## FRPath.org Where the Roads to Accelerated Assessments Converge



| FRPath.org Country and FRP Information Input Form                         |                        |  |  |  |  |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Country: Australia  |                        | <b>Agency Name:</b> Therapeutic Goods Administration (TGA)   |  |  |  |
| Name of FRP: TGA Compa  | rable Ov               | erseas Regulator (COR)-  | Α                                      |  |  |
| Is this FRP Proposed or Active? Active                                    |                        |  |  |  |  |
| Date FRP was officially enacted: Click here to enter a date.              |                        |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Facilitates activities   | 2. Acce                | lerates the regulatory   | 3. Relies on or recognizes a prior     |  |  |
| during development  |                        | review process   | regulatory decision                    |  |  |
|   |                        |  |  |  |  |
| Is a Guidance or SOP describing how to apply this FRP publicly available? |                        | Yes- see reference below   | N                                      |  |  |
| When should the FRP be requested?   |                        | Choose an item.  |  |  |  |
| Does the agency provide   |                        | Yes- For any product type  |  |  |  |
| assistance/advice to the sponsor?   |                        | The TCA code of the code of th |  |  |  |
| For which types of product(s) can   |                        | The TGA makes use of assessments from comparable overseas regulators (CORs), where possible, in the regulation of  |  |  |  |
| this FRP be used? E.g. NMEs, generics, biologics, biosimilars, all        |                        | prescription medicines.  |  |  |  |
| products  |                        | presemption medicines  | •                                      |  |  |
| Must the product address  | an                     | Negotiable   |  |  |  |
| unmet medical need or serious condition?                                  |                        |  |  |  |  |
| If a fee is required, what is the   |                        | The application and eva  | luation fees for the applications      |  |  |
| amount (in US\$ equivalent  |                        | The application and evaluation fees for the applications submitted under the COR-A or COR-B procedure remain the   |  |  |  |
| amoone (iii 05\$ equivalent)  |                        | same as a full application. TGA Schedule of Fees and Charges.  |  |  |  |
| Total target (agency) time  | for                    | Under COR-A, the TGA regulatory decision will be based on a  |  |  |  |
| assessment (calendar days   | 5)                     | critical review of the COR assessment reports and an   |  |  |  |
|   |                        | evaluation of the Australian label, Product Information (PI) and   |  |  |  |
|   |                        | where required, the Risk Management Plan (RMP). The  |  |  |  |
|   |                        | evaluation and decision timeframe for COR-A applications is  |  |  |  |
| <b>-</b>  |                        | 120 working days.  |  |  |  |
| Total target (company) time for   |                        | Click here to enter text.  |  |  |  |
| responses to agency quest stated)   | ions (ii               |  |  |  |  |
| •   | of the fo              | llowing (* see definition  | s at end of document)                  |  |  |
| Is this a verification  |                        | an abridged* review  | Is this a full* review of all parts of |  |  |
| review (a recognition   |                        | ted dossier portions)?   | the dossier?                           |  |  |
| pathway)?*  | (a reliance pathway)?* |  |  |  |  |
|   |                        | $\boxtimes$  |  |  |  |
| If this is a reliance or recog  | nition                 | 1. European Medio  | cines Agency (EMA)                     |  |  |
| pathway, what are the accepted  |                        | <ol><li>Pharmaceutical and Medical Devices Agency (PMDA),</li></ol>  |  |  |  |
| reference agencies?   |                        | Japan  |  |  |  |
|   |                        | 3. Health Canada   |  |  |  |

| FRPath.org Country and FRP Information Input Form   |   |  |
|---|---|--|
|   | <ol> <li>Health Sciences Authority (HSA), Singapore</li> <li>Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency (MHRA), United Kingdom</li> <li>SwissMedic, Switzerland</li> <li>United States Food and Drug Administration (US FDA).</li> </ol>   |  |
| How many reference agency decisions are required?   | Click here to enter text.   |  |
| Does this FRP require submission of Assessment Reports from prior decisions?  | Unredacted  |  |
| Is a CPP (Certificate of Pharmaceutical Product) required for approval?   | Choose an item.   |  |
| Can an alternate form of reference documentation to the CPP be used? If so, what types of documents?                | Click here to enter text.   |  |
| If this process is through a<br>Regional Regulatory Initiative,<br>which countries participate in this<br>process?  | No, this process is not through a Regional Regulatory Initiative.   |  |
| Does the product have to have been marketed in another country? For a specific amount of time? If so, for how long? | Yes, the product has to have been marketed in another country.  To meet this significantly shortened timeframe, the application must meet specific requirements. Key considerations for COR-A include:  1. identical medicine and manufacturing to that approved by the COR, with evidence of compliance with Good Manufacturing Practice (GMP)  2. the full overseas marketing approval for the medicine is no older than 1 year  3. aside from the label, PI and RMP (where required), no additional evaluation of Australian specific data is required.  In Module 1.11.1, full details of whether the application has been approved, deferred, withdrawn, rejected, approved on appeal, delayed or received a 'refusal to approve' in another jurisdiction. Note: This requirement seeks to capture |  |
|   | complicated or contentious applications that require a deeper consideration of the data in the Australian context. This includes applications that have been 'indefinitely' delayed, but not situations where the application has undergone a standard 'questions' process (e.g. Day 120 List of Questions). In Module 1.11.4, the complete and un-redacted set of final COR assessment reports, in English.  |  |

| FRPath.org Country and FRP Information Input Form                     |   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| How are queries to the companies                                      | Choose an item.   |  |  |
| sent?   |   |  |  |
| Are external reviewers (e.g. non-                                     | Choose an item.   |  |  |
| agency) involved in the   |   |  |  |
| assessment?   |   |  |  |
| Post-authorization study  | Always required   |  |  |
| commitments   |   |  |  |
| For how long is the initial   | Choose an item.   |  |  |
| _   |   |  |  |
| approval or designation valid? Any other details you wish to provide? | <ul> <li>The COR report-based process is associated with a shortened evaluation and decision timeframe. The aim of this process is to reduce duplication of evaluation of prescription medicines that have already been approved by a COR, while maintaining existing quality, safety and efficacy standards for medicines supplied in Australia.</li> <li>The intention is that the TGA will only need to evaluate data generated specifically for the Australian context. For example, Australian labels, product information and consumer medicine information. However, in some instances, additional data may need to be considered. For example, safety data generated since the COR approval.</li> <li>The approach used will depend on the extent to which the COR report removes the need for the TGA to evaluate data. The applicant must complete and submit the COR application checklist to identify the extent of additional data which will require evaluation by the TGA. Completion of the checklist will indicate whether the application is eligible for either COR-A or COR-B.</li> <li>COR report-based applications must use the PPF-only pre-submission phase option available for the standard prescription medicine registration process. This means that: (i) the submission must be in electronic Common Technical Document (eCTD) format; (ii) there will be no formal Milestone 1. Applicants should proceed to lodge their entire submission number is visible on TBS. This will occur</li> </ul> |  |  |
|   | once the TGA has added the relevant stream number to the Submission ID based on the proposed indication (i.e. 'PM-yyyy-xxxxx-z-stream number').  - Under the COR report-based process, the applicant must identify whether the application relies on a DMF. The reports on the restricted part of the dossier   |  |  |

| FRPath.org Country and FRP Information Input Form |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
|   | <ul> <li>(including questions raised by, and the answers provided to, the COR) must be provided to the TGA by the DMF holder along with the DMF.</li> <li>COR report-based process – prescription medicines. COR-A and COR-B application checklist:         <ul> <li>https://www.tga.gov.au/sites/default/files/application-checklist-for-cor-report-based-process.pdf</li> </ul> </li> </ul>  |  |  |
| Date of this update                               | 19 April 2020.   |  |  |
| References  | <ol> <li>Comparable overseas regulators (CORs): Timeframes and milestones. <a href="https://www.tga.gov.au/comparable-overseas-regulators-cors-timeframes-and-milestones">https://www.tga.gov.au/comparable-overseas-regulators</a> (CORs): Submission requirements. <a href="https://www.tga.gov.au/comparable-overseas-regulators-cors-submission-requirements">https://www.tga.gov.au/comparable-overseas-regulators-cors-submission-requirements</a> Accessed on 19 April 2020.</li> </ol> |  |  |

## \*Definitions:

Verification review: A checklist review based on recognition of a prior regulatory decision. Recognition is the routine acceptance of the regulatory decision of another regulator or other trusted institution. Recognition indicates that evidence of conformity with the regulatory requirements of economy A is sufficient to meet the regulatory requirements of economy B.

Abridged review: An abbreviated review of selected portions of the dossier and the reliance on prior assessment decisions. Reliance is the act whereby a regulatory authority in one jurisdiction may take into account/give significant weight to work performed by another regulator or other trusted institution in reaching its own decision

Full review: A comprehensive review of all components of the dossier. This may or may not be CPP-dependent. This may form part of a reliance or recognition pathway.

This FRP Information Input Form v3.3 and its content are ©2020 FRPath.org and the Erudee Foundation.