

Free LAZOR CT3892  
Box 1050 Rt-112  
Suisun CA 93960  
SVSP

RUDY & SARIN DAVIS  
PO Box 2088  
FARMER, TX 75126-6647

FOREVER / USA  
SAN ANTONIO PUBLIC RECORDS  
SAT 05 FEB 2022 11  
FOREVER / USA  
FOREVER / USA

STATF 00:SON  
GENERATE ) MAI



1-31-22

Free Lazor C-73842  
Box 1050 A1-112  
Soledad, CA 93960-1050

Hi Rudy & Erin,

I'm super-rushing...

Enclosed are 5 separate documents. I'm inviting you to put them "out there" to all your audience. Some are re BPH & some (or one) re the evidence in my trial case - beyond belief. Here's a brief description:

① 5 page strong ltr from me to BPH. So people can get a flavor of what they BPH devils did & are doing.

② 2 page ltr to BPH, but its written not as if from me. Its not in "1st person", its "2d person" referring to me as "the prisoner" or "the parole candidate" etc instead of "I" or "me". This is in case any reader who wishes to complain can probably use this (more or less) as it is: just plug in their name, contact info, date & signature. (The I dont prefer this method - An alternative is people could use the 5 page ltr (#1 above), & attach it to a <sup>short</sup> cover ltr of their own, demanding the allegations be answered & relief granted.)

③ Very important declaration of AL LEDDY who was the only honest BPH agent & Commissioner & HEAD EXECUTIVE of BPH - proving they coll knew the crimes they were committing in kidnaping 1000s of prisoners - Please read this!

④ A letter to the editor I've written to see if a couple papers will publish it - re VAX-RAPZ (D&B)

⑤ An INDEX & few pages of BPH ISSUES of my trial. This should drop your jaw! No other case this cool in US history. This is only the tip of iceberg. ~~No X to staple~~ Got to go. Blessings & love to you & yours,  
Yak blue y'all free

**Free Lazor      C-73842**  
**Box 1050        A1-112**  
**Soledad, CA 93960-1050**

1-14-22

Jennifer Shaffer, CE  
Board of Parole Hearings    Box 4036  
Sacramento, CA 95812-4036

Page 1 of 5

Ms Shaffer:

RE: YOUR STAFF MISUSE OF PAROLE HEARING FOR ELDER ABUSE, SLANDER, KILLING, ETC.

No disrespect or offense is intended by this notice to you, but there are times where it's appropriate and even necessary to use stronger than normal terms of language where the situation warrants. This is one such situation, as follows.

Need it even be said that it's improper for anyone, commissioner, or otherwise, to MISUSE the parole board and a parole hearing as a bully pulpit and cudgel for committing "elder abuse" (a crime), bullying, hurling imprecations of slanders and libels, false character assassination attacks to put out to the world online, and spewing a commissioner's personal hatred of an upstanding parole candidate, whether for anti-Christian/Christian-hate motivations as was the case here, or other. The record of the parole decision is packed with lashing vituperations denegrating my reputation, character, behaviors, motivations, inclinations and even a fake history of, supposedly, my life, all 180° contrary to all the facts consistent in my life since childhood. NEITHER COMMISSIONER KNOWS A THING ABOUT ME. They, instead, focused on a strawman created by false prison reports spanning decades, each building on the ever-growing mountain of earlier ones, NOT on any factual events or behaviors on my part.

The commissioner irresponsibly hurled these malicious lies into the hearing record to create a "violence/danger" phantom that exists only in his imagination. He's been so biased against me from before the hearing began that he was and is INCAPABLE OF SEEING ME, because he's stuck on seeing the strawman which he put on steroids. That's ABSOLUTE bias that can't be overcome.

Chappell said he was a CDCR prison guard (C/O) for (about 16 years?) -- so he spent the core of my hearing defending his fellow prison officers by excoriating me for truthfully answering his demands (that he improperly goaded me into addressing) as to whether HIS FELLOW GUARDS' decades-old Rule Violation Reports (RVRs) were false or not. I'm too honest to lie about them, even unto death. He knew it was misconduct to bait this hook and require that I answer him, whether or not he knew I'd continue to maintain my consistent honesty and personal Christian honor that forbids me from falsely CONFESSING to any RVRs I didn't do.

It is hoped that you are capable of having the INSIGHT to recognize what is obvious to all others, that this loose cannon commissioner, Kevin Chappell, essentially operated with his deputy Nancy Wong as a "tag team" in a big time wrestling match, psychologically and verbally bashing to a pulp the parole candidate they obviously viewed as their "opponent" (me) with both hands shackled behind my back while already crushed and bleeding on the mat with no tag-team partner (the worse than useless attorneys you appointed.) Chappell went so far out into "foul ball" territory as to evidently "project" his own personal

"character defects" and criminal leanings on me, since his false vituperations certainly had no nexus to any behaviors or character I've ever possessed or displayed in my lifetime. The commissioners couldn't even hide their angry shock when my "worse character defect" was being too trusting of others; because they demand and expect a slew of criminal behaviors - but I've never had any. The commissioner's abuse was nothing short of evil, and the deputy tagged in with merely "I concur," whether willingly or by peer coercion.

