Analysis of Marketing from the Banco de Portugal

The data used in this project comes from a marketing campaign by the Banco de Portugal to encourage customers to subscribe to a term deposit. It includes attributes about the customer, how the marketing was conducted, relevant economic indicators, and whether the offer was accepted or declined.



C:\Users\Woyte\Anaconda3\lib\site-packages\pandas\core\ops.py:1649: FutureWarning: elementwise comparison failed; returning scalar instead, but in the future will perform elementwise comparison result = method(y)

```
In [5]: dummy=pd.get dummies(df['default'])
        dummy2=pd.get dummies(df['housing'])
        dummy3=pd.get_dummies(df['loan'])
dummy4=pd.get_dummies(df['y'])
        df= pd.concat([df, dummy], axis=1)
        df= df.drop('no',axis=1)
        df['Ydefault']=df['yes']
        df=df.drop('yes', axis=1)
        df= pd.concat([df, dummy2], axis=1)
        df= df.drop('no',axis=1)
        df['Yhousing']=df['yes']
        df=df.drop('yes', axis=1)
        df= pd.concat([df, dummy3], axis=1)
        df= df.drop('no',axis=1)
        df['Yloan']=df['yes']
        df=df.drop('yes', axis=1)
        df= pd.concat([df, dummy4], axis=1)
        df= df.drop('no',axis=1)
        df['accepted']=df['yes']
        df=df.drop(['default', 'housing', 'loan', 'y', 'yes'], axis=1)
        df.head()
        #Creating a lot of dummy variables from categorical data
```

Out[5]:

	age	job	marital	education	contact	month	day_of_week	duration	campaign	pdays	 poutcome	emp.var.rate	cons.pri
0	56	housemaid	married	basic.4y	telephone	may	mon	261	1	999	 nonexistent	1.1	!
2	37	services	married	high.school	telephone	may	mon	226	1	999	 nonexistent	1.1	1
3	40	admin.	married	basic.6y	telephone	may	mon	151	1	999	 nonexistent	1.1	1
4	56	services	married	high.school	telephone	may	mon	307	1	999	 nonexistent	1.1	1
6	59	admin.	married	professional.course	telephone	may	mon	139	1	999	 nonexistent	1.1	1

5 rows × 21 columns

```
In [6]: #Running a simple analysis to make sure everything is correct
        group=df['accepted'].groupby([df['education']]).mean()
        group
Out[6]: education
        basic.4v
                              0.136975
        basic.6y
                              0.097912
        basic.9y
                               0.088868
                              0.121314
        high.school
        illiterate
                              0.272727
        professional.course
                              0.124508
        university.degree
                              0.148098
        Name: accepted, dtype: float64
```

```
In [7]: plt.grid(True)
plt.xlabel('Education Level')
plt.ylabel('Chance of Acceptance')
plt.title('Relationship Between Education Level and Mean Chance of Acceptance')
plt.plot(group,c= 'red', marker='.')
```

Out[7]: [<matplotlib.lines.Line2D at 0x200da7bb390>]



As the data shows, there is an interesting relationship between education and the mean chance of acceptance of the bank's offer. Generally, from illiteracy to some high school education, the more education they have, the less likely the person is of accepting the bank's offer. This relationship reverses when someone graduates from high school though, slowly gaining in likelihood to accept the bank's offer the more education they receive.

Overall, the illiterate were the most likely to accept a loan, with a mean chance of 27%, and those with nine years of education are the least likely, only a 9% chance.

