# WWW-01208-CCA-123-CD

1	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF TENNESSEE AT SAVANNAH
2	THE TWENTY-FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
3	
4	STATE OF TENNESSEE,
5	Plaintiff, ORIGINAL
6	vs. Case No. 17-CR-10
7	ZACHARY ADAMS,
8	Defendant.
9	
10	
11	JURY TRIAL
12	SEPTEMBER 9, 2017 - SEPTEMBER 23, 2017
13	VOLUME XVII OF XVIT
L 4	
L5	This cause came to be heard and was heard on
16	the 9th - 23rd days of September, 2017, before the
L7	Honorable C. Creed McGinley, Judge, holding the
L8	Circuit Court for Hardin County, at Savannah,
L9	Tennessee.  FILED 3rd Nov 2000 1135
20	Sonne Room
21	District As
22	
23	
24	Reported by: ERIN ANGEL

Court Reporter

25

AUG 1 8 2021

FILED

Clerk of the Appellate Courts



1	
2	
3	APPEARANCES
4	RIFERRANCES
5	FOR THE STATE:
6	
7	Ms. Jennifer Nichols, Mr. Paul Hagerman, Mr. Eric Christensen, Mr. Stephen Ragland
8	Assistant Attorneys General 201 Poplar Avenue, Third Floor
9	Memphis, Tennessee 38103
10	
11	FOR THE DEFENDANT, ZACHARY ADAMS:
12	Ms. Jennifer Thompson
13	Attorney at Law Jennifer Lynn Thompson Firm
14	810 Broadway, Suite 305 Nashville, Tennessee 37203
15	Mr. James Simmons
16	Attorney at Law Hazel Path Mansion
17	105 Hazel Path Hendersonville, Tennessee 37075
18	Mr. Jerry Gonzalez
19	Attorney at Law 2441 Old Fort Parkway
20	Murfreesboro, Tennessee 37128
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2		
3	Appearances Table of Contents	ii iii
4	Exhibit List Reporter Certificate	xii 2792
5	Reporter Certificate	2192
6	VOLUME I	
7	VOIR DIRE BY GENERAL NICHOLS	18
8	BY MS. THOMPSON	52
9	VOLUME II	
10	VOIR DIRE	
11	BY GENERAL HAGERMAN BY MS. THOMPSON	145 161
12	BY GENERAL NICHOLS BY MR. SIMMONS	187 195
13	BY GENERAL HAGERMAN BY MS. THOMPSON	208 214
14	BI MS. INOMISON	214
15	VOLUME III	
16	INDICTMENT READ	262
17	ADMONITIONS	272
18	CHARGE OF THE COURT	273
19	OPENING STATEMENTS	289
20	STATE'S PROOF	203
21	DANA BOBO DIRECT EXAMINATION	332
22	DREW SCOTT	J J Z
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION CROSS-EXAMINATION	380 392
24		J 7 2
25		

1	JAMES BARNES	204	
2	DIRECT EXAMINATION CROSS-EXAMINATION	394 411	
3	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	431	
4	VOLUME IV		
5	KAREN BOBO		
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION CROSS-EXAMINATION	436 516	
7	CLINT BOBO DIRECT EXAMINATION	E 0.1	
8	CROSS-EXAMINATION	521 568	
9	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	582	
10	JOHN BABB DIRECT EXAMINATION	586	
11	TONY WEBER DIRECT EXAMINATION	603	
12	CROSS-EXAMINATION	616	
13 14	CERTIFICATE OF THE REPORTER CERTIFICATE OF THE COURT	633 634	
15	VOLUME V		
16 17	TONY WEBER CROSS BY MS. THOMPSON REDIRECT BY GENERAL HAGERMAN	639 657	
18	RECROSS BY MS. THOMPSON	666	
19	LAWRENCE JAMES DIRECT BY GENERAL RAGLAND	670	
20	CROSS BY MS. THOMPSON	716	
21	WARREN RAINEY	7.21	
	DIRECT BY GENERAL HAGERMAN CROSS BY MS. THOMPSON	731 746	
22	STEPHEN YOUNG	7.61	
23	DIRECT BY GENERAL HAGERMAN CROSS BY MS. THOMPSON	761 769	
24	MATTHEW ROSS		
25	DIRECT BY GENERAL HAGERMAN CROSS BY MS. THOMPSON	771 779	

1	CHRIS HILL	7.00	
2	DIRECT BY GENERAL HAGERMAN CROSS BY MS. THOMPSON	790	
3	REDIRECT BY GENERAL HAGERMAN RECROSS BY MS. THOMPSON	796 799	
4	CHRISTIE CLENNEY		
5	DIRECT BY GENERAL HAGERMAN CROSS BY MS. THOMPSON	801 814	
6			
7	VOLUME VI		
8	TIMOTHY CLENNEY DIRECT BY GENERAL HAGERMAN	0 2 1	
9	1	821 825	
	REBECCA EARP		
10	DIRECT BY GENERAL HAGERMAN CROSS BY MS. THOMPSON		İ
11	REDIRECT BY GENERAL HAGERMAN REDIRECT BY MS. THOMPSON	908 935	
12			
13	VOLUME VII		
14	DENNIS MCKENZIE DIRECT BY GENERAL HAGERMAN	949	
15	CROSS BY MS. THOMPSON	955	ļ
16	JON GRAVES	0.50	
17	DIRECT BY GENERAL NICHOLS CROSS BY MS. THOMPSON	958 973	
18	REDIRECT BY GENERAL NICHOLS	985	
19	GERALD STEPHENS DIRECT BY GENERAL NICHOLS	988	
20	EMILY PRATT		
21	DIRECT BY GENERAL NICHOLS CROSS BY MS. THOMPSON	1011 1027	
22	EDNESHA BRASHER		
23	DIRECT BY GENERAL NICHOLS	1032	
24	ERNEST STONE DIRECT BY GENERAL CHRISTENSEN	1041	
25	DINDOI DI GENERALE GINTELLIGEI.	1041	
23			
ľ			

1	LAURA HODGE	
2	DIRECT BY GENERAL CHRISTENSEN CROSS BY MS. THOMPSON	1053 1124
3	VOLUME VIII	
4		
5	MARCO ROSS, MD DIRECT BY GENERAL CHRISTENSEN	
6	CROSS BY MR. GONZALEZ REDIRECT BY GENERAL CHRISTENSEN	
7	RECROSS BY MR. GONZALEZ	1163
8	KRYSTLE RODRIGUEZ DIRECT BY GENERAL CHRISTENSEN	1167
9	JASON AUTRY	
10	DIRECT BY GENERAL NICHOLS	1184
11	VOLUME IX	
12	JASON AUTRY, CONTINUED  CROSS BY MS. THOMPSON	1000
13	OFFER OF PROOF	1290
14	BY MS. THOMPSON BY GENERAL NICHOLS	1419 1420
15	CROSS CONTINUED BY MS. THOMPSON REDIRECT BY GENERAL NICHOLS	
16		
17	VOLUME X	
18	BRIAN VITT DIRECT BY GENERAL HAGERMAN	1458
19	CROSS BY MS. THOMPSON	1465
20	RANDY MCGEE DIRECT BY GENERAL RAGLAND	1469
21	CROSS BY MS. THOMPSON	1472
22	BRENDA O'BRYANT DIRECT BY GENERAL NICHOLS	1479
23	CROSS BY MS. THOMPSON	1484
24	ANGELA SMITH	1 407
	DIRECT BY GENERAL HAGERMAN CROSS BY MS. THOMSON	1487 1494
25		

1	VICTOR DINSMORE		
2	DIRECT BY GENERAL NICHOLS CROSS BY MS. THOMPSON	1520	
3	REDIRECT BY GENERAL NICHOLS RECROSS BY MS. THOMPSON	1557 1564	
4	REDIRECT BY GENERAL NICHOLS		
5	VOLUME XI		
6	BRENT BOOTH		
7	DIRECT BY GENERAL HAGERMAN CROSS BY MS. THOMPSON	1570 1625	
	REDIRECT BY GENERAL HAGERMAN	1639	
8	RECROSS BY MS. THOMPSON	1641	
9	STEVE DEATON DIRECT BY GENERAL NICHOLS	1645	
10	CROSS BY MS. THOMPSON	1658	
11	CERVINIA BRASWELL		
12	DIRECT BY GENERAL RAGLAND CROSS BY MR. GONZALEZ	1671	
13	REDIRECT BY GENERAL RAGLAND RECROSS BY MR. GONZALEZ	1675 1677	
14			
15	VOLUME XI		
16	DEBBIE DORRIS	1 ( ) [	
	DIRECT BY GENERAL RAGLAND CROSS BY MS. THOMPSON	1685 1688	
17	MICHAEL FRIZZELL		
18	DIRECT BY GENERAL HAGERMAN	1691	
19	CANDACE WOOD DIRECT BY GENERAL NICHOLS	1748	
20	CROSS BY MS. THOMPSON	1748	
21	TOT THE WIT		
22	VOLUME XII		
23	JOHN MAXWELL  DIRECT BY GENERAL NICHOLS	1775	
24	CROSS BY MS. THOMPSON	1782	
25			

1	TERRY BRITT	
2	DIRECT BY GENERAL NICHOLS CROSS BY MS. THOMPSON	1792 1803
	REDIRECT BY GENERAL NICHOLS RECROSS BY MS. THOMPSON	
3	RECROSS BY MS. THOMPSON	1854
4	ANTHONY PHOENIX	
5	DIRECT BY GENERAL CHRISTENSEN CROSS BY MS. THOMPSON	1857 1868
	REDIRECT BY GENERAL CHRISTENSEN	1875
6	RECROSS BY MS. THOMPSON	1876
7	JAMES DARNELL	1050
8	DIRECT BY GENERAL RAGLAND CROSS BY MS. THOMPSON	1878 1894
0	REDIRECT BY GENERAL RAGLAND	1901
9	CARL STATELER	
10	DIRECT BY GENERAL NICHOLS	
11	CROSS BY MS. THOMPSON REDIRECT BY GENERAL NICHOLS	1911 1914
12	RECROSS BY MS. THOMPSON REDIRECT BY GENERAL NICHOLS	1916 1917
12	REDIRECT DI GENERAL NICHOLS	1917
13	COREY RIVERS DIRECT BY GENERAL NICHOLS	1918
14	CROSS BY MS. THOMPSON	1932
15	REDIRECT BY GENERAL NICHOLS RECROSS BY MS. THOMPSON	1940 1944
	MECKOSS BI MS. INCHISCH	1344
16	VOLUME XIII	
17		
18	SHAWN COOPER DIRECT BY GENERAL HAGERMAN	1947
1.0	CROSS BY MS. THOMPSON	1959
19	JASON KIRK	
20	DIRECT BY GENERAL HAGERMAN	1963
21	CROSS BY MS. THOMPSON	1970
22	CHRIS SWIFT	1076
22	DIRECT BY GENERAL CHRISTENSEN CROSS BY MS. THOMPSON	1976 1987
23	REDIRECT BY GENERAL CHRISTENSEN	1990
24	STATE RESTS	1992
25		

		····	
1	DEFENSE'S PROOF		
2	RITA AUSTIN	1007	
3	DIRECT BY MS. THOMPSON CROSS BY GENERAL NICHOLS	1997 2015	
4	JUDY EVANS		
5	CROSS BY GENERAL HAGERMAN	2037 2046	
6	REDIRECT BY MS. THOMPSON	2050	
7	TERRY DICUS DIRECT BY MS. THOMPSON	2054	
8	VOLUME XIV		
9			
10	TERRY DICUS, CONTINUED  CROSS BY GENERAL HAGERMAN		
11	REDIRECT BY MS. THOMPSON RECROSS BY GENERAL HAGERMAN	2192 2213	
12	REDIRECT BY MS. THOMPSON	2214	
13		2217	
14	CROSS BY GENERAL HAGERMAN REDIRECT BY MS. THOMPSON		
15	DAVID BARELA	0022	
16	DIRECT BY MR. GONZALEZ CROSS BY GENERAL NICHOLS	2233 2237	
17	REDIRECT BY MR. GONZALEZ	2241	
18	TRAVIS DUNAVANT DIRECT BY MS. THOMPSON	2242	
19	JOHN ADAMS	0045	
20	DIRECT BY MS. THOMPSON CROSS BY GENERAL NICHOLS	2247 2269	
21	REDIRECT BY MS. THOMPSON	2284	
22	WILLIAM BELL DIRECT BY MS. THOMPSON	2287	
23	CROSS BY GENERAL NICHOLS REDIRECT BY MS. THOMPSON	2291 2300	
24	RECROSS BY GENERAL NICHOLS	2301	
25			

1	LINDA LITTLEJOHN DIRECT BY MS. THOMPSON	2303
2	CROSS BY GENERAL HAGERMAN	2309
3	AMBER TREAT DIRECT BY MS. THOMPSON	2310
4	CROSS BY GENERAL HAGERMAN	
5	VOLUME XV	
6	JOHN WALKER	
7	DIRECT BY MS. THOMPSON OFFER OF PROOF	2337
8	BY MS. THOMPSON	2357 2374
9	BY MS. THOMPSON	2379
10		2392
11		2412
12	KRISTIE GUTGSELL DIRECT BY MS. THOMPSON	2421
13	JONATHAN REEVES DIRECT BY MS. THOMPSON	2425
14	DIRECT BY MS. TROMPSON	2423
15	VOLUME XVI	
16	JONATHAN REEVES CROSS BY GENERAL HAGERMAN	2400
17	REDIRECT BY MS. THOMPSON	2512
18	RECROSS BY GENERAL HAGERMAN REDIRECT BY MS. THOMPSON	2523 2529
19	JAMES GARNETT	0 = 0 1
20	DIRECT BY MS. THOMPSON CROSS BY GENERAL NICHOLS	2531 2537
21	DEFENSE RESTS	2538
22	ZACHARY ADAMS	2520
23	BY THE COURT	2539
24		
25		

1	STATE'S REBUTTAL		
2	DANA BOBO DIRECT BY GENERAL NICHOLS	2543	
3		2343	
4	JACK VANHOOSER  DIRECT BY GENERAL NICHOLS  CROSS BY MS. THOMPSON	2546 2550	
5			
6	STATE RESTS	2552	
7	VOLUME XVII		
8	JURY CHARGE	2560	
	CLOSING STATEMENTS		
9	BY GENERAL HAGERMAN BY MS. THOMPSON	2616 2637	
10	BY GENERAL NICHOLS	2722	
11	VERDICT	2760	
12	SENTENCING	2775	
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
i			
			ı

1	EXHIBIT LIST	
2	Exhibit Number 1 Photograph	357
3	Exhibit Numbers 2-13	
4	Photographs	360
5	Exhibit Number 14 Ring	385
6	Exhibit Number 15-16	
7	Photographs	408
8	Exhibit Number 17 CD	455
9	Exhibit Numbers 18-25	4.50
10	Photographs Exhibit Number 26	460
12	Pink Panties	467
13	Exhibit Numbers 27-28 Photographs	470
14	Exhibit Number 29 Drug Cards Grade Sheet	477
15 16	Exhibit Number 30 Lunch Box	479
17	Exhibit Number 31 Purse	480
18	Exhibit Number 32	<del>1</del> 00
19	Camera and batteries	481
20	Exhibit Number 33 Car keys	482
21	Exhibit Number 34	
22	Wallet	483
23	Exhibit Number 35 Photograph	542
24	Exhibit Number 36 Drawing	598
		į

1	Exhibit Number 37	65.6	
2		656	
3	Exhibit Number 38  Document	656	
4	Exhibit Number 39 Document	45-	
5		657	
6	Exhibit Numbers 40-51 Photographs	683	
7	Exhibit Numbers 52-53 Photographs	693	
8	Exhibit Number 54	693	
9	Samples	700	
10	Exhibit Number 55 Official Serology/DNA Report	7.0.5	
11	-	705	
12	Exhibit Number 56 Shoes	721	
13	Exhibit Number 57 Clothes	776	
14		776	
15	Exhibit Numbers 58-60 Photographs	776	
16	Exhibit Number 61, Identification Jury questions	830	
17		630	
18	Exhibit Number 62, Identification Facebook Records	857	
19	Exhibit Number 63 Photograph	858	
20	Exhibit Number 64	0.30	
21	Photograph	859	
22	Exhibit Number 65 Note	934	
23	Exhibit Number 66	934	
24	Photograph	970	
25			
l			

1	Exhibit Number 67	0.0.6
2	Photograph	986
3	Exhibit Number 68 Photograph	997
4	Exhibit Number 69	0.07
5	Photograph	997
6	Exhibit Number 70 Photograph	1001
7	Exhibit Number 71 Photograph	1006
8	Exhibit Number 72	1000
9	Student Termination Form	1007
10	Exhibit Number 73 Photograph	1021
11	Exhibit Number 74	
12	Photograph	1021
13	Exhibit Number 75 Photograph	1025
14	Exhibit Number 76, Identification	
15	Drug card	1027
16	Exhibit Number 77 Sim card	1039
17	Exhibit Number 78	
18	Photograph	1044
19	Exhibit Numbers 79-80 Photographs	1057
20	Exhibit Number 81	1037
21	Diagram	1060
22	Exhibit Numbers 82-92	10.60
23	Photographs	1060
24	Exhibit Number 93 Inhaler	1064
25		
		1

1	Exhibit Number 94 Purse strap	1065
2		1065
3	Exhibit Number 95 Small purse	1067
4	Exhibit Number 96 Lipstick	1072
5	Exhibit Number 97	1072
6	Lipstick	1073
7	Exhibit Number 98 Mirror	1073
8	Exhibit Number 99	1070
9	Chapstick	1075
10	Exhibit Numbers 100-127 Photographs	1077
11	Exhibit Number 128	
12	Ponytail holder	1080
13 14	Exhibit Number 129 Piece of fabric	1081
15	Exhibit Number 130 Earring	1085
16	Exhibit Number 131 Earring	1089
17		1003
18	Exhibit Number 132 Fabric	1091
19	Exhibit Number 133 Lotion bottle	1098
20		1090
21	Exhibit Numbers 134-150 Photographs	1103
22	Exhibit Number 151 Flip flop	1112
23	Exhibit Number 152	****
24	Flash drive	1112
25		

1	Exhibit Number 153 Earring	1113
2		1113
3	Exhibit Numbers 154-156 Photographs	1115
4	Exhibit Number 157 Shell casing	1118
5	Exhibit Number 158	1110
6	Shell casing	1118
7	Exhibit Number 159 Shell casing	1118
8	Exhibit Number 160	
9	Poster	1122
10	Exhibit Number 161 Poster	1123
11	Exhibit Numbers 162-173	1200
12	Photographs	1133
13	Exhibit Number 174 ME Report	1149
14	Exhibit Number 175	
15	Stipulation	1165
16	Exhibit Number 176 Missing Persons DNA Database	
17	Report	1175
18	Exhibit Numbers 177-179 Photographs	1214
19	Exhibit Number 180	1214
20	Gun	1278
21	Exhibit Number 181 Bullets	
22	Identification Moved into Evidence	1278 1668
23	Exhibit Number 182	1000
24	Poster	1285
25		
:		

1	Exhibit Number 183 Drawing	1337
2	Exhibit Number 184	
3	Map	1346
4	Exhibit Number 185, Under Seal Document	1415
5	Exhibit Number 186	
6	Immunity Agreement	1446
7	Exhibit Number 187 Proffer letter	1446
8	Exhibit Numbers 188-189	
9	Photographs	1508
10	Exhibit Number 190 Letter	1522
11	Exhibit Number 191	
12	Letter	1523
13	Exhibit Number 192 Map	1592
14	Exhibit Number 193	
15	Map	1605
16	Exhibit Number 194 School Registration	1617
17	Exhibit Number 195	
18	Invoice	1618
19	Exhibit Number 196 Dollar bill	1619
20	Exhibit Number 197	
21	Diagram	1620
22	Exhibit Number 198 Drug card	1621
23	Exhibit Number 199	
24	Binder	1622
25		

1	Exhibit Number 200 Photograph	1651
2	Exhibit Numbers 201-203	
3	Photographs	1656
4	Exhibit Number 204 Photograph	1664
5	Exhibit Numbers 205-206	
6	Photographs	1669
7	Exhibit Number 207 Official Firearms Report	1670
8	Exhibit Number 208	10,0
9	FBI Cellular Analysis Survey Team	1698
10	Exhibit Number 209 Sketch	1760
11	Exhibit Number 210	1780
12	Photograph	1761
13 14	Exhibit Number 211 Dispatch Log	1777
15	Exhibit Number 212 Judgment	1781
16	Exhibit Number 213, Identification Document	1871
17	Exhibit Number 214	
18	Certificate of Authenticity	1974
19	Exhibit Numbers 215-216 Photographs	2065
20	Exhibit Number 217	
21	Photograph	2069
22	Exhibit Number 218 Map	
23	Identification Moved into Evidence	2076 2514
24		
25		

1	Exhibit Number 219		
2	Sector map Identification Moved into Evidence	2077 2513	
3	Exhibit Number 220	2313	
4	Photograph	2134	
5	Exhibit Numbers 221-222 Photographs	2137	
6			
7	Exhibit Number 223 Photograph	2149	
8	Exhibit Numbers 224-225 Documents	2198	
9		2190	
10	Exhibit Number 226 Document	2199	
11	Exhibit Number 227 Document	2199	
12	Exhibit Number 228	2199	
13	.32 ACP	2234	
14	Exhibit Number 229 .380 ACP	2234	
15	Exhibit Number 230	2231	
16	Ammunition measurements	2234	
17	Exhibit Number 231 Photograph	2251	
18		2231	
19	Exhibit Number 232 Certificate of Title	2257	
20	Exhibit Number 233 Dons Body Shop	2269	
21	Exhibit Number 234	2209	
22	Statement	2269	
23	Exhibit Number 235 Official Microanalysis Report	2308	
24	Exhibit Number 236		
25	Routes Investigation	2313	

1	Exhibit Number 237 Routes Investigation	2313	
2	Exhibit Number 238	2313	
3	Routes Investigation	2314	
4	Exhibit Number 239 Map	2359	
5	Exhibit Number 240	2333	
6	Technical Analysis and Report	2427	
7	Exhibit Number 241 Cell data	2482	
8	Exhibit Numbers 242-245	2402	
9	Voice call details	2487	
10	Exhibit Numbers 246-247 Voice Call details	2488	
11	Exhibit Number 248		
12	Netanalysis	2533	
13	Exhibit Number 249 Stipulation of Fact	2543	
14	Exhibit Number 250		
15	Jury question	2757	
16	Exhibit Number 251 Verdict Form	2773	
17	VCICIC IOIM	2113	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

## VOLUME XVII

#### DAY 11

# THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 21, 2017

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

(WHEREUPON, a conference was held in chambers between counsel and the Court.)

THE COURT: The only purpose we're here, I modifying what I charged. She sent you an e-mail yesterday. I will charge alibi. Upon further reflection, I said I wasn't inclined to do it, that's when the three of them were alibiing each other. Ι forgot how Dicus -- it was rubbage or trash or whatever. That I would not really consider alibi, but when I got to thinking about Reeses' testimony --I'm -- concerning the location Adams' cell phone at the Bobo kidnapping, they didn't join until like after 9:00. So at least as to kidnapping some of those, I think it could be considered, doesn't have to be, could be considered by the jury as potential alibi.

I will tell you, I would charge alibi if we were charging Autry. I will charge alibi with Adams. The other two I would not charge alibi, okay. That's just -- I wanted to give you the benefit of my thinking, okay. Everybody good to go?

25

They say -- here's what we'll do. I'll charge them. And God, I dread this. I will charge them. We will take a short recess. We'll get the State's opening statement. Defense said they want just a few minutes to make sure the machinery is working before they do theirs, and hopefully we will go all the until lunch after that, okay? Everybody good?

do it.

GENERAL RAGLAND: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Everybody feel good today.

GENERAL HAGERMAN: It's all good, let's

MR. GONZALEZ: We'll feel better after.

THE COURT: Hey, I told the attorneys in a civil case one day, I said, I feel really good, don't screw it up. So I'm in pretty good shape.

Like I say, this charge is just a booger bear. It couldn't be anything else with eight and all the lesser-includes. You folks all know know my view concerning facilitation. I don't like to charge it, I feel like it's absolutely necessary, so it's being charged, okay. So it's up to the parties to focus the jury on what they think the jury should do. It's not up to the Court, I just put it out there.

Buffet. All right. Ready to go? Let's do it.

(WHEREUPON, the following proceedings continued within the hearing of the courtroom:)

(WHEREUPON, the jury returned to the courtroom, after which the following proceedings w

courtroom, after which the following proceedings were had:)

THE COURT: All right. Good morning.

THE JURY: Good morning.

yesterday. Whoever is drawn at random as alternates, they will need to stay present. They will remain sequestered. We've got a separate location. And then if we go to that second sentencing phase that we talked about, they will actually be seated up there listening to the evidence in case something comes up and someone is discharged so that they can be substituted in. But unfortunately, you don't get to take off and be free, okay? We're going to keep you involved.

Okay. We're ready to charge the jury at this time. That has been placed in your notebook.

### CHARGE OF THE LAW

THE COURT: All right. Be starting with page 9. Everybody got a copy of that in your notebook behind the next tab? They didn't mess with

your personal stuff. It was just inserted in the notebook either by her or my secretary. Sherry put it in. So she didn't go through your personal stuff is what I'm saying. She's got other things to do.

So at this time we'll do the next charge. Following that, we'll take a break, then we'll take up closing statements, okay?

Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, the evidence in this case has been completed, and it is my duty now to instruct you further as to the law. As previously instructed, the defendant, Zachary Rye Adams, is charged in Hardin County case 17-CR-10. It was originally Decatur County indictment 15-CR-30 with the crimes of first degree felony murder, especially aggravated kidnapping, aggravated rape, and first degree premeditated murder.

Counts 1 and 4 charge the defendant with the offense of first degree felony murder under alternative theories. The offense of first degree felony murder necessarily includes the lesser offenses of facilitation of first degree felony murder, second degree murder, facilitation of second degree murder, reckless homicide, facilitation of reckless homicide, criminally negligent homicide, and facilitation of criminally negligent homicide.

Counts 2 and 3 charge the defendant with the offense of especially aggravated kidnapping under alternative theories. The offense of especially aggravated kidnapping necessarily includes the lesser offenses of facilitation of especially aggravated kidnapping, aggravated kidnapping, facility of aggravated kidnapping -- facilitation, kidnapping, facilitation of kidnapping, false imprisonment, and facilitation of false imprisonment.

