1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2 FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII

3

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) CR 17-00101 LEK
4	)
Plaintiff,	) Honolulu, Hawaii
5	) February 20, 2020
vs.	)
6	) JURY TRIAL - DAY 11
(1) ANTHONY T. WILLIAMS,	)
7	)
Defendant.	)
8	)

9

TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS
10 BEFORE THE HONORABLE LESLIE E. KOBAYASHI
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

11

APPEARANCES:

12

For the Government:	KENNETH M. SORENSON, AUSA
13	GREGG PARIS YATES, AUSA
	Office of the United States Attorney
14	300 Ala Moana Boulevard, Suite 6100
	Honolulu, Hawaii 96850
15	
Also Present:	MEGAN CRAWLEY, FBI Special Agent
16	
For the Defendant (1)	ANTHONY T. WILLIAMS, *Pro Se*
17 Anthony T. Williams:	05963-122
	Federal Detention Center Honolulu
18	Inmate Mail/Parcels
	P.O. Box 30080
19	Honolulu, Hawaii 96820
20 Standby Counsel:	LARS ROBERT ISAACSON, ESQ.
	1100 Alakea Street, 20th Floor
21	Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
22 Official Court Reporter:	Debra Read, RDR
	United States District Court
23	300 Ala Moana Boulevard
	Honolulu, Hawaii 96850

24

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript
25 produced with computer-aided transcription (CAT).

1 I N D E X

2 CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF WITNESSES

3

4 DEFENDANT'S WITNESSES PAGE

5

6 **SHERRI KANE**
Direct Examination By The Defendant 4
7 Cross-Examination By Mr. Sorenson 37
Redirect Examination By The Defendant 85
8
DR. LEONARD GEORGE HOROWITZ
9 Direct Examination By The Defendant 93
Cross-Examination By Mr. Sorenson 126
10 Redirect Examination By The Defendant 163

11 **ROSY ESPRECION THOMAS**
Direct Examination By The Defendant 176
12 Cross-Examination By Mr. Yates 206
Redirect Examination By The Defendant 229
13

14

15 I N D E X

16 E X H I B I T S

17

18 NO. PAGE

19
832 22
20 835 19
851 214
21 852 183
853 185
22 2189 195

23

24

25

1 THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 20, 2020 8:46 A.M.
2 (Open court in the presence of the jury.)
3 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: Criminal 1700101 LEK, United
4 States of America versus Anthony Williams.
5 This case is called for further jury trial, day 11.
6 Appearances, please, for the record.
7 MR. SORENSON: Good morning, Your Honor.
8 Assistant U.S. Attorneys Ken Sorenson and Gregg Yates
9 here for the United States. We have FBI Special Agent Megan
10 Crawley here with us.
11 THE COURT: All right. Good morning to all of you.
12 Mr. Williams?
13 THE DEFENDANT: Good morning.
14 Private attorney general Anthony Williams appearing sui
15 juris.
16 THE COURT: All right. Good morning to you.
17 Mr. Isaacson?
18 MR. ISAACSON: Good morning, Your Honor.
19 Lars Isaacson with Ms. Beecher in the courtroom today and
20 Ms. Yeung coming in now
21 THE COURT: All right. Good morning to you all.
22 And good morning, ladies and gentlemen of the jury. Welcome
23 back.
24 Mr. Williams, your next witness.
25 THE DEFENDANT: Ms. Sherri Kane.

1 THE COURT: All right. Please administer the oath
2 to Ms. Kane.
3 **SHERRI KANE, PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, WAS SWORN**
4 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: Please be seated.
5 State your full name and please spell your last name and
6 speak into the microphone.
7 THE WITNESS: Sherri Kane, K-a-n-e.
8 THE COURT: Your witness.
9 DIRECT EXAMINATION
10 BY THE DEFENDANT:
11 Q Good morning, Ms. Kane.
12 A Good morning.
13 Q Ms. Kane, what do you do for a living?
14 A I'm an investigative journalist.
15 Q And did you ever work for Fox News?
16 A Yes, I work with Fox News.
17 Q And as an investigative journalist, what are some of
18 the things that you investigate and you do?
19 A Well, I -- well, what I did back then is a little
20 bit different than what I do now. But what I did back then was
21 I was a writer for them. Right now I investigate government
22 corruption.
23 Q Okay. And so when you say you investigate
24 government corruption, can you explain to the jury what types
25 of government corruption that you investigate?

1 MR. SORENSON: Objection on relevance grounds, Your
2 Honor. And I think there's a motion in limine on this.
3 THE DEFENDANT: This is a --
4 THE COURT: Yes. So the objection is sustained.
5 THE DEFENDANT: But this is her --
6 THE COURT: And so --
7 THE DEFENDANT: I'm laying a foundation that this is
8 what she do --
9 THE COURT: Yes.
10 THE DEFENDANT: She's a former client.
11 THE COURT: All right. So here's the thing. She
12 has testified with regard that she investigates government
13 corruption and now you can ask her specific things related to
14 this case.
15 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) So have you investigated
16 mortgage fraud?
17 A I investigated mortgage fraud especially pertaining
18 to the situation -- personal situation that me and my
19 significant other were involved in. We were victims of
20 mortgage fraud and actually our home was stolen, and the person
21 that did it has just recently got indicted. And we been trying
22 to save our house for a really long time and suddenly this man,
23 you know, got away with stealing our house and he is an
24 attorney as well as a drug trafficker, and he preys on young
25 people in the community and --

1 THE COURT: All right. That's a narrative answer.
2 Next question. You can ask her a question and you can
3 elicit this, but she's not going to sit here and talk for
4 10 minutes, okay? What's the next question?
5 THE DEFENDANT: The governments' witness did it all
6 the time but --
7 THE COURT: All right. I'm sorry, Mr. Williams,
8 once I make a ruling, that's the court's ruling. I don't ask
9 for any commentary. If you can't follow the court's rules,
10 then I'm going to have to revoke your *pro se* status and not let
11 you represent yourself and have Mr. Isaacson step in.
12 Do we understand the rules of the court?
13 THE DEFENDANT: I understand the rules, but the
14 rules are supposed to be fair.
15 THE COURT: Yes, and I am enforcing them fairly. If
16 you don't like it, then we can have someone who knows the rules
17 of the court and will follow them represent you in court.
18 Do you understand me?
19 THE DEFENDANT: I understand that it should be fair.
20 THE COURT: Yes. Do you understand the
21 requirements?
22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
23 THE COURT: All right. Then proceed and ask your
24 question. We have another problem like this, Mr. Isaacson will
25 step in.

1 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Ms. Kane, so you saying an
2 attorney did you -- did -- was this an attorney at law like
3 these prosecutors?
4 MR. SORENSON: Your Honor, objection on relevance
5 grounds. Don't know how this is tied.
6 THE COURT: Sustained. So what the legal licensing
7 status of --
8 THE DEFENDANT: 'Cause this who committed the
9 mortgage fraud against her. So I'm asking her --
10 THE COURT: No, stop. I'm talking, all right? It
11 has no relevance with regard to Mr. Sorenson and Mr. Yates. If
12 you can ask her the question with regard if she knows he was a
13 licensed attorney.
14 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Did Mr. -- was Mr. Sulla a
15 member of the bar association?
16 A Yes, he was.
17 Q And so --
18 A Yes. He is still to this day.
19 Q Okay. And so before you met me, Mr. Sulla was
20 trying to steal your home?
21 A That's correct.
22 Q And did he file fraudulent documents?
23 A Yes, forgeries, and he was indicted for that.
24 Q And what type of documents would he file to steal
25 your home?

1 A Well, he basically used a strawman and he put that
2 on the record and he created a fake church. And then what he
3 did was he went to the Bureau of Conveyances and he stole -- he
4 transferred our deed into this fake church and made this
5 homeless drifter heading the fake church. So he kept himself
6 an arm's length away.
7 Q Okay. Now, did you and your husband make a formal
8 complaint like with the police or prosecutors?
9 A Yes. We complained to every --
10 MR. SORENSON: Your Honor, again, objection on
11 relevance grounds.
12 THE WITNESS: -- single authority we could.
13 THE COURT: I'm sorry. There's an objection.
14 MR. SORENSON: Objection on relevance grounds.
15 Don't know how this ties to the charges in this case.
16 THE COURT: Overruled. It'll be foundational. She
17 can answer that, but you need to tie it back, what it has to do
18 with this.
19 All right. So your answer is, "Yes"?
20 THE WITNESS: Yes.
21 THE COURT: And your question is?
22 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) And so when you went to the
23 prosecutors's office, did they do anything about the crimes
24 that you notified them that this attorney at law had committed
25 against you and your husband?

1 A No. We tried to contact them for years. They
2 finally had indicted him with the grand jury. It took several
3 years and us losing our home before they did anything.
4 Q And did I try to assist you in bringing this
5 attorney at law to justice?
6 A Yes, you did.
7 Q Did I accompany you and your husband to the Kona
8 Police Department to actually file the complaint against this
9 attorney at law?
10 A Yes, you did, and you advised us of our rights
11 because most people don't know their rights.
12 Q And so when we went to the police station to file
13 the complaint, did I show the officer the law that he had to
14 assist us in arresting that attorney?
15 A Yes, you did.
16 Q And did you all --
17 MR. SORENSON: Objection. Again, I don't know that
18 this ties at all to relevance.
19 THE DEFENDANT: It ties to relevance that this
20 is --
21 THE COURT: So overruled, but you have to ask
22 nonleading questions. She's your witness so you already know
23 the answer. So you need to ask nonleading questions.
24 Okay. Go ahead.
25 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Did you videotape this

1 encounter with the police station?
2 A Yes, it was videotaped.
3 Q Okay. And so did the officer state on the video
4 that he was not going to follow the law?
5 THE COURT: All right. So --
6 THE WITNESS: Yes.
7 THE COURT: -- that's hearsay. Are you going to lay
8 the foundation?
9 THE DEFENDANT: Lay the foundation.
10 THE COURT: Okay. So ask her open-ended questions.
11 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Okay. Did the officer -- did I
12 ask the officer was he going to follow the law?
13 A Yes, you asked him.
14 Q And what was his response?
15 MR. SORENSON: Objection. Hearsay.
16 THE WITNESS: He wasn't going to.
17 THE COURT: All right. So sustained. So as a
18 result of this encounter, what happened, and you can go into
19 that, but she can't testify for the officer.
20 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Okay. So in order to protect
21 your home, before you hired me, did you hire an attorney at law
22 to assist you?
23 A Many attorneys and none of them could help us. They
24 all took our money and they did nothing. They -- some of them
25 didn't even file anything, unfortunately. We got ripped off.

1 We lost a lot of money, hundreds of thousands of dollars.
2 Q And was one of those attorneys Gary Dubin?
3 A That's correct.
4 Q And approximately how much did you pay Gary Dubin?
5 A We paid him about $50,000.
6 Q Okay. And you said he didn't even --
7 A Sorry, sorry, sorry. We paid him 20 -- sorry. We
8 paid him $20,000. We paid him $6,000 and then we paid him
9 additional the, total of $20,000.
10 Q Okay. Let's see. I'ma show you a document and let
11 me know if you recognize. This is Exhibit 2093, page 132, 133,
12 and 134.
13 MR. SORENSON: Could we have those page numbers
14 again?
15 THE DEFENDANT: Page No. 132, 133 and 134.
16 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Ms. Kane, is this -- are you
17 familiar with this email on the screen?
18 A Oh, sorry. Yes, yes, I am.
19 Q And is that a email addressed to you?
20 A It's addressed to me and to Leonard, yes, my
21 significant other.
22 Q Okay. And who was it addressed from?
23 A Gary Dubin.
24 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. I'd like to enter this into
25 evidence.

1 MR. SORENSON: Objection, Your Honor. There's
2 absolutely no relevance to this case and it's all hearsay.
3 These are apparently the communications from Mr. Dubin to
4 Mr. Horowitz.
5 THE WITNESS: And myself, sir. My name --
6 THE COURT: All right. Listen.
7 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
8 THE COURT: You only answer if there's a question.
9 THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm just saying that my name's
10 on there.
11 THE COURT: Yes.
12 THE WITNESS: Okay.
13 THE COURT: So the jury is to disregard Ms. Kane's
14 last statement. It was not a response and it's not testimony.
15 What's your objection?
16 MR. SORENSON: Well, relevance and hearsay, Your
17 Honor.
18 THE COURT: All right. Sustained.
19 THE DEFENDANT: This was a email that was sent to
20 her, so I'm questioning her does she recognize the email; she
21 said yes.
22 THE COURT: I know and I've sustained the objection.
23 It's not coming in. All right? It's not relevant.
24 So ask her a question about what it relates to you or the
25 charges or your defenses in this case.

1 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) So when you hired Mr. Dubin,
2 you said that he didn't file anything on your behalf?
3 A That's correct.
4 Q And so when you found out that he didn't file, did
5 you have to hire another attorney?
6 A Yes.
7 Q And who was that?
8 A After Gary Dubin, we hired I believe Margaret Wille.
9 Q And what did they do for you in fighting your
10 mortgage?
11 A Well, Margaret's really trying hard for us. She
12 sees the injustice and she's been trying really hard, but
13 she -- she too understands the system is not -- is corrupt. So
14 we have not been able to get any justice so far.
15 Q Okay. And so is this the reason that you contacted
16 me and hired me to assist you into fighting your foreclosures?
17 A Yes. We wanted to know what other rights we had
18 because the judges were all working with Mr. Sulla. We had no
19 justice at all. We had no trial for when he took our house.
20 We had no alternatives but to try to find another form of law
21 and we sought out common law.
22 Q And so when you all sought me out, did you come to
23 my office and visit me at my office?
24 A We did.
25 Q And when you would visit me at my office, would

1 sometimes there would be other clients there?
2 A There would be.
3 Q Okay. And did you ever hear me tell a client that
4 I'm an attorney at law?
5 A Never.
6 Q And did I introduce myself as a private attorney
7 general?
8 A Yes.
9 Q And did I always explain the difference between me
10 as a private attorney general and attorneys at law?
11 A Yes, very explicitly.
12 Q And do you remember a conversation that we had with
13 me and your husband, your significant other, about attorneys
14 not having a license to practice law?
15 A Right, yes.
16 Q And at that time was it your position that attorneys
17 did have a license?
18 MR. SORENSON: Objection. Leading, Your Honor.
19 THE COURT: Sustained.
20 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Did you believe that attorneys
21 at law had a license?
22 MR. SORENSON: Objection. Leading.
23 THE COURT: Sustained. Ask her an
24 open-ended -- what was her belief.
25 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) What was your belief about

1 attorneys having a real license to practice law?
2 A I actually believed that they had a license.
3 Q And did you -- did I encourage you to do research on
4 that fact?
5 A Yes, you did.
6 Q And did you do the research as an investigative
7 journalist?
8 A Yes.
9 Q And what did you find when you researched?
10 A That was all -- just they were part of a club and it
11 wasn't really relative to somebody understanding the rights and
12 defending themselves.
13 Q So in your research you found out that they really
14 didn't have a license?
15 A I found out that they did not.
16 Q Okay. And so when I would interact with clients in
17 your presence, did I take off my sovereign peace officer badge
18 and parade it around like this and say, "Hey, I'm a sovereign
19 peace officer"?
20 A No.
21 Q Did I use it to say, "Hey, I cannot be arrested by
22 the police"?
23 A No.
24 Q So did I make it to where I'm grandstanding or I'm
25 more than what I say I am?

1 MR. SORENSON: Objection.
2 THE WITNESS: No.
3 MR. SORENSON: Leading, Your Honor.
4 THE COURT: Sustained. The last answer will be
5 stricken from the record.
6 All right. Ask her an open-ended question.
7 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) So when you would come to the
8 office and -- you and your husband, was I very descriptive on
9 what I could do --
10 MR. SORENSON: Objection. Leading.
11 THE COURT: Okay. So let him finish his question.
12 But it is leading. So you can ask her, you know, What did I
13 tell you? What was your impression of me?
14 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Well, when -- did I explain to
15 you fully what the common law was about?
16 A Yes.
17 Q Did I actually show you the laws regarding --
18 A Yes, and you encouraged us to read 42 U.S.C. 1988.
19 Q And did I actually show you Hawaii law?
20 A Yes.
21 Q That Hawaii law actually is under common law?
22 A Yes.
23 Q And so did I explain to you everything that I did
24 was constitutional?
25 A Yes.

1 Q And that it was under the common law?
2 A Yes.
3 Q And so did I ever pretend to be a licensed member of
4 the bar?
5 A Never.
6 Q And was I not emphatic that I was not?
7 A You never ever said you were a licensed member of
8 the bar or an attorney. We knew exactly what you were trying
9 to do and we believed totally and still do that you were trying
10 to help people.
11 Q So you wasn't tricked into believing that I was a
12 member of the bar?
13 A No, not at all.
14 Q So I never misconstrued that -- that notion that I
15 was --
16 MR. SORENSON: Objection. Leading.
17 THE COURT: Sustained. You can't suggest the
18 answer. You just ask her what was her impression or opinion of
19 you. She's --
20 THE DEFENDANT: So --
21 THE COURT: -- can answer the question.
22 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) So in your interaction with me,
23 Ms. Kane, was I a man that was very sincere and passionate
24 about what he did?
25 A Yes, and we still see you as a man of God. We know

1 how much you love Yeshua. We totally believed in what you were
2 doing. And the system, what we experienced, was -- made me
3 physically sick.
4 We really need people like you to help educate
5 people on their rights. They need to know their rights because
6 most people then just allow their houses to be stolen and they
7 don't realize that they do have rights and they can defend
8 themselves.
9 Q Now, did I file a mortgage on your behalf, you and
10 your husband?
11 A You filed something to help us stop Mr. Sulla.
12 Q Okay. And can you look at the screen, see if that's
13 a copy?
14 THE COURT: Can you identify the --
15 THE DEFENDANT: Can you identify that document?
16 THE WITNESS: Yes.
17 THE COURT: Can you identify it, Mr. Williams, what
18 you're showing her, what exhibit?
19 THE DEFENDANT: It's exhibit -- Government
20 Exhibit 835.
21 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So she recognized the
22 exhibit.
23 THE WITNESS: Yes.
24 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Is that your signature?
25 A Yes.

1 THE DEFENDANT: I'd like to enter this into
2 evidence.
3 MR. SORENSON: No objection.
4 THE COURT: Received.
5 THE DEFENDANT: I'd like to publish it.
6 THE COURT: You may.
7 (Exhibit 835 received into evidence.)
8 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Now, Ms. Kane, on this mortgage
9 that I filed, did I make sure that you and your husband was
10 listed as the secure party?
11 A Yes.
12 Q And did I explain to you why I did that?
13 A Yes.
14 Q And did you understand that my company would not own
15 your home?
16 A Yes, we understood that.
17 Q Did I explain that very extensively?
18 A Yes.
19 Q And was the meaning of filing this document
20 specifically to protect your home?
21 A Yes.
22 THE DEFENDANT: Government Exhibit 832.
23 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) And do you recognize this
24 document, Ms. Kane?
25 A Yes.

1 Q And what is this document?
2 A It's a UCC financing statement.
3 Q And did I explain to you and your husband exactly
4 what a UCC financing statement is?
5 A You did.
6 Q And did I explain to you what the purpose of the UCC
7 financing statement was?
8 A Yes.
9 Q And is my name anywhere on the document?
10 A No.
11 THE DEFENDANT: I'd like to enter this into
12 evidence.
13 MR. SORENSON: Your Honor, no objection. Probably
14 just the first three pages I think of this exhibit are the
15 financing statement. We're fine with that.
16 THE COURT: So how many pages were you seeking to
17 admit? Those first three pages?
18 THE DEFENDANT: No, it's actually -- 'cause the
19 other documents are a part of the actual filing. Sorry. 6, 7,
20 8 --
21 MR. SORENSON: Your Honor, just for the record, the
22 rest of the document appears to be about 38-odd pages.
23 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, it's actually one document.
24 MR. SORENSON: Your Honor, I just think the rest of
25 this is excludable on 401 and 403 grounds.

1 THE COURT: All right. Let me take a look at it.
2 MR. SORENSON: We think the financing statement is
3 what he's after.
4 THE DEFENDANT: Well, this is all --
5 THE COURT: Wait. Let me see it.
6 MR. SORENSON: There's an affidavit.
7 THE COURT: Ms. Feria, could you get the hard copy
8 and show it to the witness?
9 All right. Ms. Kane, in front of you is Exhibit 832. The
10 first three pages, that's the UCC financing statement that was
11 prepared for you by Mr. Williams; is that correct?
12 THE WITNESS: Yes.
13 THE COURT: All right. The next page refers to an
14 affidavit and with an exhibit list, I think, attached to it.
15 THE WITNESS: Yes, this was all attached to the same
16 document.
17 THE COURT: Okay. Do you know who prepared this?
18 THE WITNESS: The affidavit?
19 THE COURT: Yes.
20 THE WITNESS: That was, I believe, me and Leonard
21 prepared that affidavit.
22 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Who?
23 THE WITNESS: Leonard and I. Dr. Horowitz and I.
24 THE COURT: Okay. You folks prepared that. And
25 then after that there's a --

1 THE WITNESS: The exhibit list we prepared.
2 THE COURT: Yeah.
3 THE WITNESS: All the rest we prepared.
4 THE COURT: The land court system filing.
5 THE WITNESS: That was all part of our -- of our
6 property that was stolen. That was all what we wanted to add
7 to the exhibits in our filings, yep.
8 THE COURT: Okay. Was that filed at the same time
9 with the UCC financing statement? Or these are
10 separate -- they appear to be separate dates.
11 THE WITNESS: No, we filed -- we attached it to the
12 UCC financing statement with the Bureau of Conveyances.
13 THE COURT: Okay. So all of the things that follow
14 the UCC financing statement you included with your filing --
15 THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct.
16 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So the objection's
17 overruled. It's all one document.
18 MR. SORENSON: Okay, Your Honor, thank you.
19 THE COURT: You're offering it into evidence?
20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
21 THE COURT: It's received.
22 THE DEFENDANT: And I'd like to publish it.
23 THE COURT: You may.
24 (Exhibit 832 received into evidence.)
25 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Now, Ms. Kane, on the secure

1 party on the UCC, did I explain to you all why I had you all
2 listed as the secure party creditor also on the UCC?
3 A Yes.
4 Q And you did understand that that was to also protect
5 your property?
6 A Yes.
7 MR. SORENSON: Objection. Leading.
8 THE COURT: Sustained.
9 THE WITNESS: We understood that --
10 THE COURT: I'm sorry.
11 THE WITNESS: Okay.
12 THE COURT: I sustained the objection, so the last
13 answer is stricken. The jury is to disregard it.
14 Ask her an open -- what did you understand?
15 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) What did you understand about
16 you being a secure party creditor on your own UCC?
17 A Well, what we understood was we needed protection
18 about our home being stolen and this was our protection. And
19 we -- we had put this into information -- reading the common
20 law into evidence, reading common law and understanding that
21 this was part of our ability to be able to try to defend
22 ourselves because we had no other defense ever. I mean, not
23 with the authorities, not with the judges, not with the
24 prosecutors, no one. This was our defense.
25 And we knew Mr. Sulla, who's been stealing homes

1 for -- you know, he's been practicing law for 40 years -- we
2 knew that he was a serial land thief and we knew that our home
3 was going to be stolen if we didn't do anything to protect it
4 and we totally understood how this could help us.
5 Q And do you remember you and Doc coming to the office
6 and seeing some of my videos that I did that I uploaded to
7 YouTube?
8 A Yes.
9 Q And can you -- do you remember what some of the
10 videos were about?
11 A You were always trying to help people and try to
12 help people whose homes were being stolen. I actually appeared
13 in court to -- to witness that and I was assaulted in the
14 courtroom for filming it. So I completely know all of the
15 stuff that you were trying to do. And we really appreciate
16 what you were trying to do for people.
17 Q And do you remember you and Doc coming to the office
18 and telling me that what I was doing, that the government would
19 come after me?
20 A To -- I -- we believe that yes, we believe that you
21 were in danger for sure by the government if they would find
22 out you were trying to help people.
23 Q And why did you feel like I would be in danger?
24 A Because what we've experienced in the courts. I
25 mean, I came in to film. I was assaulted in a courtroom as a

1 journalist and then I was -- I didn't -- basically the
2 ambulance came, checked my blood pressure, said, "Oh, you need
3 to go to the hospital," and the head of the sheriff said --
4 well, first he said, "You should leave now because they didn't
5 lay a hand on you." And next thing you know, the ambulance
6 comes, takes my blood pressure and says, "Oh, well, now we're
7 going to have to take you to the hospital."
8 And the head of the sheriff's that was there that
9 day, he said, "Well, if you go to the hospital, then we're
10 going to have to arrest you." And they forced injections into
11 my vein to lower my blood pressure at the hospital. I was
12 assaulted.
13 And then when I went to the arraignment that day,
14 they basically disappeared the case and they said, "We have no
15 record that you were ever arrested."
16 And I said, "That's unbelievable," but they gave me
17 back a hundred dollar bail check, right?
18 And so I -- I've witnessed what the judges have
19 done. I've witnessed Judge Ronald Ibarra retiring right after
20 he gave our home to Mr. Sulla; Judge Melvin Fujino was
21 complicit; Judge Elizabeth Strand -- never with a trial.
22 And then finally Mr. Sulla was -- got indicted by a
23 grand jury, but, you know, that was after our home was
24 stealing. Now we have to wait for Mr. Sulla to get a trial.
25 He's got a fixer attorney that he hired that was our attorney,

1 conflict of interest.

2 MR. SORENSON: Your Honor --

3 THE WITNESS: But I -- this is all of the stuff--

4 MR. SORENSON: I'm just going to just generally

5 object to try to save us some time here. It's fascinating, but

6 I don't know where this is going.

7 THE COURT: Okay. So -- so that's the end of her

8 response. You can ask her another question. I believe the

9 objection was a narrative. Sustained.

10 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Do you remember having a court

11 hearing on the Big Island with Judge Elizabeth Strand?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And did I appear at the courtroom for you?

14 A Yes. You were definitely supporting us, yes.

15 Q And was I forcibly removed from being able to assist

16 you?

17 A Yes.

18 Q By the sheriffs?

19 A Yes.

20 Q And so I wasn't able to execute my fiduciary duties

21 because I was prevented from doing that, correct?

22 A That's right. And I believe you could have helped

23 us, but then they incarcerated you. They put you in a cell.

24 Seven men walked in, they banged your head, you were bleeding

25 on the floor, left to die with your skull cracked open. I

1 remember that. I remember the sheriffs using racial slurs
2 against you. I remember all of what you went through and I
3 remember what we went through and we've been through a living
4 hell all of us.
5 Q And now you mentioned about the hearing that you
6 were at. That was my extradition hearing that you was at,
7 correct?
8 A Yes.
9 Q And do you remember the charges I was charged with?
10 A Yes, yes. You were -- they actually were trying to
11 claim that you used -- that you robbed a house. And it turned
12 out you were only like six months old at the time. They used
13 somebody by the same name as you with a different social
14 security number. They tried to pin it on you.
15 Yes, I've witnessed them trying to pin everything on
16 you. That's what this is all about. It's making you to blame
17 for all of the things that they have not done. And if they had
18 all done their job, there would be no need to look for
19 alternative resources to try to help -- to help us and other
20 people from saving their homes.
21 MR. SORENSON: Objection. Again, narrative.
22 THE COURT: All right. Sustained.
23 Okay. So that's her answer. And then what's the next
24 question?
25 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Did you and Dr. Horowitz

1 videotape this hearing?
2 A The hearing at --
3 Q When they tried to fake my fingerprints.
4 A Oh, yes, we did.
5 Q And did you all upload that to YouTube?
6 A Yes, we did.
7 Q Okay. And --
8 A We uploaded also to our video channel as well,
9 revolutiontelevision.net.
10 Q And so I was extradited back to Georgia based on
11 those fake fingerprints, correct?
12 A Yes.
13 Q And do you know if I got the case dismissed?
14 A Yes, of course you did. I mean, and they were
15 putting other charges to try to character assassinate you and
16 we've witnessed all of that.
17 THE COURT: Okay. Wait.
18 THE WITNESS: We witnessed trying to blame
19 everything on you and it's -- it's really, really, really sad,
20 and anybody who does that should be ashamed of themselves
21 because they have not done their jobs. They have not. They
22 have you here and Mr. Sulla is out walking, stealing more
23 houses and forging more deeds.
24 THE COURT: All right. So you need to answer the
25 question that's asked of you, Ms. Kane.

1 All right. So what's the next question?
2 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Ms. Kane, did you and
3 Dr. Horowitz ever fly with me on an airplane?
4 A Yes.
5 Q Did you physically see my private attorney general
6 ID be accepted by the TSA?
7 A Yes.
8 Q And did they ever say that my ID was fake?
9 A No.
10 Q Did they ever say that my badge was fake?
11 A No.
12 Q So they let me gain entrance to the airport and on
13 the airplane with my private attorney general ID?
14 A Yes.
15 Q And you was a specific witness to that?
16 A Yes.
17 Q Okay. And so did I ever try to scam you or your
18 husband?
19 A No, you never did.
20 Q Did you see me where I tried to scam any other
21 clients?
22 A No.
23 Q And you know a lot of people in the community,
24 correct?
25 A I saw people scamming you, though.

1 Q Right. And who do you refer to?
2 A Edna Franco, who should be in prison.
3 Q And what did she do?
4 A She basically was going around saying that he
5 represented -- or she worked in your company, and she was
6 stealing and doing all kinds of backhanded things. I believe
7 that eventually she got indicted or something, but she was able
8 to walk free and I believe she is still walking free because
9 she's somehow a government informant.
10 But I've witnessed her do some really bad things to
11 people and --
12 MR. SORENSON: Objection. Again, relevance and
13 narrative, Your Honor.
14 THE COURT: Yeah. Sustained.
15 Okay. Ask the next question.
16 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) So, Ms. Kane, this is -- you
17 had did a journal on the attorney that had stolen your home.
18 Do you remember you all had -- actually doing a journal and a
19 website --
20 A Yes.
21 Q -- regarding the fraud?
22 A Yes.
23 Q And the fraud consisted of him filing fraudulent
24 documents and things like that not only to steal your home, but
25 steal other people's home?

1 A That's right. He's currently doing the Waikoloa
2 Highlands. He actually just -- he managed to do that and we
3 have documented that online on judicialcorruptionnews.com.
4 Q Was there a recent case where a young lady was
5 actually murdered?
6 A There is a case that a woman was murdered. She was
7 hanging out with we call it the ayahuasca death coal.
8 Mr. Sulla has an ayahuasca -- illegal ayahuasca church on the
9 Hamakua Coast the -- uh, all of the authorities know about --
10 the DEA knows, a state, uhm, drug prosecutor knows about it,
11 all of them know. And --
12 MR. SORENSON: Your Honor, objection on relevance
13 grounds --
14 THE WITNESS: -- he's allowed to get away with it.
15 THE COURT: Stop, Ms. Kane. So, I'm sorry. Your
16 objection?
17 MR. SORENSON: Relevance and narrative.
18 THE COURT: Yeah. Sustained.
19 Okay. So you can ask her questions that have to do with
20 this case, that Mr. Sulla is not part of this case,
21 Mr. Williams.
22 THE DEFENDANT: Well --
23 THE COURT: So ask her the next question.
24 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) So with the mortgage fraud that
25 was perpetrated against you, you knew when you hired me that I

1 was not a member of the bar, correct?
2 A Right.
3 Q And so --
4 A We didn't want a member of the bar at that point.
5 We wanted to be able to save our home --
6 THE COURT: All right. The question was a yes or
7 no. Did you know that he was not a member of the bar?
8 THE WITNESS: We -- yes, we knew he was not.
9 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
10 Okay. Next question.
11 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) And so did that ever influence
12 your decision knowing that I was not a member of the bar?
13 A No.
14 THE COURT: All right. Next question.
15 THE WITNESS: It --
16 THE COURT: Sorry --
17 THE WITNESS: Hold on. I'm not done. Let me
18 finish.
19 THE COURT: No, no, no. He just asked you a leading
20 question --
21 THE WITNESS: No, no. I'm sorry. I mean yes, yes.
22 Yes, it influenced our decision, yes.
23 THE COURT: Stop. Stop.
24 Okay. So then ask her the next question. If you want to
25 ask her leading questions, it's a yes or no, okay? So if you

1 want her to to explain her question[*sic*], you need to ask her
2 an open-ended question.
3 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Ms. Kane, in your experience,
4 would you refer someone to a private attorney general like me
5 to help them or an attorney at law?
6 A Well, we haven't seen many honest attorneys, so I
7 would say that I would definitely recommend you. And there are
8 a few good attorneys. I mean, Margaret Wille is a really good
9 attorney. She hasn't been able to -- you know, to really
10 overcome this level of corruption, but, yes, there are some
11 good attorneys.
12 Q Do you feel that if I wasn't unlawfully
13 incarcerated, I would be able to do more to help you?
14 A I believe that your incarceration prevented you from
15 helping us further and finishing what you had started to help
16 us with.
17 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. I'd like to get Government
18 Exhibit 209, please.
19 MR. ISAACSON: Is it in?
20 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, it's already into evidence.
21 MR. ISAACSON: Oh, it is up.
22 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: You got the document?
23 MR. ISAACSON: It's on --
24 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: Oh, they have it. Okay.
25 THE DEFENDANT: I'd like to publish it.

1 THE COURT: You may.
2 THE DEFENDANT: Okay.
3 THE COURT: Okay. Wait. I'm sorry. Now it is.
4 Thank you. Go ahead.
5 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Okay. Ms. Kane, did you ever
6 call the OCP or the DCCA and file a complaint against me or my
7 company, Mortgage Enterprise Investments?
8 A Never.
9 Q Did you file a complaint against my company, Common
10 Law Office of America?
11 A Never.
12 Q Did you file a personal complaint against me?
13 A Never.
14 Q Did you authorize the Department of Consumer Affairs
15 to void out your mortgage that was filed on your behalf to
16 protect your property?
17 A No.
18 Q Can you turn -- go down to the page -- I don't know
19 what page it is -- but it shows where -- next page, next page,
20 next page, next page, next page, next page, next page, next
21 page, next page. Okay.
22 You see the letter M?
23 A Yes.
24 Q Okay. And this is a document where the Office of
25 consumer protection Voided your mortgage. Did you know that

1 they took this action without your knowledge?
2 A No, we did not.
3 Q If you would have known this, would you told them
4 not to void your mortgage?
5 A Of course, yes. I would have told them I don't know
6 why anybody put our name on the document without our
7 authorization.
8 Q Exactly. And so you never would have authorized
9 them to void out your mortgage?
10 A And I never seen a copy of this either. That's the
11 worst part. No, I would not have authorized them to do
12 anything. The DCCA is part of the racket that's stealing
13 people's homes and allowing criminals to get away with things
14 that they should not, people that should be locked up.
15 Q So you never received a letter from OCP or DCCA
16 stating like We're going to void your mortgage 'cause --
17 A No, never received -- never saw this document.
18 THE COURT: I'm sorry. You have to let him finish
19 his question.
20 THE WITNESS: Okay.
21 THE COURT: So next question.
22 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) So you never received a letter
23 that they was going to void out your Uniform Commercial Code
24 financing statement?
25 A No, never received anything.

1 Q So they totally did this without your knowledge?
2 A That's correct.
3 Q And this is probably part of the reason that --
4 MR. SORENSON: Objection. Leading.
5 THE COURT: Sustained. So ask her an open-ended
6 question.
7 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Do you feel like this is part
8 of the reason that Paul Sulla was able to steal your home?
9 MR. SORENSON: Objection. Leading.
10 THE COURT: Sustained. What do you think --
11 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) What do you think by them
12 voiding it what effect it had on you?
13 A I'm sure it helped Paul Sulla and that's what
14 they've been doing; they've been helping Paul J. Sulla, Jr.
15 Q And so, Ms. Kane, what do you feel about me
16 personally as a man?
17 A As I said earlier, I believe you're a man of God. I
18 believe that you love God and you are -- you love Yeshua.
19 We've talked about it. We've had Bible conversations many
20 times and we really appreciate you. We can see your heart.
21 You have a great heart and you're a very kind man. You just
22 wanted to help people.
23 You saw the corrupt system and you wanted to help
24 people and educate them of their rights because all of these
25 elderly people and people that don't speak English are being

1 ripped off. It's unfair.

2 THE DEFENDANT: That's right. I have no more

3 questions.

4 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

5 All right. Cross-examination. Your witness.

6 MR. SORENSON: Thank you, Your Honor.

7 CROSS-EXAMINATION

8 BY MR. SORENSON:

9 Q Ms. Kane, let's start off with your -- your mortgage

10 that you were just asked about. This is Exhibit 835.

11 Your Honor, could we have this published? It's in

12 evidence.

13 THE COURT: Yes, you may.

14 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) You've identified this document;

15 is that correct?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And is this the mortgage that you filed in an effort

18 to frustrate a prior interest in your property?

19 A No.

20 Q What is it?

21 A Our home was paid already. It was an effort to try

22 to save our home from being stolen by Mr. Paul J. Sulla, Jr.

23 He had no interest in our property. He had no interest and

24 he --

25 Q Okay.

1 A -- got indicted for it.
2 Q Let me ask you this. When you refer to somebody
3 stealing your home, are you talking about somebody foreclosing
4 on your residence --
5 A No.
6 Q -- because you failed to pay a note?
7 A No. We paid off our mortgage. Mr. Sulla came in --
8 as I said earlier, he created a fake church. He used a
9 strawman named Jason Hester as the leader of this church, and
10 what he did was he went to the Bureau of Conveyances, he
11 claimed that we didn't pay any mortgage. He created a new
12 mortgage and then he went and had a nonjudicial foreclosure.
13 After we spent four years in court beating the
14 judicial foreclosure -- we won because the mortgage had been
15 paid -- Mr. Sulla comes in later, a year later, and he files a
16 nonjudicial foreclosure on the court steps. He doesn't file
17 with the court. He goes on the court steps and he gives our
18 house for $10 to Jason Hester, a homeless drifter who is
19 heading this fake church, the Gospel of Believers it was
20 called.
21 If you read our articles online --
22 Q I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I'm just going to have to
23 just ask you just answer the question, okay?
24 A I just answered it.
25 Q Okay. And -- well, let me ask you this then. This

1 was an effort to frustrate his mortgage that he had filed; is
2 that correct?
3 A This was an effort to stop him from stealing our
4 home. He had forged the documents which he was --
5 Q Okay, thank you.
6 A He was later found to have done that by a grand
7 jury, okay? He was later found to have done that.
8 Q Now, as we look at this document, I want to direct
9 your attention to a few parts of it, okay? It's up on the
10 screen. Do you see it? And I'm going to make a mark here.
11 THE COURT: Do you want the hard copy of it as well
12 or are you all right --
13 THE WITNESS: I think -- no, it's not in here,
14 right?
15 THE COURT: 835.
16 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) Do you see, Ms. Kane, what I've
17 boxed in up there?
18 A Yes.
19 Q Okay. I know it's hard. We can -- we can make it
20 bigger, if you need?
21 A I don't need it bigger. I don't need it bigger.
22 Q Okay. So this document says it's a mortgage; is
23 that correct?
24 A That's right.
25 Q And it's dated May 6, 2014; is that correct?

1 A It's -- yes, yes.
2 Q And both you and Leonard Horowitz, common law
3 husband and wife, are listed as the borrowers; is that right?
4 A Yes.
5 Q Okay. So you're the borrowers, true?
6 A Uhm --
7 Q See where it says "borrower" right after your names,
8 next paragraph down?
9 A Uhm, I don't see.
10 Q Next paragraph down?
11 A Can you read the paragraph, please?
12 Q Yeah, it starts with "Borrower" and then it says
13 "the servicer mortgagee"?
14 A Okay, yes.
15 Q So you're the borrower, correct?
16 A Uh-huh.
17 Q And the servicer mortgagee is listed as MEI. That's
18 Mr. Williams's company; is that correct?
19 A Yes.
20 Q And MEI is listed as a business out of Killeen,
21 Texas, right?
22 A Yes.
23 Q And then we see the trustee is Federal American
24 Mortgage, right?
25 A Federal Mortgage -- I'm sorry. Where is that?

1 Q The next line down, the Federal Mortgage American
2 Trust. Do you see that?
3 A No. I think I'm going to need a hard copy.
4 Q Okay.
5 A 'Cause I think you have -- you've crossed out that
6 part of it so I can't see it.
7 THE COURT: Can't see it with the blue line.
8 THE WITNESS: Yeah, you've crossed it out.
9 THE COURT: Can you -- can you -- well, do that, but
10 are you able to enlarge it so she can see it?
11 MR. SORENSON: Yes, Your Honor.
12 THE WITNESS: I can see it, but he had it all --
13 THE COURT: There you go.
14 THE WITNESS: Okay. So borrower. Okay. I don't
15 see where you're saying --
16 MR. SORENSON: Okay. So --
17 THE WITNESS: The trustee is Federal Mortgage
18 American Trust, yes.
19 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) You see that, right?
20 A Yes.
21 Q And so you've got your servicer mortgagee is
22 Mr. Williams and his company, right?
23 A Yes. I mean, it's our home so we could do anything
24 we want to protect our home; so you understand that.
25 Q And you're the borrower in this document, correct?

1 A Yes. We actually created this to stop Mr. Sulla.
2 We had no other alternative --
3 Q Yeah, I think you --
4 A -- to save our home. I want you to understand that.
5 THE COURT: Okay. Wait, Ms. Kane, he's just
6 asking --
7 THE WITNESS: I understand but it's really --
8 THE COURT: No, no --
9 THE WITNESS: It's very hurtful and frustrating --
10 THE COURT: No, Ms. Kane.
11 THE WITNESS: -- that we got our home stolen and
12 this man --
13 THE COURT: I understand. Ms. Kane, Ms. Kane. So I
14 understand that you went through a very tough time and it's
15 hard for you to talk about it, but you've been called as a
16 witness by Mr. Williams.
17 THE WITNESS: But, you know, why is not Mr. Sulla
18 here? That's -- he stole our home.
19 THE COURT: So, Ms. Kane, so this is not about your
20 home. This is a criminal case involving charges against
21 Mr. Williams. So Mr. Sorenson's going to have an opportunity
22 to ask you some questions and he's just asking you about this
23 document that you've already told Mr. Williams that you filed
24 and you've explained why you filed it. So he's just asking you
25 some questions to understand the document.

1 THE WITNESS: Yeah, but honestly, it's really,
2 really demeaning to have someone like that question me. I
3 mean, it is. It's so demeaning to me.
4 THE COURT: Well, so, Ms. Kane --
5 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I appreciate you so
6 much --
7 THE COURT: Yeah.
8 THE WITNESS: And I love -- and I think you're a
9 great judge, I think. But I'm saying to you that this man
10 that's doing this, he's malicious though. I can feel it in my
11 heart he's malicious.
12 THE COURT: But, see, this is the process.
13 THE WITNESS: He's malicious because he put this --
14 THE COURT: Ms. Kane, Ms. Kane. All right. Listen,
15 we're going to have to take a break so you and I can have a
16 conversation.
17 THE WITNESS: Can I say one thing to you?
18 THE COURT: No, no. What I'm going to do is excuse
19 the jury and I'll give you an opportunity to speak what's in
20 your heart, okay? So why don't we do that.
21 So, ladies and gentlemen, we are going to take a recess.
22 It's going to be at least 15 minutes. If you could leave your
23 notes and iPads behind. And, of course, don't discuss the case
24 with anyone or allow anyone to discuss it with you.
25 Please rise for the jury. They're in recess for at least

1 15 minutes.

2 (Open court out of the presence of the jury.)

3 THE COURT: And the record will reflect the jury's

4 no longer present. Present are Mr. Williams, counsel, and

5 Ms. Kane, the witness.

6 So, Ms. Kane, I'll give you an opportunity to speak what's

7 in your heart. I just have to explain to you my job is to make

8 sure that questions and answers are given in an orderly fashion

9 so that the jury can take in evidence. I understand that this

10 is very hard for you and it's probably reopening some

11 pretty -- pretty deep wounds. So I don't, you know, mean to be

12 unsympathetic to what you went through and how you feel, okay?

13 But my job is to make sure that the jury hears relevant

14 information to the charges against Mr. Williams, and this is

15 Mr. Williams's case, so he's going to have an opportunity to

16 present his defense and you're part of that. So thank you for

17 coming.

18 But there's things -- I understand how terrible you feel

19 about Mr. Sulla and what he's done and so forth, so I'll give

20 you an opportunity to get it off your chest now.

21 THE WITNESS: Okay.

22 THE COURT: But that's not the thing that can be

23 presented in front of the jury.

24 THE WITNESS: I understand.

25 THE COURT: So go for it.

1 THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, my -- my issue with the
2 prosecutor is that Mr. Williams has not -- I'm not only a
3 journalist, but I'm a human rights activist. Okay? And
4 Mr. Williams has not had a trial. He has been locked up being
5 accused of a nonviolent crime and he has not had a trial,
6 and -- and I blame it on these prosecutors.
7 So when they're coming to me and they're telling me, you
8 know, Oh, Mr. Williams -- in my heart 100 percent I believe
9 that he was trying to help us. I never ever once questioned
10 Mr. Williams doing anything malicious or trying to hurt us.
11 Now, their case that they're trying to put against
12 Mr. Williams, they have to prove that he had an intention to
13 commit malicious acts. Mr. Williams had no intent to do any of
14 that. So whatever they have against him, there was no intent
15 to defraud people. His intention was to help people in a
16 system where they were not getting any justice, and he has not
17 had a trial.
18 So as -- how do you think I feel about Mr. Williams being
19 incarcerated all these years without having a trial? Rapist
20 and murderers are out there running around, you know, without,
21 you know -- and getting away with things and not being locked
22 up and incarcerated. Mr. Sulla, his son was indicted for child
23 pornography; he's walking around. Mr. Sulla, a serial land
24 thief, is walking around. And they're accusing Anthony of
25 doing things -- if people aren't -- aren't saying Anthony did

1 anything wrong, we in our hearts do not believe Mr. Williams
2 had any evil intention. And this prosecution needs to prove
3 that he had intention to do those things.
4 And he's asking me about this mortgage. This mortgage was
5 created on a paid off home. We paid our mortgage in full. We
6 beat a judicial foreclosure, and then the seller died and
7 Mr. Sulla came in months and months later, went -- I don't know
8 what he did -- paid off somebody. He's got the blackmail tapes
9 from his church on the judges. I don't know what's going on
10 with that. But there's something not right, okay? About --
11 Judge Chan gets debenched. Ibarra leaves. Now it's Melvin
12 Fujino in there. They're all crooked.
13 I get reports as a journalist that Melvin Fujino snorts
14 cocaine off of his desk. I have to hear these things from
15 people who report them to me.
16 I have a website also on Facebook called judicial -- I
17 mean, Report Corruption in Hawaii. I get all of the -- all of
18 the information comes to me. I know how many people Mr. Sulla
19 defrauded. Anthony was just trying to protect us and help us.
20 That's all he was trying to do.
21 And I don't -- and this -- and he's asking me, well, this
22 and this. This hurts me for him to accuse Anthony of doing
23 anything malicious when Sulla should be in front of us.
24 We never had our day in court with Sulla. We never had to
25 confront the man. And then on top of it, check this out. We

1 go to complain -- first of all, Leonard had to file for
2 bankruptcy to get somebody else to look at our case, at
3 our -- at our home being stolen, a higher court. So he filed
4 for bankruptcy. The judges did look for it. You know, the
5 trustee's attorney, Howard Hughes's attorney, is Bradley Tan.
6 Bradley Tan sided with Sulla. I investigated Bradley Tan. I
7 found out that Bradley Tan was suspended in California as a
8 lawyer for drugs, for alcohol, for embezzling clients' money.
9 Okay? He was able to walk free and then he was brought to
10 Hawaii and he became the trustee's lawyer.
11 So then when I go to complain about Mr. Sulla at the bar,
12 who's there to take my complaint? Bradley Tan. He gets now a
13 position heading the --
14 THE COURT: Office of Disciplinary Counsel.
15 THE WITNESS: I mean, how can this corruption at
16 this level -- this is a -- Bradley Tan should be in prison for
17 embezzling money. Anthony never did anything like that here.
18 He is incarcerated for all these years without a trial.
19 I am as a civil rights activist. This whole thing is
20 heartbreaking to watch, to watch people who don't do their
21 research. He's smiling, the prosecutor. I don't -- I just
22 don't get it. You have your own guy, Bradley Tan, coming and
23 heading that. And you know what? Bradley Tan, of course,
24 didn't want to take our complaint. He says Mr. Sulla did no
25 wrongdoing. Well, Mr. Tan, how can you be the trustee lawyer

1 and side with Sulla and be the person we file our complaint
2 with? Where's the separation? Where -- I mean, conflict of
3 interest?
4 THE COURT: Right. So I hear what you --
5 THE WITNESS: And then also we have a case with a
6 title company and it's currently in this court. And we have
7 been trying -- the title court first said -- or the title
8 company first said, Oh, you know --
9 THE COURT: Okay. Sorry that's an ongoing case, so
10 we can't discuss it in this court.
11 THE WITNESS: All of these things we can't seem to
12 get any justice. We're trying. Mr. -- Anthony was the
13 brightest light that has come into our life.
14 THE COURT: No, I understand and that --
15 THE WITNESS: And I witnessed him getting his head
16 cracked open. He called me after it happened. He almost died.
17 They left him on the cell floor with his head cracked open.
18 Why? Because he was trying to expose the corruption? Because
19 he's an African-American man? What was the reason why he was
20 treated that way?
21 THE COURT: Right, right.
22 THE WITNESS: There's no reason. I'm a human rights
23 activist and I hate to see this continuing. It's a farce.
24 It's like exactly -- and, you know, I was never -- I'm not
25 political in any way, but I can tell you I witnessed similar

1 things like this with Donald Trump and what's going on with
2 him.
3 THE COURT: Okay.
4 THE WITNESS: I can't stand this injustice. I can't
5 stand it.
6 THE COURT: Well, and I appreciate you having those
7 feelings and speaking out. It's just we're in a courtroom
8 right now on specific things, and you mentioned that
9 Mr. Williams hasn't had his day in court, his trial. So he's
10 having a trial now.
11 THE WITNESS: I understand, but I want you to
12 understand how hard it is for me to have these kind of guys
13 who've have kept him in court locked up.
14 THE COURT: Well, so I understand that you feel that
15 they've kept him locked up, but he is not at Honolulu Detention
16 Center because of this case. He's actually been transferred
17 because he was incarcerated in Florida. So he was brought --
18 THE WITNESS: Which was dismissed. Those charges
19 were all dismissed.
20 THE COURT: No, on other charges. So -- so you may
21 be feeling, you know, protective of him and feel that the U.S.
22 Attorney's Office --
23 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I think he's being -- the
24 same way we're being harassed and our rights are being
25 violated, I feel like his, because he was trying to protect us,

1 it happened to him. That's what I believe.
2 THE COURT: Okay. Yes, and you've testified to
3 that. So now this part of the trial, Mr. Sorenson has an
4 opportunity to ask you questions, and you may have personal
5 feelings about him where you don't like him, and that's your
6 right, but --
7 THE WITNESS: I don't like the idea that Anthony had
8 never -- has waited years. I don't like that idea and I'm glad
9 and thank you, Your Honor, for your kindness in allowing me to
10 express this. I think you're a very kind person and I knew
11 that. I knew that. As soon as I saw you, I knew that you
12 were.
13 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. So now what -- this
14 opportunity is for Mr. Sorenson to ask you questions, and I
15 understand that you have very strong feelings, but you just
16 need to ask the questions. And if he should ask you an
17 improper question, I will stop him, okay? But
18 he -- Mr. Williams asked you about this document, so
19 Mr. Sorenson gets an opportunity to ask you about it.
20 THE WITNESS: I understand. But you understand why
21 I feel that way, right?
22 THE COURT: Yes, I understand. But here's the
23 deal --
24 THE WITNESS: And, you know, we went to the U.S.
25 Attorney that he works for and tried to tell -- look, young

1 people are dying in this area off of these drugs. This girl
2 fell off a cliff, all these young people; this guy is able to
3 traffic this drug from Hawaii to the mainland unlicensed.
4 We went to the -- the --
5 THE COURT: U.S. Attorney.
6 THE WITNESS: -- the -- the drug enforcement agency
7 of the state. Never even heard of ayahuasca DMT. He said
8 Mr. Sulla has no license. Meanwhile, all these people -- and
9 the U.S. Attorney did not want to do anything against Mr. Sulla
10 but they're going up against Anthony. It's just that's so
11 horrible the level of injustice.
12 THE COURT: I understand that you have strong
13 feelings about it, but -- and, you know, with regard to
14 Mr. Sulla, but that's not part of this case.
15 THE WITNESS: But he keeps -- but he keeps saying to
16 me -- this is what he keeps saying, "Oh, he had an interest,"
17 or, "Mr. Sulla had no interest." He stole our house which he
18 got indicted by a grand jury. If he would have done his
19 research and known that, he would have understood that.
20 THE COURT: I understand that that's your feeling,
21 okay? So -- and you've expressed that with regard to that.
22 But now he's just asking but this document.
23 THE WITNESS: No, he was asking me earlier, and that
24 upset me, about Mr. Sulla, "Oh, Mr. Sulla had an interest."
25 No. The homeless drifter or Mr. Sulla had no interest in our

house. They stole it and that's what he does.

THE COURT: All right. So, Ms. Kane --

THE WITNESS: He's still in Waikoloa Highlands right now off --

THE COURT: Ms. Kane, Ms. Kane, I understand this is a very emotional topic for you, but we have to sort of focus on what's before the court so we can get through your testimony. And so now Mr. Sorenson's going to have an opportunity to ask you questions, and as long as they're properly within the relevance to this case, I will allow him to ask you questions, if they're properly formed. And all you have to do is answer his questions.

THE WITNESS: I understand.

THE COURT: Then, Mr. Williams is going to have an opportunity to ask you more questions. So if that time you feel that there's something that you weren't able to --

THE WITNESS: But I need to express what I'm not to allowed in this court because I have so much on my chest. You know, Mr. Sulla's connected to a lead cocaine trafficker. We've reported it. Nobody -- you know, nobody cares. They just care, oh, Mr. Williams is trying to save people's houses. Nobody complained about it, and we're just going to get Mr. Williams 'cause he's exposing the corruption in the system. Really?

Well, I mean, if your house is being stolen, you will do

1 anything you can to protect it. We didn't commit any violent
2 crimes. In the old days somebody tries to steal your land in
3 the wild west, they'd pick up their gun and they'd shoot.
4 That's what happened in the wild. We're not doing that. We're
5 trying to save our house and our house now has been stolen.
6 Anthony got incarcerated, couldn't help us any more, and
7 these people are smiling. I see them in the elevator smiling,
8 making jokes about Anthony. I really don't appreciate what I'm
9 witnessing in this, keeping him locked up for that long. It's
10 really unfair.
11 You really need to see how you waste taxpayers' money on
12 focussing on someone like Anthony Williams when you could be
13 focussing on real criminals that are really hurting people and
14 young people are dying. Young people are dying.
15 THE COURT: Okay. So, Ms. Kane, I understand that
16 and so you've had an opportunity to sort of get it off your
17 chest. So what we're going to do is --
18 THE WITNESS: She's smiling. I mean, they're all
19 smiling. It's funny, right?
20 THE COURT: They're pleasant people. I'm sorry that
21 you feel that they're smiling in a way that's offensive.
22 THE WITNESS: Is this funny what I'm saying to you
23 now? Have I said anything that's funny?
24 THE COURT: So, Ms. Kane, what I'm going to do --
25 THE WITNESS: I hope your house never gets stolen.

1 I hope you have never have someone forge your documents and
2 steal your title.
3 THE COURT: Ms. Kane, I understand that you're
4 upset, so I'm going to give you a few moments to compose
5 yourself, and then we're going to bring back the jury.
6 THE WITNESS: How about a drink?
7 THE COURT: Water. I can offer you a drink of
8 water. But so -- and so we'll take a few minutes that you can,
9 you know, have water and compose yourself, and then I'm going
10 to bring the jury back in about 10 minutes, okay? And then
11 Mr. Sorenson's going to ask you some questions and you just
12 answer his questions and then Mr. Williams will have an
13 opportunity to ask you questions.
14 THE WITNESS: Can Mr. Williams say -- basically
15 object -- object to what they say to me?
16 THE COURT: If he feels that there's a legal basis
17 to object, but otherwise --
18 THE WITNESS: Okay.
19 THE COURT: -- you need to answer to questions and
20 all he's asking you about is what you understood and who in
21 this document that you've adopted --
22 THE WITNESS: This document was just a layer of
23 protection. I told them already.
24 THE COURT: I know, but he just --
25 THE WITNESS: We paid our house off. Why does it

1 matter what we do to protect our house if we have no remedy,
2 Your Honor?
3 THE COURT: That's not -- you've had an opportunity
4 to say that, so he can just ask you questions about this. So
5 fair is fair. Mr. Williams had an opportunity to ask you
6 questions and now Mr. Sorenson.
7 All right. So we're going to take a recess for
8 10 minutes. Would you like some candy too? We also have
9 candy.
10 THE WITNESS: You do?
11 THE COURT: Yes. So you may have some candy.
12 All right. So we're in recess for 10 minutes.
13 MR. SORENSON: Your Honor, for the record, there was
14 a factual statement made. We have not ridden on the elevator
15 with this lady. I have not.
16 THE WITNESS: I have. I've rode with both of you on
17 the elevator.
18 MR. SORENSON: My co-counsel --
19 THE WITNESS: Gregg --
20 MR. SORENSON: -- indicates he has not been on an
21 elevator --
22 THE WITNESS: No, we -- I did. I came here as a
23 witness one day. I was sitting in here. I had rode in the
24 elevator with you. I have another person that was actually one
25 of the other witnesses, Rosy, and she actually was on the

1 elevator when I rode the elevator with you. I swear.
2 THE COURT: Okay. So -- so Mr. Sorenson can have
3 his recollection and you can have your recollection and it's on
4 the record.
5 May we take a recess?
6 MR. SORENSON: Yes, Your Honor.
7 THE COURT: All right. And if you could get some
8 candy for Ms. Kane.
9 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: Sure.
10 THE COURT: Thank you. We're in recess for
11 10 minutes and then we'll have the jury brought back. Thank
12 you. We're in recess.
13 (A recess was taken.)
14 (Open court out of the presence of the jury.)
15 THE COURT: All right. We're going -- where's
16 Mr. Sorenson? Well, maybe --
17 MR. YATES: Out very temporarily. We can continue.
18 THE COURT: All right. So we will go get the jury.
19 Thank you, Ms. Feria. We're in recess.
20 (A recess was taken.)
21 (Open court in the presence of the jury.)
22 THE COURT: And the record will reflect
23 the presence of the ladies and gentlemen
24 of the jury, welcome back, counsel and
25 Mr. Williams. The witness is on the

1 stand.
2 Mr. Sorenson, your witness.
3 MR. SORENSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
4 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) Ms. Kane, we were talking about
5 the MEI mortgage that we have, the deed of trust
6 mortgage -- excuse me -- the deed of trust mortgage that you
7 entered into apparently as a borrower in this. Do you recall
8 that?
9 A Yes.
10 Q Okay. And you see it up on the screen there, right?
11 We were talking about that second paragraph, right?
12 A You're talking about the -- hold on. Is this right?
13 The borrower, the service mortgagee. Okay. Yes.
14 Q Now, we've established that you were the borrower in
15 this document, correct?
16 A Dr. Horowitz and I.
17 Q Yes. And the servicer was Mortgage Enterprise
18 Investments and that is Mr. Williams's company, right?
19 A Yes.
20 Q This trustee, though, Federal Mortgage American
21 Trust, I want to ask you some questions about this. What do
22 you know about this witness?
23 A You'll have to speak with Dr. Horowitz. I don't
24 know much about it.
25 Q Is it your belief though that this is a valid, real

1 company?
2 A Yes.
3 Q Okay. And why do you think that?
4 A Because I 100 percent trust Anthony.
5 Q So if Anthony Williams told you that Federal
6 Mortgage American Trust really existed and it really was at
7 this address, would you believe that?
8 A Yes.
9 Q And you would believe that because you trust him?
10 A Right, yes.
11 Q Now, the secured party creditors and beneficiaries
12 on this document, interestingly, are listed as both you and
13 Dr. Horowitz, correct?
14 A That's correct.
15 Q And so you are both the borrower and the creditor
16 and beneficiary on this document; is that fair to say?
17 A Yes.
18 Q So you occupy two roles here; you're going to be the
19 borrower and the creditor?
20 A We're going to do anything to protect our home
21 again.
22 Q And that includes filing a document that has you
23 listed as the borrower and the creditor, correct?
24 A Correct.
25 Q Now, if we can go down in this document, at the

1 bottom part, did you see any other mortgages like this that
2 Mr. Williams did for other customers of MEI?
3 A No, I didn't see them.
4 Q So you don't have any personal knowledge of that,
5 correct?
6 A I know that he helped other people the same way, but
7 I didn't look into other people's personal documents.
8 Q You have no idea what he filed for them, right?
9 A I know that he filed similar things with them that
10 he filed for us.
11 Q And I think you've indicated that you were present
12 from time to time when he interacted with other clients; is
13 that correct?
14 A I wasn't in the room with him, but they were waiting
15 for him I know. I've known some of them and they were all very
16 positive about Anthony.
17 Q Right, right. And -- but when you testified about
18 knowing what he said to them, that was because you were sitting
19 outside while he was meeting with them?
20 A Uh-huh.
21 Q So you weren't present during those conversations?
22 A I was -- I was in the room, but I -- you know,
23 that's personal stuff. But I talked to them on a one-on-one
24 basis and I know that they were very positive about Anthony.
25 Q But talking to them, you don't know what he said to

1 them; is that fair to say?
2 A They told me -- a lot of them told me. If they felt
3 like they wanted to share it, they told me what --
4 Q And did they tell you that he was a private attorney
5 general?
6 A Yes.
7 Q And they believed that he could represent them,
8 correct?
9 A Well, he wasn't representing them; he was helping
10 them.
11 Q He wasn't -- he wasn't telling --
12 A He wasn't like representing them like a lawyer would
13 represent them. He was basically helping them, helping them
14 understand their rights, helping them understand what they
15 needed to file in order to protect their rights.
16 Q So your belief then is that he never would go to
17 court and represent people; is that correct?
18 A He would go to court to help them but not represent
19 them in the same way an attorney would represent them. An
20 attorney is different than that. He would go to court and
21 basically support them, go to court, be with them and -- and if
22 they -- even if he needed to and they asked him to, he would
23 stand up with them.
24 Q Were you present with him when he would stand up in
25 court and represent people?

1 A No.
2 Q So you don't really know that, do you?
3 A I went to court with him and I saw what he did in
4 our case.
5 Q And so when you went to court with him in your case,
6 did he stand up in court?
7 A We -- he came -- we wanted him to come up there with
8 us because we knew we were being railroaded.
9 Q I understand that. Did he didn't, though? Did
10 he --
11 A He didn't represented us like a lawyer. He
12 basically just said these are their rights. He just was making
13 sure that the court knew that we knew our rights.
14 Q All right. But did he sit at table, counsel table
15 with you?
16 A If we asked him to, he did, yes.
17 Q Did you ask him to?
18 A Yes.
19 Q And did he?
20 A I can't remember right now if he did or not.
21 Q Well, you just said that he stood up and said things
22 for you; is that correct?
23 A Yes, because he saw we were being railroaded. I
24 can't remember if he was sitting at the table with us.
25 Q Did he file documents for you?

1 A He told us what to file. I don't remember him
2 filing, just the UCC, these documents that you put up there.
3 Q Did he draft court documents for you?
4 A I can't recall if he did or not.
5 Q In most respects, though, he was representing your
6 interests; is that fair to say?
7 A He was, very supportive of us.
8 Q And is it your belief that he was also representing
9 the interests of other people, other clients of MEI?
10 A Other clients are none of my business. I just know
11 that he was trying to help us and I know from speaking to
12 clients that he was trying to help them as well.
13 Q But you weren't present during his conversations
14 with those people, were you?
15 A I don't know what he said to those people. It's
16 not -- it's -- you know. But I know what we know about Anthony
17 and speaking to the clients.
18 Q I understand. For instance, do you know Loreen
19 Troxel?
20 A Who.
21 Q Loreen Troxel?
22 A No.
23 Q You don't know who she is?
24 A I don't know.
25 Q So you don't know what he said to her, right?

1 A I don't know what he said to her. I know a few
2 other people. I know -- I know what he said to them basically
3 that --
4 Q What about Julita Asuncion?
5 A I don't know her.
6 Q You weren't present during any conversations?
7 A I don't know who she is.
8 Q Don't know what he said to her?
9 A No.
10 Q Anabel Cabebe, do you know what he said to her?
11 A Who?
12 Q Anabel Cabebe.
13 A Anabel -- that name sounds very, very familiar, but
14 I believe there was a group of people that were trying to hurt
15 Anthony. I don't remember all their names. I thought maybe
16 Anabel might have been one of those people. But there were a
17 few people that were trying to hurt him that were connected to
18 Edna Franco.
19 Q What about Evelyn Subia?
20 A I don't know who that is.
21 Q Melvyn Ventura?
22 A Melvyn Ventura, he might have been one of the people
23 that were trying to go after -- go after Anthony. I don't
24 remember all their names, but there were a few other people
25 that were trying to hurt him. They were defrauding Anthony.

1 They were going out and saying they were private attorney
2 generals and they weren't doing good to people and they were
3 saying they worked for Anthony when they didn't even work for
4 him.
5 Q Nelson Madamba?
6 A He might have been one of them too.
7 Q Might have been?
8 A His name sounds familiar.
9 Q You don't know who he is, though, do you?
10 A I might have met him before. I don't know him by
11 his name, no.
12 Q I guess my question is you don't know what he was
13 saying to clients of MEI; is that fair to say?
14 A I know -- I know what he said to us and I know what
15 he said to a few other people. I know Robin, for instance, I
16 know.
17 Q Robbin Krakauer?
18 A Yes.
19 Q Didn't she work for Mr. Williams?
20 A I don't know if she worked for him or not. But I
21 know what she said and how he tried to help her. I witnessed
22 that. And she's also very positive about. Even to this day
23 she's very positive about Anthony.
24 Q Would it surprise you to know she's on the
25 letterhead of the Common Law Office of America?

1 A She could be. But, you know, it doesn't mean
2 that -- you know, if he was doing really bad things, if she was
3 on this letterhead, then why would she say good things about
4 him if he was doing something bad? She worked for him, right?
5 You're claiming she had firsthand knowledge? That's what
6 you're claiming, right? So why would she --
7 Q I'm not claiming anything. I'm asking you
8 questions.
9 A But I'm asking you so I can understand. If she was
10 on his letterhead, like you're claiming, and she has positive
11 things to say, then she was a witness to what he was doing and
12 that just speaks to him -- to him very positively.
13 Q You don't know what she testified to either in this
14 trial, do you?
15 A No.
16 Q Okay. All right. Let me ask you. You've talked a
17 little bit about seeing Anthony go through TSA checkpoints with
18 his ID; is that correct?
19 A Once.
20 Q Just once? Just once?
21 A Once.
22 Q Okay. Were you ever present when he was denied
23 access by TSA?
24 A No, no, never.
25 Q Did you ever take part in a lawsuit against TSA with

1 Mr. Williams --
2 A I wasn't part of the lawsuit.
3 THE COURT: Okay. So you just have to wait till he
4 finishes his question.
5 THE WITNESS: Sorry, sorry, sorry.
6 THE COURT: I understand. Just give it a beat.
7 THE WITNESS: Sorry.
8 THE COURT: Okay.
9 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) Were you ever part of a lawsuit
10 joining Mr. Williams because you were present when he was
11 denied --
12 A There was something that happened when he -- one
13 incident, but I don't remember if I was on any of the documents
14 or not. I don't remember. Maybe as a witness.
15 Q So you do have knowledge then that he was denied --
16 A I don't know exactly what --
17 THE COURT: I'm sorry.
18 THE WITNESS: Sorry.
19 THE COURT: Ms. Kane, you just have to wait till he
20 finishes --
21 THE WITNESS: All right.
22 THE COURT: -- you know.
23 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) So then you do know -- you've
24 heard at least that he was denied access --
25 A I can't recall. It might have been a really long

1 time ago. I can't recall any of the information.
2 Q If it's represented in the lawsuit that you were
3 present and you observed it --
4 A Okay. I can't recall. I can't recall.
5 Q Okay. But you wouldn't dispute that; is that fair
6 to say?
7 A I wouldn't dispute it unless I looked at the
8 documents and saw exactly what the claims were 'cause I don't
9 remember it.
10 Q So you have a recollection that he was allowed
11 through a TSA checkpoint at one point --
12 A Yes, I do. We were --
13 THE COURT: I'm sorry. You --
14 THE WITNESS: Sorry.
15 THE COURT: -- have to wait till he finishes the
16 question.
17 THE WITNESS: Sorry, sorry.
18 THE COURT: So your question is?
19 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) You have a recollection that he
20 was allowed through on one point?
21 A Yes, I do remember that 'cause he was walking in
22 with me, so I remember that.
23 Q And you have some recollection that he may have been
24 denied access at some point?
25 A You know, I don't -- I can't recall that. I don't

1 think I was standing next to him at the time. Might have been
2 there present, but I can't remember exactly. I didn't hear
3 what anyone said to him. I might -- I can't recall. It's
4 been -- must have been -- it was many years ago.
5 Q Did Mr. Williams tell you that this mortgage that
6 we've got up on the screen, did he tell you that that would
7 eradicate a prior interest, if there was one?
8 A We knew there was no one.
9 Q Right, right. But that's not the question --
10 A He didn't tell me that because we knew -- we didn't
11 discuss that, no.
12 Q My question is is did he tell you that it would get
13 rid of whatever claim this person had?
14 A It told us that it would protect us. That's it. He
15 didn't say anything about that.
16 Q Did he tell that a clause like this in your mortgage
17 would get rid of a prior mortgage or prior interest --
18 A I don't recall.
19 Q Okay. Did he do a UCC financing statement for you?
20 A Yes. You saw it earlier.
21 Q I did. And did he do that for you?
22 A Yes.
23 Q Okay. So in that document, you're listed as both a
24 creditor and a debtor; is that correct?
25 A Yes.

1 Q Okay. So do you know what a financing statement is?
2 A I know a little bit about it, but you'll have to
3 talk to my husband. He's an expert as well in common law.
4 Q I understand. And was it your understanding that
5 this UCC financing statement would affect some interest in your
6 real estate?
7 A He -- I was just told it would protect my interest
8 and Dr. Horowitz knows a lot more about it, but, yes.
9 Q And you were told that by Mr. Williams; is that
10 right?
11 A We were told -- we were -- you'll have to speak to
12 Dr. Horowitz. He had more conversations with Anthony regarding
13 this.
14 But, yes. I mean, we were -- we -- I know -- I felt
15 and I totally to this day believe that according to common law
16 it would protect us. And my husband's read very much up on
17 common law.
18 Q But you believe that because Mr. Williams told
19 you --
20 A No, because what was read when researching common
21 law. We -- I know that Leonard always researched anything that
22 Anthony told him.
23 Q What is the common law basis for a UCC financing
24 statement --
25 A Ask Dr. Horowitz.

1 Q -- affecting an interest in property?
2 A You'll have to ask Dr. Horowitz.
3 THE COURT: You have to wait until he finishes his
4 question.
5 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) Would your answer then be that
6 you have no idea whether it affects --
7 A No, I don't. I didn't read --
8 THE COURT: Again, you have no wait until he
9 finishes his question.
10 THE WITNESS: Sorry.
11 MR. SORENSON: I'll stop and then you answer.
12 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) So you have no idea whether this
13 UCC financing statement would effect an interest in real estate
14 or not; is that true?
15 A My husband is the primary person that was being
16 attacked. It was his home, his life savings, and he's the one
17 that researched common law. He spoke with Anthony and he can
18 tell you all the details regarding this.
19 Q Is that a, "No"?
20 A It's a I trust my husband.
21 Q But as far as --
22 A I trust my husband.
23 Q -- knowledge base, you're on this document as far as
24 your knowledge base --
25 A I was told that it would protect our interest.

1 THE COURT: Okay. So --
2 THE DEFENDANT: Objection. It's not relevant
3 whether --
4 THE COURT: Okay. So as to the objection, it's
5 overruled. You're asking for her understanding.
6 MR. SORENSON: Yes.
7 THE COURT: Okay. So just wait till he finishes the
8 question and then he'll wait till you finish your answer.
9 Okay?
10 Mr. --
11 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) Ms. Kane, you're on this
12 document. My question is what is your understanding?
13 A My understanding is that it would protect us from
14 Sulla trying to steal our house.
15 Q So your understanding is then, based on what
16 Mr. Williams told you, is that this would eradicate his
17 interest if there was one?
18 A Based on my husband's research of what Mr. Williams
19 told -- Mr. Williams told him to look.
20 Q Now, this private attorney general thing, what's
21 your understanding of a private attorney general? What is
22 that?
23 A Uhm, well, if you research 42 U.S.C. 1988, it was
24 authorized for private citizens to go into court and be able to
25 represent themselves and also help other people in situations.

1 Q Have you read that statute?
2 A I -- my husband has read it thoroughly. I just -- I
3 understand just the simple stuff. My husband, he's very well
4 versed in the common law.
5 Q All right. But as far as your knowledge, you're
6 saying that you have not read 42 1988 --
7 A I did a long time ago, but I'm getting older now and
8 I don't have a great memory any more. But, yes, I understood
9 it was our ability to do -- to go into court and be able to
10 help other people as well as represent ourselves, yes.
11 Q If you learned that this statute actually just
12 authorizes payment of fees to licensed attorneys for
13 representing people in civil rights actions, would that
14 surprise you?
15 THE DEFENDANT: Objection. He's testifying.
16 THE COURT: Okay. Overruled. He's asking for her
17 state of mind and her understanding.
18 Do you understand the question?
19 THE WITNESS: Uhm, he's asking if I, uhm -- just
20 repeat the question again.
21 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) If you learned that 42 U.S.C.,
22 1988 authorized private attorneys, licensed attorneys --
23 THE DEFENDANT: Objection. That's a misstatement of
24 the law.
25 THE COURT: All right. So you have to let him

1 finish. Your objection's overruled. So he's asking for her
2 understanding. All right.
3 THE WITNESS: I don't -- okay.
4 THE COURT: So let him get the question out.
5 THE WITNESS: Okay.
6 THE COURT: And then you can answer.
7 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) If you learned that 42 U.S.C.,
8 1988 authorized private attorneys to collect fees for
9 representing people in civil rights actions, would that
10 surprise you?
11 A No. I think that -- it wouldn't surprise me what
12 any licensed bar members -- alleged bar members after
13 understanding that the license is all a scam. The whole
14 justice system is a scam. There is no justice. That's why
15 you're here with Anthony instead of Paul Sulla.
16 Q Okay. So I guess your answer is you have no idea
17 what 42 U.S.C. 1988 stands --
18 THE DEFENDANT: Objection.
19 MR. SORENSON: -- is that correct?
20 THE DEFENDANT: And that's --
21 THE COURT: So objection's overruled. You're asking
22 for her understanding.
23 THE WITNESS: I understand that you can go into
24 court and help other people with their cases and as well as
25 represent yourself.

1 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) So your understanding is is that
2 unlicensed, untrained individuals can go in and represent other
3 people in court? Is that your understanding?
4 A Not the same way that you're explaining. It's
5 completely different. Common law is completely different. The
6 way -- the way that they help you is they educate you on what
7 you can file to protect yourself. They educate you on what the
8 laws and the rules are.
9 But since is statutory laws are always broken by
10 these licensed attorneys who don't follow their own rules and
11 laws, there's a need for private attorney generals to help
12 educate people like me.
13 Q Okay. So let me ask you about your understanding of
14 the private attorney generals then. Are there rigorous
15 requirements to become a private attorney general, do you know?
16 A It's a lot -- a lot of reading -- a lot of reading
17 and understanding.
18 Q Is there an accreditation process?
19 A Not that I know of, but there's lot of -- a lot of
20 learning and reading, yeah.
21 Q Is there a -- like a licensing bureaucracy that
22 says, Okay. You've done the training, you're good to go; we're
23 going to license you to be a private attorney general? Is
24 there that?
25 A I don't know. You're asking the wrong person. I

1 don't believe in bureaucracies so you're really asking the
2 wrong person.
3 Q I'm just asking you yes or no do you know whether
4 there's such a thing?
5 A Do I know whether there's -- I'm not interested if
6 there is or there isn't. I know if somebody can try to help us
7 and they point me to the law or they point Leonard, my husband,
8 to the law and we read it and what he says is confirmed, what
9 Anthony said is confirmed -- we didn't just go off of what
10 Anthony told us. What Anthony told us, we went and
11 did -- well, Leonard went and did our own research to really
12 understand the rules and the laws.
13 Q Yes. Is there anybody else that is associated with
14 being a private attorney general other than Anthony Williams
15 that you know of?
16 A Uhm, we have not. We've met a few people, but
17 Anthony's the only one we really knew.
18 Q And are you familiar with the United States Office
19 for Private Attorney Generals? Have you heard of that?
20 A Probably Leonard has. I'm not sure.
21 Q And would it surprise you to learn that
22 Mr. Williams's credentials say that there is a U.S. Office of
23 the Private Attorney General?
24 A Okay. Yeah, then there is.
25 Q Okay.

1 A If Mr. Williams would say it, then there is for
2 sure. 100 percent I -- I agree with him 100 percent.
3 MR. SORENSON: Your Honor, I'm going to fetch an
4 exhibit for a moment, if you give me a second.
5 THE COURT: All right. You may.
6 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) Okay. Have you seen this
7 before?
8 A Yes.
9 Q Okay. First off, do you see Mr. Williams's picture
10 there?
11 A Yes.
12 Q And you see the statute, right, the 42 U.S.C., 1988
13 that you referenced?
14 A Yes.
15 Q And you see it says here he is a private attorney
16 general, right?
17 A Yes.
18 Q And we got the American flag there behind. You see
19 that?
20 A Yes.
21 Q And you see down there United States Office of the
22 Private Attorney General? You see that?
23 A That's right.
24 Q All right. And underneath that do you see the Great
25 Seal of the United States of America?

1 A Yes.
2 Q Okay. And when you look at that, you automatically
3 start to think this is somebody associated with the United
4 States of America, correct?
5 A Uhm, again, I guess you would say yes. Yes.
6 Q Okay. Now we're going to flip this over.
7 THE DEFENDANT: Can we move this into evidence?
8 MR. SORENSON: It's in evidence.
9 THE COURT: It's already been -- could you just --
10 MR. SORENSON: This is 501, Your Honor.
11 THE COURT: Thank you.
12 MR. SORENSON: For the record.
13 THE COURT: Thank you.
14 THE DEFENDANT: Can the jury see it?
15 THE COURT: It's not been published.
16 MR. SORENSON: Yeah. Your Honor, may we publish
17 this?
18 THE COURT: Yes, you may.
19 MR. SORENSON: Thank you.
20 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) All right. As we look at the
21 back here of this credential, again what kind of sticks out
22 first off, do you see the Great Seal of the United States of
23 America?
24 A Yes.
25 Q All right. Do you see the line where it says "Do

1 not detain. Do not arrest"?
2 A Yes.
3 Q And you also see an FBI number --
4 A Uhm --
5 Q -- down below that?
6 A Yes.
7 Q Okay. So -- and at the very bottom, the U.S. Office
8 of the Private Attorney General, do you see that?
9 A Yes.
10 Q And that tells you that there is an office of the
11 private attorney general, correct?
12 A Yes. And you know, if you go online, you can see a
13 lot of people have actually won cases as a private attorney
14 generals. I've seen -- I've seen tons of cases online
15 regarding -- it seems that only Hawaii is not -- is not looking
16 at this, but -- is not honoring private attorney generals. But
17 I've seen a lot of cases won and I've looked them up by
18 private -- so, obviously, there's --
19 Q Yes?
20 A -- something to this.
21 Q And this is probably where they're all located,
22 right? This is their home base? Wouldn't you say that?
23 A Probably. I don't know.
24 Q Yeah. Well, that's their --
25 A Why is it relevant?

1 Q That's their address, 6230 Third Street, Suite 5,
2 right?
3 A Yes. I don't know. I don't know. Why is this even
4 relative to Anthony's case --
5 THE COURT: Okay.
6 MR. SORENSON: Well, I have another question. You
7 don't have to worry about that.
8 THE COURT: Okay.
9 MR. SORENSON: All right. So, Your Honor, if we can
10 go back to this mortgage of Ms. Kane's. Let's remember that
11 address, okay? 6230 Third Street. All right. If we can
12 publish again 835?
13 THE COURT: All right. 835 you may.
14 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) All right. Now let's look here
15 again at this middle part.
16 A Okay.
17 Q Do you see where it says Federal Mortgage American
18 Trust?
19 A Federal Mortgage -- yeah.
20 Q And this is the company that you indicated that you
21 believed in because Mr. Williams told you that it was a valid
22 company?
23 A Yes.
24 Q Okay. Now, why don't you read to the jury what is
25 the address of Federal Mortgage American Trust?

1 A 6230 Third Street, Suite 5, Washington, D.C.
2 Q Well, darn. They seem to share the same office as
3 the U.S. private attorney general.
4 A Yes.
5 Q So do you think they're co-located?
6 A Possibly. I don't -- I mean, if Anthony says
7 they're co-located I'm sure they are?
8 Q Would it surprise you to learn that neither one of
9 these businesses or entities occupy this space or ever occupied
10 this space?
11 A I would really have to see massive amounts of
12 evidence to believe that.
13 Q Well, you don't have to. I'm just asking you --
14 A No, it --
15 Q -- would it surprise you?
16 A It would surprise me, yes.
17 Q Okay. And would it change your views about
18 Mr. Williams being a trustworthy person?
19 A No.
20 Q And there isn't really a whole lot that would change
21 those views, is there?
22 A Not compared to what all the thousands -- hundreds
23 of thousands of dollars that was taken by these licensed
24 attorneys to try to help us, no. Mr. Williams is not -- is not
25 a criminal. But I can tell -- I've witnessed -- witnessed

1 attorneys, many of them, that are criminals that should be
2 locked up.
3 Q Right. And -- but as we look at this, we've learned
4 that Federal Mortgage American Trust shares a location with the
5 United States Office of the Private Attorney General, right?
6 A It probably does. There's a bank in this building,
7 too.
8 Q Okay. Federal credit union?
9 A Yeah.
10 Q Yeah. Now, you've indicated that other people's
11 homes have been stolen. Do you remember saying that?
12 A Yes.
13 Q Okay. And are you referencing foreclosure actions
14 against -- not talking about your property; I know there's a
15 lot of sensitivities there -- but other people's properties,
16 other people that have mortgages when there have been
17 foreclosures? Are you referencing those as being thefts?
18 A I'm referencing anybody who lost their homes
19 unlawfully. When I say unlawfully, just because a judge tells
20 you -- tells the bank, "Oh, you can have their house," I'm
21 talking about predatory lenders, I'm talking about
22 robo-signing, those kind of situations. That's what I'm
23 talking about.
24 Q So any time there's any of that stuff going on,
25 people should not pay their mortgage any more? Is that your

1 belief?

2 A I believe that -- no. There needs to be some sort

3 of a trial that's fair. There needs to be -- they just can't

4 be taking people's houses based on what one judge says. They

5 can't -- they need a fair trial. They need to be able to show

6 their evidence.

7 What I've witnessed is people not getting their day

8 in court, not being able to show their evidence and their

9 houses being taken from them, including their life savings.

10 Q So you if you borrow let's say $800,000 to buy a

11 house, and you find a little later that your mortgage got

12 assigned, is that an excuse to not pay your mortgage?

13 A Got assigned how?

14 Q Got assigned to another company.

15 A I don't think that that's the case. I think they

16 need to go to court and I think they needed to be notified of

17 that. I think that if they didn't sign a contract, that stated

18 who they were borrowing from and suddenly that person raises

19 their mortgage on them, there's lots of things that need to go

20 through the court system.

21 What I've witnessed is railroading. I've witnessed

22 people who don't get their day in court. I've witnessed homes

23 being stolen, and I've been assaulted in a court for filming

24 that evidence because they didn't want anybody to know what was

25 going on in that court. This is in state court.

1 Q Were these -- were these like bankers that were
2 assaulting you? I mean --
3 A No, no, no.
4 Q -- who was coming after you?
5 A The sheriffs because the judge, Judge Ayabe, you
6 know the one that's holding Obama's real birth certificate,
7 that person right there, he was the judge, and he said, "I
8 don't want that camera in the courtroom. Remove her." And
9 they just tore -- I had even -- I had signed up to have -- to
10 film that day. They had tore the camera out of my hand, they
11 ripped my arms out of my joints, and then they drug me out like
12 it was a western saloon. And then --
13 Q Wait, wait, wait, wait, wait. Let me just --
14 A -- never lay a hand on me.
15 Q Let me stop you there. Are you telling the jury
16 that these sheriffs are somehow the flunkies of the lending
17 industry, and they're going to haul--
18 A No, I'm saying that -- no, you just -- you took that
19 completely out of context. I'm saying to you that the judge
20 was the one that told them, "Remove her," and they took it
21 as --
22 Q Ah.
23 A -- like the dogs that were going to bite me. Okay?
24 And they basically --
25 Q Let me -- let me ask you this then. So was it the

1 judge then that was the flunky of the lending industry?
2 A I believe that a lot of judges, especially in the
3 state court -- 'cause I can't speak for the federal court; I
4 can speak for the state court -- I believe a lot of those state
5 court judges are actually getting paid off or -- for instance,
6 in Mr. Sulla's case, they're doing drugs and there's rumors of
7 young women being raped in that church and he's got the
8 videotapes on them.
9 So there's a couple things goin' on here. There's
10 either the black know, or he's paying them off. And people
11 like Mr. Sulla are doing that with certain judges who need to
12 be investigated. And there's also real estate fraud going on.
13 You see -- suddenly look up --
14 Q Whoa, whoa, whoa, whoa, whoa. That's about as much
15 fraud as I can handle in one question.
16 But your answer, I believe, was yes, there are
17 judges that are in cahoots with the lending industry and that's
18 where some of the corruption is. Is that your belief
19 structure?
20 A I do believe that 100 percent.
21 MR. SORENSON: Your Honor, that's all the questions
22 I have. Thank you.
23 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Williams?
24 THE WITNESS: Judges and lawyers. Get that on the
25 record.

1 THE COURT: Okay.
2 THE WITNESS: And lawyers.
3 MR. SORENSON: Thank you. Thank you.
4 THE COURT: All right. So Mr. Williams?
5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. I'd like to bring up the
6 mortgage back, mortgage document back up.
7 THE COURT: Is that 835? Exhibit 835, Mr. Williams?
8 Is it 835?
9 MR. SORENSON: Yes, Your Honor.
10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
11 THE COURT: Do you wish to publish?
12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
13 THE COURT: All right. You may.
14 THE DEFENDANT: And I want to highlight this part
15 right here. Make it bigger, that part.
16 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
17 BY THE DEFENDANT:
18 Q Okay. Ms. Kane, I'm fixing to go back over this
19 mortgage document that he mischaracterized so we can really get
20 it correct.
21 Now, on the borrower line, does it have your name
22 and Mr. Horowitz's name in all capital letters?
23 A Yes.
24 Q Okay. And then at the end of it it says "legal
25 persons or fictions," correct?

1 A That's right.
2 Q Correct. Now, when you and Mr. Horowitz did the
3 research, the legal person legal fiction, that's considered a
4 strawman, right?
5 A Right, right.
6 Q So you've done your research on what a strawman is,
7 right?
8 A Yes.
9 Q So the strawman, which everyone has, is actually the
10 borrower on the document, correct?
11 A Yes.
12 Q Now, the secure party creditor, is that name
13 capitalized or is it upper case lower case?
14 A Secured party creditor -- okay. Where am I -- I'm
15 sorry. Where am I looking?
16 Q Right there.
17 A Okay. Okay. It's lower case.
18 Q So that's actually you and Mr. --
19 A Yes.
20 Q -- Horowitz?
21 A Yes.
22 Q Okay. Let's go to -- can you go to the signature
23 page, please.
24 And now you and Mr. Horowitz did -- well, I know
25 Mr. Horowitz did extensive research on the strawman and being

1 able to be the secure party creditor and being able to sign on
2 behalf of your strawman, correct?
3 A Yes.
4 Q Okay. So where it says the all capital letters, you
5 all were able to sign for the strawman on this document,
6 correct?
7 A Yes.
8 Q Okay. Go to the next page, please. Excuse me. Go
9 back -- go back one page. No, go back. Right there.
10 Do you see the line says "Secured Party accepts
11 Debtor's signature in accord with UCC 1-201(39),3-401(b)"?
12 A Yes.
13 Q And I'm pretty sure your husband looked that statute
14 up, correct?
15 A Yes.
16 Q Right. And go to the next page, please.
17 Now, on the secure party creditors, how does it have
18 you and your husband name listed?
19 A Secure party -- oh, there. It has us in small
20 letters.
21 Q Right. So upper case lower case letters?
22 A Right.
23 Q And does it say "secure party creditors"? Right?
24 A It has -- yes.
25 Q Okay. So this document is actually stating that the

1 strawman is the borrower and that you and your husband are the
2 actual secure party creditors?
3 A Yes.
4 Q Okay. Now, go back to the front page, please.
5 A I think that's what a lot of people don't understand
6 is the capital letters versus the lower case letters in common
7 law.
8 Q Uh-huh. Okay. Now erase that -- the writing on the
9 screen, please.
10 THE COURT: Ms. Feria, if you'd clear the screen.
11 THE DEFENDANT: Clear the screen. Thank you.
12 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Okay. Now he brought out
13 the -- my Washington, D.C. address on -- on the document, that
14 it's the same as my U.S. private attorney general address. And
15 me having the same address for two companies, in your
16 experience is that against the law?
17 A No.
18 Q So have you known that I've ever been charged with
19 making up a fake address or anything like that?
20 A No.
21 Q But your experience with me, would I try to fake
22 something to make something appear like it's valid?
23 A I don't believe that.
24 Q So your experience with me, you know I do my
25 research and I'm very thorough what I do, correct?

1 A Yes.
2 MR. SORENSON: Your Honor, objection. The leading
3 is getting a little far.
4 THE COURT: Yeah. Sustained. Ask an open-ended
5 question. It's your witness. You have to ask an open-ended
6 question.
7 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) So that doesn't deter you that
8 I had --
9 MR. SORENSON: Objection. Leading.
10 THE COURT: Sustained. So ask an open -- you know,
11 What's your impression of me --
12 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Did that change your impression
13 of me that I had a address for both of my companies?
14 A No.
15 Q Okay. And so when you and your husband did research
16 on my company, did you all check my Better Business Bureau
17 rating?
18 A I believe Leonard probably did, but --
19 Q Okay. But you never did?
20 A No. I mean, he did most of the legal research. I
21 just like helped with other things regarding the research, but
22 he did like the real intense common law studies and also
23 statutory law. So he's --
24 Q Right.
25 A Because he's been totally ripped off by all those

1 lawyers. I mean, you got to learn how to, you know, protect
2 and represent yourself, you know.
3 Q Yeah.
4 A And for me, I've just been so emotional towards this
5 whole thing pretty much, you know, so --
6 Q So on the -- you all -- I had you actually look at
7 the Seventh Amendment of the Constitution. You remember that?
8 A I believe so.
9 Q About, you know, you having to have a trial before
10 you can be deprived of your property?
11 A Right.
12 MR. SORENSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object.
13 This is --
14 THE WITNESS: We understood that.
15 THE COURT: I'm sorry.
16 MR. SORENSON: -- beyond the scope, I believe, Your
17 Honor. I don't know --
18 THE DEFENDANT: No.
19 THE COURT: Sustained. Yeah. He didn't ask
20 him -- Mr. -- your questions on redirect are confined to the
21 area of -- you had an opportunity on direct to ask questions
22 and then he has to ask cross-examination within that scope, and
23 you have to answer questions within the scope of his cross.
24 So it's -- the objection's sustained. Do you have any
25 other questions?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
2 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) So with the mortgage that was
3 filed, you understood that that was to protect your property?
4 A That's right.
5 Q And for no other purpose?
6 A That's right.
7 Q And --
8 A We knew that you weren't going to go try and steal
9 our property at all. I mean, we've been through and we've seen
10 all the people that have ripped us off, and it was all
11 attorneys who did that. And then, you know, we knew you. We
12 understood you. We never for one second thought that you were
13 trying to be deceptive in what you were doing or we would never
14 have signed anything, right?
15 Q Right. Now, how much did you all have to pay me,
16 Ms. Kane?
17 A I can't recall.
18 Q Do you recall you even paying me anything?
19 A I think we -- I think we did, but we never asked you
20 for anything back. You got incarcerated and we knew you were
21 doing good for people, so...
22 Q Right. So your whole experience with me, has it
23 been a positive experience?
24 A Yes.
25 Q And would you recommend my services to anybody?

