



Microaggression in schools: An Approach to awareness

CASP Convention 2023

Costa Mesa

October 10, 2023

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE

Agenda

Impact

School Psych/Student
Relationships

Future Directions

Motivational Interviewing and SJ/DEI

Background

What are Microaggressions and
what can they look like?

Response to M.A

Individual responses
Institutional supports

Wrap up & Questions

Microaggressions Background

- Microaggressions refer to brief, commonplace behavioral, verbal, and environmental insensitivities by potentially well-meaning individuals that communicate hostile or negative attitudes and insults to individuals of marginalized groups (Sue et al., 2007).
 - a. Sometimes they appear harmless, but over the course of time can inflict feelings of shame and degradation on the target (Yosso et al., 2009).

Microaggression Categories

- According to Sue et al. (2007), there are three types of microaggressions:
 - a. Microinsults: communications that convey rudeness and insensitivity and demean a person's identity.
 - b. Microinvalidations: communications that exclude, negate, or nullify the psychological thoughts, feelings, or experiential reality of persons belonging to minority groups.
 - c. Microassaults: verbal or nonverbal attacks meant to hurt the intended victim through name-calling, avoidant behavior, or purposeful discriminatory actions.

Initial Impact

- Experiencing a racial microaggression in a school setting may make it difficult to focus on school-related due to numerous attempts at deciphering an aggressor's intent (Steketee et al., 2021)
 - a. School Psychologists that appear uncomfortable with race conversations, or ignore or dismissed race issues, can bring rise to consequences that could be devastating to students.
 - Invalidation of Racial realities

Continued Impacts

- Impacts of MA on students:
 - a. Mental health of recipients (Sue, Capodilupo, & Holder, 2008)
 - b. Create a hostile and invalidating campus climate (Solorzano, Ceja, & Yosso, 2000)
 - c. Perpetuate stereotype threat (Steele, Spencer, & Aronson, 2002)
 - d. Create physical health problems (Clark, Anderson, Clark, & Williams, 1999)
 - e. Negatively impact work productivity and problem-solving abilities (Dovidio, 2001; Salvatore & Shelton, 2007)

Possible Responses

- Individual:
 - a. Racial Dialogues (Willow, 2008; Young, 2003)
 - i. Support fairness
 - ii. Assure recognition
 - b. Racial self-awareness (Sue et al., 2009)
- School Community (Vincent et al., 2016)
 - a. Planned professional development to address implicit bias
 - b. Proactive Circles
 - c. Supporting a representative staff
 - i. For Black students, research has shown that interactions with positive Black individuals can support one's behavior, mindset, and racial identity (Gordon et al., 2009).
 - d. Implementing decision-making tools that establish agreed-upon objective criteria to limit individual discretion of policies, practices, and interpretation of youth behavior, thus creating tools for responding to youth behavior within agreed-upon parameters (Steinhardt, 2006)

Future Directions

- Motivational Interviewing (Miller & Rollnick, 2013)
 - a. Diversity, Equity and Inclusion (DEI)
- School Climate
 - a. Encompasses the physical, emotional and social environment of the school (NASP, 2019)





THANK YOU!!

Randolph Munoz, B.S.- rmuno008@ucr.edu

Wesley Sims, Ph.D. NCSP- wesleys@ucr.edu



UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE