The Monotropic Engine: AuDHD Cognition, Hyper-Vividity, and the Unified Theory of Visionary Synthesis

1. Introduction and Foundational Constructs

1.1. Contextualizing Neurodivergence and Exceptionalism

The history of scientific, philosophical, and artistic breakthrough is frequently intertwined with accounts of profound cognitive and behavioral "eccentricity".[1] These unique patterns of thought and action, often historically categorized simply as the unpredictable nature of genius, warrant re-examination through the lens of modern neurodiversity frameworks. While retrospective diagnosis is inherently limited, analyzing documented cognitive *styles*—such as intense focus, rigid routines, sensory sensitivities, and non-linear conceptualization—provides a systematic pathway to establishing a correlation between specific neurocognitive profiles, particularly those associated with co-occurring Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) and Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD), often termed AuDHD, and the capacity for revolutionary, visionary achievement.

This report seeks to move beyond the traditional deficit model of neurodivergence by establishing a detailed neurological and psychological link between core AuDHD traits and the cognitive processes required for visionary output. The subsequent sections will define the foundational constructs of this unique cognitive architecture, execute a comparative cross-link analysis across historical and modern figures, and propose a unified neurological framework: the Monotropic Edge Hypothesis (MEH).

1.2. Defining the AuDHD Cognitive Profile (The Monotropic System)

The AuDHD profile is characterized by a complex interplay of attentional and executive challenges derived from both autistic and ADHD modalities. Neurologically, ADHD symptoms are linked to dopamine (DA) and norepinephrine dysregulation, which influence executive functioning (EF).[3] This results in executive dysfunction (EFD), which impairs critical skills such as organization, time management, prioritization, and inhibition control.[5] Individuals with EFD struggle to analyze, plan, and complete large projects, frequently misplacing materials and becoming overwhelmed by complex tasks.[6]

However, the autistic component often introduces Monotropism, a foundational neuro-cognitive style based on the idea that the mind functions as an interest system.[7] Monotropism describes a tendency for attention to concentrate deeply on a narrow range of interests or activities, creating an "attention tunnel" that filters out competing or peripheral stimuli.[7] This approach contrasts sharply with polytropism, where attention is distributed broadly across multiple channels.[9]

The convergence of ADHD's executive challenges and Monotropism creates unique cognitive dynamics. Hyperfocus, a phenomenon well-known in ADHD, is characterized by intensive concentration on interesting and non-routine activities, temporarily diminishing the perception of the external environment.[10] When hyperfocus is driven by a Monotropic interest, it can be extremely productive and energizing.[11] This deep focus state is often considered functionally identical to Csikszentmihalyi's concept of flow.[10]

The Adaptive Function of Attentional Tunneling

The advantages of Monotropism are closely tied to sensory processing. AuDHD individuals commonly experience sensory hypersensitivity, which can lead to sensory overload in busy or overwhelming environments.[12] This overwhelming sensory input disrupts cognitive processing, making it difficult to execute complex tasks, prioritize activities, or maintain focus.[12]

The deep, sustained focus characteristic of Monotropism acts as a crucial protective cognitive mechanism. By concentrating resources on a single attentional scope, the individual intentionally or unintentionally filters out extraneous and competing sensory information.[8] This reduction in external sensory load stabilizes the highly sensitive brain, enabling it to sustain the intense, high-level abstract computation necessary for domains requiring immense depth, such as theoretical physics or complex engineering.[13]

Furthermore, the very challenges associated with executive dysfunction, such as the difficulty

in shifting focus (a characteristic of EFD [5]), become adaptively repurposed within a Monotropic system. The underlying dopaminergic dysregulation, which drives novelty-seeking and hyperfocus, channels all available cognitive resources into the specialized interest. The inherent difficulty in diverting attention transforms into single-minded dedication, preventing the intellectual scattering that characterizes a polytropic mind attempting to juggle too many competing demands.[6]

1.3. The Spectrum of Internal Reality (Defining the Mind's Eye)

The capacity for profound internal modeling is fundamental to visionary thinking. The "Mind's Eye" is defined in neuroscience as the ability for visual imagery—generating percept-like images in the absence of retinal input—which allows for the retrieval of pictorial information from memory.[14] This function is mediated by integrated cortical networks, with top-down modulation from parietal and frontal regions activating content-specific representations stored in the ventral visual stream.[14] Research confirms that visuospatial working memory (the location and movement of objects) is functionally distinct from visual working memory for object appearance, paralleling the 'what' and 'where' organization of the visual system.[15]

This capacity exists on a wide spectrum, ranging from aphantasia (the inability to intentionally form mental images) to hyperphantasia, where mental pictures appear strikingly real, like watching an immersive movie in the head.[16] Hyperphantasia is a key cognitive driver of deep internal modeling, enabling individuals to create and manipulate complex scenarios internally.[18] It is associated with higher levels of "openness" in personality and a likelihood of experiencing positive and negative emotions more keenly, functioning as an "emotional amplifier".[19]

The Synergy of Hyperphantasia and Monotropism

A crucial synergy emerges when Hyperphantasia and Monotropism coexist. Hyperphantasia provides the **fidelity**—the capacity for vivid, detailed, multi-sensory simulation and perfect recall.[18] Monotropism provides the **maintenance**—the ability to sustain that intense, internally generated simulation for prolonged periods by stabilizing the attentional focus.[8] This cognitive pairing provides the neurodivergent individual with an unparalleled tool for modeling reality, allowing complex systems, inventions, or theories to be built and perfected entirely within the mind, reducing the reliance on external physical constraints.

However, the intensity of hyper-vivid imagery carries inherent risks. High visual vividness is associated with several psychological conditions, including amplified symptoms in anxiety, major depressive disorder, and obsessive-compulsive disorder.[19] The vividness of mental imagery is a substantial risk factor for the development and continuation of intrusive memories, particularly in conditions like PTSD.[19] In extreme cases, hyperphantasia may exacerbate or predict the severity of visual hallucinations associated with psychosis.[17] The high intensity and emotional amplification generated by hyperphantasia therefore drive the frequent need for regulatory mechanisms, including dissociation.

1.4. The Mechanism of Breakthrough (Defining the Visionary)

A visionary is defined not merely by foresight, but by the capacity for generating radically new concepts. This capacity relies on **Divergent Thinking**: a spontaneous, non-linear creative thought process used to generate a wide variety of potential solutions or ideas.[21] Divergent thinking challenges assumptions, values breadth over certainty, and uncovers unexpected connections without the immediate pressure of evaluation.[22]

Neurodivergent cognition often processes information in non-linear ways—via patterns, flashes, and intuitive leaps—bypassing the step-by-step sequential logic of neurotypical processing.[23] This non-linear knowledge retrieval allows the connection of disparate concepts and ideas that might not ordinarily seem linked, a critical component of creative problem-solving.[5] This ability to operate outside conventional thought structures is the engine of revolutionary output.

2. Comparative Study: Neurodivergent Traits in Historical and Modern Visionaries

The following analysis applies the defined constructs (Monotropism, Hyperphantasia, Divergent Thinking, and associated traits) to the designated figures to establish evidence of a shared cognitive architecture enabling exceptional achievement.

2.1. The Engineering of Imagination: Nikola Tesla

Nikola Tesla, a titan of electrical engineering and innovation [1], exhibits a strong profile consistent with the Monotropism-Hyperphantasia nexus.

Evidence of Hyperphantasia: Tesla possessed an extraordinary capacity for visualizing complex systems.[24] He reportedly leveraged an eidetic memory, allowing him to instantly memorize books and perform complex integral calculus computations entirely in his head.[20] The genesis of his inventions and solutions to technical problems frequently originated as powerful, vivid "visions".[20] This ability to perfect machinery internally, without the need for physical prototyping, reflects the functional utility of high-fidelity internal modeling provided by Hyperphantasia.

Evidence of AuDHD/Monotropism: Tesla displayed an intense, single-minded focus on electricity and his inventions from an early age, classic evidence of Monotropic drive.[20] His social behavior was often characterized as eccentric [1], and he maintained strict routines, such as repeatedly curling his toes 100 times each night.[20] Crucially, he suffered from a deep sensitivity to light and sound.[24] These routines and eccentricities can be analyzed not merely as quirks of genius, but as adaptive strategies employed by a Monotropic mind to manage overwhelming sensory input, thus protecting the attention tunnel necessary for his internal design work.

2.2. Relativistic Focus: Albert Einstein

Albert Einstein, the architect of relativity, is another historical figure frequently associated with profound cognitive specialization.

