

# Arianism

---

**Arianism** (Koinē Greek: Ἀρειανισμός, *Areianismós*)<sup>[1]</sup> is a Christological doctrine first attributed to Arius (c. AD 256–336),<sup>[1][2][3]</sup> a Christian presbyter from Alexandria, Egypt.<sup>[1]</sup> Arian theology holds that Jesus Christ is the Son of God,<sup>[4][a][5][b]</sup> who was begotten by God the Father<sup>[2]</sup> with the difference that the Son of God did not always exist but was begotten within time by God the Father, therefore Jesus was not co-eternal with God the Father.<sup>[2]</sup> With regard to the Trinity, there are, theoretically speaking, two possibilities: Either to affirm unity and deny plurality in God, and vice versa. All trinitarian heresies are but variations on these two "choices" ('choices' being the meaning of the Greek *hairesis*).<sup>[6]</sup>

Arius' trinitarian theology, later given an extreme form by Aetius and his disciple Eunomius and called anomoean [dissimilar], asserts a total dissimilarity between the Son and the Father.<sup>[7]</sup> Arianism holds that the Son is distinct from the Father and therefore subordinate to him.<sup>[3]</sup> The term *Arian* is derived from the name Arius; it was not what the followers of Arius's teachings called themselves, but rather a term used by outsiders.<sup>[8]</sup> The nature of Arius's teachings and his supporters were opposed to the theological doctrines held by Homoousian Christians, regarding the nature of the Trinity and the nature of Christ.

There was a controversy between two interpretations of Jesus' divinity (Homoousianism and Arianism) based upon the theological orthodoxy of the time, one Trinitarian and the other also a derivative of Trinitarian orthodoxy,<sup>[6]</sup> and both of them attempted to solve its respective theological dilemmas.<sup>[9]</sup> Homoousianism was formally affirmed by the first two ecumenical councils;<sup>[9]</sup> since then, Arianism has always been condemned as "the heresy or sect of Arius".<sup>[10]</sup> As such, all mainstream branches of Christianity now consider Arianism to be heterodox and heretical.<sup>[11]</sup> Trinitarian (*homoousian*) doctrines were vigorously upheld by Patriarch Athanasius of Alexandria, who insisted that Jesus (God the Son) was "same in being" or "same in essence" with God the Father. Arius stated: "If the Father begat the Son, then he who was begotten had a beginning in existence, and from this it follows there was a time when the Son was not."<sup>[9]</sup> The ecumenical First Council of Nicaea of 325, convened by Emperor Constantine to ensure church unity, declared Arianism to be a heresy.<sup>[12]</sup> According to Everett Ferguson, "The great majority of Christians had no clear views about the nature of the Trinity and they did not understand what was at stake in the issues that surrounded it."<sup>[12]</sup>

Arianism is also used to refer to other nontrinitarian theological systems of the 4th century, which regarded Jesus Christ—the Son of God, the Logos—as either a begotten creature of a similar or different substance to that of the Father, but not identical (as Homoiousian and Anomoeanism) or as neither uncreated nor created in the sense other beings are created (as in semi-Arianism).

## Contents

---

### Origin

### Condemnation by the Council of Nicaea

### Beliefs

### Homoian Arianism

### Struggles with orthodoxy

#### First Council of Nicaea

Aftermath of Nicaea

Council of Constantinople

**Among medieval Germanic tribes**

**From the 5th to the 7th century**

**From the 16th to the 19th century**

**Today**

The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints

Jehovah's Witnesses

Iglesia ni Cristo

Others

**See also**

**References**

Notes

Citations

Sources

Further reading

**External links**

## Origin

---

Controversy over Arianism arose in the late 3rd century and persisted throughout most of the 4th century. It involved most church members—from simple believers, priests, and monks to bishops, emperors, and members of Rome's imperial family. Two Roman emperors, Constantius II and Valens, became Arians or Semi-Arians, as did prominent Gothic, Vandal, and Lombard warlords both before and after the fall of the Western Roman Empire. Such a deep controversy within the early Church during this period of its development could not have materialized without significant historical influences providing a basis for the Arian doctrines.<sup>[13]</sup>

Arius had been a pupil of Lucian of Antioch at Lucian's private academy in Antioch and inherited from him a modified form of the teachings of Paul of Samosata.<sup>[14]</sup> Arius taught that God the Father and the Son of God did not always exist together eternally.<sup>[15]</sup>

## Condemnation by the Council of Nicaea

---

Emperor Constantine the Great summoned the First Council of Nicaea, which defined the dogmatic fundamentals of the Christian religion; these definitions served to rebut the questions posed by Arians.<sup>[16]</sup> All the bishops who were there were in agreement with the major theological points of the proto-orthodoxy,<sup>[17]</sup> since at that time all other forms of Christianity "had by this time already been displaced, suppressed, reformed, or destroyed".<sup>[17][18]</sup> Although the proto-orthodox won the previous disputes, due to the more accurate defining of orthodoxy, they were vanquished with their own weapons, ultimately being declared heretics, not because they would have fought against ideas regarded as theologically correct, but because their



Imagined portrait of Arius; detail of a Cretan School icon, c. 1591, depicting the First Council of Nicaea.

positions lacked the accuracy and refinement needed by the fusion of several contradictory theses accepted at the same time by later orthodox theologians.<sup>[19]</sup> According to Bart Ehrman that is why the Trinity is a "paradoxical affirmation".<sup>[20][21]</sup>

Of the roughly three hundred bishops in attendance at the Council of Nicaea, two bishops did not sign the Nicene Creed that condemned Arianism.<sup>[22]</sup> Constantine the Great also ordered a penalty of death for those who refused to surrender the Arian writings:

In addition, if any writing composed by Arius should be found, it should be handed over to the flames, so that not only will the wickedness of his teaching be obliterated, but nothing will be left even to remind anyone of him. And I hereby make a public order, that if someone should be discovered to have hidden a writing composed by Arius, and not to have immediately brought it forward and destroyed it by fire, his penalty shall be death. As soon as he is discovered in this offence, he shall be submitted for capital punishment. ...

— Edict by Emperor Constantine against the Arians<sup>[23]</sup>

Ten years after the Council of Nicaea, Constantine the Great, who was himself later baptized by the Arian bishop Eusebius of Nicomedia in 337 AD,<sup>[24][25]</sup> convened another gathering of church leaders at the regional First Synod of Tyre in 335 (attended by 310 bishops), to address various charges mounted against Athanasius by his detractors, such as "murder, illegal taxation, sorcery, and treason", following his refusal to readmit Arius into fellowship.<sup>[9]</sup> Athanasius was exiled to Trier (in modern Germany) following his conviction at Tyre of conspiracy, and Arius was, effectively, exonerated.<sup>[26]</sup> Athanasius eventually returned to Alexandria in 346, after the deaths of both Arius and Constantine. Though Arianism had spread, Athanasius and other Nicene Christian church leaders crusaded against Arian theology, and Arius was anathemised and condemned as a heretic once more at the ecumenical First Council of Constantinople of 381 (attended by 150 bishops).<sup>[27][9]</sup> The Roman Emperors Constantius II (337–361) and Valens (364–378) were Arians or Semi-Arians, as was the first King of Italy, Odoacer (433?–493), and the Lombards were also Arians or Semi-Arians until the 7th century. Visigothic Spain was Arian until 589. Many Goths adopted Arian beliefs upon their conversion to Christianity. The Vandals actively spread Arianism in North Africa.

## Beliefs

---

Little of Arius's own work survives except in quotations selected for polemical purposes by his opponents, and there is no certainty about what theological and philosophical traditions formed his thought.<sup>[28]</sup>

Arianism taught that the Logos was a divine being begotten by God the Father before the creation of the world, made him a medium through whom everything else was created, and that the Son of God is subordinate to God the Father.<sup>[29]</sup> A verse from Proverbs was also used: "The Lord created me at the beginning of his work."<sup>[Proverbs 8:22–25][30]</sup> Therefore, the Son was rather the very first and the most perfect of God's creatures, and he was made "God" only by the Father's permission and power.<sup>[31][32]</sup>

Arians do not believe in the traditional doctrine of the Trinity.<sup>[33][34]</sup> The letter of Arian Auxentius<sup>[35]</sup> regarding the Arian missionary Ulfilas gives a picture of Arian beliefs. Arian Ulfilas, who was ordained a bishop by Arian Eusebius of Nicomedia and returned to his people to work as a missionary, believed: God, the Father, ("unbegotten" God; Almighty God) always existing and who is the only true God.<sup>[John 17:3]</sup> The Son of God, Jesus Christ, ("only-begotten God"<sup>[John 1:18]</sup>), Mighty God,<sup>[Isaiah 9:6]</sup> begotten before

time began<sup>[Proverbs 8:22–29]</sup>, <sup>[Revelation 3:14]</sup>, <sup>[Colossians 1:15]</sup> and who is Lord/Master.<sup>[1 Corinthians 8:6]</sup> The Holy Spirit (the illuminating and sanctifying power, who is neither God the Father nor Lord/Master. 1 Corinthians 8:5–6 was cited as proof text:

Indeed, even though there may be so-called gods in heaven or on earth—as in fact there are many gods and many lords/masters—yet for us there is one God (Gk. *theos* – θεός), the Father, from whom are all things and for whom we exist, and one Lord/Master (*kyrios* – κύριος), Jesus Christ, through whom are all things and through whom we exist.

