

AFFIDAVIT FOR FEE REQUEST WAIVER

IN RESPONSE TO JUDGE DAVID LUTZ:

Judge Lutz, I am writing you this affidavit in regard to your recent decision to deny my in forma pauperis, or fee waiver request to the First Judicial District of Dakota County Court regarding the civil case of Andrew Thomas Conboy vs. Timothy Thomas Conboy. You are asking me to give a legal basis, as have Judge Wahli and Marks, as to why I should have the transcript from this case file, where I was already granted a fee waiver request in twenty-twenty-four when these transcripts were recorded and created by Court Reporter Dana Carmichael. This is not a matter of res judicata or future civil cases, which I am entitled to file in federal court. This is simply a financial matter where I qualify for a fee waiver to obtain the public transcripts at no cost. These transcripts were ordered to be made public by you. I have been on a public housing assistance wait list with Dakota County CDA for almost two years now, which automatically qualifies me to be granted a fee waiver. There is no legal basis required to obtain a fee waiver in order to obtain already public documents. Court Reporter Dana Carmichael is charging a \$1500 fee to obtain the transcripts from this case. In twenty-twenty-four when the transcripts were created, which I was party to, I was granted a fee waiver specifically for this case. Therefore, if the transcripts were created as publicly available, which you, myself, and the defendant all agreed would be made public, then my fee waiver from twenty-twenty-four should be sufficient enough to grant me these transcripts at no cost. I do not need a legal basis to order publicly available transcripts.

04/21/2026    ANDREW THOMAS CONBOY

---