Evidence of Monotropism: Einstein was renowned for his ability to concentrate on complex scientific ideas for hours, extending sometimes into days, without interruption or distraction.[25] This persistent, exclusive concentration mirrors the hyper-focused interest areas observed in individuals with Monotropism.[25] His intellectual efforts were often directed solely toward his subject, demonstrating a commitment that necessarily excluded typical social and logistical demands.

Evidence of Sensory Sensitivity and Eccentricity: Einstein was known for his unconventional lifestyle.[1] Anecdotal accounts suggest certain sensory sensitivities, including a strong preference for specific types of clothing and reported sensitivity to touch and textures.[26] This prioritization of comfort and minimization of disruption, manifesting as "eccentricity," can be interpreted as a strategy to reduce competing sensory or executive

demands, ensuring that the maximum cognitive resources remained allocated to the Monotropic focus (theoretical abstraction).

2.3. Ancient Structures of Thought: Aristotle, Pythagoras, and Plato

The intellectual revolution initiated by the ancient Greeks required a capacity for immense, foundational systematic thought, consistent with Monotropic specialization.

Pythagoras and Monotropic Revelation: The foundational discovery attributed to Pythagoras or his earliest followers—that numbers and abstract principles unlock the pattern and order hidden beneath the apparent chaos of nature—was one of the most significant intellectual shifts in history.[27] This revelation required an intense, specialized dedication to abstract systems. Pythagoras is celebrated as the founder of the quadrivium (arithmetic, geometry, astronomy, and music) [28], demonstrating profound specialization in interlocking abstract systems. This deep focus aligns perfectly with Monotropism, where resources are concentrated on a narrow, abstract field of inquiry.

Plato and Aristotle: System Builders: Both Plato and Aristotle utilized and refined these specialized Pythagorean doctrines.[28] The creation of systematic frameworks for logic, ethics, and metaphysics demands the sustained capacity to build and maintain vast, self-referential internal structures of thought. Monotropic individuals excel at creating these highly detailed internal worlds [8], allowing them to generate enduring philosophical paradigms that rejected traditional, polytropic explanations in favor of unified, systematic truths.[27]

2.4. Non-Linear Predictors: Nostradamus

Michel de Nostredame, known as Nostradamus, is studied here not for the accuracy of his prophecies, but for the structure of his cognitive output, which exemplifies non-linear processing.

Evidence of Non-Linear Cognition: Nostradamus's most famous work, *Les Prophéties*, is structured as a collection of 942 poetic quatrains (four-line stanzas).[30] These prophecies are consistently presented without titles, overarching leitmotifs, or chronological interconnection, appearing instead as a sequence of discrete, symbolic, and highly associative flashes.[31] His style required immense subjective interpretation ("reading into his predictions").[32]

This fragmented, associative structure suggests a cognitive style operating via rapid, intuitive, non-linear pattern recognition.[23] In the context of creative cognition, this output reflects a high-entropy processing state where associations are sampled from memory based on their similarity to a cue, resulting in highly novel but seemingly disconnected ideas.[33] Nostradamus's unconventional presentation enabled him to translate insights derived from a non-linear, hyperassociative brain state into public knowledge, consistent with high divergent thinking.

2.5. The Art of Dissociation: Jim Carrey

The modern figure of Jim Carrey provides a case study in the artistic application of hyper-vivid emotional processing and dissociation.

Evidence of Creative Dissociation: Carrey has publicly articulated a feeling of identity fluidity, stating that his core identity "doesn't exist" when embodying characters.[34] This demonstrates an extreme capacity for creative dissociation—a process of detachment from the integrated sense of self [35] that allows for profound immersion and transformation into roles.

Hyperassociativity and Emotional Amplification: Dissociative symptoms are linked to hyperassociativity, which can enhance artistic creativity.[37] Furthermore, dissociation is not linked to deficient emotional processing; rather, individuals who score high on dissociative scales show facilitated, or amplified, emotional processing.[35] Hyperphantasia is also an emotional amplifier, making both positive and negative emotions felt more keenly.[19] This heightened emotional intensity creates an internal state that may become overwhelming, prompting the mind, when its Monotropic capacity is maxed out, to defensively disassociate ("throw up a 'do not disturb' sign").[29] This defensive detachment paradoxically generates the hyper-associative states necessary for rapid and radical creative exploration, allowing Carrey to access and express extreme cognitive flexibility.

