



### Using the FRPath.org Information Input Form

1. Complete the form as best as possible. If this is being used to update an existing entry, only complete the section(s) that should be considered for updating.
2. Some responses use drop-down menus. These are indicated in red with the words "Chose an Item". Please do not over-write these entries. If you wish to consider a response that is not available in the entry, please describe this in the final section.
3. Please return this form to FRPath.org by email to [info@frpath.org](mailto:info@frpath.org)

FRPath.org Country and FRP Information Input Form		
Country: South Africa	Agency Name: South African Health Products Regulatory Authority (SAHPRA)	
Name of FRP: Reliance-based Evaluation Pathways – ABRIDGED REVIEW		
Is this FRP Proposed or Active? Active		
Date FRP was officially enacted: <a href="#">Click here to enter a date.</a>		
1. Facilitates activities during development	2. Accelerates the regulatory review process	3. Relies on or recognizes a prior regulatory decision
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is a Guidance or SOP describing how to apply this FRP publicly available?	Yes- see reference below	
When should the FRP be requested?	Not stated	
Does the agency provide assistance/advice to the sponsor?	Yes- For any product type	
For which types of product(s) can this FRP be used? E.g. NMEs, generics, biologics, biosimilars, all products	<p>An abridged review is a reliance-based review comprising:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Validation by SAHPRA to ensure that the product application submitted for registration by SAHPRA is the same as the product registered by the specified RRA</li> <li>• Evaluation of Module 1: Regional administrative information (as required)</li> <li>• Evaluation of specific aspects of the dossier, depending on the type of application submitted</li> </ul> <p>The abridged review process does not involve an abbreviated application – all data and information required for a full review should be submitted, i.e. the full CTD module structure, as well as the SCoRE document. Evaluators may still wish to review data in the dossier as required.</p> <p>An abridged review is applicable to the following types of applications:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For a new registration application for a generic medicine already registered by an RRA</li> <li>For a new registration for a WHO PQ product: Applicants are required to follow SAHPRA's process for the WHO</li> </ol>	

**FRPath.org Country and FRP Information Input Form**

<p><b>Collaborative Registration Procedure</b></p> <p>NB: Reliance-based evaluation will be based on the following principles:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reliance is applicable for both new registration and variation applications (Type IB and Type II).</li> <li>• Reliance for Clinical and ME&amp;R is applied independently, i.e. the review types selected by the units could differ based on unit-specific document requirements and the availability thereof.</li> <li>• The application submitted for registration by SAHPRA should be the same as the most updated product on record at the RRA, i.e. all approved variations for the RRA's registered product should be incorporated in the application submitted for registration by SAHPRA. Pending variations with the RRA should not be included in the application submitted to SAHPRA in order for the application to qualify for reliance.</li> <li>• All decisions regarding final evaluation pathway (i.e. full review or reliance-based review) as well as the extent of reliance on the RRA's evaluation of the product being applied for are at the discretion of SAHPRA, based on the documents (and quality thereof) available for reliance based evaluation.</li> <li>• Any and all decisions regarding approval and final registration will be made by SAHPRA, in consideration of multiple factors including an RRA registration.</li> </ul>		
<b>Must the product address an unmet medical need or serious condition?</b>	No	
<b>If a fee is required, what is the amount (in US\$ equivalent)</b>	ZAR 53,900 / USD 3,690	
<b>Total target (agency) time for assessment (calendar days)</b>	250 Calendar Days	
<b>Total target (company) time for responses to agency questions (If stated)</b>	180 Calendar Days	
<b>Select one of the following (* see definitions at end of document)</b>		
<b>Is this a verification review (a recognition pathway)?*</b>	<b>Is this an abridged* review (selected dossier portions)? (a reliance pathway)?*</b>	<b>Is this a full* review of all parts of the dossier?</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>If this is a reliance or recognition pathway, what are the accepted reference agencies?</b>	To qualify for a reliance evaluation pathway, a product being applied for must be registered by one or more of the recognised regulatory authorities (RRAs) with which SAHPRA aligns itself. SAHPRA will leverage evaluation efforts done by RRAs in order to make its	

