

Exhibit 3

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL & APPEAL BOARD

Schiedmayer Celesta GmbH,)	
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	
v)	Cancellation No. 92/061,215
)	Reg. No. 3,340,759
Piano Factory Group, Inc.,)	Mark: SCHIEDMAYER
)	Registration Date: 11/20/2007
Respondent.)	
_____)	

PETITIONER'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO RESPONDENT

October 28, 2015

Pursuant to Rule 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Trademark Rules of Practice 2.116 and 2.120, 37 CFR § 2.116 and 2.120, Petitioner herewith requests that Registrant answer under oath the following Interrogatories within 30 days after service thereof. These requests are deemed to be continuing so as to require prompt supplemental interrogatory answers should Registrant obtain additional responsive information between the time the answers are served and the time of the final hearing of this Opposition proceeding.

Definitions

The term 'identify' as used herein shall be deemed to include, without limitation in the case of an individual, the full name, business address and business title. In the case of a product or service, the term identify shall be deemed to include without limitation a complete indication of the type and intended purpose of each such product or service.

The terminology "each of" as used herein is intended to mean that the response thereto shall state the required information separately.

INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

Identify by name and address all purchasers of Schiedmayer Pianos of any type within the past five years.

INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

Identify the name and address of the manufacturer of the piano photographed as a specimen and submitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with the Declaration of Use as filed for Registration No. 3,340,759.

INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

Identify and explain the origin of the piano photographed and submitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with the Declaration of Use filed in support of Registration No. 3,340,759.

INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

With respect to the photograph of an alleged Schiedmayer piano submitted to the USPTO in connection with the filing of a Declaration of Use, was a label or other object bearing the name Schiedmayer affixed to the piano in such manner that it would cover up the true manufacturer of the piano.

INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

Does registrant manufacture pianos.

INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

If the answer to the above interrogatory is in the affirmative, set forth the name and address of the manufacturer of any such pianos.


INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

Set forth each type of advertising or other promotions with respect to Schiedmayer pianos of any type and for each such area of promotion and advertising, identify an example of any such advertisement or promotion.

INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

With respect to the Declaration of Use filed with respect to Registration No. 3,340,759, set forth the basis for the allegation that the trademark SCHIEDMAYER was in use in commerce in the United States at the time of filing of the Declaration of Use.

Respectfully submitted,


Michael J. Striker
Attorney for Petitioner
Reg. No.: 27233
103 East Neck Road
Huntington, New York 11743

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL & APPEAL BOARD

Schiedmayer Celesta GmbH,)
)
 Petitioner,)
)
 v)
)
 Piano Factory Group, Inc.,)
)
 Respondent.)
_____)

Cancellation No. 92061,215
Reg. No. 3,340,759
Mark: SCHIEDMAYER
Registration Date: 11/20/2007

PETITIONER'S SECOND SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO RESPONDENT

November 11, 2015

Pursuant to Rule 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Trademark Rules of Practice 2.116 and 2.120, 37 CFR § 2.116 and 2.120, Petitioner herewith requests that Respondent answer under oath the following Interrogatories within 30 days after service thereof. These requests are deemed to be continuing so as to require prompt supplemental interrogatory answers should Respondent obtain additional responsive information between the time the answers are served and the time of the final hearing of this Opposition proceeding.

Interrogatory No. 9:

Set forth the name, title and address of the individual employed by Respondent having most information as to the issues set forth in this Petition for Cancellation.

Interrogatory No. 10:

Does Respondent believe that it had good cause to allege in its Declaration of Use and Incontestability, under pain of fine or imprisonment or both, that Respondent had been using in commerce for five consecutive years, the trademark Schiedmayer on digital pianos.

Interrogatory No. 11:

If the answer to the foregoing interrogatory is in the affirmative, please set forth all facts which support this allegation, including, without limitation, sales of Schiedmayer marked digital pianos and the names and addresses of purchasers thereof; including the name and address of the manufacturer of the said digital pianos.

Interrogatory No. 12:

Does Respondent believe that it had good cause to allege in its Declaration of Use and Incontestability, under pain of fine or imprisonment or both, that Respondent had been

using in commerce for five consecutive years, the trademark Schiedmayer on upright pianos.

Interrogatory No. 13:

If the answer to the foregoing interrogatory is in the affirmative, please set forth all facts which support this allegation, including, without limitation, sales of Schiedmayer marked upright pianos and the names and addresses of purchasers thereof; including the name and address of the manufacturer of the said upright pianos.

Interrogatory No. 14:

Does Respondent believe that it had good cause to allege in its Declaration of Use and Incontestability, under pain of fine or imprisonment or both, that Respondent had been using in commerce for five consecutive years, the trademark Schiedmayer on grand pianos.

Interrogatory No. 15:

If the answer to the foregoing interrogatory is in the affirmative, please set forth all facts which support this allegation, including, without limitation, sales of Schiedmayer marked grand pianos and the names and addresses of purchasers thereof; including the name and address of the manufacturer of the said grand pianos.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a stylized 'M' followed by a long horizontal line that curves upwards at the end.


Michael J. Striker
Attorney for Petitioner
103 East Neck Road
Huntington, New York 11743

Certificate of Service

It is hereby certified that a true and complete copy of the attached document was served upon counsel for the Respondent at his address of record via first class mail and email on November 12, 2015 as follows:

ADAM R. STEPHENSON LTD.
40 West Baseline Road Ste. 101
Tempe. AZ
85283

adam@patentproblempro.com



Michael Striker