

From: GARY NORTHINGTON  
Date: 2/7/2026 2:29:20 PM  
To: Rudy Davis

Attachments:

---

GR #515 (my #); RETALIATION TO OBSTRUCT OUTGOING MAIL (27 JAN 2026) (JCF-26-01-0179-28C, MDOC #):

\* GR #515, p. 1; STEP 1, p. 1 (JCF-26-01-0179-28C):

\* What attempt... I wrote to persons named herein. "A prisoner has filed his grievance documents on the day he signed them." BAILEY v WASHINGTON, 784 F Supp 3d 997, 1014 (ED Mich. 2025). Mailing is a ministerial act. TAXPAYERS, 508 Mich 48, 82 (2021).

-----  
\* On 23 to 27 JANUARY 2026, I became aware: Mailroom Workers    BARTLETT,    BRASSFIELD, Brian MADERY, Jennifer NORDER and/or UNKNOWN MAILROOM WORKER(S), upon instruction of Lt.    ARCHAMBEAU, Troy COBB, Lt.    HUDSON, DW Jimmy JARRETT, Sean LOCKHART, ADW Martin McCALLUM, Brian MORRISON, Kim NAPIER, Tim SCHUBRING, Heidi WASHINGTON and/or ADW David WENDT, all RETALIATED contrary to MDOC Policy PD 05.03.118, for my First Amendment exercise of Right to Petition in writing the document in question mailed by me, ARE REFUSING TO SEND MY ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL of my CLASS I MISCONDUCT for alleged THREATENING BEHAVIOR from JCF to the MDOC Hearings Administrator in the Bureau of Legal Affairs. Brian MORRISON has a character trait of committing such RETALIATION through other MDOC STAFF (Facebook, YouTube, X), so he and his team (Lt. ARCHAMBEAU and/or Lt. HUDSON) passed the ORDER through others. Troy COBB has a habit of opening my outgoing mail. FORTHWITH send out my said ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL of my CLASS I MISCONDUCT to the Hearings Administrator with explanation that you delayed it and why, for reasons said here and on page 2.

Dated: 27 JAN 2026 /s/ Gary M. Northington

-----  
\* GR #515, p. 2; STEP 1, p. 2:

\* From: Gary M. Northington 193035 F22 (23 JAN 2026):

\* TO: Mailroom Workers: BARTLETT,    BRASSFIELD, Brian MADERY, Jennifer NORDER & UNKNOWN MAILROOM STAFF, Lt.    ARCHAMBEAU, CRR Troy COBB, Lt.    HUDSON, DW Jimmy JARRETT, Sean LOCKHART, ADW Martin McCALLUM, Brian MORRISON, Kim NAPIER, Tim SCHUBRING, Heidi WASHINGTON, ADW David WENDT

\* On 23 JANUARY 2026, I was told, that you have RETALIATED, in violation of Policy PD 05.03.118, for my First Amendment exercise of sending my Administrative Appeal of my Class I Misconduct for alleged Threatening Behavior by refusing to send it to the Hearings Administrator in the Bureau of Legal Affairs. YOU ATTEMPTED TO HIDE YOUR WRONGS. FORTHWITH send out my CLASS I ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL TO THE HEARINGS ADMINISTRATOR WITH EXPLANATION THAT YOU DELAYED IT AND

WHY.

\* Brian MORRISON's MODUS OPERANDI is: (1) He orders his HENCHMEN to send NORTHINGTON'S OUTGOING MAIL to Troy COBB and/or Kim NAPIER; (2) who open said mail in violation of Policy PD 05.03.118 and Rule 791.6603; (3) then, Lt. ARCHAMBEAU, CRR Troy COBB, Lt. HUDSON, and Kim NAPIER read it to see if it exposes their CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AGAINST RIGHTS. 18 USC, Secs. 241 & 245.

Dated: 23 JAN 2026 /s/ Gary M. Northington

-----

\* GR #515, p. 3; STEP 1, p. 3 (23 JAN 2026):

\* From: Gary M. Northington 193035 F22 (07 FEB 2026)

\* TO: Sean LOCKHART

\* On 23 JANUARY 2026: I received notice saying my "Request for Rehearing was not received by you for the CLASS I MISCONDUCT written on me - for alleged "THREATENING BEHAVIOR" that did not occur. It was written on 26 SEPTEMBER 2025 for an alleged 25 SEPTEMBER 2025 event. I received the HEARING REPORT on 19 NOVEMBER 2025, and timely mailed to the Hearings Administrator on 23 NOVEMBER 2025, my Request for Rehearing that was about three-fourths (3/4) of an inch (1.5 cm) thick.

\* I mailed it in a smaller envelope that was inside of a larger reinforced envelope. The inside envelope was clearly addressed to: "MDOC HEARINGS ADMINISTRATOR, c/o Office of Legal Affairs, P.O. Box 30003, Lansing, Michigan 48909." The outer reinforced envelope was addressed to: "MDOC Director's Office, P.O. Box 30003, Lansing, MI 48909." IF YOU DID NOT GET MY REQUEST FOR REHEARING, THEN IT WOULD BE MY FIRST ID MAIL THAT DID NOT GET TO ITS INTENDED DESTINATION IN MY 39 YEARS IN PRISON. That anomaly will not look good in court.

\* Enclosed is a copy of the INDEX that was on the front of my Request for Rehearing. Also enclosed is my relevant ADA REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION REQUEST (Gr #513). If you don't find that Request for Rehearing, then you can deal with the U.S. DOJ TERMINATING YOUR ADA FUNDING.

Dated: 23 JAN 2026 Sincerely, /s/ Gary M. Northington

-----

\* GR #515, p. 4; STEP 1, p. 4 (23 JAN 2026):

\* 18 USC, Sec. 241. CONSPIRACY AGAINST RIGHTS.

\* If two or more persons conspire to injure, oppress, threaten, or intimidate any person in any State, Territory, Commonwealth, Possession, or District in the free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege secured to him by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or because of his having so exercised the same ... They shall be fined ... or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both... 18 USC, Sec. 241.

-----

\* GR #515, p. 5; STEP 2, p. 1 (07 FEB 2026):

\* "RIGHT TO PETITION" is a "Formal written request addressed to some governmental authority" (BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY, West Pub). "First Amendment exercise," "writing the document in question mailed by me," "my outgoing mail," and to "send my Administrative Appeal" of the Grievance are all the Right to Petition described in the First Amendment, Rule 791.6603, and PD 05.03.118. Respondents' actions described in pages 1 to 3 are a Criminal Conspiracy Against Rights contrary to Title 18 USC, Sections 241 and 245, regarding such

OBSTRUCTION OF RIGHT TO PETITION as described is done by COBB and MORRISON. If the acts of OBSTRUCTION and the acts of PETITIONING were in 2 separate Grievances, then COBB would reject both as VAGUE. STOP COMMITTING CRIMES (p. 4).

\* GR #515, p. 6; STEP 3, p. 1 (\_\_\_\_ FEB 2026):

\* COBB and MORRISON are lying to hide their ties to ORGANIZED CRIME.