FRPath.org Where the Roads to Accelerated Assessments Converge



FRPath.org Country and FRP Information Input Form			
Country: South Africa	Agency Name: South African Health Products Regulatory Authority (SAHPRA)		
Name of FRP: Reliance-based Evaluation Pathways – VERIFIED REVIEW			
Is this FRP Proposed or Active? Active			
	RP was officially enacted: Click here to enter a date.		
1. Facilitates activities during	2. Accelerates the	3. Relies on or recognizes a prior	
development	regulatory review process	regulatory decision	
Is a Guidance or SOP describing how to apply this FRP publicly available?	Yes- see reference below		
When should the FRP be requested?	Not stated		
Does the agency provide assistance/advice to the sponsor?	Yes- For any product type		
For which types of product(s) can this FRP be used? E.g. NMEs, generics, biologics, biosimilars, all products	All products		
Must the product address an unmet medical need or serious condition?	No		
If a fee is required, what is the amount (in US\$ equivalent)	ZAR 53,900 / USD 3,690		
Total target (agency) time for assessment (calendar days)	Not stated in the Guideline		
Total target (company) time	Not stated in the Guideline		
for responses to agency questions (If stated)			
Select one of t	he following (* see definition	s at end of document)	
Is this a verification review (a	Is this an abridged*	Is this a full* review of all parts of the	
recognition pathway)?*	review (selected dossier portions)?	dossier?	
	(a reliance pathway)?*		
If this is a reliance or recognition pathway, what are the accepted reference agencies?	SAHPRA's Recognised Regulatory Authorities - European Medicines Agency Centralised Procedure (EMA CP) - European Medicines Agency Decentralised Procedure (EMA DCP) - Health Canada - Medicines and Health Products Regulatory Agency (MHRA),		

FRPath.org Country and FRP Inf	Formation Input Form	
	 Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (MHLW), Japan Swiss Agency for Therapeutic Products (Swissmedic) Therapeutic Goods Administration (TGA), Australia US Food and Drug Administration (US FDA) *World Health Organization Prequalification (WHO PQ) *Zazibona Collaborative Procedure 	
How many reference agency	1+	
decisions are required? Does this FRP require submission of Assessment Reports from prior decisions?	Unredacted	
Is a CPP (Certificate of Pharmaceutical Product) required for approval?	No	
Can an alternate form of reference documentation to the CPP be used? If so, what types of documents?	CPP is not essential for registration but a copy of the authorization letter should be provided. Evidence of GMP status of manufacturer and copies of labeling, for products authorized in the reference countries, are also required. Full quality data (Module 3), full non-clinical data (Module 4), and full clinical data (Module 5) are required.	
If this process is through a Regional Regulatory Initiative, which countries participate in this process?	This process is not through a RRI. However, SAHPRA is part of the ZAZIBONA Collaborative/Work-sharing procedure.	
Does the product have to have been marketed in another country? For a specific amount of time? If so, for how long?	Yes, marketing in another country is required. Amount of time not specified. For how long? Not specified.	
How are queries to the companies sent?	As they arise	
Are external reviewers (e.g. non-agency) involved in the assessment?	Yes- always	
Post-authorization study commitments	Choose an item.	
For how long is the initial approval or designation valid?	Choose an item.	
Any other details you wish to provide?	 The title of "Abbreviated Medicines Review Process" has been changed to "Reliance-based Evaluation Pathways" Medicines applications for new registrations and variations in South Africa will follow one of four evaluation/review pathways: (a) Full Review (b) Abridged Review (c) Verified Review (d) Recognition. Review pathways (b), (c) and (d) represent reliance-based evaluations. Abridged and verified review processes do NOT involve an 	

FRPath.org Country and FRP Information Input Form		
	abbreviated application. All data and information required	
	for a full review should be submitted i.e. full CTD module	
	structure, as well as the SCoRE document. Evaluators may	
	still need to review data in the dossier as required (even when	
	presented with unredacted reports)	
Date of this update	13 November 2019	
References	1. Fees	
	https://www.sahpra.org.za/documents/992cfdc6SAHPRA_Fe	
	es_24.05.2019(ExtractfromGovernmentGazette42474-5).pdf	
	2. General Information	
	https://www.sahpra.org.za/documents/1d9c57df2.o1_Genera	
	<u>l_information_Jul12_v8_showing_changes.pdf</u>	
	3. The Regulatory Review Process in South Africa.	
	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6047299/	
	4. Updated – General Information.	
	https://sahivsoc.org/Files/2.01_general%20information_%20j	
	ul19 v10%20(1).pdf	

Definitions:

Verification review: A checklist review based on recognition of a prior regulatory decision. Recognition is the routine acceptance of the regulatory decision of another regulator or other trusted institution. Recognition indicates that evidence of conformity with the regulatory requirements of economy A is sufficient to meet the regulatory requirements of economy B.

Abridged review: An abbreviated review of selected portions of the dossier and the reliance on prior assessment decisions. Reliance is the act whereby a regulatory authority in one jurisdiction may take into account/give significant weight to work performed by another regulator or other trusted institution in reaching its own decision

Full review: A comprehensive review of all components of the dossier. This may or may not be CPP-dependent.

©2019 FRPath.org and the Erudee Foundation.