1 A I would. I would. I think that you're educated. I
2 know, especially at me, a little -- 'cause I wasn't, you know.
3 But Leonard was really, really well educated on what you said.
4 I mean, he went to exactly the points in the law that you said
5 look at, and in the meantime he studied statutory law.
6 So I don't think there's anybody if it's a fair
7 court that he can't, you know, win against now.
8 THE DEFENDANT: Right. Thank you. I have no more
9 questions.
10 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Thank you,
11 Ms. Kane. You're excused as a witness.
12 THE WITNESS: Thank you so much.
13 THE COURT: I wish you a good day. Please don't
14 discuss your testimony with anyone until after the trial.
15 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
16 THE COURT: All right. Good day to you, ma'am.
17 Do you have your next witness?
18 THE DEFENDANT: Dr. Leonard Horowitz.
19 THE COURT: No, no, the door in front, the way you
20 came. Thank you.
21 **DR. LEONARD GEORGE HOROWITZ, DEFENDANT'S WITNESS, WAS SWORN**
22 THE COURT: Good morning. Hi. If you could hand
23 your notes over to the courtroom manager, please. Thank you.
24 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: Thank you.
25 THE COURT: I'll hold those for you. If you need to

1 refer to them, we need to show it to Mr. Williams and the
2 attorneys before you can answer.
3 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: Please be seated, sir.
4 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
5 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: You're welcome. State your
6 full name, sir, and please spell your last name, and speak into
7 the microphone.
8 THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Leonard George
9 Horowitz. And I have a Hebrew name. My Hebrew name is Arya
10 ben Shlomo Halevi. That's relevant to the facts in this case
11 because my case with Mr. Williams involves a ministry, the
12 Royal Bloodline of David Judeo-Christian ministry that I'm the
13 overseer of.
14 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Williams, your witness.
15 DIRECT EXAMINATION
16 BY THE DEFENDANT:
17 Q Good morning, Dr. Horowitz.
18 A Good morning, Mr. Williams.
19 Q Dr. Horowitz, where did you go to school at?
20 A Huh, Rutgers College for biological sciences. I
21 went to Tufts University School of Dental Medicine. I became a
22 dentist, practiced for 16 years, went on to receive beyond
23 faculties at Harvard and Tufts University behavioral science.
24 I got a master's in public health in behavioral science, media,
25 health education, health promotion, and persuasion technologies

1 research and development at Harvard University, and then went
2 on to do work with the pharmaceutical industry.
3 Q And have you written any -- authored any books
4 Dr. Horowitz?
5 A 23 books, 7 documentary films, some have been award
6 winners.
7 Q And how many best sellers have you had as far as
8 books?
9 A I have three national best sellers. I'm the leading
10 author in the field of HIV AIDS and infectious diseases
11 according to Wikipedia.
12 Q And when did you meet me, Dr. Horowitz? Do you
13 remember?
14 A I do remember. It was at the Bureau of Conveyances.
15 I'm not sure the year precisely, but I think it was around 2011
16 or '12 where I was having difficulties with Mr. Paul J. Sulla,
17 Jr.
18 And you knew me from my work in having publishing
19 and being on video, and so you made the acquaintance.
20 Q And so, Dr. Horowitz, so when you was having
21 problems with your mortgage, you said was Attorney Sulla. And
22 what did he -- what did he do or what was he trying to do with
23 your mortgage, with your home?
24 A Steal the property. Effectively has done so through
25 forgeries, a set series of forgeries and fraud, and according

1 to my beliefs and the evidence that I hold, having bribed
2 officials in the Third Circuit Court of Hawaii that has granted
3 Mr. Sulla our property. He currently possesses our property,
4 and the good fortune is that he was recently indicted for one
5 of the series of forgeries by the prosecutor in Hilo.
6 Q Now, when you was fighting to keep your home from
7 being stolen by Mr. Sulla, did you have to hire an attorney at
8 law?
9 A I hired seven attorneys before I engaged you.
10 Q And how --
11 A Actually, let me clarify. I hired a series of seven
12 attorneys, I think four or five of them before I met you.
13 Q Okay. And approximately how much money did you
14 spend?
15 A In attorney's fees currently over $350,000.
16 Q And were they effective?
17 A Not at all, absolutely not, just, I'm sorry to say,
18 grossly disappointing.
19 Q Did they try to educate you on the law and your
20 rights in fighting against this illegal taking of your home?
21 A Only my current attorney who is Margaret Wille.
22 She's very, very nice, very competent, and has done her best to
23 educate me, and I appreciate that.
24 Q Okay. Now, I'm going to show you a document.
25 Can I get the Government Exhibit 835 again, the

1 mortgage?
2 Now, Dr. Horowitz, now this is a mortgage that I had
3 drafted on you and your wife's behalf; is that correct?
4 A I believe so.
5 Q And you have done extensive research on what a legal
6 fiction is and a strawman is, correct?
7 A Correct.
8 Q Could you explain to the jury the concept of what a
9 strawman or a legal fiction is?
10 MR. SORENSON: Objection, Your Honor. I don't think
11 he's called to be an expert on giving an opinion ton that.
12 THE DEFENDANT: This is --
13 THE COURT: All right. So -- so sustained. He
14 can -- you can ask him for his understanding.
15 THE DEFENDANT: Well, 'cause this is part of the
16 document.
17 THE COURT: Did you want to publish it?
18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I want to publish. This is
19 part of the language in the document.
20 THE COURT: You can ask for his understanding.
21 THE DEFENDANT: That's what I'm --
22 THE COURT: Okay.
23 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) So based on your research that
24 you've researched with legal fictions and strawman, what did
25 your research reveal about what a strawman is or a legal

1 fiction?
2 A Well, essentially that a strawman is when you sign
3 you capital letters of your name, it's really not your person
4 person, it's more of like somewhat of a corporate entity that's
5 a different -- it's different -- legally it's a different
6 entity than your blood person.
7 So to my knowledge, very simply, that's what it is.
8 And the reason I understand that that exists is I suspect
9 there's positive as well as negative reasons and justifications
10 for doing that. I'm not completely studied in all of it, but
11 suffice it to say there's people who abuse it and people -- and
12 the system, supposedly the justice system, that justifies it
13 for expedience or for protecting assets that sometimes require
14 protecting. Sometimes they don't. And that's where it becomes
15 very challenging from my perspective to understand who's right,
16 who's wrong, who's being honest, and who's being dishonest.
17 Q So when I drafted this document and I had this
18 strawman or the legal fiction as a all capital letters, you
19 understood that that wasn't you, the flesh and blood man, was
20 the borrower?
21 A That's correct.
22 Q Okay.
23 A And I would also add that I personally have never
24 used this document in any business transactions because, quite
25 honestly, it's still so confusing for me to really comprehend

1 what this is really about that I didn't have full faith and
2 knowledge. However, I am absolutely certain that this was done
3 in good faith. It wasn't done to try to steal anybody's money
4 or try to manipulate a system that essentially was not corrupt.
5 The system itself in which mortgage loans, I am very
6 clear, is so grossly corrupt, and at the time, if you remember
7 correctly, that this was taking place -- and this is dated
8 2015 -- between approximately 2008 and 2015, there was a
9 terrible mortgage crisis in the United States. The whole
10 undermining of the U.S. economy occurred because of the fraud
11 in the mortgage industry.
12 MR. SORENSON: Objection. Relevance, Your Honor.
13 THE WITNESS: So --
14 THE COURT: Sustained. So the last statement will
15 be stricken from the record and the jury is to disregard his
16 unresponsive.
17 Next question.
18 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Well, in your research based on
19 the fraud that had been committed against you, what did you
20 find about the fraud in the mortgage industry?
21 A I found that, first of all, we have -- I have a
22 very, very unique case. I wasn't dealing with a traditional
23 lender, not a bank, not a loan agency, I was dealing with
24 literally from the very beginning an organized crime
25 racketeering enterprise, a man who sold us the property, took

1 back $350,000 in a note that I had to pay, and that was the
2 beginning of how I was defrauded.
3 Essentially that document, the mortgage and note,
4 was taken and placed into a fake church. So they took the
5 mortgage, they took the note, and they transferred it into a
6 fake entity to defraud me, defraud the courts.
7 And essentially, the entire mortgage trauma that I
8 had to go through now 15 years -- it started in 2003, actually,
9 when I purchased the property in 2004 -- we've been involved in
10 this, the man who sold us the property was a convicted felon.
11 I didn't know that. He was convicted of marijuana trafficking.
12 He was involved --
13 MR. SORENSON: Objection, Your Honor. I think we've
14 gone into a narrative answer. We're into nonrelevant territory
15 and I think the question was more about what legal research he
16 did which I think he was soliciting an expert opinion. So
17 multiple objections.
18 THE COURT: All right. So sustained. So ask the
19 next question. It's getting to a narrative. You need to ask
20 him a question with a responsive answer.
21 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) On the -- on the mortgage
22 document, the trustee is listed as Federal Mortgage American
23 Trust. You did understand that that was one of my companies
24 also, did you not, Mr. Horowitz?
25 A I did understand that.

1 MR. SORENSON: Objection. Leading.
2 THE COURT: So -- so anyway, overruled. So his
3 answer stands. Next question.
4 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) And you did understand that
5 Mortgage Enterprise Investments was also my company?
6 A Yes, I did.
7 Q Okay. And so you understood that the purpose of
8 this document was to assist you in protecting your property
9 interests on your home?
10 A Absolutely.
11 Q It was not drafted to make you pay me --
12 MR. SORENSON: Objection. Leading.
13 THE COURT: Sustained. Okay. What was his
14 understanding.
15 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) What was your understanding
16 that the mortgage document was drafted for?
17 A It was to protect our assets against the organized
18 crime mob that was trying to steal the property.
19 Q So is your understanding that I wasn't trying to get
20 400,000 or $500,000 out of you to pay me for 15 or 20 years,
21 something like that?
22 A Absolutely. If I might just give my tiny little bit
23 expanded opinion on this?
24 THE COURT: No. Ask the next question.
25 THE WITNESS: Okay.

1 THE COURT: It's a question and answer format, it's
2 not a lecture.
3 THE WITNESS: Okay.
4 THE COURT: Okay. So go ahead. Ask a question.
5 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) So you understood that the
6 mortgage document, that you were the secure party creditor on
7 the document?
8 A Yes.
9 Q And that I -- my company was not the secure party
10 creditor?
11 A I absolutely know that's true, and I don't find that
12 you had any intent to defraud me, period.
13 Q Right. And so I remember -- do you remember after
14 you saw some of my videos that you had commented about what I
15 was doing that the government would come after me? You
16 remember that?
17 A That's part of what I'd like to comment on.
18 THE COURT: Do you remember that or not?
19 THE WITNESS: I do remember that.
20 THE COURT: Next question.
21 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) And what did you tell me at
22 that time?
23 A I told you that I didn't believe you. I told you
24 that I can't conceive of how the system that we entrust, that
25 we have faith and trust in, would be so corrupt.

1 So what I did in response to that, as a responsible
2 person who has a duty to not simply myself, my loved ones, and
3 community, I started to research what you were reporting on and
4 I found that it was unbelievably accurate.
5 Q And so in your research, you found that everything
6 that I had stated that you previously didn't believe was
7 actually true?
8 MR. SORENSON: Objection. Leading.
9 THE COURT: Sustained. What did you find in your
10 research.
11 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) So what did you find in your
12 research that you had disputed with me?
13 A I had initially questioned the legitimacy of a
14 private attorney general. I couldn't conceive of that, yet I
15 had to go into the record to find out whether this guy was
16 legitimate or not. And what I found was that 42 U.S.C. 1988
17 was a provision by the United States Congress that when
18 faced -- when we the people are faced with a racketeering
19 organized crime gang operating in our community, the United
20 States Congress has given us the right to advance a private
21 attorney general litigation on behalf of the community.
22 Q And so you actually read that statute, didn't you,
23 Dr. Horowitz?
24 A And I was shocked. And then I further researched
25 and found that there was a case Sierra Club in Hawaii, a state

1 case where they acted as private attorney generals in defense
2 of environment and in defense of the community.
3 Q And now, does a private attorney general have to be
4 an attorney at law that's a member of the bar association?
5 A No, it doesn't.
6 Q And so you did the research on that, correct?
7 A That's correct.
8 Q And you verified that, correct?
9 A That's correct. I was shocked and that's exactly
10 the truth.
11 Q And so do you remember one of the things you didn't
12 believe me was that attorneys didn't have a license? Do you
13 remember that discussion?
14 MR. SORENSON: Objection to the leading, Your Honor.
15 THE COURT: Okay. So overruled. Foundational. You
16 can answer yes or no to the question.
17 THE WITNESS: Yes.
18 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) And we had a friendly
19 discussion or debate about whether attorneys actually had a
20 license 'cause at that time you believed they did, correct?
21 A Heated debate, absolutely.
22 Q And after you did your research, what did you find
23 out?
24 A That you were right and I was wrong.
25 Q Okay. That attorneys at law do not have a real

1 license?
2 A That's correct.
3 Q Okay. And so after you did the research, did you
4 start to trust me that the things I was telling you were
5 actually true?
6 A Yes.
7 Q And so your interaction with me, did I ever tell you
8 anything that you researched that was contrary to what I told
9 you?
10 A No.
11 Q And so when the -- you came to my office one day and
12 you said -- do you remember saying, "Anthony, they're going to
13 try to discredit you"? You remember that?
14 A Yes.
15 Q Do you remember what charge you say they was going
16 to charge me with?
17 A Probably practicing law without a license.
18 Q And what's the other charge you said that they was
19 going to try to charge me with to discredit me?
20 A Probably fraud in the mortgage industry.
21 Q Okay. And did you also -- do you remember telling
22 me that for people like me, they have to shut me down because I
23 was exposing the corruption? Do you remember that
24 conversation?
25 A I do remember that, totally consistent with what's

1 taking place in headline news today with a 2-tier justice
2 system where there's privileged and unprivileged.
3 Q And do you remember me being incarcerated in
4 September 2013?
5 A Yes, I do.
6 Q And do you remember the charge I was falsely accused
7 of?
8 A I was appalled by the charges against you. Yes, I
9 do remember them.
10 Q And what was those charges?
11 A Pedophilia, engaged in child manipulation. I
12 believe the case was in Florida. And what I saw specifically
13 as fact is that that expressly followed your request to the
14 Attorney General of the State of Hawaii to meet with him to
15 solve some of the terrible problems that we, the people, in
16 terms of the mortgage being defrauded industry that you wanted
17 to bring to the Attorney General's attention, and that you then
18 went to the Attorney General's office by his invitation and
19 were met by the media that had already been warned that they
20 are going to arrest you and they -- that night I watched the
21 local news, KHON, and I saw this man being accused falsely of
22 sexually abusing a child.
23 Q And now, Dr. Horowitz, you were present at the
24 extradition hearing, correct?
25 A Yes.

1 Q And you were one of the persons that actually filmed
2 the hearing?
3 A I was.
4 Q And do you remember how the FBI tried to fake my
5 fingerprints?
6 MR. SORENSON: Objection.
7 THE WITNESS: I do.
8 MR. SORENSON: Leading, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: Overruled. Foundational. But -- okay.
10 So I'm going to let you ask a couple more questions in this
11 area, but as the court already ruled, it's not relevant to the
12 issues in this case.
13 THE DEFENDANT: Well --
14 THE COURT: So go ahead. He said yes. What's your
15 next question?
16 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Okay. And so did the -- did
17 the judge follow his oath in that extradition hearing?
18 A I didn't -- I did not perceive good faith operations
19 in that court.
20 Q And did I specifically ask the judge would he follow
21 his oath that day?
22 A Yes, I heard you say that.
23 Q And --
24 THE COURT: Okay.
25 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) What was his answer?

1 THE COURT: And that's hearsay. So now you need to
2 move on to a different area. We've covered now the extradition
3 hearing. It has limited relevance to the issues in this case.
4 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. Can I get government
5 Exhibit 209, please.
6 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Now, Dr. Horowitz, did you or
7 your wife file a complaint to the FBI against me?
8 A We filed about four or five complaints with the FBI,
9 been in touch with and worked with three previous FBI
10 investigators related to the entire matter that we're facing
11 with having our property stolen. And on top of that, we filed
12 about 15 complaints with the local police, both in Honolulu as
13 well as on the Big Island.
14 One of those complaints, one out of 15, resulted in
15 the prosecution -- or the current grand jury indictment of the
16 felon who forged the documents that stole our property.
17 Q But you never made a complaint against me and what I
18 did for you?
19 A No, not at all.
20 Q Did you make a complaint to DCCA about me and what I
21 was doing?
22 A No.
23 Q And did you authorize the DCCA to void your mortgage
24 and your UCC lien that you filed?
25 A Give me that again, please.

1 Q Did you authorize the OCP and the DCCA to void your
2 mortgage and your UCC that you filed?
3 A No, not to my knowledge. It's -- that would be news
4 to me.
5 THE DEFENDANT: Can I get back to the page? I think
6 it's page 5, please? No, go down. Go down. Go down. Go
7 down. Go down. Okay.
8 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Can you -- I would like to
9 publish it again.
10 THE COURT: You may.
11 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Do you see letter M,
12 Mr. Horowitz?
13 A I see the letter here on the screen -- or I see M,
14 yes.
15 Q Okay. And you see your name down there on the
16 paragraph M?
17 A Yes, I do.
18 Q So did you get a letter from OCP or the DCCA telling
19 you that they were going to void out your mortgage?
20 A No, I never -- I am not aware of ever having
21 received that.
22 Q Did you receive a letter that saying they're going
23 to void out your UCC lien that protected your house?
24 A No, I never -- never recall receiving anything like
25 that.

1 Q So this is the first time that you have any
2 knowledge that they even voided out your own documents that you
3 didn't authorize for them to void?
4 A Yes.
5 Q Now, had you known they wanted to do this, what
6 would you have told them, Dr. Horowitz?
7 A What would I have told them about this?
8 Q Right, about them voiding out that. Would you have
9 gave them authorization to void it?
10 A And this was the mortgage that your organization --
11 Q Yes.
12 A -- created?
13 Q Yes.
14 A Had they sent that to me, I probably would have done
15 nothing. I probably would have just thought to myself, well,
16 that's par for the course; we're dealing with an organized
17 crime gang that is literally in charge of these kinds of
18 administrative proceedings, and if they're telling me that this
19 mortgage is void, then what do I know? I'm just a doctor.
20 What do I know about high finance? What do I know about
21 proceedings of how mortgages are dismissed or altered? I don't
22 know much about that.
23 Q Now, when you filed the UCC lien, you did your
24 research first on what a UCC financing statement is, correct?
25 A I did that, yes.

1 THE DEFENDANT: Right. And so -- can I get
2 government Exhibit 832? I'd like to publish.
3 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) So on the organization name
4 under the debtor name, you did understand that that's the
5 strawman, the all capital letters? Correct?
6 A That's correct.
7 THE COURT: Is 832 in evidence?
8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is.
9 THE COURT: It is? It's received? All right. It
10 may be published.
11 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) And so why did you have this
12 UCC lien filed with all the attached and the exhibits on there?
13 A Well, from the research that I did that was prompted
14 by your directions and then basically guidance, I then began to
15 research this concept of strawman and this concept of UCCs, and
16 there was a massive amount of publications on the internet.
17 Now, again, there's fake news and there's fake
18 internet stuff and there's good stuff, and yet when I started
19 to really dig on this concept of liening properties, I felt
20 after reading the laws that I had every right to lien the
21 property that was being stolen from me, that I had massive
22 amounts of money and loving labor invested in.
23 So that was my understanding of filing a UCC lien on
24 my property.
25 Q And did you feel like -- after you researched, did

1 you feel like you was filing it according to the law?
2 A Yes.
3 Q And you didn't feel like you was doing anything
4 wrong?
5 A No.
6 Q Because that was your property, correct?
7 A Yeah. I have too much to lose. Why would I -- why
8 would I risk my entire professional reputation in committing a
9 felony or a misdemeanor even? I would have to be
10 reasonably -- reasonable. I would have to investigate
11 something before I commit myself to a legal filing.
12 Q Now, you witnessed me with my private attorney
13 general ID, correct?
14 A Yes.
15 THE DEFENDANT: Exhibit 501. I'd like to publish
16 it.
17 THE COURT: You may.
18 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) And is that the ID you remember
19 I would carry, Dr. Horowitz?
20 A Yes.
21 Q And do you remember taking a plane flight with me
22 one time, you and Sherri?
23 A Uhm, that one I -- that one escapes me. I can't
24 remember that.
25 Q When we was in Hilo, we had to take a plane flight,

1 me, you, and Sherri. You remember, as a matter of fact, it was
2 on my birthday?
3 A Gee, whiz, I'm sorry. I can't remember.
4 Q Can't remember that?
5 A I fly -- you know, fly, travel a lot.
6 Q It's been about six years anyway. So but you do
7 recognize that that's my private attorney general ID?
8 A I not only recognize it, but I have to tell you that
9 when you showed me that, I did not believe it was real. And so
10 I subsequently investigated the fact that you had received an
11 Apostille from I believe it was the state of Tennessee that I
12 actually researched to find out whether this was legitimate or
13 not, and lo and behold, I found that it was legitimate.
14 Q And so you found out that I actually had it
15 certified an Apostille from the secretary of state of
16 Tennessee?
17 A That's correct.
18 Q After you researched and verified it?
19 A That's correct. And that's what then also prompted
20 me to go into looking at strawman and looking at all these
21 other things that you were saying, including the private
22 attorney general, 42 U.S.C. 1988, the provision by the United
23 States Congress.
24 Q And did you also look up the -- did you get to look
25 up the center report or any of the congressional acts or like

1 the U.S. Supreme Court cases in your research? Did you look up
2 some of the cases about private attorney generals?
3 A Well, you referred me to *Button*, the case of *Button*
4 in particular, and that was very convincing for me. And then
5 subsequently on my own I found the *Sierra* case because some
6 states their laws differ from federal. And so I had to make
7 sure that what was taking place in the state of Hawaii was as
8 legitimate or lawful, legal, as in federal courts. And I found
9 that there was, in fact, a state case --
10 MR. SORENSON: Objection, Your Honor. I think so
11 we're getting into legal opinion territory.
12 THE COURT: So -- so objection's overruled. He's
13 testified the research he's done, but Mr. Sorenson has a point.
14 I'm not going to have him testify with regard to what these
15 legal cases hold or what their legal significance is.
16 All right. Next question.
17 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Well, as part of my job as a
18 private attorney general, you -- did you understand I would
19 fight for the rights of the people?
20 A Absolutely. In fact, I find this entire proceeding
21 ridiculous and here's why --
22 THE COURT: All right. So that's not the question.
23 What's the next question?
24 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Do you find these proceedings
25 ridiculous?

1 A I find these --
2 MR. SORENSON: Objection. His opinions about these
3 proceedings are completely nonrelevant.
4 THE COURT: Sustained. All right. Next question.
5 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) So a private attorney general,
6 did I assist you into making a complaint against the crooked
7 attorney Paul Sulla?
8 A Yes.
9 Q And did I not accompany you to the Kona Police
10 Department to actually file the complaint and the arrest
11 warrant for him?
12 A That's my point. The fact is --
13 THE COURT: I'm sorry. That's a yes or no answer to
14 that question --
15 THE WITNESS: Yes.
16 THE COURT: -- did he accompany you. Next question.
17 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) And so when we went there, did
18 I have the documentation in hand to show the officer?
19 A Yes.
20 Q And when I showed him the law, did he follow the
21 law?
22 A No.
23 Q Did he, in fact, state he was not going to follow
24 that state law?
25 A Yes, that's what he said.

1 Q And did you and Sherri actually videotape this
2 incident?
3 A Yes, we did.
4 Q And did you upload it to YouTube?
5 A Yes, we did.
6 Q And is it actually on one of your website channels?
7 A I believe it still is, yes.
8 Q And do you remember that I actually had to fight a
9 eviction for one of my clients of mine here --
10 MR. SORENSON: Objection. Leading.
11 THE COURT: Sustained.
12 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Do you remember a eviction that
13 we attended for one of my clients?
14 A Yes.
15 Q And did you also videotape that incident?
16 A Yes.
17 Q And did I show the sheriff my private attorney
18 general ID card?
19 A I believe so.
20 Q And did I let him know that he could not take their
21 property without a trial by jury?
22 A Yes.
23 Q And you have researched that yourself that the
24 Seventh Amendment applies even in --
25 MR. SORENSON: Objection. He's --

1 THE DEFENDANT: -- a foreclosure case, right?
2 MR. SORENSON: -- a legal opinion on the Seventh
3 Amendment.
4 THE DEFENDANT: I'm asking what he researched.
5 THE COURT: Well, he's asking. Did you research
6 Seventh Amendment?
7 THE WITNESS: Yes, I did.
8 THE COURT: Okay. What's your next question?
9 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) And what did the Seventh
10 Amendment state, Dr. Horowitz?
11 MR. SORENSON: There's the objection.
12 THE COURT: All right. Sustained. Ask another
13 question. He's not a legal expert.
14 THE DEFENDANT: I'm -- he don't have --
15 THE COURT: He can't -- yeah. He --
16 THE DEFENDANT: I'm asking what he read in the
17 Seventh Amendment.
18 THE COURT: Right. And --
19 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) So what did you read in the
20 Seventh Amendment?
21 A Well, there -- you're not supposed to take anybody's
22 property. You have civil rights and you have property rights
23 and due process rights, and so that's the issue that we're all
24 dealing with is people with mortgages and homes that are being
25 stolen by corrupt individuals and organizations.

1 Q And so when you read the Seventh Amendment, did it
2 not state that you have to have a trial by jury before your
3 property can be taken?
4 A Yes.
5 Q And did you get a trial by jury before your property
6 was taken?
7 A No.
8 Q Have you witnessed any homeowner ever being able to
9 get a trial by jury before they home is illegally taken and
10 foreclosed on?
11 A I don't know, but I know there's a lot of people
12 that have been damaged.
13 Q Right. And so based on your experiences,
14 Dr. Horowitz, based on your own personal research and your own
15 experience with me, am I a man that will concoct a scheme to
16 defraud anybody?
17 A No.
18 Q Am I a man that stands on the principles of the
19 Bible, and Yeshua, and the creator?
20 MR. SORENSON: Objection to the leading, Your Honor.
21 He can ask him what kind of man he thinks he is, but --
22 THE COURT: All right. So overruled. It's
23 foundational.
24 Do you have an answer?
25 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.

1 THE COURT: All right. And what is your answer?
2 THE WITNESS: The answer is it would be --
3 THE COURT: Yes or no question that he asked you.
4 Is was a leading question, so you can answer yes or you can
5 answer no.
6 THE WITNESS: I'm responding to the prosecutor's
7 attorney.
8 THE COURT: No, that's not an answer -- I mean,
9 that's not a question. That was an objection. So that's not
10 testimony or question.
11 The question that was posed to you by Mr. Williams is, "Am
12 I a man that stands on the principles of the Bible, Yeshua; is
13 that correct -- and the creator?"
14 THE WITNESS: Yes.
15 THE COURT: Next question.
16 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) And so would you recommend my
17 services to anyone that is fighting for their house, fighting
18 any legal issue?
19 A I wouldn't, not because of you, but because of the
20 system itself is so outrageously corrupt, it's nauseating.
21 Q So you see where I've been prevented from actually
22 assisting people in this system?
23 A I see you as a pain in the neck and a person who
24 because of that has been persecuted and retaliated against.
25 This proceeding, I feel is one --

1 MR. SORENSON: Objection. We don't --
2 THE WITNESS: I feel --
3 MR. SORENSON: -- need to hear his opinions about
4 the proceedings, Your Honor.
5 THE COURT: So you have to let him finish his
6 answer. Okay. You feel --
7 THE WITNESS: I feel that Kenji Price, being one of
8 the law enforcers that I personally and videotaped my request
9 to the prosecution here that they become aware of the crime and
10 corruption that I personally have been victimized by, and I
11 have gotten no response whatsoever. That's why I would not do
12 what you're suggesting.
13 I can't -- I can't -- given the degeneration and
14 untrustworthiness of the judicial system that I depended on,
15 that I had full faith and trust in, that they would so neglect
16 their responsibilities, no, I would not be able to go to them
17 and say, "Mr. Williams is a good guy." No. I'm sorry.
18 It's -- it's -- being here is frightening.
19 MR. SORENSON: Objection, Your Honor. We're in a
20 narrative answer again.
21 THE WITNESS: Yeah.
22 THE COURT: Sustained. Ask the next question.
23 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) So my intention -- so do
24 you -- do you believe my intentions were always good to clients
25 and customers?

1 A Absolutely, yes.
2 Q And so you believe that nothing I did was to try to
3 steal somebody's home or just take their money with no --
4 A You had no intent to do that ever.
5 Q Okay. And so based on your research and based on
6 the things that I told you, would you state that everything
7 that I did was according to the law?
8 A To the best of my knowledge and research, yes.
9 Q Okay, and you are very educated man, correct?
10 A Unfortunately in some cases knowledge gets you into
11 trouble.
12 Q Right. And so -- but I'm not -- I'm not so smart
13 that I would deceive you, am I?
14 A Well, you're very, very bright when it comes to
15 these matters, brighter than I was. And no, you would not
16 deceive me. That's the issue. You're not -- your intent was
17 never to deceive me. And from my understanding of you, having
18 witnessed you, filmed you, been engaged with your organization,
19 there's not one thing that I could ever say that you did that
20 was deceptive, fraudulent, in any way.
21 Q Now, when you introduce yourself, you introduce your
22 name as Dr. Leonard Horowitz and then you said your Hebrew
23 name. What is your Hebrew name again?
24 A Arya ben Shlomo Ha Levi. That literally translated:
25 Arya is lion of God, ben Shlomo means son of Solomon. My

1 father was son of Solomon, his father was. Goes way back. So
2 I am of the Davidian bloodline, and yet because of
3 intermarriage, I am Ha Levi. So Ha Levi means a Levite, so I'm
4 a Levitical priest that is engaged with spiritual issues which,
5 from my perspective, this is today. This is a spiritual crisis
6 that America's facing. We're witnessing it on headline news.
7 The Justice Department is at the forefront because where the
8 rubber meets the road --
9 MR. SORENSON: Objection, Your Honor. I think we're
10 back in narrative land.
11 THE COURT: Yes. Next question.
12 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) So, Dr. Horowitz, with you
13 having your regular birth name and your Hebrew name, do you
14 have a Hebrew name to deceive people?
15 A No. It's a burden, frankly, to carry, as you well
16 know, Mr. Williams.
17 Q Yes, I do. And so with me also having a Hebrew
18 name --
19 THE COURT: Does he know you have a Hebrew name?
20 You need to lay the foundation.
21 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Do you know I also have a
22 Hebrew name?
23 A You mentioned that once.
24 Q So do you feel like me having a Hebrew name, that's
25 some way I was being deceptive to people?

1 A No, I don't get that at all.
2 Q If I put my Hebrew name on my letterhead with my
3 also government name, would you feel like I was being deceptive
4 'cause I list both names, my Hebrew and my American name?
5 A No, but I could appreciate that the general
6 population would think that that's weird and maybe say Well,
7 what's wrong with this guy.
8 Q But you use your Hebrew name and your regular name,
9 right?
10 A Well, actually I don't like to, and for -- if you go
11 back into the case laws that I've been involved in, I've tried
12 to hide the fact because --
13 MR. SORENSON: Objection. Relevance, Your Honor.
14 THE COURT: All right. So overruled. So he says he
15 doesn't use his Hebrew name.
16 You have any more questions?
17 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Well, what's the reason why you
18 are hesitant?
19 A Persecution.
20 Q Like for being a Hebrew?
21 A Deprivation of rights because essentially there is
22 prejudice. There's anti-Semitism. And so as a Christian
23 Jew -- I mean, Messianic Jew. I'm a Messianic Jew; I'm not
24 simply a Jew -- but people don't really grasp fully what that's
25 all about. So I have hid it as best I can.

1 Unfortunately, my mortgage was written to the name
2 of The Royal Bloodline of David. And so this court, likewise,
3 knows in another case we have, Civil 16-666 -- interesting case
4 number -- where The Royal Bloodline of David is the plaintiff.
5 And so I've now been forced --
6 THE COURT: Now, we're kind of going far afield.
7 What's your next question?
8 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Okay. So Dr. Horowitz, if you
9 found out that I have multiple companies, which you do, and
10 that I use a address, one address for two of my companies,
11 would you think I was deceiving you?
12 A No, not necessarily without more facts.
13 Q And so if I got a company and I got -- I'm using the
14 same address for, say, like the United States Office of the
15 Private Attorney General and also Federal Mortgage American
16 Trust, would you think that because I got a address for -- one
17 address for two of my companies, would you think that it's odd
18 or that's against the law?
19 A No.
20 Q So -- so your experience with me has been positive,
21 correct?
22 A Uhm, with you as a person, absolutely. With the
23 system, I'm disgusted.
24 Q Right. So you saw how the system has railroaded
25 me --

1 MR. SORENSON: Objection. Leading and --
2 THE DEFENDANT: -- about prosecutions --
3 MR. SORENSON: -- no factual basis for that either.
4 THE COURT: Sustained. It's leading, so --
5 THE DEFENDANT: Well --
6 THE COURT: -- what question do you want to ask him
7 about what he saw or heard? You can ask him those questions.
8 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Do you remember me fighting my
9 rape charge and representing myself?
10 A I do.
11 Q Do you remember me getting the case dismissed?
12 A I do.
13 Q And coming back to Hawaii?
14 A I do.
15 Q And continuing to help clients?
16 A That I'm not aware that you were helping people
17 after you came back after that ordeal. I thought you may have
18 been tied up in the litigation against you.
19 THE DEFENDANT: Right. Okay. So I have no more
20 questions.
21 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
22 Do you have any questions? Is it Mr. Sorenson?
23 MR. SORENSON: It is, Your Honor. Your Honor, do
24 you want to take a break or -- I could be just a little while.
25 THE COURT: Let me -- how long? Yes, I think so.

1 We've gone for an hour-and-a-half. We are going to take a
2 recess now and then we'll continue with your cross-examination.
3 All right. Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take a
4 recess, 15 minutes. Please leave your notebooks and iPad
5 behind. Of course, don't research, investigate, or Google any
6 of the witnesses or issues that have been raised.
7 Please rise for the jury. They're in a 15-minute recess,
8 as are we.
9 (A recess was taken.)
10 (Open court out of the presence of the jury.)
11 THE COURT: All right. The record will reflect the
12 jury is not present. Present is Mr. Williams and counsel.
13 And any matters we need to take up? Otherwise, we'll call
14 in the jury.
15 All right. Mr. Williams, you have something?
16 THE DEFENDANT: We can do it after, I guess.
17 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Very good. Then,
18 Ms. Feria, if you would get the jury. And we're in recess and
19 then you'll start your cross. Thank you.
20 (A recess was taken.)
21 (Open court in the presence of the jury.)
22 THE COURT: Welcome back, ladies and gentlemen of
23 the jury. Mr. Williams is present and counsel. The witness is
24 on the stand.
25 Your witness, Mr. Sorenson.

