ocean newsletter

Overcoming Corruption Encouraging All Nations Encouraging offenders to become Defenders

Defender: "1.to protect from danger, attack, or harm... 2. To support or maintain, as by argument or action; justify... to make a defense... lat. *Defendere*, to ward off..." (American Heritage Dictionary)

ocean readers must be 18 years or older to comment on contents

1st Amendment: Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the government for a redress of grievances.

OCEAN employs a new project that spotlights how preventive detention is applied to the individuals incarcerated and how the perpetrators propagate this nefarious scheme. The Gulag in Minnesota is clearly in cooperation with the courts and psychologists, to lie and cheat in order to steal our lives.

To learn more about the Minnesota Gulag scam go to: ajustfuture.org/category/ocean-newsletters

Questions or comments? Write to the OCEAN founders, Daniel A. Wilson [daw] & Russell J. Hatton [rjh] *judicium dei:*

OCEAN P.O. Box 582 Pelican Rapids, MN 56572.

You can also leave a voice message at, (218) 351-1900, Ext. 106021 for daw and Ext. 70887 for rjh

1

8

We Want Your Story About How you have been Misdiagnosed!

We want to show a pattern of how these civil detention centers have deliberately misdiagnosed people to keep them confined. Send us your story. Please adhere the following rules for submission:

- 1. Let us know what facility are in.
- 2. State, in writing, that you give OCEAN permission to put your story in the OCEAN Newsletter.
- 3. You can either send your records to us to do a case study on you, or you can break it down for us.

OCEAN newsletters are distributed to other facilities around the country and are placed onto the internet as well. If this is a concern for you, you may want to remain anonymous.

It is important that your story is heard. If you are not comfortable telling your story for any reason, please write to us and we'll address your concerns. OCEAN is written by men who are also civilly detained in a pre-crime-life-sentence. We understand.

Attention

We don't want more readers. We want the right readers.

This issue of the ocean Newsletter will be your last, unless you have contacted us in the past or you contact us after receiving this issue. When you write, it is important to tell us why you want the ocean Newsletter or other editions of our publications.

In our first year, many requests have come in for ocean Newsletters. Due to limited funds we are filtering our distribution of the OCEAN Newsletter (which exposes corruption of the Minnesota Gulag), the OCEAN Special (meant for the men held at the Minnesota Gulag), OCEAN Waves newsletter (for the Body of Christ) and the upcoming OCEAN Tsunami Skillz newsletter (for the Artists).

Please understand, the ocean reading materials are not meant to be "positive." They are not easy reading materials for your "pleasure." In fact, they are meant to stir your heart and mind to motivate you to fight corruption in your own life, especially if you or someone you love is being held hostage in a so-called, "civil commitment" center.

Keep in mind that the OCEAN Newsletter is also online at:

ajustfuture.org/category/ocean-newsletters

OCEAN Interviews Michelle L. MacDonald, candidate running for Minnesota Supreme Court Justice

After receiving three messages on the gulag phone, Daniel called Michelle L. MacDonald on July 20, 2020. MacDonald is running for Minnesota Supreme Court. Daniel inquired immediately as to why she deserves our votes, and the votes of our supporters in Minnesota.

Michelle MacDonald doesn't seem to have a shy bone in her body. Without hesitation, MacDonald said, "I despise that place." MacDonald has been practicing law for over 33 years and seems to have a natural abhorrence to injustice.

MacDonald voiced her disappointment that even after the case of *Sullivan v. The Government of the United States of America* in 2012, the gulag remained open. MacDonald shared her own testimony of how she was personally wronged by the court system - even suing a judge after being subjected to 7 of the 11 internationally recognized forms of torture while in jail. She even brought the issue all they way to the Supreme Court. "I'm not afraid to take a challenge," MacDonald says:

Corruption is deep right now and now is the time to fix it. Other judges are sitting around waiting for someone like me to do what's right.

MacDonald currently specializes in family law:

If I am elected to the Supreme Court of Minnesota, there are 6 other judges I will be working with. But I will have a lot to say about Restorative Justice issues. Right now, it seems that MSOP is a waste of taxpayer funds that should be used elsewhere. I think we should let the men out. We can't hold 100 men because 20 might be dangerous. We need to start realizing the value of Restorative Justice in the system.

The men at the gulag are cautious about endorsing anyone for any reason and have been lied to repeatedly for years. Many have stopped listening to promises a long time ago. "I can't make promises," says MacDonald, "but I don't stop at the glass ceiling either." This is a realistic view to take.

MacDonald showed a real investment to the men of the gulag when she expressed an interest in attending Brad Steven's CAP hearing. We believe that if she can experience a CAP hearing, it will drive home the seriousness of our plight. Seeing the gulag administration scramble once again to keep one from getting away, will show MacDonald the daily corruption we face.

MacDonald ended our conversation with a gem that if she actually uses after being elected, will bless everyone she serves:

The men at MSOP need to be heard. Being heard is so close to being loved that to the average person, the two are almost indistinguishable.

www.macdonaldforjustice.com

OCEAN exposes Deliberate Misdiagnosis

OCEAN employs a new project that spotlights how preventive detention is applied to the individuals incarcerated and how the perpetrators propagate this nefarious scheme.

It's been over three decades since the State of Minnesota started involuntarily committing individuals to state hospitals after they finished their prison sentences. The practice was blessed by the courts so long as the States would find such individuals suffered a severe mental disorder and were too dangerous to be released to the public. Don't believe any of it. It's all a lie. Almost all of the individuals committed are not severely mentally disordered and those employed here is lying about those that live here.

Since the creation of the Sexual Psychopathic Personality and Sexually Dangerous Person Acts in Minnesota, the Political Gulag in Minnesota has taken on a financial life of its own. It's no secret, that with over a 1,000 plus staff and a yearly operating budget of \$111 million, it's become a huge cash cow. Those involved with keeping this titanic miscreation afloat are many. They involve the Judiciary, Attorney General and Assistants, DHS Commissioner along with her minions, Psychologists/Psychiatrists, and even the Attorneys representing those unfortunate enough to be committed. They have all come to feast upon the treasure offered, and are welcome, so long as they support and believe in the marriage of this unholy judicial and political creation. It's hard to believe that there are so many greedy and unprincipled people in every level of the State and Federal government. Yet there is, and in almost four decades of existence, they have built multimillion dollar facilities. Their feelings of invincibility and haughtiness have convinced them that they are too big to fail. However, OCEAN knows they are wrong.

OCEAN has created a platform looking to the future, shining a light on the injustice of preventive detention. We will conduct case studies of individual cases and call out the perpetrators misdiagnosing the men to keep them confined. This platform has been created out of necessity and offers a voice to the disenfranchised. We hope to establish a record for future use. Before we begin, a brief history is necessary.

Where It All Started.

The State of Minnesota started committing individuals as far back as 1939 with a law that was intended as an alternative to prison for people that had an illness that they could not control. It was called the Psychopathic Personality act ("PP Act"). Subsequent Mentally III and Dangerous laws ("MID") were enacted and the PP Act was all forgotten.

However, in the 1980's there was a series of sex offenses committed by inmates released from prison. These crimes along with the media's sensationalizing of them, created a fervor. With the media, sex sells. But sex with murder sold more. Thus, money created an appetite for a new type of pornography which sensationalized sex crimes while simultaneously creating a soap box for outraged politicians, even though sexual assault statistics were trended downward nationwide. The fervor created took on new significance and ushered in a new class of laws and terms known as "sexual predator" laws. These laws, and terms like "sex predator" (unheard of 40 years ago), carried with them a stigma and belief. From these, offspring like the perplexing term "irrefutable presumption" was born.

While the media was peddling the pornographic drama, the soapbox politicians dusted off the books and rediscovered the PP Act and immediately started looking into whether or not they would ever have to release another sex offender from prison again. The PP Act would allow for them to place the inmate in a prison under civil law instead of a prison under criminal law. The only thing required was an army of psychologists that would pretend something was mentally wrong with those targeted. Voila, life sentences in civil prisons. There was no outcry from the public because they were "sex offenders" and nobody wanted to defend them or their civil rights. This is especially true for any attorney that wants to continue with a career.

