Enhancing OT Security Monitoring with SOAR – a guide to PoC with a commonly applied use case

Implementing SOAR in an OT environment requires a structured, phased approach that aligns security automation with operational reliability. By strategically placing SOAR at the IT/OT boundary and developing tailored playbooks, you can demonstrate:

- Reduced response time from hours to minutes with automated workflows
- Improved compliance with IEC 62443, NIS2, and NERC CIP standards
- Enhanced resilience by proactively mitigating cyber threats in OT environments.

A full-scale SOAR deployment can be complex, so a Proof of Concept (POC) is essential before large-scale rollout.

A common use case in OT environments is the unauthorised connection of unknown devices, such as a rogue laptop that can introduce malware or enable unauthorised access to critical systems. A good scenario is a possibility that the security team detects an unauthorised device connecting to the OT network. The response workflow differs significantly between manual monitoring vs automated SOAR driven approach.

Criteria	Manual Process	Automated Process	Efficiency
Trigger Event	Unauthorised device	Unauthorised device detected	0 min (Same
	detected in OT network	in OT network	trigger)
Detection Method	Manual log review or SIEM	Automated ingestion by SOAR	30-60 min
	alert	from OT monitoring tool	
Asset	SOC analyst manually	SOAR cross-references asset	30-90 min
Identification	checks asset inventory	database automatically	
Threat	Manual lookup in external	Automated enrichment with	20-40 min
Intelligence	sources	threat intelligence feeds	
Check			
Alert Correlation	Manual correlation with	Automated correlation with	30-60 min
	past incidents	SIEM, threat intelligence, and	
		logs	
Response Time	Hours to days due to	Minutes due to automated	4-8 hours
	manual investigation	triage and response	
Containment	Requires manual	SOAR automatically triggers	2-6 hours
Action	intervention to block device	containment actions (e.g., NAC	
	via NAC/firewall	block)	
Escalation	SOC manually escalates to	SOAR auto-notifies SOC and	30-60 min
Process	OT engineers	OT teams based on severity	
Incident	Manually documented by	Automatically logged and	60-120 min
Documentation	SOC/OT teams	documented for compliance	
		(NIS2, IEC 62443)	
Compliance	Requires additional effort	Automated compliance	2-4 hours per
Readiness	for reporting and audits	reporting ensures audit	incident
		readiness	
Analyst Workload	High, SOC spends time on	SOAR handles repetitive tasks,	50-70%
	triage, correlation, and	allowing focus on critical	reduction in
	response	incidents	manual effort

How this efficiency is achieved:

Faster Incident Detection & Response

With automation, alerts are ingested, correlated, and enriched within minutes, accelerating triage and response.

Automated Containment & Threat Mitigation

Without automation, security teams manually block unauthorised devices using firewalls or NAC systems. SOAR automates device quarantine, ensuring threats are contained immediately.

Reduced Analyst Workload & Improved Efficiency

Analysts spend 50-70% of their time on repetitive investigation tasks.

SOAR automates asset verification, threat intelligence lookups, and alert correlation, allowing analysts to focus on critical threats.

Compliance & Audit Readiness

SOAR automatically generates incident reports aligned with NIS2, IEC62443, and other compliance standards.

SOAR Placement in OT Network Zones

In an Operational Technology (OT) network, SOAR platform should align with security and network segmentation principles to ensure efficient monitoring, response, and minimal disruption to industrial control processes.

Zone	Description	Components
Level 5 -	Used by the SOC, IT security, and compliance	SOAR (Cloud or On-Prem),
Enterprise Zone	teams for incident response and reporting.	SIEM, SOC tools
Level 4 - IT/OT	Ideal for log aggregation, correlation, and playbook	Firewalls, SIEM, SOAR (On-
DMZ	automation, while maintaining OT security	Prem for hybrid
	segmentation.	deployments)
Level 3 -	SOAR interacts with OT SIEM, firewalls, IDS/IPS,	OT SIEM, Network Intrusion
Operations	but direct automation is limited to avoid disrupting	Detection (NIDS), SOAR
Zone	operations.	
Level 2 -	Contains SCADA, DCS, and HMIs; SOAR should	SCADA, ICS firewalls
Control Zone	not directly automate responses here to prevent	
	downtime.	
Level 1 -	Low-latency operations, SOAR automation could	PLCs, RTUs, DCS
Process Zone	interfere.	
Level 0 - Field	Automation could cause safety and reliability risks.	Sensors, robots, valves
Zone		

A SOAR playbook automates the response process when an unauthorised device connects to an OT network. Below is a structured playbook workflow following the IEC62443 security zones and best practices for OT security monitoring.