1 MR. SORENSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
2 CROSS-EXAMINATION
3 BY MR. SORENSON:
4 Q Mr. Horowitz, let's go over in the beginning here.
5 Let me just establish you're not a lawyer; is that correct?
6 A That's correct.
7 Q You never went to law school?
8 A That's correct.
9 Q You're medically trained I think you indicated?
10 A That's correct.
11 Q You're a dentist?
12 A Dentist and actually I was trained at Tufts
13 University during the period in which it was medical dentistry.
14 My degree is in Doctor of Medical Dentistry. We were trained
15 in internal medicine as well as actual dentistry, and I went on
16 to become an oral surgeon/periodontist University of Rochester
17 post Tufts.
18 Q Did you practice dentistry during that time frame?
19 A I mentioned 16 years I practiced
20 dentistry/periodontology as well as, obviously, oral surgery.
21 Q At some point you moved to Hawaii, I guess?
22 A I did, that's correct.
23 Q And you moved over to the Big Island initially?
24 A That's correct.
25 Q And where? The Pahoa area?

1 A Yes, that's right.
2 Q Is that where you bought your residence?
3 A The first residence was in the Vacationland section
4 of Pahoa, and then subsequently the property that was stolen
5 from us was in the -- a little bit more near -- near Pahoa
6 town.
7 Q Okay. But while you do have some medical training,
8 absolutely no legal training; is that fair to say?
9 A Well, I'd say I have a substantial now, after years
10 of going through the school of hard knocks, the law school of
11 hard knocks. I've been forced -- because of the bankruptcy
12 that I had to file, I had to study and do *pro se* litigation
13 myself. So I have --
14 Q I'm talking about going to a school --
15 A Certified school, no, sir.
16 Q -- and learning from people who know what they're
17 talking about. Have you been to a school that teaches law
18 practice?
19 A No.
20 Q Okay. No paralegal school?
21 A No.
22 Q Okay. So no training in that respect whatsoever?
23 A Well, I must say that as a consumer health activist
24 and advocate, because I find what is neglected in the legal
25 system is the amount of stress and distress that these kinds of

1 proceedings cause on myself, my family, and society --
2 Q Sir, my question is about the legal practice --
3 A I'm answering it, please.
4 Q -- not about you're saying right now, okay?
5 A I'm answering it, sir.
6 Q So please answer the question.
7 A I am.
8 Q Stay within that area, okay?
9 A That's exactly what -- so I want you to know that I
10 authored proselegalaid.com which is an online service that you
11 can actually go and go in there for free for a 30-day trial,
12 and you could see how it is that I personally have now been
13 preparing for *pro se* litigations.
14 So that's been my training. It's been the school of
15 hard knocks, and I know that your ilk does not appreciate the
16 fact that there is a human being that has enough brain cells
17 capable of interpreting law, reading law, reading case law,
18 going on Google Scholar, finding case law and being able to
19 then in a reasonably competent way, which I can tell you is not
20 particularly common in your profession, competence, because I
21 personally have had seven lawyers, as I mentioned, and most of
22 them are grossly incompetent and several of those have been
23 either -- either disbarred or currently, with Mr. Dubin, is up
24 under disbarment charges.
25 Q And so based upon your prior experience, you've gone

1 away from I guess what you've indicated, my ilk, and gone to
2 the ilk of Mr. Williams; is that correct?
3 A That's not totally correct, no.
4 Q But you did go to him and he did represent your
5 interests, didn't he?
6 A I went to Mr. Williams in 2011, 2012, and he did
7 represent our interests, correct.
8 Q And you employed his services, didn't you?
9 A I did, yes.
10 Q How much did you pay him?
11 A I believe I paid Mr. Williams a total of somewhere
12 in the neighborhood of 2500, maybe, $2,800 all together.
13 Q And did you sign up for his mortgage reduction
14 program?
15 A Uhm, I don't believe that I signed up for that
16 program because there was not a need in our circumstance to
17 sign up for that particular program, and I'm not aware of if
18 he's done that with other people.
19 Q Fair to say you really don't know anything about him
20 offering a one-half reduction on people's mortgages for
21 one-half the term for the payment of a fee?
22 A No, that's not my knowledge of interacting with
23 Mr. Williams.
24 Q So your testimony to this jury has been, well,
25 related to many things unrelated to the charges in this case

1 which are related to the mortgage reduction scheme?
2 THE DEFENDANT: Objection. That's a
3 mischaracterization.
4 THE COURT: Overruled.
5 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) You're not testifying about what
6 we're here about today, right? You're testifying about his
7 help to you in a foreclosure action; is that fair to say?
8 A I understand my testimony here today involves two
9 aspect of this case, to my knowledge. As a fact witness, not
10 an expert witness, I understand that he's being charged with
11 the fraud in creation of mortgages and defrauding people. And
12 I have a mortgage and I have been defrauded not by
13 Mr. Williams, but virtually by the system itself.
14 Q But you would concede there can be fraud by
15 individuals who will go to folks and help them or purport to
16 help them with their mortgages; is that fair to say?
17 A It's fair to say. And I would add that I am aware
18 that Mr. Williams had people, women particularly, who were
19 untrustworthy. One was Edna Franco who has already been -- who
20 was indicted with Franco Services, and she was put out of
21 business, I understand, by the state.
22 Unfortunately, Mr. Williams did not listen to me. I
23 told him that she was untrustworthy. I told her -- him that
24 she was actually recruiting for Mr. Sulla who stole our
25 property, and --

1 Q All right. I'm not asking about Ms. Franco, okay?
2 I'm asking your understanding of what we're here about.
3 You were not involved in the mortgage aspect of his
4 business; is that correct?
5 A I was not involved in any other mortgage other than
6 my mortgage with his company.
7 Q Right. And you were not present when he was
8 interacting with individuals in this case who purchased or
9 signed up for his mortgage reduction plan; is that correct?
10 A I did when I was researching him, videotaping him, I
11 met others who were victimized by the system that were
12 interacting with him that were engaged in other agreements and
13 contracts with him.
14 Q Okay. And so you know that what he was doing, he
15 was offering one-half off on people's mortgages and they'd only
16 have to pay him for half of the term for the payment of a fee,
17 right?
18 A I read that in the indictment. I was not aware of
19 that until I read that in the indictment.
20 Q Okay. So again, back to your basis of knowledge,
21 you don't know anything about this aspect of the case; is that
22 fair to say?
23 A I think that there's enough relevant relationship in
24 what my knowledge is, having dealt with him in my mortgage, and
25 the integrity by which he surprised me in being legitimate

1 versus a fraud that it's relevant as facts to this case.
2 Q Well, Mr. Horowitz, you're an educated man, aren't
3 you?
4 A I would like to think so.
5 Q Probably hard to get stuff by you, I'd say, correct?
6 A I'm not sure. Lot of people have gotten -- I'm very
7 naive when I got into this purchase of this property, I can
8 tell you that.
9 Q Fair to say, though, you're not a Filipino
10 immigrant, correct?
11 A I'm not a Filipino immigrant, that's correct.
12 THE DEFENDANT: Objection --
13 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) Your first language is not
14 Ilocano?
15 THE COURT: I'm sorry. So there's an objection.
16 Your objection is?
17 THE DEFENDANT: It's out of scope. He's not
18 Filipino.
19 THE COURT: I need you to stand up, yeah. What's
20 your objection?
21 THE DEFENDANT: It's out of scope. I mean, he's not
22 a Filipino; he can't speak for a Filipino person.
23 THE COURT: I don't -- okay. So it's overruled.
24 All right. So your next question?
25 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) You're not a Filipino immigrant;

1 is that correct?
2 A That's correct.
3 Q And your first language isn't Ilocano, correct?
4 A That's correct.
5 Q And I'm guessing you read and write English very
6 well?
7 A I would like to think so. I have problems with
8 spelling sometimes.
9 Q I hear you. Okay. So from a basis of knowledge
10 standpoint, the mortgage aspect of his business with the
11 individuals over here on Oahu is something that you don't have
12 personal observation or knowledge of?
13 A I never sat with him during a client consultation
14 where he signed them up for a business contract.
15 Q Right. And you didn't hear the representations he
16 made to them, right?
17 A No, I didn't.
18 Q And you didn't see the documents that they signed,
19 right?
20 A That's correct.
21 Q And you didn't see how he might have used a badge or
22 a credential to augment his credibility with them; is that fair
23 to say?
24 A Not with anyone to my -- well, actually I do recall
25 him showing his badges to other people, but I can't recall

1 whether they were his clients or whether there were just
2 others.
3 Q He ever show you his handcuffs?
4 A Uhm, I think I might have seen handcuffs once.
5 Q He told you he had the authority to arrest people,
6 didn't he?
7 A Yes, he did, actually, yeah.
8 Q And he felt like he could just go out and arrest
9 people for crimes that he observed or crimes he believed had
10 occurred, correct?
11 A Well, frankly, having researched that aspect --
12 Q No, I'm asking you. Did he tell you he could do
13 that?
14 A I think he told me that that was within the law and
15 he was authorized by the private attorney general act and
16 public duty doctrine to be sure that others were not being
17 ripped off, defrauded, and damaged. That was his
18 responsibility as not only a person and a spiritual person, but
19 also as somebody studied in law.
20 Q Okay. And exactly what kind of studied in law would
21 Mr. Williams have had?
22 A Well, I can tell you he had much more than I had,
23 and he instructed me to do some due diligence and I was shocked
24 by what I found. The law is great. We have a fantastic
25 Constitution, we have fantastic case law. We have fantastic

1 legislators that provide wonderful laws. The problem is the
2 system is failing itself. It's failing the people.
3 Q Thank you. But Mr. Williams, to your knowledge,
4 has no legal training, correct?
5 A I think he has substantial legal training as a --
6 Q He's been to law school?
7 A Well --
8 Q I'm asking a question. Has he been to law school?
9 A Well, you know that he hasn't.
10 Q I'm asking you.
11 A Why are you asking a rhetorical question?
12 Q 'Cause i'm asking you.
13 A I know that he hasn't gone to law school to my
14 knowledge.
15 Q And has he taken the bar exam?
16 A I don't believe he has.
17 Q And has he -- has he been licensed in any state to
18 practice law?
19 A I don't believe that he's a member of the bar. I
20 don't believe that the bar represents anything but a private
21 club, virtually, where you are given a certificate to go out
22 and practice now because you've gone -- I would love to have a
23 law degree myself. I'd love -- but you know how much it costs?
24 It's outrageous for me to now go spend a couple hundred
25 thousand, $300,000, and actually go do the due diligence to

1 optimize my certification so I can go into a court of law to
2 defend a human being and their rights. I'm sorry, there's some
3 of us -- there is some of us who don't have the wherewithal to
4 be able to do that. However, what Mr. Williams apparently has
5 done is just that, and --
6 Q Let me stop you there.
7 THE COURT: Next question. All right.
8 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) You've gone off into a
9 narrative. I'm going to ask you more about this private
10 attorney general thing. You've indicated you know he's a
11 private attorney general, right?
12 A Correct.
13 Q And if you could, just tell the jury what is a
14 private attorney general?
15 A Private attorney general's authorized by 42 U.S.C.,
16 1988, which is a very wonderful Civil Rights Act of Congress
17 virtually because it's there to protect our communities and
18 extended families, virtually, where we now if we see that
19 there's a racketeering enterprise, that there's an organization
20 that's corrupt, it's a syndicate that is engaged in drug
21 trafficking or let's say human sex trafficking or slavery or
22 stealing people's properties through fraud and mortgages, then
23 the United States Congress authorized private persons, such as
24 myself, such as Mr. Williams, to be able to actually say --
25 Q Sir, sir, let me ask you this.

1 A -- declare themselves as a private attorney general.
2 Q Let me ask you this.
3 THE COURT: Okay. All right.
4 THE DEFENDANT: He didn't let him finish the --
5 THE COURT: All right. All right. Wait. Stop. So
6 we're getting a little chaotic.
7 All right. So thank you for your answer. Ask another
8 question and then there'll be an answer.
9 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Sir, then your testimony is
10 that the private attorney general, all the authority comes out
11 of 42 U.S.C. 1988; is that correct?
12 A Yes, as well as case law.
13 Q Is it your testimony that that particular statute
14 makes specific reference to creating a position of private
15 attorney general where private individuals can go and lawfully
16 become lawyers and represent people in court? Is that your
17 testimony?
18 A That's a false representation -- misrepresentation
19 of the Act. The Act authorizes private individuals to
20 basically assume their public duty responsibility to defend the
21 community against an organized crime racketeering enterprise.
22 Q Sir, isn't it a fact, though, that what that law
23 does is it --
24 THE DEFENDANT: Objection.
25 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Can he finish his question?

1 Then I'll allow you to object, if you stand up.
2 All right. Mr. Sorenson.
3 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) Sir, isn't it a fact that that
4 particular law simply allows attorney's fees to be collected by
5 individuals who sue for violations of civil liberties?
6 THE COURT: Wait, wait, before you answer. Your
7 objection.
8 THE DEFENDANT: Objection because it's a
9 misstatement of the actual Act.
10 THE COURT: All right. So overruled. He's asking
11 him for his understanding.
12 Dr. Horowitz.
13 THE WITNESS: My understanding is that that's one
14 part. It implies the fact that the law provides compensation,
15 I think it's maybe a third of the savings to the community or
16 the government, where a private attorney general comes up and
17 defends and prosecutes against criminals in a racketeering
18 enterprise, if they're able then to hold at the end of the case
19 a third of the amount of money that's been ruled to compensate
20 those damaged.
21 So that implies to me -- as a reasonable person that
22 implies that the Congress of the United States has authorized
23 we, the people, to step up and be able to combat organized
24 crime in our communities.
25 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) So you would concede then that

1 this law, 42, 1988, doesn't specifically set out this concept
2 of private attorney general; it's something that Mr. Williams
3 and you have interpreted from the law; is that fair to say?
4 A I think that that's close, but I don't think
5 it's -- I think it's a biased presumption because the United
6 States Congress was specific about the applicability of that
7 for going up against organized crime and enterprise.
8 Q Okay. And so let me ask you this then: Your belief
9 then is that -- is that it is okay to practice law in U.S.
10 courts when you're not a licensed attorney as long as you're a
11 private attorney general?
12 A I would say -- I'd have to say yes to that.
13 Q So that's your belief then, correct?
14 A If we're truly -- I would say --
15 Q Let me follow-up.
16 A Let me qualify my yes.
17 Q All right.
18 A I would say that if justice is required and your
19 spiritual endowment of public duty and public duty doctrine
20 compels you, your heart and soul, to go up against organized
21 crime, there are few people, like Mr. Williams or myself, who
22 would be having the wherewithal to do that because of the fear,
23 because of the intimidation, because of the retaliation.
24 Mr. Williams has been incarcerated for doing just
25 that. Is he -- is he a demon? Is he a criminal? In my

1 opinion no, and that's connected to your answer.
2 THE COURT: All right. Next question.
3 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) Okay. Thank you.
4 Okay. So back on point, your belief then, I think
5 your testimony is, is that a private person can simply become a
6 private attorney general and then represent people in both
7 state and federal courts; is that correct?
8 A I believe that that's the intention of the Congress
9 in citing that aspect. The Congress didn't come right out and
10 say, Hey, you know, you and I should go and become private
11 attorney generals and combat evil. No, it didn't do that. But
12 what it implied was that.
13 Q It's simply an implication that you've interpreted;
14 fair to say?
15 A I think that that's reasonable interpretation based
16 on others who have acted as private attorney generals. The
17 only stipulation and the question that I have is that private
18 attorney generals are not to charge people up front for those
19 services. They're to wait. Their compensation comes after
20 they win the cases and they save the community money; that's
21 when they're supposed to be paid. So that's the only question
22 I have.
23 Q Sir, this law never says anything about private
24 attorney generals, does it?
25 A It's -- it's actually -- in your legal profession,

1 you must be aware that it is referenced as the Private Attorney
2 General's Act.
3 Q No, no, I'm not aware of that. But I will ask you
4 again, is that terminology used in the statute?
5 A No, it's not, but it's -- obviously, so in case law,
6 when you do research it, you can go and find that's true.
7 Q Okay. And you're aware, sir that it's unlawful to
8 appear in court and represent other people? It's a violation
9 of law. You're aware of that, correct?
10 A Unless you are designating yourself, frankly, as a
11 private attorney general.
12 Q Okay. So let's talk about how do you become a
13 private attorney general. What are the training requirements
14 for that?
15 A Actually, maybe it's more of a calling from the
16 creator than it is an actual academic exercise.
17 Q So this is a spiritual calling from the creator?
18 A Could be both.
19 Q And that can mandate you to become a private
20 attorney general?
21 A It could be both.
22 Q Okay. So once you've been ordained by the creator
23 to be a private attorney general, are there -- is there some
24 accreditation system where you can go and take an exam or pass
25 something due to your qualifications? Is there something like

1 that where you're vetted, or could just anybody do this?
2 A Actually I think that's a question for the judge.
3 The courts --
4 Q I'm asking your belief.
5 A My belief is that that capacity and that
6 congressional authorization is subject to the individual courts
7 and their opinions and the manner in which they then rule.
8 It's -- but in terms of the law that you're asking about and
9 you want me to say that, Oh, that Private Attorney General Act
10 doesn't cover Mr. Williams, I'm sorry, I can't do that.
11 Q Did Mr. Williams appoint himself to be a private
12 attorney general?
13 A That's not a question for me. You can ask
14 Mr. Williams.
15 Q I'm just asking you.
16 A I would assume. I would assume that he had a
17 calling to do so. It's obvious. He wouldn't be putting up
18 with all of this abuse he's gone through all these years
19 without having been called to be a private attorney general.
20 Q Was it then the creator who appointed him to be a
21 private attorney general --
22 A I would have --
23 Q -- in your view?
24 A In my opinion, yes.
25 THE COURT: You just have to wait till he finishes

1 his question 'cause our poor court reporter can only take one
2 person at a time.
3 So your question?
4 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) Would it be the creator who
5 ordained him to become a private attorney general in your view?
6 A Actually, I can say that absolutely definitively yes
7 and here's why, a fact: When I was filming Mr. Williams, we
8 have up on video when the judge asked him, "Who are you
9 standing for?" he said, "I'm standing for Yeshua," which is
10 Jesus.
11 Q Okay. All right, sir. So did Mr. Williams tell you
12 that as a private attorney general he did not have to comply
13 with certain laws?
14 A Never, never.
15 Q Did --
16 A In fact --
17 Q Excuse me. You answered the question.
18 THE COURT: Wait, wait, wait. So finish your
19 answer. Okay.
20 THE WITNESS: In fact, he -- he told me to research
21 the laws, to apply the laws.
22 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) Okay. Did he tell you that he
23 didn't need a license to drive?
24 A He actually inferred that and maybe made some
25 statements about that. And subsequently when I began to

1 research that, I found just like the Kingdom of Hawaii people
2 today are claiming that they should be authorized by their own
3 kingdom laws to be able to have a Kingdom of Hawaii license, I
4 think that there's some intertwining of those two concepts and
5 I'm not an expert in that field.
6 Q So once you become ordained as a private attorney
7 general, you don't need a driver's license? Is that what
8 Mr. Williams told you?
9 A I don't believe that I can make that association nor
10 attribute that association to Mr. Williams.
11 Q How about having a registered vehicle? Did you ever
12 hear him say that he didn't need to register his vehicle
13 because he was a private attorney general?
14 THE DEFENDANT: Objection. That's beyond the scope.
15 That's beyond the scope.
16 THE COURT: All right. Overruled. You asked him
17 about private attorney generals.
18 THE WITNESS: To my understanding, I -- I think -- I
19 recall from years ago that Mr. Williams applied to the state
20 for a license plate for an ambassador. That's what I believe.
21 So it wasn't that Mr. Williams was circumventing the system; he
22 was applying the rules and laws that existed to have a unique
23 driver's license associated with an ambassadorship, but don't
24 hold me to that as a fact because I'm a little foggy. It's
25 been many years.

1 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) Okay. And would it surprise you
2 to learn that Mr. Williams has been told by a federal judge
3 here in Hawaii that he could not represent people in court?
4 A Would it surprise me?
5 Q Yes.
6 A No. It would absolutely certify what it is that
7 Mr. Williams is up against.
8 Q You would not disagree with that, then, correct?
9 A I'm appalled at the injustice in the system, just as
10 Bernie Sanders is today, just as President Trump is today.
11 There's an absolute -- it's headline news this morning about
12 Stone being now -- his sentence has been commuted to 40 months
13 instead of -- what? -- 9 years. So the system itself is
14 broken. How many times have you heard a politician say that?
15 We're dealing with a corrupted system. So
16 Mr. Williams should not be, in my opinion -- unless you want to
17 object to my opinion -- my opinion is that he's being
18 retaliated against for being out there on a limb, virtually all
19 alone, and saying I'm not going to put up with this because
20 I've got Jesus behind me and I don't care about what you do, I
21 don't care about you putting me in jail, I don't care about you
22 smashing my head with whatever to make me bleed almost
23 unconscious and die. I'm here to assert the truth.
24 Q Mr. Horowitz, you have not heard the evidence in
25 this case; is that fair to say?

1 A That's fair to say.
2 Q So you don't know what Mr. Williams has been doing
3 or not been doing; is that fair to say?
4 A That's fair to say.
5 Q And it's also fair to say that you're not aware of
6 what he has done in federal or state court in his attempts to
7 represent people; is that fair to say?
8 A That's not fair to say because I filmed him and I
9 was thrown out of Judge Ayabe's courtroom for being there to
10 observe the mortgage fraud that was being perpetrated, in my
11 opinion, by the banks against those who they had used altered
12 signatures, these photocopied signatures, on people's
13 mortgages, altered the mortgages, and he was there defending
14 them.
15 Q Right. And so when Mr. Williams would represent
16 people in court and advocate that he was a private attorney
17 general and authorized to do so, it was in his financial
18 interest and to his benefit to do that, wasn't it?
19 A I would say that there was -- yes, and I would say
20 that that is a question for a fact as well as law.
21 Q Right. And Mr. Williams, would it surprise you to
22 learn, was making or trying to make 2- or 3- or $400,000 per
23 person that he signed up for his mortgages?
24 THE DEFENDANT: Objection. That's not what I was
25 doing and that's not -- no evidence to that.

1 THE COURT: All right. And so he's already said he
2 doesn't know about --
3 MR. SORENSON: I'm asking if he would be surprised
4 to learn that since he's ahead of his opinions about
5 Mr. Williams.
6 THE WITNESS: Would it --
7 THE COURT: Wait. I have to rule. So the
8 objection's overruled.
9 So he's just asking you would you be surprised if you
10 learned that?
11 THE WITNESS: If I was surprised to learn that
12 Mr. Williams was making several hundred thousand dollars on
13 clients? Uhm, yeah, that doesn't seem reasonable to me. I
14 would be surprised to learn that.
15 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) And if you learned that there
16 were like 120 or so people here that had signed notes with him
17 promising to pay similar amounts of money to him based on this
18 idea that he could represent them, would that surprise you?
19 THE DEFENDANT: Objection, 'cause that's not what
20 the note states.
21 THE COURT: All right. Overruled.
22 All right. He's just asking if you found out that
23 information, would that surprise you?
24 THE WITNESS: It would surprise me.
25 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) And so Mr. Williams's advocacy

1 as a private attorney general was, if that was all true --
2 would be quite financially beneficial for him, would it not be?
3 A Actually, I think there's a separation between what
4 you're claiming here and what he -- not was doing, but the
5 separation is his contract to make the money.
6 Let's assume what you're saying is true, that he's
7 going to make a couple hundred thousand dollars off of each
8 mortgage and it's going to go through his companies and that's
9 what your primary complaint is, mortgage fraud. For him to, A,
10 study law, to then go into a court of law that is opposing him
11 and have him be such a nuisance in the face of being arrested
12 and condemned is unreasonable that we would assume that there
13 was any illegitimacy in his action. It doesn't seem reasonable
14 that a intelligent person who's got a contract for somebody for
15 $200,000, let's say, would -- that's based on fraud, would
16 suddenly now go and represent that person and say to law
17 enforcement and the judges, I'm here to defend what? Not
18 fraud. I'm here to defend the fraud that's being perpetrated
19 against this person.
20 So this whole -- to me this whole process is a sham.
21 It's a retaliation against this man.
22 Q Let me ask -- let's have a question pending, okay?
23 And so if Mr. Williams had been told by the federal court here
24 that he could not represent people in court but he continued to
25 tell people that he could represent them in court, would that

1 change your answer?
2 A No. It's reasonable that they're both. They're not
3 exclusive. They're not one or the other. It's -- the court
4 says you're not allowed to be here doing this, and Mr. Williams
5 is here saying I have every right and under God and under
6 country and under people's, communities' civil rights I have
7 every right to be here, and that's where the conflict is.
8 Now, what Kenji Price has done --
9 Q So let me follow up.
10 THE COURT: So okay.
11 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) So your testimony then is
12 Mr. Williams, because he's a private attorney general and may
13 be ordained by the creator, he's above the law, so to speak?
14 A False. That's a misrepresentation and omissions.
15 It's -- you know.
16 Q Well, he doesn't have to listen to the federal judge
17 telling him he can't represent people; is that correct?
18 A He doesn't have to represent -- he doesn't have to
19 listen to the judge? If the judge is not following the rules
20 and the laws. He is under public duty and so am I and so is
21 every one of the jurors under public duty actually to go beyond
22 the fear, as this man has modeled, and say No, this is not what
23 the law says and that's how the law and the justice system is
24 improved, by people standing up against it and saying This is
25 unjust. It's -- it's unconscionable and it doesn't follow the

1 legislative mandate of the rules and the laws established.
2 That's a brave hero. That's not a criminal.
3 Q So if Mr. Williams were convicted of the
4 unauthorized practice of law in the state of Florida --
5 THE DEFENDANT: Objection.
6 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) -- and continued to do what he's
7 doing here --
8 THE DEFENDANT: Objection.
9 THE COURT: Wait until he finishes the question.
10 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) -- and continue to do what he was
11 he's doing here, telling people he could represent them, would
12 you have a problem with that?
13 THE COURT: Wait. Before you answer, do you have an
14 objection?
15 THE DEFENDANT: Objection. That's irrelevant and
16 this is not the state of Florida. This is State of Hawaii.
17 And that also was overturned 'cause it's unlawful.
18 THE COURT: All right. So --
19 THE DEFENDANT: And it's beyond -- and also is
20 beyond the scope.
21 THE COURT: So I'm going to sustain the objection
22 because I don't think it's relevant.
23 So do you have another question?
24 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) Well, here in the state of
25 Hawaii, if you learned that there was an injunction against

1 Mr. Williams for the unauthorized practice of law, telling him
2 he could not represent people in court, would that change your
3 answer?
4 A It wouldn't change my answer. My answer's pretty
5 clear.
6 Q Your answer is that he is above the law, so to
7 speak?
8 A That's your words. My -- my words would be that he
9 is confronting the system and the courts that are
10 misrepresenting and abusing the laws that the legislature, the
11 three branches of government -- the judicial system is supposed
12 to act out the laws by the legislature. And Mr. Williams is,
13 from my opinion based on my experience -- has been saying to
14 the courts, You're -- You have obviously a fraudulent operation
15 going here. You have a syndicate that is protecting the elite
16 and it's not helping the people, and I'm here to say to you and
17 I'm willing to go to jail -- and he's gone to jail and he's
18 been in jail and that's his -- that's his whatever. That's his
19 karma, that's his job, that's his duty, that's his calling.
20 So I'm not going to misjudge him and/or use your
21 language to claim that because he has been doing this
22 repeatedly that he's a criminal.
23 THE COURT: All right. Thank you for your answer.
24 Do you have any other questions?
25 MR. SORENSON: I do, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Okay.
2 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) Okay. So just to make sure
3 we're clear about this --
4 THE COURT: No. Move on to another area. You're
5 beating a dead horse, all right? Asked and answered. Let's
6 go. Pick another area or sit down.
7 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) Let's talk about the mortgage
8 that you entered into with apparently yourself. I want to
9 direct your attention to 835, Exhibit 835.
10 A Okay.
11 MR. SORENSON: Your Honor, could we publish?
12 THE COURT: You may.
13 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) All right. First off, I want to
14 direct your attention to the screen. Do you see Exhibit 835?
15 A Yes.
16 MR. SORENSON: All right. Your Honor, may we
17 publish?
18 THE COURT: Yeah, it's published.
19 MR. SORENSON: Okay.
20 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) Okay. I think you testified
21 about this document on direct examination; is that correct?
22 A I believe I did.
23 Q And you testified, I believe, that you were the
24 grantor here in capital letters, correct, Leonard G. Horowitz,
25 and I believe that is your common law wife, Sherri Kane,

1 correct?
2 A Correct.
3 Q And you have titled yourself legal persons and
4 fictions here, correct?
5 A Correct.
6 Q Is this one of Mr. Williams's documents? Did he
7 help you with this?
8 A Yes.
9 Q Did he draft this for you?
10 A Yes.
11 Q And is it true then here that you are noted as the
12 borrower here?
13 A Yes.
14 Q Okay. And -- but this is the capitalized letter
15 version of you; is that correct?
16 A Yes.
17 Q And then as we look down in the document, we see
18 that the servicer, the mortgagee is Mortgage Enterprise
19 Investments, and that's in capital letters, correct?
20 A Yes. That says it's the borrower, right.
21 Q And the trustee is Federal Mortgage American Trust.
22 Do you see that?
23 A Yes.
24 Q Okay. So -- and down below you are also listed as
25 the secured party creditor, correct?

1 A Correct.
2 Q But this is in small letters.
3 A Yes, correct.
4 Q And I think you indicated that one of these entities
5 is a fake entity. In other words, one of these is really not
6 you. One of these is the blood you and the other one is a
7 fiction of you?
8 A Well, not according to commerce. The entire system
9 of commerce is based on capital strawmen.
10 Q Okay. But I'm just asking about this document for
11 you. One of these is the capital-lettered Horowitz and one of
12 these is the small-lettered Horowitz?
13 A Yes.
14 Q And the idea is that you can be both the creditor
15 and debtor because you've sort of subdivided yourself into two
16 entities?
17 A It's not particularly unlike me filing a UCC lien on
18 a multimillion dollar investment property that I have.
19 Q Okay. And also the trustee here, Federal Mortgage
20 American Trust, what do you know about that company?
21 A Nothing, really.
22 Q Okay.
23 A It's basically based on trust and faith and
24 Mr. Williams and his knowledge of law which was superior to
25 mine.

1 Q And you trust that Federal Mortgage American Trust
2 is a real company, I'm guessing?
3 A If Mr. Williams says it is.
4 Q Then you're going to believe it?
5 A I will believe it.
6 Q And would it surprise you to learn that it is not a
7 real company?
8 A Well, what's a real company?
9 Q Well, a company that's registered, a company that
10 has a name, a company that pays taxes and files tax returns,
11 company that exists. That's a real company.
12 A Well, that would help for providing assurance and
13 assurance that it does because, again, I'm involved in the
14 commercial system and I think that I'd go on the Bureau of
15 Conveyances or DCCA and look up a search of a company and see
16 whether it's been in business, whether it has a footprint in
17 the commercial business. So that helps me as a consumer to
18 rely on a certain representation that this is a company.
19 But, unfortunately, now knowing that I know, it
20 would not surprise me that Mr. Williams's companies, as he's
21 named them here, given his knowledge, far superior to mine in
22 law, that this would be a misrepresentation or fraud.
23 Q Okay. You're saying that Mr. Williams, if he made
24 this company up and it was fake, that's okay with you; is that
25 fair to say?