— 1 Corinthians 8:5–6

The creed of Arian Ulfilas (c. 311–383), which concludes a letter praising him written by Auxentius,<sup>[35]</sup> distinguishes God the Father ("unbegotten"), who is the only true God, from Son of God ("only-begotten"), who is Lord/Master; and the Holy Spirit, the illuminating and sanctifying power, who is neither God the Father nor Lord/Master:

I, Ulfila, bishop and confessor, have always so believed, and in this, the one true faith, I make the journey to my Lord; I believe in only one God the Father, the unbegotten and invisible, and in his only-begotten Son, our Lord/Master and God, the designer and maker of all creation, having none other like him. Therefore, there is one God of all, who is also God of our God; and in one Holy Spirit, the illuminating and sanctifying power, as Christ said after his resurrection to his apostles: "And behold, I send the promise of my Father upon you; but tarry ye in the city of Jerusalem, until ye be clothed with power from on high"<sup>[Luke 24:49]</sup> and again "But ye shall receive power, when the Holy Ghost is come upon you";<sup>[Acts 1:8]</sup> Neither God nor Lord/Master, but the faithful minister of Christ; not equal, but subject and obedient in all things to the Son. And I believe the Son to be subject and obedient in all things to God the Father.

— Heather & Matthews 1991, p. 143

A letter from Arius (c. 250–336) to the Arian Eusebius of Nicomedia (died 341) states the core beliefs of the Arians:

Some of them say that the Son is an eructation, others that he is a production, others that he is also unbegotten. These are impieties to which we cannot listen, even though the heretics threaten us with a thousand deaths. But we say and believe and have taught, and do teach, that the Son is not unbegotten, nor in any way part of the unbegotten; and that he does not derive his subsistence from any matter; but that by his own will and counsel he has subsisted before time and before ages as perfect as God, only begotten and unchangeable, and that before he was begotten, or created, or purposed, or established, he was not. For he was not unbegotten. We are persecuted because we say that the Son has a beginning but that God is without beginning.

— Theodoret: Arius's Letter to Eusebius of Nicomedia, translated in Peters' *Heresy and Authority in Medieval Europe*, p. 41

Principally, the dispute between Trinitarianism and Arianism was about:

- has the Son always existed eternally with the Father or was the Son begotten at a certain time in the past?

- is the Son equal to the Father or subordinated to the Father?

For Constantine, these were minor theological points that stood in the way of uniting the Empire, but for the theologians, it was of huge importance; for them, it was a matter of salvation.<sup>[9]</sup> For the theologians of the 19th century it was already obvious that in fact Arius and Alexander/Athanasius did not have much to quarrel about, the difference between their views was very small, and that the end of the fight was by no means clear during their quarrel, both Arius and Athanasius suffering a great deal for their own views. Arius was the father of Homoiousianism and Alexander the father of Homoousianism, which was championed by Athanasius. For those theologians it was clear that Arius, Alexander and Athanasius were far from a true doctrine of Trinity, which developed later, historically speaking.<sup>[36]</sup>

Berndt and Steinacher state clearly that the beliefs of Arius were acceptable ("not especially unusual") to a huge number of orthodox clergy; this is the reason why such a major conflict was able to develop inside the Church, since Arius's theology received widespread sympathy (or at least was not considered to be overly controversial) and could not be dismissed outright as individual heresy.<sup>[2]</sup>

## **Homoian Arianism**

---

Arianism had several different variants, including Eunomianism and Homoian Arianism. Homoian Arianism is associated with Akakius and Eudoxius. Homoian Arianism avoided the use of the word *ousia* to describe the relation of Father to Son, and described these as "like" each other.<sup>[37]</sup> Hanson lists twelve creeds that reflect the Homoian faith:<sup>[38]</sup>

1. The Second Sirmian Creed of 357
2. The Creed of Nice (Constantinople) 360
3. The creed put forward by Akakius at Seleucia, 359
4. The Rule of Faith of Ulfilas
5. The creed uttered by Ulfilas on his deathbed, 383
6. The creed attributed to Eudoxius
7. The Creed of Auxentius of Milan, 364
8. The Creed of Germinius professed in correspondence with Ursacius of Singidunum and Valens of Mursa
9. Palladius' rule of faith
10. Three credal statements found in fragments, subordinating the Son to the Father

## **Struggles with orthodoxy**

---

### **First Council of Nicaea**

In 321, Arius was denounced by a synod at Alexandria for teaching a heterodox view of the relationship of Jesus to God the Father. Because Arius and his followers had great influence in the schools of Alexandria—counterparts to modern universities or seminaries—their theological views spread, especially in the eastern Mediterranean.

By 325, the controversy had become significant enough that the Emperor Constantine called an assembly of bishops, the First Council of Nicaea, which condemned Arius's doctrine and formulated the original Nicene Creed of 325.<sup>[39]</sup> The Nicene Creed's central term, used to describe the relationship between the Father and the Son, is Homoousios (Ancient Greek: ὁμοούσιος),<sup>[40][41][42]</sup> or Consubstantiality, meaning

"of the same substance" or "of one being" (the Athanasian Creed is less often used but is a more overtly anti-Arian statement on the Trinity).<sup>[43][44]</sup>

The focus of the Council of Nicaea was the nature of the Son of God and his precise relationship to God the Father (see Paul of Samosata and the Synods of Antioch). Arius taught that Jesus Christ was divine/holy and was sent to earth for the salvation of mankind<sup>[33]</sup> but that Jesus Christ was not equal to God the Father (infinite, primordial origin) in rank *and* that God the Father and the Son of God were not equal to the Holy Spirit.<sup>[15]</sup> Under Arianism, Christ was instead not consubstantial with God the Father since both the Father and the Son under Arius were made of "like" essence or being (see homoiousia) but not of the same essence or being (see homoousia).<sup>[46]</sup>

In the Arian view, God the Father is a deity and is divine *and* the Son of God is not a deity but divine (I, the LORD, am Deity alone.)<sup>[Isaiah 46:9][33]</sup> God the Father sent Jesus to earth for salvation of mankind.<sup>[John 17:3]</sup> Ousia is essence or being, in Eastern Christianity, and is the aspect of God that is completely incomprehensible to mankind and human perception. It is all that subsists by itself and which has not its being in another,<sup>[47]</sup> God the Father and God the Son and God the Holy Spirit all being uncreated.<sup>[c]</sup>

According to the teaching of Arius, the preexistent Logos and thus the incarnate Jesus Christ was a begotten being; only the Son was directly begotten by God the Father, before ages, but was of a distinct, though similar, essence or substance from the Creator. His opponents argued that this would make Jesus less than God and that this was heretical.<sup>[45]</sup> Much of the distinction between the differing factions was over the phrasing that Christ expressed in the New Testament to express submission to God the Father.<sup>[45]</sup> The theological term for this submission is kenosis. This ecumenical council declared that Jesus Christ was true God, co-eternal and consubstantial (i.e., of the same substance) with God the Father.<sup>[48][d]</sup>

Constantine is believed to have exiled those who refused to accept the Nicene Creed—Arius himself, the deacon Euzoios, and the Libyan bishops Theonas of Marmarica and Secundus of Ptolemais—and also the bishops who signed the creed but refused to join in condemnation of Arius, Eusebius of Nicomedia and Theognis of Nicaea. The emperor also ordered all copies of the *Thalia*, the book in which Arius had expressed his teachings, to be burned. However, there is no evidence that his son and ultimate successor, Constantius II, who was a Semi-Arian Christian, was exiled.

Although he was committed to maintaining what the Great Church had defined at Nicaea, Constantine was also bent on pacifying the situation and eventually became more lenient toward those condemned and exiled at the council. First, he allowed Eusebius of Nicomedia, who was a protégé of his sister, and Theognis to return once they had signed an ambiguous statement of faith. The two, and other friends of Arius, worked for Arius's rehabilitation.<sup>[50][51][52]</sup>

At the First Synod of Tyre in AD 335, they brought accusations against Athanasius, now bishop of Alexandria, the primary opponent of Arius. After this, Constantine had Athanasius banished since he considered him an impediment to reconciliation. In the same year, the Synod of Jerusalem under Constantine's direction readmitted Arius to communion in 336. Arius died on the way to this event in



Constantine burning Arian books, illustration from a compendium of canon law, c. 825.

Constantinople. Some scholars suggest that Arius may have been poisoned by his opponents.<sup>[50]</sup> Eusebius and Theognis remained in the Emperor's favor, and when Constantine, who had been a catechumen much of his adult life, accepted baptism on his deathbed, it was from Eusebius of Nicomedia.<sup>[24]</sup>

## Aftermath of Nicaea

The First Council of Nicaea did not end the controversy, as many bishops of the Eastern provinces disputed the *homoousios*, the central term of the Nicene Creed, as it had been used by Paul of Samosata, who had advocated a monarchianist Christology. Both the man and his teaching, including the term *homoousios*, had been condemned by the Synods of Antioch in 269.<sup>[53]</sup> Hence, after Constantine's death in 337, open dispute resumed again. Constantine's son Constantius II, who had become emperor of the eastern part of the Roman Empire, actually encouraged the Arians and set out to reverse the Nicene Creed.<sup>[54]</sup> His advisor in these affairs was Eusebius of Nicomedia, who had already at the Council of Nicaea been the head of the Arian party, who also was made the bishop of Constantinople.

Constantius used his power to exile bishops adhering to the Nicene Creed, especially St Athanasius of Alexandria, who fled to Rome.<sup>[55]</sup> In 355 Constantius became the sole Roman emperor and extended his pro-Arian policy toward the western provinces, frequently using force to push through his creed, even exiling Pope Liberius and installing Antipope Felix II.<sup>[56]</sup>

The Third Council of Sirmium in 357 was the high point of Arianism. The Seventh Arian Confession (Second Sirmium Confession) held that both *homoousios* (of one substance) and *homoiousios* (of similar substance) were unbiblical and that the Father is greater than the Son.<sup>[57]</sup> (This confession was later known as the Blasphemy of Sirmium.)

But since many persons are disturbed by questions concerning what is called in Latin *substantia*, but in Greek *ousia*, that is, to make it understood more exactly, as to 'coessential,' or what is called, 'like-in-essence,' there ought to be no mention of any of these at all, nor exposition of them in the Church, for this reason and for this consideration, that in divine Scripture nothing is written about them, and that they are above men's knowledge and above men's understanding;<sup>[58]</sup>

As debates raged in an attempt to come up with a new formula, three camps evolved among the opponents of the Nicene Creed. The first group mainly opposed the Nicene terminology and preferred the term *homoiousios* (alike in substance) to the Nicene *homoousios*, while they rejected Arius and his teaching and accepted the equality and co-eternality of the persons of the Trinity. Because of this centrist position, and despite their rejection of Arius, they were called "Semi-Arians" by their opponents. The second group also avoided invoking the name of Arius, but in large part followed Arius's teachings and, in another attempted compromise wording, described the Son as being like (*homoios*) the Father. A third group explicitly called upon Arius and described the Son as unlike (*anhomoios*) the Father. Constantius wavered in his support between the first and the second party, while harshly persecuting the third.



Once the orthodox Trinitarians succeeded in defeating Arianism, they censored any signs that the perceived heresy left behind. This mosaic in Basilica of Sant'Apollinare Nuovo in Ravenna has had images of the Arian king, Theoderic, and his court removed. On some columns their hands remain.

Epiphanius of Salamis labeled the party of Basil of Ancyra in 358 "Semi-Arianism". This is considered unfair by Kelly who states that some members of the group were virtually orthodox from the start but disliked the adjective *homoousios* while others had moved in that direction after the out-and-out Arians had come into the open.<sup>[59]</sup>

The debates among these groups resulted in numerous synods, among them the Council of Serdica in 343, the Fourth Council of Sirmium in 358 and the double Council of Rimini and Seleucia in 359, and no fewer than fourteen further creed formulas between 340 and 360, leading the pagan observer Ammianus Marcellinus to comment sarcastically: "The highways were covered with galloping bishops."<sup>[60]</sup> None of these attempts were acceptable to the defenders of Nicene orthodoxy; writing about the latter councils, Saint Jerome remarked that the world "awoke with a groan to find itself Arian."<sup>[61][62]</sup>

After Constantius' death in 361, his successor Julian, a devotee of Rome's pagan gods, declared that he would no longer attempt to favor one church faction over another, and allowed all exiled bishops to return; this resulted in further increasing dissension among Nicene Christians. The emperor Valens, however, revived Constantius' policy and supported the "Homoian" party,<sup>[63]</sup> exiling bishops and often using force. During this persecution many bishops were exiled to the other ends of the Roman Empire (e.g., Saint Hilary of Poitiers to the eastern provinces). These contacts and the common plight subsequently led to a rapprochement between the western supporters of the Nicene Creed and the *homoousios* and the eastern Semi-Arians.

## Council of Constantinople

It was not until the co-reigns of Gratian and Theodosius that Arianism was effectively wiped out among the ruling class and elite of the Eastern Empire. Valens died in the Battle of Adrianople in 378 and was succeeded by Theodosius I, who adhered to the Nicene Creed.<sup>[e]</sup> This allowed for settling the dispute. Theodosius's wife St Flacilla was instrumental in his campaign to end Arianism.

Two days after Theodosius arrived in Constantinople, 24 November 380, he expelled the Homoiousian bishop, Demophilus of Constantinople, and surrendered the churches of that city to Gregory of Nazianzus, the leader of the rather small Nicene community there, an act which provoked rioting. Theodosius had just been baptized, by bishop Acholius of Thessalonica, during a severe illness, as was common in the early Christian world. In February he and Gratian had published an edict that all their subjects should profess the faith of the bishops of Rome and Alexandria (i.e., the Nicene faith),<sup>[65][66][67][68]</sup> or be handed over for punishment for not doing so.

Although much of the church hierarchy in the East had opposed the Nicene Creed in the decades leading up to Theodosius's accession, he managed to achieve unity on the basis of the Nicene Creed. In 381, at the Second Ecumenical Council in Constantinople, a group of mainly Eastern bishops assembled and accepted the Nicene Creed of 381,<sup>[69]</sup> which was supplemented in regard to the Holy Spirit, as well as some other changes: see Comparison of Nicene Creeds of 325 and 381. This is generally considered the end of the dispute about the Trinity and the end of Arianism among the Roman, non-Germanic peoples.

## Among medieval Germanic tribes

---

During the time of Arianism's flowering in Constantinople, the Gothic convert and Arian bishop Ulphilas (later the subject of the letter of Auxentius cited above) was sent as a missionary to the Gothic tribes across the Danube, a mission favored for political reasons by the Emperor Constantius II. The Homoians in the Danubian provinces played a major role in the conversion of the Goths to Arianism.<sup>[70]</sup> Ulphilas's translation of the Bible into Gothic language and his initial success in converting the Goths to Arianism was strengthened by later events; the conversion of Goths led to a widespread diffusion of Arianism among



other Germanic tribes as well (Vandals, Langobards, Svevi, and Burgundians).<sup>[3]</sup> When the Germanic peoples entered the provinces of the Western Roman Empire and began founding their own kingdoms there, most of them were Arian Christians.<sup>[3]</sup>



Page from the Codex Argenteus, a 6th-century illuminated manuscript of the Gothic Bible



The ceiling mosaic of the Arian Baptistery, built in Ravenna by the Ostrogothic King Theodoric the Great.

The conflict in the 4th century had seen Arian and Nicene factions struggling for control of Western Europe. In contrast, among the Arian German kingdoms established in the collapsing Western Empire in the 5th century were entirely separate Arian and Nicene Churches with parallel hierarchies, each serving different sets of believers. The Germanic elites were Arians, and the Romance majority population was Nicene.<sup>[71]</sup>

The Arian Germanic tribes were generally tolerant towards Nicene Christians and other religious minorities, including the Jews.<sup>[3]</sup> However, the Vandals tried for several decades to force their Arian beliefs on their North African Nicene subjects, exiling Nicene clergy, dissolving monasteries, and exercising heavy pressure on non-conforming Nicene Christians.



Arian and Chalcedonian kingdoms in 495

The apparent resurgence of Arianism after Nicaea was more an anti-Nicene reaction exploited by Arian sympathizers than a pro-Arian development.<sup>[72]</sup> By the end of the 4th century it had surrendered its remaining ground to Trinitarianism. In Western Europe, Arianism, which had been taught by Ulfilas, the Arian missionary to the Germanic tribes, was dominant among the Goths, Langobards and Vandals.<sup>[73]</sup> By the 8th century, it had ceased to be the tribes' mainstream belief as the tribal rulers gradually came to adopt Nicene orthodoxy. This trend began in 496 with Clovis I of the Franks, then Reccared I of the Visigoths in 587 and Aripert I of the Lombards in 653.<sup>[74][75]</sup>

The Franks and the Anglo-Saxons were unlike the other Germanic peoples in that they entered the Western Roman Empire as Pagans and were converted to Chalcedonian Christianity, led by their kings, Clovis I of the Franks, and Æthelberht of Kent and others in Britain (see also Christianity in Gaul and Christianisation of Anglo-Saxon England).<sup>[76]</sup> The remaining tribes – the Vandals and the Ostrogoths – did not convert as a people nor did they maintain territorial cohesion. Having been militarily defeated by the armies of Emperor Justinian I, the remnants were dispersed to the fringes of the empire and became lost to history. The Vandalic War of 533–534 dispersed the defeated Vandals.<sup>[77]</sup> Following their final defeat at the Battle of Mons Lactarius in 553, the Ostrogoths went back north and (re)settled in south Austria.

## From the 5th to the 7th century

---

Much of south-eastern Europe and central Europe, including many of the Goths and Vandals respectively, had embraced Arianism (the Visigoths converted to Arian Christianity in 376 through their bishop Wulfila), which led to Arianism being a religious factor in various wars in the Roman Empire.<sup>[f]</sup> In the west, organized Arianism survived in North Africa, in Hispania, and parts of Italy until it was finally suppressed in the 6th and 7th centuries. Visigothic Spain converted to Nicene Christianity through their king Reccared I at the Third Council of Toledo in 589.<sup>[79]</sup> Grimoald, King of the Lombards (662–671), and his young son and successor Garibald (671), were the last Arian kings in Europe.<sup>[80][81]</sup>

## From the 16th to the 19th century

---

Following the Protestant Reformation from 1517, it did not take long for Arian and other nontrinitarian views to resurface. The first recorded English antitrinitarian was John Assheton, who was forced to recant before Thomas Cranmer in 1548. At the Anabaptist Council of Venice 1550, the early Italian instigators of the Radical Reformation committed to the views of Michael Servetus, who was burned alive by the orders of John Calvin in 1553, and these were promulgated by Giorgio Biandrata and others into Poland and Transylvania.<sup>[82]</sup>

The antitrinitarian wing of the Polish Reformation separated from the Calvinist *ecclesia maior* to form the *ecclesia minor* or Polish Brethren. These were commonly referred to as "Arians" due to their rejection of the Trinity, though in fact the Socinians, as they were later known, went further than Arius to the position of Photinus. The epithet "Arian" was also applied to the early Unitarians such as John Biddle, though in denial of the pre-existence of Christ they were again largely Socinians, not Arians.<sup>[83]</sup>

In 1683, when Anthony Ashley Cooper, 1st Earl of Shaftesbury, lay dying in Amsterdam – driven into exile by his outspoken opposition to King Charles II – he spoke to the minister Robert Ferguson, and professed himself an Arian.<sup>[84]</sup>

In the 18th century the "dominant trend" in Britain, particularly in Latitudinarianism, was towards Arianism, with which the names of Samuel Clarke, Benjamin Hoadly, William Whiston and Isaac Newton are associated.<sup>[85]</sup> To quote the *Encyclopædia Britannica*'s article on Arianism: "In modern times some Unitarians are virtually Arians in that they are unwilling either to reduce Christ to a mere human being or to attribute to him a divine nature identical with that of the Father."<sup>[86]</sup>

A similar view was held by the ancient anti-Nicene Pneumatomachi (Greek: Πνευματομάχοι, "breath" or "spirit" and "fighters", combining as "fighters against the spirit"), so called because they opposed the deifying of the Nicene Holy Ghost. Although the Pneumatomachi's beliefs were somewhat reminiscent of Arianism,<sup>[87]</sup> they were a distinct group.<sup>[87]</sup>

## Today

---

The teachings of the first two ecumenical councils – which entirely reject Arianism – are held by the Catholic Church, the Eastern Orthodox Church, the Oriental Orthodox Churches, the Assyrian Church of the East and most churches founded during the Reformation in the 16th century or influenced by it (Lutheran, Reformed/Presbyterian, and Anglican). Also, nearly all Protestant groups (such as Methodists, Baptists, Evangelicals and most Pentecostals) entirely reject the teachings associated with Arianism. Modern groups which currently appear to embrace some of the principles of Arianism include Unitarians and Jehovah's Witnesses. Although the origins of their beliefs are not necessarily attributed to the teachings of Arius, many of the core beliefs of Unitarians and Jehovah's Witnesses are very similar to them.<sup>[88][89][90]</sup>

## The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints

The doctrine of The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints (LDS Church) concerning the nature of the Godhead teaches a nontrinitarian theology. The church's first Article of Faith states: "We believe in God, the Eternal Father, and in His Son, Jesus Christ, and in the Holy Ghost,"<sup>[91]</sup> while the 130th section of the its Doctrine and Covenants explains that "The Father has a body of flesh and bones as tangible as man's; the Son also; but the Holy Ghost has not a body of flesh and bones, but is a personage of Spirit. Were it not so, the Holy Ghost could not dwell in us."<sup>[92]</sup>

Similarities between LDS doctrines and Arianism were noted as early as 1846.<sup>[93]</sup> There are, however, a number of key differences between Arianism and Latter-day Saint theology, including the co-eternality of Jesus Christ and the Holy Ghost with the Father. Latter-day Saints deny any form of creation *ex nihilo*,<sup>[94]</sup> whereas creation *ex nihilo* and Christ's created and inferior nature are fundamental premises of Arianism. Arianism also teaches that Christ's existence is contingent on the Father, and that he is ontologically subordinate to the Father. Both of these premises are rejected by Latter-day Saint doctrine. Conversely, the LDS Church teaches that Christ is equal in nature, power, and glory with the Father, having perfectly subordinated his will to the Father's. In turn, the Father is understood to have his power by virtue of his own perfect character and subordination to eternal and uncreated principles of righteousness. The Book of Mormon prophet Alma summarizes this by saying that were God not to be perfectly just, then "God would cease to be God".<sup>[95]</sup> Thus, Christ's subordination to the Father's will is understood as subordination to those same eternal and uncreated principles of righteousness through perfectly emulating the Father's character and example.<sup>[96]</sup>

The LDS Church teaches that this view of the Godhead is the doctrine taught by Jesus Christ and other ancient prophets, and, by extension, that taught by the scriptures now compiled as the Bible and the Book of Mormon. Thus, Latter-day Saint doctrine does not accept the Nicene definition of Trinity (that the three are consubstantial) nor agree with the Athanasian statement that God and Christ are incomprehensible.<sup>[97]</sup> In contrast, the Church teaches that the Biblical doctrine is self-evident: "the Father, the Son and the Holy Spirit (or Holy Ghost)... are three physically separate beings, but fully one in love, purpose and will",<sup>[98]</sup> as illustrated in the Farewell Prayer of Jesus, his baptism at the hands of John, his transfiguration, and the martyrdom of Stephen.<sup>[99]</sup>

## Jehovah's Witnesses

Jehovah's Witnesses are often referred to as "modern-day Arians" or they are sometimes referred to as "Semi-Arians",<sup>[100][101]</sup> usually by their opponents,<sup>[102][103][104]</sup> although Jehovah's Witnesses themselves have denied these claims.<sup>[105]</sup> While there are some significant similarities in matters of doctrine, Jehovah's Witnesses differ from Arians by stating that the Son can fully know the Father (something which Arius himself denied),<sup>[105]</sup> and by their denial of personality to the Holy Spirit.<sup>[105]</sup> The original Arians also generally prayed directly to Jesus, whereas Jehovah's Witnesses exclusively worship and pray to Jehovah God (God the Father) only through Jesus the son as a mediator.<sup>[105][106]</sup>

## Iglesia ni Cristo

The Iglesia di Cristo's christology has parallels with Arianism in that it affirms Jesus' pre-existence, but holds that he was sanctified and given his holiness by the Father, who they hold to be the only true God.<sup>[107]</sup>

## Others

Other groups which oppose the belief in the Trinity are not Arian.

- Other Biblical Unitarians such as the Christadelphians<sup>[108]</sup> and Church of God General Conference<sup>[109]</sup> are typically Socinian rather than Arian in their Christology.
- The Gospel Assemblies, a group of Pentecostal, non-denominational churches which believe that only the Father has inherent immortality, but that the Son has received immortality from the Father, and that the Holy Spirit is not a distinct person with distinct intelligence, but rather the life and presence of God the Father and his Son. The Godhead comprises two distinct persons.
- There are also various Binitarian churches which believe that God is two persons: the Father and the Son, and that the Holy Spirit is not a person. These include the Church of God (Seventh Day) and its various offshoots. One offshoot in particular, Radio Church of God (founded by Herbert W. Armstrong and renamed Worldwide Church of God), was originally Binitarian, but converted to Trinitarianism after Armstrong's death. That conversion prompted the formation of many small breakaway churches which retained Binitarian beliefs, such as Restored Church of God, United Church of God, Philadelphia Church of God, and Living Church of God. Other Binitarian churches include the Church of Jesus Christ (Bickertonite), an offshoot of Mormonism, which believes that God is two personages, not two persons. Binitarian churches generally believe that the Father is greater than the Son, a view somewhat similar to Arianism.

## See also

---

- Adoptionism
- Arian controversy
- Arian creeds
- First Council of Nicaea
- Germanic Christianity
- Gothic Bible
- God-man (Christianity)
- History of Unitarianism
- Jesus in Islam
- Monarchianism
- Nontrinitarianism
- Socinianism
- Subordinationism

## References

---

### Notes

- a. "Arius wanted to emphasise the transcendence and sole divinity of God [...]. God alone is, for Arius, without beginning, unbegotten and eternal. In the terminology of negative theology, Arius stresses monotheism with ever-renewed attempts. God can only be understood as creator. He denies the co-eternal state of the Logos with God since otherwise God would be stripped of his absolute uniqueness. God alone is, and thus he was not always Father. [...] Following Proverbs 8:22–25, Arius is able to argue that the Son was created. For Arius the Logos belongs wholly on the side of the Divine, but he is markedly subordinate to God. [...] The strong support that Arius received outside of the Egyptian metropolis, and from a whole

series of prominent bishops, proves that in this historical situation, the theological ideas of Arius were not especially unusual. [...] According to Alexander, Arius has assigned the Logos a place among created beings (which Arius explicitly denies); from that, he draws the conclusion that the Son/Logos of Arius is merely a man.<sup>47</sup> [...] This view is still to be found in the realm of popular scholarship and most recently led to the idea that 'Arianism', as a theology without a doctrine of the Trinity that sees Christ merely as a man, could form a possible bridge to Islam. [...] After the Synod of Nicaea, the debate shifted and became a debate over unity and trinity in the Trinitarian notion of God—a debate which is considered, unjustly, to be a further 'Arian controversy'. [...] Only after researchers began to position Arius within the Origenist tradition, did it become possible to see that the development after Nicaea was not a conflict between 'Nicenes' and 'Arians', as common opinion claimed, but rather a debate on the nature of divine hypostasis—in particular, on the question whether it was appropriate to speak of one single or three distinct hypostases. A detailed discussion of the complicated sequence of events in this conflict from the beginning of the 330s through the 380s and individual portrayals of the key protagonists would, however, be beyond the scope of this chapter." Berndt & Steinacher 2014

- b. "A heresy of the Christian Church, started by Arius, bishop of Alexandria (d. 336), who taught that the Son is not equivalent to the Father (ὁμοούσιος *gr:homoousios* ≅ *It:consubstantialis*), thereby provoking a serious schism in the Christian Church, which in turn affected the fortunes of the Jews in many countries. In view of the fact that most Germanic peoples—such as the eastern and western Goths, as also the Franks, the Lombards, the Suevi, and the Vandals—were baptized into Arian Christianity, and that these tribes settled in widely spread districts of the old Roman empire, a large number of Jews, already resident in those lands, fell under Arian domination. In contrast with the domination of the orthodox church, the Arian was distinguished by a wise tolerance and a mild treatment of the population of other faiths, conduct mainly attributable to the unsophisticated sense of justice characterizing the children of nature, but also traceable in some degree to certain points of agreement between the Arian doctrine and Judaism, points totally absent in the orthodox confession. The very insistence upon the more subordinate relationship of the Son—that is, the Messiah—to God-the-father is much nearer to the Jewish doctrine of the Messiah than to the conception of the full divinity of the Son, as enunciated at Nicaea."<sup>[3]</sup>
- c. As quoted by John Damascene:

God is unoriginate, unending, eternal, constant, uncreated, unchanging, unalterable, simple, incomplex, bodiless, invisible, intangible, indescribable, without bounds, inaccessible to the mind, uncontainable, incomprehensible, good, righteous, that Creator of all creatures, the almighty Pantocrator.<sup>[45]: 57</sup>

- d. First, the central focus of the creed is the Trinitarian nature of God. The Nicene fathers argued that the Father was always a Father, and consequently that the Son always existed with Him, co-equally and con-substantially. The Nicene fathers fought against the belief that the Son was unequal to the Father, because it effectively destroyed the unity of the Godhead. Rather, they insisted that such a view was in contravention of such Scriptures as John 10:30 "I and the Father are one" and John 1:1 "the Word was God." Saint Athanasius declared that the Son had no beginning, but had an "eternal derivation" from the Father, and therefore was co-eternal with him, and equal to God in all aspects. In a similar vein the Cappadocian Fathers argued that the Holy Spirit was also co-eternal with the Father and the Son and equal to God in all aspects. The Church Fathers held that to deny equality to any of the Persons of the Trinity was to rob God of existence and constituted the greatest heresy.<sup>[49]</sup>

- e. Early in his reign, during a serious illness, Theodosius had accepted Christian baptism. In 380 he proclaimed himself a Christian of the Nicene Creed, and he called a council at Constantinople to put an end to the Arian heresy (which, contrary to Nicene doctrine, claimed Jesus was created), which had divided the empire for over half a century. One hundred and fifty bishops gathered and revised the Nicene Creed of A.D. 325 into the creed we know today. Arianism has never made a serious challenge since.<sup>[64]</sup>
- f. The inhibiting and paralyzing force of superstitious beliefs penetrated to every department of life, and the most primary and elementary activities of society were influenced. War, for example, was not a simple matter of a test of strength and courage, but supernatural matters had to be taken carefully into consideration. When Clovis said of the Goths in southern Gaul, 'I take it hard that these Arians should hold a part of the Gauls; let us go with God's aid and conquer them and bring the land under our dominion', [note: see p. 45 (Book II:37)] he was not speaking in a hypocritical or arrogant manner but in real accordance with the religious sentiment of the time. What he meant was that the Goths, being heretics, were at once enemies of the true God and inferior to the orthodox Franks in their supernatural backing. Considerations of duty, strategy, and self-interest all reinforced one another in Clovis's mind. However, it was not always the orthodox side that won. We hear of a battle fought a few years before Gregory became bishop of Tours between king chapter-url=http://www.fordham.edu/halsall/basis/gregory-hist.html Sigebert and the Huns, [note: Book IV:29] in which the Huns 'by the use of magic arts caused various false appearances to arise before their enemies and overcame them decisively.'<sup>[78]</sup>

## Citations

1. Brennecke 2018.
2. Berndt & Steinacher 2014.
3. Kohler, Kaufmann; Krauss, Samuel. "Arianism" (<http://www.jewishencyclopedia.com/articles/1757-arianism/>). *Jewish Encyclopedia*. Kopelman Foundation. Archived (<https://web.archive.org/web/20120110155839/http://www.jewishencyclopedia.com/articles/1757-arianism/>) from the original on 10 January 2012. Retrieved 1 December 2020.
4. Forrest 1856, p. 62.
5. "Arianism" (<https://www.britannica.com/topic/Arianism>). *Encyclopædia Britannica*.
6. Phan 2011, p. 6.
7. Phan 2011, pp. 6–7.
8. Wiles 1996, p. 5.
9. "Athanasius, Five-time exile for fighting 'orthodoxy' " (<https://www.christianitytoday.com/history/people/theologians/athanasius.html>). Retrieved 10 August 2018.
10. Johnson, Samuel (1828). *A Dictionary of the English Language: In Which the Words Are Deduced from their Originals; and Illustrated in Their Different Significations by Examples from the Best Writers* (<https://books.google.com/books?id=GwoBC7QIWYMC&q=the+history+of+arianism&pg=PP7>). Beeves and Turner.
11. Witherington 2007, p. 241.
12. Ferguson 2005, p. 267.
13. Hanson 2005, pp. 127–128.
14. Pullan 1905, p. 87.
15. Ritchie, Mark S. "The Story of the Church – Part 2, Topics 2 & 3" (<http://www.ritchies.net/p2wk2.htm>). *The Story of the Church*.
16. Carroll 1987, p. 12.
17. Ehrman 2003, p. 250.

18. Ehrman 2009, p. 259.
19. Ehrman 2003, pp. 253–255.
20. Gross 2014.
21. "The Trinity" ([http://www.bbc.co.uk/religion/religions/christianity/beliefs/trinity\\_1.shtml](http://www.bbc.co.uk/religion/religions/christianity/beliefs/trinity_1.shtml)). *BBC Religions - Christianity*. 21 July 2011 [6 June 2006]. Retrieved 30 October 2020.
22. Chadwick 1960, pp. 171–195.
23. "Emperor Constantine's Edict against the Arians" (<https://web.archive.org/web/20110819215807/http://www.fourthcentury.com/index.php/urkunde-33>). *fourthcentury.com*. 23 January 2010. Archived from the original (<http://www.fourthcentury.com/index.php/urkunde-33>) on 19 August 2011. Retrieved 20 August 2011.
24. Gonzalez, Justo (1984). *The Story of Christianity Vol.1* (<https://archive.org/details/storyofchristian01gonz/page/176>). Harper Collins. p. 176 (<https://archive.org/details/storyofchristian01gonz/page/176>). ISBN 0-06-063315-8.
25. Chapman 1909.
26. Socrates of Constantinople, *Church History*, book 1, chapter 33. Anthony F. Beavers, *Chronology of the Arian Controversy*.
27. "First Council of Constantinople, Canon 1" (<http://www.ccel.org/ccel/schaff/npnf214.ix.viii.i.html>). *ccel.org*.
28. Bauckham 1989, p. 75.
29. McClintock & Strong 1867, p. 45, Volume 7.
30. Schüssler Fiorenza, Francis; Galvin, John P. (1991). *Systematic theology: Roman Catholic perspectives* (<https://books.google.com/books?id=btl0eD3aNvoC&pg=PA164>). Fortress Press. pp. 164–. ISBN 978-0-8006-2460-6. Retrieved 14 April 2010.
31. Kelly 1978, Chapter 9.
32. Davis, Leo Donald (1983). *The First Seven Ecumenical Councils (325–787)* ([https://archive.org/details/firstsevenec\\_davi\\_1990\\_000\\_6702418/page/52](https://archive.org/details/firstsevenec_davi_1990_000_6702418/page/52)). Collegeville: Liturgical Press. pp. 52–54 ([https://archive.org/details/firstsevenec\\_davi\\_1990\\_000\\_6702418/page/52](https://archive.org/details/firstsevenec_davi_1990_000_6702418/page/52)). ISBN 978-0-8146-5616-7.
33. "Newton's Arian beliefs" ([http://www-groups.dcs.st-and.ac.uk/history/Extras/Newton\\_Arian.html](http://www-groups.dcs.st-and.ac.uk/history/Extras/Newton_Arian.html)). Scotland: School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of St Andrews.
34. Phan 2011, p. 72.
35. "Auxentius on Wulfila: Translation by Jim Marchand" (<http://faculty.georgetown.edu/jod/texts/auxentius.trans.html>).
36. Forrest 1856, p. 6.
37. Hanson 2005, pp. 557–558.
38. Hanson 2005, pp. 558–559.
39. *The Seven Ecumenical Councils* (<http://www.ccel.org/ccel/schaff/npnf214.vii.iii.html>), Christian Classics Ethereal Library
40. Bethune-Baker 2004.
41. "Homoousios" (<https://episcopalchurch.org/library/glossary/homoousios>). *Episcopal Church*. 22 May 2012. Retrieved 16 January 2021.
42. Farley, Fr Lawrence. "The Fathers of Nicea: Why Should I Care?" (<https://www.oca.org/reflections/fr.-lawrence-farley/the-fathers-of-nicea-why-should-i-care>). *www.oca.org*. Retrieved 16 January 2021.
43. "Athanasian Creed | Christian Reformed Church" (<https://www.crcna.org/welcome/beliefs/creeds/athanasian-creed>). *www.crcna.org*. Retrieved 16 January 2021.

44. "The Athanasian Creed by R.C. Sproul" (<https://www.ligonier.org/learn/articles/athanasian-creed/>). *Ligonier Ministries*. Retrieved 16 January 2021.
45. Pomazansky, Michael (Protopresbyter) (1984). *Pravoslavnoye Dogmaticheskoye Bogosloviye* [*Orthodox Dogmatic Theology: A concise exposition*]. Translated by Rose, Seraphim (Hieromonk). Platina, California: Saint Herman of Alaska Brotherhood.
46. "The oneness of Essence, the Equality of Divinity, and the Equality of Honor of God the Son with the God the Father."<sup>[45]</sup>: 92–95
47. Lossky 1976, pp. 50–51.
48. "Arius and the Nicene Creed | History of Christianity: Ancient" (<https://blogs.uoregon.edu/rel321f15drreis/2015/11/09/arius-and-the-nicene-creed/>). *blogs.uoregon.edu*. Retrieved 16 January 2021.
49. "3 things Christians should understand about the Nicene-Constantinopolitan creed" (<https://transformedblog.westernseminary.edu/2014/01/16/3-things-christians-should-understand-about-the-nicene-constantinopolitan-creed/>). *Transformed*. 16 January 2014. Retrieved 16 January 2021.
50. Kirsch 2004.
51. Gibbon 1836, Ch. XXI.
52. Freeman 2003.
53. Chapman 1911.
54. Hall, Christopher A. "How Arianism Almost Won" (<https://www.christianitytoday.com/history/issues/issue-85/how-arianism-almost-won.html>). *Christian History | Learn the History of Christianity & the Church*. Retrieved 16 January 2021.
55. Reardon, Patrick Henry. "Athanasius" (<https://www.christianitytoday.com/history/people/theologians/athanasius.html>). *Christian History | Learn the History of Christianity & the Church*. Retrieved 16 January 2021.
56. Chapman 1910.
57. Chapman 1912.
58. "Second Creed of Sirmium or 'The Blasphemy of Sirmium' " (<http://www.fourthcentury.com/index.php/second-creed-of-sirmium-or-the-blasphemy-of-sirmium/>). *www.fourthcentury.com*. Retrieved 9 March 2017.
59. Kelly 1978, p. 249.
60. Schaff, Philip (18 December 2019). *The Complete History of the Christian Church (With Bible)* (<https://books.google.com/books?id=uK3oDwAAQBAJ&q=%22The+highways+were+covered+with+galloping+bishops.%22&pg=PT1710>). e-artnow. "The pagan Ammianus Marcellinus says of the councils under Constantius: "The highways were covered with galloping bishops;" and even Athanasius rebuked the restless flutter of the clergy."
61. "The history of Christianity's greatest controversy" (<https://www.csmonitor.com/1999/0909/p21s1.html>). *Christian Science Monitor*. 9 September 1999. ISSN 0882-7729 (<https://www.worldcat.org/issn/0882-7729>). Retrieved 16 January 2021.
62. "On battling Arianism: then and now" (<https://legatus.org/news/on-battling-arianism-then-and-now>). *Legatus*. Retrieved 16 January 2021.
63. Macpherson 1912.
64. "Theodosius I" (<https://www.christianitytoday.com/history/people/rulers/theodosius-i.html>). *Christian History*. Retrieved 16 January 2021.
65. "Column bearing Roman gods discovered in Germany" (<http://www.sfltimes.com/news/column-bearing-roman-gods-discovered-in-germany>). *South Florida Times*. copy edits Stephen Gugliociello & Matthew Hall. Fort Lauderdale, Florida. Zenger News. 18 August 2020. Retrieved 16 January 2021.