Counts 5, 6, and 7 charge the defendant with the offense of aggravated rape under alternative theories. The offense of aggravated rape necessarily includes lesser offenses of facilitation of aggravated rape, rape, facilitation of rape, aggravated sexual battery, facilitation of aggravated sexual battery, sexual battery, and facilitation of sexual battery.

Count 8 charges the defendant with the offense of first degree premeditated murder. The offense of first degree premeditated murder necessarily includes the lesser offenses of facilitation of first degree premeditated murder, second degree murder, facilitation of second degree murder, voluntary manslaughter, facilitation of voluntary manslaughter, reckless homicide,

facilitation of reckless homicide, criminally negligent homicide, and facilitation of criminally negligent homicide.

The defendant pleads not guilty to each and every offense embraced in the indictment.

Criminal responsibility for the conduct of another: The defendant is criminally responsible as a party to the offenses of first degree felony murder, especially aggravated kidnapping, aggravated rape, and/or first degree premeditated murder, or any of the lesser included offenses if the offenses were committed by the defendant's own conduct, by the conduct of another for which the defendant is criminally responsible, or by both. Each party to the offense may be charged with the commission of the offense.

The defendant is criminally responsible for an offense committed by the conduct of another if acting with the intent to promote or assist in the commission of the offense or to benefit in the proceeds or the results of the offense, the defendant solicits, directs, aids, or attempts to aid another person to commit the offense. A defendant who is criminally responsible for an offense may be found guilty not only of that offense but also for any

other offense or offenses committed by another if you find beyond a reasonable doubt that the other offense or offenses committed were natural and probable consequences of the original offense for which the defendant is found criminally responsible in the elements of the other offense or offenses that accompanied the original offense have been proven beyond a reasonable doubt.

With regard to Counts 1 and 4 charging the defendant with murder and perpetration of kidnapping and rape respectfully, this natural and probable consequences rule does not apply. There is no requirement that the killing be foreseeable in order to hold a defendant criminally responsible, only that the defendant intended to commit the alleged kidnapping or rape.

In deciding the criminal responsibility of the defendant, the jury may also take into consideration any evidence offered that the defendant attempted to thwart or withdraw from any of the offenses that followed from the original offense. To find the defendant criminally responsible for the acts of another, it is not necessary that you find the defendant was present or that the defendant took a physical part in the crime. Encouragement of the

principle offender is sufficient. However, mere presence during the commission of the offense is not sufficient to support a conviction. Before you find the defendant guilty of being criminally responsible for said offense or offenses committed by another -- by the conduct of another, you must find that all the essential elements of the offense or offenses have been proven by the State beyond a reasonable doubt.

Я

1.6

Facilitation of a felony: Any person who commits the offense of facilitation of a felony is guilty of a crime. For you to find the defendant guilty of this offense, the State must have proven beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the following essential elements: Number 1, that the defendant knew that another person intended to commit the specific felony but did not have the intent to promote or assist the commission of the offense or to benefit in the proceeds or results of the offense. And 2, that the defendant furnished substantial assistance to that person in the commission of the specific felony. And 3, that the defendant furnished such assistance knowingly.

Counts 1 and 4, first degree felony murder:

Any person who commits the -- who commits first

degree felony murder is guilty of a crime. For you

to find the defendant guilty of this offense, the

State must have proven beyond a reasonable doubt the
existence of the following essential elements:

Number 1, that the defendant or one for whom the
defendant is criminally responsible unlawfully killed
the alleged victim. And 2, that the killing was
committed in the perpetration of or the attempt to
perpetrate the alleged kidnapping for Count 1 or the
alleged rape in Count 4. That is that the killing
was closely connected to the alleged kidnapping in
Count 1 or rape, Count 4, and was not a separate,
distinct, and independent event. And number 3, that
the defendant intended to commit the alleged
kidnapping, Count 1, or rape, Count 4.

2.0

2.4

Count 1 charges the defendant -- charges the offense of first degree felony murder of Holly Lynn Bobo during the perpetration of or the attempt to perpetrate kidnapping.

Count 4 charges the offense of first degree felony murder of Holly Lynn Bobo during the perpetration or the attempt to perpetrate rape.

Kidnapping and rape are defined for you within these instructions. Refer to those instructions.

The intent to commit the underlying felony must exist prior to or concurrent with the commission

of the act causing the death of the victim. Proof of such intent to commit the underlying felony existed before or concurrent with the act of killing is a question of fact to be decided by the jury after consideration of all the facts and circumstances. Consideration of such factors as time, place, and causation is helpful in determining whether a killing was committed in the perpetration of the alleged kidnapping or rape. The killing may proceed, coincide with, or follow the kidnapping or rape and still be considered as occurring in the perpetration of the kidnapping or rape so long as there is a connection in time, place, and continuity of action.

2.4

When one enters into a scheme with another to commit a kidnapping or rape and death ensues, all defendants are responsible for the death regardless of who actually committed the killing and whether the killing was specifically contemplated by the other.

If you find from the proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of first degree felony murder, then it shall be your duty after a separate sentencing hearing to determine whether the defendant will be sentenced to death, life imprisonment without the possibility of parole, or life in prison, but you will not consider

punishment for this offense at this time.

If you find from the proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of first degree felony murder, you will so report your verdict on your verdict form. If you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt of first degree felony murder as charged in the indictment, then your verdict must be not guilty as to this offense, and then you shall proceed to determine his guilt or innocence of facilitation of first degree felony murder, a lesser-included offense.

Facilitation of first degree felony murder:
The essential elements to constitute facilitation of a felony and first degree murder have previously been set out in these instructions. Refer to these instructions here. If you find from the proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of facilitation of first degree felony murder, you will so report your verdict on your verdict form. If you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt of facilitation of first degree felony murder as charged in the indictment, then your verdict must be not guilty as to this offense, and then you shall proceed to determine his guilt or innocence of second degree murder, a lesser-included offense.

Second degree murder: Any person who commits second degree murder is guilty of a crime. For you to find the defendant guilty of this offense, the State must have proven beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the following essential elements:

Number 1, that the defendant unlawfully killed the alleged victim. And number 2, that the defendant acted knowingly.

2.0

reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of second degree murder, you shall so report your verdict on your verdict form. If you have a reasonable doubt as to the element -- if you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt of second degree murder as charged in the indictment, then your verdict must be not guilty as to this offense, and then you shall proceed to determine the guilt or innocence of facilitation of second degree murder, a lesser-included offense.

Facilitation of second degree murder: The essential elements necessary to constitute facilitation of a felony and second degree murder have been previously set out in these instructions. Refer to those instruction here. If you find from the proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the

defendant is guilty of facilitation of second degree murder, you will so report your verdict on your verdict form. If you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt of facilitation of second degree murder as charged in the indictment, then your verdict must be not guilty as to this offense, and then you shall proceed to determine his guilt or innocence of reckless homicide, a lesser-included offense.

Reckless homicide: Any person who commits the offense of reckless homicide is guilty of a crime. For you to find the defendant guilty of this offense, the State must have proven beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the following essential elements: Number 1, that the defendant killed the alleged victim, and number 2, that the defendant acted recklessly.

If you find from the proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of reckless homicide, you will so report your verdict on your verdict form. If you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt of reckless homicide as charged in the indictment, then your verdict must be not guilty as to the offense, and then you shall proceed to determine his guilt or innocence of

facilitation of reckless homicide, a lesser-included offense.

Facilitation of reckless homicide: The essential elements necessary to constitute facilitation of a felony and reckless homicide have been previously set out in these instructions. Refer to those instructions here. If you find from the proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of facilitation of reckless homicide, you will so report your verdict on your verdict form. If you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt of facilitation of reckless homicide as charged in the indictment, then your verdict must be not guilty as to this offense, and then you shall proceed to determine his guilt or innocence of criminally negligent homicide, a reckless -- a lesser-included offense.

Criminally negligent homicide: Any person who commits criminally negligent homicide is guilty of a crime. For you to find the defendant guilty of this offense, the State must have proven beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the following essential elements: Number 1, that the defendant's conduct resulted in the death of the alleged victim, and number 2, that the defendant acted with criminal

negligence.

2.3

If you find from the proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of criminally negligent homicide, you will so report your verdict on your verdict form. If you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt of criminally negligent homicide as charged in the indictment, then your verdict must be not guilty as to this offense, and then you shall proceed to determine his guilt or innocence of facilitation of criminally negligent homicide, a lesser-included offense.

Facilitation of criminally negligent homicide: The essential elements necessary to constitute facilitation of a felony in criminally negligent homicide have been previously set out in these instructions. Refer to those instructions here. If you find from the proof beyond a reasonable doubt the defendant is guilty of facilitation of criminally negligent homicide, you will so report your verdict on your verdict form. If you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt of facilitation of criminally negligent homicide as charged in the indictment, then your verdict must be not guilty as to this offense.

Counts 2 and 3, especially aggravated kidnapping: Any person who commits an especially aggravated kidnapping is guilty of a crime. For you to find the defendant guilty of this offense, the State must have proven beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the following essential elements:

Number 1, that the defendant knowingly removed or confined another unlawfully so as to interfere substantially with the other's liberty, and 2(a), that the confinement or removal was accomplished with a deadly weapon or by display of any article used or fashioned to leave the alleged victim to reasonably believe it was a deadly weapon. That's Count 2. Or (b), that the alleged victim suffered serious bodily, which was Count 3.

Δ

In this case, Count 2 alleges especially aggravated kidnapping of Holly Lynn Bobo accomplished with a deadly weapon. And Count 3 alleges especially aggravated kidnapping of Holly Lynn Bobo with serious bodily injury to Holly Lynn Bobo.

A removal or confinement is unlawful if it is accomplished by force, threat, or fraud. Although the law requires no specific period of time of confinement or distance of removal, a removal or confinement interferes substantially with another's

liberty if the time of confinement is significant or the distance of removal is considerable.

2.0

Degree of confinement: To find the defendant guilty of the offense of especially aggravated kidnapping or any of its lesser-included offenses, you must also find beyond a reasonable doubt that the removal or confinement was to a greater degree than that necessary to commit the offense of first degree felony murder, aggravated rape, and first degree premeditated murder and/or their lesser-included offenses as charged and/or included in Counts 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8.

In making this determination you may consider all of the relevant facts and circumstances of the case, including but not limited to the following factors: (A), the nature and duration of the alleged victim's removal or confinement by the defendant.

(B), whether the removal or confinement occurred during the commission of a separate offense. (C), whether the interference with the alleged victim's liberty was inherent in the nature of the separate offense. (D), whether the removal or confinement prevented the alleged victim from summoning assistance, although the defendant need not have succeeded in preventing the alleged victim from doing

so. (E), whether the removal or confinement reduced the defendant's risk of detection, although the defendant need not have succeeded in this objective.

And (F), whether the removal or confinement created a significant danger or increased the alleged victim's risk of harm independent of that posed by the separate offense.

Unless you find beyond a reasonable doubt that the alleged victim's removal or confinement exceeded that which was necessary to accomplish the alleged offenses of first degree felony murder, aggravated rape, and first degree premeditated murder, and/or the lesser-included offenses as charged and/or included in Counts 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 and was not essentially incidental to it, you must find the defendant not guilty of especially aggravated kidnapping.

If you find from the proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of especially aggravated kidnapping, you will so report your verdict on your verdict form. If you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt of especially aggravated kidnapping as charged in the indictment, then your verdict must be not guilty as to this offense, and then you shall proceed to

determine his guilt or innocence of facilitation of especially aggravated kidnapping.

2.2

Facilitation of especially aggravated kidnapping: The essential elements necessary to constitute facilitation of a felony and especially aggravated kidnapping have been previously set out in these instructions. Refer to those instructions here. If you find from the proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of facilitation of especially aggravated kidnapping, you will so report your verdict on your verdict form. If you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt of facilitation of especially aggravated kidnapping as charged in the indictment, then your verdict must be not guilty as to this offense, and then you shall proceed to determine his guilt or innocence of aggravated kidnapping.

Aggravated kidnapping: Any person who commits an aggravated kidnapping is guilty of a crime. For you to find the defendant guilty of this offense, the State must have proven beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the following essential elements: Number 1, that the defendant knowingly removed or confined another unlawfully so as to interfere substantially with the other's

liberty, and 2(a), that the defendant possessed or threatened the use of a deadly weapon. That's Count 2. Or (b), that the alleged victim suffered bodily injury. That's Count 3. A removal or confinement is unlawful if it is accomplished by force, threat, or fraud. Although the law requires no specific period of time of confinement or distance of removal, a removal or confinement interferes substantially with another's liberty if the time of confinement is significant or the distance of removal is considerable. Here again, refer to the explanation of degree of confinement in the instruction on especially aggravated kidnapping.

If you find from the proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of aggravated kidnapping, you will so report your verdict on your verdict form. If you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt of aggravated kidnapping as charged in the indictment, then your verdict must be not guilty as to the offense, and then you shall proceed to determine his guilt or innocence of facilitation of aggravated kidnapping.

Facilitation of aggravated kidnapping: The essential elements necessary to constitute

facilitation of a felony and aggravated kidnapping have previously been set out in these instructions. Refer to these instructions here. If you find from the proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of facilitation of aggravated kidnapping, you will so report your verdict on your verdict form. If you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt of facilitation of aggravated kidnapping as charged in the indictment, then your verdict must be not guilty as to this offense, and then you shall proceed to determine his guilt or innocence of kidnapping.