1 A Uhm, if he made -- if he made this company up and it
2 was a fraud, did you say?
3 Q Yes.
4 A That that's okay with me?
5 Q Yes.
6 A I think that that's a misrepresentation. No, I
7 don't believe that.
8 Q Okay. You don't believe -- you think there really
9 is a Federal Mortgage American Trust?
10 A If Mr. Williams says so, and I take him on his word
11 that there is, that he's using this in legal documents, I would
12 say yes, I believe it. And if I then researched and found what
13 you're alleging, I would feel uncomfortable and that discomfort
14 would then cause me to not rely on this document which is what
15 I have done all along because I know there are people
16 throughout the entire justice system that operate and see
17 things just like you. And I'm not interested in jeopardizing
18 my rights, my family, my investments with depending on a
19 document that people like you represent as fraudulent.
20 Q Well, I'm asking if you knew this company didn't
21 exist, would that change your views of what Mr. Williams had
22 drafted for you?
23 A If I knew if it was fraud, of course it would.
24 Q Well, if he's representing this is going to be the
25 trustee on your deed of trust, then I'm assuming that you have

1 full faith that this is actually a company that is going to act
2 in that role?
3 A Yes, that's exactly right.
4 Q So you're relying upon the representation that this
5 is a real entity?
6 A That's correct, yes.
7 Q And if you learned that this company also shared the
8 same address as the United States private attorney general
9 office, would that surprise you?
10 A Not at all, and I'll give you a reason why. The
11 person that stole our property by forgeries used multiple times
12 in various entities that he established with DCCA that he
13 registered the same address. That's partly how as an
14 investigative journalist I was able to determine links between
15 companies that supposedly were not linked. So those addresses
16 are commonly shared, particularly post office boxes.
17 So what you're asking me, would it surprise me or
18 would that raise a red flag if I see Mr. Williams's two
19 companies using the same address, no. It's done all the time.
20 Q Well, but you've indicated that's also possibly an
21 indicator for you of something to be aware of?
22 A Yes, that's right. It would certainly raise a
23 reasonable caution.
24 Q And would it cause you any concern that this actual
25 address has never housed either the Federal Mortgage American

1 Trust or the U.S. Private Attorney General Office?
2 A I don't know of those facts and I don't know of the
3 details. So I'm not sure about the question, how I can answer
4 it, if I don't know about it really.
5 Q Let me show you what's been marked as Exhibit 501.
6 Your Honor, may we publish?
7 THE COURT: I believe 501 is in evidence.
8 MR. SORENSON: Yeah. May we publish?
9 THE COURT: Yes, uh-huh.
10 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) All right. You recognize this?
11 A Yes.
12 Q And you see Mr. Williams there, correct?
13 A Yes.
14 Q And you see the Great Seal of the United States of
15 America on there?
16 A Yes.
17 Q And you see United States Office of the Private
18 Attorney General, correct?
19 A Yes.
20 Q All right. Go to the back side here. Down at the
21 bottom, U.S. Office of the Private Attorney General. Do you
22 see that?
23 A Yes.
24 Q And do you see the address?
25 A Yes.

1 Q Is that the same address for the Federal Mortgage
2 American Trust?
3 A I don't know.
4 Q Okay. Well, you have signed a mortgage document
5 that purports to have the address for the Federal Mortgage
6 American Trust, have you not?
7 A Based on faith, yes.
8 Q Based faith, right?
9 A Yes.
10 Q And the address that's on this document is the same
11 address that's on this credential, correct?
12 A Okay.
13 Q Okay. So my question is does that change your faith
14 in Mr. Williams?
15 A No.
16 Q Okay. And also I'll ask you do you see where it
17 says "Do not detain. Do not arrest"?
18 A Yes.
19 Q Is that consistent with the positions you've heard
20 from Mr. Williams that he could not be arrested?
21 A Oh, thank you. Now, I remember the flight. You
22 just triggered my memory.
23 Q Please answer my question, though.
24 A To answer your question, give me the question again.
25 THE COURT: I'll read the --

1 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) It says, "Do not detain. Do not
2 arrest." Do you see that?
3 A Yes.
4 Q Is that consistent with your understanding from
5 Mr. Williams that he could not be arrested?
6 A Yes. And on that flight, TSA basically virtually
7 arrested him and he used this explanation and defended against
8 that and, in fact, ultimately -- unfortunately, we never
9 pursued a civil case against TSA because of the violations of
10 the law that they had to pull him over because of this
11 identification. Thank you for triggering my memory about that
12 flight.
13 Q When you say TSA pulled him over and arrested him,
14 are you saying that TSA at the airport --
15 A Yes.
16 Q -- pulled him -- you mean they pulled him -- not his
17 car over, but him over?
18 A Him over, that's right. That's right. Right after
19 we got off the flight, yes. It was in Hilo. That's right.
20 Q Is it because he had used this ID --
21 A Yes.
22 Q -- to pass through the security checkpoint?
23 A Yes that's right.
24 Q They had a problem with that?
25 A That's correct.

1 Q And Mr. Williams filed a lawsuit; is that correct?
2 A I don't know that. I don't know if he did or not.
3 I remember we talked about him doing that. I don't know if he
4 did or not.
5 Q Were you not a party the that lawsuit as well?
6 A Might have been because I authorized him to sign me
7 on as a party. So if he filed it, I certainly would have shown
8 up to defend what I -- my experience and relay the facts as a
9 witness in that case. And I was appalled because, again, from
10 my knowledge of the law, based on reading what Mr. Williams had
11 directed me to read and review, I found nothing wrong with this
12 identification, that TSA should not have subjected him to a
13 breach of his civil rights of travel, period.
14 Q Okay. So you think then it's okay for a private
15 citizen to dummy up their own credential, throw the Great Seal
16 of the United States of America on there, and then pass through
17 as if it's a U.S. document?
18 A Some frauds, scams, artists, no. But in this
19 particular case, I think that Mr. Williams had every right to
20 create this document to show it off as legitimate. And from my
21 understanding of the law from what I've read, he had every
22 right to do that and he's not to be charged with any crime.
23 Q And so he can self-ordain that he has sovereign
24 immunity; is that correct?
25 A Well, I think that that is -- I think that that's

1 correct from a spiritual perspective. I think that we're all
2 supposedly sovereigns in our own body temples, so I think from
3 that perspective again if you're looking at law, is it man's
4 law? Is it God's law? Is it scriptural? Or is it case law?
5 And I think that there's some question as to that.
6 Q Well, then you agree that anybody who feels this
7 particular calling can dummy up their own little credential and
8 then become above the law?
9 A And that they're going to get their karma.
10 Q Their karma?
11 A Yeah, absolutely. Judgment. Justice.
12 Q Okay. So --
13 A Righteousness is the same thing ultimately.
14 Q But you agree then that this is something that
15 private citizens can do?
16 A I believe that private citizens have the right to
17 defend against fraud in the community and a duty, in fact. A
18 public duty doctrine compels those of us who are brave enough
19 to stand against the beast, so to speak, to literally go up and
20 say, I hold myself up against you. I rebuke you, and I'm going
21 to do whatever it takes -- if I have to go to jail, if I have
22 to do whatever, be damaged, whatever, I am going to stand.
23 That's heroic.
24 Q So is TSA then the beast here that he's defeating?
25 THE COURT: So you need to move on. We've sort of

1 gone through this whole issue. So --

2 MR. SORENSON: That's all I got, Your Honor. Thank

3 you.

4 THE COURT: Thank you very much.

5 MR. SORENSON: I know you'll be happy to hear that.

6 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Sorenson.

7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, for redirect.

8 THE COURT: Yes.

9 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

10 BY THE DEFENDANT:

11 Q Dr. Horowitz, now he just triggered your memory

12 about the airplane flight?

13 A Yes.

14 Q Now, do you remember that we were in Kona when they

15 denied me?

16 MR. SORENSON: Objection to the leading.

17 THE WITNESS: Yes, now I do.

18 THE COURT: Wait, wait. All right. So objection's

19 overruled. It's foundational.

20 What's your next question.

21 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Okay. And so did we have to

22 drive that morning to Hilo?

23 A Yes.

24 Q And so I had to present my ID to the Hilo TSA,

25 correct?

1 A Yes.
2 Q And then they had -- then they did the checking?
3 A That's right. Thank you. Yes, I remember that now.
4 Q And so remember they had to call the FBI?
5 A That's right.
6 THE COURT: All right. Now you're leading him.
7 MR. SORENSON: Your Honor --
8 THE COURT: You can ask him what he remembers --
9 THE DEFENDANT: Okay.
10 THE COURT: -- but --
11 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Do you remember them calling
12 the FBI?
13 A I do.
14 Q And do you remember the FBI saying, Yes, his ID is
15 valid, let him on the plane?
16 A I did.
17 THE COURT: No, no. All right. So the last
18 question -- I mean, the last answer is stricken. You can't
19 lead him and you're asking him about hearsay. So you just need
20 to ask him what he remembers about what happened.
21 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Do you remember that the ID
22 was --
23 THE COURT: No, no, no. Not Do you remember this,
24 that, and the other thing. That's a yes or no. That's a
25 leading. That's --

1 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) What do you remember about my
2 ID being accepted?
3 THE COURT: Great.
4 THE WITNESS: I remember that you were very angry
5 and that you then pursued further authorities to judge whether
6 or not that was a legitimate ID. And subsequently I believe it
7 was the FBI or some other higher authority than TSA said, Yes,
8 you should allow him to go on this flight.
9 Q (BY MR. SORENSON:) And they allowed me to get on
10 the flight with my private attorney general ID?
11 A That's -- that's the best of my knowledge, yes.
12 THE DEFENDANT: And Defendant Exhibit 2086, please.
13 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) And do you remember me drafting
14 the lawsuit against the Kona TSA?
15 A I'm sorry, Mr. Williams. Vaguely.
16 Q I know it's been a while.
17 A I remember vaguely. I'm not sure if I'm on there.
18 I know I authorized you to put me on there.
19 Q Let's see. See that right there? Can you
20 look -- can you see on the screen?
21 THE COURT: If you look at the screen.
22 THE WITNESS: Oh, yes.
23 THE COURT: Take a look at it. Read it to yourself,
24 don't read it out loud, and see if that refreshes --
25 THE WITNESS: Yes.

1 THE COURT: -- your recollection.
2 THE WITNESS: Thank you. Yes, I do remember this
3 now, that's correct.
4 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Okay. I'm going to show you
5 the other part. Kind of read those statement of the facts.
6 MR. SORENSON: Your Honor, objection. This is not
7 in evidence.
8 THE DEFENDANT: I'm not publishing.
9 THE COURT: You're asking him to --
10 THE DEFENDANT: I'm asking him to read it right now.
11 THE COURT: You want to refresh his recollection?
12 THE DEFENDANT: Right.
13 THE COURT: He already testified he remembers this.
14 THE DEFENDANT: Well, this is more aspects of it
15 that --
16 THE COURT: You can ask him. If he doesn't
17 remember, he can look at it, but it's not to feed him
18 information and then you ask him questions. He says he
19 remembers it, so you just ask him what he can remember.
20 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) So you remember that at the
21 Kona TSA there was like --
22 MR. SORENSON: Objection. Leading.
23 THE COURT: Sustained. Okay.
24 So what did -- you want to ask him what he remembers in
25 Kona?

1 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Do you remember what Kona --
2 why they rejected my private attorney general ID at the Kona
3 airport?
4 A I don't recall specifics, but I remember that they
5 would not accept it as legitimate ID.
6 Q Right. And so do you remember me arguing with them
7 that all you have to do is call the FBI and verify it and we
8 can get this problem resolved?
9 A Yes, I remember that.
10 Q And did they refuse to call?
11 A Yes.
12 Q And they refused to allow me on the plane?
13 A That's correct.
14 Q And so we had to travel to Hilo?
15 MR. SORENSON: Objection again. Leading.
16 THE WITNESS: That's correct. That's right.
17 THE COURT: So there's an objection. Overruled. So
18 it's foundational.
19 But you're going over stuff you've already -- he's already
20 testified about Hilo and that you got on. So you need to move
21 to a different area 'cause we're just repeating the same thing
22 about the same incident.
23 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) So do you remember me telling
24 you about the TSA website and what's actually on the website?
25 A Yes.

1 THE COURT: Again, no. So move to a different area.
2 We've finished this thing with Hilo and the TSA. Do you have
3 any other areas that you want to ask him questions about?
4 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Yes. Do you remember when I
5 assisted you in Judge Elizabeth Strand's courtroom?
6 A Unfortunately it's a horrible memory, yes.
7 Q And do you remember before I would appear before any
8 judge, I would file a notice of appearance of common law
9 counsel?
10 A Yes, I do recall you did that.
11 Q And in this document, did I put a plethora of U.S.
12 Supreme Court cases that allows me to assist people in court?
13 A Yes.
14 Q And was *NAACP v. Button* one of those cases?
15 A Yes.
16 Q Do you remember the *Schware v. The Board of*
17 *Examiners* being one of the cases?
18 A Vaguely.
19 Q Do you remember the *Gideon v. Wainwright*?
20 MR. SORENSON: Your Honor, again leading.
21 THE COURT: All right. So it's foundational.
22 Overruled.
23 Okay. So your answer. Do you remember *Gideon v.* --
24 THE WITNESS: Yes.
25 THE COURT: -- *Wainwright*?

1 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Okay. Do you remember the --
2 THE COURT: No, I'm not going to have you recite the
3 whole thing.
4 THE DEFENDANT: Because the whole --
5 THE COURT: I understand what you're getting at, but
6 we're not going to sit here and listen to all the things that
7 you included in it. He has a general recollection of what you
8 filed, so you can ask him questions about his --
9 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) So you actually looked up these
10 case laws?
11 A I remember looking up a couple of them. I may not
12 have looked up all of them.
13 Q Okay. And the ones you looked up, did it authorize
14 someone like me to assist people in court?
15 MR. SORENSON: Objection to the legal opinion.
16 THE COURT: All right. Sustained. He's not going
17 to answer that question. He's not a lawyer. But you can ask
18 him what he observed.
19 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Saying when you read the case
20 law --
21 THE COURT: No. I'm not going to have him testify
22 about his interpretation of the case law. He's not qualified
23 as an expert in law. You can ask him about his experiences
24 with you or observing you in court.
25 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Now, he made such a big deal

1 about my private attorney general certification, and you
2 already testified that you saw that it was a Apostille by the
3 Secretary of State, correct?
4 A Yes.
5 Q And did it have the actual official Secretary of
6 State seal on there?
7 A Yes.
8 Q And you verified that?
9 A Yes.
10 Q Now, he said that I feel like I don't have to listen
11 to the judge if the judge ordered me to not assist people.
12 Now, it's your understanding, your experience with me --
13 THE COURT: Are you asking him if he heard that
14 question from Mr. Sorenson?
15 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Right. You remember that
16 question?
17 A Yes, I do.
18 Q Okay. So your experience with me is that if I feel
19 like it's a violation of the law --
20 MR. SORENSON: Objection. He can ask him what the
21 experience is, but I think he's trying to tell him.
22 THE COURT: So your objection is leading?
23 MR. SORENSON: Yes.
24 THE COURT: Sustained. So you need to ask him
25 open-ended questions 'cause he's your witness. You're

1 providing him as a witness to this court. So you can ask him
2 What was your experience? What did you see?
3 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) In your experience with me and
4 attorneys at law, who would you say is more competent in law?
5 A Uhm, I can't really answer that because I don't know
6 the other attorneys that you're referencing.
7 Q Well, the ones that you had hired.
8 A Oh, my goodness. Prior to Margaret Wille --
9 Q Right.
10 A -- I would say you were far more competent, far more
11 reliable, honest, and I'd been so heavily damaged and
12 unfortunately biased by all the damage that we have sustained
13 by attorneys who were grossly incompetent that for me, it's,
14 I'm sorry to say, sad to say.
15 Q And would you say the difference between me and
16 attorneys at law is that I --
17 MR. SORENSON: Objection. Leading.
18 THE COURT: Sustained. So you can ask him What do
19 you think the difference is between me and attorneys in law?
20 But you can't tell him the answer.
21 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Well, do you think the
22 difference is that I educate --
23 MR. SORENSON: Objection. Leading.
24 THE COURT: Sustained. Okay. So you can ask him,
25 you know, what he thinks the difference is or why he thinks

1 there's a difference. Those are open-ended questions.
2 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) So what do you think the
3 difference between how I assist these people and how attorneys
4 at law assist people?
5 A Your Honor, I don't want to give a lecture here.
6 THE COURT: Okay. Clearly it's an open-ended
7 question, so I'll cut of you off if you go too long.
8 THE WITNESS: You're a street fighter. You're not
9 educated in the academic exercise of going through law. That
10 should not, as a reasonable person, preclude you or me judging
11 you as incompetent or that you would no longer be potentially
12 able to help me or that I couldn't think of maybe researching
13 what you're telling me to research.
14 I would respect the fact that you have risked your neck
15 and everything and life to instruct me. And so if I were to
16 compare that and your training, having virtually, I presume,
17 come out of a ghetto, and presume having had a calling on your
18 life to research this, and that you've done a serious amount of
19 research and literature review and case law analysis, compared
20 to an academic training program of a bar member, licensed
21 attorney, I would say that you would potentially even be more
22 reliable because you're not a bar member. You're not part of
23 a, in my opinion, a corrupted judicial syndicate.
24 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
25 Next question.

1 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Do you remember the Judge
2 Richard Lee that hired me?
3 A I don't recall Richard Lee that hired you. A judge
4 Richard Lee?
5 Q Yes.
6 A I'm sorry. I don't remember that.
7 Q You remember Hep Guinn, right?
8 A I remember Hep Guinn.
9 Q What was your experience with Hep Guinn?
10 A She stole $2800.
11 MR. SORENSON: Your Honor, this is beyond the scope.
12 THE COURT: All right. It is. So --
13 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) So did any of the attorneys
14 that you hired, did they encourage you to do research the way I
15 did?
16 A Only Margaret Wille.
17 Q So the other six did not encourage you to do any
18 type of research?
19 A That's correct.
20 Q Now, you've viewed many of my YouTube videos; is
21 that correct?
22 A I'd say half a dozen.
23 Q So my YouTube videos you saw me actually in court in
24 front of plenty of judges assisting people, correct?
25 MR. SORENSON: Objection. Leading.

1 THE COURT: Overruled. Foundational.
2 So did you, yes or no, see him in court?
3 THE WITNESS: I saw you in court, yes, on couple of
4 occasions.
5 THE COURT: Nonleading from here on in.
6 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) And did I ever state in front
7 of that judge --
8 MR. SORENSON: Objection. Leading.
9 THE COURT: Sustained. Now you got to ask
10 open-ended questions, what he thought of it, what did he think.
11 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Did I ever misrepresent myself
12 in front of that judge as a bar member?
13 A Never. The opposite. You told them straight out
14 that you were not.
15 Q Right. And did I always recite the U.S. Supreme
16 Court rulings that gave me the authority?
17 MR. SORENSON: Leading.
18 THE WITNESS: Yes.
19 THE COURT: Wait. So it is leading. It's
20 sustained. The jury's to disregard the answer to the last
21 question.
22 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) What did I recite to the judge
23 to give him the knowledge that I had authority to assist
24 people?
25 A Case law.

1 Q And was this case law something that you actually
2 researched yourself?
3 MR. SORENSON: Objection. This is asked and
4 answered and gone over a few times.
5 THE COURT: All right. Well, overruled. So he's
6 asking about the case law that was represented in the YouTube
7 videos.
8 All right. So your answer is?
9 THE WITNESS: My answer is yes, verified from my
10 research based on your citations of case law that you were
11 correct.
12 THE COURT: All right.
13 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) And so your whole experience
14 with me is that I'm very thorough in law?
15 MR. SORENSON: Objection. Leading.
16 THE COURT: Yes. So what is your experience with
17 me?
18 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) So what has your experience
19 been overall with me, Dr. Horowitz, in reference to me citing
20 case law and the law and me operating in and out of the
21 courtroom?
22 A That you're an intelligent, passionate victim of
23 organized crime that has persecuted you and prevented you from
24 having a life.
25 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you. I have no more

1 questions.

2 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much,

3 Dr. Horowitz. You're excused as a witnesses. Good day to you.

4 Please don't discuss your testimony with anyone until after the

5 trial. All right. Thank you.

6 Oh, yes, and we'll give this back to you, your notes.

7 Thank you.

8 Do you have another witness?

9 THE DEFENDANT: Rosy Thomas.

10 **ROSY ESPRECION THOMAS, DEFENDANT'S WITNESS, WAS SWORN**

11 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: Please be seated.

12 State your full name and please spell your last name, and

13 speak into the microphone.

14 THE WITNESS: My name is Rosy Esprecion Thomas,

15 Esprecion spelled E-s-p, as in Paul, r-e-c-i-o-n.

16 THE COURTROOM MANAGER: Thank you.

17 THE WITNESS: Thomas, T-h-o-m-a-s.

18 THE COURT: All right. Your witness, Mr. Williams.

19 DIRECT EXAMINATION

20 BY THE DEFENDANT:

21 Q Ms. Thomas, where were you born?

22 A May 23, 1956.

23 Q And where were you born?

24 A Philippines.

25 Q And in the Philippines, did you attend school?

1 A Yes.
2 Q Did you graduate high school?
3 A No, not there.
4 Q You graduated high school here?
5 A Yes.
6 Q And did you go to college?
7 A Yes.
8 Q And did you get a college degree?
9 A Yes.
10 Q And so in the Philippines, did you learn to read,
11 write, and understand English?
12 A Yes.
13 Q And is that the normal curriculum for Filipino
14 children when they go to school, to learn to read, write and
15 understand English?
16 A Yes.
17 Q And so how did you come to meet me, Ms. Thomas?
18 A I was introduced to you back in 2013 by Mary Jean
19 Castillo.
20 Q Okay. And what did Ms. Castillo tell you that I
21 could do?
22 A That you could help me with my foreclosure.
23 Q Okay. And so you were already in foreclosure when
24 you met me?
25 A Yes.

1 Q And the bank had already got a judgment, correct?
2 A Yes.
3 Q And so when you came to me, you came to me for me to
4 help you stay in your home and fight the foreclosure, correct?
5 A Yes.
6 Q And did you ever -- did you ever have to hire an
7 attorney at law before?
8 A No.
9 Q Before then?
10 A No.
11 Q Okay. And when you visited my office, did you see
12 other clients that came to the office?
13 A Yes.
14 Q And did I ever tell you I was an attorney at law?
15 A No.
16 Q Did I --
17 MR. YATES: Objection at this point, Your Honor.
18 Leading.
19 THE COURT: All right. Overruled. It's
20 foundational.
21 Next question.
22 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Did I ever tell you that I was
23 a licensed attorney?
24 A No.
25 Q Did I tell you that I was a private attorney

1 general?
2 A Yes.
3 Q And did I explain the difference between a private
4 attorney general and an attorney at law?
5 A Yes.
6 Q And did I ever -- did I ever say I ever wanted to be
7 an attorney at law?
8 A No.
9 Q Was I emphatic that I did not want to be one?
10 A Yes.
11 Q Is this what I told other clients in your presence?
12 A Yes.
13 Q Did I ever mislead you or any of the clients in your
14 presence what I could do for them?
15 A No.
16 Q And you know a lot of the Filipino clients that I
17 have here in Hawaii, correct?
18 A Yes.
19 Q And was there any Filipino client that did not
20 understand English or did not understand my program and what I
21 was explaining to them?
22 A No.
23 Q And so was I very detailed when I explained the
24 application to each client?
25 A Yes.

1 Q And would I stay in the office late?
2 A Yes.
3 MR. YATES: Objection, Your Honor. At this point
4 we're still leading.
5 THE COURT: All right. So it's overruled 'cause
6 it's foundational, but then you now need to ask her how does
7 she know this, what did she observe.
8 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) How -- did you physically see
9 me in the office working late?
10 A Yes.
11 Q Approximately about what time did you see personally
12 I was still at the office working on people's cases?
13 A After 2:00 A.M.
14 Q 2:00 A.M. in the morning?
15 A Yes.
16 Q And would I work very extensively to protect
17 people's homes?
18 A Yes.
19 Q Now, do you remember signing -- referring a few
20 people to the MEI program?
21 A Yes.
22 Q And you remember the way I explained the program to
23 them?
24 A Yes.
25 Q And did everybody have to sign the MEI application?

1 A Yes.
2 Q And part of that --
3 MR. YATES: We're still leading.
4 THE COURT: All right. So overruled. It's
5 foundational.
6 Now you're going to ask about the MEI application.
7 THE DEFENDANT: Right.
8 THE COURT: All right.
9 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) So in the MEI application is
10 there a form called a foreclosure disclosure?
11 A Yes.
12 Q This is Exhibit 852, this is the government's
13 exhibit. And do you recognize that form, Ms. Thomas?
14 A Yes.
15 Q And that's a standard form for every client that
16 signed the MEI application, correct?
17 A That's correct.
18 Q And --
19 THE COURT: Okay. Let me just ask how do you know
20 that's the standard form?
21 THE WITNESS: I signed one.
22 THE COURT: Okay. So you recognize it 'cause
23 it's -- 'cause you signed one?
24 THE WITNESS: Yes.
25 THE COURT: Okay.

1 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Is that your signature --
2 THE COURT: No. But when you say standard form, did
3 you see other people sign it?
4 THE WITNESS: Yes.
5 THE COURT: And you read the same paperwork?
6 THE WITNESS: Yes.
7 THE COURT: How many people did you read that had
8 that same paperwork?
9 THE WITNESS: At least five.
10 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So what are you going
11 to ask her about it? If she recognizes her signature?
12 THE DEFENDANT: Right.
13 THE COURT: Okay.
14 THE WITNESS: Yes, that's my signature.
15 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) And you recognize that in this
16 document it's stating that if a client is in foreclosure --
17 THE COURT: No, no, you can't read it. You can ask
18 a question about it.
19 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. But I'd like to enter it as
20 evidence.
21 THE COURT: Is it already in evidence or no?
22 MR. YATES: It's not in evidence, but we don't
23 object to the admission of this document.
24 THE COURT: So 852 is received. Do you wish to
25 publish?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
2 (Exhibit 852 received into evidence.)
3 THE COURT: So again, you can't read it into
4 evidence, but you can ask her her understanding or whatever you
5 want on that.
6 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Okay. So your understanding of
7 this foreclosure disclosure was that --
8 THE COURT: No, that's leading. Ask her what --
9 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Well, was your understanding
10 that Common Law Office of America could make no guarantees --
11 THE COURT: Okay. Stop. You can't give her the
12 answer. You have to ask her what her understanding is 'cause
13 she's your witness. So you can ask her an open-ended, "What
14 did you understand this to be?" or whatever you want to ask
15 her.
16 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Well, what did you understand
17 the foreclosure disclosure terms and conditions to be?
18 A That because I'm already on foreclosure that it's
19 not guaranteed that you would be able to win my case.
20 Q And is this a form you saw other clients sign?
21 A Yes.
22 Q Is this also a form that you saw other clients sign?
23 A Yes.
24 Q And that's the power of attorney form?
25 A Yes.

1 Q And can you see it says at the top Tennessee Code
2 Annotated Section 34-6-101, 34-6-111?
3 A Uh-huh.
4 Q And was that on all the power of attorney forms that
5 you saw, the ones you saw?
6 A Yes.
7 Q Okay. And what did you understand that by you and
8 clients giving me power of attorney that I was able to do for
9 you?
10 MR. YATES: Objection, Your Honor. I'm going to
11 note that this document is not yet in evidence.
12 THE COURT: I'm sorry. I didn't --
13 MR. YATES: This document is not yet in evidence.
14 THE COURT: Okay.
15 THE DEFENDANT: It's the same document.
16 THE COURT: What --
17 MR. YATES: 853.
18 THE COURT: You're looking at -- that's Exhibit 853.
19 We're in 852.
20 THE DEFENDANT: Oh, okay. Well, I'd like to enter
21 in as evidence.
22 THE COURT: All right. So he's seeking receipt of
23 Exhibit 852. Is there any objection?
24 MR. YATES: Oh, 852 is already admitted, Your Honor.
25 I believe he's now referring to 853.

1 THE DEFENDANT: 853.
2 THE COURT: I'm sorry. 853.
3 MR. YATES: We don't object to its admission.
4 THE COURT: 853 is received.
5 (Exhibit 853 received into evidence.)
6 THE DEFENDANT: I'd like to publish.
7 THE COURT: You may.
8 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Okay. And so this power of
9 attorney had both the Tennessee Code Annotated and also the
10 Hawaii Revised Statute on it that you saw, correct?
11 A Yes.
12 Q And this was a standard form for all the clients
13 that you saw personally sign this form?
14 A Yes.
15 Q And who's this person on this form right here?
16 A Brigida Esprecion Chock. She's my sister.
17 Q So you felt comfortable enough to have your sister
18 sign up for my service?
19 A Yes.
20 Q Okay. And what did you expect for me to do for you
21 and your sister, Ms. Thomas?
22 A I have given you my power of attorney to fight for
23 my foreclosure.
24 Q Okay. And so did you understand that with you being
25 in foreclosure, you didn't qualify for the half reduction and

1 the guarantee?
2 MR. YATES: Objection. Leading.
3 THE COURT: All right. Overruled. It's
4 foundational.
5 Is that what your understanding was, Ms. Thomas?
6 THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question?
7 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Did you understand that with
8 you being in foreclosure, you didn't qualify for the guarantee
9 that was guaranteeing the people that weren't in foreclosure?
10 A Yes.
11 Q Okay. And did you physically see me explain this to
12 other clients?
13 A Yes.
14 Q So if there's a client that said I didn't explain
15 that, would they be telling the truth?
16 THE COURT: Well, okay --
17 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) -- from the clients that you
18 saw?
19 THE COURT: Yeah, so she can testify about what her
20 understanding was, what she saw and her -- she can't testify as
21 to how other people understood. All right? So not going to
22 let you answer that question, but you can ask another question.
23 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Well, did I explain this fact
24 to the clients in your presence?
25 THE COURT: Asked and answered. She said, "Yes."

1 So what's your next question?
2 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Did you know Henry Malinay?
3 A Yes.
4 Q And how did you know Henry Malinay?
5 A He is in my insurance agent. He's one of the
6 members -- his wife is one of the members, so I see him at our
7 meetings.
8 Q Okay. And do you remember what Mr. Malinay was
9 doing behind my back?
10 A I was -- I was made aware of it, yes.
11 Q And were you also made aware that Edna Franco was
12 also with him?
13 A I don't know of Edna Franco personally. I have not
14 met that woman before.
15 Q Okay.
16 A It's just her name came up with his name and that's
17 what I know about her.
18 Q Okay. And what about Anabel Cabebe?
19 A Yes, I know her.
20 Q And did you find out later what they had did to me?
21 A Yes.
22 Q And what did they do to me?
23 MR. YATES: Objection. Lacks personal knowledge.
24 THE COURT: Overruled. She just said she did find
25 out what -- okay.

1 So what's your understanding?
2 THE WITNESS: So from calling up some of the
3 clients, because I was given a list of your clients from Mary
4 Jean Castillo, this is while you were incarcerated, and as
5 I -- I was given that assignment because Mary Jean and her
6 friend, Mel, said that I'm a woman, I could speak three
7 Filipino dialects, and if I call this clients, this list of
8 clients, they would -- they would more likely to cooperate with
9 me if I do ask questions as to what's going on. So that's what
10 I have done.
11 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) And with talking with those
12 clients, what did the clients tell you that Henry and Anabel
13 had did to them?
14 A That these clients were paying Henry --
15 MR. YATES: Objection. Hearsay.
16 THE COURT: Sustained. What did she understand
17 after she talked to the clients?
18 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) After you talked to the
19 clients, what did they reveal to you?
20 MR. YATES: Objection. Hearsay.
21 THE COURT: Sustained.
22 So after you talked to these clients, did you come to an
23 understanding as to what Mr. Malinay and/or Ms. Franco did?
24 THE WITNESS: These clients told me themselves --
25 MR. YATES: Objection. Hearsay.

