## FRPath.org Where the Roads to Accelerated Assessments Converge



FRPath.org Country and FRP I	nformation	n Input Fo	rm	
Country: United States of America		Agency Name: United States Food and Drug Administration (USFDA)		
Name of FRP: FDA Real Time	Oncology	Review-R	TOR	
Is this FRP Proposed or Active	? Active			
Date FRP was officially enacted	ed: Click he	ere to ente	er a date.	
1. Facilitates activities	<ol><li>Accelerates the regu</li></ol>		e regulatory	3. Relies on or recognizes a prior
during development	review process regulatory decision			
Is a Guidance or SOP describing	ng how	Yes- see	reference belo	W
to apply this FRP publicly ava	ilable?			
When should the FRP be requ	ested?	Before tl	ne marketing a	uthorisation submission
Does the agency provide		Yes- For	any product ty	pe
assistance/advice to the spon				
For which types of product(s) can this		For the p	oilot program, N	New Drug Application (NDA) and
FRP be used? E.g. NMEs, generics,				cation (BLA) submissions will be
biologics, biosimilars, all products		selected from each clinical division (DO1, DO2, DO3 and		
				valuate the feasibility and to optimize
				Acceptance into the RTOR pilot does
				nce approvability of the application,
				usual benefit-risk evaluation by FDA
				by the applicant in this pilot
			is voluntary.	
			owing criteria a on to be select	re used for a supplemental new drug ed for RTOR:
		1 1 1		demonstrate substantial
				over available therapy, which may
				reviously granted <u>Breakthrough</u>
		_	Therapy Design	nation for the same or other
		i	ndications. Dru	ugs meeting other criteria for other
		(	expedited prog	rams (e.g. fast track, priority review)
			may also be cor	
			•	d study designs, as determined by
		t	he review divis	sion and the OCE. Studies conducted
				side the U.S. and adjuvant,
			neoadjuvant, ai excluded.	nd prevention studies will be
				can be easily interpreted (for
				Ill survival in a randomized trial).
			the state of the s	vith chemistry, manufacturing and
				ation changes and supplements with
				toxicology data will be excluded.
				th greater complexity, including

FRPath.org Country and FRP Information	n Input Form
	those with companion diagnostics, may also be
	excluded for the purposes of the pilot program.
Must the product address an unmet	Negotiable
medical need or serious condition?	
If a fee is required, what is the amount	For supplemental applications, no fees are necessary. For
(in US\$ equivalent)	new molecular entities, PDUFA fees (when applicable) will
·	be due when the first component of the RTOR is submitted.
Total target (agency) time for	Standard Operating Procedures
assessment (calendar days)	Please note that these milestones are provisional and may
·	vary substantially across applications. The review team is
	expected to look at the presubmission materials when they
	are submitted and to discuss their findings with the CDTL and
	Division Director.
	- Week 0-3: At the time of top-line results of a pivotal
	trial, if the eligibility criteria above is met, an
	applicant can apply for the RTOR pilot by submitting
	a request via email to the appropriate application
	RPM. The clinical division director/deputy director,
	the review team (including reviewers, team leaders,
	and management from all relevant review
	disciplines, and CDRH as applicable) and OCE
	management will jointly decide whether the
	application can be selected for the RTOR pilot
	program. This decision will generally be made in
	approximately 15 business days of the receipt of
	notification through the appropriate division RPM.
	- Week 3-6: Once an application is selected, a
	teleconference with the applicant will be arranged in
	approximately 15 business days. The clinical division
	director/deputy director, the review team, and OCE
	staff will participate in this meeting. If the drug
	product is co-developed with a companion
	diagnostic, the diagnostic partner and CDRH should
	also be on the teleconference. If the applicant or the
	Agency determines that RTOR is not appropriate, a
	routine review procedure will be followed.
	Otherwise, FDA and the applicant will discuss the
	plan for RTOR in detail, reach tentative agreement
	on responsibilities, and proposed pre-submission
	timelines. The final SAP/protocol should be
	submitted as soon as possible. If the drug product is
	co-developed with a companion diagnostic, the
	applicant should outline timelines with the
	diagnostic partner and with CDRH.
	- Week 6-9: Under the RTOR program, the applicant
	would officially submit the following items to their
	marketing application as a pre-submission as soon as

FRPath.org Country and FRP Information Input For	FRPath.org	g Country an	nd FRP Int	formation Ir	nput Forn
--	------------	--------------	------------	--------------	-----------

they become available:

- 1. User fee, if applicable
- 2. Complete SDTM dataset package
- 3. Top line efficacy/safety tables/figures
- Complete ADaM datasets for key efficacy and safety tables/figures for pivotal study (see OOD data specifications for requested format of safety datasets)
- 5. Key results, analysis, and datasets for other disciplines, if applicable.
- 6. Final study reports of all pharmacology and toxicology studies
- 7. Summary of data supporting dose and dosing regimen selection
- 8. The protocol and amendments (a list of major changes for each amendment), SAP, and DMC charter and DMC minutes
- 9. SAS programs
- 10. Proposed labeling
- 11. CRFs as required by regulation
- 12. All CMC information including list of all manufacturing, testing and critical intermediate facilities with addresses and FEI numbers other than stability data for registration batches (if not available) for drug substance(s), drug product.
- 13. Completed AAid.
- Week 10-16: Pre-submission meeting: In addition to responding to the applicant's questions in the meeting package, FDA may share with the applicant preliminary key review questions or issues and critical analyses needed. If FDA requests additional analyses, the applicant may submit them before or at the time of submission of the marketing application. In some cases, the applicant may submit the requested additional analyses after the marketing application is submitted. These discussions may be documented in the meeting minutes under the section, "Agreement of a Complete Application" for NMEs or original BLAs, under a new "Additional Items discussed" which can be added by the RPM for other applications or under specific questions as appropriate.
- Week 16-22: The applicant submits the complete marketing application. Once FDA receives the completed application, the review clock will start. The complete application will include any remaining

FRPath.org Country and FRP In	Jormation			
			eviously not submitted in the	
		presubmission.		
Total target (company) time for		See Section above.		
responses to agency questions (If				
stated)				
		ving (* see definitions a		
Is this a verification review (a		an abridged* review	Is this a full* review of all parts o	
recognition pathway)?*	•	ed dossier portions)?	the dossier?	
	(a re	liance pathway)?*		
If this is a reliance or recognition	n	No. this is not a reliance	e or recognition pathway.	
pathway, what are the accepte		,	g	
reference agencies?				
How many reference agency decisions		Not applicable.		
are required?		''		
Does this FRP require submission of		Not applicable		
Assessment Reports from prior		''		
decisions?				
Is a CPP (Certificate of Pharmaceutical		Not applicable		
Product) required for approval?		1.		
Can an alternate form of reference		Not applicable.		
documentation to the CPP be used? If				
so, what types of documents?				
If this process is through a Regional		No, this process is not through a Regional Regulatory		
Regulatory Initiative, which countries		Initiative.		
participate in this process?				
Does the product have to have	been	Not applicable.		
marketed in another country?				
specific amount of time? If so,	for how			
long?				
How are queries to the compar	nies	Choose an item.		
sent?				
Are external reviewers (e.g. non-		Choose an item.		
agency) involved in the assess	ment?			
Post-authorization study		Always required		
commitments				
For how long is the initial appro	oval or	Choose an item.		
designation valid?				
Any other details you wish to p	rovide?		Center of Excellence Real-Time	
		Oncology Revie	w (RTOR) pilot program aims to	

explore a more efficient review process to ensure that safe and effective treatments are available to patients as early as possible, while maintaining and improving review quality and balancing the review

team's workload through data and analysis

FRPath.org Country and FRP Information	n Input Form
	standardization, and early iterative engagement with the applicant.  The RTOR focuses on early submission of data that are the most relevant to assessing the product's safety and effectiveness. RTOR allows the FDA to review much of the data earlier, after the clinical trial results become available and the database is locked, before the information is formally submitted to the FDA.  The standard review time goal for drug applications is six or ten months, as outlined by the Prescription Drug User Fee act (PDUFA) VI Reauthorization Letter. In contrast, this pilot program aims to approve supplements much sooner. The goal is to increase the efficiency of the development and review of cancer drugs and improve FDA's rigorous standard for evaluating efficacy and safety by enhancing the process for evaluating the data submitted to the FDA.
Date of this update	13 APRIL 2020
References	<ol> <li>"Real-Time Review of Drug Applications is Now a         Reality" September 20, 2018 Issue.         https://www.fda.gov/drugs/real-time-review-drug-applications-now-reality-september-20-2018-issue         Accessed on 13 April 2020.     </li> <li>Real-Time Oncology Review Pilot Program.         https://www.fda.gov/about-fda/oncology-center-excellence/real-time-oncology-review-pilot-program     </li> <li>April 2020.</li> </ol>

## \*Definitions:

Verification review: A checklist review based on recognition of a prior regulatory decision. Recognition is the routine acceptance of the regulatory decision of another regulator or other trusted institution. Recognition indicates that evidence of conformity with the regulatory requirements of economy A is sufficient to meet the regulatory requirements of economy B.

Abridged review: An abbreviated review of selected portions of the dossier and the reliance on prior assessment decisions. Reliance is the act whereby a regulatory authority in one jurisdiction may take into account/give significant weight to work performed by another regulator or other trusted institution in reaching its own decision

Full review: A comprehensive review of all components of the dossier. This may or may not be CPP-dependent. This may form part of a reliance or recognition pathway.

This FRP Information Input Form v3.3 and its content are ©2020 FRPath.org and the Erudee Foundation.