ANOVA Comparing Ages to Customer Education



In [10]:	<pre>D]: pair_t = mod.t_test_pairwise('education') pair_t.result_frame</pre>								
Out[10]:		coef	std err	t	P> t	Conf. Int. Low	Conf. Int. Upp.	pvalue-hs	reject-hs
	basic.6y-basic.4y	-7.710521	0.337376	-22.854371	1.218471e-114	-8.371793	-7.049250	0.000000e+00	True
	basic.9y-basic.4y	-9.453235	0.255529	-36.994754	4.280600e-293	-9.954083	-8.952388	0.000000e+00	True
	high.school-basic.4y	-10.405884	0.234339	-44.405310	0.000000e+00	-10.865198	-9.946570	0.000000e+00	True
	illiterate-basic.4y	-3.473071	3.019576	-1.150185	2.500767e-01	-9.391566	2.445424	4.376150e-01	False
	professional.course-basic.4y	-8.412774	0.255053	-32.984432	1.816088e-234	-8.912688	-7.912859	0.000000e+00	True
	university.degree-basic.4y	-9.420885	0.227015	-41.498943	0.000000e+00	-9.865844	-8.975926	0.000000e+00	True
	basic.9y-basic.6y	-1.742714	0.308582	-5.647486	1.642591e-08	-2.347548	-1.137880	1.971109e-07	True
	high.school-basic.6y	-2.695363	0.291277	-9.253593	2.308216e-20	-3.266279	-2.124447	0.000000e+00	True
	illiterate-basic.6y	4.237450	3.024528	1.401029	1.612156e-01	-1.690750	10.165651	4.098656e-01	False
	professional.course-basic.6y	-0.702252	0.308188	-2.278649	2.269482e-02	-1.306314	-0.098191	1.594399e-01	False
	university.degree-basic.6y	-1.710364	0.285419	-5.992475	2.089880e-09	-2.269796	-1.150931	2.716844e-08	True
	high.school-basic.9y	-0.952649	0.190565	-4.999080	5.792344e-07	-1.326164	-0.579134	5.792329e-06	True
	illiterate-basic.9y	5.980164	3.016495	1.982488	4.743361e-02	0.067709	11.892619	2.529124e-01	False
	professional.course-basic.9y	1.040462	0.215528	4.827503	1.389244e-06	0.618018	1.462905	1.250313e-05	True
	university.degree-basic.9y	0.032350	0.181483	0.178256	8.585233e-01	-0.323364	0.388065	8.585233e-01	False
	illiterate-high.school	6.932813	3.014773	2.299613	2.147686e-02	1.023731	12.841895	1.594399e-01	False
	professional.course-high.school	1.993110	0.189926	10.494154	1.016663e-25	1.620848	2.365373	0.000000e+00	True
	university.degree-high.school	0.984999	0.150185	6.558552	5.520139e-11	0.690629	1.279369	7.728196e-10	True
	professional.course-illiterate	-4.939703	3.016454	-1.637586	1.015185e-01	-10.852079	0.972674	3.483166e-01	False
	university.degree-illiterate	-5.947814	3.014213	-1.973256	4.847543e-02	-11.855797	-0.039830	2.529124e-01	False
	university.degree-professional.course	-1.008111	0.180812	-5.575465	2.489582e-08	-1.362510	-0.653712	2.738540e-07	True

As the above tables show, there is a very distinct statistical difference between the data from the varying groups. The first ANOVA test, which tested the model as a whole, came back with a p-value of less than .01, meaning that there was a statistical difference even at a 99% confidence level. Running the test on each individual grouping for Education came back showing that thirteen out of the twenty one relationships, or 62% of them, were statistically different from the others.

K-Nearest Neighbors

Let's a new candidate came in the ways of a 45-year-old man withno housing loan. Would they be expected to accept the bank's offer?

```
In [11]: X = pd.DataFrame(data = df,columns = ['age', 'Yhousing'])
X = X[['age', 'Yhousing']]
y= df['accepted']
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, random_state=1)
```



With a true positive and a true negative of 6,552 and 46 instances respectively, that means the models accurate results were 6,598. Adding on the false positives and false negatives, and our model had an accuracy of 6,598/7,622 or 86.5%.

As for the new instance itself. If there is a 45-year-old person without a housing loan, they are not expected to accept the bank's offer.

Building a Classification Tree Model

Another new person is added to the marketing data. This time it is a 50 year-old woman who has never defaulted, has a housing loan, but does not have a regular loan.

```
Out[17]: 0.874048806087641
```



With an accuracy of 87%, this model is pretty effective at predicting the possibility of acceptance for a candidate if you know their age, whether they have a housing loan, whether they have a normal loan, and whether they have defaulted.

Of these factors, this model finds the candidate's age to be the most important, gives a little relevance to whether the candidate accepted a housing loan, and even less relevance to whether they have a normal loan. Whether they candidate defaulted or not has no relevance in the model and can be removed. This is probably because these variables are all highly correlated, so a vast majority of the information in the latter three variables are already given by a candidate's age.

So if a candidate is 50 years old and has a housing loan but not a normal loan and never defaulted, they are not expected to accept the bank's offer.