1 THE COURT: All right. You can't answer what they
2 told you. After you talked to them, did you come to an
3 understanding as to what happened?
4 THE WITNESS: Yes.
5 THE COURT: Okay. What was your understanding?
6 THE WITNESS: My understanding was that these
7 clients paid cash to Mr. Malinay, and I asked them how much
8 they were paying him, and some of them were saying they were
9 paying $10,000 -- 10,000, $15,000 to $20,000 cash to
10 Mr. Malinay.
11 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) And would he give them a
12 receipt?
13 A No.
14 Q And so do you remember before I was incarcerated
15 that I had fired all of them 'cause of what the clients had
16 complained to me about?
17 A Yes.
18 Q And so when I went to jail unlawfully, do you
19 remember the time I went?
20 THE COURT: Wait. What question do you want her to
21 answer? Do you remember --
22 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Yeah. Do you remember the time
23 frame I went to jail?
24 A The first time --
25 Q Yes.

1 A -- you were in jail?
2 Q Yes.
3 A I think that was back in 2013.
4 Q And do you remember the date that I got the case
5 dismissed?
6 A I don't remember.
7 THE DEFENDANT: Exhibit 2168. It's already entered
8 into evidence. I'd like to publish it.
9 MR. YATES: May we have a page number?
10 THE DEFENDANT: 2168-01 and -02, -03, -04, and -05.
11 I'm going to circle --
12 THE COURT: Just going to wait for Mr. Yates.
13 THE DEFENDANT: Okay.
14 MR. YATES: I've got it.
15 THE COURT: You have it. You're with us, Mr. Yates?
16 All right. You may publish.
17 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Okay. And so, Ms. Thomas, can
18 you see where I got the date underlined?
19 A Yes.
20 Q And is that September 2014?
21 A Correct.
22 Q And do you see "Hereby nol prossed"?
23 A Where that is at?
24 Q I'm underlining it.
25 A Okay.

1 Q Do you understand that that means the case was
2 dismissed?
3 A Yes.
4 Q Okay. And so while I was incarcerated, were these
5 people continuing to do these things that the other clients had
6 told you about?
7 A Yes.
8 Q And did they -- were they telling people they were
9 still working for me when they were scamming these people?
10 MR. YATES: Objection. Hearsay.
11 THE COURT: Sustained.
12 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) So based on your investigation
13 in speaking with these clients, what did your understanding of
14 what was still happening while I was incarcerated?
15 MR. YATES: Objection. Still hearsay.
16 THE COURT: Overruled.
17 What did you understand happened while Mr. Williams was
18 incarcerated?
19 THE WITNESS: My understanding is that Henry
20 Malinay, Edna Franco, Anabel Cabebe, Rowena Valdez, they're all
21 still doing what you were supposed to be doing for the clients
22 behind your back.
23 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) And did they set up another
24 company, named it similar to mine?
25 A Yes.

1 Q And -- but were they telling people they were still
2 employed by me?
3 THE COURT: All right.
4 MR. YATES: Objection. Hearsay.
5 THE COURT: Yeah, sustained.
6 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Were they still employed by me?
7 A Yes.
8 Q I'm sorry -- after I went to jail?
9 A Yes.
10 Q Edna and Henry?
11 A Yes.
12 Q Do you remember when I fired them?
13 A I don't remember.
14 Q Okay. So do you remember how upset I was after
15 hearing what they had did?
16 A Yes.
17 Q And so do you remember you and Mel had to go around
18 to the clients --
19 A Oh, yes, yes.
20 Q -- to try to have them to get another way of helping
21 them because I couldn't 'cause I was locked up?
22 A Yes. We did that for several months.
23 Q Okay. And so did some of the people were able to
24 find some type of remedy or try to find somebody else to help
25 them, or no?

1 A No.
2 Q Okay. So because of my incarceration, a lot of
3 people wasn't able to be helped?
4 A Correct.
5 Q Now, after I won my case and came back to Hawaii,
6 did I still assist the people?
7 A Yes.
8 Q Do you have direct knowledge of people I assisted
9 that did not pay me?
10 A Yes.
11 Q And would I still assist people if they didn't pay
12 me?
13 A Yes.
14 MR. YATES: Objection. Leading, Your Honor.
15 THE COURT: It's foundational. Overruled.
16 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Now, when I would draft motions
17 on your behalf, would you read the motions that I would file on
18 your behalf?
19 A Yes.
20 Q And in your opinion, would you say the motion was
21 very comprehensive?
22 A Very.
23 Q I'ma show you Exhibit 2189. Now, is that one of the
24 documents I drafted for you, Ms. Thomas?
25 A Yes.

1 Q And what is this document?
2 MR. YATES: I'm sorry, Your Honor. We don't have
3 that document. One moment.
4 THE DEFENDANT: Exhibit 2189.
5 THE COURT: All right. It hasn't been provided to
6 the government, so ask another area.
7 MR. ISAACSON: Your Honor, it has been, it has been.
8 THE COURT: Okay.
9 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, they have it.
10 THE COURT: Okay. All right. It's before the
11 witness.
12 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Okay. Is that one of the
13 documents I drafted on your behalf, Ms. Thomas?
14 A Yes.
15 Q Is that your signature, Ms. Thomas?
16 A Yes.
17 THE DEFENDANT: I'd like to enter this into
18 evidence.
19 THE COURT: Any objection?
20 MR. YATES: We object, Your Honor. This is hearsay
21 and it's not clear the direct relevance to this mattered.
22 THE COURT: Well, I agree the relevance is marginal,
23 but --
24 THE DEFENDANT: Well --
25 THE COURT: -- I'm going to allow it in and allow

1 some questioning. But, you know, I'm not persuaded it's all
2 that relevant, Mr. Williams. So I'll let you ask some
3 questions about it, but then you have to move on.
4 All right. It's received. Do you want it published?
5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
6 THE COURT: Okay.
7 (Exhibit 2189 received into evidence.)
8 MR. YATES: Also, one moment, Your Honor. I assume
9 that Mr. Williams is only referring --
10 THE COURT: We can't hear you.
11 MR. YATES: I assume that Mr. Williams is only
12 referring to 2189. These aren't numbered by page number, but
13 we have a large stack of documents. Only the first five or so
14 appear to be the filing.
15 THE COURT: Right. So I'm going to accept all of
16 them 'cause they all appear to relate -- purportedly filed by
17 Ms. Thomas. So I'll receive all of them --
18 MR. YATES: Okay.
19 THE COURT: -- in evidence over your objection.
20 MR. YATES: Okay. Yes, Your Honor.
21 THE COURT: So Exhibit 2189, do you want to publish?
22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
23 THE COURT: All right. You may publish.
24 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Okay. Ms. Thomas, this was an
25 appeal I had filed on your behalf, correct?

1 A Yes.
2 Q And you were fighting against the mortgage company
3 Green Tree Servicing?
4 A Correct.
5 Q And you had been in foreclosure since what? 2013?
6 A Yes.
7 Q Okay. And so with me intervening, how long were you
8 able to stay in this house with me fighting this foreclosure
9 after you had already got a judgment in 2013?
10 A From 2013 to last year, March 29, 2019.
11 Q So I was able to keep you in your house for six
12 years fighting this foreclosure?
13 A Yes.
14 Q Okay. And what happened when I got locked up to
15 your house?
16 A I couldn't find anyone to help me with my
17 foreclosure. Take that back. I was able to find two, but they
18 were not able to do anything for me whatsoever.
19 Q And was one of the people you hired an attorney at
20 law?
21 A He's not considered as attorney at law.
22 Q And who was he?
23 A This is Dr. Keanu Sai.
24 Q And how much did he charge you?
25 A I paid 4,500.

1 Q Okay. And so after I came back to Hawaii, did you
2 tell my mom that you was happy that I was at least here?
3 THE COURT: So you can't give her the answer. You
4 can ask her, "What did I tell my mother -- What did you tell my
5 mother?"
6 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Well, what did you tell my
7 mother about me finally coming to Hawaii?
8 A Thank God you're back, that you could help me again
9 with my foreclosure.
10 Q Okay. And so your mom -- you and your mom's house
11 is in foreclosure, correct?
12 A Yes.
13 Q And so have I still been assisting you even though
14 I'm incarcerated?
15 A Yes.
16 Q And so one of these documents -- is one of these
17 documents documents that I filed while incarcerated?
18 A Yes.
19 Q And so how would I draft these documents for you?
20 A You drafted them while you're inside FDC, Federal
21 Detention Center, you mail it to me, I finalize it. You give
22 me instruction on what to do, finalize everything. We type
23 everything and I submit it to the court.
24 Q Okay. And is this case still in appeals?
25 A Yes.

1 Q And did the judge in this case try to file a motion
2 to strike so she couldn't be a part of the lawsuit?
3 A Yes.
4 Q Did the judge deny her motion?
5 A Yes.
6 MR. YATES: Objection. Hearsay and relevance.
7 THE COURT: All right. So -- well, she's asked for
8 her understanding, so it's overruled with regard to hearsay.
9 I am in agreement about the relevance. We need to move on
10 from this issue.
11 THE DEFENDANT: Well, the --
12 THE COURT: No, go to another area. She's already
13 testified about her lawsuit. Her lawsuit doesn't have to do
14 with these --
15 THE DEFENDANT: Well, it's dealing with the 44
16 foreclosure and how I assist people. This is my defense.
17 THE COURT: No, she's already told you how you've
18 helped her, so move on because what happened and who got sued
19 and so forth has nothing to do with the issues in this case.
20 So ask her questions in a different area.
21 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) So the way my Mortgage
22 Enterprise Investments company from your experience with me,
23 Ms. Thomas, was I straightforward with all the clients?
24 A Yes.
25 Q Was I straightforward with you?

1 A Yes.
2 Q Did I take advantage of you or any other Filipino?
3 MR. YATES: Objection. Leading.
4 THE COURT: Sustained.
5 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) In your opinion, did I take
6 advantage of anyone?
7 MR. YATES: Objection. Leading.
8 THE COURT: Sustained.
9 All right. Do you have any opinion about Mr. Williams in
10 terms of Mortgage Enterprise Investments?
11 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
12 THE COURT: What's your opinion?
13 THE WITNESS: My opinion is this man is -- he has
14 the highest integrity. He -- and what his intention is to help
15 us people with foreclosure problems and he will do anything and
16 everything for us to help us regardless we can pay him or not.
17 THE COURT: All right. What's your next question?
18 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Ms. Thomas, did I assist any of
19 your other family members with any type of legal issues?
20 A Yes.
21 Q Did they have to pay me?
22 A No.
23 Q Did I express that if they had anything, I would do
24 it for free?
25 A Yes.

1 Q And is that the normal -- my normal practice? If
2 people couldn't pay, I still would work for free?
3 A Yes.
4 MR. YATES: Objection. Lacks foundation and
5 hearsay.
6 THE COURT: All right. So --
7 THE DEFENDANT: She --
8 THE COURT: -- sustained. So the jury is to
9 disregard her last answer.
10 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) In your experience with me,
11 Ms. Thomas, I had to file numerous documents to fight your
12 foreclosure, correct?
13 A That's correct.
14 Q Do you remember a document called a qualified
15 written request?
16 A Yes.
17 Q Is that a copy of one of the documents I would send?
18 A Yes.
19 MR. YATES: Can I get an exhibit number?
20 THE COURT: Is there an exhibit number?
21 THE DEFENDANT: I think it's within 153. I think
22 it's part of the 853. I just took it out of the document.
23 THE COURT: Okay. So anyway, I'm not going to admit
24 it into evidence. Is there some question you want to ask her
25 about it, though? Because it's not relevant to the issues in

1 this case and it also contains hearsay and so forth. So --
2 THE DEFENDANT: Well, it goes to my process --
3 THE COURT: No. So I'm not going to let it in. You
4 can put it on the record after we excuse the jury, but what
5 questions do you have for this witness?
6 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Did you see any of the videos
7 that I posted on YouTube about my work in the courtroom?
8 A Yes.
9 Q And did you see the videos where I would go to the
10 law enforcement agencies like the FBI and make complaints
11 against the bank and the fraud that was being committed against
12 homeowners?
13 A Yes.
14 Q And so were you confident that I would protect your
15 property interest to the fullest extent of the law?
16 A Yes.
17 Q And when you worked with Mary Jean Castillo, did
18 you -- was the normal practice to send any of my documents to
19 the FBI?
20 A Yes.
21 Q And so my practice was to be transparent?
22 A Yes.
23 MR. YATES: Objection. Leading.
24 THE COURT: Sustained.
25 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) What did I tell you why I would

1 send my documents to the FBI?
2 A You wanted to make sure that you're not committing
3 any -- any kind of fraud.
4 Q And so I would do this with every client's document?
5 A Yes.
6 Q So is the company Mortgage Enterprise Investments a
7 different company than Mortgage Enterprise?
8 A Yes.
9 Q Who owns Mortgage Enterprise?
10 A As far as I know, it was Henry, Edna, Anabel,
11 Rowena, and there's one more person, Angie Pasion.
12 Q Okay. And so according to your understanding, did
13 they have authorization to forge my documents?
14 A No.
15 Q Did they have authorization to say they
16 worked -- still worked for me when they didn't?
17 A No.
18 Q And so were they the ones that scammed the people
19 here in Hawaii?
20 A Definitely.
21 MR. YATES: Objection --
22 THE COURT: I'm sorry. What's your objection?
23 MR. YATES: Objection. Leading and calls for
24 speculation.
25 THE COURT: Sustained. The jury is to disregard her

1 last answer.
2 You need to ask an open-ended question.
3 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Okay. Who were the people that
4 actually scammed the Filipino homeowners in Hawaii?
5 A Henry Malinay.
6 MR. YATES: Objection. Vague and ambiguous as to
7 homeowners and clients.
8 THE COURT: Okay. Sustained. So just ask her does
9 she have any understanding about a scam and then who -- what's
10 her understanding. Okay?
11 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Do you remember the OCP --
12 filing complaints that was complaints filed in the OCP?
13 A Yes.
14 Q And do you remember who those complaints were filed
15 against?
16 A Yes.
17 Q And who were those complaints filed against?
18 A Edna Franco, Henry Malinay, Angie Pasion, Rowena
19 Valdez, and Anabel Cabebe.
20 Q And were any of those complaints filed against me?
21 A No.
22 Q And did you personally go onto the Better Business
23 Bureau website?
24 A Yes.
25 Q And did you look up my company?

1 A Yes.
2 Q And what was my Better Business Bureau rating?
3 A A-plus.
4 Q And did you also look it up in another state my
5 Better Business Bureau rating?
6 A Yes.
7 Q And what was my Better Business Bureau rating?
8 A A-plus.
9 Q When was the last time you went onto the Better
10 Business Bureau website?
11 A Last year.
12 Q And what was my Better Business Bureau rating?
13 A A-plus.
14 Q So when you looked online, I still had no complaints
15 against my companies, correct?
16 A Correct.
17 Q And do you know anybody in the Filipino community
18 that made a complaint against me?
19 A No.
20 Q And all the complaints that you know of were made
21 against Henry Malinay, Edna Franco, Rowena Valdez, and Anabel
22 Cabebe?
23 A Yes.
24 Q So when they -- if they said that I was the one that
25 was scamming people, would you agree with that?

1 A No.
2 MR. YATES: Objection. Hearsay. Objection.
3 Leading.
4 THE COURT: Sustained. The jury is to disregard her
5 last answer.
6 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) So did you ever see me parade
7 around my sovereign peace officer badge and say, "Hey, I'm a
8 sovereign peace officer. I can't be arrested"?
9 A No.
10 Q When I explain to people what a private attorney
11 general is, did I always direct them to look it up?
12 A Yes.
13 Q And did I direct them to look at my videos?
14 A Yes.
15 Q Did I direct them to actually look up the website?
16 A Yes.
17 Q So no client that you saw, or you, was entering into
18 a contract that was not knowingly?
19 A Correct.
20 Q So you understood that I was fighting your
21 foreclosure and I was going to assist you?
22 A Yes.
23 Q And that if you couldn't pay, I would still work for
24 you?
25 A Yes.

1 THE DEFENDANT: I have no more questions.
2 THE COURT: All right. Your witness, Mr. Yates.
3 MR. YATES: Yes, Your Honor.
4 CROSS-EXAMINATION
5 BY MR. YATES:
6 Q Ms. Thomas -- I'm sorry -- do you go by Ms. Thomas
7 or Ms. Esprecion?
8 A Either/or.
9 Q Okay. I see that your name is listed as Ms. Thomas;
10 I'll refer to you as such.
11 Now, I believe you testified that Mr. Williams was
12 assisting you with the -- with your foreclosure; is that
13 correct?
14 A Yes.
15 Q He was also assisting you with numerous other
16 matters; is that correct?
17 A Such as?
18 Q Well, I understand that you had some tax issues; is
19 that correct?
20 A Yes.
21 Q And there was a substantial tax lien that was on
22 your property?
23 A Yes.
24 Q And Mr. Williams was representing you with respect
25 to that; is that correct?

1 A Yes.
2 Q And you have a very close relationship with
3 Mr. Williams; is that right?
4 A Yes.
5 Q In fact, you would communicate with Mr. Williams on
6 a fairly regular basis; is that right?
7 A Yes.
8 Q And still do?
9 A Yes.
10 Q Weekly?
11 A Yes.
12 Q More than weekly, correct?
13 A Yes.
14 Q Okay. You would consider him a friend?
15 A Yes.
16 Q You would consider him family, correct?
17 A Yes.
18 Q In fact, I believe you testified that you were aware
19 in 2013 Mr. Williams went away to the mainland for some
20 indefinite period. Do you recall that?
21 A Not indefinite period. I don't recall that.
22 Q Okay. But he was sent to the mainland
23 involuntarily; is that right?
24 A Yes.
25 Q All right. And while he was away, his son was in

town; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Okay. And you were his son's caregiver; is that correct?

A No.

Q Okay. But his son stayed with you; is that right?

A No.

THE DEFENDANT: Objection. This is beyond the scope.

MR. YATES: Bias interest in motive.

THE COURT: So -- so, yeah. Overruled.

So he's asking questions that goes to her knowledge of you during that -- especially during that period of time that you questioned her about.

So what's your next question? Overruled.

MR. YATES: And bias interest in motive.

THE COURT: Yes.

Q (BY MR. YATES:) And, in fact, you've assisted Mr. Williams with this prosecution; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q You've helped him with getting legal materials; is that right?

A Yes.

Q And, in fact, you've helped procure witnesses for this -- for his defense; is that right?

1 A Would you explain that again?
2 Q I'll re-ask the question. Ms. Brittany Esprecion
3 Lucas, are you familiar with that person?
4 A Yes.
5 Q And that's a relative of yours, correct?
6 A She's my niece.
7 Q And did you speak with your niece about testifying
8 in Mr. Williams's defense?
9 A Yes.
10 Q So you're here to support Mr. Williams; is that
11 right?
12 A Yes.
13 Q Now, I believe you testified that you were a client
14 of MEI; is that right?
15 A Yes.
16 Q But you only signed up for the foreclosure
17 assistance program; is that right?
18 A Yes.
19 Q You did not have any involvement, personal
20 involvement, with Mr. Williams's mortgage reduction program; is
21 that right?
22 A Would you --
23 Q I'll re-ask that question. You were involved
24 in -- or excuse me. I'll withdraw that.
25 You had retained Mr. Williams to help you stop

1 foreclosure on your house; is that right?
2 A That's correct.
3 Q But you did not retain Mr. Williams to reduce your
4 mortgage obligations; is that right?
5 A Could you reword that question? I don't understand
6 what you're trying to ask.
7 Q Did you --
8 THE COURT: Okay. So is your monthly payments --
9 did you sign up with Mr. Williams to help you lower your
10 monthly payments on your mortgage?
11 THE WITNESS: That came with the program. That's
12 standard.
13 Q (BY MR. YATES:) And so did Mr. Williams represent
14 to you that he could cut your mortgage payments in half?
15 A Yes.
16 Q And did Mr. Williams represent to you that he could
17 take over your mortgage from the bank?
18 A Can you re-ask me that question again, please?
19 Q Did Mr. Williams tell you that he could take over
20 your mortgage from the bank?
21 A Yes.
22 Q And that MEI would take over that mortgage, correct?
23 A Yes.
24 Q Okay. And that he would discharge your mortgage
25 obligation with your current lender; is that right?

1 A Yes.
2 Q Okay. And Mr. Williams told you that he could
3 represent you in court; is that right?
4 A Yes.
5 Q And he told you that he could represent you in your
6 foreclosure; is that right?
7 A Yes.
8 Q Now, did you pay Mr. Williams and MEI for the --
9 A Yes.
10 Q -- services? On a monthly basis, correct?
11 A No.
12 Q Okay. But you did pay Anthony Williams?
13 A The application fee.
14 Q Application fee? And then you made a check -- you
15 wrote out a check?
16 A Money order.
17 Q Money order. Okay. To MEI?
18 A Yes.
19 Q But you eventually -- I take that back.
20 Now, I believe you had testified, Ms. Thomas, that
21 you had referred some people to the MEI program; is that right?
22 A That's right.
23 Q I believe you mentioned Ms. Chock; is that right?
24 A Yes.
25 Q But you also referred other people to the MEI

1 program; is that correct?
2 A Yes.
3 MR. YATES: Can I have Exhibit 851? Could I have
4 the monitor put over to the VGA? Oh, it's not yet. We're
5 going to lay the foundation.
6 Q (BY MR. YATES:) So, Ms. Thomas, on your screen
7 you've got in front of you what's been marked as Exhibit 851.
8 Can you see at the bottom of that screen your signature?
9 A Yes.
10 Q Is that your signature?
11 A Yes.
12 Q All right. I'm going to flip through some pages,
13 and I know that you don't have a copy of that in front of you,
14 so we'll have to do this one at the time.
15 THE COURT: Would you like the hard copy in front of
16 her?
17 MR. YATES: Actually, if we have it, that'd be
18 great.
19 THE COURT: All right. 851?
20 MR. YATES: 851.
21 Q (BY MR. YATES:) Now, Ms. Thomas, if you could flip
22 through 851, I'm specifically asking you if you recognize these
23 documents and if those are your signatures that appear
24 throughout that document?
25 A You're asking me which pages I need to verify as my

1 signature?
2 Q Sure. I can direct you, if you'd like. I see -- if
3 you could turn to page 6 of that document, is that your
4 signature on that document on that page?
5 A Page 6. Yes, that's my signature.
6 Q And then page 7?
7 A Yes.
8 Q Page 8?
9 A Yes.
10 Q Okay. Is this an application that you assisted with
11 for Ms. Insolada Vincent for MEI?
12 A I did not assist her.
13 Q Did you fill out this application paperwork with
14 Ms. Insolada Vincent?
15 A No.
16 Q Okay. Why is your signature appearing on
17 Exhibit 851?
18 A Well, there is -- these are not part of mine. These
19 are totally separate. The only ones I know of is what my
20 signatures are. So I don't know who mixed these up.
21 Q So what you're suggesting is 851 has several
22 different pages that don't belong together; is that right?
23 A Yes, that's what it looks like.
24 Q Okay. So let's focus on the first page. Is the
25 first page of Exhibit 851 an application -- MEI application

1 that you assisted with for Ms. Insolada Vincent?
2 A I did not assist her.
3 Q Okay. Did you fill out part of this form?
4 A No.
5 Q Did you sign this form?
6 A I only signed my own application form.
7 Q Okay. I apologize. I guess I misunderstood your
8 testimony. If you look at the bottom of Exhibit 851, it
9 appears to be a signature, and I thought I heard you say that
10 that was your signature?
11 THE COURT: All right. Look at the first page. Is
12 that your signature --
13 THE WITNESS: Yes.
14 THE COURT: -- on the bottom left-hand corner?
15 THE WITNESS: Page one is my signature.
16 MR. YATES: Your Honor, I'd like to move into
17 evidence just page 1 of Exhibit 851.
18 THE COURT: Do you have you any objection to this
19 entire exhibit coming in?
20 THE DEFENDANT: No, I have no objection.
21 THE COURT: All right. It's all received. Do you
22 wish to publish?
23 MR. YATES: Yes, Your Honor.
24 (Exhibit 851 received into evidence.)
25 Q (BY MR. YATES:) So, Ms. Thomas, now that the jury

1 has it in front of you, this is an MEI application and then
2 you're listed as a signatore, correct?
3 A That's correct.
4 Q Okay. Why did you sign this document?
5 A It's an application form for MEI to assist me with
6 my foreclosure.
7 Q Okay. I see, though, that the name at the top is
8 Insolada Vincent.
9 A Okay.
10 Q And then at the bottom of the customer information
11 part of that form says "referred by Henry Malinay/Rosy E.
12 Thomas"? You see that?
13 A Yes.
14 Q And then at the bottom there's your signature,
15 correct?
16 A Yes.
17 Q Is this a document that you assisted Ms. Vincent to
18 prepare to apply for MEI?
19 A I don't know who made this, but I do not remember
20 assisting Mrs. Vincent Insolada for this form -- for this
21 application form.
22 Q Fair enough. And is that date that's next to your
23 signature your handwriting?
24 A Yes.
25 Q Okay. I believe you'd testified that you'd referred

1 some people to the MEI program; is that right?
2 A Yes.
3 Q Okay. Including your sister?
4 A Correct.
5 Q And you referred other people in the Filipino
6 community?
7 A Yes.
8 Q And Anthony Williams trained you with respect to
9 these referrals, correct?
10 A No.
11 Q He did not train you?
12 A No.
13 Q He did not tell you what to say?
14 A He did, but I'm only referring to my own application
15 form.
16 Q I'm referring to the referrals.
17 A I just do referrals -- this is what I do when I
18 refer. It's a word of mouth where they can go and seek help if
19 they have a foreclosure problem.
20 Q I see. And a mortgage reduction issue, correct?
21 A I'm sorry?
22 Q And mortgage reduction?
23 A Yes.
24 Q Okay. And you took a referral fee; is that correct?
25 A No.

1 Q Now, this document says that you were signing this
2 851 on September 27th, 2013. Do you see that?
3 A Yes.
4 Q Okay. So is it fair to infer that this page was
5 filled out in September 27, 2013?
6 A Yes.
7 Q Okay. And I believe you testified earlier that
8 Anthony Williams was incarcerated in 2013; is that correct?
9 A Yes.
10 Q Okay. And, in fact, by this date Anthony Williams
11 had already been incarcerated, isn't that right?
12 A Yes.
13 Q And yet MEI was still signing up clients in late
14 September of 2013, isn't that correct?
15 A Yes.
16 Q And Anthony Williams wasn't in Hawaii at that time?
17 A Yes.
18 Q But the people who were signing up for MEI service
19 were still paying for MEI service; is that correct?
20 A Yes.
21 Q Okay. And so MEI is still taking money from clients
22 after MEI -- after Anthony Williams has left Hawaii; is that
23 right?
24 A No.
25 Q No, you're not aware of that; is that correct?

1 A I'm not aware of that.
2 Q Okay. But you were not receiving the collection
3 directly, correct?
4 A No.
5 Q So if there are records of MEI receiving checks
6 after this date, you would not be aware of it; is that correct?
7 A No.
8 Q You wouldn't be aware one way or the other, correct?
9 A I'm not in charge of any of that.
10 Q Okay. You're not in charge of the money, right?
11 A No.
12 Q Other people would have that information?
13 A Correct.
14 Q And there would be other records, correct?
15 A Correct.
16 Q You're not aware of any refunds that were issued by
17 Anthony Williams or MEI, are you?
18 A Yes.
19 Q Okay.
20 A No, I am aware of it.
21 Q Okay. How many?
22 A I'm not sure how many, but I was told by his mom
23 that she refunded moneys to those people that called her
24 wanting the refund of their -- of their applications.
25 Q Okay. And so your knowledge of the refunds that

1 went back to the MEI clients comes entirely from your
2 conversation with Anthony Williams's mother, correct?
3 A Yes.
4 Q You have no independent knowledge of any refunds,
5 correct?
6 A No.
7 Q Now, in the time that you were being helped by
8 Anthony Williams, were you paying your mortgage?
9 A No.
10 Q And did you stop paying your mortgage because of
11 Anthony Williams?
12 A I stopped paying my mortgage because I lost my job.
13 I could no longer afford to pay my mortgage.
14 Q Were you paying your mortgage before you talked
15 to --
16 A Yes.
17 Q -- MEI?
18 A Two mortgages.
19 Q Were you paying the monthly payments on the
20 mortgages before you joined MEI?
21 A Before that I cannot because I already lost my job.
22 Q Now, Mr. Williams asked you about the Better
23 Business Bureau rating of MEI, do you recall that?
24 A Yes.
25 Q And you indicated that you had looked up MEI's

1 Better Bureau Business -- Better Business Bureau rating
2 recently; is that right?
3 A Yes.
4 Q Okay. And that it was an A-plus; is that right?
5 A Yes.
6 Q You're aware, however, that obtaining an A-plus
7 rating at the Better Business Bureau can be done without any
8 reviews; is that right?
9 A No.
10 Q Okay. Are you --
11 THE DEFENDANT: It's lack of foundation. Objection.
12 Q (BY MR. YATES:) Do you know when --
13 THE COURT: Wait. Sorry. Sustained. Okay.
14 Q (BY MR. YATES:) Do you know how the Better Business
15 Bureau establishes --
16 THE DEFENDANT: Objection. Still lack of
17 foundation.
18 THE COURT: Oh, sorry. So he's trying to lay the
19 foundation now. Overruled.
20 Do you know how the ratings are generated by the Better
21 Business Bureau?
22 THE WITNESS: Yes.
23 Q (BY MR. YATES:) And how are they generated?
24 A You go only on Better Business Bureau and fill out a
25 statement and describe how -- how I would rate MEI.

1 Q Okay.
2 A And what kind of services they provided me.
3 Q So back in 2013, what was MEI's Better Business
4 Bureau rating?
5 A I don't know. I did not look it up back then.
6 Q How about 2015?
7 A I don't know.
8 Q How many reviews did Better Business Bureau have on
9 MEI?
10 A I don't know.
11 Q Do you know today?
12 A No.
13 MR. YATES: Now, I'd like to put up -- I'd like to
14 publish Exhibit 853 which has just been admitted into evidence?
15 THE COURT: You may publish.
16 MR. YATES: If you could turn to the first page and
17 blow up Attorneys In Fact.
18 Q (BY MR. YATES:) So you recognize this Exhibit 853,
19 correct?
20 A Correct.
21 Q Okay. And this was a document that you assisted
22 your sister prepare for her MEI program, correct?
23 A Correct.
24 Q And you are listed as one of the attorneys in fact;
25 is that correct?

1 A Yes.
2 Q And that's because you were doing work for Anthony
3 Williams and MEI at this point, correct?
4 A Not officially.
5 Q Okay. But you appear as an attorney in fact on this
6 document; is that right?
7 A Yes.
8 Q Along with other MEI employees, correct?
9 A Yes.
10 Q Including Kenneth Byrd?
11 A Yes.
12 Q Including Sautia Tapeni?
13 A Yes.
14 Q And including Robbin Krakauer, correct?
15 A Correct.
16 Q Now, if we could turn to page 3 of this document.
17 So are you familiar with page 3 of Exhibit 853?
18 A Yes.
19 Q Okay. And this is a document that you assisted with
20 the preparation of for your sister, correct?
21 A Yes.
22 Q Okay. And I had the letterhead of this document
23 blown up. Do you see in front of you?
24 A Yes.
25 Q Okay. On this document, your name appears in the

1 letterhead, correct?
2 A Yes.
3 Q And that's because you're doing work for Common Law
4 Office of America; is that correct?
5 A It appears to be, but this is after he was -- this
6 is while he was already incarcerated.
7 Q Right. And while he was incarcerated, you were
8 employed by Common Law Office of America; is that correct?
9 A No. I was helping with Mary Jean Castillo and it
10 was Mary Jean Castillo that put my name on there too.
11 Q Okay. But Mary Jean Castillo was an employee of
12 Common Law Office of America, correct?
13 A Correct.
14 Q Okay. And your name is on this letterhead on Common
15 Law Office of America stationery, correct?
16 A Correct.
17 Q But Mary Jean Castillo's name is not on this
18 letterhead, correct?
19 A Yes.
20 Q Okay. Now, you also testified that you were
21 undergoing some foreclosure troubles for a very long time; is
22 that right?
23 A Yes.
24 Q Okay. From 2013 onward; is that correct?
25 A Yes.