Any person who commits the offense of kidnapping is guilty of a crime. For you to find the defendant guilty of this offense, the State must have proven beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the following essential elements: Number 1, that the defendant knowingly removed or confined another unlawfully so as to interfere substantially with the other's liberty, and number 2, that the removal or confinement was under circumstances that exposed the other to a substantial risk of bodily injury.

A removal or confinement is unlawful if it is accomplished by force, threat, or fraud. Although the law requires no specific period of time of

confinement or distance of removal, a removal or confinement interferes substantially with another's liberty if at the time of confinement -- if the time of confinement is significance -- significant or the distance of removal is considerable. Here again, refer to the explanation of degree of confinement in the instruction on especially aggravated kidnapping.

If you find from the proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of kidnapping, you will so report your verdict on your -- your verdict on your verdict form. If you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt of kidnapping as charged in the indictment, then your verdict must be not guilty as to this offense, and then you shall proceed to determine his guilt or innocence of facilitation of kidnapping.

elements necessary to constitute facilitation of a felony and kidnapping have been previously set out in these instructions. Refer to those instructions here. If you find from the proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of facilitation of kidnapping, you will so report your verdict on your verdict form. If you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt of facilitation of kidnapping

as charged in the indictment, then your verdict must be not guilty as to this offense, and then you shall proceed to determine his guilt or innocence of false imprisonment.

2.4

False imprisonment: Any person who commits
the offense of false imprisonment is guilty of a
crime. For you to find the defendant guilty of this
offense, the State must have proven beyond a
reasonable doubt the existence of the following
essential elements: Number 1, that the defendant
removed or confined another unlawfully so as to
interfere substantially with the other's liberty, and
number 2, that the defendant acted knowingly.

A removal or confinement is unlawful if it accomplished by force, threat, or fraud. Although the law requires no specific period of time of confinement or distance of removal, a removal or confinement interferes substantially with another's liberty if the time of confinement is significant or the distance of removable is considerable. Here again, refer to the explanation of degree of confinement in the instruction on especially aggravated kidnapping.

If you find from the proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of

false imprisonment, you will so report your verdict on your verdict form. If you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt of false imprisonment as charged in the indictment, then your verdict must be not guilty as to the offense, and then you shall proceed to determine his guilt or innocence of facilitation of false imprisonment.

2.0

The essential -- facilitation of false imprisonment: The essential elements necessary to constitute facilitation of a felony and false imprisonment have been previously set out in these instructions. Refer to those instructions here. If you find from the proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of facilitation of false imprisonment, you will so report your verdict on your verdict form. If you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt of facilitation of false imprisonment as charged in the indictment, then your verdict must be not guilty as to this offense.

Counts 5, 6, and 7, aggravated rape: Any person who commits the offense of aggravated rape is guilty of a crime. For you to find the defendant guilty of this offense the State must have proven beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the following essential elements: Number 1, that the defendant

had unlawful sexual penetration of the alleged victim or the alleged victim had unlawful sexual penetration of the defendant. And number 2(a), that force or coercion was used to accomplish the act, and the defendant was armed with a weapon or any article used or fashioned in a manner to lead the alleged victim reasonably to believe it was to be a weapon. That's as to Count 5. Or (b), that the defendant caused bodily injury to the alleged victim. That's Count 6. Or (c), that the defendant was aided or abetted by one or more persons and that the force of coercion — and that force or coercion was used to accomplish the act. That's Count 7. And number 3, that the defendant acted either intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly.

In this case Count 5 alleges aggravated rape of Holly Lynn Bobo by force or coercion with a deadly weapon. Count 6 alleges aggravated rape of Holly Lynn Bobo with bodily injury. And Count 7 alleges aggravated rape of Holly Lynn Bobo while the defendant was aided or abetted by one or more persons and that force was used to accomplish the act.

If you find from the proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of aggravated rape, you will so report your verdict on

your verdict form. If you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt of aggravated rape as charged in the indictment, then your verdict must be not guilty as to this offense, and then you shall proceed to determine his guilt or innocence of facilitation of aggravated rape, a lesser-included offense.

Facilitation of aggravated rape: The essential elements necessary to constitute facilitation of a felony and aggravated rape have been previously set out in these instructions. Refer to those instructions here. If you find from the proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of facilitation of aggravated rape, you will so report your verdict on your verdict form. If you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt of facilitation of aggravated rape as charged in the indictment, then your verdict must be not guilty as to this offense, and then you shall proceed to determine his guilt or innocence of rape, a lesser-included offense.

Rape: Any person who commits the offense of rape is guilty of a crime. For you to find the defendant guilty of this offense, the State must have proven beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the

And 2(a), that force or coercion was used to accomplish the act and that the defendant was armed with a weapon or any article used or fashioned in a manner to lead the alleged victim reasonably to believe that it — to be a weapon or that the defendant caused bodily injury to the alleged victim. Or (c), that the defendant was aided or abetted by one or more persons and that force or coercion was used to accomplish the act. And number three, that the defendant acted either intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly.

reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of aggravated sexual battery, you will so report your verdict on your form. If you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt of aggravated sexual battery as charged in the indictment, then your verdict must be not guilty as to this offense, and then you shall proceed to determine his guilt or innocence of facilitation of aggravated sexual battery, a lesser-included offense.

Facilitation of aggravated sexual battery:
The essential elements necessary to constitute
facilitation of a felony and aggravated sexual
battery have been previously set out in these

instructions. Refer to these instructions here. If you find from the proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of facilitation of aggravated sexual battery, you will so report your verdict on your verdict form. If you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt of facilitation of aggravated sexual battery as charged in the indictment, then your verdict must be not guilty as to this offense, and then you shall proceed to determine his guilt or innocence of sexual battery, a lesser-included offense.

Sexual battery: Any person who commits the offense of sexual battery is guilty of a crime. For you to find the defendant guilty of this offense, the State must have proven beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the following essential elements:

Number 1(a), the defendant had unlawful sexual contact with the alleged victim in which the defendant intentionally touched the alleged victim's intimate parts or the clothing covering the immediate area of the alleged victim had unlawful sexual contact with the alleged victim had unlawful sexual contact with the defendant in which the victim intentionally touched the defendant's or any other person's intimate parts or the clothing covering the immediate

area of the defendant's or any other person's intimate parts. And 2(a), that force or coercion was used to accomplish the act. Or (b), that the sexual contact was accomplished without the consent of the alleged victim, and the defendant knew or had reason to know at the time of contact that the alleged victim did not consent. And 3, that the defendant acted either intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly.

If you find from the proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of sexual battery, you will so report your verdict on your verdict form. If you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt of sexual battery as charged in the indictment, then your verdict must be not guilty as to this offense. Then you shall proceed to determine his guilt or innocence of facilitation of sexual battery, a lesser-included offense.

Facilitation of sexual battery: The essential elements necessary to constitute a facilitation of A felony and sexual battery have been previously set out in these instructions. Refer to those instructions here. If you find from the proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of facilitation of sexual battery, then you will so report your verdict on your verdict form. If

you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt of facilitation of sexual battery as charged in the indictment, then your verdict must be not guilty as to this offense.

2.1

Any person who commits the offense of first degree premeditated murder is guilty of a crime. For you to find the defendant guilty of this offense, the State must have proven beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the following essential elements:

Number 1, that the defendant unlawfully killed the alleged victim. And 2, that the defendant acted intentionally. A person acts intentionally when it is that person's conscious objective or desire to cause the death of the alleged victim. And 3, that the killing was premeditated.

A premeditated act is one done after the exercise of reflection and judgment. Premeditation means that the intent to kill must have been formed prior to the act itself. It is not necessary that the purpose to kill preexists in the mind of the accused for any definite period of time. The mental state of the accused at the time he allegedly decided to kill must be carefully considered in order to determine whether the accused was sufficiently free

from excitement and passion as to be capable of premeditation.

If the design to kill was formed with premeditation, it is immaterial that the accused may have been in a state of passion or excitement when the design was carried into effect. Furthermore, premeditation can be found if the decision to kill is first formed during the heat of passion but the accused commits the act after the passion has subsided.

If you find from the proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of murder in the first degree murder, then it shall be your duty after a separate sentencing hearing to determine whether the defendant will be sentenced to death, life imprisonment without the possibility of parole, or life in prison. But you will not consider punishment for this offense at this time.

If you find from the proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of first degree premeditated murder, you will so report your verdict on your verdict form. If you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt of first degree premeditated murder as charged in the indictment, then your verdict must be not guilty as

to this offense, and then you shall proceed to determine his guilt or innocence of facilitation of first degree premeditated murder, a lesser-included offense.

Facilitation of premeditated first degree murder: Essential elements necessary to constitute facilitation of a felony and premeditated first degree murder have been previously set out in these instructions. Refer to those instructions here. If you find from the proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of facilitation of first degree premeditated murder, you will so report your verdict on your verdict form. If you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt of facilitation of premeditated first degree murder as charged in the indictment, then your verdict must be not guilty as to this offense, and then you shall proceed to determine his guilt or innocence of second degree murder, a lesser-included offense.

Second degree murder: The essential elements necessary to constitute second degree murder have been previously set out in these instructions. Refer to those instructions here. If you find from the proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of second degree murder, you will so report

your verdict on your verdict form. If you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt of second degree murder as charged in the indictment, then your verdict must be not guilty as to this offense, and then you shall proceed to determine his guilt or innocence of facilitation of second degree murder, a lesser-included offense.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Facilitation of second degree murder: The essential elements necessary to constitute facilitation of a felony and second degree murder have previously been set out in these instructions. Refer to those instructions here. If you find from the proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of facilitation of second degree murder, you will so report your verdict on your verdict form. If you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt of facilitation of second degree murder as charged in the indictment, then your verdict must be not guilty as to this offense, and then you shall proceed to determine his guilt or innocence of voluntary manslaughter, a lesser-included offense.

Voluntary manslaughter: Any person who commits voluntary manslaughter is guilty of a crime. For you to find the defendant guilty of this offense,

the State must have proven beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of the following essential elements:

Number 1, that the defendant unlawfully killed the alleged victim. And 2, that the defendant acted intentionally or knowingly. And 3, that the killing resulted from a state of passion produced by adequate provocation sufficient to lead a reasonable person to act in an irrational manner.

The distinction between voluntary manslaughter and second degree murder is that voluntary manslaughter requires that the killing result from the state of passion produced by adequate provocation sufficient to lead a reasonable person to act in an irrational manner.

reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of voluntary manslaughter, you will so report your verdict on your verdict form. If you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt of voluntary manslaughter as charged in the indictment, then your verdict must be not guilty as to this offense, and then you shall proceed to determine his guilt or innocence of facilitation of voluntary manslaughter, a lesser-included offense.

Facilitation of voluntary manslaughter: The

essential elements necessary to constitute facilitation of a felony and voluntary manslaughter have been previously set out in these instructions. Refer to those instructions here. If you find from the proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of facilitation of voluntary manslaughter, you will so report your verdict on your verdict form. If you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt of facilitation of voluntary manslaughter as charged in the indictment, then your verdict must be not guilty as to this offense, and then you shall proceed to determine his guilt or innocence of reckless homicide, a lesser-included offense.

Reckless homicide: The necessary element to constitute reckless homicide have been previously set out in these instructions. Refer to those instructions here. If you find from the proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of reckless homicide, you will so report your verdict on your verdict form. If you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt of reckless homicide as charged in the indictment, then your verdict must be not guilty as to this offense, and then you shall proceed to determine his guilt or innocence of

facilitation of reckless homicide, a lesser-included offense.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Facilitation of reckless homicide: The essential elements necessary to constitute facilitation of a felony and reckless homicide have been previously set out in these instructions. Refer to those instructions here. If you find from the proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of facilitation of reckless homicide, you will so report your verdict on your verdict form. If you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's quilt of facilitation of reckless homicide as charged in the indictment, then your verdict must be not quilty as to this offense, and then you shall proceed to determine his quilt or innocence of criminally negligent homicide, a lesser-included offense.

Criminally negligent homicide: The essential elements necessary to constitute criminally negligent homicide have previously been set out in these instructions. Refer to those instructions here. If you find from the proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of criminally negligent homicide, you will so report your verdict on your verdict form. If you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt of criminally negligent

homicide as charged in the indictment, then your verdict must be not guilty as to this offense, and then you shall proceed to determine his guilt or innocence of facilitation of criminally negligent homicide, a lesser-included offense.

Facilitation of criminally negligent homicide: The essential elements necessary to constitute facilitation of a felony and criminally negligent homicide have been previously set out in these instructions. Refer to those instructions here. If you find from the proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of facilitation of criminally negligent homicide, you will so report your verdict on your verdict from. If you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt of facilitation of criminally negligent homicide as charged in the indictment, then your verdict must be not guilty as to this offense.

Definitions: Where appropriate the following definitions apply in these instructions. You shall refer to these definitions when considering any of the offenses embraced in the indictment if so specified for only to limited offenses if so specified.

For the offenses and lesser-included

offenses, other than facilitation of first degree felony murder and first degree premeditated murder only: Here intentionally means that a person acts intentionally with respect to the nature of the conduct or to a result of the conduct when it is a person's conscious objective or desire to engage in the conduct or cause the result.