Table 2: Cross-Correlation of Historical Figures with Neurodivergent Cognitive Traits

Historical Figure	Hypothesized Core Cognitive Trait	Biographical Evidence/Manifestation	Link to Visionary Output

Nikola Tesla	Monotropism, Hyperphantasia	Extreme visualization, eidetic memory, sensory sensitivity (light/sound), rigid routines.[20]	Conceptualizing and perfecting complex machinery entirely via sustained internal simulation.
Albert Einstein	Monotropism, Sensory Sensitivity	Sustained, exclusive concentration (hyperfocus) for days; clothing/texture preferences.[25]	Maintaining sustained theoretical abstraction necessary for breakthroughs like relativity by minimizing external distraction.
Pythagoras/Plato	Monotropism (Abstract Specialization)	Obsession with number as the principle of reality; creating systematic, specialized fields of inquiry.[27]	Developing foundational philosophical and mathematical systems that structure objective reality.
Nostradamus	Non-Linear Cognition, High Entropy	Symbolic, fragmented, associative poetic output (quatrains) resulting from pattern recognition.[31]	Generating maximal novelty by accessing and translating the high-associative capacity of a non-linear brain state.
Jim Carrey	Dissociation, Emotional Amplification	Use of "characters," perceived identity fluidity, facilitated emotional processing.[34]	Utilizing hyperassociative, emotionally intense states for radical artistic expression and immediate

			cognitive flexibility.

---

3. The Research Paper: Neurological Convergence and The Edge of Chaos Hypothesis

The evidence from the comparative study suggests a structural advantage in the neurodivergent cognitive profile for sustained, radical divergence. This advantage relies on the capacity to maintain a highly dynamic but controlled cognitive state.

3.1. Attentional Resource Allocation: Focused Inhibition

The Monotropic style fundamentally represents a sophisticated economy of attention designed to manage resource scarcity.[8] While polytropic individuals spread attention widely, the Monotropic individual achieves immense depth by directing all available cognitive resources toward a singular domain.[7]

In cognitive science, high creativity is often linked to the ability to deliver more focused activation to conceptual associates, often achieved through enhanced **inhibition of attention** to alternative, non-task-related stimuli.[33] Monotropism serves as a powerful, inherent mechanism for this enhanced inhibitory control. By establishing and defending the "attention tunnel," the Monotropic system provides the necessary cognitive anchor to sustain prolonged, revolutionary work. This framework suggests that the challenge of executive dysfunction (inhibition control [5]) is overcome when attention is intrinsically motivated, transforming a perceived deficit into a highly effective tool for focus.

3.2. Sensory Processing, Hyper-Vividity, and Cognitive Load

Sensory hypersensitivity, a hallmark of the AuDHD profile, significantly increases the informational entropy, or "noise," generated by the external environment.[12] This high-entropy external state poses a constant threat of sensory overload, necessitating an adaptive response.

For individuals with high-fidelity internal modeling systems (Hyperphantasia), the natural adaptive response is to seek refuge and control through **Internalization**. Individuals like Tesla and Einstein favored environments that minimized sensory disruption [24] and developed complex, structured internal realities where processing was predictable and manageable.[20] This internalization sustains the Monotropic flow state, turning environmental chaos into structured internal complexity. Furthermore, the correlation between Hyperphantasia and synesthesia [19] means the internal model is often multisensory, further increasing the richness and complexity of the internal simulation available for divergent thought.

3.3. Dissociation and the Hyperassociative State

Dissociation, historically viewed solely as a protective psychological mechanism of detachment from trauma [36], plays a key role in creative genesis. It is theorized that dissociative states are linked to periods of hyperassociativity.[37]

When the Monotropic system, overwhelmed by either internal emotional amplification (fueled by Hyperphantasia [19]) or excessive external inputs, reaches maximum processing capacity, it may defensively disassociate.[29] This temporary detachment allows the mind to enter a non-linear state where associations can form rapidly and unpredictably, generating highly novel conceptual links. This dissociative hyperassociativity serves as the functional mechanism for **Blind Variation**, a critical component of creativity theory.[38]

