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## Part 1: Guidance for Local Jurisdictions

1. Scope; design \& use of ratio studies
2. Overview; market value \& appraisals
3. Steps in Ratio Studies
4. Timing \& Sample Selection
5. Ratio Study Statistics \& Analyses

## Part 1: Guidance for Local Jurisdictions

6. Sample Size \& Representativeness
7. Reconciliation of Ratio Study Results
8. Presentation of Findings \& Training
9. Ratio Study Performance Standards
10.Personal Property Ratio Studies

- Details contained in Section 12 of Part 2


## Part 2: Equalization \& Performance Testing

1. Scope; examine assessment accuracy
2. Oversight Ratio Studies
3. Monitoring Assessment Performance
4. Equalization (direct \& indirect)
5. Steps in Ratio Studies
6. Timing \& Sample Selection

Part 2: Equalization \& Performance Testing
5. Acquisition \& Analysis of Sales Data
6. Ratio Studies Statistics \& Analyses
7. Sample Size; measures of reliability
8. Appraisal Ratio Studies
9. Estimating Performance of Non-Sales
10.Presentation of Findings \& Training

## Part 2: Equalization \& Performance Testing

## 11.Ratio Study Standards

1. Level of Appraisal/Assessment
2. Appraisal/ Assessment Uniformity
3. Natural Disasters \& Ratio Studies
12.Personal Property Ratio Studies


## Other Features of the Standard



O Definitions (expanded)
O References
O Additional Resources (articles)
O Appendix A: Sales Validation
O Appendix B: Outlier Trimming
O Appendix C: Confidence Interval Tables
(For Small Samples)

## Other Features of the Standard



O Appendix D: Price-Related Bias
O Appendix E: Sales Chasing Detection O Appendix F: Alternate Uses of ASR's
O Appendix G: Legal Aspects of ASR's
O Appendix H: Sales Questionnaire

Part 1: Guidance for Local Jurisdictions

1. Scope of Ratio Study

Quality assurance measures using assessed value or appraised value to sale price ratios for groups of properties:
O Assessment Level \& Uniformity Analyses
O Data Accuracy \& Revaluation Priorities
O Time Trend Analysis
O Interim Year Adjustment Analysis

## Sales Ratios

- Assessed Value
- \$532,500
- Sale Price
- \$560,500
- A/S Ratio
- \$532,500/ \$560,500
$=0.95$ or $95.0 \%=1.05$ or $105.0 \%$


## Part 1: Guidance for Local Jurisdictions

## 2. Overview

1. Market Value
2. Legal Definition (Statutory or Judicial)
3. General Appraisal Definition (IAAO, AI)
4. Value Reconciliation [USPAP Standard 5-7(b)]
"Employ recognized mass appraisal testing procedures and techniques to ensure that standards of accuracy are maintained. ."

## Part 1: Guidance for Local Jurisdictions

## 2. Overview (cont.)

3. Uses of Ratio Studies:
4. Testing Mass Appraisal Models
5. Assessment Levels
6. Assessment Uniformity
7. Quality Assurance \& Model Change Priorities
8. Regulatory Compliance
9. Determine Time Trends
10. Value Adjustment Between Revaluations

## Part 1: Guidance for Local Jurisdictions

## 3. Steps in Ratio Studies

1. Define Purpose, Scope \& Objectives
2. Design Study
3. Determine Stratifications
4. Collect \& Prepare Data

5. Match Values \& Calculate Ratios
6. Perform Statistical Analyses
7. Evaluate Results

## Part 1: Guidance for Local Jurisdictions

## 4. Timing \& Sample Selection

1. Nature of Property Population (Neighborhoods,etc.)
2. Date of Analysis (Assessment Date)
3. Period from Which Sales are Drawn
4. Ideally 12 months or less
5. May require longer periods for representativeness within selected strata
6. Sale prices may require adjustment
7. Sales Sample Representativeness
$\square$ See IAAO Standard, Part 1, Section 4.5 (page 11)

## Part 1: Guidance for Local Jurisdictions

5. Ratio Study Statistics \& Analyses
6. Data Displays (worksheets, graphs, maps)
7. Treatment of Outliers (minimize influence)
8. Selecting Performance Measures
9. Level (Median), Uniformity (COD)
10. Variability (testing for vertical \& horizontal equity)
11. Reliability (degree of confidence in statistics)
Q. What do the following graphs tell you?
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## Assessment-Sale Ratios (by Date of Sale)
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## Confidence Intervals (Sec.5.3, pg.13)

- Median Confidence Interval (Appendix 20-4, PAAA)
- A confidence interval is a range that contains a population parameter, such as the median level of appraisal (assessment), with a specified degree of confidence. $95 \%$ is used for Sales Ratio Studies
- The range is determined by calculating the number of ratios up and down from the median to establish the confidence limits at selected level.


## Median Confidence Interval @ 95\% Confidence

$\square$ When the number of ratios $(n)$ is odd

- $j=\underline{1.96 \times \sqrt{n}}$ 2
$\square$ When the number of ratios $(n)$ is even
■ $j=\underline{1.96 \times \sqrt{n}}+0.5$
2
$\square j=$ the ratios up \& down from Median

Sales Ratio Study: Brookline, MA

- 2008 Single Family Sales (post assessment)
- Assessment Date 1/1/2008
$\square$ Total Sample $=139$ Valid/Arms-length Sales
- Median ASR $=0.93$ (point estimate)
- $\mathrm{COD}=10.50$
- Confidence Intervals = $0.91<->0.96$ @ $95 \%$
- $j=1.96 \times \sqrt{(n) 139 / 2}=11.55$ (12)


## Testing Confidence Limits


?? Does a different sample change the results?

- B: remove every $10^{\text {th }}$ sale $(1,11,21 .$.
- C: remove every odd \# sale ( $1,3,5 .$. )
- D: remove every even \# sale (2,4,6..) Sample Sorted by Parcel ID


## Part 1: Guidance for Local Jurisdictions

6. Sample Size [from Part 1, Section 6.2, pg.24]
"The adequacy of a given sample size can be evaluated by computing measures of reliability. If the confidence interval is sufficiently narrow, the sample is large enough. If the confidence interval is too wide, the assessor must either accept less precision or enlarge the sample, if possible."

## Part 1: Guidance for Local Jurisdictions

6. Sample Size- Remedies for Inadequate Samples:
7. Re-stratification
8. Extending the period from which sales are used.
9. Enlarging the sample by validating previously rejected sales, including adjusting sale prices for time or conditions
10. Imputing assessment measures from other strata if similar \& appraised by the same model.

## Part 1: Guidance for Local Jurisdictions

6. Sample Representativeness Issues
7. Isolating over-represented groups, i.e. sales within the same subdivision or condo complex, into substrata.
8. Re-defining the sales period for overrepresented groups (change or shorten).
9. Randomly removing sales from sample.

## Part 1: Guidance for Local Jurisdictions

7. Reconciliation of Ratio Study Performance Measures to Mass Appraisal Valuation Model

- This is also a USPAP Standard 5 requirement

1. Use ratio study results in a quality assurance program, including checks \& audits of data.
2. Use results to recalibrate valuation model coefficients \& variables, including; land values, RCN \& depreciation tables.
3. Use results to review neighborhood \& class (grade) factors.

## Part 1: Guidance for Local Jurisdictions

8. Presentation of Ratio Study Findings, Documentation \& Training
O This is a USPAP Standard 6 requirement
9. Description of Study (Text)
10. Exhibits (data used)
11. Analyses \& Conclusions
12. Documentation of Procedures Used
13. Training \& Education

## Part 1: Guidance for Local Jurisdictions

9. Ratio Study Standards

O Local Standards should be consistent with state or provincial standards.
O Standards should include Assessment Level requirements and Assessment Uniformity requirements among \& between classes of property.

Part 1: Guidance for Local Jurisdictions
O Assessment Levels at Market Value

1. All Classes of Property should be between 0.90 \& 1.10 Assessment Ratio
2. Each Class (or sub-class) of Property should be within $5.0 \%$ of the overall level of assessment for the jurisdiction or, could be based on the most predominant class.
3. "It can be concluded that this standard has been met if $95 \%$ confidence intervals for each strata fall within $5 \%$ of the overall level." 9.2.1

## Part 1: Guidance for Local Jurisdictions

Ratio Study Standards: Uniformity Tests
O The preferred uniformity test is the Coefficient of Dispersion (COD) about the Median Assessment Sale Ratio
O "The average deviation of a group of numbers (ASR's) from the median expressed as a percentage of the median.

O $\mathrm{COD}=\left(100^{*} \mathrm{AAD}\right) / \sim$ median $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$

Assessment Uniformity (Table 1-3)

| General Class | Specific Property Type | COD range |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Single Family | Newer/Homogenous areas | $5.0-10.0$ |
| Single Family | Older/Heterogeneous areas | $5.0-15.0$ |
| Other Res. | Rural, Seasonal, 2-4 Family | $5.0-20.0$ |
| Investment | Larger/ Urban Areas | $5.0-15.0$ |
| Investment | Smaller/ Rural Areas | $5.0-20.0$ |
| Vacant Land | All Classes | $5.0-25.0$ |

Note: COD's lower than 5.0 warrants review


Part 2: Equalization \& Performance Monitoring (Using Ratio Studies)

1. Scope: "Oversight or equalization ratio studies are designed to examine the overall degree of accuracy of assessments within or among categories of property, market areas, assessment jurisdictions or political subdivisions, such as school districts, municipalities, counties, states or provinces."

## Part 2: Equalization \& Performance Monitoring (Using Ratio Studies)

2. Oversight Ratio Studies:
3. Monitoring Assessment/Appraisal Performance
4. Equalization
5. Direct Equalization: Adjust assessments by broad strata such as major property class or geographic area using ratio study results.
6. Indirect Equalization: Used to determine distributions of state funds based on apportionment formulas or to levy intergovernmental payments.

## Part 2: Equalization \& Performance Monitoring (Using Ratio Studies)

3. Steps in Ratio Studies
4. Define Purpose, Scope \& Objectives
5. Design Study (Level of Sophistication \& Detail)
6. Collect \& Prepare Data
7. Determine Stratifications (Strata)
8. Match Data \& Calculate Ratios
9. Perform Statistical Analysis
10. Evaluation \& Use of Results


## Part 2: Equalization \& Performance

 Monitoring (Using Ratio Studies)
## 4. Timing \& Sample Selection

1. Date of Analysis (Assessment Date)
2. Representativeness of Sales Sample
3. Direct Equalization \& Performance Review
4. Distribution of ratios in sample $=$ population.
5. Example: $50 \%$ single family, $25 \%$ condos, etc
6. Indirect Equalization
7. Distribution of ratios of dollars of property value.

Part 2: Equalization \& Performance Monitoring (Using Ratio Studies)
5. Acquisition \& Analysis of Sales Data [See Appendix A for Validation Guidelines]

1. Independent Sales Verification, or
2. Audit of Local Validation Practices
3. Outlier Ratios
4. See Appendix B for Outlier Trimming
5. Test for Influential Sales
6. Use Confidence Intervals \& PRD's

## Part 2: Equalization \& Performance Monitoring (Using Ratio Studies)

## 6. Ratio Studies Statistics \& Analysis

1. Measures of Assessment Level

O Median (middle of arrayed ratios)
O Mean (average of ratios)
O Weighted Mean (sum\$/sum\$)
Total Assessed Value of Sales $=\$ 4,500,000$
Total Sale Price of Sample $\quad=\$ 5,000,000$
Weighted Mean $=0.900$

Measures of Assessment Level Table 2-2

| Measure of Central Tendency | Indirect Equalization | Direct Equalization | Performance Monitoring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MEDIAN | ----- | X | X |
| MEAN | ----- | -- | ---- |
| WEIGHTED MEAN | $\mathrm{X}^{*}$ | --- | ---- |

Note: Caution should be exercised when sample contains value outliers or indicates value bias based on PRD.

## Level of Appraisal Standards <br> (Part 2, Section 11.1)

"The calculated measures of central tendency are point estimates and provide only an indication, not proof, of whether the level meets the appropriate goal. Confidence intervals and statistical tests should be used to determine whether the appraisal (assessment) level differs from the established goal in a particular instance."

## Confidence Interval Example 1



Indicated Measure of
Central Tendency = 85\%

## Confidence Interval Example 2



Indicated Measure of Central Tendency $=\mathbf{8 5 \%}$

## Confidence Interval Example 3



Indicated Measure of
Central Tendency $=85 \%$


## Contrasting the Three Confidence Interval Examples

| Example | Point <br> Estimate <br> $90 \%-$ <br> $110 \% * ?$ | Confidence <br> Interval <br> overlaps <br> $90 \% ?$ | Confidence <br> Interval <br> overlaps <br> $100 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | NO | NO | NO |
| 2 | NO | YES | NO |
| 3 | NO | YES | YES |

## Uniformity Standards - Table 2-3

| General Property Class | Jurisdiction Size | Max COD |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Residential Improved | Very Large | 10.0 |
|  | Large to Mid-size | 15.0 |
|  | Rural or Small | 20.0 |
| Investment Properties | Very Large | 15.0 |
|  | Large to Mid-size | 20.0 |
|  | Rural or Small | 25.0 |
| Residential Vacant Land | Very Large | 15.0 |
|  | Large to Mid-size | 20.0 |
|  | Rural or Small | 25.0 |

## Appendices

- Sales Validation Guidelines (A)
- Outlier Trimming Guidelines (B)
- Median Confidence Interval - Small Samples (C)
- Coefficient of Price Related Bias (D)
- Sales Chasing Detection Techniques (E)
- Alternative uses for Ratio Studies (F)
- Legal Aspects of Ratio Studies (G)
- Sales Validation Questionnaire (H)