66. Bury, J.B. "History of the Later Roman Empire" ([https://penelope.uchicago.edu/Thayer/E/Roman/Texts/secondary/BURLAT/11\\*.html](https://penelope.uchicago.edu/Thayer/E/Roman/Texts/secondary/BURLAT/11*.html)). *penelope.uchicago.edu*. University of Chicago. Vol. 1 Chap. XI. Retrieved 16 January 2021.
67. "Sozomen's Church History VII.4" (<http://www.ccel.org/ccel/schaff/npnf202.iii.xii.iv.html>). *ccel.org*.
68. "On Faith: Religion and Balkanization" ([https://www.rutlandherald.com/opinion/perspective/on-faith-religion-and-balkanization/article\\_1cd56125-a920-54f3-8794-a0b6ed40b854.html](https://www.rutlandherald.com/opinion/perspective/on-faith-religion-and-balkanization/article_1cd56125-a920-54f3-8794-a0b6ed40b854.html)). *Rutland Herald*. Retrieved 16 January 2021.
69. The text of this version of the Nicene Creed is available at "The Holy Creed Which the 150 Holy Fathers Set Forth, Which is Consonant with the Holy and Great Synod of Nice" (<http://www.ccel.org/ccel/schaff/npnf214.ix.iii.html>). *ccel.org*. Retrieved 27 November 2010.
70. Szada, Marta (February 2021). "The Missing Link: The Homoian Church in the Danubian Provinces and Its Role in the Conversion of the Goths". *Zeitschrift für Antikes Christentum / Journal of Ancient Christianity*. Berlin and Boston: De Gruyter. 24 (3): 549–584. doi:10.1515/zac-2020-0053 (<https://doi.org/10.1515%2Fzac-2020-0053>). eISSN 1612-961X (<https://www.worldcat.org/issn/1612-961X>). ISSN 0949-9571 (<https://www.worldcat.org/issn/0949-9571>). S2CID 231966053 (<https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:231966053>).
71. "7.5: Successor Kingdoms to the Western Roman Empire" ([https://human.libretexts.org/Bookshelves/History/World\\_History/Book%3A\\_World\\_History\\_-\\_Cultures\\_States\\_and\\_Societies\\_to\\_1500\\_\(Berger\\_et\\_al.\)/07%3A\\_Western\\_Europe\\_and\\_Byzantium\\_circa\\_500-1000\\_CE/7.05%3A\\_Successor\\_Kingdoms\\_to\\_the\\_Western\\_Roman\\_Empire](https://human.libretexts.org/Bookshelves/History/World_History/Book%3A_World_History_-_Cultures_States_and_Societies_to_1500_(Berger_et_al.)/07%3A_Western_Europe_and_Byzantium_circa_500-1000_CE/7.05%3A_Successor_Kingdoms_to_the_Western_Roman_Empire)). *Humanities LibreTexts*. 16 December 2016. Retrieved 16 January 2021. "Most of them were Christians, but, crucially, they were not Catholic Christians, who believed in the doctrine of the Trinity, that God is one God but three distinct persons of the Father, the Son (Jesus Christ), and the Holy Spirit. They were rather Arians, who believed that Jesus was lesser than God the Father (see Chapter Six). Most of their subjects, however, were Catholics."
72. Ferguson 2005, p. 200.
73. Fanning, Steven C. (1 April 1981). "Lombard Arianism Reconsidered" (<https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/abs/10.2307/2846933>). *Speculum*. 56 (2): 241–258. doi:10.2307/2846933 (<https://doi.org/10.2307%2F2846933>). ISSN 0038-7134 (<https://www.worldcat.org/issn/0038-7134>). JSTOR 2846933 (<https://www.jstor.org/stable/2846933>). S2CID 162786616 (<https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:162786616>).
74. "Clovis of the Franks | British Museum" (<https://www.britishmuseum.org/collection/term/BIOG141386>). *www.britishmuseum.org*. Retrieved 16 January 2021.
75. "Goths and Visigoths" (<https://www.history.com/topics/ancient-rome/goths-and-visigoths>). *HISTORY*. Retrieved 16 January 2021.
76. Frassetto, Michael, *Encyclopedia of barbarian Europe*, (ABC-Clio, 2003), p. 128.
77. Procopius, *Secret Histories*, Chapter 11, 18
78. Gregory of Tours; Brehaut, Earnest (1916). "Introduction". *History of the Franks*. pp. ix–xxv.
79. Thompson, E. A. (1960). "The Conversion of the Visigoths to Catholicism". *Nottingham Medieval Studies*. 4: 4. doi:10.1484/J.NMS.3.5 (<https://doi.org/10.1484%2FJ.NMS.3.5>).
80. "German Tribes org Lombard Kings" (<https://web.archive.org/web/20110523123243/http://www.germantribes.org/tribes/Lombards/Lombard%20Rulers/kingsline.htm>). 23 May 2011. Archived from the original (<http://www.germantribes.org/tribes/Lombards/Lombard%20Rulers/kingsline.htm>) on 23 May 2011. Retrieved 16 January 2021.
81. "GARIBALDO, re dei Longobardi in "Dizionario Biografico" " ([https://www.treccani.it/enciclopedia/re-dei-longobardi-garibaldo\\_\(Dizionario-Biografico\)](https://www.treccani.it/enciclopedia/re-dei-longobardi-garibaldo_(Dizionario-Biografico))). *www.treccani.it* (in Italian). Retrieved 16 January 2021.
82. Roland Bainton, *Hunted Heretic. The Life and Death of Michael Servetus*

83. George Huntston Williams. *The Radical Reformation*, 3rd edition. Volume 15 of Sixteenth Century Essays and Studies. Ann Arbor, MI: Edwards Brothers, 1992
84. Tim Harris. "Cooper, Anthony Ashley," in the *Oxford Dictionary of National Biography*. Oxford University Press, 2004–2007. doi:10.1093/ref:odnb/6208
85. William Gibson, Robert G. Ingram *Religious identities in Britain, 1660–1832* p. 92
86. "Arianism." *Encyclopædia Britannica*. Encyclopædia Britannica 2007 Deluxe Edition. Chicago: 2007.
87. Wace, Henry; Piercy, William C., eds. *Dictionary of Christian Biography and Literature to the End of the Sixth Century* (1911, third edition) London: John Murray.
88. "Trinity > Unitarianism (Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy)" (<https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/trinity/unitarianism.html>). *plato.stanford.edu*. Retrieved 16 January 2021.
89. "The Trinity and other gods" (<https://mbcpathway.com/2020/06/28/the-trinity-and-other-gods/>). *Pathway*. 28 June 2020. Retrieved 16 January 2021.
90. "Arianism is taught by the Jehovah's Witness organization" (<https://carm.org/jehovahs-witnesses/arianism-and-its-influence-today/>). *Christian Apologetics & Research Ministry*. 4 May 2010. Retrieved 16 January 2021.
91. Articles of Faith 1
92. Doctrine and Covenants 130:22
93. Mattison, Hiram. *A Scriptural Defence of the Doctrine of the Trinity: Or a Check to Modern Arianism as Taught by Campbellites, Hicksites, New Lights, Universalists and Mormons, and Especially by a Sect Calling Themselves "Christians"* ([https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=cQtMAAAAYAAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR1&dq=mormon+arianism&ots=rpvPrXrz80&sig=V9pQ\\_I2n7-orqMpJajFzjTBepxl#v=onepage&q&f=false](https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=cQtMAAAAYAAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR1&dq=mormon+arianism&ots=rpvPrXrz80&sig=V9pQ_I2n7-orqMpJajFzjTBepxl#v=onepage&q&f=false)). L. Colby, 1846.
94. McBride, Matthew. "'Man Was Also in the Beginning with God'" (<https://www.churchofjesuschrist.org/study/manual/revelations-in-context/man-was-also-in-the-beginning-with-god?lang=eng>). *Church of Jesus Christ*. Retrieved 3 April 2021.
95. Alma 42:13
96. "'Man Was Also in the Beginning with God'" (<https://www.churchofjesuschrist.org/study/manual/revelations-in-context/man-was-also-in-the-beginning-with-god?lang=eng>).
97. Holland, Jeffrey R. (November 2007), "The Only True God and Jesus Christ Whom He Hath Sent" (<https://www.churchofjesuschrist.org/study/ensign/2007/11/the-only-true-god-and-jesus-christ-whom-he-hath-sent>), *Ensign*, p. 40
98. "The Trinity of traditional Christianity is referred to as the Godhead" (<https://newsroom.churchofjesuschrist.org/article/godhead#:~:text=The%20Trinity%20of%20traditional%20Christianity%20is%20referred%20to,the%20Godhead%20differ%20from%20those%20of%20traditional%20Christianity.>). *Newsroom of The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints*. Retrieved 9 August 2021.
99. "Gospel Topics: Godhead" (<https://www.churchofjesuschrist.org/study/manual/gospel-topics/godhead?lang=eng>). *The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints*. Retrieved 9 August 2021.
100. Institute for Metaphysical Studies—The Arian Christian Bible (<https://books.google.com/books?id=KRkzOWYc7JkC&pg=PA210>) – Metaphysical Institute, 2010. p. 209. Retrieved 10 June 2014.
101. Adam Bourque – Ten Things You Didn't Know about Jehovah's Witnesses. (<http://www.miskeptics.org/2012/07/ten-things-you-didnt-know-about-jehovahs-witnesses/>) Archived (<https://web.archive.org/web/20140714222727/http://www.miskeptics.org/2012/07/ten-things-you-didnt-know-about-jehovahs-witnesses/>) 14 July 2014 at the Wayback Machine Michigan Skeptics Association. Retrieved 10 June 2014.

102. Dorsett, Tommy (29 April 2003). "Modern Day Arians: Who Are They?" (<http://www.believersweb.org/view.cfm?ID=644>). Retrieved 2 May 2012.
103. "Trinity: Arius and the Nicene Creed" (<http://www.bible.ca/trinity/trinity-history-arius.htm>). Retrieved 2 May 2012.
104. Young, Alexey. "Jehovah's Witnesses" ([http://www.fatheralexander.org/booklets/english/jehovah\\_e.htm](http://www.fatheralexander.org/booklets/english/jehovah_e.htm)). Retrieved 2 May 2012.
105. "We Worship What We Know" (<https://wol.jw.org/en/wol/d/r1/lp-e/1984647#h=5:320-5:542>). *The Watchtower*. Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society of Pennsylvania. 1 September 1984. pp. 25–30. Retrieved 28 October 2020 – via Watchtower Online Library.
106. "Should You Believe in the Trinity?" (<http://wol.jw.org/en/wol/d/r1/lp-e/102013286>). *Awake!*. Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society of Pennsylvania. August 2013. pp. 12–13. Retrieved 28 October 2020 – via Watchtower Online Library.
107. Clarke 2006, pp. 292–293.
108. Pearce F. *Jesus: God the Son or Son of God?* (<https://thechristadelphian.com/read-jesus-son-of-god>) CMPA
109. Buzzard & Hunting 1998.

## Sources

- Athanasius (1934). *Athanasius Werke* (<https://books.google.com/books?id=O2f2GAAACAAJ>) [*The Works of Athanasius*] (in German). Walter de Gruyter. ISBN 978-3-11-019104-2.
- Athanasius of Alexandria. *History of the Arians*. Part I (<http://www.ccel.org/fathers2/NPNF2-04/Npnf2-04-47.htm>), Part II (<http://www.ccel.org/fathers2/NPNF2-04/Npnf2-04-48.htm>), Part III (<http://www.ccel.org/fathers2/NPNF2-04/Npnf2-04-49.htm>), Part IV (<http://www.ccel.org/fathers2/NPNF2-04/Npnf2-04-50.htm>), Part V (<http://www.ccel.org/fathers2/NPNF2-04/Npnf2-04-51.htm>), Part VI (<http://www.ccel.org/fathers2/NPNF2-04/Npnf2-04-52.htm>), Part VII (<http://www.ccel.org/fathers2/NPNF2-04/Npnf2-04-53.htm>), Part VIII (<http://www.ccel.org/fathers2/NPNF2-04/Npnf2-04-54.htm>).
- Bauchham, Richard (1989). "Review of Arius: Heresy and Tradition by Rowan Williams". *Themelios*. **14** (2): 75.
- Berndt, Guido M.; Steinacher, Roland (2014). *Arianism: Roman Heresy and Barbarian Creed* (<https://books.google.com/books?id=8RsGDAAAQBAJ&printsec=frontcover>) (1st ed.). London and New York: Routledge. ISBN 978-14-09-44659-0.
- Bethune-Baker, J. F. (2004). *The Meaning of Homoousios in the 'Constantinopolitan' Creed* (<https://books.google.com/books?id=JDFLAWAAQBAJ>). Wipf and Stock. ISBN 978-1-59244-898-2.
- Brennecke, Hanns Christof (2018). "Arianism". In Hunter, David G.; van Geest, Paul J. J.; Lietaert Peerbolte, Bert Jan (eds.). *Brill Encyclopedia of Early Christianity Online*. Leiden and Boston: Brill Publishers. doi:10.1163/2589-7993\_EECO\_SIM\_00000280 ([https://doi.org/10.1163/2589-7993\\_EECO\\_SIM\\_00000280](https://doi.org/10.1163/2589-7993_EECO_SIM_00000280)). ISSN 2589-7993 (<https://www.worldcat.org/issn/2589-7993>).
- Buzzard, A.; Hunting, C.F. (1998). *The Doctrine of the Trinity: Christianity's Self-inflicted Wound* (<https://books.google.com/books?id=EDoRAQAIAAJ>). G - Reference, Information and Interdisciplinary Subjects Series. International Scholars Publications. ISBN 978-1-57309-310-1.
- Carroll, Warren (1987). *The building of Christendom*. Front Royal, VA: Christendom College Press. ISBN 0-931888-24-7. OCLC 16875022 (<https://www.worldcat.org/oclc/16875022>).

- Chadwick, Henry (July 1960). "Faith and Order at the Council of Nicea". *The Harvard Theological Review*. **53** (3): 171–195. doi:10.1017/S0017816000027000 (<https://doi.org/10.1017%2FS0017816000027000>). JSTOR 1508399 (<https://www.jstor.org/stable/1508399>).
- Chapman, Henry Palmer (1909). "Eusebius of Nicomedia" ([https://en.wikisource.org/wiki/Catholic\\_Encyclopedia\\_\(1913\)/Eusebius\\_of\\_Nicomedia](https://en.wikisource.org/wiki/Catholic_Encyclopedia_(1913)/Eusebius_of_Nicomedia)). In Herbermann, Charles (ed.). *Catholic Encyclopedia*. Vol. 5. New York: Robert Appleton Company.
- Chapman, Henry Palmer (1910). "Pope Liberius" ([https://en.wikisource.org/wiki/Catholic\\_Encyclopedia\\_\(1913\)/Pope\\_Liberius](https://en.wikisource.org/wiki/Catholic_Encyclopedia_(1913)/Pope_Liberius)). In Herbermann, Charles (ed.). *Catholic Encyclopedia*. Vol. 9. New York: Robert Appleton Company.
- Chapman, Henry Palmer (1911). "Paul of Samosata" ([https://en.wikisource.org/wiki/Catholic\\_Encyclopedia\\_\(1913\)/Paul\\_of\\_Samosata](https://en.wikisource.org/wiki/Catholic_Encyclopedia_(1913)/Paul_of_Samosata)). In Herbermann, Charles (ed.). *Catholic Encyclopedia*. Vol. 11. New York: Robert Appleton Company.
- Chapman, Henry Palmer (1912). "Semiaris and Semiarism" ([https://en.wikisource.org/wiki/Catholic\\_Encyclopedia\\_\(1913\)/Semiaris\\_and\\_Semiarism](https://en.wikisource.org/wiki/Catholic_Encyclopedia_(1913)/Semiaris_and_Semiarism)). In Herbermann, Charles (ed.). *Catholic Encyclopedia*. Vol. 13. New York: Robert Appleton Company.
- Clarke, Peter B. (2006). "Iglesia ni Cristo". *Encyclopedia of new religious movements*. London: Routledge. pp. 292–293. ISBN 0-203-48433-9. OCLC 63792403 (<https://www.worldcat.org/oclc/63792403>).
- Ehrman, Bart D. (2003). *Lost Christianities: The Battles for Scripture and the Faiths We Never Knew* (<https://books.google.com/books?id=vDzRCwAAQBAJ&pg=PA250>). Oxford University Press. ISBN 978-0-19-972712-4.
- Ehrman, Bart D. (2009). *Jesus, Interrupted: Revealing the Hidden Contradictions in the Bible (And Why We Don't Know About Them)* (<https://books.google.com/books?id=L4OPxbnM1YkC&pg=PA259>). HarperOne. ISBN 978-0-06-186328-8.
- Ferguson, Everett (2005). *Church History* (<http://books.google.com/books?id=1swbOorjzw0C&q=pro-Arian+development>). Vol. 1 : From Christ to pre-Reformation. Harper Collins. ISBN 978-0-310-20580-7.
- Forrest, J. (1856). *Some Account of the Origin and Progress of Trinitarian Theology: In the Second, Third, and Succeeding Centuries, and of the Manner in which Its Doctrines Gradually Supplanted the Unitarianism of the Primitive Church* (<http://books.google.com/books?id=eVgfAAAAYAAJ&pg=PA6>). Crosby, Nichols, and Company.
- Freeman, Charles (2003). *The Closing of the Western Mind: The Rise of Faith and the Fall of Reason*. Knopf.
- Gibbon, Edward (1836) [1782]. *The History of the Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire* (<https://www.gutenberg.org/files/25717/25717-h/25717-h.htm>). New York: Harper & Brothers – via Project Gutenberg.
- Gross, Terry (7 April 2014). "If Jesus Never Called Himself God, How Did He Become One?" (<https://www.npr.org/2014/04/07/300246095/if-jesus-never-called-himself-god-how-did-he-become-one>). *NPR.org*. Retrieved 30 October 2020.
- Hanson, R. P. C. (2005). *The Search for the Christian Doctrine of God: The Arian Controversy 318-381 AD* (<https://books.google.com/books?id=tclFM-nRh2IC&pg=PA557>). A&C Black. ISBN 978-0-567-03092-4.
- Heather, Peter J.; Matthews, John (1991). *The Goths in the Fourth Century* (<https://books.google.com/books?id=m8p4SxNNk1YC&pg=PA143>). Liverpool University Press. ISBN 978-0-85323-426-5.
- Kelly, J.N.D. (1978). *Early Christian Doctrines*. San Francisco: HarperCollins. ISBN 978-0-06-064334-8.
- Kirsch, Jonathan (2004). *God Against the Gods: The History of the War Between Monotheism and Polytheism* (<https://books.google.com/books?id=Z88nAAAAYAAJ>). Viking Compass. ISBN 9780670032860.

- Lossky, Vladimir (1976). *The Mystical Theology of the Eastern Church* (<https://books.google.com/books?id=dxqvWwPSCSwC>). St Vladimir's Seminary Press. ISBN 978-0-913836-31-6.
- Macpherson, Ewan (1912). "Flavius Valens" ([https://en.wikisource.org/wiki/Catholic\\_Encyclopedia\\_\(1913\)/Flavius\\_Valens](https://en.wikisource.org/wiki/Catholic_Encyclopedia_(1913)/Flavius_Valens)). In Herbermann, Charles (ed.). *Catholic Encyclopedia*. Vol. 15. New York: Robert Appleton Company.
- McClintock, John; Strong, James (1867). *Cyclopaedia of Biblical, Theological, and Ecclesiastical Literature* (<https://archive.org/details/McClintock.JStrongJCyclopaediaOfBiblicalTheologicalAndEcclesiasticalLiteratureVol071883/page/n59/mode/2up>). Vol. 7. Harper.
- Phan, Peter C. (2011). *The Cambridge Companion to the Trinity* ([https://books.google.com/books?id=yG3Vei\\_6whUC&pg=PA7](https://books.google.com/books?id=yG3Vei_6whUC&pg=PA7)). Cambridge Companions to Religion. Cambridge University Press. ISBN 978-0-521-87739-8.
- Pullan, Leighton (1905). *Early Christian Doctrine*. Oxford Church Text Books (3rd ed.). New York: Edwin S. Gorham.
- Schaff, Philip. *Theological Controversies and the Development of Orthodoxy: The history of the Christian church* ([http://www.ccel.org/s/schaff/history/3\\_ch09.htm](http://www.ccel.org/s/schaff/history/3_ch09.htm)). volumes III and IX.
- Wiles, Maurice (1996). *Archetypal heresy: Arianism through the centuries*. Oxford: Clarendon Press. ISBN 9780191520594. OCLC 344023364 (<https://www.worldcat.org/oclc/344023364>).
- Williams, Rowan (2001). *Arius: Heresy and Tradition* (revised ed.). ISBN 0-8028-4969-5.
- Witherington, B. (2007). *The Living Word of God: Rethinking the Theology of the Bible* (<https://books.google.com/books?id=xEvXKTG9Mf4C>). Baylor University Press. ISBN 978-1-60258-017-6.

## Further reading

- Ayres, Lewis (2004). *Nicaea and its Legacy: An approach to fourth-century trinitarian theology* (<https://archive.org/details/nicaeaitslegacya0000ayre>). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Belletini, Mark. "Arius in the Mirror: The Alexandrian dissent and how it is reflected in modern Unitarian Universalist practice and discourse" (<https://web.archive.org/web/20070216022131/http://firstuucolumbus.org/sermons/ariuspaper.htm>). Sermons. Columbus, OH: First Unitarian Universalist Church. Archived from the original (<http://firstuucolumbus.org/sermons/ariuspaper.htm>) on 16 February 2007. Retrieved 18 September 2006.
- Brennecke, Hanns Christof (1999). "Arianism". In Fahlbusch, Erwin (ed.). *Encyclopedia of Christianity* ([https://archive.org/details/encyclopediaofch0001unse\\_t6f2/page/121](https://archive.org/details/encyclopediaofch0001unse_t6f2/page/121)). Vol. 1. Grand Rapids, MI: Wm. B. Eerdmans. pp. 121–122 ([https://archive.org/details/encyclopediaofch0001unse\\_t6f2/page/121](https://archive.org/details/encyclopediaofch0001unse_t6f2/page/121)). ISBN 0-8028-2413-7.
- Davidson, Ivor J. (2005). "A Public Faith". *Baker History of the Church*. 2. ISBN 0-8010-1275-9.
- Newman, John Henry (1833). "Arians of the Fourth Century" (<http://www.newmanreader.org/works/arians/index.html>). *newmanreader.org*.
- Parvis, Sarah (2006). *Marcellus of Ancyra and the Lost Years of the Arian Controversy 325–345* (<https://books.google.com/books?id=-jgsQihyWTEC&q=sarah+parvis>). New York, NY: Oxford University Press. ISBN 9780199280131.
- Rodriguez, Eliseo (29 July 2014). *The Doctrine of the Trinity is Dead: The original gospel*. Lost Fundamental Doctrines. Vol. 1. ISBN 978-1490922164.
- Rusch, William C. (1980). *The Trinitarian Controversy*. Sources of Early Christian Thought. ISBN 0-8006-1410-0.

## External links

---

- [Documents of the Early Arian Controversy \(https://web.archive.org/web/20150629141505/http://www.fourthcentury.com/urkunden-chart-2007\)](https://web.archive.org/web/20150629141505/http://www.fourthcentury.com/urkunden-chart-2007) Chronological survey of the sources
- [English translations of all extant letters relating to early Arianism \(https://web.archive.org/web/20080925171026/http://www.fourthcentury.com/index.php/urkunde-chart-opitz\)](https://web.archive.org/web/20080925171026/http://www.fourthcentury.com/index.php/urkunde-chart-opitz)
- [A map of early sympathizers with Arius \(https://web.archive.org/web/20080925041017/http://www.fourthcentury.com/notwppages/arius-supporters-map.htm\)](https://web.archive.org/web/20080925041017/http://www.fourthcentury.com/notwppages/arius-supporters-map.htm)
- [Barry, William \(1913\). "Arianism" \(https://en.wikisource.org/wiki/Catholic\\_Encyclopedia\\_\(1913\)/Arianism\). \*Catholic Encyclopedia\*.](https://en.wikisource.org/wiki/Catholic_Encyclopedia_(1913)/Arianism)
- [Jewish Encyclopedia: Arianism \(http://jewishencyclopedia.com/view.jsp?artid=1757&letter=A\)](http://jewishencyclopedia.com/view.jsp?artid=1757&letter=A)
- [Concordia Cyclopedia: Arianism \(page 1\) \(https://web.archive.org/web/20160414142828/http://tera-3.ul.cs.cmu.edu/cgi-bin/getImage.pl?target=%2Fdata%2Fwww%2FNASD%2F4a7f1db4-5792-415c-be79-266f41eef20a%2F009%2F499%2FPTIFF%2F00000052.tif&rs=1\)](https://web.archive.org/web/20160414142828/http://tera-3.ul.cs.cmu.edu/cgi-bin/getImage.pl?target=%2Fdata%2Fwww%2FNASD%2F4a7f1db4-5792-415c-be79-266f41eef20a%2F009%2F499%2FPTIFF%2F00000052.tif&rs=1)  
(page 2) (<https://web.archive.org/web/20160414142900/http://tera-3.ul.cs.cmu.edu/cgi-bin/getImage.pl?target=%2Fdata%2Fwww%2FNASD%2F4a7f1db4-5792-415c-be79-266f41eef20a%2F009%2F499%2FPTIFF%2F00000053.tif&rs=1>) (page 3) (<https://web.archive.org/web/20160414142854/http://tera-3.ul.cs.cmu.edu/cgi-bin/getImage.pl?target=%2Fdata%2Fwww%2FNASD%2F4a7f1db4-5792-415c-be79-266f41eef20a%2F009%2F499%2FPTIFF%2F00000054.tif&rs=1>)
- ["Arianism" \(https://en.wikisource.org/wiki/The\\_American\\_Cyclop%C3%A6dia\\_\(1879\)/Arianism\). \*The American Cyclopædia\*. 1879.](https://en.wikisource.org/wiki/The_American_Cyclop%C3%A6dia_(1879)/Arianism)
- [The Arians of the fourth century by John Henry "Cardinal" Newman in "btm" format \(https://web.archive.org/web/20130303133427/http://www.third-millennium-library.com/MedievalHistory/FOURTH\\_CENTURY\\_ARIANS/ARIANS\\_DOOR.html\)](https://web.archive.org/web/20130303133427/http://www.third-millennium-library.com/MedievalHistory/FOURTH_CENTURY_ARIANS/ARIANS_DOOR.html)
- [Concise Summary of the Arian Controversy \(http://www.the-highway.com/arian\\_Hanko1.html\)](http://www.the-highway.com/arian_Hanko1.html)
- [Arianism Today \(http://www.Arianismtoday.com\)](http://www.Arianismtoday.com) Archived (<https://web.archive.org/web/20190101183158/https://arianismtoday.com/>) 1 January 2019 at the [Wayback Machine](https://web.archive.org/)

---

Retrieved from "<https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Arianism&oldid=1080112464>"

---

This page was last edited on 30 March 2022, at 10:43 (UTC).

Text is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike License 3.0; additional terms may apply. By using this site, you agree to the Terms of Use and Privacy Policy. Wikipedia® is a registered trademark of the Wikimedia Foundation, Inc., a non-profit organization.