Subsequently, because of the practice of not releasing prisoners who finished their sentence, the controversy reached all the way to the U.S. Supreme Court. The high court was inundated with pressure from the media, politicians, and even psychologists (who recognized a financial boom) of the dire need to keep these men confined. The Court fell under the spell of the "irrefutable presumption" that 80% of all the sex offenders released from prison were committing new sex offenses.¹ It was actually believed that most of them couldn't even make it out of the prison parking lot before committing a new sex crime. The Court did not feel the need to check if the 80% fact statement correct, and *Kansas v. Hendricks* opened the door for civil law to have prisons with life sentences, after inmates completed their sentences, a practice never before seen in American history.

In an attempt to placate the fear that of these new prisons (with no jury or other protections) the Court stated they were not meant to be applied to criminals in general, but instead reserved for those who have committed a crime of a sexual nature who have a mental disorder that predisposed them to act out sexually. This, as it turns out, was nothing but rhetoric and history has proven it to be a lie. The laws are applied to criminals in general with no mental disorder. In many cases it is applied to those who are not criminals or mentally ill. The Court knew what it was doing and they opened the door for punitive preventive detention for innocent people.

Lower courts have embraced the practice and do everything to protect them. There is a catalog of cases claiming these prisons are not punitive and therefore not criminal in nature. The collective responses are always the same: the individuals confined are so out of control and dangerous because of a mental disorder that such conditions are appropriate. The replies are legally sound, the reality, however, reveals a form of cognitive dissonance on the courts part because they know most of those targeted have no mental disorder and the confinement is punishment alone. The fact is that most of those committed in Minnesota have no mental disorder. The lawyers representing those confined know this, but argue everything else. Instead of exposing this, they protect the lie and know they will lose all arguments because the lie of the fake mental disorder precludes all other arguments. In other words, if one does not have a serious disorder that makes them dangerous, the confinement is illegal and is punishment and all other arguments follow. In contrast, if there is a severe mental disorder the confinement is punishment for some other reason besides the fact that there is no serious disorder? This is what the attorneys do and it is not by mistake.

To be clear, these civil prisons are designed to punish because they are not treating people with real mental disorders. However, in order to continue this human rights nightmare, the proponents have designed and fostered a complex system of fraud and lies to perpetuate and protect it. The system is designed to misdiagnose with false disorders upon which the courts can hang commitment and continued confinement on. A system and lie that OCEAN is revealing.

We've discussed how it started and the lie. We now need to address that lie and understand the complexity of it. In order to do that, we need to discuss what a qualifying severe mental disorder is and how it is diagnosed. We will do this by relying on the peer reviews, empirical research, and the standards of the profession using the DSM-5 as our guide This in turn will allow us to discern the fraud and lies perpetuated on those committed. For convenience, this process will be made easier by inspecting the personal accounts of the men committed to the Gulag. In revealing these personal accounts a pattern of the same abuse and exploitation will be recognized. More importantly, however, is that together we will show how those involved are perpetuating a system of that lies and misdiagnoses chronically and routinely.

What are Qualifying Mental Disorder(s)?

A qualifying disorder (according to the courts) is a disorder that predisposes or causes a person to exhibit sexual harmful conduct. It has many variants, but for the most part it is universally understood that any type of sexual behavior that is caused by an identifiable disorder or abnormality is a qualifying disorder.

There are many types of disorders that could be qualifying. For the most part in the Gulag in Minnesota, most of the qualifying disorders used for civil commitment or continued confinement are found to be in the classification of Paraphilic Disorders and Personality Disorders. Many of the men committed have been diagnosed with a variant of one or both² of these classifications. Since most of the qualifying disorders used for commitment come from one of these categories OCEAN will be addressing them in more detail.

REMEMBER, the lie starts with a misdiagnosis or a fake diagnosis of a qualifying disorder. Let's examine how those lies are perpetuated in the Paraphilic Disorders first, then the Personality Disorders.