Playbook: Unauthorized Device Detection in OT Network

Trigger Event:

An unauthorised device (e.g., unknown laptop, USB device) is detected in the OT network.

Detected via OT SIEM, NAC, firewall logs, or network anomaly detection (NIDS/NIPS).

SOAR Playbook Workflow (Automated Response Steps)

Description	Automated SOAR	Interaction Zone
CIEMANACA		r 12
	_	Level 3
	SIEM, NAC, or firewall	(Operations Zone)
	•	Level 3
approved OT asset	CMDB/OT asset	(Operations Zone)
inventory	management system	
Check if device IP/MAC	SOAR queries MITRE	Level 4 (IT/OT
appears in threat feeds	ATT&CK, OT threat	DMZ)
	intelligence	
Compare with previous	SOAR fetches logs from	Level 4 (IT/OT
similar security events	SIEM & past alerts	DMZ)
Determine severity based	SOAR assigns risk score	Level 4 (IT/OT
on location, device type,	(low, medium, high,	DMZ)
and threat intelligence	critical)	·
Block or isolate	SOAR triggers	Level 3
unauthorised device	NAC/firewall rule to	(Operations Zone)
	block device	
Alert OT SOC and Incident	SOAR sends email,	Level 5 (Enterprise
Response (IR) teams	Teams/Slack alert, or	Zone)
_	ServiceNow ticket	
Gather logs, network traffic,	SOAR extracts forensic	Level 3 & 4
and device information for	logs from OT SIEM and	(Operations &
investigation	firewalls	IT/OT DMZ)
Generate incident report for	SOAR automatically	Level 5 (Enterprise
-	•	Zone)
NERC CIP)	updates SIEM	,
/	•	Level 5 (Enterprise
•	· · ·	Zone)
1 1		, , ,
	SIEM/NAC detects unauthorised device connection Verify device against approved OT asset inventory Check if device IP/MAC appears in threat feeds Compare with previous similar security events Determine severity based on location, device type, and threat intelligence Block or isolate unauthorised device Alert OT SOC and Incident Response (IR) teams Gather logs, network traffic, and device information for investigation Generate incident report for audits (IEC 62443, NIS2,	SIEM/NAC detects unauthorised device connection Verify device against approved OT asset inventory Check if device IP/MAC appears in threat feeds Compare with previous similar security events Determine severity based on location, device type, and threat intelligence Block or isolate unauthorised device Alert OT SOC and Incident Response (IR) teams Gather logs, network traffic, and device information for investigation Generate incident report for audits (IEC 62443, NIS2, NERC CIP) SOAR updates playbook updates playbook based on findings

Key Success Metrics

- **Detection Speed (MTTD)** How fast does SOAR detect threats?
- **Response Time (MTTR)** How quickly does SOAR automate actions?
- False Positive Rate Does SOAR reduce unnecessary alerts?
- **Compliance Readiness** Does the SOAR workflow align with audit requirements?

Go Decision (Proceed with Deployment if the Following Are Met)

- SOAR successfully detects and responds to OT threats within defined KPIs.
- No disruptions to critical OT processes during testing.
- Stakeholders approve automation playbooks with defined manual approvals where needed.
- Integration with existing OT security tools is validated.
- Clear ROI (e.g., reduced incident response time, improved efficiency, enhanced compliance reporting).

Set and measure KPI Targets: (What good looks like)

MTTD < 1 min (from alert ingestion)

MTTR < 5 min (from detection to containment)

False Positives Reduced by 30%

No-Go Decision (Reassess If the Following Issues Arise)

- Excessive false positives or missed detections impacting SOC workflows.
- Latency issues affecting OT system performance (SOAR queries slowing down OT firewalls).
- Stakeholder pushback due to operational risks or lack of trust in automation.
- Technical integration challenges preventing SOAR from ingesting necessary OT security data.

Conclusion:

In this document, I've consolidated my experience working on OT Security Monitoring projects and the crucial role automation plays in enhancing efficiency and effectiveness. For project managers, understanding the value of automated security monitoring is key to managing risk and ensuring robust protection against cyber threats. In this guide, I've tried to share insights from my experience, outlining the benefits of automation in OT security monitoring, the challenges it helps address, and practical steps to integrate it into your projects.

Implementing SOAR in an OT environment requires a strategic approach that balances security, automation, and operational reliability. As a project manager, your role is to ensure alignment between IT and OT teams and orchestrate integrations without disrupting industrial processes.