**FRPath.org Country and FRP Information Input Form**

	<p>evaluation process more efficient and enhance market access. SAHPRA's current RRAs include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• European Medicines Agency Centralised Procedure (EMA CP)</li> <li>• European Medicines Agency Decentralised Procedure (EMA DCP) (no restrictions on which member state acts as the reference member state)</li> <li>• Health Canada</li> <li>• Medicines and Health Products Regulatory Agency, UK (MHRA)</li> <li>• Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (MHLW), Japan</li> <li>• Swiss Agency for Therapeutic Products (Swissmedic)</li> <li>• Therapeutic Goods Administration, Australia (TGA)</li> <li>• US Food and Drug Administration (US FDA)</li> </ul> <p>Two additional procedures can be used for reliance / collaborative review, which are not strictly regulatory authorities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• World Health Organisation Prequalification (WHO PQ)</li> <li>• Zazibona collaborative procedure</li> </ul>
<b>How many reference agency decisions are required?</b>	1+
<b>Does this FRP require submission of Assessment Reports from prior decisions?</b>	Unredacted
<b>Is a CPP (Certificate of Pharmaceutical Product) required for approval?</b>	No
<b>Can an alternate form of reference documentation to the CPP be used? If so, what types of documents?</b>	CPP is not essential for registration but a copy of the authorization letter should be provided. Evidence of GMP status of manufacturer and copies of labeling, for products authorized in the reference countries, are also required. Full quality data (Module 3), full non-clinical data (Module 4), and full clinical data (Module 5) are required.
<b>If this process is through a Regional Regulatory Initiative, which countries participate in this process?</b>	This process is not through a RRI. However, SAHPRA is part of the ZAZIBONA Collaborative/Work-sharing procedure.
<b>Does the product have to have been marketed in another country? For a specific amount of time? If so, for how long?</b>	Yes, marketing in another country is required. Amount of time not specified. For how long? Not specified.
<b>How are queries to the companies sent?</b>	As they arise
<b>Are external reviewers (e.g. non-agency) involved in the assessment?</b>	Yes- always
<b>Post-authorization study commitments</b>	Choose an item.

FRPath.org Country and FRP Information Input Form	
For how long is the initial approval or designation valid?	Choose an item.
Any other details you wish to provide?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The title of "Abbreviated Medicines Review Process" has been changed to "Reliance-based Evaluation Pathways"</li> <li>- Medicines applications for new registrations and variations in South Africa will follow one of four evaluation/review pathways: (a) Full Review (b) Abridged Review (c) Verified Review (d) Recognition. Review pathways (b), (c) and (d) represent reliance-based evaluations.</li> <li>- Abridged and verified review processes do NOT involve an abbreviated application. All data and information required for a full review should be submitted i.e. full CTD module structure, as well as the SCoRE document. Evaluators may still need to review data in the dossier as required (even when presented with unredacted reports)</li> </ul>
Date of this update	10 MAY 2020
References	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Fees <a href="https://www.sahpra.org.za/documents/992cfdc6SAHPRA_Fees_24.05.2019(ExtractfromGovernmentGazette42474-5).pdf">https://www.sahpra.org.za/documents/992cfdc6SAHPRA_Fees_24.05.2019(ExtractfromGovernmentGazette42474-5).pdf</a></li> <li>2. QUALITY AND BIOEQUIVALENCE GUIDELINE. <a href="https://www.sahpra.org.za/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/2.02_Quality-and-Bioequivalence-Guideline_Jul19_v7-1.pdf">https://www.sahpra.org.za/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/2.02_Quality-and-Bioequivalence-Guideline_Jul19_v7-1.pdf</a> Accessed on 10 May 2020.</li> <li>3. CLINICAL GUIDELINE. <a href="https://www.sahpra.org.za/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/2.09_Clinical-Guideline_Jul19_v2-1.pdf">https://www.sahpra.org.za/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/2.09_Clinical-Guideline_Jul19_v2-1.pdf</a> Accessed on 10 May 2020.</li> <li>4. GUIDANCE FOR THE SUBMISSION OF THE SOUTH AFRICAN CTD /eCTD - GENERAL &amp; MODULE. <a href="https://www.sahpra.org.za/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/2.01_Guidance_General_Module_1_May19_v6-1.pdf">https://www.sahpra.org.za/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/2.01_Guidance_General_Module_1_May19_v6-1.pdf</a> Accessed on 10 May 2020.</li> <li>5. The Regulatory Review Process in South Africa. <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6047299/">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6047299/</a></li> <li>6. Updated – General Information. <a href="https://sahivsoc.org/Files/2.01_general%20information_%20jul19_v10%20(1).pdf">https://sahivsoc.org/Files/2.01_general%20information_%20jul19_v10%20(1).pdf</a></li> </ol>

Definitions:

Verification review: A checklist review based on recognition of a prior regulatory decision. Recognition is the routine acceptance of the regulatory decision of another regulator or other trusted institution. Recognition indicates that evidence of conformity with the regulatory requirements of economy A is sufficient to meet the regulatory requirements of economy B.

Abridged review: An abbreviated review of selected portions of the dossier and the reliance on prior assessment decisions. Reliance is the act whereby a regulatory authority in one jurisdiction may take into account/give significant weight to work performed by another regulator or other trusted institution in reaching its own decision

Full review: A comprehensive review of all components of the dossier. This may or may not be CPP-dependent.

This FRP Information Input Form v3.2 and its content are ©2020 FRPath.org and the Erudee Foundation.