

Human–AI Authority Boundary Reflection

Clarifying where judgment ends and system support begins

The most subtle risk in AI adoption is not error, it is authority drift.

This reflection helps leaders examine whether boundaries between human judgment and system influence remain intact.

1. Role of AI in Decisions

- Is AI positioned as advisor, recommender, or implicit authority?
- Are humans expected to accept outputs by default?
- Is override culturally supported?

2. Responsibility Clarity

- When outcomes are contested, who is accountable?
- Are humans empowered to disagree with systems without penalty?

3. Trust vs. Deference

- Is trust grounded in understanding, or convenience?
- Are systems treated as neutral oracles rather than designed tools?

4. Long-Term Authority Signals

- What does repeated reliance teach the organization?
- Are humans practicing judgment, or deferring it?



Closing Reflection

Authority once transferred is difficult to reclaim.
Boundaries must be designed, reinforced, and revisited intentionally.

Prairie Business Evolutions works with organizations to design Human–AI partnerships that strengthen judgment without surrendering authority.

Intent & Use Notice

This reflection is informational and exploratory. It does not prescribe system design or governance decisions. Organizations remain responsible for defining and enforcing authority boundaries.