Chappell and Wong actually committed about 15 major hearing rights violations, and even some crimes, some violations so serious that each, alone, warrant nullification of the hearing decision and IMMEDIATE rescheduling of the hearing in compliance with the laws and regulations, for once. The previous panel in 2019 assured me a parole grant if I did and didn't do certain things; I not only complied but about 20 times over in every category. Probably more than any other parole candidate in California history. Maybe you think it's okay that these types of shenanigans have kept me imprisoned 30 EXTRA YEARS AFTER MY COURT-ISSUED SENTENCE WAS FULLY SERVED in 1992; the rest of the world doesn't think this is okay. I don't think you have a heart capable of knowing what that means (40 stolen years). Chappell and Wong, absolutely don't; an internal empathy-void imbalance too severe to justify them being commissioners. It's high time for this to stop.

The previous panel (2019) WOULD HAVE FOUND ME "SUITABLE" AND RELEASED ME, according to their own words. So, why was the regulation not complied with to provide that same panel? That was the initial violation. The 2019 and 2017 panels also had assured me we'd not need to discuss the "commitment crime" again; it's too old and static, they assured me, and I've consistently stated the truth about it for all these 40 years, every time. Yet Chappell violated P.C. sec. 5011, insisting on denying me parole BECAUSE I proved the trial prosecution team framed me for murder; something that shouldn't even have been allowed into the hearing, especially since I'm not allowed to "retry the case" --yet the DA and commissioner are. He also grossly violated my right to not discuss the conviction crime, which I made clear was my elected decision, yet he also tag-teamed with the DDA to bring in a mountain of false claims about the commitment crime facts and goaded me REPEATEDLY into talking about it as a necessity to set the record straight on some wild & crazy made-up false "facts" that weren't facts of my case, ever. It's reminiscent of the BPH in hearings past insisting my beloved late Mother was an alcoholic, when she was everything the opposite; they simply invented this "history." Where do they get these brazen lies from? I'll tell you where: from the commissioner's imagination. Nowhere else.

For 28 years I've been denied a COMPLETE file review, another of the 15+ parole hearing rights violations, but I had to let it go (again), not only because I was left no other choice, but because the 2019 panel assured me we wouldn't go back into the old false RVRs; all I needed to do is come with none since the past (2019) hearing. Hey! Take notice! I haven't had a 115 guilty finding IN EIGHT YEARS! And no negative counseling chronos since 2014, when I got 3 that caused parole denial FOR RESPONSIBLY AVOIDING A POTENTIALLY FATAL MOB ATTACK ON ME for having hyperacusis seizures the inmate mob didn't understand. But Chappell insisted in dragging 38 year old false RVRs into this hearing where

I was defenseless to PROVE a great amount of them were false, because that proof was in the C-file of which I was denied the right to review fully to get those documents of proof. My bare HONEST answers that I didn't commit the old, static, unchangeable RVR accusations, as old as 38 years ago, was jumped on by Chappell not only to be his co-basis for parole denial now 38 years later, but also to hammer on his imagination product that I am a very, very violent individual today, because I'm so mentally ill that I don't have insight to see my own mentally-ill violence, based on the false 38 year old and subsequent very old RVRs.

The obscenely malicious, false psych report (CRA) (vehemently opposed as fraudulent by ALL legitimate and non-BPH mercenary-psychologists) containing 80 specified falsehoods, was based on the same decades-old RVRs, which is the same cycle BPH continues to relentlessly rehash in violation of YOUR WORD that your board's decision is based on "WHO YOU ARE TODAY, NOT MONTHS OR YEARS AGO." The circular illogic applied uniquely in my case by the panel REACHING for a straw to justify a predetermined denial, is proof enough that Chappell had a personal hate and grudge, even a vendetta, and was not even close to being an IMPARTIAL hearing officer.

Speaking of which: because the record contained PROOF THAT SINCE MY 2019 HEARING I'D BEEN ISSUED **AND ACQUITTED OF** 4 OR 5 **PROVEN** FALSE RVRs IN AN ATTEMPT TO SABOTAGE THIS PAROLE GRANT, these were now used as CHAPPELL'S "RELEVANT EVIDENCE" that I'm still committing daily misconduct in prison, as the court-required link back to the 40 year old commitment crime. READ THAT AGAIN. Yes, that 5 **ACQUITTALS**, in Chappell's twisted, biased thinking, which proved guards were still setting me up with false RVRs, proved my guilt of them. What? Anyone else would have seen as the proof of what I've been saying for 38 years, that the RVRs were a campaign to destroy me and my parole chances. I didn't have to "CHANGE;" staff made the change of investigating and honestly exposing the RVRs as false. Chappell, uniquely, through his smog-bias colored glasses of insight, deemed this a showing that I was guilty of them because I was found to be innocent of them. LET THAT SINK IN! If that's not partial, personal-agenda bias, what would be?

I have the list of more than 10 other rights violations besides those listed above, which now have me facing execution by lethal injection, by a soon-coming forced vaccine that I have a lifelong medical history of near-fatal reactions to. This one will be fatal. And until mortality is consummated, the horrors in my body are akin to being skinned alive, far worse than death ending those torture horrors. Will that constitute homicide by Chappell and Wong? Or by the many other BPH members who added an extra ten-plus years onto my sentence, by illegal delays in every past hearing except one? (With manufactured fake reasons for adding more months and years like the 3-25-21 fabricated "investigation" that took another half year of my life? -- I'd have been home 12 ago if not for those illegal delays).

If you've read this far, we're almost done; please continue. If you haven't, that's your failure, not mine, which will probably cost my life, as an innocent man. Not only 40 years taken, not only 30 years more chopped off then end of my life, (if I were to live a decade longer), but now, instead, an execution,

Shaffer/BPH  
1-14-22

4

in 2022 or 2023 because you didn't read to the end, or did, but thought that inevitability was just fine. Hypocrisy? (Lecturing a never-violent, innocent 40-year imprisoned man to get empathy for pain inflicted on others?)

