Original Article

The Role of Dynamic Capabilities in Enhancing Sustainable Entrepreneurship Under Environmental Regulation Frameworks

Sujata Mishra[1] , Mun Mun Mahapatra [2] , Piyush Agrawalla [3], Debasish Bal[4]

Journal of Business and Economic Dynamics
Volume 02, Issue 01
www.jbedjournal.in

Abstract

This study investigates how dynamic capabilities, such as absorptive, adaptive, and innovative capacities, moderate the relationship between environmental regulations and sustainable entrepreneurial performance. The research examines how incentive-based and command-based environmental policies influence green innovation, profitability, and social responsibility among enterprises. Using a mixed-methods approach, data from regulated industries reveal that firms with higher dynamic capabilities are better positioned to innovate and thrive under stringent regulatory frameworks. The findings contribute to the literature on sustainability and entrepreneurship by offering actionable insights for policymakers and businesses to foster sustainable entrepreneurship while addressing global challenges like climate change.

Keywords

Sustainable entrepreneurship, dynamic capabilities, environmental regulations, green innovation

Introduction

Sustainability has become an essential consideration for businesses in the 21st century. With growing concerns over climate change, resource depletion, and environmental degradation, governments worldwide have implemented regulatory frameworks to encourage sustainable practices. These regulations often take two forms: incentive-based policies, such as subsidies for renewable energy adoption, and command-based policies, such as emission limits or penalties for non-compliance. While these policies aim to drive green innovation and reduce environmental harm, they also pose significant challenges for businesses.

Entrepreneurs play a critical role in addressing sustainability challenges by introducing innovative solutions that balance profitability with social and environmental goals. However, not all firms are equally equipped to adapt to these challenges. Dynamic capabilities—a firm's ability to

[1] Lecturer in Commerce, U.N. (AUTO) College of Sc.& Tech., Adaspur, Cuttack, Email: mishrasujata2007@gmail.com

[2] Lecturer in Commerce, U.N. (AUTO) College of Sc.& Tech., Adaspur, Cuttack. Email: munmun20ab@gmail.com

3 M.Com 2nd Year, U.N. (AUTO) College of Sc.& Tech., Adaspur, Cuttack, Email: agrawallapiyush@gmail.com

[4] M.Com 2nd Year, U.N. (AUTO) College of Sc.& Tech., Adaspur, Cuttack, Email: dbal8681@gmail.com

integrate, build, and reconfigure internal and external resources—are increasingly recognized as a key factor enabling businesses to innovate and thrive under regulatory pressures. This study explores the intersection of dynamic capabilities, environmental regulations, and sustainable entrepreneurship. It seeks to answer the following questions: 1. How do different types of environmental regulations influence entrepreneurial performance? 2. What role do dynamic capabilities play in mediating this relationship? 3. How can firms leverage these capabilities to achieve sustainability goals while maintaining competitiveness?

This research addresses these questions and aims to provide actionable insights for policymakers and entrepreneurs alike. The findings contribute to the growing body of literature on sustainability and entrepreneurship by highlighting the importance of dynamic capabilities in navigating regulatory landscapes.

Review of Literature

Dynamic Capabilities Framework

Dynamic capabilities refer to a firm's ability to adapt its resources and strategies in response to changing environments (Teece et al., 1997). These capabilities are often categorized into three components:

- Absorptive Capacity: The ability to acquire and assimilate new knowledge.
- Adaptive Capacity: The ability to adjust operations in response to external changes.
- Innovative Capacity: Developing new products, processes, or business models.

Firms with strong dynamic capabilities are better positioned to identify opportunities within regulatory frameworks and respond proactively.

Environmental Regulations and Business Strategy

Environmental regulations are designed to mitigate environmental harm while encouraging sustainable practices among businesses. Incentive-based policies provide financial or market-based rewards for adopting green practices, whereas command-based policies impose strict compliance requirements. Research has shown that while incentive-based regulations often foster innovation by providing resources for experimentation, command-based regulations can stifle creativity due to their rigid nature (Porter & van der Linde, 1995). However, firms with strong dynamic capabilities can overcome these challenges by leveraging their absorptive and adaptive capacities.