Paraphilic Disorder(s)

A paraphilic disorder is a paraphilia that is currently causing distress or impairment to the individual or a paraphilia whose satisfaction has entailed personal harm, or risk of harm, to others.

The term paraphilia denotes any intense and persistent sexual interest other than sexual interest in genital stimulation or preparatory fondling with phenotypically normal, physically mature, consenting human partners.³

While there are a number of Paraphilic Disorder classifications in the DSM-5 and there is another Paraphilic Disorder that is not in the same classification called Other Specified Paraphilic Disorder (which is widely used at the gulag in Minnesota). This diagnosis typically applies to presentations characteristic of a paraphilic disorder in which recurrent and intense sexual arousal symptoms have been present for at least 6 months causing a myriad of problems. Examples of these paraphilia presentations can be telephone scatologia (obscene phone calls), necrophilia (corpses), zoophilia (animals), coprophilia (feces), klismaphilia (enemas), or urophilia (urine). It's very important to remember, when given this diagnosis the diagnostician is to record "other specified paraphilic disorder" followed by a "specifier" which is a specific reason or presentation (e.g., zoophilia or urophilia).

There is a lot of suspicion as to whether or not Other Specified Paraphilic Disorder can be a qualifying disorder because the gulag diagnosticians in most cases don't even bother to present or identify the paraphilia. Hence, it begs numerous questions. How can there be a paraphilia if there's nothing identified or presented? How can one be said to have a disorder caused by it if we don't know what it is? How was it determined to be present for 6 months? If you think these questions self-evident and troubling you're not alone. Yet, more troubling is how the diagnostician is trying to communicate that a paraphilia does exist without identifying it, in such a diagnosis. The DSM-5 does not give a diagnostician any authority to diagnose someone with a haphazard paraphilic disorder without a paraphilia. This is not allowed and not following any standards of the profession.

To be fair, Paraphilic Disorders can be very serious, while some are not. The point to be made is that for a Paraphilic Disorder to be qualifying, it's supposed to be severe/serious. The degrees of severity are determined and communicated by using "specifiers." A specifier is exactly what the word implies a specific description or way of detailing the disorder. Noteworthy, however, is that there are differences between how specifiers are used in the Paraphilic Disorder classifications versus how the specifiers are used in the Other Specified Paraphilic Disorder. This will be examined but let's discuss specifiers first.

There are two specifiers to be used in a majority of the listed Paraphilic Disorders. They are "in a controlled environment" which indicates the individual is living in an institution or other settings where opportunities to show symptoms are restricted. The other is "in full remission" which is to be notated

only if "there has been no distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other areas of functioning for at least 5 years while in an uncontrolled environment." Thus, if one has a Paraphilic Disorder and is locked up the only specifier allowed is "in a controlled environment" according to the DSM-5. This is an important distinction to remember.

In contrast, there are two different specifiers to be used in the Other Specified Paraphilic Disorder which sound similar but are not. They are "in remission in controlled environment" which indicates there are no paraphilic symptoms being exhibited while being locked up. ⁴ And, "as occurring in a controlled environment" which indicates symptoms are being exhibited while being locked up. Thus, by interpretation these specifiers are quite different than the specifier of "in a controlled environment" in the Paraphilic Disorders.

Although the listed Paraphilic Disorders and Other Specified Paraphilic Disorder are both paraphilic disorders they are different and they have different specifiers. And as shown above those different specifiers sound the same but mean something different. Knowing they are different and according to the DSM-5 and standards of the profession these specifiers are not interchangeable. If one was to interchange the specifiers it would allow for introduction of a fake diagnosis or misdiagnosis. OCEAN will show cases where many diagnosticians do this and write a misdiagnosis of "Other Specified Paraphilic Disorder, in a controlled environment." Such a misdiagnosis is not allowed and falsely communicates that the individual has a paraphilia that cannot be in full remission until he has been released and symptom free for 5 years. This is perpetuating a lie that has no scientific basis. But what makes it all the worst is that the diagnostician will diagnose this without identifying a paraphilia. How would one be symptom free of something for 5 years if nobody knows what it is?