BOTTOM LINE: Chappell's (and Wong's) bottom line was exactly this: The decision to perpetually prevent my promised parole, unlike to any other prisoner in California history, WAS NOT BASED ON "unsuitability of BEING UNSAFE FOR SOCIETY." It is based on the fact that I am too honest and filled with Christian honor to grovel before the panel with FALSE claims of crimes and petty rule violations I never committed, and because I spent the first 18 years of my imprisonment PROVING BEYOND ANY DEBATE that the trial prosecution team with my attorney's help framed me for murder to get this conviction for personal gains. That's why I was denied parole on 1-12-22; all the other slanders and rationalizations by the panel were window dressing-theater, necessary to meet the courts' requirements of "stated" nexus-based reasons -- however false and factually baseless and unfounded. They turned the 1-12-22 hearing into a dark ages CONFESSION INQUISITION demanding I bow and kiss the anti-Christian ring and falsely "CONFESS" to a life of terrible and violent criminality, (which has never existed in my life), or burn in the flames of a LIFE WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE (LWOPP) sentence, converted from the 8-1/2 year sentence decreed by my trial court. And now a death penalty warrant on top of that with the lethal vax injection I'm imminently facing.

This so-called "hearing" decision makes AN ABSOLUTE MOCKERY OF YOU AND YOUR PROMISE TO THE PUBLIC (and to me), that all parole decisions will be made based on "WHO I AM TODAY," not what allegedly happened 40 years ago or throughout four decades of imprisonment horrors of a bad apple minority of prison guards running a networked campaign of cranking out false RVRs to cause perpetual parole denial. (With no record ever kept by CDC/R of the THOUSANDS of exceptionally good things I did for every one of those alleged petty RVRs.) Notice I didn't call you a liar; Kevin Chappell did, or made you one. Not me. Will you act to correct that? First, go watch "60 DAYS IN" on Court TV and then see if you can keep a straight face in the mirror comparing in-prison behavior with pre-prison behavior of a lifelong anti-crime pillar of the community. And require it to be mandatory viewing for all your empathy-void BPH staff.

Other than the commitment conviction which was an act that saved my life from a very brutal butcher-murder with a meat cleaver, try to find even one scintilla of a single violent thing I've ever done in my entire life. YOU CAN'T. BECAUSE THERE ISN'T ONE. There isn't one BECAUSE I'VE NEVER HAD A LEANING OF VIOLENCE IN MY TENDER AND GENTLE-HEARTED ENTIRE LIFE.

If you give more than mere lip service to P.C. section 5011 that prohibits the panel from doing what Chappell did, taking my life for refusing to falsely CONFESS to a crime of murder I didn't commit, a lot of eyes are on you now, hoping you have the personal INSIGHT, HONOR, and BACKBONE to step up to the plate, finally, and rectify this latest round of madness which has gone on too many decades of a completely non-violent, gentle and good man's stolen and crushed, destroyed life. All because I won't ever lie and confess to things I didn't do, even unto death by the worst torture in human history: wrongful imprisonment.

You obviously don't care one whit about the unjust destruction of what's left of my personal life, and maybe even relish in it, but many other good people have now died terrible deaths and many more will, needlessly, senselessly, because I'm not free. Opioid overdoses, kidnappings, human trafficking, sex trafficking, brutal rapes, torture-murders, police officers slain while helping others, deaths by starvation, military veterans' lonely suicides, and the list goes on and on. This is the doing of Chappell, Wong, you and others in the BPH who've kept me falsely imprisoned for decades beyond my release date, because NONE OF YOU EVER TOOK THE TIME TO LOOK AT WHO I AM EVERY PASSING "TODAY," nor who I was all my life. And what I was actually doing as I spent an entire lifetime since my youth helping these people, teaching, healing, training, giving meaning and purpose to life, providing means, inventing crime-stopping and other innovations, uplifting and enlightening with original songs, developing positive programs, using my celebrity status as a platform, which did and would have saved many. But none of you ever took the time to see any of that, instead focused on the fake CDC/R-created "strawman" in the lying, malicious prison files that have no similarity nor nexus to who I am or ever have been. So, give yourselves a pat on the back for all these horrifically suffering ones and many unnecessary deaths I could have and would have saved, if I were free.

Free Lazor  
Falsely imprisoned 30 years  
BEYOND my court-required release date;  
Never criminally-oriented, lifelong

TO: JENNIFER SHAFFER, only, personally  
c/o Board of Parole Hearings  
PO Box 4036  
Sacramento, CA 95812-4036

Ms. Shaffer:

RE: OUTRAGEOUS BPH STAFF MISCONDUCT AGAINST MODEL PRISONER/MODEL CITIZEN  
MR. FREE LAZOR (Case no. C73842)

Are you the one responsible for training your commissioners and deputies that if a prisoner in a parole consideration hearing before them refuses to commit perjury by grovelling in false "CONFESSIONS" of all sorts of invented crimes, misconduct and "character defects" which never existed in reality, that s/he is to thereby be "found unsuitable" for and denied parole forever, for the rest of their natural life? This is a present version of the unconscionable dark ages **INQUISITION "CONFESSIONALS"** requiring the targeted person to "kiss the ring," CONFESS or burn at the stake. Continued imprisonment is far worse than being burned at the stake. If your answer is an honest "no," then hereby become informed that this is EXACTLY what has taken 30 EXTRA YEARS of a good man's life, after his court-decreed prison sentence was fully served, including again, just recently on 1-12-2022 by your present commissioner Keyin Chappell and deputy Nancy Wong. These two shattered and mangled to bits the promises made by the past commissioners of a parole grant and release in order to viciously destroy what little life is left of top model prisoner Free Lazor. And he may now be executed by a forced vaccine to which he is fatally allergic (chemically hyper-sensitive). We hope it is not already too late to correct that ultimate tragedy to this 40-years long travesty. There was NO OTHER BASIS for parole denial on January 12, 2022, but this prisoner's refusal to FALSELY "CONFESS" to crimes never committed.

As if that injury alone weren't enough injustice, Chappell insisted this act of integrity to not falsely confess, proves this prisoner is severely mentally ill, deranged, and extremely violent -- all 180° opposite of his entire life history which Chappell asserted he could rewrite just by asserting his runaway train power to do so. This parole candidate's entire life history has consistently been of gentle caring and giving, with the sole exception of a "violent act" having been necessary to save his life from a shockingly brutal meat cleaver attack wherein his head was almost chopped in half. In his own bedroom by a home invasion intruder ... 40 years ago! NO ONE CAN CHANGE THIS **STATIC EVENT** WRITTEN IN HISTORY, merely by asserting a different script written in Mr. Chappell's imagination because he asserts it's so.

This prisoner not only fulfilled all requirements put on him by the previous parole panels assuring a guarantee of parole release, but he exceeded them at least 20 times over in every category, more fully than probably any other parole candidate in California history. This is a twisted, perverse, outrageous 180° EXACT INVERSION of what the procedures and laws provide for, and as promised



in the past two parole hearings. It is **BECAUSE** this prisoner has NO CRIMINAL HISTORY, **BECAUSE** he abhorred crime and criminals ALL HIS LIFE, never participated with one in any misbehavior, and lived his whole life completely contrary to all such activities, for this he has been wrongfully blocked from parole again. FOR THIS REASON, he has been wrongfully denied parole for 30 extra years, UNLIKE ANY OTHER PRISONER IN CALIFORNIA HISTORY, **BECAUSE** he lived a crime-free life. **BECAUSE** he cannot and will not, ever, confess to acts he didn't do; yet must watch all the other parole candidates who committed hundreds and thousands of crimes all their lives, convicted of worse murders and longer sentences, be "found suitable," granted parole and sent home -- upon having been imprisoned ten and 20 years less than this prisoner. This is pathetic and OBSCENE beyond description or parallel. Since this prisoner never has had any such criminality in his thinking, inclinations, nor life activities or behaviors, maybe those who keep obsessively demanding he confess to them, nonetheless, have those hidden in their own characters or backgrounds or personal leanings? Otherwise, where would they come from? And why the obsessive push for CONFESSIONS of these traits and nonexistent historical events when overwhelming and conclusive historical records prove they've never existed in this prisoner's life.

This "only ticket" home being mass CRIME CONFESSIONS, is a gross violation of Penal Code section 5011 which prohibits parole denial for such refusals to make false CONFESSIONS. It's given lip service at the start of parole hearings, then trampled on and ignored as it's grossly violated later in the hearings.

CDCR and BPH spent some 40 years creating a paper & ink "strawman" assigning Free Lazor's name and prison number to, an invented "person" 180° opposite of the actual man in real life. At every parole hearing, your commissioners are shocked to find the man in front of them does not match with the strawman they think is the real man. But tragically, instead of recognizing this for what it is, it confuses some, and enrages other commissioners, who deny him parole decade after decade for failure to match up with the strawman profile; **NEVER FOR ACTUALLY BEING UNSAFE FOR SOCIETY. He never has been. Never.**

It's way past time for you to **ACTIVELY INTERVENE** and stop this **MADNESS** that's torn the life out of one of the most gentle, anti-violent, non-criminal men who's ever shared our planet. This is inhumane sadistic mistreatment, it is "elder abuse," terrorism, torture, conscience-shocking cruelty, genocide, kidnapping, human trafficking, false imprisonment, with no parallel in our country, **ALL BECAUSE** this man has too much integrity than to falsely "CONFESS" to acts he never committed, before evil or bewildered, confused men.

Please both respond and intervene to meaningfully rectify this shocking travesty.

3-5-1999

## Albert M. Leddy's Affidavit:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPODECLARATION OF ALBERT M. LEDDY

I, ALBERT M. LEDDY, hereby declare:

1. I was an attorney at law, currently retired. After graduating from Boalt Hall, University of California at Berkeley, I practiced law until 1983 including serving as Deputy District Attorney and then District Attorney of Kern County, California, from 1952 to 1965 and then again from 1970 to 1983.
2. Between 1983 and 1992 I served as a Commissioner and then as Chairman of the Board of Prison Terms (BPT) pursuant to my appointment and re-appointment to those positions by Governor George Deukmejian.
3. During approximately 9 years of service as BPT Chairman and Commissioner, parole hearings were conducted as now for "life" prisoners (with a maximum prison term of life and a minimum of between 7 and 25 years, reduced for work and good behavior), by 3-member BPT panels at intervals prescribed by the California Penal Code statutes and the parole regulations in the Code of Regulations, Title 15, Division 2, Board of Prison Terms, §§ 2000 et seq.
4. From 1983 to 1990 BPT panels became more reluctant to grant paroles in accordance with Penal Code § 3041(a) (which requires that at the initial hearing a parole date "shall normally" be set), resulting in a substantial, steady decline in the percentage of parole dates granted at hearings. This decline was caused by increasing political pressure and new BPT Commissioner appointees who disfavored paroling life prisoners.
5. After Governor Wilson's election in 1990, he substantially intervened to reduce parole grants; in actual effect his policy practically eliminated paroles. He accomplished this, first, by appointing and re-appointing BPT Commissioners known to disfavor parole or to favor a "no-parole" policy. These appointees were all crime victims, former law enforcement personnel or Republican legislators who had been defeated in elections and needed a job.
6. Governor Wilson made his "no-parole" policy known in several ways including, I believe, through statements quoted by the media and possibly through the Youth and Adult Correctional Agency Secretary, although I can't say I know this to be fact. I am aware that he wanted previously set parole dates rescinded.
7. The new BPT appointments by Governor Wilson violated Penal Code § 5075 which required that "[t]he selection of persons and their appointment by the Governor and confirmation by the Senate shall reflect as nearly as possible a cross-section of the racial, sexual, economic, and geographical features of the population of the state." Governor Wilson's appointments have been mostly from his home area of San Diego. Most are not qualified by training or experience for the position of BPT Commissioner, and they do not fulfill the statutory cross-section requirements of racial, sexual, economic or geographical proportion.
8. My knowledge of these facts is based on publication and my awareness of said

- appointments, my daily dealings with them as panel members at BPT hearings at which paroles were denied contrary to the laws and regulations, and Mr. Wilson's public statements disavowing parole policy as set forth in the statutes and regulations, and proclaiming during his campaigns that he would not have "another Willie Horton episode." On one occasion Joe Sandoval, former Secretary of YACA (a cabinet level appointment) personally warned the Commissioners to be careful about granting paroles. Chairman John Gillios told two Commissioners, "Stop giving these dates."
9. Governor Wilson also accomplished his "no-parole" policy by having the BPT use a previously unused law to void practically all grants of parole by BPT's panels, while not using it to overturn any decision denying parole. This law was Penal Code § 3041.2.
  10. Governor Wilson also had the BPT use a seldom-used regulation, 15 CCR § 2451(c), to rescind nearly all of its previous grants of parole to prisoners awaiting their release. This regulation, known as the "improvident grant" clause, became routinely used to rescind those previously set dates. On one occasion, after I refused to recommend rescission on a panel, I was told by Ted Rich, BPT's Executive Officer, to recommend rescission when it is the Governor's desire. It was obvious to myself and other Commissioners that we would not be re-appointed if we did not comply.
  11. At one point I became concerned enough about the "no-parole" policy that I wrote a 9-page brief about how we were not complying with the laws. I gave a copy to each Board member, pointing out that we could be sued. I asked that this brief be a topic on the Board's agenda. Ted Rich, as Executive Officer, said, "That's not going to be on the agenda. You can't have it on the agenda." Inasmuch as I expressed my dissatisfaction verbally and in my brief, I'm sure that my objections helped me not to get re-appointed.
  12. Accordingly, the effect that Governor Wilson has exerted upon BPT personally, through his politically-based policy, by his BPT appointments, and by his intervention to rescind and reverse parole grants, has been to remove any reasonable possibility of parole for practically all of the thousands of California prisoners serving terms of life with the possibility of parole.
  13. Such a "no-parole" policy is contrary to Penal Code § 3041 which requires that BPT "shall normally set a parole date in most cases, i.e., unless the prisoner is shown to pose a threat to public safety, and that the BPT panels shall declare prisoners "suitable" for a future release date and set that release date unless a preponderance of the evidence presented at the hearing demonstrates that the prisoner "will pose an unreasonable risk of danger to society if released from prison."
  14. Although the reluctance to grant parole began in the early 80's, under Governor Wilson's regime BPT panels denied parole in over 99% of cases by employing procedures that violate the parole statutes and regulations. Primarily used are offense factors. BPT panels find prisoners "unsuitable" for parole based mainly or entirely on the facts and circumstances of their offense instead of their level of dangerousness, as reflected by performance, rehabilitation and expert evaluation in their prison records. Because the facts and circumstances of crimes do not change, the procedure effectively increases all such sentences from life with the possibility of parole to life without the possibility of parole. Despite contrary regulations and statutes, BPT's chief counsel and Executive Officer urged me and the other Commissioners to deny paroles based on the prisoner's offenses.
  15. The procedure also eliminates BPT's duty to set parole dates because parole can't be

granted for those found "unsuitable." This renders illusory BPT's term-setting obligation and lifer's opportunity to parole. Even the most deserving prisoners shown overwhelmingly not to pose an unreasonable risk (or any) risk of danger to the public if released have not, cannot and will never receive parole under such a policy.

- 16. After 1992, when my final term as BPT Commissioner expired, I re-entered the private practice of law. I represented an inmate at his BPT hearing. Although the inmate had a statutory right to call witnesses, who could have refuted the allegations the panel used to deny parole, the BPT denied the witness. Additionally, the BPT substituted Commissioner Carol Bentley, a former Assemblyperson who was appointed after she was not re-elected. I had never known Ms. Bentley to grant parole to any lifer. She was the panel chairperson. She was rude, her hostility was obvious and it was evident she was pre-determined to oppose parole.
17. Prior to commencement of his parole hearing, I personally heard Ron Koenig, a Commissioner on the hearing panel and former BPT Chairman, inform Rick Erwood, the Riverside District Attorney attending the hearing, "don't worry" because "we won't parole this guy," in those approximate words. This is consistent with my experience described above in which BPT's hearing panels often made decisions to deny parole prior to the hearings.
18. As a taxpayer, I believe it is a waste of perhaps millions of dollars each year to incarcerate those life prisoners whose prison records adequately demonstrate they are no longer a threat to public safety. The correctional system spends millions on programs to teach them trades and marketable skills, to give them a general education, and until it's discontinuation, psychological therapy to help them change their lives. I believe the law must be followed, that the Governor cannot create his own set of punishments in defiance of the clear legislative intent. Every man is entitled to a fair hearing where the burden of proof is set and adhered to, and the results are not just what is politically expedient for one person, the sitting governor.
19. I am informed that Governor Gray Davis has expressed his policy that no murder offender will be paroled on his watch. As a former Commissioner and as a lawyer, such a policy is clearly contrary to the statutes and regulations governing the parole process. Such a policy will exacerbate an already unacceptable situation which is backlogging hundreds, perhaps more, of life prisoners who are already beyond the term they would normally have served but for the "no-parole" policy.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the facts I have stated are true and correct. My expressions of belief as to each specified facts are based on the reasons I have given as to each such fact. I would be willing to testify to same in a court of law. I so swear, this [5th] day of [March] 1999, at Los Osos, California.

[Signed]  
Albert M. Leddy  
Declarant

VAX-RAPE (JAB)

I can't for the life of me conceive how so many of our own usually awake, smart people in our own camp have fallen into the enemy's trap of referring to the vaccine mania as "the jab." This type of EUPHEMISM is a tool to trick people's unthinking, not fully awake minds into GLOSSING OVER the term replaced by the euphemism. (A zombie-like quick flash moment.) The purpose of the euphemism, in this case, is to water down the concept that the replaced term or phrase would have brought to mind reflectively. And done for good reasons by the enemy. The insane push by pressure, coercion, trickery, bribery, lies and deception, peer pressure, and threats to relinquish one's sanity and allow injection of this toxic mystery potion concocted by depraved maniacs playing GOD into their beautiful-machine body temples is a form of RAPE. Don't believe it? Look it up in any good dictionary. RAPE doesn't need to be sexual in order to be rape. Oh, and did I forget to mention the means of "violent force" of the vax as is on the verge of being brutally injected into resistant prisoners in some U.S. prisons. Like Bill Gates had done to the little African children years ago whose army of mercenary vax-rapists chased down the running, screaming, crying, traumatized children before tackling them and "jamming it in." (Sound like rape?)

Even in the conventional use of the term "rape," the sexual kind, the primary essence is not the sexual, physical battery. Ask any good psychologist. Compare the years of psycho-emotional aftermath long after the physical aspect had healed. The primary essence, at least usually, is exerting POWER OVER the "victim" for the sake of power victimization. The vax-rape has both this power-over aspect and the "jam it in" and inject something foreign into the victim's body. The elements of force or coercion and the overpowering of reluctance is at the heart of the mass vax-rape-injection mania by power-crazed politicians and soulless profiteering drug czars...a dream-come-true of demented megalomaniacs to rape every single human on planet earth. To continue referring to it as the ho-hum, innocuous, not-so-bad "jab" turns the mind away from the realization of what it really is, and it CONTRIBUTES to carrying on this mass public rape campaign. So, I'd like to humbly encourage all awake and informed people to use the fitting term "VAX-RAPE" instead of "the jab;" it's still concise and spot-on as to the reality of what is actually being done.

FL, Detroit, MI

## INDEX OF BRADY ISSUES

1. ATTACKER'S BODY CREMATED, IMMEDIATELY
2. SECOND FALSIFIED AUTOPSY REPORT SUPPLANTED ORIGINAL, STILL HIDDEN
3. MEAT CLEAVER ATTACK WEAPON NOT TAKEN INTO EVIDENCE, NOT ADDRESSED IN TRIAL
4. ATTACKER'S FINGERPRINTS AUTOCLAVED OFF HIS GUN BY POLICE
5. BLOODY TOWEL "PLANTED" AT CRIME SCENE BY MEDICS AND PROSECUTOR
6. ATTACKER'S BLOODY SHIRTS THROWN IN TRASH BY POLICE
7. ATTACKER'S JACKET & SHIRTS WITH BULLET HOLES MOLD-RUINED BY POLICE
8. AUTOPSY PHOTOS PROVING FRONTAL SHOT, HIDDEN SIXTEEN YEARS
9. BULLETS & BULLET CASINGS PLANTED AT CRIME SCENE BY POLICE
10. FALSIFIED POLICE REPORTS OF AMOUNTS OF BULLETS FIRED FROM LAZOR'S GUN
11. BROKEN CHAIN OF CUSTODY OF BULLETS, GUN CLIP, AND LAZOR'S GUN
12. DESTROYED GARBAGE BASKET WITH CRITICAL BULLET HOLE ANGLE AND OTHER EVIDENCE
13. CRIME SCENE EVIDENCE ITEMS GIVEN BY POLICE TO ATTACKER'S MOTHER
14. DOORKNOB OF CRASHED DOWN DOOR REMOVED AND THROWN IN TRASH BY POLICE
15. SHATTERED DOORJAMB RIPPED OUT AND DESTROYED BY D.A.'s APPROVAL
16. POLICE PHOTOS PROVING POLICE PERJURY OF CRASHED DOWN BEDROOM DOOR
17. LOW-POWER RELOAD TARGET BULLETS LIED ABOUT AS FULL KNOCKDOWN POWER LOADS
18. ATTACKER'S SHOEPRINTS DUSTED FROM BASHED DOWN DOOR LIED ABOUT BY D.A.
19. MANUFACTURED FALSE EVIDENCE OF FINGERPRINTS DESTROYED ON ATTACKER'S GUN
20. ATTACKER'S BLOODY FINGERPRINTS ON TELEPHONE, MOLD-DESTROYED
21. EXONERATING CASH REGISTER RECEIPT PROVING PERJURY, HIDDEN BY DEFENSE ATTORNEY
22. RECORDED POLICE INTERVIEWS PROVING STATE WITNESS PERJURY "LOST" BY POLICE
23. CRIMINAL HISTORIES (& FAVORS?) OF FIVE PERJURYING STATE WITNESSES HIDDEN
24. BULLET HOLE ANGLES DESTROYED BY POLICE TO CONTRIVE NON-SELF-DEFENSE CASE
25. FLOOR CARPETING WITH CRITICAL BLOOD TRAILS RIPPED UP AND TRASHED
26. ENTIRE CRIME SCENE STAGED BY MOVING ALL EVIDENCE ITEMS AT CRIME SCENE
27. ALL CRIME SCENE MEASUREMENTS/DIMENSIONS FALSIFIED TO DISCREDIT SELF-DEFENSE
28. LAZOR'S ILLEGALLY-SEIZED WRITINGS SECRETLY FORGED BY PROSECUTOR AND JUDGE
29. "GUILTY" VERDICT FORM FORGED BY JUDGE, INCREASING PRISON SENTENCE
30. LAZOR'S EXONERATING WRITINGS SEIZED TO PREVENT HIS USE IN TRIAL