Sustainable Entrepreneurship

Sustainable entrepreneurship focuses on creating economic value while addressing social and environmental challenges. It requires balancing short-term profitability with long-term sustainability goals. Entrepreneurs who succeed in this domain often rely on innovative solutions that align with regulatory requirements.

Research Gap

While existing studies have explored the impact of environmental regulations on business strategy, limited research has examined the role of dynamic capabilities in mediating this relationship. This study aims to fill this gap by investigating how firms can leverage their dynamic capabilities to achieve sustainable entrepreneurial performance under varying regulatory conditions. 3. Data and Methodology required for banking professionalism.

Data and Methodology

Research Design

This study adopts a quantitative research design to examine the relationship between dynamic capabilities (independent variable) and sustainable entrepreneurial performance (dependent variable). It also explores whether digital networking mediates this relationship. The research focuses on firms operating in industries with significant environmental regulations, such as renewable energy, manufacturing, and agriculture.

Hypotheses

The study tests two hypotheses:

• Null Hypothesis (H_0): Dynamic capabilities have no significant effect on sustainable entrepreneurial performance.

• Alternate Hypothesis (H_1): Dynamic capabilities have a significant positive effect on sustainable entrepreneurial performance.

Population and Sampling

The target population includes entrepreneurs and managers from regulated industries. A random sampling method was used to select participants, ensuring diversity across industries. The sample size consisted of 150 respondents, determined based on statistical power analysis for regression models.

Data Collection

Data was collected using an online survey distributed via email and professional networks. The survey had three sections: 1. Demographics: Information about the respondent's industry, firm size, and years of experience. 2. Dynamic Capabilities: Measured using a 5-point Likert scale (e.g., "Our firm quickly adapts to regulatory changes"). 3. Sustainable Entrepreneurial Performance: Measured through indicators like green innovation output, profitability from sustainable practices, and compliance with environmental regulations.

Variables and Measurement

• Independent Variable: Dynamic Capabilities (measured through absorptive, adaptive, and innovative capacities).

• Dependent Variable: Sustainable Entrepreneurial Performance (measured through green innovation output, financial performance, and social responsibility metrics).

Data Analysis Tools

The data was analyzed using Linear Regression Analysis in SPSS software to test the relationship between dynamic capabilities and sustainable entrepreneurial performance.

Results and Discussions

Descriptive Statistics

A summary of the sample characteristics is as follows:

- Industry Representation: Renewable energy (40%), manufacturing (35%), agriculture (25%).
- Firm Size: Small enterprises (50%), medium enterprises (30%), large enterprises (20%).
- Experience: 70% of respondents had more than five years of experience managing sustainability initiatives.

Hypothesis Testing Regression Model Summary

Hypothesis Testing

Table 1: Regression Model Summary

Model	R^2	Adjusted R^2	F-statistic	P-value
Dynamic Capabilities → Sustainable Entrepreneurial Performance	0.52	0.51	45.67	<0.001

Table 2: Coefficients Table:

Predictor Variable	Coefficient (β)	t-Value	P-value
Dynamic Capabilities → Sustainable Entrepreneurial Performance	0.72	6.75	<0.001

The regression model demonstrates that dynamic capabilities significantly influence sustainable entrepreneurial performance. With an R squared value of 0.52, the model explains 52% of the variance

in sustainable entrepreneurial performance, highlighting its predictive strength. The coefficient for dynamic capabilities ($\beta = 0.72$) is positive and statistically significant ($p < 0.001$), indicating a robust positive relationship between dynamic capabilities and sustainable entrepreneurial outcomes. Furthermore, the F-statistic of 45.67 confirms the overall statistical significance of the model ($p < 0.001$), underscoring the reliability of the findings. These results suggest that firms with stronger dynamic capabilities are better positioned to achieve sustainability goals and enhance entrepreneurial performance in competitive and rapidly changing environments.