3.4. The Edge of Chaos as the Mechanism of Divergence

To unify these cognitive dynamics, the analysis turns to Chaos Theory, an interdisciplinary scientific branch that focuses on deterministic, non-linear dynamic systems that exhibit extreme sensitivity to initial conditions (the butterfly effect).[39]

In neuroscience, the concept of the "Edge of Chaos" (EOC) describes the transition zone between highly rigid order and total, unpredictable chaos in network states.[38] Brain activity maintained at the EOC is considered optimal for creativity because the resulting cognitive states are maximally novel yet remain sufficiently connected to ordered, logical regimes, achieving the requisite combination of novelty and utility necessary for visionary output.[38]

The AuDHD cognitive profile provides the necessary ingredients to sustain operation at the EOC:

1. **High Initial Sensitivity:** Sensory hypersensitivity and emotional amplification [13] ensure the system is extremely sensitive to input, mirroring the sensitive dependence on initial conditions inherent to chaotic systems.[39]
2. **Focused Inhibition (Monotropism):** Monotropism provides the robust cognitive control and attentional stability (Selective Retention) required to prevent the high-entropy state from collapsing into unproductive, total chaos.[33]
3. **Dynamic Variation (Dissociation/Non-Linearity):** Dissociative episodes and non-linear intuitive flashes [23] provide the necessary flux to generate highly novel ideas and associations (Blind Variation) within the controlled bounds of the EOC.[38]

The Monotropic brain, therefore, functions as a highly tuned system that adaptively regulates its own entropic state. When channeled by intense interest, this structure transforms the cognitive flexibility and internal intensity of AuDHD into consistently revolutionary output.

4. A Unified Theory: The Neurodivergent Path to Visionary Synthesis

4.1. The Monotropic Edge Hypothesis (MEH)

The central proposition derived from this comprehensive analysis is the **Monotropic Edge Hypothesis (MEH)**. The MEH asserts that the combination of a Monotropic attentional resource allocation system, coupled with heightened sensory and imaginative intensity (Hyperphantasia), predisposes the neurodivergent brain to operate consistently and sustainably at the neurological "Edge of Chaos." This optimized, high-entropy processing state is the core neurological mechanism that facilitates sustained, radical divergent thinking and the resulting production of visionary systems or paradigm-shifting insights.

The MEH directly addresses the historical tension between the speed and breadth of creative output ("faster-and-further").[33] Monotropism provides the necessary stability for **Selective Retention**—the depth of mastery, precision (e.g., Tesla's engineering), and persistence (e.g., Einstein's multi-day focus). Simultaneously, the high entropic dynamics provided by hyper-vividity and non-linear cognition furnish the **Blind Variation**—the associative novelty, unexpected connections, and conceptual leaps (e.g., Nostradamus's fragmented predictions, Carrey's character creation). The neurodivergent profile thus achieves a highly adaptive

balance between cognitive stability and maximal flexibility.

4.2. Model Components: Interest, Intensity, and Internalization (The I3 Model)

The mechanism by which the AuDHD profile reaches and maintains the Edge of Chaos can be structurally mapped using the following three interdependent components:

1. **Interest (Monotropic Drive):** This is the mandatory fuel and directive system. Monotropism ensures that all finite cognitive resources are concentrated toward a singular, self-selected domain, guaranteeing total commitment and achieving a depth of expertise that polytropic attention models cannot replicate.[7]
2. **Intensity (Hyper-Vividity and Sensitivity):** This component acts as the operational amplifier and dynamic driver. Hyperphantasia and Sensory Processing Sensitivity amplify the fidelity of internal models, increasing emotional responses, and thus increasing the system's dynamic range.[17] This intensity pushes the brain network toward high entropy, maximizing the range of accessible conceptual associations.[33]
3. **Internalization (Cognitive Refuge):** This is the structural necessity for maintenance. High sensory load and the executive vulnerability inherent to AuDHD necessitate withdrawal into a structured, controllable internal reality. This cognitive refuge, supported by the Monotropic focus, sustains the flow state, thereby translating external environmental pressure into internal, organized complexity (e.g., the profound internal theoretical abstraction seen in Einstein and Pythagoras).