What makes it all the more insidious is that the courts will accept such nonsense as a qualifying disorder because nobody bothers to object to it. What's more, is that the defense attorneys are so bad they have no idea of what's happening to even think of objecting to it, and if they do understand, they are not representing their prisoner. The bottom line is it's one of the most prevalent lies told and the deception is so widely practiced by the diagnosticians that one can only believe it's part of their collective training provided by the Commissioner of the Minnesota Department of Human Services, Jodi Harpstead. Or worse, the Commissioner of the Department of Health, Jan Malcolm.

Personality Disorder(s)

A Personality Disorder is an enduring pattern of inner experience and behavior that deviates markedly from the expectations of the individual's culture, is pervasive and inflexible, has an onset in adolescence or early adulthood, is stable over time, and leads to distress or impairment.

There are a number of Personality Disorder classifications listed in the DSM-5 that are qualifying disorders. Many of them require childhood or adolescent conduct and/or a pattern of symptoms in order to be diagnosed in adulthood. However, in cases where there are no childhood symptoms but only adult symptoms there is a another personality disorder that's used. This disorder is called Other Specified Personality Disorder. The Other Specified Personality Disorder is used to describe those who don't meet the Personality Disorder classification like Antisocial Personality Disorder but they do have the same symptoms.

For example, when somebody exhibits the symptoms of Antisocial Personality Disorder ("ASPD") but they don't have the childhood history it cannot be diagnosed. In such an instance, the Other Specified Personality Disorder is given along with a specifier "with antisocial features." The diagnosis would be written "Other Specified Personality Disorder, with antisocial features." Let's say somebody was a narcissist without childhood conduct. The diagnosis would be written "Other Specified Personality Disorder, with narcissistic features."⁵

No doubt Other Specified Personality Disorder can be a qualifying disorder if an individual actually has it. However, the problem is how diagnosticians chronically diagnose this disorder and pawn off criminal conduct as automatic symptoms. Such a practice is not supported by the profession and could not be more wrong because it's making all criminal conduct a symptom of a disorder. This is not ethical at all.

The DSM-5 specifically states that symptoms are to be characteristic" (distinguishing, representative) of the disorder. In other words, criminal conduct can be a symptom if it is caused by the disorder, but this needs to be discussed, detailed, and found out. In contrast, criminal behavior not caused by a disorder can never be a symptom. If there was no distinction between symptoms and criminal behavior then all criminals would suffer from a disorder. In light of these two sides of the coin diagnosticians do not get to automatically say criminal conduct is a symptom without explanation just because they overlap or mirror each other. It's just sophomoric and offensive to think this could be hocked off as proper and not supported by any professional in the field.

To be sure, on page 663 of the DSM-5 this is made clear under the heading: "Criminal behavior not associated with a personality disorder." Antisocial personality disorder must be distinguished from criminal behavior undertaken for gain that is not accompanied by the personality features characteristic of this disorder. Only when antisocial personality traits are inflexible, maladaptive, and persistent and cause significant functional impairment or subjective distress do they constitute antisocial personality disorder.

It's crystal clear: a diagnostician cannot merely imply that criminal conduct is a symptom. While it's true the criminal behavior can "cause significant functional impairment or subjective distress" by virtue of being locked up, it's still not a symptom if it's not inflexible, maladaptive, or persistent.

When a Diagnostician gives a diagnosis she/he must not give an "excused explanation" of criminal conduct alone to justify the diagnosis. They must instead have an "informed explanation" of how the behavior was caused by the disorder which would be a symptom to validate the diagnosis. Informed explanations are how traits and symptoms are distinguished from criminal conduct, which can mimic symptoms but are not. Without these distinctions to be understood and found out, there would be no need for a diagnostician.