---

Lazor wasn't dumb; he tried to get his traitorous attorney to expose it all and move for case dismissal for all the destroyed/fabricated evidence permanently preventing a fair trial. He not only refused, but denegated Lazor for his audacity, threatened him with re-jailing (he was free on bond), convinced him he couldn't be fired, AIDED THE PROSECUTION AT EVERY TURN to cover it all up and falsify more, and argued the state's case was "excellent" against Lazor.

## BRADY ISSUES

PARTIAL LIST OF TRIAL EVIDENCE ITEMS  
PLANTED, DESTROYED, MANUFACTURED, FABRICATED OUT OF THIN AIR,  
MATERIALLY MODIFIED, SPOILED, FORGED (WRITINGS), AND OTHERWISE CORRUPTED,  
---DELIBERATELY, SYSTEMATICALLY, BY THE TRIAL PROSECUTION TEAM  
WITH THE SECRET UNDERCOVER ASSISTANCE OF THE PAID DEFENSE ATTORNEY  
TO FRAME AND FALSELY IMPRISON FREE LAZOR FOR MURDER, FOR LIFE

(Bare List - Elaborations Are On Other Pages)

Would they have done any of this had they believed he was really guilty?

Why would they have had to, had he been guilty?

1. ATTACKER'S BODY: Immediately cremated so prosecutor and defense attorney could falsely insist to the jury that attacker was "shot in the back"
2. AUTOPSY REPORTS: First, honest report was secretly supplanted by new, totally falsified report of lies about bullet wound amounts and directions by state's Coronor, Ozoa, later busted for falsifying autopsies and perjury
3. MEAT CLEAVER: Primary weapon by which attacker almost chopped Lazor's head in half, once he crashed through Lazor's locked bedroom door
4. ATTACKER'S FINGERPRINTS ON HIS GUN: Visible to naked eye in tacky blood, until they AUTOCLAVED the gun, destroying the prints they then claimed were Lazor's he supposedly wiped off after "planting" the gun
5. "PLANTED" BLOODY TOWEL: Brought to scene by medics Lazor called for aid; prosecutor argued Lazor had and used to wipe the gun of his own fingerprints
6. SHIRT(S) SOAKED IN ATTACKER'S BLOOD: Police secretly threw in trash, thereafter recovered, only for defense attorney to again trash and destroy
7. JACKET & TWO OTHER SHIRTS ATTACKER WAS WEARING: Contained bullet hole entry proof, destroyed by letting mold grow copiously on them
8. AUTOPSY PHOTOS: Proving gunshot entered attacker's front, not back, hidden from Lazor by prosecution and defense attorney for 16 years
9. PLANTED BULLETS/BULLET CASINGS: Original reports confirm "fine tooth comb" search of scene for bullet shells; weeks later suddenly 2-3 more miraculously were found in plain sight to justify:
10. FALSIFIED REPORTS OF AMOUNT OF BULLETS FIRED: Original police reports list "several" and "some" bullets left in Lazor's gun unfired, changed for trial so police could claim "only one" and that he fired more shots than he had
11. BROKEN CHAIN OF CUSTODY OF BULLETS AND GUN: At trial no policeman could account for who had custody of bullets in clip when amount of bullets mysteriously changed; defense attorney stipulated to not object, it's okay
12. GARBAGE BASKET WITH CRITICAL EVIDENCE: In police photos, simply disappeared; had bullet angle evidence and attacker was putting (?) in it after being shot

13. NUMEROUS ITEMS FROM CRIME SCENE GIVEN TO ATTACKER'S MOTHER NOT INTO EVIDENCE: Meat cleaver attack weapon, ring of keys, other items attacker had at crime scene
14. DOORKNOB OF CRASHED DOWN BEDROOM DOOR: Hardened steel shaft was bent from massive force of attacker kicking down the door; police unscrewed it, threw it in the trash, was recovered, given to defense attorney; he hid it throughout trial so jury wouldn't know, and refused to return it to Lazor
15. DOORJAMB REMOVED, DESTROYED: Had shattered boards and shards showing attacker's violent force to get at Lazor; prosecutor turned over to attacker's family to rip out, destroy and replace
16. FULL DOOR OPENING CAPACITY: Multiple police photos hidden from jury proved many policemen committed perjury insisting Lazor's door couldn't open fully, disproving his self-defense claim. These prove full opening capacity
17. LOW-POWER BULLET LOAD: Lazor's bullets were reloaded, reduced power sport target shot. Policemen, coroner, criminalist, prosecutor, defense attorney, all lied to jury that they were high-power .45 load with one-shot knock out power; any added shooting being overkill, murder
18. ATTACKER'S SHOEPRINTS ON BASHED-DOWN DOOR: An easy 100% match to attacker's shoes dusted with print powder prosecutor claimed otherwise, to jury
19. MANUFACTURED "PLANTED GUN" SCAM: In autoclaving the attacker's prints (# 4, above) the melted blood became "evidence" both attorneys argued was made by Lazor "wiping" his fingerprints off, viola! a planted gun to feign self-defense
20. BLOODY TELEPHONE WITH ATTACKER'S FINGERPRINTS: They let thick, massive mold grow on it, ruining it's critical evidence, to get the defense attorney to "stipulate" it out of being brought in as evidence
21. DATED CASH REGISTER RECEIPT: On prosecutor's evidence table aggressively concealed by the defense attorney when he came to realize it proved the state's star witness committed perjury to frame Lazor
22. POLICE INTERVIEWS SUDDENLY LOST: Pre-trial taped interviews of a malicious drug addict witness proving critical perjury against Lazor, suddenly disappeared
23. CRIMINAL HISTORIES OF STATE WITNESSES HIDDEN: Five non-police perjuring state witnesses, all friends and family of the attacker, had criminal histories (and likely benefits?) hidden from the jury and from the defense
24. BULLET HOLE ANGLES: Destroyed by police (a) gouging into, in wall surface and (b) disappearing the garbage basket (#12, above) with bullet hole
25. FLOOR CARPETING: Blood-streaked with critical evidence of attacker's mobility and location trails was ripped up and destroyed
26. ENTIRE CRIME SCENE STAGED: Police photos prove every crime scene item of evidence had been moved, staged, and lied about under oath by policemen



27. CRIME SCENE MEASUREMENTS: ALL falsified to deceive the jury, making Lazor's accurate testimony seem impossible and false

28. FORGED DOCUMENTS: Seized without a warrant, the D.A and lead policeman forged to impute guilt, with the judge's approval, then used as the dramatic capstone of the state's closing statement to the jury

29. FORGED VERDICT FORM: In open court, the judge ordered the court clerk to write "TRUE" in the illegal gun use enhancement spaces left blank by the jury; completely negating a decades-less sentence option

30. EXONERATING EVIDENCE SEIZED WITHOUT WARRANT TO PREVENT DEFENSE USE IN COURT: Police refused to give copies of thousands of pages of Lazor's private documents containing proof of the frameup, kept from the jury

OTHER DOOZIES BY THE UNWANTED DEFENSE ATTORNEY:

1. He repeatedly praised the prosecutor's case to the jury saying we didn't disagree "the victim" was shot in the back and back of the head repeatedly

2. Insisted on calling the attacker "the victim" countless times throughout entire trial over Lazor's objections

3. Insisted on referring to Lazor's legal gun as a "weapon" Lazor "wanted to use," countless times throughout trial, rather than "a defensive firearm"

4. Insisted in siding with the D.A. over Lazor's objections to continuously refer to Lazor's bedroom as "the pantry" to support the D.A.'s concoction that it wasn't Lazor's bedroom and that he didn't even live in his house

5. Zealously worked to keep jury from knowing the attacker twice before violently attacked Lazor, because he (attorney) "felt so bad for his mother at Lazor having brutally killed her son"

6. Joined with prosecutor in concoction that Lazor and the attacker had previously spent days, weeks, months together, of Lazor provoking and fomenting angst; hiding the fact they'd never been in each others presence for more than ten to fifteen minutes **TOTAL**, including all three attacks

7. Refused to check into drugs the attacker had just taken, known to cause violent, homicidal rage attacks against others

8. Concealed admission by investigating/lead detective that entire murder case was "investigated" (constructed) to prove Lazor's guilt and discard all else

9. Concealed that #1 policeman at the scene had a stroke before trial and was spoonfed all testimony to match perjury of other policemen

10. He refused to let Lazor testify to exonerating facts, insisting he'd not testify at all if Lazor didn't comply with his script, pulling him off the stand when he (Lazor) went into exonerating testimony

11. He lied to Lazor to keep him out of secret chambers proceedings wherein he secretly withdrew manslaughter and STANDARD self-defense jury verdict options

to guarantee a verdict of murder

12. Insisted being paid his full fee in advance, and convinced Lazor he couldn't be fired, that it wasn't allowed; no one ever informed Lazor he had a right to represent himself (Faretta), or complain to the court about his attorney (Marsden). He tried anyway, but was silenced repeatedly by the judge

The above is ONLY ONE CATEGORY of more than 20 other categories of similarly-shocking illegal shenanigans that made up the composition of this so-called trial usurped by the defense attorney. Lazor has never had his day in court, but only 40 years so far in prison trying to get his one day in court.