Final Logistical Regression Testing Candidate Characteristics and Likelihood of Accepting Offer

```
In [22]: X= X.drop(['Ydefault'], axis=1)
         X= sm.add constant(X)
         y= df['accepted']
         logit1 = sm.Logit(y,X)
         results = logit1.fit()
         results.summary()
         Optimization terminated successfully.
                  Current function value: 432.821231
                  Iterations 6
         C:\Users\Woyte\Anaconda3\lib\site-packages\numpy\core\fromnumeric.py:2389: FutureWarning: Method .ptp is
         deprecated and will be removed in a future version. Use numpy.ptp instead.
          return ptp(axis=axis, out=out, **kwargs)
         C:\Users\Woyte\Anaconda3\lib\site-packages\statsmodels\base\model.py:492: HessianInversionWarning: Invert
         ing hessian failed, no bse or cov_params available
           'available', HessianInversionWarning)
         C:\Users\Woyte\Anaconda3\lib\site-packages\statsmodels\base\model.py:492: HessianInversionWarning: Invert
         ing hessian failed, no bse or cov_params available
           'available', HessianInversionWarning)
         C:\Users\Woyte\Anaconda3\lib\site-packages\statsmodels\discrete\discrete_model.py:3390: RuntimeWarning: d
         ivide by zero encountered in double_scalars
           return 1 - self.llf/self.llnull
```

Out[22]: Logit Regression Results

Dep. Va	ariable:	а	ccepted	No. Ob	servatio	ns:	30488	
	Model:		Logit	Df	Residua	ls:	30484	
N	lethod:		MLE		Df Mod	lel:	3	
	Date:	Thu, 17 C	oct 2019	Pseu	ıdo R-sq	lu.:	inf	
	Time:	C	2:15:41	Log-	Likeliho	od: -1.3	3196e+07	
conv	verged:		True		LL-N	ull:	0.0000	
Covariance	e Type:	nc	nrobust	LI	R p-val	ue:	1.000	
coef		std err	z	P> z	[0.025	0.975]		
const	-2.4922	0.069	-36.029	0.000	-2.628	-2.357		
age	0.0135	0.002	8.471	0.000	0.010	0.017		
Yhousing	0.0610	0.035	1.757	0.079	-0.007	0.129		
Yloan	-0.0418	0.048	-0.869	0.385	-0.136	0.052		

```
In [23]: X= df[['age'] +['Yhousing']]
         X=sm.add constant(X)
         logit1 = sm.Logit(y,X)
         results = logit1.fit()
         results.summary()
         C:\Users\Woyte\Anaconda3\lib\site-packages\numpy\core\fromnumeric.py:2389: FutureWarning: Method .ptp is
         deprecated and will be removed in a future version. Use numpy.ptp instead.
           return ptp(axis=axis, out=out, **kwargs)
         Optimization terminated successfully.
                  Current function value: 432.795705
                  Iterations 6
         C:\Users\Woyte\Anaconda3\lib\site-packages\statsmodels\base\model.py:492: HessianInversionWarning: Invert
         ing hessian failed, no bse or cov params available
           'available', HessianInversionWarning)
         C:\Users\Woyte\Anaconda3\lib\site-packages\statsmodels\base\model.py:492: HessianInversionWarning: Invert
         ing hessian failed, no bse or cov_params available
           'available', HessianInversionWarning)
         C:\Users\Woyte\Anaconda3\lib\site-packages\statsmodels\discrete\discrete_model.py:3390: RuntimeWarning: d
         ivide by zero encountered in double_scalars
           return 1 - self.llf/self.llnull
```

Out[23]:

Logit Regression Results

Dep. Va	riable:	a	ccepted	No. Observations:			30488	
	Model:		Logit	Df Residuals:			30485	
Μ	ethod:		MLE	Df Model:			2	
	Date:	Thu, 17 C	Oct 2019	Pseudo R-squ.:			inf	
	Time:	C	2:15:41	Log-Likelihood:			.3195e+07	
conv	erged:		True		LL-N	ull:	0.0000	
Covariance	e Type:	nc	onrobust	LLR p-value:			1.000	
coef		std err	z	P> z	[0.025	0.975]	I	
const	-2.4984	0.069	-36.313	0.000	-2.633	-2.364	Ļ	
age	0.0135	0.002	8.481	0.000	0.010	0.017	,	
Yhousing	0.0596	0.035	1.718	0.086	-0.008	0.128	5	



Of all the candidate characteristics, only a candidate's age and if they they had a housing loan were statistically significant at a 90% confidence level, and at a 95% confidence level the housing loan variable was dropped. That meant that at 95% confidence and above, only a candidate's age had any signicant relationship with their chance of accepting a loan.

The model at a 90% confidence level is Accepted = -2.5 + .0135(Age) + .035(Yhousing) + E

Otherwise, the odds ratio shows that someone with a housing loan is 1.06 times as likely to accept the bank's offer than someone without, an interesting find, and every increase in someone's age by a single year increases their odds of accepting the bank's offer by 1.014 times as much.

In []: