of this affidavit that you have
22 here?

23 A When I would appear in court on behalf of clients, I
24 would file this law certification affidavit. The judges that
25 actually looked up the case law, they allowed me to assist the

1 clients in court after they look up the Supreme Court rulings.

2 Q Okay. Is there any other part of this document,
3 Exhibit 808, you would like to refer the jury to?

4 A The next exhibit, the next page.

5 Q Okay.

6 A This is a letter --

7 Q So hang on one second. Okay. Go ahead, sir.
8 Sorry?

9 A This is a letter actually from the headquarters
10 Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation. I had
11 made a complaint about some of the FBI agents here were
12 harassing and intimidating some of the defendants' family and
13 telling them not to talk to them and things like that. So I
14 sent a complaint to the headquarters FBI and this is a letter
15 they wrote me back addressing me as Private Attorney General
16 Anthony Williams.

17 Q Oh, that's -- what's the date of that letter,
18 Mr. Williams?

19 A April 22nd, 2019.

20 Q All right. And that's your -- that's with the PO
21 Box of the FDC Honolulu where you're residing now?

22 A That's correct.

23 Q All right. Any other documents in this exhibit
24 you'd like the jury --

25 A The next document.

1 Q Okay. And what is this, sir?

2 A This is certification of Anthony Williams. I had
3 filed this also in one of the Hawaii cases here to show the
4 congressional acts and the public laws that actually give me
5 the authority to assist others in court as a private attorney
6 general.

7 Q Okay. This -- when was the date of this filing?

8 A December 18, 2013.

9 Q All right. This is something you filed yourself; is
10 that --

11 A That's correct.

12 Q All right. Any other documents in this exhibit,
13 sir?

14 A The next page.

15 Q Okay. Can you tell the jury what that is?

16 A This is one of my clients in Florida that I saved
17 like 10 properties from foreclosure. This is one of the
18 certification I would file in the court case in order to be
19 able to go in court and assist her.

20 Q Okay. Now, this is in Florida; is that correct?

21 A That's correct.

22 Q Okay. All right. Any other documents, sir?

23 A The next exhibit.

24 Q Okay. And what is this document?

25 A This is the affidavit of the oath of office of me

1 and just a certificate of authenticity that the certification
2 was authenticated.

3 Q This is Ms. Hickenbottom's case?

4 A Yes. I had to file this in order for the court to
5 allow me to assist in her foreclosure.

6 Q How'd that go?

7 A I was able to assist her. We stopped the
8 foreclosure.

9 Q Using the techniques you've described before?

10 A Yes, sir.

11 Q Of UCC filing statements, all that?

12 A That's correct.

13 Q What is the next document?

14 A The next document is my oath of office for private
15 attorney general that I actually filed in the county clerk's
16 office and also had it apostilled by the secretary of state.

17 Q Okay. Now, what was the purpose of this document?

18 A Just to show that my good faith to the people of the
19 state that I was serving and also the American people that I
20 will always obey that oath to uphold the Constitution and
21 protect their rights and also advise them of their rights.

22 Q Okay. Did you mean it when you signed it?

23 A Yes, I did.

24 Q All right. I think that's all the documents in this
25 exhibit.

1 A Yes.

2 MR. ISAACSON: Okay. Could we look at Government's
3 Exhibit 809, please?

4 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: Sorry?

5 MR. ISAACSON: You guys pull up 809? Oh, is it?
6 Thank you very much.

7 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Now, Mr. Williams, so 809, do
8 you have it in front of you, sir?

9 A Yes, I do.

10 Q What is this document?

11 A This is a motion I filed which shows the undisputed
12 facts in this case.

13 Q Okay. Did I have it filed for you? Is that
14 correct?

15 A Yes, you did.

16 Q And is it a pleading in this particular matter?

17 A Yes, it is.

18 Q Would you like to move it into evidence, sir?

19 A Yes.

20 MR. ISAACSON: Your Honor, Mr. Williams would like
21 to move Exhibit 809 into evidence, Government's Exhibit 809.

22 THE COURT: Mr. Sorenson?

23 MR. SORENSON: Yes, Your Honor. We do object. I
24 mean, this is a series of affidavits that Mr. Williams has
25 filed in the context of this case with just hundreds and

1 hundreds of facts set out, it appears. These are all
2 out-of-court declarations offered to prove the truth of the
3 matters asserted in the declarations. Just appears to be an
4 effort to back door all of these facts into evidence, Your
5 Honor. But again, he can testify to these facts, if he so
6 wishes, but it's just a lot of stuff.

7 THE COURT: All right. The objection's sustained.
8 Mr. Williams is welcome to testify under oath.

9 MR. ISAACSON: Sorry, Your Honor. Just one moment,
10 if I may.

11 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Mr. Williams, attached to this
12 document is -- you written -- wrote -- written out some facts;
13 is that correct?

14 A That's correct.

15 Q Would you like me to ask about these events that are
16 in here so you can explain?

17 A Yes.

18 Q Is that important to your case, you think?

19 A Yes.

20 Q I'm going to go down and ask you about them. Is
21 that all right?

22 A Uh-huh.

23 Q All right. Mr. Williams, you discuss in
24 this -- your declaration, you mention Donna Hickenbottom?

25 A Yes.

1 Q Is that correct?

2 A That's correct.

3 Q How is Ms. Hickenbottom -- your interactions with
4 her relevant to this case?

5 A Well, Ms. Hickenbottom, she contacted me based on
6 also a video that she -- several videos she saw me in court
7 fighting a foreclosure and winning a foreclosure case, and so
8 she called me to Florida to help her and others that was in
9 foreclosure like herself. So I went to Florida to assist her
10 and the other people in Florida with their foreclosure cases.

11 Q Okay. And going through this, you mention
12 her -- she worked for MEI at some point?

13 A Yes. She really didn't have any money to pay -- pay
14 for my services 'cause, I mean, the attorneys that she hired
15 before, they just -- just drained her. So I just told her what
16 I would do is just help her for free and she can just assist me
17 in helping the people in Florida, helping me assist them in
18 getting their foreclosures stopped and keeping the people in
19 their home, and she agreed.

20 Q Okay. Then you mention a Ms. Powers; is that
21 correct?

22 A That's correct.

23 Q Okay. What about what she has done is relevant,
24 your activities for her relevant to this case?

25 A Yes, same thing. She was contacting me from

1 California. That's how I was able to open up a California
2 office because of Ms. Powers.

3 She contacted me about assisting her with her
4 foreclosure. I also assisted her free of charge because the
5 bank was trying to steal her home also.

6 Q And how'd that work out for her?

7 A She's still in her home, still fighting.

8 Q Okay. You mention a Cindy Brown in this document.

9 A Yes.

10 Q How were your experience with Ms. Brown relevant to
11 this case?

12 A She's also another foreclosure client. She's
13 actually a 1988 Olympic gold medalist for the women's
14 basketball team. She played in the WMBA. She still has the
15 most record for the points scored by a woman in a professional
16 basketball game which is 60 points.

17 Someone had forged her signature. Matter of fact,
18 it was a Caucasian lady that forged her signature, and the bank
19 was trying to foreclose her house based on a signature of a
20 woman of a different race, and so she contacted me. So I was
21 able to stop the foreclosure and she's still in her home as of
22 today.

23 Q Okay. You also mention a PJ Stewart. How is PJ
24 Stewart relevant to your case here?

25 A PJ Stewart actually worked in the office here on

1 Democrat with Anabel Cabebe. When I went to jail, I hired
2 Ms. Stewart to come to try to assist the people 'cause I was in
3 jail. So she was able to file a lot of the pleadings on behalf
4 of some of my clients here. She was very successful in
5 stopping the foreclosure.

6 She's one of the Caucasian women that worked for me
7 that I pointed out that she worked in the same office, but the
8 prosecution failed to mention her or even investigate her for
9 doing the same thing, and I felt like they did that only
10 because she was Caucasian.

11 Q Okay. Now, you mentioned -- a Robyn Kelly is
12 mentioned; is that correct?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And how is Ms. Kelly relevant to the case here?

15 A She's another employee of mine. She actually helped
16 me when I was locked up with the Hawaii clients. She was the
17 one that actually sent the letter to all the clients here
18 letting them know what happened to me, for them to cease making
19 any payments at that time because we didn't actually have
20 nobody else to be able to assist them in the foreclosure and it
21 made no sense for people to be sending their money; there was
22 nobody there to assist them.

23 Q Okay. Now, you'd mentioned a Joe Craig; is that
24 correct?

25 A That's correct.

1 Q And how is Mr. Craig relevant to your case?

2 A Mr. Craig is probably the reason why I'm actually
3 here today. He's a FBI agent in Tennessee. What he was doing
4 was calling around my clients at that time not only in
5 Tennessee but around the country, telling them that I'm a
6 crook, that my mortgage company is a fraud, that I change my
7 number every 30 days, I'm not a real minister.

8 And so what I did, I went to the FBI office to
9 confront this agent for telling all these lies, and I also
10 videotaped it and put it on YouTube. But Tennessee never filed
11 any charges against me for any mortgage fraud or anything like
12 that.

13 Q Okay. Now, you mention this -- this document
14 mentions a lawsuit against former employees. I think we'll
15 probably talk about that a little bit later?

16 A Yeah.

17 Q But did you mention this -- or is that a part of
18 your case?

19 A Yeah. I -- the reason why the complaints were made,
20 they were made against former employees that I actually fired
21 after I found out what they were doing.

22 Q Okay. Maybe we'll hit that just a little bit later.
23 Just scrolling this document, you mention in here
24 about the indictment failing to do things, it's more of a legal
25 thing -- sorry, excuse me, Your Honor, just want to get through

1 this.

2 This document mentions Hep -- Hep Guinn. Is
3 Ms. Guinn relevant to your case here?

4 A Yes. She's another former employee that stole my
5 documents. She was defrauding people, taking their money,
6 charging them cash without giving any receipt. She's copied a
7 lot of my documents, stole a lot of my documents, opened up my
8 mail when I was in jail and things of that nature. So I made a
9 complaint to the FBI against her also and to the DCCA.

10 Q Okay. We're going to go through this.

11 Sorry, Your Honor.

12 Oh, yeah. Mr. Williams, the rest of this has to do
13 with complaints against the U.S. Attorney -- a number of
14 grievances against them. That's a lot of this, right?

15 A Right. Well, the overall purpose was just to show
16 that the people they could have arrested, investigated, they
17 refused to because they were Caucasian. And they basically
18 just targeted me and didn't target any of my Caucasian
19 employees or any of my Asian employees.

20 MR. ISAACSON: Okay. Could go to Defense
21 Exhibit 2057? It has not been entered into evidence, 2057.

22 MR. SORENSON: 2057?

23 MR. ISAACSON: Correct. I had the wrong one.

24 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Mr. Williams, do you see
25 Exhibit 2057?

1 A Yes. This is actually the exhibit. It was -- it
2 would actually start on page 21.

3 Q Okay. Mr. Williams, do you recognize 2057?

4 A Yes.

5 Q Okay. And you want -- what page do you want to
6 refer to, sir?

7 A 21.

8 Q 21. Okay. Mr. Williams, do you recognize
9 Exhibit 2057, page 21?

10 A Yes, I do.

11 Q Through --

12 A 29.

13 Q I guess through 29?

14 A Yes, I do.

15 Q So, I'm sorry. 2057, 21 through 29, do you
16 recognize that document?

17 A Yes, I do.

18 Q Okay. What is this document?

19 A This document is actually for Ms. Powers or Rene
20 Powers. The Newport Beach Police Department --

21 Q Sorry, sir. Is that -- is this your letter?

22 A Yes. It's on my company letterhead.

23 Q Do you recognize this letterhead?

24 A Yes.

25 Q Is this the letterhead you were using back in

1 December '14?

2 A Yes.

3 Q Do you recognize -- do you see the person who

4 received the letter? Do you recognize that address?

5 A Yes.

6 Q Did you -- have you had a chance to look through

7 this document?

8 A Yes.

9 Q Did you write this document?

10 A Yes, I did.

11 Q Does it look exactly the same as when you wrote it?

12 A That's correct.

13 Q Okay. There's an F -- let me see. There's a

14 signature on page 27. Do you recognize that signature?

15 A Yes.

16 Q And whose signature is that?

17 A That's my signature.

18 Q And there are attachments to this on page 28 and 29.

19 Did you cause those -- is that your notary? Is that you?

20 A Yes, that's me.

21 Q You signed it? You swear to this?

22 A Yes, sir.

23 MR. ISAACSON: Okay. Your Honor, we would move to

24 admit 2057-21 through -29.

25 THE COURT: Mr. Sorenson?

1 MR. SORENSON: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. Object.
2 Your Honor, it does not appear to be relevant. It's also -- it
3 deals with California in a letter that he's written to the
4 Newport Beach Police Department about the sovereignty of a
5 client's automobile, it appears. We object.

6 THE COURT: All right. Over your objection, it's
7 received.

8 (Exhibits received into evidence.)

9 THE COURT: Do you wish to publish?

10 MR. ISAACSON: Yes, Your Honor, thank you.

11 THE COURT: All right. You may publish.

12 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Mr. Williams, this is a letter
13 addressed to Newport Beach Police Department?

14 A Yes, sir.

15 Q Okay. It's from your organization, CLOA?

16 A Yes, it is.

17 Q Why did you send this letter? What was the purpose
18 of it?

19 A They had -- they didn't recognize initially
20 Ms. Powers' assertion that her motor vehicle -- that her
21 automobile is not a motor vehicle. And so they unlawfully
22 ticketed her. And so I wrote them and showed them the law that
23 a motor vehicle is if you using your automobile in commerce and
24 charging people a fair rate or a fee according to Title 18
25 U.S.C. 38, paragraph 6 and 10.

1 Q Okay. And you wrote a long letter with the research
2 you had found out?

3 A That's correct.

4 Q Okay. And what was the result of this letter?

5 A They dismissed the ticket.

6 Q Okay. All right. And Ms. Powers, is that the one
7 you spoke of earlier?

8 A Yes.

9 Q Okay. I think we've already addressed 2127. Let
10 me --

11 A Yes.

12 Q Have we looked at 2128? I'm sorry?

13 A No, not yet.

14 Q Thank you. I would like to bring up, if I could,
15 Exhibit 2128.

16 Mr. Williams, have you -- can you please look at
17 Exhibit 2128?

18 A Yes.

19 Q Do you recognize that document?

20 A Yes, I do.

21 Q Is it a letter addressed to you?

22 A Yes, 2128 is.

23 Q For some -- a letter -- okay. Do you recall
24 receiving this letter?

25 A Yes, I do.

1 Q Okay. The date is April 2nd, 2019?

2 A That's correct.

3 Q Okay. Addressed to you -- is -- okay.

4 And what is the -- what is it about just the -- just
5 the general, not the contents, but the subject? What is this
6 about?

7 A Well, it was a complaint that I had wrote about the
8 fire drill procedures.

9 Q Okay. So is that what -- this is a response to that
10 letter?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Would you -- would you like to move it into
13 evidence?

14 A No, I would not.

15 Q You would not?

16 A I would like to enter it in.

17 Q You'd like it?

18 A Yes.

19 MR. ISAACSON: Your Honor, we'd like to move it in
20 as 2128.

21 THE COURT: Mr. Sorenson?

22 MR. SORENSON: Object, Your Honor. It appears to be
23 a letter from the City and County of Honolulu Fire Assistant
24 Chief. Don't know what relevance it has to this case.

25 THE COURT: I don't either, but I'm going to receive

1 it in. I think it's negligible. It's received over your
2 objection.

3 Do you wish to publish?

4 MR. ISAACSON: Yes, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: You may.

6 (Exhibit 2128 received into evidence.)

7 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Mr. Williams, this is a letter
8 written to you. Tell me a little bit more about what you did
9 to get this response.

10 A Well, I wrote the Honolulu Fire Department because
11 the fire drill procedures were inadequate at the FDC. When we
12 have a fire drill, they didn't let us go out the actual
13 facility, the actual unit; we just had to line up on the wall.
14 And I was like this is -- this is in violation of BOP policy.
15 We supposed to actually have a exit plan, supposed to be able
16 to exit out of the building to a -- you know, a area where
17 everybody meets up and you count us.

18 And I just complained that this is not adequate for
19 a fire drill. If we have a real fire, we will really be in
20 danger.

21 So they addressed me back and addressed me as
22 Private Attorney General Anthony Williams.

23 Q Okay. Very good. Anything else about this document
24 you want to refer to?

25 A No, sir.

1 Q Okay. Could we pull up Exhibit 2129?

2 A We already did that one.

3 Q Oh, we did?

4 A Yes. That was already entered in.

5 Q Did we -- sorry. Perhaps not. Let's take a look at

6 it, if we could, 2129.

7 Mr. Williams, do you recognize 2129?

8 A Yes.

9 Q Okay. Without disclosing its contents, do you

10 recognize who it's addressed to?

11 A Yes. It's addressed to me, Private Attorney General

12 Anthony Williams.

13 Q That's your address currently at FDC?

14 A Yes, it is.

15 Q And did you receive this letter?

16 A Yes, I did.

17 Q Okay. And what is the general subject letter of

18 this -- of this letter?

19 A I was complaining, like I said before, about the FBI

20 agents going around to some of the defendants' families and

21 harassing them and trying to intimidate them. So I wrote the

22 FBI headquarters to tell their FBI agents to stop doing that.

23 Q Is this the response you received?

24 A That's correct.

25 Q Your Honor -- would you like to move it into

1 evidence?

2 A It already have been. She moved it in earlier.

3 THE COURT: Is 2129 in evidence?

4 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: It is not, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: All right.

6 MR. ISAACSON: Well, we'd like to move it into

7 evidence.

8 THE COURT: All right. Any objection?

9 MR. SORENSON: No objection, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: All right. Received.

11 You wish to publish?

12 MR. ISAACSON: Yes, Your Honor.

13 THE COURT: You may publish.

14 (Exhibit 2129 received into evidence.)

15 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) So is this the response you got
16 from the FBI?

17 A Yes, this is the response.

18 Q I guess they indicate to you they did not do any
19 further investigation?

20 A That's correct.

21 Q Is there anything else about this exhibit you'd like
22 to refer to, Mr. Williams?

23 A No, sir.

24 Q Okay. Could we pull up Document 2077.

25 Mr. Williams, do you have Exhibit 2077 in front of

1 you?

2 A Yes. I think page 29 and 30 would only be probably
3 the part that's relevant.

4 Q So, Mr. Williams, looking at Exhibit 2077, you wish
5 to refer to what page?

6 A 29.

7 Q 29? Okay. How many pages? Just a couple? I'm
8 sorry?

9 A Yeah, just 29 and 30.

10 Q Okay. In terms of Exhibit 2077, page 29 and 30, do
11 you recognize this, this document?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And what is this document?

14 A This document is actually a letter from another law
15 firm addressed to me.

16 Q Okay. Law firm in Massachusetts?

17 A That's correct.

18 Q Okay. And was this mailed -- sorry. Do you recall
19 receiving this letter?

20 A Yes, I do.

21 Q Okay. In 2015?

22 A That's correct.

23 Q And then is this letter the same condition that it
24 was when you received it?

25 A Yes, it is.

1 Q Is it related to the events re mortgage and other
2 issues?

3 A That's correct.

4 Q Would you like to move it into evidence?

5 A Yes, I would.

6 MR. ISAACSON: Your Honor, Mr. Williams would seek
7 to move into evidence Exhibit 2077, pages 29 and 30?

8 THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Sorenson?

9 MR. SORENSON: Well, yes, Your Honor, and I'll try
10 not to object too much to these. But this one is not drafted
11 by him. It just appears to be a hearsay letter written to him
12 by a law firm and according to -- I guess related to one of his
13 clients, Jeremy Edmondson, who is not a Hawaii client.

14 THE COURT: All right. Over the objection -- I
15 think it has no relevance too, but over the objection of the
16 government, I'll receive those two pages. Is that 29 and 30?

17 MR. ISAACSON: Yes, ma'am.

18 THE COURT: Okay.

19 (Exhibits received into evidence.)

20 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Mr. Williams, how do pages 29
21 and 30 -- how are they relevant to this case?

22 A Well, the prosecution has alluded -- tried to assert
23 that I'm not recognized as a private attorney general or I'm
24 not recognized by some of their colleagues as a counselor,
25 anything like that. This letter is actually from an attorney

1 at law law firm and they address me as counselor for my client.

2 Q Okay. Is there anything else about this document
3 you'd like to refer to?

4 A No, sir.

5 Q All right.

6 THE COURT: Did you want to publish that? 'Cause it
7 wasn't published.

8 MR. ISAACSON: Oh, I'm sorry.

9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

10 MR. ISAACSON: Forgive me, Your Honor, I'd like to
11 publish it.

12 THE DEFENDANT: And this letter was sent to me after
13 they initially refused to speak to me stating that I wasn't a
14 member of the bar. And so I sent them the power of attorney.
15 Also I sent them a copy of the U.S. Supreme Court rulings that
16 gives me the right to assist people, and then they sent me this
17 letter stating about my client.

18 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Okay. Mr. Edmondson, is he a
19 former client of yours?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Very good. Were you able to help him?

22 A Yes, I was.

23 Q All right. Thank you, sir.

24 Could we pull up 2081? Thank you. Thank you.

25 Mr. Williams, do you have 2081 in front of you?

1 A Yes, I do.

2 Q Do you recognize this document?

3 A Yes, I do.

4 Q What is this document?

5 A This is a letter I sent to the Department of
6 Justice. At that time the Attorney General was Eric Holder.

7 Q Okay. So are you referring just -- there's
8 two -- are you referring to just the first two pages of 2081?

9 A Yeah, just the first two pages. It's to Eric Holder
10 the second two.

11 Q Okay. So let's do the first -- 2081, pages 1 and 2.

12 A Okay.

13 Q Is this -- the date of the letter is May 2013; is
14 that correct?

15 A That's correct.

16 Q And there's a letterhead. You familiar with the
17 letterhead?

18 A Yes, I am.

19 Q How are you familiar with that?

20 A That's my Office of the Private Attorney General
21 letterhead.

22 Q Okay. Out of Nashville, Tennessee, at that time?

23 A That's correct.

24 Q Okay. And do you recall writing this letter?

25 A Very vividly.

1 Q Okay. So the letter is to Mr. Holder from you. Was
2 this the form that it was in when you sent it?

3 A Yes, it is.

4 Q Did you send this letter?

5 A Yes, I did.

6 Q Regarding the issues that we're talking about today?

7 A That's correct.

8 MR. ISAACSON: Your Honor, I would move -- would you
9 like it to be entered into evidence, Mr. Williams?

10 THE WITNESS: Yes, I would.

11 MR. ISAACSON: Your Honor, on Mr. Williams's behalf,
12 I would move into exhibit -- move into evidence
13 Exhibit 2081-001 and -002.

14 THE COURT: Any objection?

15 MR. SORENSON: No objection, Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: All right. Received.

17 (Exhibits received into evidence.)

18 MR. ISAACSON: Would you like me to publish it,
19 Mr. Williams?

20 THE WITNESS: Yes.

21 MR. ISAACSON: Could we please publish it?

22 THE COURT: You may.

23 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Mr. Williams, this is a letter
24 from you to the Attorney General at that time, Mr. Eric Holder?

25 A That's correct.

1 Q Why did you send this letter?

2 A To notify him of the fraud that was being committed
3 against the homeowners in Hawaii and that something needs to be
4 done about it.

5 Q All right. So let me just take a second. So
6 2013 -- when did you come to Hawaii again?

7 A The first time in 2012, but I didn't start writing
8 up clients till 2013.

9 Q Okay. So this is in May of 2013?

10 A That's correct.

11 Q So you had already started your business here in
12 Hawaii?

13 A That's correct.

14 Q And in this letter you make no bones about it,
15 talking about corruption of judges and others; is that right?

16 A That's correct.

17 Q Why did you feel so strongly you needed to write to
18 Mr. Holder?

19 A Because him as the Attorney General, he's the
20 law -- the highest law enforcement officer in the country. I
21 had previously wrote the Attorney General here -- never
22 responded. So I felt like the only other relief I had was to
23 write the head Attorney General and let him know what was going
24 on.

25 Q Well, you'd only, I mean, kind of been here kind of

1 a relatively short time. What happened to make you write this
2 letter?

3 A When I would appear in court, some of the judges,
4 they would disregard like the trial by jury demand that I would
5 file on behalf of clients. Actually, they would charge \$200
6 for you to even file it, to even write the motion. And so I
7 was like that's illegal, that's a constitutional right; you
8 can't charge clients \$200 just to file a motion to assert a
9 constitutional right.

10 Well, they would never file the motion. So we would
11 have to pay \$200 to file the motion. Then when we'd file the
12 motion, they'd deny it. I was like, okay, you knew you was
13 going to deny the motion; why would you have my client pay \$200
14 which they shouldn't have to pay? I never had to pay this in
15 no other state.

16 Q These people that you represented, were they very
17 wealthy people; they could easily afford \$200?

18 A No, they was not.

19 Q Is that one of the reasons you wrote this letter?

20 A Yes, it is.

21 Q Is there anything else about this document you wish
22 to --

23 A No.

24 Q Do you want to go to the next letter here?

25 A Yes.

1 Q Okay. If we could go to 2081 and 3 and 4.
2 Sir, do you recognize this document?
3 A Yes, I do.
4 Q What is this document?
5 A This is a letter from me to the TSA.
6 Q Okay. Is it the same day as the previous document?
7 A That's correct.
8 MR. SORENSON: What was the number?
9 MR. ISAACSON: Sorry, 2081, 3 and 4.
10 MR. SORENSON: Part of the same one?
11 MR. ISAACSON: Yeah.
12 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) I'm sorry, Mr. Williams. Do you
13 recognize the letterhead?
14 A Yes, I do.
15 Q Is this a letter you wrote?
16 A Yes, it is.
17 Q To the Department of Homeland Security?
18 A That's correct.
19 Q And this is the same form it was when you mailed it?
20 A Yes, it is.
21 Q Relevant to these issues you just talked about?
22 A Yes, it is.
23 Q Would you like to move it into evidence,
24 Mr. Williams?
25 A Yes.

1 MR. ISAACSON: Your Honor, on Mr. Williams's behalf,
2 I move in 2081-003 and -004.

3 THE COURT: Any objection?

4 MR. SORENSON: No objection, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: Received. You wish to publish?

6 MR. ISAACSON: Yes, Your Honor.

7 (Exhibits received into evidence.)

8 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) So, Mr. Williams, why did you
9 send -- is it basically the same letter?

10 A No, it's totally different letter.

11 Q Sorry, sorry, sorry. What is this letter?

12 A This letter was when I was flying, some of the TSA
13 airports, they would detain me longer than need be because of
14 my private attorney general ID 'cause they never seen nothing
15 like it before and so they would have to do checking. I would
16 be detained for too long for them to check and they would
17 finally check and sometime I would almost miss flights, you
18 know, for them having to take so long to verify my private
19 attorney general status.

20 Q Okay. Did you get any result from this letter?

21 A Yeah. After I sent this letter -- the director's
22 name was Richard Wiles -- he told me what he was going to do is
23 fax my private attorney general ID to all the TSAs so I
24 wouldn't have that problem of delay. So after that I didn't
25 have no more problem when I went through the airport.

1 Q Even here in Hawaii?

2 A Even here in Hawaii.

3 Q Anything else in this document you'd like to refer,
4 Mr. Williams?

5 A No, sir.

6 Q Okay. What number was that?

7 A 2081.

8 Q Thank you. Could we pull up 2086?

9 Mr. Williams, have you had a chance to look through
10 there yet?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Okay. Do you have what's in front of you marked as
13 Defense Exhibit 2086?

14 A Yes, I do.

15 Q Is there any pages specifically you'd like to refer
16 to in this document?

17 A Just page 1 through 6.

18 Q Okay. Mr. Williams, 2086, pages 1 to 6, do you
19 recognize these documents?

20 A Yes, I do.

21 Q And if you could just describe them without
22 describing their contents.

23 A This is a actual lawsuit that I filed -- I was going
24 to file against TSA.

25 Q Okay. You did or you were going to?

1 A I were going to file it.

2 Q Okay. And did you -- who drafted this document?

3 A I did.

4 Q Okay. And is it relevant to this case here?

5 A Yes, it is.

6 Q Would you like me to move it into evidence?

7 A Yes.

8 MR. ISAACSON: Your Honor, on behalf of
9 Mr. Williams, I would move into evidence 2086-1 to 2086-6.

10 THE COURT: Mr. Sorenson?

11 MR. SORENSON: No objection, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: Received.

13 (Exhibits received into evidence.)

14 THE COURT: You wish to publish?

15 MR. ISAACSON: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.

16 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Mr. Your
17 Honor -- Mr. Williams -- Mr. Williams, so tell me -- tell us
18 what this document is.

19 A This is actually a lawsuit I didn't get to file
20 'cause I end up getting unlawfully incarcerated. But this is
21 on the incident that Dr. Horowitz had testified about when I
22 went through the Kona Airport with my private attorney general
23 ID and they refused to call the FBI to verify the ID and they
24 would not allow me on the plane. And they didn't verify it so
25 I was -- they prevented me from flying. So we actually had to

1 spend the night in Kona and then drive to Hilo, and that
2 morning we went to the Hilo Airport. I presented my private
3 attorney general ID to the Hilo TSA, they called the FBI,
4 verified it, and I was able to get on the plane.

5 I drafted this lawsuit to file against them, but I
6 never got to complete it because I got incarcerated 10 days
7 later with the rape and child molestation charges.

8 Q Okay. All right. And -- all right. Is there
9 anything about this document else you'd like to refer?

10 A No, sir.

11 Q Okay. Anything else in 2086 at this time?

12 A No.

13 MR. ISAACSON: Could we pull up 2083, please?

14 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Mr. Williams, do you have
15 Exhibit 2083 before you?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Is there any page in particular you'd like to refer
18 to?

19 A Just page 3 and 4 'cause the rest of it seems like
20 it's just a duplication of the same pages.

21 Q Okay. So you'd like me to refer to 3 and 4, sir?

22 A Yes, sir.

23 Q You have before you 2083, pages 3 and 4?

24 A Yes, I do.

25 Q And what are these -- or do you recognize these

1 documents?

2 A Yes, I do.

3 Q And could you -- without disclosing the contents,
4 could you describe them?

5 A It's a affidavit of publication from the Nashville
6 newspaper to me.

7 Q Okay. That's -- is that page 3?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And what is page 4?

10 A That's the actual -- one of the filings that was
11 filed.

12 Q Okay. And this is just what you had filed in the
13 other -- referred to in the other document?

14 A Yes.

15 Q So this page is an affidavit of publication of the
16 next page?

17 A Right. Well, one of the -- all of them, not only
18 this one, but there was more documents than this.

19 Q Is it relevant to the case here?

20 A Yes.

21 Q How is it relevant?

22 A These are the documents I would file like with my
23 private attorney general oath, my sovereign peace officer oath,
24 after I would file it in the county, have it apostilled, then I
25 would have it published in the newspaper for 30 days to give

1 any juristic governmental agency a opportunity to dispute it,
2 the validity of it. If they don't dispute it in 30 days, then
3 it becomes a matter of public record and public law.

4 Q And these seals, have you seen these before? These
5 appear to be the right seals?

6 A Yes, it is.

7 Q Would you like to move it into evidence,
8 Mr. Williams?

9 A Yes.

10 MR. ISAACSON: Your Honor, on Mr. Williams's behalf,
11 I move in 2083-3 and -4.

12 THE COURT: Any objection?

13 MR. SORENSON: No objection, Your Honor.

14 THE COURT: Received.

15 MR. ISAACSON: May I publish, Your Honor?

16 THE COURT: You may.

17 (Exhibits received into evidence.)

18 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Okay. So, if I may,
19 Mr. Williams, the Document 2083-3 is the affidavit of
20 publication?

21 A That's correct.

22 Q And -4 is something called commercial affidavit of
23 truth.

24 A Yes.

25 Q Okay. Without reading the contents of it, why did

1 you file this?

2 A This just to show the laws regarding the UCC in
3 discharging debt without having to use Federal Reserve notes,
4 and I included all the UCC law that gives the American people a
5 remedy in discharging their debts.

6 Q Why did you put this in the paper?

7 A Because I wanted anybody to be able to look it up,
8 look at those laws and see that those are actually valid laws.
9 I wanted the public to know.

10 Q Mr. Williams, if you give this information out for
11 free, then they can't hire you.

12 A They don't -- I wasn't doing it to get money -- to
13 educate people.

14 Q Is there anything else in 2083, sir, you'd like the
15 jury to consider, sir?

16 A No, sir.

17 MR. ISAACSON: Could we put -- pull up, please,
18 2099?

19 THE WITNESS: It's going to be I think page 24.

20 MR. ISAACSON: One moment, Your Honor. Sorry, Your
21 Honor, just -- thank you.

22 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Mr. Williams, do you have
23 exhibit -- Defense Exhibit 2099 in front of you?

24 A Yes, I do.

25 Q Is there a page in there, a particular page you'd

1 like us to refer to?

2 A It's 24 and 25.

3 Q Mr. Williams, you've talked 2099-24 and -25.

4 Without talking about the contents, do you recognize the
5 document?

6 A Yes, I do.

7 Q And how do you recognize it?

8 A It's actually a IRS form they sent to me.

9 Q Okay. Is your address on here?

10 A Yes, it is.

11 Q Okay. Do you recall receiving this document from
12 the Internal Revenue Service?

13 A Yes, I do.

14 Q Okay. And -- okay. And does it have relevance to
15 this case?

16 A Yes, it does.

17 Q How is it relevant?

18 A Just shows that I did apply to the IRS for the
19 United States Office of the Private Attorney General so the IRS
20 would actually know about my company, they got to issue me a
21 EIN number for the United States Office of the Private Attorney
22 General.

23 Q Okay. And would you like me to move this into
24 evidence?

25 A Yes.

1 Q Just these two pages you want?

2 A Just those two.

3 MR. ISAACSON: Your Honor, on behalf of
4 Mr. Williams, I move to admit 2099-24 and 2099-25.

5 THE COURT: Any objection?

6 MR. SORENSON: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

7 THE COURT: Received.

8 (Exhibits received into evidence.)

9 MR. ISAACSON: Okay. May I publish?

10 THE COURT: You may.

11 MR. ISAACSON: Thank you.

12 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) So, Mr. Williams, this dated
13 back in June of 2013; is that correct?

14 A That's correct.

15 Q And it's addressed to you?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And what is your -- without reading the whole
18 letter, what are they asking you? What does the IRS want from
19 you?

20 A Well, this is -- I had to apply in order to get a
21 business EIN number for the United States Office of the Private
22 Attorney General. Once I filled out the application properly,
23 then they sent me the EIN number that I could use for the
24 office.

25 Q So you're able to get an EIN number from the IRS?

1 A Yes.

2 Q Anything else about this document you wish to
3 discuss with the jury?

4 A No, sir.

5 Q Anything else in this exhibit, sir?

6 A No, sir.

7 MR. ISAACSON: If we could pull up Government
8 Exhibit 817, please.

9 THE COURT: It's been admitted. Do you wish to
10 publish?

11 MR. ISAACSON: Yes, Your Honor, if I may.

12 Mr. -- may I publish?

13 THE COURT: Yes. It's published.

14 MR. ISAACSON: Thank you.

15 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Mr. Williams, what is this
16 document?

17 A This is a MoneyGram that I had sent to the lady I
18 had rented the office space in Washington, D.C.

19 Q So -- so back a little bit. So there was testimony
20 given in this case about whether or not you had an office in
21 Washington, D.C., right?

22 A That's correct.

23 Q That's the private attorney general office?

24 A Right.

25 Q And the mortgage?

1 A That's correct.

2 Q Is that correct?

3 A That's correct.

4 Q Okay. And how does this document relate to that
5 issue?

6 A Well, the FBI agent Oleski testified that he had
7 spoke with Ms. Dorita Dixon and she said that she don't know
8 me, she's never contacted me, don't know about me, never had
9 any communication with me. And so this is the government
10 exhibit that I showed that that's completely false, that I did
11 rent out the office space there for that purpose, to have a
12 presence in Washington, D.C., and I hired Ms. Shirley Stewart
13 and a few others to run the Washington, D.C. area.

14 Q Well, just 817, you sent her money?

15 A Yes, I did.

16 Q Ms. Dixon?

17 A I did.

18 Q That's what this document says, right?

19 A Yes.

20 Q How much was the money?

21 A At this time it was \$130.

22 Q What was the purpose of you sending \$130 to
23 Ms. Dixon?

24 A So the United States Office of Private Attorney
25 General and Federal Mortgage American Trust would have an

1 address there with the office that we had there.

2 Q And does Ms. Dixon live at the address that we're
3 talking about?

4 A Yes, she had a office there.

5 Q So this is the address that you used that we've
6 heard about?

7 A That's correct.

8 Q Okay. So at the time that you rented this office
9 from Ms. Dixon, was that using that as a business address?

10 A Yes.

11 Q How did you get ahold of her? What was that about?

12 A I wanted to have a office in Washington, D.C. So a
13 young lady named Shirley Stewart, I end up helping her and she
14 lived in the Washington, D.C. area. So the -- my agreement
15 with her was I help her set up the office there and Ms. Dorita
16 Dixon said she already had a office space where we could
17 actually have our mail sent there instead of going to the
18 actual physical location. So I paid her for the office space
19 there so we could have our mail, packages and things like that
20 sent to that office.

21 Q There's been allegations made that you never had a
22 D.C. office, this is all -- you didn't meet her. How do you
23 respond to that?

24 A Well, the paperwork speaks for itself.

25 Q All right. Okay. Did you -- now, did you -- were

1 you registered as a business at this address, though, in D.C.
2 or --

3 A The actual business address was 1717 Pennsylvania
4 Avenue. This was just a mailing address. But the actual
5 physical address was 1717 Pennsylvania Avenue.

6 Q Okay.

7 A That's where the actual office was.

8 Q And you had folks working there for you?

9 A Yes, I did.

10 Q Who were they again?

11 A Shirley Stewart, Stacey Serrano.

12 Q All right. And obviously you had met Ms. Dixon?

13 A Yes, I have.

14 Q Anything else you'd like to talk about with regard
15 to 817, sir?

16 A No, sir.

17 MR. ISAACSON: Thank you. Could we go to
18 Exhibit 2093?

19 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Mr. Williams?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Okay. Do you have in front of you Defense
22 Exhibit 2093?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Is there any documents you wish to specifically
25 refer to in this?

1 A Yeah. We'll start on probably page 25. That's
2 actually relevant to this case.

3 Q Okay. And how long -- how many pages do you
4 want -- wish to address?

5 A It goes all the way to 62. Yeah, 62.

6 Q Mr. Williams, you have in front of you Exhibit 2093,
7 pages 25 through 62?

8 A Yes.

9 Q What is it -- without talking about the contents of
10 it, what is this document?

11 A This is a motion I filed on behalf of one of my
12 Hawaii clients.

13 Q Okay. And just what -- briefly, what kind of
14 document is it?

15 A It was defending against the Association of
16 Apartment Owners. They were basically suing him for his
17 altering of the apartments to rent them out to different
18 people.

19 Q Okay. So this is a client of yours; is that
20 correct?

21 A Yes, he's --

22 Q You helped him -- did you write this?

23 A Yes, I did.

24 Q Okay.

25 A He's a former judge here in Hawaii.

1 Q Okay. Is this basically the form that you remember
2 it being in?

3 A Yes.

4 Q And would you like to seek it -- move it into
5 evidence?

6 A Yes.

7 MR. ISAACSON: Your Honor, on behalf of
8 Mr. Williams, I would move 2093, pages 25 through 62.

9 THE COURT: All right. Any objection?

10 MR. SORENSON: No, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Received. Do you wish to publish?

12 THE WITNESS: Yes.

13 MR. ISAACSON: Yes.

14 (Exhibits received into evidence.)

15 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Mr. Williams, let me just ask
16 you the first page. You -- so this is an answer and
17 counterclaim you drafted?

18 A That's correct.

19 Q And for Mr. Lee who you had met?

20 A Yes, sir.

21 Q Judge -- former judge?

22 A Yes, sir.

23 Q Tell us about -- just the circumstances of you
24 filing this.

25 A Mr. Judge Lee, he had came to my office on 500 Ala

1 Moana Boulevard. He had heard about me. He had heard about
2 some of the other people that I had represented in court. Him
3 and his wife came to visit me. He had told me he had talked to
4 I think seven attorneys at that time to try to assist him with
5 his litigation against the Apartment Owners Association. After
6 a 2-hour conversation with me, he hired me. He said that I
7 knew more in law than any of the attorneys that he had talked
8 to previously.