But even more, are the terms "enduring pattern" and "stable over time" which help to determine a symptom. These terms introduce a time factor that is often overlooked by the diagnosticians in the Minnesota gulag. It's well understood by ethical diagnosticians that current and observable behavior (empirical data) is required to make an informed explanation for a diagnosis to be in obeisance of the standards of the profession. Incredibly, while the Minnesota gulag is observing those committed with 24 hour surveillance replete with cameras and listening equipment, it should be no problem for the diagnostician to have current observable behavior to make a symptom determination that shows inflexibility, pervasiveness and persistence.

The truth, however, is that there is all kinds of behavior exhibited by hundreds of men in the at the gulag, but most is positive and doesn't support a diagnosis. Yet, the descriptions and narratives documented in the records are one that promote the belief that those committed have a very serious and severe mental disorder that's inflexible, pervasive, and out of their control. If this was even remotely true, most of the men would be in handcuffs and padded cells. That's not happening because the narrative is a lie perpetuated by the gulag and the diagnosticians. Even more damning, is that the positive behaviors exhibited are supposed to be documented but they are not allowed because they don't support the lie of the severe mental disorders diagnosed.

OCEAN is revealing that the men committed in Minnesota gulag have no current or enduring pattern of conduct that has been stable over time documented or expressed in any of their Mental Health Assessments. The reason is simple, the diagnosticians don't want to distinguish and determine symptoms from criminal conduct. If they did, they wouldn't be able to misdiagnose so easily.

Incredibly and additionally, while writing this dissertation it came to OCEAN's attention that Dr. Allen Frances, MD., issued a warning to mental health diagnosticians by publication of a peer reviewed journal, pointing out the abuse patients are enduring.⁷ Dr. Frances work points out how diagnosticians using Antisocial Personality Disorder ("ASPD") could not give an informed diagnosis because ASPD does not distinguish the criminal from the criminal who has a disorder. With emphasis on ASPD he stated, "The DSM-5 definition of ASPD is mostly a cataloging of criminal behaviors, making ASPD extremely common among rapists and not useful in distinguishing between rape as a part of common criminality and rape arising from mental abnormality, which is a distinction clearly required by the Supreme Court in justifying the constitutionality of SVP statutes.

Because of this research on ASPD, the offspring of Other Specified Personality Disorder w/ antisocial traits cannot be a viable disorder to justify commitment because it's given for the same cataloged criminal behavior that's not distinguished. Dr. Frances accentuates further that "As defined by DSM-5, ASPD is essentially equivalent to criminality and therefore provides no appropriate additional ground to support psychiatric commitment." ⁸ Ergo, the use of this disorder without a distinction from criminality runs afoul of the parameters set by the U.S. Supreme Court.

Proving how Other Specified Personality Disorder w/ antisocial traits is nothing more than criminality Frances points out "there are no other circumstances" with other types of criminals "where ASPD is ever grounds for psychiatric commitment or for any other type of psychiatric hospitalization." ⁸ If ASPD can't toe the line, how can the Other Specified Personality Disorder w/ antisocial traits that the diagnosticians are deceptively using?

Rape As A Specifier

While Other Specified Paraphilic Disorder may lack an identified paraphilia presentation in the cases to be shown. There is a belief among diagnosticians in the Minnesota gulag and promoted by the Commissioner and courts that "non consent" the euphemism for rape is a specifier. The same can be said of Other Specified Personality Disorder w/antisocial traits which we already addressed above. The bigger problem with rape as a specifier is how the attorneys that represent those committed don't object to this aberration. Most of diagnosticians don't use this but some do. OCEAN will address this on an individual case by case basis in our new publication, the *OCEAN Ripple Effect*.⁹

Conclusion

What will become painfully clear in each edition of the OCEAN Ripple Effect will be the deception and lack of professionalism. The diagnosticians hired by the DHS Commissioner are employed to sell the idea that the prisoner has a qualifying disorder for continued confinement. This will be shown by how diagnosticians will over and over again resort to the criminal record alone to extrapolate something that can be hocked off as a symptom or trait. In most cases, the diagnosis will be Other Specified Paraphilic Disorder or Other Specified Personality Disorder with antisocial traits.