Key insights include:

1. Firms with strong absorptive capacities are more likely to identify opportunities within regulatory frameworks, such as subsidies for green innovation.
2. Adaptive capacities enable businesses to adjust their operations efficiently in response to regulatory changes.
3. Innovative capacities drive the development of new products or processes that align with sustainability goals.

Research Limitations

Like all research, this study has certain limitations that must be acknowledged. First, the sample size was restricted to 150 respondents, which may limit the generalizability of the findings to broader populations. A larger sample could provide more robust insights and enhance the reliability of the results. Second, the study employed a cross-sectional design, capturing data at a single point in time. While this approach provides a snapshot of the relationship between dynamic capabilities and sustainable entrepreneurship, it does not account for changes or trends over time, which might offer deeper insights into causal relationships.

Third, the research focused primarily on three industries, renewable energy, manufacturing, and agriculture—limiting the scope of its findings. This narrow industry focus may not fully represent other sectors where dynamic capabilities and sustainable entrepreneurship play significant roles. Finally, data collection relied on self-reported questionnaires, which are inherently subject to biases such as social desirability or inaccurate self-assessment. These limitations suggest avenues for future research, including longitudinal studies, broader industry inclusion, and alternative data collection methods to improve validity and reliability.

Implications

Academic Implications

This study contributes to the academic literature by integrating dynamic capabilities theory with sustainable entrepreneurship, particularly under regulatory contexts. It expands the understanding of how absorptive, adaptive, and innovative capacities influence firms' ability to align with environmental regulations while achieving sustainability goals. By examining the moderating role of dynamic capabilities in the relationship between incentive and command environmental regulations and

sustainable entrepreneurial performance, this research provides a nuanced framework that bridges dynamic capability theory with sustainable entrepreneurship. Furthermore, it highlights the differentiated impacts of various dimensions of dynamic capabilities on sustainability outcomes, enriching theoretical discussions on the triple bottom line of economic, social, and environmental performance.

Managerial Implications

For practitioners, this study underscores the importance of strengthening dynamic capabilities to maximize sustainability outcomes. Managers should focus on enhancing absorptive capacity to identify and integrate new knowledge, adaptive capacity to adjust strategies in response to regulatory changes, and innovative capacity to develop green technologies and business models. These capabilities enable firms to navigate complex regulatory landscapes while maintaining competitiveness. For example, firms operating in industries such as renewable energy can leverage their dynamic capabilities to align with environmental policies and drive innovation. Managers must also recognize that over-reliance on any single capability—such as innovation—without balancing resources may lead to inefficiencies or risks.

Policy Implications

Policymakers play a crucial role in fostering an environment conducive to sustainable entrepreneurship by supporting the development of dynamic capabilities alongside sustainability regulations. Incentive-based environmental regulations should be designed to encourage firms to invest in green innovation while avoiding excessive financial burdens that could stifle entrepreneurial activity. Command-based regulations should be tailored to ensure compliance without discouraging innovation or adaptability. Policymakers should also consider providing financial support or subsidies for firms investing in sustainable practices, particularly in high-risk industries like renewable energy or manufacturing. By aligning regulatory frameworks with market conditions and firm capabilities, governments can promote long-term sustainability while ensuring economic growth.

Conclusion

This study underscores the crucial role of dynamic capabilities in facilitating sustainable entrepreneurship within the realm of environmental regulations. The outcomes demonstrate a notable and affirmative correlation between possessing dynamic capabilities and achieving sustainable results. This offers invaluable insights for both policymakers and business entities looking to stimulate sustainable entrepreneurship amidst global hurdles like climate change.

Acknowledgment

The author is grateful to the journal's anonymous referees for their beneficial suggestions to improve the quality of the article. Usual disclaimers apply.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

References

Teece, D., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A. (1997). Dynamic Capabilities and Strategic Management. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(7), 509–533.

Porter, M., & van der Linde, C. (1995). Toward a New Conception of the Environment-Competitiveness Relationship. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 9(4), 97–118.

OECD (2020). Environmental Policy Frameworks for Green Innovation: A Global Perspective.