9 Q So -- so this lawsuit, is this a foreclosure or just
10 a apartment owners -- sorry, sorry. Forgive me.

11 This is a foreclosure?

12 A No, this is not a foreclosure. This is a civil case
13 against him by the Apartment Owners Association.

14 Q Owners Association?

15 A Right.

16 Q Why -- is that something you do as well?

17 A Well, yeah, he -- what they was alleging that he was
18 altering the apartment units without their approval.

19 Q He was what now? Doing what?

20 A Altering.

21 Q Altering.

22 A Like he would make half apartment; he would build a
23 wall and then rent out this part and rent out that apartment,
24 and they were alleging that he didn't have the authority to do
25 that. And I told him that as long as he was the one that was

1 paying it, then he could, but when he vacated the property,
2 then he would have to put it back to the form that it was when
3 he rented it from them.

4 Q Did you charge Mr. Lee a lot of money?

5 A No, I did not.

6 Q How much did you charge him?

7 A On this case was 1500.

8 Q You wrote this -- you wrote other documents too for
9 Mr. Lee?

10 A Yes.

11 Q What was the result?

12 A He was able to still keep the apartments the way
13 they were. He didn't have to change them back unless he moved
14 out.

15 Q Okay.

16 A You can see on page 43 is his affidavit.

17 MR. ISAACSON: Could we publish page 43, Your Honor?

18 THE COURT: You may.

19 THE WITNESS: And you look on 44 where he lists his
20 law school education where he went to law school and
21 everything.

22 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) All right. Okay. Very good.
23 Is there anything else in this document, sir, you'd like us to
24 refer to?

25 A No, sir.

1 THE COURT: Would this be a good time to take a
2 recess, Mr. Isaacson, or would you like to go on to another
3 document?

4 MR. ISAACSON: Probably good time, Judge.

5 THE COURT: Okay. So, ladies and gentlemen, we'll
6 take our next recess for 15 minutes. If you'd leave your iPads
7 and your notebooks behind, of course. Don't do any research,
8 Google, or investigate any of the witnesses or items or issues
9 that you've heard.

10 Please rise for the jury. They're on a 15-minute recess.

11 (A recess was taken.)

12 (Open court out of the presence of the jury.)

13 THE COURT: All right. The record will reflect the
14 presence of Mr. Williams and counsel.

15 Any matters we need to take up before we bring in the
16 jury?

17 MR. ISAACSON: Your Honor, I wanted to advise the
18 Court in speaking to Mr. Williams, I believe it's taken,
19 obviously, a little bit of time, but we believe we can wrap up
20 tomorrow by about 10:00, 10:30 if we spill over until tomorrow.
21 I mean, that's the reason --

22 THE COURT: On your direct?

23 MR. ISAACSON: On our direct, Judge.

24 THE COURT: Well, we'll just keep going. You know,
25 I mean, he has the right to testify and so we'll keep going,

1 and of course I wouldn't want to deprive the government of
2 their right to cross-examine. So I think we wanted to space it
3 out. We wouldn't do closing till Wednesday.

4 MR. ISAACSON: No. I just --

5 THE COURT: Thank you. I appreciate the update.

6 If nothing further, we'll have Ms. Elkington get the jury
7 and we're in recess.

8 (A recess was taken.)

9 (Open court in the presence of the jury.)

10 THE COURT: The record will reflect the presence of
11 the ladies and gentlemen of the jury, counsel, and
12 Mr. Williams.

13 Mr. Isaacson, you may continue questioning.

14 MR. ISAACSON: Thank you, Your Honor.

15 Could we please -- could Mr. Williams be handed exhibit
16 2080?

17 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Mr. Williams, are you ready?

18 A Yes.

19 Q Okay. Sir, do you have before you what's been
20 marked as Defense Exhibit 2080?

21 A Yes, I do.

22 Q Are there any materials within 2080 you would like
23 to refer to?

24 A First the documents 1 through 5 and also page 9 are
25 all relevant to the same.

1 Q Okay. Well, may we start with -- so you would like
2 to refer to page 1 to 5 to begin?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Okay. Without describing contents of the documents,
5 do you recognize them?

6 A Yes, I do.

7 Q And how do you recognize these documents?

8 A This was a fax sent to me by one of my clients.

9 Q Okay. And do you recognize -- do you recognize it
10 as such a document?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Do you recall receiving this?

13 A Yes, I do.

14 Q And do you recognize the names on this document?

15 A Yes, I do.

16 Q And is it relevant to this case?

17 A Yes, it is.

18 Q How is it relevant?

19 A This is one of my MEI clients. He's actually one of
20 the clients that allowed me to use his results that I got for
21 him to show people that what I do actually does work if I'm
22 allowed to let it go through the whole process.

23 Q Are these documents true and accurate copies of
24 this --

25 A Yes.

1 Q -- the facts you received?

2 A Yes.

3 Q Would you like me to move it into evidence?

4 A Yes, I would.

5 MR. ISAACSON: Your Honor, Mr. Williams -- on his
6 behalf I would move into evidence 2080-001 to 2080-005.

7 THE COURT: Any objection?

8 MR. SORENSON: Well, no, Your Honor. I was just
9 seeing if there's anything else in here that's maybe more in
10 context, but perhaps 2080-12.

11 MR. ISAACSON: We're just moving in 2080-1 through -5.

12 MR. SORENSON: Yeah, I'm just telling you that I --

13 MR. ISAACSON: Oh.

14 MR. SORENSON: -- would suggest a couple more pages.
15 We need more, right?

16 THE DEFENDANT: I'ma offer those in too, but right
17 now I just want to do the 1 through 5 and then we can go
18 through the rest of them.

19 THE COURT: Well, let's all do it one time. What do
20 you want in on 2080?

21 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. 1 through 9, and then
22 page 143 through 155.

23 MR. SORENSON: 155?

24 THE WITNESS: Yes, 150 through 155. That's the MEI
25 mortgage.

1 MR. SORENSON: No objection, Your Honor, for these
2 pages.

3 THE COURT: Well, I'm prepared to accept the whole
4 thing 'cause it's just getting complicated to what's in, these
5 huge exhibits. Any objection to the court receiving the
6 entirety of 2080? Seems to be sort of the history of this
7 loan.

8 MR. SORENSON: I haven't had a chance to look at all
9 of them yet, Judge.

10 THE COURT: Okay.

11 MR. SORENSON: I don't know if there's anything just
12 crazy irrelevant, but --

13 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, I'll give you
14 an opportunity to object subsequently.

15 MR. SORENSON: I think you've done that, Your Honor
16 and, I mean, if the Court wants this in, then so be it.

17 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to receive the entirety
18 of Exhibit 2080.

19 MR. ISAACSON: Thank you, Your Honor.

20 (Exhibit 2080 received into evidence.)

21 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Mr. Williams, what document
22 would you like the jury to refer to?

23 A Page 2.

24 MR. ISAACSON: May we publish page 2 of
25 Exhibit 2080, please?

1 THE COURT: You may.

2 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Mr. Williams, what is your
3 understanding of this document?

4 A This is actually the credit report of one of my
5 clients that I got his mortgage voided and deleted off of his
6 credit report.

7 Q All right. So who is -- who is John Edward Hick?

8 A He's actually one of my clients from Chicago. He's
9 a Chicago police officer.

10 Q Okay. And this document No. 2, if we could scroll
11 down -- sorry. Can we go back up just for one second?

12 The right-hand corner, does that say TransUnion up
13 there?

14 A That's correct.

15 Q And if I may, is this a credit report?

16 A That's correct.

17 Q Okay. If we could go back down to the bottom of the
18 page.

19 What do the -- what is your understanding what the
20 BAC Home Loan Service LP results stand for?

21 A That stands for the -- that was the actual -- the
22 mortgage company Bank of America that had a mortgage out on
23 him. We filed the documents, as you will see the other
24 documents I sent to the credit bureaus, after we sent the RESPA
25 request, the qualified written request, asked Bank of America

1 to validate it. They didn't, so I filed the paperwork with the
2 credit bureaus to show them that they never validated it so
3 they have to completely void it and delete it off his credit
4 report. And this is the fax after he got the report back from
5 the credit bureaus that it had been deleted.

6 Q Okay. Very good. In regard to any -- I know it's a
7 pretty big document, Mr. Williams. Anything else you'd like to
8 refer the jury to?

9 A Yes, page 6.

10 MR. ISAACSON: If we could publish page 6, please.

11 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Mr. Williams, do you -- can you
12 describe what the page -- sorry -- page 6 is?

13 A This is a affidavit that I will file on behalf of my
14 clients. I would have them file an affidavit and this is
15 actually sent to the credit bureaus, also to the bank to show
16 that my client has a UCC lien that has been filed on their
17 property, they have a security interest, and that the credit
18 bureau does not have the authority as a third party intervenor
19 to report inaccurate credit information on there once it's been
20 disputed. And so this is one of the affidavits we would send
21 on behalf of clients.

22 Q All right. And Mr. Hicks, is that his signature?

23 A Yes, it is.

24 Q Okay. He signed this document?

25 A Yes, he did.

1 Q Okay. Is this one of the things you believe caused
2 the credit union document to say -- strike.

3 A Yeah, that's one of them.

4 Q Okay.

5 A It's not all, but just one of them.

6 Q Any other documents?

7 A Yes. Page 12 is the UCC financing statement.

8 MR. ISAACSON: If we could go to page 12 -- publish
9 page 12, please?

10 THE COURT: You may.

11 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Sir, Mr. Williams, what is
12 page 12?

13 A This is the UCC financing statement filed on behalf
14 of my client in Illinois.

15 Q Okay. And is this, again, the same Mr. Hicks?

16 A Yes, it is.

17 Q Is this -- okay. Is this similar or the same as
18 what you filed here in Hawaii?

19 A Exactly the same.

20 Q Okay. Is there anything particular about this
21 document you would like to show the jury?

22 A Just it's just a standard UCC 1 form that I file on
23 behalf of all of my clients in all the states.

24 Q Okay. Is there anything else in this document, sir?

25 A No, sir.

1 Q Okay. All right. Mr. Williams, just before we pass
2 this on, this is all with regard to Mr. Hicks?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Okay. And you assisted Mr. Hicks?

5 A Yes, I did.

6 Q Is this all you filed for him or is this some of it?

7 A That's not even 10 percent of what I filed. They
8 didn't -- the government didn't provide all the documents, so I
9 wasn't able to get all the documents I filed on his behalf.
10 Those are just a very small number of the documents that I had
11 to file in order to be able to get the mortgage, to delete it
12 and void it.

13 Q So this the kind of work you did in Hawaii too?

14 A Yes, sir.

15 Q I'm sorry. Is there anything -- Mr. Williams, I
16 didn't mean to rush you on 2080. Is there --

17 A Page 15 is an actual affidavit that was sent to
18 TransUnion for that credit report to be generated.

19 Q Sorry. Would you like the jury to see that?

20 A Yes, page 15.

21 Q Sorry. Could you we publish 2080 -- what page,
22 Mr. Williams?

23 A Page 15.

24 Q -- page 15, please?

25 Is this what we just looked at?

1 A No, it's different because I would send a letter to
2 all three credit bureaus, to Experian, Equifax, and TransUnion.

3 Q This is one for --

4 A This is specifically one for TransUnion.

5 Q Oh, I see. Okay. And very good. Is there anything
6 else you want to add to this document, Mr. Williams, you want
7 to talk about?

8 A No.

9 Q Is there anything else in 2080 you'd like the jury
10 to refer to?

11 A Yes. 20 -- page 19.

12 Q Okay. If you could publish page 19.

13 A Yes.

14 Q Thank you.

15 Sir, what is page 19?

16 A This is one of the qualified written requests that I
17 would file on behalf of the client to the mortgage company
18 giving them a opportunity under the Truth in Lending Act to
19 validate the debt.

20 Q Mr. Williams, I am a little timid here, but are we
21 talking about -- is this RESPA?

22 A Yes. This is actually just the short form. I have
23 a short form I do, it's 2-page and then I have a 17-page one
24 that I do. But I usually send the short form first. If they
25 don't respond like they supposed to, then I give them

1 additional 60 days when I file the big one just to let them
2 know in good faith I'm giving you an opportunity to respond.
3 So I'm not just doing it one time. I actually give them two
4 opportunities to respond.

5 Q Very good. Is there anything else, sir, in this
6 document you wish to point the jury's attention to?

7 A Just the laws in there that I cite, the laws that
8 give the homeowner the right to challenge the debt.

9 Q Okay. Well, anything further in this regard, sir?

10 A No, sir.

11 Q Okay. Is there anything else in Exhibit 2080 you
12 wish to bring to the jury's attention?

13 A Page 29.

14 Q If we could publish page 29, please.

15 Sir, what is page 29?

16 A Page 29 is actually the certificate of exemption.
17 Illinois is not like the other states. Illinois in most of the
18 counties before a mortgage can be filed, it has to go to the
19 Anti-Predatory Lending Database and what that governmental
20 agency does, it scrutinizes the mortgage before it's filed.
21 They scrutinize the actual mortgage company that's filing it,
22 and they have to make sure that there's nothing fraudulent
23 about the mortgage, there's nothing fraudulent about the
24 company before it's filed.

25 Now, once they approve that there's nothing

1 fraudulent about the mortgage or the company, then they approve
2 it for filing and they issue this certificate of exemption to
3 the company.

4 Q Okay. So this document has a name of John and
5 Karikia Hicks; is that correct?

6 A That's correct.

7 Q Is that Mr. Hicks you have been speaking of?

8 A Yes, it is.

9 Q And what is your understanding of the effect of this
10 certificate of exemption?

11 A What the certificate of exemption -- it basically
12 validates that the mortgage -- Mortgage Enterprise Investments
13 mortgage there's nothing fraudulent, there's nothing predatory
14 about it. That's why it was approved to be filed and that's
15 why they issued my company the certificate of exemption.

16 Q Is that similar to the mortgage program you had here
17 in Hawaii?

18 A I don't know if it's similar to this here because I
19 never had to go through no approval process here like I did in
20 Illinois and California.

21 Q But is Mr. Hicks -- is that part of what he was
22 doing, like, similar to the program here?

23 A Yes, exact same thing.

24 Q Is there anything else in regard to this 2080 you
25 would like to point the jury's attention to?

1 A No, that's it.

2 Q You had said 150? I don't want to --

3 A Actually, page 30.

4 Q Okay. If we could publish page 30, please.

5 Sir, what is this document, if you know?

6 A This is a document to Mr. Hicks and his wife for a
7 breach of contract.

8 Q Okay. What is -- why is this -- why does the jury
9 need to look at -- what is the importance?

10 A Their payment was 1717.89 a month and it was
11 reduced, you know, to the half month 'cause they actually did
12 the mortgage reduction, so it was reduced to 890. So they paid
13 like I think four months, then they called me and said, "Hey,
14 we experiencing some financial difficulties. Can we reduce the
15 payment?"

16 And so I asked them, I said, "Well, how much, you
17 know, can you all afford? How much you want to reduce to?"

18 They said, "\$400."

19 I said, "No problem." I said, "Only thing I ask is
20 you do a affidavit stating what the hardship is and
21 verify -- as long as you verify it, then I have no problem to
22 reducing it to \$400," and we reduced it to the \$400.

23 Then they still -- he asked me to help him with his
24 car loan with the -- I think with the Health Care Associates
25 Credit Union 'cause they wouldn't restructure their loan. So I

1 sent a letter to the Health Care Credit Union. They approved
2 them for a restructure the loan; they were able to get two
3 brand new cars. And so we reduced their payment to \$400 a
4 month and I did the car, I took care of his credit cards, and
5 then he just stopped paying. After I completed his mortgage,
6 got him a new loan to get two cars, you know, got rid of some
7 of his credit card debt, and then he didn't pay any more.

8 Q What was the final result?

9 A I just told my employee don't -- send one letter.
10 If he don't pay, then I not fid'na chase nobody 'cause they
11 know what I did, so I'm not fid'na calling people, collection
12 calls and things like that.

13 Q Any other document in 2080 you'd like the jury to
14 review?

15 A 32.

16 Q Can we publish page 32?

17 Sir, what is page 32?

18 A This is a letter from Bank of America addressed to
19 my Common Law Office and addressing me as Attorney Anthony
20 Williams.

21 Q Oh, yeah. Okay.

22 A To show that they recognize my representation of the
23 client.

24 Q Anything further in regard to this document, sir?

25 A No, sir.

1 Q Is there any other document in 2080 you'd like the
2 jury to refer to?

3 A 1 -- page 148.

4 Q Would you publish page 148?

5 What is this document, sir?

6 A This document is actually the credit dispute letter
7 that I would send to the credit bureaus in regards to the
8 disputation of the mortgage after the bank has not validated
9 the debt. This letter, in conjunction with the UCC, in
10 conjunction with the affidavit and also the other documents
11 that I would file, is how I were able to get the mortgage
12 voided and deleted.

13 Q 'Cause you talked about that earlier, right?

14 A Correct.

15 Q There is the credit dispute letter?

16 A That's correct.

17 Q All right. Very good.

18 A And it has all the FDCPA -- actually, the federal
19 law in there which governs them on how they need to treat
20 customers' credit reports when they're disputing any
21 inaccuracies on the credit report.

22 Q Is there anything else about this document, sir?

23 A Not on this document.

24 Q Okay. Is there anything else in Exhibit 2080 you
25 wish the jury to review?

1 A The MEI mortgage, page 150 through 155.

2 MR. ISAACSON: All right. Could we publish 150,
3 please?

4 THE COURT: You may.

5 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Sir, what is -- what is
6 exhibit -- or sorry. What is the document starting on
7 page 150?

8 A This is the MEI mortgage for Illinois clients.

9 Q Okay. What do you mean by that?

10 A Every state is different. Like in Illinois, if you
11 notice, it says deed of trust instead of mortgage. So in
12 Illinois the title of the document would have to be Deed of
13 Trust instead of Mortgage.

14 Q Okay. Now, this is the document MEI would file for
15 what purpose?

16 A To protect the homeowners from any type of
17 foreclosure. But in his case, this replaced the old mortgage
18 that we got voided and deleted off of his credit report to show
19 that now they're the actual homeowners and the secure-party
20 creditors of their property.

21 Q Okay. Is there anything else about this document,
22 sir?

23 A Just that they're the secure-party creditor on it,
24 not MEI or me or my company.

25 Q Okay. Very good. And is that --

1 A Also it got the seal, the State Farm Insurance.
2 They the one that put the seal on there, and also the FHA/VA,
3 they're the one that put the number on there. That's not my
4 number. That's after it's been approved, then they're the ones
5 that assigned the number to the mortgage. That's not mine.
6 That's actually the government.

7 Q Okay. Very good. Is there anything else about this
8 document you wish to refer to?

9 A No, that's it.

10 Q Okay. Are we finished with 2080?

11 A Yes.

12 MR. ISAACSON: Okay. If we could go to
13 Exhibit 2079?

14 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Mr. Williams, have you had a
15 chance to review the documents in front of you?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Sir, I ask you to take a look at Defense
18 Exhibit 2079. Do you have that in front of you?

19 A Yes, I do.

20 Q Is there any portions of this document you wish to
21 point to?

22 A Well, this is actually a social security number form
23 that I would file for clients and also myself in order to open
24 up a bank account without having to disclose your social
25 security number, because according to federal law, you do not

1 have to disclose your social number to any financial agency,
2 and if they tell you that they do, it's against federal law.

3 In this form, I actually put the federal form in
4 there. So I would go to open up my bank account either
5 personally or for my business, I would give this form signed by
6 me to the bank manager.

7 Q Just one second. I'm sorry. So which part -- what
8 pages of 2079 do you wish to talk about?

9 A Just the first page.

10 Q Just the first page?

11 A Yeah, just one page.

12 Q And you familiar with this document?

13 A Yes, I am.

14 Q Did you create this document?

15 A Yes, I did.

16 Q Is it regarding what you discussed, the social
17 security numbers?

18 A Yes.

19 Q Would you like to move it into evidence?

20 A Yes.

21 MR. ISAACSON: Your Honor, on behalf of
22 Mr. Williams, I would move 2079-001.

23 THE COURT: All right. Any objection?

24 MR. SORENSON: No objection, Your Honor.

25 THE COURT: Received. Do you wish to publish?

1 MR. ISAACSON: Yes, Your Honor.

2 (Exhibit 2079-1 received into evidence.)

3 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) So, Mr. Williams, so you created
4 this document?

5 A Yes, I did.

6 Q And why did you create it?

7 A After I did the research on the social security
8 number -- you know, when you go to open up a bank account, the
9 first thing they usually ask you is, you know, your social
10 security number. Well, I did some research on that, that the
11 only entity you actually have to divulge your social security
12 number is to the Social Security Administration.

13 So I went to the bank and I'm, Look, I need to open
14 up an account and I don't want my social security number on
15 there. Initially they denied me, but I made this form and went
16 back and showed them what the federal law stated, and they
17 actually opened up the bank account for my business and my
18 personal account.

19 Q Mr. Williams, let me -- let me ask you something.
20 Now, you go to a lot of trouble. This was -- you had to do
21 this all on your own, correct?

22 A Yes.

23 Q And you went to a bank when they told you no and you
24 brought this to them?

25 A Yes.

1 Q Why did you go to all this trouble?

2 A Because I wanted to show them the law, that they
3 weren't being taught the law. Just because you been practicing
4 something for 20 years don't make it right. And so I showed
5 them the federal law and the bank manager took the form, went
6 on the computer, looked up the actual federal laws, the U.S.
7 Code laws that I have in there, and called me back and said,
8 "Mr. Williams, we're going to open up your account without you
9 having to use your social security number." And that's
10 actually a Government Exhibit 722.

11 Q All right. But -- so you just did this on your own
12 'cause you didn't think it was right?

13 A Right. I mean, it's not right to not disclose to
14 the American people what their rights are, and I just wanted to
15 show people that as long as you have the federal law, the law
16 behind you, then there's a lot of things, lot of benefits that
17 people don't know that they have access to.

18 MR. ISAACSON: Okay. One moment, Your Honor.

19 Could we pull up the Government Exhibit 722, please?

20 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: Is it just one page or --

21 MR. ISAACSON: Could you show it to him, please?

22 Thank you.

23 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Mr. Williams, have you had a
24 chance to review that document?

25 A Yes, I have.

1 Q Okay. Sir, in front of you do you have what's
2 marked as Government Exhibit 722?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Okay. And do you recognize -- without describing
5 its contents, can you tell what this document is?

6 A This is my business account application for Wells
7 Fargo for my business Mortgage Enterprise Investments.

8 Q I'm sorry. Say that one more time.

9 A This is the business account application for my
10 business account for Mortgage Enterprise Investments for Wells
11 Fargo.

12 Q Okay. You've seen this document before?

13 A Yes, I have.

14 THE COURT: I believe it's in evidence.

15 MR. ISAACSON: Oh, it is? I'm sorry.

16 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Would you like to refer to it,
17 Mr. Williams?

18 A Yes.

19 MR. ISAACSON: Could we please publish Exhibit 22,
20 please?

21 THE COURT: You may.

22 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Mr. Williams, which page would
23 you like the jury to refer to?

24 A To page 2 first.

25 Q Okay. If we could go to page 2.

1 Okay. Mr. Williams, what part of this page would
2 you like to --

3 A Where it says "Bank use only."

4 Q Okay. Toward the bottom of the page?

5 A Right, where it says "name, entity verification"
6 where I was verified through the Secretary of State. That's
7 how they verified me.

8 Q So where it says "Bank use only," could we get that
9 a little bit bigger? Thank you.

10 Okay. What is your understanding the importance of
11 this --

12 A Well, the bank -- before the bank can open up an
13 account, they're going to have to verify who you are,
14 especially with me withholding my social security number. So
15 they had to do some verification about who I am, the ID I gave
16 them because, you know, I gave them my private attorney general
17 ID which it's not government issued; it's issued by me, it's
18 made by me, issued through my own office. So they had to
19 verify it through the secretary of state that this ID is
20 actually a valid ID and it actually is filed in the secretary
21 of state's office.

22 Q Okay. Is there anything else about this exhibit
23 that you would like the jury to refer to?

24 A Yes, page 3.

25 Q Okay. What would you like the jury to look the?

1 A Where it says, "Position title," they put Private
2 Attorney General, but, you know, they didn't have enough space
3 to put the rest of the General in there. You see the social
4 security number spot is blank, and they put my private attorney
5 general ID, that's my issue date and that's my expiration date,
6 and that's the FBI number, the primary ID description, and
7 that's what they used, this ID, to open up my bank account
8 without a social security number.

9 Q So this is now -- this is Wells Fargo, right?

10 A That's correct.

11 Q And so you opened -- and this is -- where was this
12 opened?

13 A I think this one was I think California.

14 Q Okay.

15 A I think this was the California -- yeah.

16 Q All right. So you -- you saying you opened up your
17 Wells Fargo bank account using the ID that you -- that -- was
18 it 501?

19 A That's correct, private attorney general ID.

20 Q Is there anything else in this exhibit you'd like
21 the jury to refer to?

22 A No. That is my signature and that I'm the owner of
23 Mortgage Enterprise Investments. That's my signature on the
24 last page, page 5.

25 Q Okay. Anything else in this document, sir?

1 A Not this one. But the next one, Government
2 Exhibit 723.

3 Q Mr. Williams, do you have 723 up there with you?

4 A Yes.

5 Q Okay. Is this admitted already?

6 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: It is.

7 MR. ISAACSON: May I publish 723?

8 THE COURT: You may.

9 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Mr. Williams, is this another
10 business account application to Wells Fargo?

11 A Yes, for Mortgage Enterprise Investments.

12 Q Okay. What part of this exhibit would you like the
13 jury to refer to?

14 A Go to page 2. Go to page 3. The same thing. This
15 is actually one -- this account was actually for the Florida.

16 Q What about this is important, Mr. Williams?

17 A Did the same thing. I used the same private
18 attorney general ID to open it up at a different location
19 without no social security number. I showed them the same
20 documents.

21 Q Okay. Is there anything else in this exhibit you
22 would like the jury to focus on?

23 A No, sir.

24 Q Is that it?

25 A That's it.

1 MR. ISAACSON: If we could pull up Exhibit 2198?

2 What's that? Sorry.

3 Your Honor, some good news. I get to work with
4 technology.

5 THE COURT: All right.

6 MR. ISAACSON: Some good news.

7 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Okay. I'm going to put this
8 down here. Wait. It was -- Mr. Williams -- sorry.

9 Mr. Williams, see if you've been handed -- do you
10 have Defense Exhibit 2198?

11 A Yes, I do.

12 Q Without revealing the contents of 2198, can you tell
13 what this is?

14 A This is a motion I filed on behalf of one of my
15 clients here in Hawaii.

16 Q Okay. It doesn't have your name on it, does it?

17 A No, it does not.

18 Q Okay. But one of the -- actually a witness in this
19 case?

20 A Yes, Ms. Thomas.

21 Q Okay.

22 A While I was incarcerated.

23 Q Sorry. So this is a motion you filed on her behalf?

24 A Right, while I was still incarcerated.

25 Q All right. And the date of the filing?

1 A October 22nd, 2019.

2 Q Okay. And how is this relevant to this case, sir?

3 A Well, she still, you know, is facing foreclosure, so
4 I still been, you know, drafting the motions for her. I would
5 write out the motions or type it through email and send it to
6 her, give her instructions on like what exhibits she need to
7 put in there and so she can file it to stop, you know -- to
8 halt the foreclosure.

9 Q Do you -- you can tell this is what you gave her to
10 file?

11 A Yes, that one document.

12 Q Okay. Would you like me to attempt to introduce it
13 into evidence?

14 A Yes.

15 MR. ISAACSON: Your Honor, based on Mr. Williams's
16 request, I would move Defense Exhibit 2198 into evidence.

17 THE COURT: Any objection?

18 MR. SORENSON: No objection, Your Honor. Thank you.

19 THE COURT: All right. Received.

20 (Exhibit 2198 received into evidence.)

21 MR. ISAACSON: Thank you. May I publish it, Your
22 Honor?

23 THE COURT: Yes, you may.

24 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Mr. Williams, I'm going to put
25 the first page up. Look at this.

1 THE COURT: There you go.

2 MR. ISAACSON: There you go.

3 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) All right. Mr. Williams, is
4 this the first page of the document?

5 A Yes, it is.

6 Q Okay. Amended -- Amended Motion for Objection to
7 Request Four Documents --

8 A Correct.

9 Q -- is that correct? Without going through the whole
10 document, is there a portion you'd like to go -- examine or --

11 A Yeah. Go to page 3, the exhibits.

12 Q Is it Table of Exhibits?

13 A Yes, Table of Exhibits and Attachments.

14 Q All right. Well, I'll do this one first. So -- so,
15 Mr. Williams, this is the third page of this document. What is
16 important about this?

17 A These are the exhibits that I had her put in the
18 motion to validate, the motion to show the fraud that had been
19 committed against her, to show the communication that we had
20 with the bank.

21 If you see, like, Exhibit 2, QWR's first page and
22 signature page, dated February 1st, 2018.

23 Second, the QWR's first page, signature page, dated
24 July 22nd, 2019.

25 The Chase letter and just -- it just basically shows

1 the chronological outline of what we did to contact the bank
2 and things like that before the motion was filed and then the
3 exhibits to prove the arguments and the motion.

4 Also it has the assignment of mortgage by MERS and
5 that the MERS business was also actually expired, that they
6 didn't even have lawful authority to even be doing business in
7 Hawaii --

8 Q Let's stop there for a second. I mean, this is part
9 of what you're doing for Ms. Thomas, correct?

10 A That's correct.

11 Q She testified about you helping to keep her home,
12 right?

13 A That's correct.

14 Q And are these some of the things you would do for
15 people?

16 A Yes, it is.

17 Q Create these exhibits -- or these materials for
18 folks?

19 A That's correct.

20 Q You talk about a lot of this stuff are UCC and
21 stuff, but now we talked about MERS before and MERS is
22 regarding the robo-signing, right?

23 A Well, MERS is actually the company that the bank set
24 up so they could circumvent the recording of the documents and
25 they don't have to pay the fees.

1 Q Right.

2 A But they're part of the robo-signing for like they
3 set up companies.

4 MR. SORENSON: Your Honor, we've covered
5 robo-signing, we've covered MERS, and we've covered Rosy
6 Thomas. I think it's asked and answered.

7 THE COURT: All right. Sustained.

8 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Okay. But these are the
9 materials you have -- you prepared for Ms. Thomas and other
10 people; is that right?

11 A That's correct.

12 Q Is there anything else in regard to this exhibit you
13 would like the jury to examine or see?

14 A Specifically Exhibit 14.

15 Q Okay. Is this -- is this what you're speaking of?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Okay. What is Exhibit 14?

18 A This is the Hawaii Rules of Evidence, Rule 1002.

19 Q Oh, yeah. Okay. And that is -- how is that
20 relevant to this case?

21 A Well, according to the Hawaii Rules of Evidence
22 1002, if someone is asserting a document that they're relying
23 on to foreclose on someone's home, then they must present the
24 original, according to Hawaii Rules of Evidence.

25 And so in my motions for my client, I would put that

1 case law, that actual Hawaii Rules of Evidence, to show that
2 you must show us the original mortgage that my client signed
3 and also the original note. If you can't provide that, then
4 you can't claim ownership and you're not to hold in due course,
5 and until you provide that, you have no lawful authority to ask
6 for payment on a debt that you can't prove that you're the
7 original possessor and holder of the note and the mortgage.

8 MR. SORENSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object only
9 because this witness isn't an expert and this is a complete
10 mischaracterization of a rule of evidence for courtroom
11 evidence, not for anything else.

12 THE COURT: All right. So I'm going to give a
13 limiting instruction to the jury. I'm not going to strike
14 Mr. Williams's testimony, but you are instructed that he is not
15 a licensed attorney and that he is giving you his opinion or
16 his belief as what the law is. You are not to accept it as a
17 instruction as to what the Hawaii Rules of Evidence actually
18 provides. All right?

19 Next question.

20 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Is there anything else in this
21 document you'd like to refer to, Mr. Williams?

22 A Well, yeah. I mean, it's very clear that the
23 legislators when they wrote this rule, if you look at the Rule
24 102, it says requirement of original. That's a requirement.
25 And it basically states, "To prove the content of a writing,

1 recording, or photograph, the original writing, recording, or
2 photograph is required."

3 So unless they have the original, then they can't
4 claim ownership.

5 Q Anything else in this document, sir?

6 A No, sir.

7 MR. ISAACSON: Your Honor, would this be a good time
8 or should we -- I can go --

9 THE COURT: We're going right up to 2:00, if you
10 have another question.

11 MR. ISAACSON: Very well.

12 THE COURT: Thank you.

13 MR. ISAACSON: Can I get 2184, please? I don't
14 believe this is in evidence. Okay.

15 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Mr. Williams, do you have a
16 document in front of you?

17 A Yes.

18 Q Is it -- do you have Defense Exhibit 2184 in front
19 of you?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Okay. Is there a portion of this exhibit you would
22 like to refer to?

23 A Enforcements -- actually the whole exhibit.

24 Q Okay. Sir, without revealing the contents of it,
25 what is Exhibit 2184?

1 A This is my Mortgage Enterprise Investments bank
2 account.

3 Q How do you know that?

4 A It has Mortgage Enterprise Investments on it.

5 Q Okay. Are you familiar with the account numbers
6 that are on this?

7 A Yes, I am.

8 Q And there are certain check numbers that are on
9 here; is that correct?

10 A That's correct.

11 Q Okay. Is this -- under the dates and the amounts
12 and the numbers, do they correspond to your recollection of the
13 MEI business accounts?

14 A That's correct.

15 Q And are -- is MEI -- you were the head of MEI?

16 A Yes, sir.

17 Q And you handled the finances of it?

18 A Yes, I did.

19 Q You familiar with the Extraco logo that appears in
20 these documents?

21 A That's correct.

22 Q Is that the bank account you used?

23 A That's correct.

24 Q Are you familiar with that document?

25 A That's correct.

1 Q Do these documents -- and there are certain checks
2 in here as well, copies of checks?

3 A That's correct.

4 Q Are you familiar with those checks?

5 A Yes, I am.

6 Q Did you sign any of these checks?

7 A I didn't sign them. They were actually issued
8 through the bank.

9 Q Okay. So did you authorize them to be issued?

10 A Yes, I did.

11 Q Okay. And this is -- looks to be the documents you
12 have looked at and corresponds with your own personal record?

13 A Yes.

14 Q Would you like me to move them in evidence?

15 A Yes.

16 MR. ISAACSON: Your Honor, we would seek
17 to -- Mr. Williams would ask me to admit 2184-1 to 2184-20.

18 THE COURT: Any objection?

19 MR. SORENSON: Your Honor, only -- only just that
20 these are not Hawaii individuals. These appear to be people
21 unaffiliated with the case here.

22 THE DEFENDANT: Some of them actually are Hawaii --

23 THE COURT: Well, I'm sorry. You can't speak, okay?

24 MR. SORENSON: So we just object on relevance
25 grounds, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Okay. What were the pages again,
2 Mr. Isaacson?

3 MR. ISAACSON: 2184-1 -- the whole exhibit, Your
4 Honor.

5 THE COURT: The whole exhibit? All right. Over the
6 objection, it's received.

7 (Exhibit 2184 received into evidence.)

8 MR. ISAACSON: May we publish, Your Honor?

9 THE COURT: You may.

10 Q (BY MR. ISAACSON:) Mr. Williams, is there a
11 specific page in 2184 you would like the jury to look at?

12 A Starting with page 1.

13 Q Okay. Sir, why don't you tell the jury what's
14 important in this document.

15 A These -- this is actually after I was unlawfully
16 incarcerated in September 2013, I instructed my mother to send
17 a letter to all of my clients notifying them what happened to
18 me and that if anybody wanted a refund, they just need to fill
19 out the refund affidavit and submit it. And I had authorized
20 her to issue refunds for everybody that filled out that refund
21 affidavit.

22 Q Okay. Does that -- on page 1?

23 A Yeah, some of them are on page 1.

24 Q Okay. Do you -- can you point out what you're
25 referring to?

1 A Well, the first one is Dallas Stanback. He was
2 refunded his \$500.

3 The second one is Toni Wright. She was refunded her
4 \$500.

5 Grace Brown, she was refunded her 500.

6 Elsie Dominguez, she was refunded 500.

7 Q Hang on, Mr. Williams. Are the names on here or
8 just from your memory?

9 A No, these names actually on the checks. These are
10 on here.

11 Q Is that what you recall these records reflect?

12 A Yes.

13 Q The refund of money for people who requested it?

14 A Yes.

15 Q Okay. Is there any other pages you would like to
16 talk about in this exhibit?

17 A The second page. That was a refund to my client
18 Jeanette Currie.

19 Q Now, you're talking about the upper left-hand
20 corner; is that correct?

21 A Yes.

22 Q It says \$500?

23 A Right. And then another one to Dallas Stanback for
24 \$2,500.

25 Q Can we blow it up a little bit? Still on the same

1 page? Okay. So this -- there's a check to Dallas Stanback --
2 A That's correct.
3 Q -- \$2,500. Who is he?
4 A He's one of my clients, Mortgage Enterprise
5 Investments clients.
6 Q In Hawaii or someplace else?
7 A He's in Tennessee.
8 Q Okay. You say you sent his money back?
9 A Yes.
10 Q Okay. Is there any other document in Exhibit 2184?
11 A Page 3.
12 Q Okay.
13 A And that's just a duplicate of the Dallas Stanback
14 refund.
15 Page 13.
16 Q Okay. If we could publish 13, please.
17 What do these documents refer to, Mr. --
18 A This is refund to Ms. Rosy Thomas of her initial
19 fee, refunding it back to her.
20 Q Okay. So, okay. And this is dated December 4th,
21 2013?
22 A That's correct.
23 Q Where were you at that time?
24 A I was incarcerated at OCCC.
25 Q Were you -- had you been transported out of state at

1 that time?

2 A Not yet. I got transferred on December 20th.

3 Q Okay. But that was en route?

4 A Right.

5 Q Okay. So all right. Very good. Is there any other

6 document in Exhibit 2184 you'd like to refer to?

7 A Page 15.

8 Q And what is important about this page, sir?

9 A This is another client that was refunded.

10 Q Ms. Gomez?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Any other documents?

13 A Page 17.

14 Q Yes, sir.

15 A Sam Tapani. He was refunded his \$300.

16 Q Okay. So who is that again?

17 A He's a Hawaii client.

18 Q What is his name?

19 A Sam Tapani.

20 Q Okay. And can you tell us about this refund?

21 A I mean, 'cause I was incarcerated, so I couldn't do

22 anything for the client. So I just told my mom to refund all

23 their money back, whatever they had paid initially, to refund

24 it back to 'em and tell 'em don't send no more payments.

25 Q Did you ever receive any more payments from this

1 gentleman?

2 A No.

3 Q Any other documents?

4 A Page 19, Mel Horner, the same thing.

5 Q Who is Mr. Horner?

6 A He's another client here in Hawaii. Once I got
7 incarcerated, you know, I just told my mom anybody that want a
8 refund, just have them fill out the refund affidavit and issue
9 them their refund.

10 Q You can see on the -- the other side of the check
11 apparently it's endorsed Bank of Hawaii; is that correct?

12 A Right.

13 Q And Mr. Horner is a Hawaii client?

14 A That's correct.

15 Q Any other document?

16 A Not on this one.

17 THE COURT: All right. I think we're going to have
18 to leave it at that for today and resume tomorrow.

19 So, ladies and gentlemen, we're at that magic hour of 2:00
20 P.M., so if you would please leave your notebooks and iPads
21 behind. Don't discuss the case with anyone or allow anyone to
22 discuss it with you. Don't research, Google, or investigate
23 any of the witnesses or issues. Don't engage in any social
24 media about the trial. And of course, don't read or listen to
25 any media account should there be any.

1 Thank you again. On behalf of Mr. Williams, the
2 attorneys, and all of us here at court, we appreciate your
3 patience and your kind listening.

4 Please rise for the jury. They are excused until 8:30
5 tomorrow morning.

6 (Open court out of the presence of the jury.)

7 THE COURT: And the record will reflect the jury is
8 no longer present. Present are Mr. Williams and counsel. You
9 may be seated.

10 Are there any matters that we need to take up before we
11 reconvene tomorrow morning at 8:30?

12 Mr. Sorenson, on behalf of the government?

13 MR. SORENSON: Not from us, Your Honor. Thank you.

14 THE COURT: Mr. Williams, anything? No?

15 All right. Then I wish all of you a very good evening,
16 and of course you can have 10 to 15 more minutes with
17 Mr. Williams once everyone vacates the courtroom to plan for
18 tomorrow.

19 All right. We're in recess. I wish everyone a good
20 evening.

21 (Proceedings adjourned at 2:05 P.M., until
22 Tuesday, February 25, 2020, at 8:30 A.M.)

23

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, DEBRA READ, Official Court Reporter, United States District Court, District of Hawaii, do hereby certify that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §753 the foregoing is a complete, true, and correct transcript of the stenographically reported proceedings held in the above-entitled matter and that the transcript page format is in conformance with the regulations of the Judicial Conference of the United States.

DATED at Honolulu, Hawaii, April 7, 2020.

/s/ Debra Read
DEBRA READ, CSR CRR RMR RDR