

Vaccine Mandates- An attack on Medical Freedom

Vaccine mandates and the elimination of exemptions-

With vaccine mandates already passed in several states and a new legislative session looming on the horizon, you can bet that pharma backed legislators will have a string of new mandates and exemption elimination legislation tee'd up. The measles has become the "Tip of the Spear" in pharma's push for mandates and the case to remove exemptions and freedom of choice. They have chosen the measles, because it is a deadly disease in impoverished third-world countries, which makes it easy for them to spread fear about the disease, even though it is completely disingenuous to make the comparison to modern-day America. I have recently written an article that demolishes pharma's five key false narratives and talking points driving pharma's measles campaign to legislatively mandate laws that restrict or remove medical freedoms. You can download the article at www.1200studies.com . It is a link on the banner at the top of the page. While you are there, download my FREE 718-page eBook called **1200 Studies - Truth Will Prevail** on that same page. It now contains excerpts from well over 1,400 Studies that refute the claims of safety and efficacy by the vaccine industry.

Medical Freedom-

The coercion through a misinformation campaign about the measles is a prime example of fear mongering and manipulation to drive public opinion. Still, people deserve the freedom to choose when it comes to their bodies and health. Where there is risk, there must be choice. Medical freedom is an important tenant, not just for Americans who are used to enjoying our Constitutional rights and freedom, but also for all free people around the world.

Two key tenants of medical freedom are:

1. The right to personal autonomy-
2. Full and complete Informed Consent including all the risks, in addition to the benefits-

The right to personal autonomy-

Autonomy is often defined as the capacity to make an informed, uncoerced decision. This is a principle that is expressly emphasized in the famous historic Nuremberg Code.

"The Nuremberg Code of 1947 came into being as a result of the heinous human experimentation performed by the Nazi doctors in the many concentration camps throughout the German Third Reich. The judgment by the war crimes tribunal, as a result of the "Doctors Trial" at Nuremberg, established 10 principles to guide physicians in all human experimentation". <http://www.cirp.org/library/ethics/nuremberg/> .

"Prior to the Nazi war crimes tribunal, there was no written international code for doctors. Lawyers defending the Nazi doctors pointed to events in the U.S., attempting to argue, for example, that similar wartime experiments were conducted with prisoners at the Illinois State Penitentiary, who allegedly, deliberately infected prisoners with malaria". <http://www.whale.to/a/cantwell9.html> .

"The experience of the Nazi medical experiments performed in the concentration camps has made it painfully aware that medical experiments on human beings need to conform to well-defined ethical standards and should supersede the justification that such experiments may yield results for the "greater good of society" that might not be obtained by other methods or means of study. *(This is the argument that many make today about vaccination. They insinuate that the collateral damage that occurs to "the few" is acceptable because of the benefits to the many).* The first principle of

the Nuremberg Code clearly states, “The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential”. This is followed by an explicit clarification of all the associated requirements, making it extremely difficult for research principle investigators to twist it’s meaning”. http://www.ushmm.org/research/doctors/Nuremberg_Code.htm

Let’s face it. The whole vaccine program is one huge human experiment. There are many different facts that support this contention. Safety studies on vaccines are very short and contain small numbers of participants as compared to other pharmaceutical drugs. That is because vaccines are classified as biologics and not drugs. Therefore they can be “fast-tracked” to market. Vaccine safety studies have never tested a vaccine against an inert placebo like saline. The control group receives a shot containing the same or higher levels of aluminum (if the adjuvant in the vaccine is aluminum), or they receive another vaccine. This is an obvious attempt to mask the adverse reactions in the vaccine group by forcing the “placebo” group to exhibit similar reactions, therefore blurring the differences between the vaccine group and the control.

What we are seeing in the meteoric rise of autism, neurodevelopmental delays and disabilities, learning and behavioral problems, allergies, autoimmunity and other serious chronic diseases, especially in our children and young people has paralleled the ever-expanding number of doses added to the CDC schedule over the last 40 years (24 doses by age 18 in 1980, to 72 doses today).

Full and complete Informed Consent including all the risks, in addition to the benefits-

In chiropractic as well as medicine, informed consent is one of the most important and valued tenants. If that is the case, then why are doctors that prescribe and deliver vaccines “immune” from this important requirement? In all other medical procedures, it is ethically mandatory that doctors give patients the right to choose whether to comply with recommended treatment or not. And that decision is based on full disclosure of the risks and benefits. This applies to every procedure EXCEPT FOR VACCINATION!

The following excerpt is from an article on bioethics. “For an informed consent to be considered ethically valid, there must be full disclosure. This entails that the potential participant must be thoroughly informed as to the purpose of the research, the procedures to be used, the benefits to the participant, if any, and the potential risks and discomforts of participating in the research. Any deception jeopardizes the integrity of the informed consent process. There should also be assurances of confidentiality or anonymity of the participant. The participant's consent to participate in the research must also be voluntary and free of any coercion. It is vital that the participant understand what has been explained and be given the opportunity to ask questions. It is also necessary that the participant be competent to give consent. In the absence of competency, a legally approved advocate may provide consent. Participation in the research study should be authorized, preferably in writing. Everything should be clearly stated in an informed consent document, written in an easily understood format that avoids or explains technical terms, and whom to contact with further questions: <http://depts.washington.edu/bioethx/topics/resrch.html#ques1> .”

“Obviously, the mass immunization experiment, or research project is not declared as such, even though the reality is that it is just that. Therefore, parents representing their children and older individuals are never informed that they are part of a massive human experiment as the Nuremberg Code declares must happen.”

This is one of the biggest bones of contention that I have with the whole immunization process. It is extremely rare that a doctor gives full informed consent to a parent regarding the potential risks of the vaccination of their child. Because the doctor and pharmaceutical company have a blanket protection from lawsuit due to the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act, they feel empowered to omit vital information that the patient has a legal right to. They also feel a sense of “immunity” to any liability. This flies in the face not only of a physician’s obligation to their patient, but in all measures of decency, when it truly is the right thing to do. There is a growing movement by ethical pediatricians in this country to promote proper informed consent to parents bringing their children for immunization. I strongly applaud this movement

and recommend that all parents should seek out pediatricians that are willing to do the right thing. For more information about this movement visit <https://physiciansforinformedconsent.org/>

The importance of requiring physicians to provide full informed consent with vaccines-

As a physician, I have always seen providing informed consent to a patient as my ethical, moral and legal responsibility. Informed consent means explaining ALL the potential benefits and risks, regardless of how rare those risks may be.

In the case of vaccines, the patient should receive a list of the ingredients in the vaccines that are to be administered. That is very important, not only because a person should have the right to know what is about to be injected into their body or their children's body, but vaccines contain ingredients that a person with known allergies or history of anaphylaxis to those ingredients should be aware of.

The Federal Government has made available what is called a Vaccine Information Statement (V.I.S.), for each vaccine and is supposed to be provided by the doctor at the office visit. But is it? The answer is twofold. One, is that based on conversations I have had with many people after receiving immunizations for themselves or their children over years and they have reported that verbal, written consents or V.I.S.s are rarely provided. Secondly, the requirements for what the V.I.S.s must include have been watered down. Pages 299-302 from **1200 Studies** shows the difference between the 1986 law requirements and the amended law requirements in 1993.

