FRPath.org Where the Roads to Accelerated Assessments Converge



| FRPath.org Country and FRP Information Input Form | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Country: Australia | | Agency Name: Therapeutic Goods | | |
| | | Administration (TGA) | | |
| Name of FRP: TGA Comparable Overseas Regulator (COR)-B | | | | |
| Is this FRP Proposed or Active? Active | | | | |
| Date FRP was officially enacted: Click here to enter a date. | | | | |
| 1. Facilitates activities | 2. Accelerates the regulatory | | 3. Relies on or recognizes a prior | |
| during development | review process regulatory d | | regulatory decision | |
| Ц | | | | |
| Is a Guidance or SOP describing how | | Yes- see reference belo |)W | |
| to apply this FRP publicly available? | | | | |
| When should the FRP be requested? | | Choose an item. | | |
| Does the agency provide | | Yes- For any product ty | /ре | |
| assistance/advice to the sponsor? | | | | |
| For which types of product(s) can this | | The TGA makes use of assessments from comparable | | |
| FRP be used? E.g. NMEs, generics, | | overseas regulators (CORs), where possible, in the | | |
| biologics, biosimilars, all products | | regulation of prescription medicines. | | |
| Must the product address an unmet | | Negotiable | | |
| medical need or serious condition? | | | | |
| If a fee is required, what is the | amount | The application and evaluation fees for the applications | | |
| (in US\$ equivalent) | | submitted under the COR-A or COR-B procedure remain the | | |
| | | same as a full application. <u>TGA Schedule of Fees and</u> | | |
| Total target (agency) time for | | Charges. Under the COR-B approach, the TGA regulatory decision will | | |
| assessment (calendar days) | | still be mostly based on a critical review of the COR | | |
| assessment (calendar days) | | assessment reports. The COR-B process has a 175 working | | |
| | | day evaluation and decision timeframe, allowing for TGA | | |
| | | evaluation of certain data, in addition to the label, PI and | | |
| | | RMP. | , | |
| Total target (company) time for | | Click here to enter text. | | |
| responses to agency questions (If | | | | |
| stated) | | | | |
| Select one of the following (* see definitions at end of document) | | | | |
| Is this a verification review (a | Is this | an abridged* review | Is this a full* review of all parts of | |
| recognition pathway)?* | | ed dossier portions)? | the dossier? | |
| | (a re | liance pathway)?* | | |
| | | | | |
| If this is a reliance or recognition | | European Medicines Agency (EMA) | | |
| pathway, what are the accepted | | 2. Pharmaceutical and Medical Devices Agency | | |
| reference agencies? | | (PMDA), Japan | | |
| | | 3. Health Canada | | |
| | | 4. Health Sciences Authority (HSA), Singapore | | |

| FRPath.org Country and FRP Information | Innut Form | |
|---|---|--|
| Thi atiliory Country and The Injointation | 5. Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory | |
| | Agency (MHRA), United Kingdom | |
| | 6. SwissMedic, Switzerland | |
| | | |
| | FDA). | |
| How many reference agency decisions are required? | Click here to enter text. | |
| Does this FRP require submission of | Unredacted | |
| Assessment Reports from prior decisions? | | |
| Is a CPP (Certificate of Pharmaceutical Product) required for approval? | Choose an item. | |
| Can an alternate form of reference | The amount and type of additional data requiring evaluation | |
| documentation to the CPP be used? If | will determine whether the application is best processed | |
| so, what types of documents? | under the COR-B approach or as a Category 1 application. Examples of additional data that may be considered under the COR-B process include updated stability data, validation data for an additional manufacturing site and updates to pivotal studies that support the proposed indication. | |
| If this process is through a Regional | No, this process is not through a Regional Regulatory | |
| Regulatory Initiative, which countries | Initiative. | |
| participate in this process? | | |
| Does the product have to have been | Yes, the product has to have been marketed in another | |
| marketed in another country? For a | country. | |
| specific amount of time? If so, for how long? | In Module 1.11.1, full details of whether the application has been approved, deferred, withdrawn, rejected, approved on appeal, delayed or received a 'refusal to approve' in another jurisdiction. Note: This requirement seeks to capture complicated or contentious applications that require a deeper consideration of the data in the Australian context. This includes applications that have been 'indefinitely' delayed, but not situations where the application has undergone a standard 'questions' process (e.g. Day 120 List of Questions). In Module 1.11.4, the complete and unredacted set of final COR assessment reports, in English. *For COR-B applications, there is no time limit for how long ago the medicine had received overseas approval. Therefore, it is more likely that the medicine or the guidelines used by the COR to assess the medicine or both may have changed. | |
| How are queries to the companies | Choose an item. | |
| sent? | | |
| Are external reviewers (e.g. non- | Choose an item. | |
| agency) involved in the assessment? | Always required | |
| Post-authorization study | Always required | |
| commitments | | |