4.3. Implications for Future Research and Neuroaffirmative Frameworks

The Monotropic Edge Hypothesis offers several avenues for empirical investigation. Future research could utilize functional brain imaging techniques (e.g., EEG and fMRI) to test these dynamics, specifically measuring alpha and beta levels during hyperfocus states in AuDHD individuals compared to neurotypical controls, building on existing research.[10] Analyzing cortical connectivity and rhythmic attentional scanning (RAS) in AuDHD individuals during high-divergent thinking tasks could specifically test for EOC dynamics and variations in attentional state organization.[41]

Crucially, the MEH represents a paradigm shift in the analysis of exceptional historical

achievement. It shifts the perception of historical "madness" or vague "eccentricity" [2] into an optimized, high-performance neurocognitive architecture. Visionary traits, such as intense self-imposed routines, profound sensory filtering, or instances of creative dissociation, are reframed as essential, adaptive maneuvers employed by an internally sensitive system to manage its dynamic range and access the highest order of cognitive synthesis. Recognizing AuDHD traits not as deficits but as necessary scaffolding for profound contribution is essential for promoting neuroaffirmative clinical and educational practices.[9]

Conclusions

This comparative study and resultant Monotropic Edge Hypothesis provide a unified theoretical framework linking the distinct cognitive profile of AuDHD to visionary achievement throughout history. The AuDHD configuration—characterized by Monotropism for sustained depth, Hyperphantasia for high-fidelity internal modeling, and heightened sensitivity leading to internalized processing—creates a system uniquely capable of consistently operating at the Edge of Chaos. This optimized entropic state is the neurological prerequisite for generating the non-linear, divergent breakthroughs that define genius. Figures spanning from Pythagoras and Plato to Tesla, Einstein, and Carrey demonstrate that cognitive divergence, when stabilized by Monotropic focus, is not merely an incidental side effect of genius, but its essential, driving engine.

Works cited

1. Eccentric Geniuses - Eric Roth, accessed November 24, 2025, https://ericroth.org/unique/eccentric-geniuses/
2. 15 Eccentric Geniuses Who Teetered on Madness - History Collection, accessed November 24, 2025, https://historycollection.com/15-eccentric-geniuses-who-teetered-on-madness/
3. AuDHD & stimulant medication - Embrace Autism, accessed November 24, 2025, https://embrace-autism.com/audhd-and-stimulant-medication/
4. The dopamine hypothesis for ADHD: An evaluation of evidence accumulated from human studies and animal models - PubMed Central, accessed November 24, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11604610/
5. Executive Dysfunction: What It Is, Symptoms & Treatment - Cleveland Clinic, accessed November 24, 2025, https://my.clevelandclinic.org/health/symptoms/23224-executive-dysfunction
6. What Is Executive Function? 7 Deficits Tied to ADHD, accessed November 24, 2025, https://www.additudemag.com/7-executive-function-deficits-linked-to-adhd/
7. Monotropism: Understanding Autistic Ways of Being Through the Lens of

Attention, accessed November 24, 2025, https://reframingautism.org.au/monotropism-understanding-autistic-ways-of-being-through-the-lens-of-attention/
8. What is monotropism? Understanding a neuroaffirming theory of autism, accessed November 24, 2025, https://www.autism.org.uk/advice-and-guidance/professional-practice/what-is-monotropism
9. Understanding Monotropism: An Affirming Theory of Autism, accessed November 24, 2025, https://whitebearpsychservices.com/blog/understanding-monotropism-an-affirming-theory-of-autism
10. Hyperfocus: the forgotten frontier of attention - PMC - PubMed Central, accessed November 24, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7851038/
11. Monotropism vs Polytropism: ADHD, AuDHD & Autistic Attention, accessed November 24, 2025, https://autisticrealms.com/monotropism-vs-polytropism-adhd-audhd-autistic-attention/
12. Sensory Overload in ADHD | 10 Hidden Impacts You Should Know, accessed November 24, 2025, https://neurodivergentinsights.com/sensory-overload-in-adhd/
13. Highly Sensitive Person: Sensory Processing Sensitivity vs. ADHD - ADDitude, accessed November 24, 2025, https://www.additudemag.com/highly-sensitive-person-sensory-processing-sensitivity-adhd/
14. Seeing with the mind's eye: top-down, bottom-up, and conscious awareness - NIH, accessed November 24, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC2950040/
15. The selective disruption of spatial working memory by eye movements - PMC, accessed November 24, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC1414070/
16. Aphantasia and the Imagination Spectrum - NeuroKnow - Substack, accessed November 24, 2025, https://theneuroknow.substack.com/p/aphantasia-and-the-imagination-spectrum
17. 'Like a film in my mind': hyperphantasia and the quest to understand vivid imaginations, accessed November 24, 2025, https://www.theguardian.com/science/2024/apr/20/like-a-film-in-my-mind-hyperphantasia-and-the-quest-to-understand-vivid-imaginations
18. The Magic of Hyperphantasia & Visual Thinking - Nurture Your Zest with Ann English, accessed November 24, 2025, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=utzuTMUsjW8
19. Hyperphantasia - Wikipedia, accessed November 24, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hyperphantasia
20. Was Nikola Tesla Autistic? The case for ASD & Creativity!, accessed November 24, 2025, https://www.appliedbehavioranalysisedu.org/was-nikola-tesla-autistic/
21. What is Divergent Thinking? - HelloPM, accessed November 24, 2025,

