

**PAST/PRESENT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FORM**

CONTRACTOR/FIRM BEING EVALUATED: Integritas Systems LLC

EVALUATOR INFORMATION

Contractor/Company Name: National Park Service

Evaluator Name: Cliff Walker Phone No.: 5059886120

Evaluator Signature: *Cliff Walker* Fax No.: \_\_\_\_\_

Contract Number/Title: P16PS02518 Replace Booster Pumps

Type of Contract (Sealed Bid/RFP/Other): Best Value

Dollar Amount of Award: \$87,100 Dollar Amount at Completion: \$87,100

Period of Performance: 11/18/2016-1/5/2017 Percent Complete: 100

Scope of Contract Requirements/Location: Big Bend National Park

**CODE**

**PERFORMANCE LEVEL**

- O OUTSTANDING Contractor's performance level far exceeds all contractual requirements.
- E EXCELLENT Contractor's performance level exceeds most contractual requirements with no other problems noted.
- S SATISFACTORY Contractor's performance level meets the minimum requirements of the Contract. No major problems exist and minor problems were quickly resolved.
- P POOR Contractor's performance level is in danger of or did not satisfy contractual requirements.

Please circle the appropriate letter for each item below and provide narrative explanation of your assessment, i.e. strengths/weaknesses that support the rating.

1. Contractor's ability and performance in identification of potential contractual problems early, and advisement of the Government before the problems became delay, cost, or safety threatening.

E S P \_\_\_\_\_ Contractor understood plans and specifications  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

2. Contractor's ability and performance in cooperating with the Government, i.e. timely submission progress schedules, change proposals, reports, submittals, good faith negotiations, realistic cost proposals, etc.

E S P \_\_\_\_\_ Contractor adhered to project schedule.  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

3. Contractor's ability and performance in providing a realistic schedule and effectiveness in meeting established schedule.

E S P \_\_\_\_\_ Contractor performed work according to submitted schedule.  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

4. Contractor's performance in maintaining a full-time superintendent with sufficient authority to speak and act for the Contractor.

E S P \_\_\_\_\_ Onsite superintendent was onsite during all work performed by sub-contractors.  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

5. Superintendent's performance in managing employees/subcontractors to keep the job moving on schedule; contractor's performance in ordering equipment/materials for delivery within approved schedule period.

E S P \_\_\_\_\_ Contractor managed to meet project schedule despite delays from suppliers and sub-contractors.  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

6. Contractor's performance in providing timely resolution of punch list items.

E  S  P Contractor corrected one punch item to satisfaction of owner.  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

7. Contractor's performance in paying subcontractors/suppliers in a timely manner; invoices are timely with supporting documentation (progress/payment schedules, certification, payrolls).

E  S  P There were no pay issues/ claim associate with this contract.  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

8. Contractor's ability to understand technical aspects of work and performance in interpreting contract intent without extensive intervention by the Government.

E  S  P Contractor understood work, but failed to clear changes with  
\_\_\_\_\_  
technical personnel. One electrical code violation was  
\_\_\_\_\_  
discovered after work was performed.  
\_\_\_\_\_

9. Contractor performed mechanical work, including workmanship, mechanical equipment, piping, and ductwork, and testing in accordance with the drawings, specifications, and appropriate codes.

E  S  P Contractor understood work, but failed to clear changes with  
\_\_\_\_\_  
technical personnel. One electrical code violation was discovered after work was  
\_\_\_\_\_  
performed.  
\_\_\_\_\_

10. Contractor's performed electrical work, including workmanship, equipment, and testing in accordance with the drawings, specifications and appropriate codes.

E  S  P Contractor understood work, but failed to clear changes with  
\_\_\_\_\_  
technical personnel. One electrical code violation was discovered after work was  
\_\_\_\_\_  
performed.  
\_\_\_\_\_

11. Contractor's ability and performance of computer control and instrumentation work in accordance with the specifications and appropriate codes.

E S P \_\_\_\_\_ Contractor control sub-contractor did excellent work.  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

12. Contractor's ability to prevent damage to all aspects of new construction including structure, equipment, and casework prior to beneficial occupancy.

E S P \_\_\_\_\_ No damage occurred  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

13. Safety violations/accidents? OSHA violations, personal injury? Please explain.

YES  NO \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

14. Contractor followed required OSHA safety standards when working in confined spaces.

YES NO \_\_\_\_\_ Not applicable  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

15. Contractor's performance in providing proper safety protection for workers when required.

E S P \_\_\_\_\_ Contractor submitted excellent safety plan and followed it.  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

16. Contractor's performance in providing safety barriers, proper scaffolding, diamond plate over road holes, proper safety signage, proper lighting, etc. when required.

E S P \_\_\_\_\_ Contractor submitted excellent safety plan and followed it.  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_