| FRPath.org Country and FRP Information Input Form | | |
|--|--|--|
| For how long is the initial approval or designation valid? | Choose an item. | |
| Any other details you wish to provide? | The COR report-based process is associated with a shortened evaluation and decision timeframe. The aim of this process is to reduce duplication of evaluation of prescription medicines that have already been approved by a COR, while maintaining existing quality, safety and efficacy standards for medicines supplied in Australia. The intention is that the TGA will only need to evaluate data generated specifically for the Australian context. For example, Australian labels, product information and consumer medicine information. However, in some instances, additional data may need to be considered. For example, safety data generated since the COR approval. The approach used will depend on the extent to which the COR report removes the need for the TGA to evaluate data. The applicant must complete and submit the COR application checklist to identify the extent of additional data which will require evaluation by the TGA. Completion of the checklist will indicate whether the application is eligible for either COR-A or COR-B. COR report-based applications must use the PPF-only pre-submission phase option available for the standard prescription medicine registration process. This means that: (i) the submission must be in electronic Common Technical Document (eCTD) format; (ii) there will be no formal Milestone 1. Applicants should proceed to lodge their entire submission for registration as soon as the complete submission number is visible on TBS. This will occur once the TGA has added the relevant stream number to the Submission ID based on the proposed indication (i.e. 'PM-yyyy-xxxxx-z-stream number'). Under the COR report-based process, the applicant must identify whether the application relies on a DMF. The reports on the restricted part of the dossier (including questions raised by, and the answers provided to, the COR) must be provided to the TGA by the DMF holder along with the DMF. COR report-based process – prescription medicines. COR-A and COR-B application c | |
| Date of this update | 19 April 2020. | |

FRPath.org Country and FRP Information Input FormReferences1.Comparable overseas regulators (CORs): Timeframes and milestones.
https://www.tga.gov.au/comparable-overseas-regulators-cors-timeframes-and-milestonesAccessed on 19 April 2020.Accessed on 19 April 2020.2.Comparable overseas regulators (CORs):
Submission requirements.
https://www.tga.gov.au/comparable-overseas-regulators-cors-submission-requirements
on 19 April 2020.

*Definitions:

Verification review: A checklist review based on recognition of a prior regulatory decision. Recognition is the routine acceptance of the regulatory decision of another regulator or other trusted institution. Recognition indicates that evidence of conformity with the regulatory requirements of economy A is sufficient to meet the regulatory requirements of economy B.

Abridged review: An abbreviated review of selected portions of the dossier and the reliance on prior assessment decisions. Reliance is the act whereby a regulatory authority in one jurisdiction may take into account/give significant weight to work performed by another regulator or other trusted institution in reaching its own decision

Full review: A comprehensive review of all components of the dossier. This may or may not be CPP-dependent. This may form part of a reliance or recognition pathway.

This FRP Information Input Form v3.3 and its content are ©2020 FRPath.org and the Erudee Foundation.