1 Q And that you had mentioned that you had remained in
2 your house until 2019; is that right?
3 A Yes.
4 Q And you had testified that after Anthony Williams
5 had returned from the mainland, he was able to assist you with
6 your foreclosure problems; is that right?
7 A Yes.
8 Q Okay. And you attributed the fact that you stayed
9 into -- or in your house for this entire period to the fact
10 that Anthony Williams was assisting you; is that right?
11 A Yes.
12 Q But you don't know what the actual reason is that
13 you remained in your house; is that right?
14 A Yeah, I do.
15 Q Okay. So is it possible that the court's backlog
16 may have played a part in your staying in your house?
17 A No.
18 Q Okay. And why would you say that?
19 A Because I'm -- he's still helping me fight for my
20 foreclosure.
21 Q Okay. And by helping you fight for your
22 foreclosure, you mean that he was filing documents for you,
23 correct?
24 A Yes, he was drafting motions.
25 Q Okay. And were those motions granted?

1 A Yes.
2 Q They were all granted?
3 A Yes. I submitted them myself.
4 Q Okay. And you received an order from the court
5 saying that his motions were granted?
6 A Yes.
7 Q Okay. You also testified that Mr. Williams was
8 assisting you from -- from jail; is that right?
9 A Yes.
10 Q And he was writing documents from jail?
11 A Yes.
12 Q Okay. And he was able to assist you with your
13 foreclosure filings from jail?
14 A Yes.
15 Q All right. And you also testified that there were a
16 number of people who were working with Anthony Williams who
17 were not in jail; is that right?
18 I'll withdraw that question.
19 MEI had a number of people working outside of the
20 jail; is that right?
21 A In what year?
22 Q Say, 2013.
23 A Yes.
24 Q 2014?
25 A I don't know after that.

1 Q Okay. How about -- do you know in 2014 while
2 Anthony Williams was in jail, were there people outside of jail
3 who were helping him with MEI?
4 A Yes.
5 Q Okay. Barbara Williams, for instance?
6 A No.
7 Q Barbara Williams was not assisting with MEI?
8 A No.
9 Q Okay. She was not collecting checks for MEI?
10 A Well, they were -- she's involved with MEI because
11 she is the one that Anthony trusted to hold the money.
12 Q Okay. And you had, in fact, testified that you
13 understood that she was issuing refund checks, correct?
14 A Yes.
15 Q So she was doing some work for MEI, correct?
16 A Yes. That's what you meant.
17 Q In 2014?
18 A Uh-huh, yes.
19 Q Okay. And you were assisting with MEI at that
20 point, correct?
21 A Yes.
22 Q Okay. While Anthony Williams was incarcerated; is
23 that right?
24 A That's what I said. It was not officially because I
25 was just doing like a voluntarily thing because he was in jail

1 and all this people were left without anyone helping them, so
2 MJ asked me -- she had given me the list of all the clients
3 that were supposedly under MEI, and MJ and Mel Horner were good
4 friends, and so I was asked to help out and follow up what
5 happens to all of these clients that were not being helped by
6 Anthony because he's in jail.
7 Q Right. But you were outside of jail as well,
8 correct? You weren't in jail at the time?
9 A Of course not.
10 Q And you were helping, correct?
11 A Yes.
12 Q And so was MJ?
13 A She wasn't any more because she was threatened to be
14 indicted.
15 Q Okay. So for some period MJ, by whom I'm referring
16 to MJ Castillo Mary Jean Castillo, was assisting with MEI and
17 the Common Law Office of America; is that correct?
18 A Correct.
19 Q While Anthony Williams was in jail, correct?
20 A Early on while he was in jail, yes.
21 Q And Mel Horner as well?
22 A No. Mel Horner and I were like a volunteer.
23 Q Okay. But he was also available to assist with MEI
24 and Common Law Office of America, a business; is that correct?
25 A Yes.

1 Q Just like you were; is that right?
2 A Yes.
3 Q And during that time, Anthony Williams was preparing
4 legal documents from jail; is that right?
5 A While he was in the mainland?
6 Q Correct.
7 A I don't know about that.
8 Q Okay.
9 A 'Cause I was --
10 Q But he has been preparing legal documents from jail
11 for you; is that correct?
12 A When he came back, yes.
13 Q Okay. So he's capable of preparing legal documents
14 from jail; is that right?
15 A Yes.
16 Q Okay. With assistance from the outside too,
17 correct?
18 A I'm sorry?
19 Q With assistance from the outside too?
20 A I don't know that.
21 Q Okay. Now, you received a check from MEI; is that
22 correct?
23 A As a what? A salary?
24 Q Did you receive any money from MEI?
25 A No.

1 Q At any point?
2 A No.
3 MR. YATES: Okay. Your Honor, I have no further
4 questions on cross.
5 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
6 Any redirect, Mr. Williams?
7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
8 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
9 BY THE DEFENDANT:
10 Q So, Ms. Thomas, when you signed up, you paid the
11 first processing fee, correct?
12 A Yes.
13 Q Could you afford to pay me any more after that?
14 A No.
15 Q So from the processing fee you never paid me another
16 dime, correct?
17 A No.
18 Q But did I still work on your case?
19 A Yes.
20 Q Did I still take your mother's case?
21 A Yes.
22 Q Did you have to pay me for that?
23 A The application fee for my mom, yes.
24 Q And did you have to pay after that?
25 A No.

1 MR. YATES: Objection. Leading.
2 THE COURT: All right. Overruled. It's
3 foundational.
4 Any other question?
5 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) So did you have -- did you
6 refer several other people that needed my assistance?
7 A Yes.
8 Q And did they have to pay me for my assistance?
9 A My sister, she paid the application fee.
10 Q And what about Ms. Silla?
11 A Yes.
12 Q And how much did she pay me?
13 A She paid you for your services of $975, around that.
14 Q And what was that for?
15 A To help with her legal issues in California.
16 Q And did I properly assist her?
17 A Yes.
18 Q And was she satisfied?
19 A Very.
20 Q Did she have a prior attorney that she paid?
21 A Yes.
22 Q And did he just take her money and didn't do
23 anything?
24 MR. YATES: Objection. Leading.
25 THE COURT: Sustained.

1 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) What did the attorney do to
2 your friend Ms. Silla?
3 MR. YATES: Also out of scope and relevance.
4 THE COURT: What did he do for Ms. Silla?
5 THE WITNESS: Nothing.
6 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) So did she request a refund
7 from this attorney?
8 A Yes.
9 Q Did he pay her back?
10 A Not yet.
11 MR. YATES: Objection. Relevance.
12 THE COURT: Sustained.
13 All right. So move on from Ms. Silla. Do you have any
14 other questions?
15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
16 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) The mortgage documents that I
17 prepared for you, was that -- did you understand that that was
18 just specifically to protect your home from foreclosure?
19 A Yes.
20 MR. YATES: Objection. Leading.
21 THE COURT: Sustained. So you need to ask her
22 open --
23 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Did you understand the mortgage
24 documents, what that was for?
25 A Yes.

1 Q And what was that for?
2 A To help me protect me from being foreclosed on.
3 Q And what about the UCC docs -- documents that you
4 filed?
5 A What about it?
6 Q What was that for?
7 A It's a -- it's a -- I'm not quite sure what the UCC
8 is for.
9 Q Did you file it in the Bureau of Conveyance?
10 A Yes.
11 Q Was your name on it as the secure-party creditor?
12 A Yes.
13 Q And do you understand what the secure-party creditor
14 is?
15 A No.
16 Q Do you understand what a lien is?
17 A Yes.
18 Q Okay. So you had your own lien on your own
19 property?
20 THE COURT: Is that what she understood; is that
21 your question?
22 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Is that what you understood?
23 A Yes.
24 Q Did you understand that Mortgage Enterprise
25 Investments could never take your home?

1 MR. YATES: Objection. Leading.
2 THE COURT: Sustained.
3 What did you understand that the UCC financing statement
4 had to do with your home?
5 THE WITNESS: That if the lender sell my home, that
6 they cannot -- they cannot sell my home 'cause of the lien.
7 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) So when the appeal was filed,
8 it still -- had the bank been able to answer that appeal that I
9 filed for you?
10 A Uhm, reword that.
11 Q The appeal that I filed for you in the Intermediate
12 Court of Appeals, has the bank been able to override that
13 appeal?
14 A No.
15 Q On the current case, the other case with your mom's
16 home, do you remember me filing --
17 MR. YATES: Objection. Relevance.
18 THE COURT: Sustained. Okay. So you did go into
19 direct about helping her with documentation, so -- and
20 Mr. Yates went into that, things that you prepared for this
21 witness, but not about her mother. So it's beyond the scope of
22 the --
23 THE DEFENDANT: Well, it's her home. Her mother
24 just live with her, but it's her name on it.
25 THE COURT: I know. So you can ask her questions

1 about what you did for Ms. Thomas but not for her mother 'cause
2 that was not gone into in cross-examination.
3 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Okay. Well, the documents I
4 drafted for you fighting the foreclosure against JP Morgan
5 Chase, do you remember the defendant's first request for
6 documents?
7 A Yes.
8 Q And do you remember how long ago was that that you
9 was able to file that?
10 A That was last year.
11 Q Okay. And has the bank been able the answer that
12 motion?
13 A No.
14 Q And while I was -- since I came back to the FDC
15 being incarcerated, any time that you would receive a motion,
16 would I respond promptly?
17 A Yes.
18 Q If anybody else would have sent me their motions,
19 would I have responded?
20 A Yes.
21 THE COURT: Okay. So she can only answer for
22 herself. She can't answer for other people.
23 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Okay. So your experience with
24 me, Ms. Thomas, what is your opinion of me as far as my
25 integrity and me being honest?

1 MR. YATES: Objection. Asked and answered.
2 THE COURT: Sustained. She already gave her opinion
3 of you and that wasn't gone into in the cross.
4 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Ms. Thomas, did you see that I
5 lived a lavish lifestyle?
6 A No.
7 Q Did you see me buy --
8 MR. YATES: Objection. Out of scope.
9 THE COURT: So he didn't go into this area about you
10 on his cross, so it's beyond the scope of that. But you can
11 ask questions to follow up on areas that Mr. Yates asked about.
12 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Okay. Mr. Yates asked you
13 about you assisting while I was incarcerated, right, about your
14 volunteer work?
15 A Yes.
16 Q And did you volunteer because you saw the people
17 needed help?
18 A Yes.
19 MR. YATES: Objection. Leading.
20 THE COURT: All right. So I'll overrule that one,
21 but you need to ask her open-ended questions: Why you did you
22 volunteer? What were the reasons you had?
23 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Okay. What was the reason you
24 volunteered to help these people?
25 A Because I felt for them, they're in the same shoes

1 as me, and because you were not available any more, they have
2 nobody else to help them.
3 Q And so some of the people that couldn't properly
4 fight their foreclosure, it was because of my unlawful illegal
5 incarceration?
6 A Yes.
7 MR. YATES: Objection. Leading.
8 THE COURT: All right. So sustained. The jury is
9 directed to disregard the last answer.
10 So ask her an open-ended question, if she understands why,
11 et cetera.
12 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Do you feel that if I hadn't
13 had went to jail that I still would have been able to assist
14 all those people?
15 A Yes.
16 Q And are you confident that I'm very competent in
17 law?
18 A Yes.
19 Q And when you read my motions, did I always provide
20 case law in the motions?
21 MR. YATES: Objection. Relevance and leading.
22 THE COURT: All right. So I'm going to sustain the
23 objection. It's not relevant and wasn't gone into in the
24 cross.
25 Do you have another question for her?

1 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Yeah. Ms. Thomas, was my
2 intention to make a whole lot of money when I represented
3 people?
4 MR. YATES: Objection. Leading, also asked and
5 answered.
6 THE COURT: Yeah. So she can't read your mind and
7 indicate what your intentions or your thoughts are, so I can't
8 allow her to answer that question, but you can ask her about
9 what she saw, did, observed, et cetera.
10 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) So in your experience with me,
11 Ms. Thomas, did I ever have the disposition to defraud people?
12 A No.
13 Q Did I explain completely what I wanted to do in my
14 guarantee?
15 MR. YATES: Objection. Leading.
16 THE COURT: Sustained.
17 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Did you see me explain the
18 program to people?
19 A Yes.
20 Q And did I fully explain it to you?
21 MR. YATES: Objection. Leading.
22 THE COURT: Sustained.
23 When Mr. Williams explained the program to people in front
24 of you, what was your impression as to his explanations?
25 THE WITNESS: My opinion is that Mr. Williams's

1 intention is -- is clean. All he wanted to do is to help us
2 people with a foreclosure problem regardless if we could pay
3 him or not.
4 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) And do you feel like I took
5 advantage of anybody, Ms. Thomas?
6 A No.
7 Q And are you really familiar with my religious
8 beliefs?
9 A Yes.
10 MR. YATES: Objection. Relevance and leading.
11 THE COURT: All right. So she's familiar with your
12 religious beliefs. I'll let her answer that. But he didn't go
13 into this area in his cross-examination, so you're limited to
14 that.
15 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Ms. Thomas, did you -- he had
16 mentioned that you had referred people, talked to people about
17 testifying on my behalf, correct?
18 A Yes.
19 Q And you said that it was your -- I think your
20 niece --
21 A Yes.
22 Q -- Brittany? And do you remember what I did for
23 her?
24 A Yes.
25 Q What was that?

1 THE COURT: Okay. She already testified what she
2 did, so --
3 THE DEFENDANT: Well, 'cause he brought it up. He
4 brought up what, so I'm -
5 THE COURT: Yes, right. So you can ask about why
6 she recruited her niece, what was the reason, but not what you
7 did 'cause that person's already testified.
8 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Well, what was the reason you
9 referred your niece to me?
10 A She couldn't afford the lawyer's fee.
11 Q Okay. And so did I charge her for what I did for
12 her?
13 A No.
14 Q What I drafted, did I win it for her?
15 MR. YATES: Objection. Leading and relevance.
16 THE COURT: Sustained. And she already testified in
17 court already about her case and what you did for her and the
18 outcome. So would you like to ask her a question?
19 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Did you contact the U.S.
20 Attorney's Office, Kenji Price?
21 A Yes.
22 Q And was that regarding my case?
23 A Yes.
24 Q And was it regarding that I never defrauded anybody
25 and that you were not a victim?

1 MR. YATES: Objection. Outside of the scope of my
2 cross-examination.
3 THE COURT: All right. Sustained.
4 So she's already testified about her opinion of you, so
5 this is not an area that was gone into in cross.
6 THE DEFENDANT: Well, this is about he's saying her
7 referring people.
8 THE COURT: Right.
9 THE DEFENDANT: This is the letter she sent, so I'm
10 addressing that.
11 THE COURT: Well, it has nothing to do with the
12 questions about referring witnesses to testify for you. You
13 can ask her about that, but Mr. Price doesn't have anything to
14 do with that.
15 THE DEFENDANT: Well, the letter that she sent, I'm
16 trying to question her on the letter. It's an exhibit. It's
17 Exhibit 2066.
18 THE COURT: You can ask her about the document, but
19 it has to be within what he cross-examined her about. You had
20 her on direct; you could ask her questions that are relevant on
21 direct and then Mr. Yates is limited, as we've gone over with
22 every witness thus far, what you ask, and now you're limited to
23 his cross-examination.
24 Q (BY THE DEFENDANT:) Okay. Mr. Yates brought up
25 Insolada Vincent. He brought up her application. You remember

1 that?
2 A Yes.
3 Q And was Ms. Vincent a client of mine?
4 A Yes.
5 Q And you've talked to Ms. Vincent since my
6 incarceration?
7 A Yes.
8 Q And based upon your conversation with Ms. Vincent,
9 what is her -- from your take of talking to her, how do you
10 feel like what does she feel about me?
11 MR. YATES: Objection. Hearsay and relevance.
12 THE COURT: So overruled.
13 So do you have an impression of what that person feels
14 about Mr. Williams?
15 THE WITNESS: Yes.
16 THE COURT: Okay. And what is that?
17 THE WITNESS: That she feels that he -- Mr. Williams
18 is a good man. He's honest, transparent, and he's -- he's
19 always ready to help anyone that needed help in their
20 foreclosure problem regardless if they can pay him or not.
21 THE COURT: All right. You got four minutes.
22 THE DEFENDANT: I have no more questions.
23 THE COURT: Okay. Great. I was going to give you a
24 time limit, but you're finished.
25 So you're excused as a witness. Thank you. Good day to

1 you. Please don't discuss your testimony with anyone until the
2 completion of the trial.
3 Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, we are concluded for the
4 day and also for the week. So we will see you Monday morning
5 at 8:30 A.M. for further testimony.
6 So leave your items behind, and of course, don't discuss
7 the case with anyone or allow anyone to discuss it with you.
8 Don't read, research, investigate, or Google any of the issues
9 or witnesses. Of course, don't Tweet or put on social media
10 anything about the testimony, and don't read, listen to, or
11 watch any media accounts.
12 On behalf of Mr. Williams, the attorneys, and myself, we
13 thank you very kindly for your attention and patience this week
14 and wish you a wonderful weekend.
15 Please rise for the jury. They're excused for the week.
16 (Open court out of the presence of the jury.)
17 THE COURT: You may be seated. Oh, and you're
18 excused. Thank you very much, Ms. Thomas.
19 All right. So the record will reflect the jury's no
20 longer present. Present are Mr. Williams and counsel.
21 So I wanted to go over three things with you folks, first
22 of all take up any matters that you wish to address.
23 Secondly, I wanted to set a date and time, that is,
24 tomorrow, to go over the proposed jury instructions to settle
25 those.

1 And third, I wanted to talk about what next week will look
2 like in terms of timing and so forth, including closing
3 argument.
4 So first let's take up any matters that you feel we need
5 to address at this time.
6 Mr. Sorenson?
7 MR. SORENSON: Your Honor, we don't have anything at
8 this time.
9 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Williams, do you have
10 anything we need to take up or things that you want to place on
11 the record with regard to today's trial?
12 THE DEFENDANT: I wanted to have the transcripts
13 available to me because there was things that I wanted to bring
14 out in my closing because there was a lot of lies that were
15 told by multiple of their witnesses.
16 THE COURT: All right. So you want a copy of the
17 transcripts?
18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
19 THE COURT: So what you need to do is request what
20 are called daily transcripts 'cause usually the transcript
21 isn't completed unless someone orders it after the trial. If
22 there are specific dates that you want of it or the entire
23 thing, no, I'm not sure that you'll get all of them before
24 closing. But you can ask for them, and as long as you put it
25 in and the request to the court, because you'd have to request

1 that the court authorize payment for the expedited transcripts.
2 THE DEFENDANT: Okay.
3 THE COURT: So if there are particular witnesses or
4 witness days, I would ask you to look at that and then indicate
5 that in your submission to the court.
6 Mr. Isaacson, do you have a question?
7 MR. ISAACSON: Yes, Judge. Would that be an *ex*
8 *parte* motion similar to you may have seen a few of those in
9 this case?
10 THE COURT: Yes, yes, 'cause it would be a request
11 such as a CJA expense that you would want the court to
12 authorize.
13 MR. ISAACSON: Okay.
14 THE COURT: 'Cause I'm assuming that Mr. Williams
15 isn't going to pay for it. You're asking for the CJA funds to
16 be used.
17 MR. ISAACSON: Yeah. I wasn't quite --
18 THE COURT: Yes. So you can ask for an expedited
19 transcript and the court authorize it and we'll check with the
20 court reporter.
21 MR. ISAACSON: I already asked her.
22 THE COURT: But it's very helpful if you can
23 identify days rather than everything 'cause we're already on I
24 believe day 10.
25 MR. ISAACSON: Perhaps the witness would be helpful,

1 the designation of which witness? Would that be helpful, Your
2 Honor?
3 THE COURT: Yes, that would be very helpful.
4 MR. ISAACSON: Yes, Your Honor.
5 THE COURT: All right. If there's nothing else with
6 regard to that, I'd like to go to the second topic which is
7 settling jury instructions.
8 Donna, what time did we talk about tomorrow? Was it 3:00?
9 2:30, right? Because I know that the marshals have to bring
10 Mr. Williams and then make sure that he is able to be
11 transported back. So I do have motions in the morning and then
12 a lunch meeting, so I'm proposing 2:00 -- is it 2:30? 2:30? --
13 all right, 2:30 for settling of jury instructions. We'll try
14 to get that resolved in the 45 minutes or so.
15 Mr. Williams.
16 THE DEFENDANT: Could I appear by phone?
17 THE COURT: Well, it's difficult because we're going
18 to be looking at things. So it's better for you to be -- is
19 there a particular reason for your request to appear
20 telephonically?
21 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, 'cause coming to court like
22 this, you know, having to get buck naked and, you know, squat
23 and cough, I mean, it's -- four times a week is already enough.
24 THE COURT: Okay. So what we can do is we could
25 schedule it Monday since you're coming in anyway.

1 Just -- let's do this. Let's sort of switch the order. Let's
2 talk about how -- how many witnesses you have remaining and
3 when we think closing might be.
4 THE DEFENDANT: I don't have no more witnesses. I'm
5 done.
6 THE COURT: Oh, you're going to rest?
7 THE DEFENDANT: As far as I know other witnesses are
8 called.
9 THE COURT: Okay. So you're just -- so is this an
10 appropriate time or maybe Monday morning that we go over your
11 right to testify or not to testify?
12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Monday would be, right.
13 THE COURT: All right. So we'll plan on taking that
14 up on Monday morning before the jury is brought in. Is
15 that --
16 THE DEFENDANT: Right.
17 THE COURT: All right. So then you would be the
18 last witness. If you decide to testify --
19 THE DEFENDANT: Right.
20 THE COURT: -- you don't have to -- then you plan on
21 resting after that?
22 THE DEFENDANT: Right.
23 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So then given that,
24 Mr. Sorenson, Mr. Yates, you guys have thoughts about whether
25 you'll be asking to put on rebuttal witnesses or documents?

1 MR. SORENSON: Yeah, we'll have a rebuttal case,
2 Your Honor. I think it'll be pretty brief, two or three
3 witnesses at this juncture.
4 And jury instructions, a little confused. Are we not
5 going to do those tomorrow or --
6 THE COURT: Right. So I understand his concern
7 about being brought in for five days. But I do want to settle
8 the jury instructions, clearly, before we do closing, and
9 so -- but if you folks are going to do a rebuttal case on
10 Monday --
11 MR. SORENSON: Well, yeah, we don't know -- I guess
12 we're going to need to have people present.
13 THE COURT: Correct. So you would have to put on
14 your rebuttal case on Monday.
15 MR. SORENSON: Right.
16 THE COURT: Right.
17 MR. SORENSON: Or they may have to wait until -- I
18 don't know how long Mr. Williams will testify, if he did
19 testify, but I'm assuming it'll be quite a while.
20 THE COURT: Correct. But if you're going to call
21 people, I don't anticipate he'd take all of the trial day on
22 Monday. So you'd at least have to start.
23 MR. SORENSON: Perhaps if I could ask them, Your
24 Honor, instead of having them come in first thing in the
25 morning, give them a particular time. That way we -- I could

1 maybe waive them off if we learn --
2 THE COURT: Yes, I think that's a good idea. So I
3 can imagine, especially since I do have to go over his right to
4 testify and not testify, the jury probably won't be brought
5 till like closer to 9:00. I imagine his testimony will go at
6 least with recess till 12:30 or so. So that would leave us
7 with about an hour, hour-and-a-half for --
8 MR. SORENSON: What about jury instructions?
9 THE COURT: Yeah. So I would instruct them before
10 closing.
11 MR. SORENSON: No, I mean, our settling
12 instructions.
13 THE COURT: Right, settling it. So I'm thinking we
14 have to settle them tomorrow then, Friday. Or --
15 MR. SORENSON: We're available for that.
16 THE COURT: Yeah. Or we settle them either Monday
17 or Tuesday and have closing Wednesday. So I'm thinking that
18 probably closing Wednesday makes sense and I want to settle the
19 jury instructions so that we can make sure that my staff has
20 enough time to make copies --
21 MR. SORENSON: Yep.
22 THE COURT: -- and check for typos and things like
23 that.
24 MR. SORENSON: Right.
25 THE COURT: So I'm thinking that Mr. Williams's

1 suggestion that he participate telephonically makes sense on
2 Friday --
3 MR. SORENSON: Tomorrow?
4 THE COURT: Yes.
5 MR. SORENSON: Okay.
6 THE COURT: -- 2:30, and we'll make arrangements to
7 have you telephonically participate from FDC Honolulu.
8 MR. SORENSON: So let me just gauge this out, Your
9 Honor. We come in Monday morning. We will learn fairly
10 early -- I'm guessing immediately -- whether Mr. Williams is
11 going to decide to testify or not.
12 If he chooses to testify, then I can -- I can tell my
13 witnesses not to come in. If he chooses not to testify, I can
14 have them show up at a particular time, you know, instead of
15 just having them come down and wait, something like 9:30 or
16 10:00, or something like that?
17 THE COURT: Yes. I would say have one witness
18 available to appear at 9:30.
19 MR. SORENSON: Okay.
20 THE COURT: Unless you inform them once, you know --
21 MR. SORENSON: Right.
22 THE COURT: -- we know whether or not he testifies.
23 And if he decides to testify, then you would advise your
24 witness that they did not have to be appear to testify until
25 12:30.

1 MR. SORENSON: Okay.
2 THE COURT: You will give them 12:30.
3 MR. SORENSON: Okay.
4 THE COURT: And then if they don't finish, if
5 he -- Mr. Williams chooses to testify and you aren't finished
6 with all of your witnesses on Monday, then it would go over to
7 Tuesday and we would target Wednesday, regardless of whether
8 you finished --
9 MR. SORENSON: Right, okay.
10 THE COURT: -- all your witnesses for closing for
11 Wednesday.
12 MR. SORENSON: And you'll instruct before argument?
13 THE COURT: Correct. And then can we ask your
14 office's assistance on coordinating with the marshals and FDC
15 Honolulu on making sure he's available for telephonic
16 conference at 2:30?
17 MR. SORENSON: We can talk to the marshals on that.
18 THE COURT: Yeah, just confer with them and let our
19 chambers know if there's -- frankly, I don't know how to set
20 that up. I mean, we've done them before.
21 MR. SORENSON: That's two of us.
22 THE COURT: And Mr. Isaacson, he knows how to do it,
23 so he'll help -- no, I'm just kidding.
24 Do you have something to add or suggest?
25 MR. ISAACSON: Judge, the straw, that's all, the

1 straw on the back of the camel. No, Judge, of course, Your
2 Honor, whatever, we'll try.
3 Judge, I don't know -- I just don't know the answer, if he
4 can actually waive being present for this. I guess he can
5 waive -- well, he's present, I guess --
6 THE COURT: He'll be present telephonically.
7 MR. ISAACSON: Okay. Okay.
8 THE COURT: I mean, this is at his own request.
9 MR. ISAACSON: No, I know. It's just I haven't been
10 through this part before, I guess.
11 Your Honor, I guess -- I don't know if the government has
12 a duty to tell us the rebuttal witnesses, but as soon as they
13 know, we would like to hear.
14 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, so I can get the exhibits for
15 whoever they gone --
16 MR. SORENSON: Yeah, we'll be able to disclose that
17 once the defense case is over, Your Honor. Right now we're not
18 sure --
19 THE DEFENDANT: I mean --
20 MR. SORENSON: -- what's going to happen.
21 THE DEFENDANT: -- wouldn't --
22 THE COURT: Okay. So when we meet at 2:30 tomorrow,
23 you need to disclose to them your rebuttal case, assuming that
24 Mr. Williams doesn't testify. If he does testify and it
25 changes your rebuttal witnesses, then, you know, after he

1 finishes testifying, you can say you want to call more
2 witnesses. I get that.
3 But assuming he chooses not to testify, you should know
4 already who you want to call as rebuttal witnesses 'cause he's
5 indicated he's not calling any other witnesses other than
6 himself, if he chooses to testify.
7 MR. SORENSON: Right.
8 THE COURT: Right. So by tomorrow you should know,
9 assuming he doesn't testify, who you want to call as rebuttal.
10 MR. SORENSON: Well, I'm guessing we can add folks
11 if we need to.
12 THE COURT: Right. And if he changes because he
13 testifies, there are additional witnesses you want to call, I
14 get that. But by tomorrow you should know and be able to
15 disclose to them your rebuttal witnesses based on the case thus
16 far.
17 MR. SORENSON: I can give them one name today so far
18 that we're certain about.
19 THE COURT: That'd be great, and if there's any
20 additional exhibits as a result.
21 Okay. So 2:30 tomorrow, and then what we'll do is I think
22 you raised a good point, Mr. Isaacson. So we'll go over the
23 jury instructions 2:30 tomorrow so -- but then we won't
24 finalize them until Mr. Williams is present in court on Monday.
25 So what I'll do is I'll go over the colloquy about your

1 right to testify or remain silent, not testify. You make your
2 decision, and then we'll go over the jury instructions to make
3 sure that you're in agreement with what was discussed over the
4 telephone. All right? And then we'll bring in the jury and
5 have testimony, if there's going to be any testimony.
6 So we should probably have jury pool advise the jurors
7 that they can come in later. So I'll make that arrangement
8 'cause that's going to take -- all that -- they're probably not
9 going to be brought in till 9:30 to hear any testimony. Okay?
10 Questions, clarifications, Mr. Sorenson?
11 MR. SORENSON: At this point if we have something,
12 Your Honor, we'll reach out. Thank you very much.
13 THE COURT: Mr. Williams, any questions or
14 clarifications? No?
15 MR. ISAACSON: Your Honor, one thing.
16 THE COURT: Okay.
17 MR. ISAACSON: I just want to be clear. So
18 Ms. Beecher's been assisting throughout trial, helping with us.
19 THE COURT: Yes.
20 MR. ISAACSON: So I don't know if you were trying to
21 get her scheduled to once Mr. Williams is finished, I
22 know -- so I'm a little confused at the end when the exhibits
23 are -- you call the ones that were not admitted and then the
24 jury gets the binder of the exhibits that were admitted; is
25 that correct? It's my understanding --

1 THE COURT: You talking about jury deliberation?
2 MR. ISAACSON: Yes. When it goes to the jury, then
3 the paralegal -- I mean, Ms. Beecher is worth her weight in
4 gold, of course, especially at that point. Can you tell me
5 when you think that will be?
6 THE COURT: So after closing arguments, then the
7 jury will go into deliberation and the court will provide all
8 of the admitted documents in to the jury to review, so I'm not
9 sure what Ms. Beecher would be involved in.
10 MR. ISAACSON: Well, I know, if I may, in the
11 *Kealoha* trial she was instrumental helping to make sure that
12 the right -- I mean --
13 THE COURT: So the courtroom manager before we have
14 the jury go back with exhibits will confirm with counsel on
15 both sides --
16 MR. ISAACSON: Okay.
17 THE COURT: -- make sure that we're all on the same
18 page what's been admitted and what has not been admitted. Is
19 that your concern?
20 MR. ISAACSON: It is, Judge, just to know the timing
21 and where this works because --
22 THE COURT: Well, I think every day the courtroom
23 manager has been confirming with you folks.
24 MR. ISAACSON: All right. I'm just trying to help
25 get her schedule together. I know she -- but of course, Judge.

1 THE COURT: So if you have any questions, please
2 feel free to discuss with the courtroom manager for
3 clarification. Okay?
4 Other than that, I wish all of you a very good afternoon
5 and we are adjourned for the day. Thank you.
6 (Proceedings adjourned at 2:13 P.M., until
7 Friday, February 21, 2020, at 2:30 P.M.)
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, DEBRA READ, Official Court Reporter, United States District Court, District of Hawaii, do hereby certify that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §753 the foregoing is a complete, true, and correct transcript of the stenographically reported proceedings held in the above-entitled matter and that the transcript page format is in conformance with the regulations of the Judicial Conference of the United States.

DATED at Honolulu, Hawaii, April 6, 2020.

/s/ Debra Read

DEBRA READ, CSR CRR RMR RDR