All medical procedures require full informed consent that a patient must sign off on. Why not vaccines? There has been 4.3 billion dollars paid out under the Vaccine Injury Compensation Program (V.I.C.P.), since its inception. And, based on the Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System (V.A.E.R.S.), there was over 60,000 reports of reactions to vaccines in 2019. That number has grown annually. In 1991, there were 9,935 adverse reactions reported, one sixth of those reported in 2019. That data can be found here on the VAERS web site: <https://vaers.hhs.gov/data/index>

The system is entirely voluntary reporting, often called a "passive reporting system". Therefore, as this government funded study (The Harvard Pilgrim Health Study) concluded, fewer than 1% of vaccine adverse reactions are even reported to VAERS. <https://healthit.ahrq.gov/sites/default/files/docs/publication/r18hs017045-lazarus-final-report-2011.pdf> That means that the number of reactions annually in the U.S. may be more accurately somewhere in excess of 6,000,000 adverse reactions, which is a VERY significant number and one that contradicts the statement we often hear that reactions are rare. Therefore, since vaccine adverse reaction are much more common than we are led to believe, it is imperative that patients and parents of children who are about to receive vaccines are fully aware of the potential risks. Where there is risk, there must be choice.

The importance of maintaining religious exemptions-

In addition to the two tenants of Medical freedom, a very important exemption has come to the forefront of media reports recently. That is the religious exemption. Several vaccines contain DNA fragments from various cell lines originating from aborted fetuses, where the virus is grown in the cell cultures derived from the tissues of those fetuses. In addition to the obvious reason for a person of faith to decline having that DNA injected into their body, there is also concern among many scientists that these DNA fragments can combine with the recipient's DNA in a process called homologous recombination and that the resultant inflammatory reaction may lead to autoimmune responses and other downstream effects of the alteration of the recipients DNA. There is evidence that when human DNA was incorporated into vaccines, there was a significant uptick in the rate of autism. This sharp increase became referred to as a "hockey stick" appearance. A 2014 article published in the **Journal of Public Health and Epidemiology** titled, **Impact of environmental factors on the prevalence of autistic disorder after 1979**, produces convincing evidence of the effects of human fetal cell lines on the "change point" where the incidence of autism rose sharply in the late 1980s. <https://academicjournals.org/journal/JPHE/article-full-text-pdf/C98151247042>

Boys are approximately 4 times more likely to develop autism than girls-

One explanation as to why boys are affected with autism implicates human DNA found in vaccines comes from an article from the *Journal Immunotoxicology* published in 2011 and titled, **Theoretical Aspects of Autism**. The article clearly shows that It's not just the mercury that puts children at risk from vaccines. There is human DNA and retroviruses found in childhood vaccines. This article discusses many plausible explanations for the rise in autism as a result of various vaccine related factors, including this quote: "The human DNA from the vaccine can be randomly inserted into the recipient's genes by homologous recombination, a process that occurs spontaneously only within a species. Hot spots for DNA insertion are found on the X chromosome in eight autism-associated genes involved in nerve cell synapse formation, central nervous system development, and mitochondrial function (Deisher, 2010). This could provide some explanation of why autism is predominantly a disease of boys. Taken together, these data support the hypothesis that residual human DNA in some vaccines might cause autism."

<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3109/1547691X.2010.545086>

Dr. Theresa Deisher obtained her PhD in Molecular and Cellular Physiology from Stanford University and has spent over 20 years in commercial biotechnology and an inventor on 23 issued US patents in the biotechnology field. See pages 184-187 of 1200 Studies for a much more detailed discussion on this topic.

Medical freedom is a necessary right we must protect. Whether it is for moral, ethical, religious or personal reasons, each individual must be able to maintain the right to make health related decisions for themselves and for their children. I'll say it again. Where there is risk, there must be free choice. Consider engaging in the local, state and national debate and let your voice be heard.

Dr. Alan Palmer's free eBook **1200 Studies- Truth will Prevail** is now at 718 pages and over 1,400 published studies authored by thousands of scientists and researchers, that contradict what the public is being told about the safety and efficacy of vaccines. It has easy search and navigation features with links directly to the article abstracts on PubMed, or the source journal. These features make it an invaluable research and reference tool. It can be downloaded FREE at www.1200studies.com. All the page number references from this article can be found there. You can contact Dr. Palmer from his 1200studies Facebook page.