https://hellopm.co/what-is-divergent-thinking/
22. Divergent Thinking: How Product Leaders Unlock Innovation - Airfocus, accessed November 24, 2025, https://airfocus.com/glossary/what-is-divergent-thinking/
23. Neurodivergent Intuition: The Power of Non-Linear Knowing | Becky Walsh, accessed November 24, 2025, https://beckywalsh.com/neurodivergent-intuition-the-power-of-non-linear-knowing/
24. Nine Historical Figures with Autism - Shrub Oak International School, accessed November 24, 2025, https://www.shruboak.org/nine-historical-figures-with-autism/
25. Was Albert Einstein Autistic? Understanding the Debate - Move Up ABA, accessed November 24, 2025, https://moveupaba.com/blog/was-albert-einstein-autistic/
26. Was Albert Einstein Autistic? Exploring the Theories and Evidence - Connect n Care ABA, accessed November 24, 2025, https://www.connectncareaba.com/blog/was-albert-einstein-autistic
27. Pythagoras to Plato: The Ancient Greek Revolution in Human Thought - YouTube, accessed November 24, 2025, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rxhJMoyYqlY
28. Pythagoreanism - Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, accessed November 24, 2025, https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/pythagoreanism/
29. Monotropism: The Most Accurate Autism Theory You've Probably Never Heard Of, accessed November 24, 2025, https://autisticandunapologetic.com/2020/07/26/monotropism-the-most-accurate-autism-theory-youve-probably-never-heard-of/
30. Nostradamus - Wikipedia, accessed November 24, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nostradamus
31. Who Was Nostradamus and What Did He Predict? - Discover Magazine, accessed November 24, 2025, https://www.discovermagazine.com/who-was-nostradamus-and-what-did-he-predict-45705
32. How to write like Nostradamus - katherineluck - Medium, accessed November 24, 2025, https://katherineluck.medium.com/how-to-write-like-nostradamus-bab4b8f8bfac
33. An Entropy Modulation Theory of Creative Exploration - University of Warwick, accessed November 24, 2025, https://warwick.ac.uk/fac/sci/psych/people/thills/thills/2025hillskenettpsychrev.pdf
34. JIM CARREY Said Some Accidentally Relatable Things (Autistic Masking & Identity), accessed November 24, 2025, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4p8ZOo8qa5s
35. Dissociative Tendencies and Facilitated Emotional Processing - PMC - NIH, accessed November 24, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC2683754/
36. An examination of the relations between emotion dysregulation, dissociation, and self-injury among dissociative disorder patients - PMC - NIH, accessed November 24, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8823688/

37. Imagining the impossible before breakfast: the relation between creativity, dissociation, and sleep - PMC - NIH, accessed November 24, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4374390/
38. Creative cognition and systems biology on the edge of chaos - Frontiers, accessed November 24, 2025, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychology/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2014.01104/full
39. Chaos theory - Wikipedia, accessed November 24, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chaos_theory
40. The Edge of Chaos Chaos Theory is the science of surprises, unpredictability, and non-linearity. It teaches us to expect the un - tom rocks maths, accessed November 24, 2025, https://tomrocksmaths.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/07/the-edge-of-chaos-kya-heathcote.pdf
41. Rhythmic Attentional Sampling in Autism - PMC - PubMed Central - NIH, accessed November 24, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10840939/
42. Rhythmic Attentional Scanning - PMC - PubMed Central - NIH, accessed November 24, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10083891/