The scam is huge, immoral, and involves everyone's cooperation, even the men confined. Each of the members from the courts, officers of the courts, and diagnosticians plays a role and if we fail to speak up, we are participating also. They are lying, they are all on the wrong side of science, the law, and history. Nobody doubts or contends that it's not by design. Instead of being ashamed and modest they are arrogant and proud. Collecting salaries on others misery of and always looking for ways to make the lives of those confined even more miserable. Make no mistake, these are despicable people in a system set up to abuse the disenfranchised and marginalized.

The bottom line is that there is no justice in the Minnesota gulag and now it appears to reach out to the rest of this country. It's no surprise, the government and courts are drunk with power and are oblivious people who have privilege and entitlement issues. They couldn't care less. If they did they would change their ways. They will continue to kill people whether it be in their illegal prisons or by the brutality we are witnessing today but in the end they will lose. OCEAN is creating a record that will expose them so that true justice can be accomplished by these enemies of human rights.

FOOTNOTES

- 1. "BJS Fuels Myths about Sex Offense Recidivism, Contradicting its own New Data" Wendy Sawyer, *Prison Policy Initiative*, June 6, 2019 (A new report released by the Bureau of Justice Statistics: *Report of Sex Offenders Released from State Prison: A 9-Year Follow-Up (2005-2014)*, shows that people convicted of sex offenses are much less likely than people convicted of other offenses to be rearrested or go back to prison stating: "Released sex offenders were three times as likely as other released prisoners to be re-arrested for a sex offense.")
- 2. A condition known as comorbidity.
- 3. DSM, p. 685
- 4. In the DSM-5 pg. 705, the text says, "Other Specified paraphilic disorder can be specified as in remission and/or as occurring in a controlled environment." Therefore, there is a third option other than what we has addressed: "in remission and as occurring in a controlled environment." However, for obvious reasons, this third option is impossible.
- 5. This is supported by the DSM-5 on page 684 wherein it states: "The Other Specified Personality Disorder is a presentation in which symptoms characteristic of a personality disorder that cause clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning predominate but do not meet the full criteria for any of the disorders in the personality disorders diagnostic class. This is used in situations in which the diagnostician chooses to communicate a specific reason that the presentation does not meet the criteria for any specific personality disorder. This is done by recording "other specified personality disorder" followed by the specific reason (e.g., "mixed personality features")."
- 6. Dr. Bossman (Boss man) is not his real name. OCEAN will reveal who this leading doctor is after our friend's CAP hearing is over.
- Frances, A. (2020): Misuse of Diagnostic and Statistical Manual in Sexually Violent Predator Cases. Journal of the American Academy of Psychiatry and Law, 48 (2).
 8. Id.
- 9. Id.
- 10. The OCEAN Ripple Effect is primarily for the men at this facility. However, for those in other facilities and the public in general, we will be including Ripple Effect information in future OCEAN Newsletters to inform our readers of this massive scam to keep us incarcerated. We will also post the OCEAN Ripple Effect articles online at ajustfuture.org/category/ocean-newsletters

INSPIRATION

"A good and faithful judge prefers what is right to what is expedient" – Horace

"Pray as though everything depended on God. Work as though everything depended on you." – St. Augustine

"...leaders go out of their way to boost the self-esteem of their personnel. If people believe in themselves, it's amazing what they can accomplish. "- Sam Walton

"Don't be afraid to take advice. There is always something new to learn" - Babe Ruth

"Your attitude, not your aptitude, will determine your altitude." - Zig Ziglar

"What saves a man is to take a step. Then another step." - C.S. Lewis

"Boldness Has genius, power, and magic in it." - Goethe

"Every man's life lies within the present; for the past is spent and done with, and the future is uncertain." – Marcus Aurelius Antoninus

We are all DROPs. But are you OCEAN?

OCEAN DOES NOT CONDONE, DEFEND, OR PROMOTE THE ABUSE OF ANY NATURE AGAINST ANYONE. OUR GOAL IS TO WORK TOWARDS PROMOTING EDUCATION AND PREVENTION THROUGH AND WITH SOCIAL SUPPORT.