Here knowingly means that a person acts with an awareness that his or her conduct is reasonably certain to cause the death of the alleged victim.

The requirement of knowingly is also established if it is shown that the defendant acted intentionally as defined above.

Here recklessly means that a person acts recklessly. When the person is aware of but consciously disregards a substantial and unjustifiable risk that the alleged victim will be killed. The risk must be of such a nature and degree that its disregard constitutes a gross deviation from the standard of care that an ordinary person would exercise under all the circumstances as viewed from the accused person's standpoint. The requirement of recklessly is also established if it shown that the defendant acted intentionally or knowingly as defined above.

Here criminal negligence means that a person acts with criminal negligence when the person ought to be aware of a substantial and unjustifiable risk that the alleged victim will be killed. The risk must be of such a nature and degree that the failure to perceive it constitutes a gross deviation from the standard of care that an ordinary person would exercise under all the circumstances as viewed from the accused person's standpoint. The requirement of criminal negligence is also establish if it is shown that the defendant acted intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly as defined above.

For the offenses and lesser-included offenses of especially aggravated kidnapping only: Here knowingly means that a person acts knowingly with respect to the conduct or to the circumstances surrounding the conduct when the person is aware of the nature of the conduct or that the circumstances exist. A person acts knowingly with respect to the result of a person's conduct when the person is aware that the conduct is reasonably certain to cause the result. The requirement of knowingly is also established if it is shown that the defendant acted intentionally.

Here intentionally means that a person acts

intentionally with respect to the nature of the conduct or to a result of the conduct when it is the person's conscious objective or desire to engage in the conduct or cause the result.

2.3

For the offenses and lesser-included offenses of aggravated rape only: Here intentionally means that a person acts intentionally with respect to the nature of the conduct or to the result of the conduct when it is the person's conscious objective or desire to engage in the conduct or to cause the result.

Here knowingly means that a person acts knowingly with respect to the conduct or circumstances surrounding the conduct when the person is aware of the nature of the conduct or that the circumstances exist. A person acts knowingly with respect to the result of the person's conduct when the person is aware that the conduct is reasonably certain to cause the result.

Here recklessly means that a person acts recklessly with respect to the circumstances surrounding the conduct or the result of the conduct when the person is aware of but consciously disregards a substantial and unjustifiable risk that the circumstances exist or that the result will occur. The risk must be of such a nature and degree

that its disregard constitutes a gross deviation from the standard of care that an ordinary person would exercise under all the circumstances as viewed from the accused person's standpoint.

2.3

Sexual penetration means sexual intercourse, cunnilingus, fellatio, anal intercourse, or any other intrusion however slight of any part of a person's body or of any object into the genital or anal openings of the alleged victims, the defendants, or any other person's body, but emission of semen is not required.

Cunnilingus means a sex act accomplished by placing the mouth or tongue on or in the vagina of another.

Fellatio means a sex act accomplished with the male's sex organ and the mouth or lips of another. Intrusion into the alleged victim's mouth is not required.

Coercion means threat of kidnapping, extortion, force, or violence to be performed immediately or in the future or the use of parental custodial or official authority over a child less than 15 years of age.

Victim means the person alleged to have been subjected to criminal sexual conduct.

An aider and abettor is one who advises, counsels, procures, or encourages another to commit a crime.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Sexual contact includes the intentional touching of the alleged victim's, the defendant's, or any other person's intimate parts or the intentional touching of the clothing covering the immediate area of the alleged victim's, the defendant's, or any other person's intimate parts if that intentional touching can be reasonably construed as being for the purpose of sexual arousal or gratification.

Intimate parts include the primary genital area, groin, inner thigh, buttock, or breast of a human being.

For the offense of facilitation of a felony only: Here knowingly means that a person acts knowingly with respect to the conduct or the circumstances surrounding the conduct when the person is aware of the nature of the conduct or that a -- the circumstances exist. A person acts knowingly with respect to the results of the person's conduct when the person is aware that the conduct is reasonably certain to cause the result.

Here the requirement of knowingly is also established if it is shown that the defendant acted

intentionally.

2.3

Here intent means that a person acts intentionally with respect to the nature of the conduct or the result of the conduct when it is that person's conscious objective or desire to engage in the conduct or cause the result.

For any of the offenses: Deadly weapon means a firearm or anything manifestly designed, made, or adapted for the purpose of inflicting death or serious bodily injury or anything that in the manner of its use or intended use is capable of causing death or serious bodily injury.

 $\label{eq:compulsion} \mbox{ Force means compulsion by the use of physical } \\ \mbox{power or violence.}$ 

Fraud is defined as the term is used in ordinary conversation and includes but is not limited to deceit, trickery, misrepresentation, et cetera.

Serious bodily injury means bodily injury that involves a substantial risk of death.

Protracted unconsciousness, extreme physical pain, protracted or obvious disfigurement or protracted loss or substantial impairment of a function of a bodily member, organ, and mental faculty. Bodily injury includes a cut, abrasion, bruise, burn, or disfigurement and physical pain or temporary illness

or impairment of the function of a bodily member, organ, or mental faculty.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Violence means evidence of physical force unlawfully exercised so as to damage, injure, or abuse. Physical contact is not required to prove violence. Unlawful pointing of a deadly weapon at an alleged victim is physical force directed toward the body of the victim.

Deliberation, order of consideration: reaching your verdict you shall first consider the offense charged in Count 1 of the indictment. unanimously find the defendant quilty of that offense beyond a reasonable doubt, you shall return a verdict of quilty to that offense. If you unanimously find the defendant not quilty of that offense or have a reasonable doubt of the defendant's guilt of that offense, then you shall proceed to consider whether or not the defendant is quilty of the next lesser-included offense in the order from greatest to least within the count of that indictment. You shall not proceed to consider any lesser-included offense unless you have first made a unanimous determination that the defendant is not quilty of the immediately preceding greater offense or you unanimously have a reasonable doubt of the defendant's guilt of that

offense.

If you have a reasonable doubt of the defendant's guilt -- of the guilt of the defendant as to all offenses charged and included in that count of the indictment, you will -- you shall return a verdict of not guilty on that count and then proceed to the next count of the indictment. You'll do that through the eight counts.

Identity: One of the issues in this case is the identification of the defendant as the person who committed the crime. The State has the burden of proving identity beyond a reasonable doubt.

Identification testimony is an expression of belief or impression by the witness, and its value may depend upon your consideration of several factors.

Some of the factors which you may consider are: 1, the witness' capacity and opportunity to observe the offender. This includes among other things, the length of time available for observation, the distance from which the witness observed the light —the lighting, and whether the person who committed the crime was a prior acquaintance of the witness.

The degree of certainty expressed by the witness regarding the identification and the circumstances under which it was made, including

whether it is the product of the witness' own recollection. Number 3, the occasions, if any, on which the witness failed to make an identification of the defendant or made an identification that was inconsistent with the identification at trial.

2.3

2.4

4, the occasions, if any, on which the witness made an identification that was consistent with the identification at trial and the circumstances surrounding such identification. And 5, any other factors fairly raised by the evidence.

Again, the State has the burden of proving every element of the crime charged and its burden specifically includes the identity of the defendant as the person who committed the crime for which he is on trial. If after considering the identification testimony, in light of all the proof you have a reasonable doubt that the defendant is the person who committed the crime, you must find the defendant not guilty.

Expert Witness: Previously I instructed you concerning expert testimony. In addition to my prior instructions, you are instructed that in giving his or her opinions some expert witnesses may have testified that he or she took into consideration certain statements made by other persons. Because

the statements of other persons were made outside the courtroom, they may only be used for you -- they may only be used by you only for evaluating the expert witness' opinion, testimony, and cannot be relied on as proof of the truth of the matters asserted in those statements.

2.3

Credibility and impeachment of witness:

Previously I've also instructed you concerning credibility and impeachment of witnesses. In addition to my prior instructions, you're instructed that a promise of leniency or other favorable agreement is a factor you may consider in determining credibility of a witness. Evidence has been presented that witnesses testifying against the defendant on behalf of the State of Tennessee have been offered/granted immunity from prosecution for criminal acts committed by them. You must carefully evaluate the weight and credibility of the testimony of any witnesses who may have been induced by agreements with the State to testify against the defendant.

You are further instructed that a witness may be impeached by the test -- by testimony by a credible witness that the assailed witness' general reputation for truth and veracity is so bad that he

or she would not believe him or her under oath in a court of law. You are further instructed that if from the evidence presented, you find that a witness has been convicted of a prior crime or crimes, you can consider such only for the purpose of its effect, if any, on his or her credibility as a witness.

Δ

2.2

Accomplice: An accomplice is a person who knowingly, voluntarily, and with common intent with the principle offender unites with him or her in the commission of a crime. If a witness was an accomplice in the crime, then his or her testimony must be corroborated. Corroborating evidence is that evidence entirely independent of the accomplice's testimony, which taken by itself leads to the inference not only that a crime has been committed but also that the defendant was implicated in it. This independent corroborative testimony must include some fact or circumstances that effects the defendant's identity. Corroborative evidence may be direct or entirely circumstantial, and it need not be adequate in and of itself to support a conviction.

It is sufficient if the corroborative evidence fairly and legitimately tends to connect the defendant with a crime charged. If a -- it is a question for the jury to determine whether an

accomplice's testimony has been sufficiently corroborated. Accomplice testimony cannot be corroborated by another accomplice's testimony. Evidence which merely casts a suspicion on the accused is inadequate to corroborate an accomplice's testimony.

In this case the Court charges you that the witness, Jason Autry, was an accomplice in this alleged crime, and before the defendant can be convicted, you must find that this accomplice's testimony has been sufficiently corroborated.

Evidence of other crimes or bad acts: If

from the proof you find that the defendant has

committed crimes or bad acts other than that for

which he is on trial, you may not consider such

evidence to prove his disposition to commit such a

crime or crimes as that for which he is on trial.

This evidence may be considered by you for the

limited purpose of determining whether it provides,

(a), the complete story of the crime. That is such

evidence may be considered by you where the prior

crime or bad acts and the present alleged crimes are

logically related or connected or are part of the

same transaction so that the proof of the other tends

or is necessary to prove the one charge or is

necessary for a complete account of itself. (B), motive. That is such evidence may be considered by you that tends to show a motive of the defendant for the comission of offense presently charged. (C), the defendant's intent. That is such evidence may be considered by you if it tends to establish that the defendant actually intended to commit the crime with which he is presently charged. Such evidence of other crimes or bad acts, if considered by you for any purpose, must not be considered for any purpose other than specifically stated.

2.0

Prior statement of the defendant. Court instructs you that if there is evidence of an oral or written statement given by the defendant in this case, you may take it into consideration with all of the other facts and circumstances proven in the case. In considering the statement, it is for you, the jury, to say what weight you will give the statement. You may believe any part of the statement or disbelieve any part of it, and you may believe the whole statement or disbelieve it in its entirety.

Confession or admission against interest:

Evidence has been introduced in this trial of a

statement or statements by the defendant made outside

the trial to show a confession or admission against

interest. A confession is a statement by the defendant that he committed the crime charged. admission against interest is a statement by the defendant which acknowledges the existence or truth of some fact necessary to prove, to establish the quilt of the defendant, or which tends to show quilt of the defendant or is evidence of some material fact but not amounting to a confession. While this evidence has been received, it remains your duty to decide if, in fact, such statement was ever made. If you believe a statement was not made by the defendant, you should not consider it. If you decide the statement was made by the defendant, you must judge the truth of the facts stated. And so determining, consider the circumstances under which the statement was made. Also, consider whether any of the other evidence before you tends to contradict the statement in whole or in part.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

a

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You must not, however, arbitrarily disregard any part of any statement but rather should consider all of any statement you believe was made and is true.

You are the sole judge of what weight should be given to such statements. If you decide a statement was made, you should consider it with all

other evidence in the case determining the defendant's guilt or innocence.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

Stipulations: A stipulation is an agreement.

The parties have stipulated that certain matters of fact are true. They are bound by this agreement, and in your consideration of the evidence, you are to treat it as though these facts are proven.

Alibi: The defendant has presented evidence of an alibi in this case. An alibi is defined as evidence which establishes that the defendant was not present at the scene of the alleged crime when it allegedly occurred. If the defendant was not present when a crime was committed, he cannot be quilty. burden is on the State to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant was at the scene of the crime when it was committed. If you find from your consideration of all of the evidence that the State has failed to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant was at the scene of the crime when it was committed, you must find the defendant not quilty. The weight to be given alibi evidence is a question for the jury to decide considering all the facts and circumstance of the case.

The State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt the culpable mental state. That would be the

accused. Culpable mental state means the state of mind of the accused at the time of the offense. This means that you must consider all of the evidence to determine the state of mind of the accused at the commission of -- of the accused at the commission of the offense. The state of mind, which the State must prove, is contained in the element -- elements of the offenses as outlined in the instructions above.

Inference of concealment or destruction of evidence: Any attempt by a person to conceal or destroy evidence is a circumstance, which considered with all the facts of the case may justify an inference of guilt. While that inference is by no means strong enough of itself to warrant conviction, yet it may become one of a series of circumstances from which guilt may be logically inferred. Whether the evidence presented proves beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant so acted is a question for your determination.

If this fact is proven, this fact alone does not allow you to find that the defendant is guilty of the crime alleged. However, since an attempt by a defendant to conceal or destroy evidence may be caused by a consciousness of guilt, you may consider this fact if it is so proven, together with all of

the other evidence when you decide the guilt or innocence of the defendant. On the other hand, a person entirely innocent of a particular crime may attempt to conceal or destroy evidence, and this may be explained by proof offered or by the facts and circumstances of the case.

Concealment or destruction of evidence of a crime is not proof of premeditation. Whether there was any attempt to conceal or destroy evidence by the defendant, the reasons for it and the weight to be given to it are questions for you to determine.

Inferences: Court has charged the jury considering an inference that the jury may make in regard to certain evidence in this case. However, the jury is not required to make this inference. It is the exclusive province of the jury to determine whether the facts and circumstances shown by all the evidence in the case warrant the inference which the law permits the jury to draw.

This inference may be rebutted by direct or circumstantial evidence or both, whether it exists in the evidence of the State or is offered by the defendant. Although the defendant is not required by law to do so, when the defendant offers an explanation to rebut the inference raised, you should

consider such explanation along with all of the evidence to determine not only the correctness of the inference but also the reasonableness of the defendant's explanation. You are not bound to accept either the inference or the defendant's explanation.

The State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt every element of the offense before the defendant can be found quilty.

When we come back, the attorneys will be given an opportunity to address you in their closing statements. I remind you again that what the attorneys say is not evidence. Since the State has the burden of proof, they have the right to open final arguments followed by defense counsel, then the State may speak last in rebuttal. At the conclusion of argument, I will address briefly a few administrative matters and some final jury instructions, then you will retire to the jury room for your deliberations.

We're going to take a recess now. Take 15 minutes. Continue to follow the admonitions I gave you at the outset.

(WHEREUPON, the jury left the courtroom, after which the following proceedings were had:)

(END OF VOLUME XVI.)

## VOLUME XVII

## DAY 11

## THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 21, 2017

2.2

yesterday, both sides, I'm not putting time constraints on you. Hope that you'll be mindful that the jury's -- is this the 10th day? And be reasonably succinct. But I realize that we've got ten days of evidence. It's going to take a little bit of time, but I don't know that I've ever seen a closing statement too short. I've seen some that were too long and had adverse effects. So I might just tell you that you're to your own device and do so at your own peril.

(WHEREUPON, the jury returned to the courtroom, after which the following proceedings were had:)

of you, okay? I apologize and understand that you were able to share while I was reading, but that was an oversight. And I'll tell you all, I want all of you to have your copy of these instructions. When I've been reading for an hour and five minutes, I might have -- my mind sometimes will put a word in or

take one out or see the same word, it starts to run together. I think I was reasonably close. You folks were following along. But you've all got a copy of that that you can refer to during your deliberations if you wish to, okay?

All right. At this time, as I told you, we're going to start with closing arguments. Let's hear from the State.

## CLOSING STATEMENTS

GENERAL HAGERMAN: Six years, and we've been here two weeks. This is not the first time that Ms. Karen has been in the same room as the man that killed her daughter.

She told you when she testified that she had gone to him, to Zach Adams, described the man who led her daughter into the woods, who took her. She testified that he said that was Shane. Out of the mouth of Zach Adams, that was Shane.

April 13th, 2011, Shane Austin took her through the woods. They kept her. They raped her in a barn, and then Jason and Zach took her to the river under a bridge. You stand in that place under the bridge, and there's water, there's trees, there's rock, there's cars. They whiz by on the I-40 bridge

over the Tennessee River. The bridge that we've all crossed and crossed and crossed. But as you do it, you can't see down to this place at all. There on the rocks next to the river and the trees, stand in that place, you're all alone. All alone. But she wasn't alone. Wrapped in a blanket under that bridge with the cars whizzing past, she was not all alone because two men, two bad men, one stood at her feet and one at her head. And with a shot, echoed under that bridge. With a shot that echoed under that bridge, those two men did what they chose to do in secret what happened to Holly Bobo started, and it was bonded together between these two men who had just done this terrible, terrible work.

I want to come back to the secret. I want to come back to that, but first I want to take a timeout. Because just like y'all, I listened to at least an hour of reading, legal terms, lesser-included offenses, things about the law. There was one thing that was read that I found particularly confusing, even misleading, and I would rather go ahead and take a timeout and talk about it quickly now and then resume with the secret about what happened to her.

You were read an instruction about alibi.

And in that instruction it says, "If the defendant was not present when the crime was committed, he cannot be guilty". That's what it says in the alibi instruction. The problem with that is it doesn't account for what we talked about the very first day, which is also in the charge. Remember, we robbed a couple of banks that first day, and we did it together. We did it to demonstrate what's also in the charge, which is criminal responsibility for the conduct of another.

2.4

I was the only one on the scene of the crime.

I mean, I walked into the bank, and I did it. But my accomplices, whoever planned it, whoever drove away from it, whoever split the money with us, whatever it was, there's no necessity under the law that those people be present at the scene of the crime. The alibi instruction has to be read in light of criminal responsibility, okay? That's important in this case.

We'll come back to it, but I wanted to go ahead and say it. Because I don't want that misconception out there the whole time everybody talks, okay?

The secret began with a gunshot under the bridge that echoed. These two men bonded together because of the terrible, hands-on work that they just put in. But not just these two men, these four men,

Zach Adams, Jason Autry, Shane Austin, and
Dylan Adams, all criminally responsible for each
other, all part of this terrible, terrible, terrible
thing. Their secret bonded together.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But even in the early days, even in the early days after that shot, the secret wasn't kept very good. If somebody had been listening, if somebody had just been listening, they'd realize that that secret wasn't even kept through the first two weeks of this thing. Within two days Holly's papers are found right there by Shane Austin's trailer. Other of her papers found right there in front of Shane Austin's grandmother's house. Within the first days, Zach Adams is seen by Trooper Rainey running frantically, sprinting, and then by the watchers in the woods, vacuuming mattresses and cars for hours after the police talked to him. The fear is that Holly's DNA was on him, and he had transferred it to his mattress or to his car, and he had to get rid of any trace of her.

Within the first weeks, a girlfriend that he had lied to and that he had threatened already knew that he had done it. Within the first weeks he has no alibi at all. Instead he says he's with Shane and Dylan, the other people who committed the crime.

Within the first weeks, Jason Autry tells the lie that I'm working on a farm, but what do you know? Once it's checked, absolutely not.

All that within the first weeks of this investigation if somebody had just been listening. The very day of the abduction, the night after the abduction happened, 911 has to come to his house. You want to know what mental state he's in? He's trying to get guns. He's trying to get a vehicle. He is crazy, fighting his grandfather, desperate. Within the first weeks, this secret had crack and crack ing, but nobody was listening.

Agent Dicus, investigation 101, check their alibis. Check their alibis. But he didn't.

Investigator Dicus, follow the facts. Instead he came up with some theory about sexual predators.

Follow the facts. Just the facts. Dragnet just the facts. But he wouldn't listen. In those first few weeks, this thing could have been done, but he wasn't listening.

If he had been listening, he could have gone to the people that hung out with Zach Adams. He could have asked Anthony Phoenix, and he would have heard that Zach said, "I couldn't have picked a

prettier bitch. It was fun". He could have gone to Jamie Darnell, and he would have heard, "he said he can't clear his name, he's in too deep". He could have gone to Carl Stateler and heard, "he said Shane hit it. He said he did it". Could have gone to Cooper and Kirk, the inmates in the lockup, and he could have heard "Tell my brother", "Tell my brother, Dylan, to shut his mouth or else I'm going to lay him in a hole beside her". They could have heard him say, "You've gotta kill a bitch to get a two million dollar bond". They could have heard him say, "They have no body, they have no gun". They could have heard person after person after person that hung out with him and was around him. And this already crack, crack, crack secret could have been done.

Δ

Finally, finally the people around

Dylan Adams come forward, and when they do, finally somebody listens. Finally somebody goes to

Dylan Adams. Well, what do you know? The same names we've been hearing since the second day of the investigation, Zach Adams, Shane Austin, Jason Autry, and Dylan Adams. To Dylan's friends and to Dylan and we got the same people.

This secret wasn't even well kept. Not early on and not later except by one man, Jason Autry. You

see, Jason Autry knew, just as we know, that when he drove up to that trailer and the body -- she was in that blanket and he agreed to help, he was in it for the whole thing. He was in it for the whole thing. He knew that when he agreed to help and took her in that blanket to the river with Zach that he was just as responsible as Shane Austin, just as responsible as Dylan Adams, just as responsible as Zach Adams for what happened to and what was going to happen to that girl. She was never going to come out of that blanket alive. Not a chance. He knew just how guilty he was. Just how guilty they all were. And for years, he kept his secret.

Until late 2016, when I think the best way to put it is he just told it. He just told it. To investigators just like last week on that stand every question, he just told it. Trip to the river, he just told it. Taking the body in the blanket -- her in the blanket out of the bed of that truck and laying it down on the rocks, he just told it. What he himself called her, "the fucking bitch is still alive", he just told it. When the shot rang out, when Zach killed her while he was not trying to help her, looking out to make sure the coast was clear, he just told it. For a whole day, question after

question after question, he just told it.

The early cracks in the secret, all the people around Zach, Dylan Adams and his friends, and now the man who is under that bridge with his hands on the blanket that she was in and still alive, he came in here, and he just told it. But even more than that, even more than that, his account is corroborated and corroborated.

What did he tell us Shane was doing when he pulled up? Y'all got to get out of here, I got a cable guy coming sometime. Well, what do you know? He had a cable guy coming that day. Corroboration. What did he say Zach said about the rape, other than the crude part that Zach said about Dylan during the rape? But what did he say Zach said about the rape? He said we had to end early, because somebody starting cutting their grass. We had to get out of there, because somebody started cutting their grass. Well, what do you know? Brian Vitt, the neighbor, what was he doing that morning? Cutting his grass. Cutting his grass.

Jason tells us later that afternoon we go to Victor Dinsmore's. Well, not his house. He's working at Ms. Dottie's house. He says there's a

scuffle or a fight. Something about hitting it. And what do you know? Victor Dinsmore describes the same thing that afternoon. But not just that, the particularly interesting part of that is Jason says you know, Victor was there but some unidentified — that was his word, unidentified female was there. What do you know? Debbie Dorris, the cleaning woman. And what did she see? Men. Including who? Jason.

MS. THOMPSON: Objection, Your Honor.

MS. THOMPSON: Objection, Your Honor. He's arguing facts not in evidence.

THE COURT: It's up to the jury what the facts are.

GENERAL HAGERMAN: What do you know? She saw a man. Including who? Jason. Corroborated.

Jason tells us how, because he was dropped off at the church. He was dropped off at the church after they came back from the bridge. When the body was disposed of, he wasn't there. He told us he doesn't know where it was except that Zach had told him near Kelly's Ridge. So he told us he went out there looking for it one day after this. He said he went up to a house pretending like he was asking to fish, but the people wouldn't let him. Well, what do you know? What do you know? Within two weeks of this crime, Ms. Brenda O'Bryant calls in, and it's

documented, strange man in a PT Cruiser just came to my house asking if he could fish and he was acting strange, and I told him, no, and he kept on asking, and I told him no. Well, what do you know? That's exactly what Jason had said. Corroborated and corroborated and corroborated.

Δ

But even that, there's more, because what did Jason say in late 2016? Shane Austin and me sold the gun, the gun, no body, no gun, sold the gun to Victor Dinsmore. Go to Victor Dinsmore. Gun was disposed of over by Joe Holladay Road, a small little street years and years and years ago. They sold it to me sometime after Holly was killed. And that's where I got rid of it, me and my wife. What do you know? The gun, right there. The man and his wife that Jason and Shane sold it to years and years ago, he has corroborated and corroborated and corroborated.

Early cracks, Zach's friends and all the things he said. Friends of Dylan Adams, Dylan Adams, Jason Autry just told it, and he was corroborated and corroborated and corroborated. But even then, there's more. Two confessions, admissions, while he was in jail to two entire different people. Christopher Swift, who doesn't -- is he lying on Zach? Is Christopher Swift lying on Zach? He told

us he doesn't even want to believe that Zach is capable of doing this. But nevertheless, he tells you what Zach said. "I was there for the worst part of it." "I was there for the worst part of it." One of us -- one of us killed themselves. "I was there for the worst part of it", talking about Holly. And explained later that one of us, Shane Austin, killed himself.

Corey Rivers, an entirely different person, who sat up straight, bright-eyed, and told us and answered every question about his past, his horrific childhood, what happened to him, what happened to his mother, and also told us what Zach told him. That he wanted forgiveness for what he had done. That when she was taken into the woods -- Holly Bobo was taken into the woods, she was killed. That her body was chopped up. There are cracks. All the statements Zach made to his friends. Dylan Adams, Jason Autry, all the corroboration and now two confessions to two entirely different people.

So why do I have to hear so much in this trial about other things, about all the other people that were investigating and excluded? Why do I have to hear about oh, pick a name, Jonathan Dunn, Jason Nichol, whoever it was? Why do I have to hear about

all those people that were investigated and excluded?
Why do I even have to hear about Terry Britt? Who
Agent Dicus, Agent Dicus, the one who had tunnel
vision on Terry Britt, the one that had to be removed
because of his un-objectivity and his tunnel vision,
but even him when I asked after all those searches,
after ripping into this man's life, he's not a good
man. Good for them for ripping into his life. Good
for them. He's in prison. That's fabulous.

Δ

But after ripping into his life, after all that, Agent Dicus, what did you find that connected him to this crime? And his answer was what?

Absolutely nothing. Not a drop of blood. Not a hair. Not anything at all that connected him to Holly Bobo. No evidence. No evidence. So why are we even talking about him?

And then I had to listen to Johnny Walker, that Marshal. Cell phone expert? No. An investigator who understands that when you talk to somebody, there's certain things you got to do? No. An investigator that understands that you need to write a report that actually records what happened when you talk to somebody? No. An investigator who remembers that if you're going to testify, you need to probably remember what happened that it's in your

20-line little report? No. An investigator that understands when he's being messed with?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Terry Britt's not a brain surgeon. Johnny Walker didn't even understand he was being messed with. "Well, it sounds like you got it all figured out." That's from the words of the man that called law enforcement what? Whores. "Well, it sounds like you got it all figured out." He came in here to say that? Johnny Walker came in here to say that? Why don't, Mr. Walker, you look at the evidence? Why don't you look at the evidence? you aware, Mr. Walker, that as we sit here right now we've heard seven, eight, nine people say what Zach Adams said about killing her? Mr. Walker, as you sit here right now, did you know we've heard two different people, entirely different people, testify about how he confessed to them? Mr. Walker, as we sit here right now, do you know they went to Dylan Adams' friends? Do you know they went to Dylan? Do you know Jason just sat in this courtroom for a whole day and just told it? Did you know that his entire story corroborated, corroborated, corroborated? No.

Yet we had to listen to him. Don't tell me about that. Don't tell me

about the four times in three years that Terry Britt searched bad porn. Don't tell me about that. Tell me about the facts and the evidence in this case. We spent way too much time on speculation that had already been shot down, on suspects that had already been shot down. We even heard Agent Dicus himself admit from his suspect, Terry Britt, that we found "absolutely nothing".

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Two things, the math. Math. The math in this case is actually pretty simple. The only question in this case is: Do you have the right people? Because everyone agrees, everyone agrees that she was taken, she was kidnapped, and that kidnap started when she was taken, and it continued all the time until she was killed. That she was taken, that she was kidnapped, that she was raped, and that she was murdered. You just listened for an hour about lesser-included offenses or something like that. I heard crazy stuff like negligent homicide or like sexual battery. I heard crazy stuff. And the only things at issue in this trial are not whether or not she was murdered, because she was. Not whether or not she was murdered in the perpetration of a felony, because she was. Not whether or not she was kidnapped, because she was. Not whether or not she

was raped, because she was. The only question is:

Do we have the right people? And the math on that is pretty simple. Four people, Zach Adams, Jason Autry, Dylan Adams, Shane Austin. Four people. One killed himself. The one at the Coon Hunt, the one looking at her, the one with the hidey-holes behind his house, the one with the paper at 75 yards away from his front yard, the one with the heat coming down on him, one killed himself.

Dylan Adams, they went to his friends, they went to him. And what do you know? After they did, got the right people.

Jason Autry, he just sat in here and told it, you got the right people.

Zach Adams, Karen Bobo, Karen Bobo, face to face with him in the same room, the man who took her into the woods and he said, that was Shane. That was Shane. He didn't say I don't know who that was. He didn't say, oh, that was pick a name. Pick a name that we've heard. Doesn't matter. James Barnes. He didn't say, it was Terry Britt. He didn't say I got no idea. He said, that was Shane Austin.

Four people. Four people. Do we got the right people? You can go one by one by one by one.

Then the second thing. It indicates there's

details that matter and then details that don't. We talked about that the first day, what color shirt people were wearing, exactly what time something happened. We talked about any case has all sorts of details. But some details, some details can be real important. It was just two more witnesses. There was so many witnesses that got on the stand, but there was just, like the others, two more witnesses, and you may not have caught how important what they said was.

Cooper was the inmate who was sitting in the Chester County lockup. He was the one who was going to be transferred to Obion County. Kirk was the inmate who was sitting further waiting to come to court. That's Cooper and that's Kirk. Cooper described how Zach Adams came into that lockup, asked him if he would be able to talk to the federal prisoners in Obion County. He said, yeah, maybe through the door. Zach says, well, get a message to my brother. Tell him to stop talking or else I'll lay him in a hole next to Holly Bobo. Kirk hears the same thing, hears Zach talking to Cooper.

But do you know what else they both hear that they told you? They both heard Zach say -- because remember, Cooper didn't want him saying this to him.

He said, I got angry with him, and maybe even I threatened to slap him if he didn't stop talking, but he didn't stop talking. He said not worried, or something to that effect, because they got no body and they got no gun. Remember that? Not just from Cooper but from Kirk. They got no body, and they got no gun.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, when did that happen? When did that conversation happen? They testified it happened on March the 4th. March the 4th of 2014. March the 4th of 2014. March the 4th of 2014. When was her body found? When was her body found? September of 2014. So his first statement makes sense. They got no Because in March of 2014, they didn't have a body. But what else did he say? They got no gun. Who on Earth, on this planet, who on Earth knew on September -- in March, before September, who on this planet knew in March of 2014 that she had been shot? Who knew it? Her body hadn't been found. This didn't exist yet. This was still in the woods. The hole in the back of her head that's a bullet hole that's consistent with a .32 that I got laying on that table, didn't exist yet.

Nobody in this world knew if she was strangled, if she was stabbed, if she was run over,

and certainly not shot. Nobody in this world knew except the two men who were there when it happened. You want to talk about a confession. In March before the body is even found, while everybody in this world has no idea what happened Holly Bobo and how she died, he's talking about a gun. He's talking about a gun four months before we even know that she has been shot and has a bullet hole to the back of her head.

Under that bridge, under that bridge you're all alone. You're all alone. The cars whiz by.

They can't see a thing. Under that bridge she wasn't alone, because she had two men and they had a gun and they killed her. Only two men in the world knew that. The two men that did it, Zach and Jason. And Zach's talk in March before that body's found tells you everything you need to know.

We spent time in this trial almost like a time machine going back to 2013 and the status of the investigation for whatever reason. 2013. But this isn't 2013. This is 2017. And now six years later, six years later and two weeks in this room with a man that killed her daughter, it's time to do what is right. It's time to listen to the evidence, to make decisions on the evidence what matters. The time is now. The time is now.

Shane was with her just like Zach said. 1 Dylan with him? Was Zach with him, too? But after 2 Shane took it her, they all raped her. They all 3 still had her kidnapped. Zach and Jason drove her to 4 5 the river where they killed her. Then they drove 6 real fast back, dropping Jason off at the church. 7 Just like the defense's own cell phone expert said, the phones are consistent with Shane Austin taking 8 9 her, her going to Yellow Springs where that barn is 10 and being raped. And then Jason and Zach going to 11 the river. Dylan getting rid of her phone and her property. Killing her and coming back real fast, 12 13 dropping Jason off at of all things, a church. It's time for this thing to end. 14 15 THE COURT: Take a ten-minute recess. 16 Follow the admonishes I gave you. 17 You folks want an opportunity to check your electronics. 18 (WHEREUPON, the jury left the courtroom, 19 after which the following proceedings were had:) 20 21 THE COURT: All right. Ten minutes. 22 ready to go at 11:00. 23 (Short break.) THE COURT: I have called for the jury. 24 25 You good on electronics?

MR. GONZALEZ: Yes, sir.

2.0

facilities are limited up there also, I'll tell you. I love my 1950 courthouse, but it's not prepared for this kind of electronic onslaught nor the restrooms. And something that's ironic is they actually consulted the lawyers when they built this, and they moved the — that was before air conditioning. They moved the courthouse from the front out there where the main road was back to here. Now, we've got the bypass with more traffic than it would have over there. But we've got the windows shut. They redid the courthouse at Decatur County, and I don't think they consulted with the lawyers or the judges or anybody.

We're going to try to conclude unless something gets out of wack. I'd like for you to be prepared to follow it, it might --

GENERAL NICHOLS: I am. All I'm doing is switching from PowerPoint to overhead.

THE COURT: What I'm saying, even if it's late getting the lunch hour, I kind of would prefer that if we can.

GENERAL NICHOLS: No problem.

THE COURT: And we will be drawing the

1 three alternates when we send them out for their 2 lunch and to start deliberations. Those alternates 3 will be kept separate and apart. They will still be 4 sequestered. I'll let counsel know who they are, but 5 I'm not going to announce it to protect their 6 identity right now. I haven't done it yet, so... GENERAL NICHOLS: Judge, can we approach 7 8 just for a moment? 9 THE COURT: Yes. 10 (WHEREUPON, a conference was held at the 11 bench between counsel and the Court.) 12 GENERAL NICHOLS: I said sure no problem 13 getting it before lunch and then I asked Mr. Gonzalez 14 how long. 15 THE COURT: Do what? 16 MR. GONZALEZ: It's just that if we go past the lunch hour, she may want to break before she 17 18 starts her rebuttal, and we predict that Jennifer 19 will go past the lunch hour. 20 THE COURT: I was hoping not, but we 21 probably will. 22 MR. GONZALEZ: So take a break before 23 rebuttal. 24 THE COURT: If I was her, I'd rather keep 25 it short and get all of it done, but it's your

option. If we get into lunch, I'm not going to punish these people.

(WHEREUPON, the bench conference ended, and the jury returned to the courtroom, after which the following proceedings were had:)

THE COURT: Be seated, please. Okay. Closing statement for the defendant.

MS. THOMPSON: Zachary Rye Adams is 100 percent innocent of the charges he is facing in this case. And the important thing for you to remember today is that nonevidence is not evidence in this case. Think of all the "nonevidence" that the government has put in in their case. They put in the evidence of a white truck through Christee Clenney and John Babb. But that, in fact, was not evidence that Mr. Adams committed the kidnapping, rape, and murder of Holly Bobo. It's not related to the crimes he's charged with. Think about the shoe print in the carport that the government put in regarding the "evidence" in this case. That's not evidence that points to Mr. Adams being involved in this crime.

Think about the pink underwear that was found at the side of the road. The government went to all the trouble of putting that underwear in in the case. Turns out that, in fact, was not Holly Bobo's

underwear. The man mowing the lawn, that's not evidence in this case that points to a crime. The mattress at Zach's house, Zach vacuuming his truck, the camouflage clothing, the scratches on Zach, and the nervousness, all of that is nonevidence in this case. But yet the government went to the trouble of putting it in.

2.4

The white truck, the white pickup truck, the government showed that the truck was traveling south while Holly's cell phone is traveling north. That again is not evidence in this case, but it makes it sounds suspicious. It's a just a way to get people's suspicion in this case -- get their suspicion riled up and thinking about well, it's possible. I mean, what is possible here? The cases in criminal defense -- or criminal law are not made on what is possible. It's what does the evidence show beyond a reasonable doubt.

What about the shoe print in the carport?

Again, the government knew that the shoe print was made by Clint Bobo's shoe. They knew that, but yet they put in the shoe print to try to show something suspicious. Remember, it's the defense that put in the fact that that shoe print was made by -- or quite possibly made by Clint Bobo's Croc that he was

wearing that day when Holly Bobo disappeared. It's the defense that actually put in law enforcement to come in and say, no, that shoe print was made by —that shoe print was consistent with the shoes that Clint Bobo was wearing that day.

What about the palmprint on Holly's Mustang?
The government went through a lot of trouble to try
to put in this palmprint when it's the defense that
brought in the fact that all four people that the
government has spent their whole first part of their
argument arguing were involved, Dylan Adams,
Zachary Adams, Jason Autry, and Shane Austin, were
all four excluded as being the person who owned that
finger -- that palmprint, that handprint, on
Holly Bobo's car. And yet, you heard one of the law
enforcement involved in the case say that Terry Britt
was never excluded as being the owner of that
palmprint. Why not? Why didn't they go to that
trouble and get his prints and try to exclude him on
that.

Think about the pink underwear. They put in the pink underwear. It's the -- it's the defense that actually put the evidence in that questioned Brent Booth and brought it to your attention that, in fact, that pink underwear did not belong to Holly.

But yet it's found outside Shane's trailer there by his driveway, and the government wants to put that in just to add the creep factor there. The government is really trying to pump the creep factor in this case.

The man mowing the yard, that -- that

Brian Vitt was there mowing the yard, the government

puts that in as though it corroborates Jason Autry's

story. But in fact, Mr. Vitt specifically said was

he didn't notice anything unusual that day, and he

was outside mowing his grass while this alleged crime

was occurring down the street.

The mattress at Zach's house, the government knew that that mattress belonged Rebecca Urp. The --Rebecca Urp testified that, yeah, she had a mattress there at Zach's house, and that was during the time that they were in the process of breaking up. That mattress is outside. The government knows that mattress had nothing to do with it. Besides the government's story was that none of this crime occurred at Zachary Adams' house. And yet, they bring in that mattress and the vacuuming of the mattress and -- just to make it look suspicious.

The same with that truck, the vacuuming of the black truck and the camouflage clothing. All of

that was done in order just to have some kind of evidence in, because the government knows that juries expect evidence. So the government has gone to all this trouble to put some kind of evidence in so you have something to take back with you, something to look at as being possible evidence of Mr. Adams' connection to this crime, but when in fact, all those different pieces of evidence that they've put in are not evidence.

2.4

Next you have the scratches and the nervousness. Again, the government had reason to believe that these were not connected to Zach Adams being involved in the case. But yet, they put it in so they have some kind of evidence.

So here's what the government's story is that we have. We have Candace Wood who says that she sees Shane staring at her and Holly at the Coon Hunt in a creepy way. Then you have Clint Bobo. Clint Bobo describes somebody who has dark hair. It's down his neck to his collar. He's 5'10, 5'11, 200 pounds, looks like his cousin, Richie Pratt. Yet John Babb who says he sees a white truck headed south going at a high rate of speed down the road.

And then you have a fact gap, because now the government doesn't -- isn't able to explain what

happens in between. They have a fact gap, and thank goodness Jason Autry comes along. So in the government's case here's what happened. Jason then steps up and says that Dylan gives Zach oral sex, and then Zach is able to rape Holly Bobo, but Zach describes it when he's talking to Jason Autry as a brief encounter.

Jason shows up at Shane's house. That's when Jason gets involved. There's a body in the back of Zach's truck. Jason gets high. They drive to the river. Jason didn't do anything wrong according to Jason's story. He just grabs Holly -- he watches Zach grab a gun and shoot Holly with a gun that he recognizes being Shane's gun. They pop -- they just pick up the body, put it back in the truck. Jason gets driven back to the Yellow Springs Methodist Church. He's dropped off there, and then that's end of Jason's involvement. So that's the government's story.

The government then says several hours later Jason shows back up at Zach's house looking for a pill. Jason, Shane, Zach, and Dylan go over to Dottie's to see Victor. Jason says to Zach, you don't have to kill her -- or Jason say -- Jason claims that Shane says to Zach, you didn't have to

kill her. Zach says to Shane, you're just as guilty, you hit it. Zach punches Shane. This is all just part of the government's story. Again, none of this directly relates to evidence that shows that there's -- that Zach Adams was involved in the kidnapping, rape, and murder of Holly Bobo. These are things that Jason's claiming is said later.

Two days later Jason meets with Zach and Dylan at the gas station. Zach tells Jason he threw Holly's body near Kelly's Ridge. Jason tries to -- Zach tries to make a deal with Jason to have Dylan killed. Jason accepts the deal. Jason takes Dylan to the river, but his whole plan gets spoiled by a random boater.

Sometime later Jason says he sees buzzards at Kelly's Ridge. He asks the homeowner if he can fish in their pond. And then while stealing deer equipment, Zach tells Jason, looks like we got by with this shit. And Zach tells Jason the real reason they were there were to -- was to show Clint how to make meth, and Holly saw us, raised hell, and that's why we took her. So that's the government's story. It's complete with it's own little motive there as the motive being to cook meth with Clint Bobo.

The government also has Dr. Mark Ross (sic)

that comes in. He says that the cause of death is a gunshot wound to the back of the head. The range of fire is indeterminate. The size of the hole is 9mm by 11mm, .32 caliber bullet, is 32 inches in diameter, and anything that's .30 -- .3 -- not 32 inches, .32 inches in diameter. Anything that's .36 inches or smaller could have made the hole.

2.0

The government pulls in Victor Dinsmore. He comes in, he argues -- he says that he hears Shane and Zach arguing that they're going to -- about who's going to hit it first. Victor trades morphine for Shane's gun at a later point. Victor gives the gun to his wife. Later Victor tells his wife to get rid of the gun. The wife throws the gun in the creek. The gun is retrieved six years later.

They have Steve Deaton come in. He's the water rescue man. He says that after six years, the gun is found laying under 15 inches of water, sitting on top of a drainage feature.

And Mike Frizzell is the government's cell phone expert. He comes in and says that Holly's phone traveled north, and because of the locate and the call records, it puts Holly and Zach in the same general area. The end. That is the end of the government's story.

Well, now it's time to listen to the rest of the story, because when you dig down deep into what the government says and what the facts really are, you'll see that their story is absolutely full of holes. What they're wanting you to do is to ignore all the little base -- all the mini-mysteries and the holes that they have left in their story and to just completely then buy into their theory that what the government cannot explain, that's what Dylan and Shane did. That's going to be their theory in this case.

So the rest of the story is what we have is Candace Wood. She says that she sees a man staring at Holly and her at the Coon Hunt, and that he's standing there scooting dirt. So what she notices — and she says he really creeped her out. But it's the guy who's talking on the cell. She says he's standing there the whole time talking on the cell phone, scooting dirt with his foot, and he keeps looking at her and Holly. And what she also says is that he's standing still in one location, which is what you would expect if somebody's talking on the phone. She said part of what made her suspicious about him was that he just kept standing them, and he didn't move anywhere and was watching them, and

she said it was for 10 or 15 minutes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Well, that's what you would expect if somebody is on the phone. And I'm certain that they were two very attractive women there at the Coon Hunt. Maybe the guy was a creep, maybe he just happened to be on the phone and noticing two women, but she says that she felt threatened by it. And of course, now years later knowing what -- that Holly disappeared a few days later, she has feelings of What if she had told? But clearly, at the time what she saw did not upset her so much that she even would mention it to her boyfriend. At the time she didn't even say, hey, boyfriend, Justin, do you see that guy over there staring at me, he's bothering So what she sees at the time isn't enough to really bother her. It's only when it comes up days later and Holly has disappeared that she starts to think about it and think -- and as she thinks about it and as she stews about it, it, to her mind, grows worse and worse, but all she described is a person talking on the phone scooting dirt.

The other thing is so she draws this sketch of this person, and then she's shown a picture after she does the sketch of Shane Austin, and she says yes, and she identifies the person at that time as

being Shane Austin. But she leaves out some very important features of Shane Austin at the time. The first feature is that Shane Austin has a very big noticeable scar on his face. His mother even testified about it. He had been in a motorcycle accident. He wasn't wearing a helmet. He hit a tree. He was life-flighted to Vanderbilt. And he has a deep scar between his nose and his mouth, and it goes across his cheek.

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other thing is Shane's whole right eye socket was decimated. It was flattened. And they had to have multiple surgeries where they were rebuilding his eye socket, and his eye -- it left his eye droopy. Which means the white of his eye, when you look at him, the white of his eye was showing underneath the iris of his eye. That's something that's very unusual about people, and people would absolutely notice that. If you're looking at somebody, I can see -- even the people that have on glasses, I can still see the whites of their eyes, and I can see the iris of their eyes even if you have on glasses. So she's looking at somebody, and he has a distinct deformity of his eye where the eye socket and the nose bridge has all been broken, and it's not seating the eye properly anymore, that's something

that you would notice.

The other third thing that she doesn't mention is Shane's goatee. Shane wore a goatee because he was self-conscious of his scar, and he wore a goatee to try to help diminish the look of the scar, even though the scar was further out on the cheek and it didn't diminish the whole thing. But Shane had a goatee at the time. Facial hair would definitely be something that you would mention.

So she draws the picture first. She leaves out the three very important distinguishing features of Shane Austin in this picture, and then later when she's shown the photo she says, well, yeah, that's the person. But it doesn't quite fit. Even if you-all were to say, well, I do think that that's Shane Austin there, there's no other evidence that Shane knew anything else about Holly Bobo, that he knew where she lived or who she was or that he did anything more than that that day. His mother clearly testified that he did not have a car, that his car—his truck that he had that was parked behind his trailer had been wrecked, and it barely drove, and it drove sideways, and they took the keys.

She specifically said they took the keys.

Shane didn't have a key to her house, and they locked

the keys away. So Shane, first of all, had no opportunity. He had no transportation that he, himself, would have followed Holly home or known anything at all about Holly and where she lived. So even if you-all were to decide, well, it's possible that it was Shane at the Coon Hunt that was looking at them that day, there's nothing that he did that showed that he would have been dangerous, and certainly nothing that then ties that into any type of kidnapping and rape.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Then we hear Clint Bobo's description of the person that took Holly. He says that person had black hair down to his collar -- down his neck to his collar. So it's long, black hair. He is 5'10 to 5'11, weighs 200 pounds and looks like cousin Richie Pratt. And then we also heard John Walker, who's the Marshal, talk about Clint's description that the man was barrel-chested, had skinny legs, was dressed in a camouflage shirt with a ball cap. And when you look at the pictures -- first of all, if you look at these four men in this case, definitely Zach and Dylan are thinner than 200 pounds. Shane, who might have the physical height and weight, did not have -- barrel-chested. He did not look like he used to work out and didn't work out anymore. He was not

barrel-chested and didn't fit the description of having skinny legs. Plus Shane had short hair. He had short hair, and it was reddish-blonde hair. It was not dark. It was black or dark brown hair. And it wasn't long down to his collar.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The person that took Holly that day thought that Holly was alone. The person that took Holly on April the 13th didn't bother wearing a disguise, because that person had been watching Holly's house. That person was confident that Holly was going to be alone that day. That's why he would bend down in front of -- on the carport for a few minutes talking. If you really thought that somebody was in that house and dialing 911, you would absolutely not have paused there for several minutes, maybe as many as ten minutes, while you're bent down on the carport talking to her. You would be getting out of there. Also -- so that person that came that day dressed that way was not wearing a disquise. That's how they looked, because they thought no one was going to be at home and they would never be identified by anybody.

And then Clint Bobo also identifies

Terry Britt's voice that day as being 80 percent sure that the voice he heard when he heard Terry Britt's

voice was the same voice that was outside on the carport that morning with his sister. You-all heard Zach Adams when he got up to test -- to say he was not guilty. He's the one in this case that said he was not guilty. He had a high voice in that case. He does not have that low, husky smokers voice you-all heard Terry Britt testify in front of you. You know that's a very distinct voice that he had, and it's low.

1.7

Then as part of the rest of the story, we have John Babb. So John Babb says he see a white truck headed south that morning, and it's a full-size pickup truck. Christee Clenney also says she sees a white truck a couple of weeks earlier -- or week or two earlier, but that it was also a full-sized white pickup truck. Well, first of all, this Nissan truck that Jason Autry is trying to put Zach Adams with on the day that Holly disappeared, it's a midsize truck. It's not a full-size truck. And John Babb says also that that truck is heading south on the road that morning, whereas Holly's phone is going north. And he hears that truck approximately around 7:30 that morning.

And so what you did hear from James Barnes -- at James Barnes, when he's testifying, he says that

he's there at his truck. He hears the scream. That just kind of stops him in place. He's then very concerned about this scream. He walks over to the fence. He doesn't hear anything anymore. He comes back to his truck. His wife comes out. He yells at her, get in the truck. And remember, he's facing the end of the driveway. So from the time he hears the scream, he's watching to see what cars are going by. He's facing the end of the driveway. They get in the car. They drive straight to Holly's house.

2.0

They park there in the driveway at Holly's house. No cars come by because he's testified to that, and he's watching for cars. He has heard a scream that changed his morning. He now is driving a different way to work because of this scream. He's looking for cars. He's aware of what's going on. So that white pickup truck did not pass him. That means that white pickup truck came by before Holly came by. It's a non -- it's not evidence. It's not evidence in this case.

So let's talk about Jason Autry. Jason Autry has sold his death penalty to the government. That's what's happened in this case. Jason Autry has a death penalty just like Dylan Adams and Zachary Adams have in this case. And of all the three men, Jason's

the one who sold his death penalty to the government. And he sold it to them, and the only price he had to pay was this tall tale that he came up with in January, because you heard Jason testify that it was around January 20th when -- January 7 -- 18th, 19th, and 20th when he came in and had a three-day proffer with the government in this case.

And so he has attorneys. He has two attorneys, and he pointed them out in the courtroom. He had two attorneys that were sitting here. And so you know in a death penalty case, if you have two qualified death penalty attorneys in your case, those attorneys -- you know, Jason says well, he just expects to -- he doesn't know what he's going to get. And he just expects to get something in return, but he doesn't know what it's going to be. But you know good and well if you've got two qualified death penalty attorneys, those death penalty attorneys are not going to let you go in and just talk up a storm and put yourself in the middle of something unless they know that you're going to at least get death off the table.

And a death penalty -- I'm sure that all of you members of the jury can imagine, the death penalty changes everything. And when somebody is

sitting there facing the death penalty and they know what that is, they have incredible pressure on them to have something change to get that death penalty off the table. And so Jason Autry was able to sell his death penalty to the government for a tall tale.

And he didn't do it until January. And what that means is he's arrested in the spring of 2014 on this crime, and he had years and years. He had three years to sit from 2014 until January of 2017 to sit and perfect his story so that he could finally sell it to the government.

So let's talk about Jason Autry and some of what he did. And as you can see, Jason Autry, he's trying to come across -- sitting up here on the witness stand as we looked at him, he comes across as all shucks, I'm just a good ole boy and just trying to do the right thing, and I was lying when I said I was innocent, and now I've come to you and told the truth. But Jason Autry is no shrinking violet. If you watched him closely and how he handled this whole thing, he absolutely was in control of the courtroom. I mean, he's the one -- he just looked over at the judge and said, Judge, may I approach when he was looking at the maps that the government had for him to look at. And when I was trying to show him --

when we went through that whole long, extracted map -- mapping out on the map where he went that day, he absolute was in control of that.

He is a controlling person, which is going to be important in this story. Jason Autry, he doesn't let other people boss him around. He absolutely took charge from the witness stand, was in command of things. And so the fact that Jason Autry is controlling and tries to be the master of things is going to be important when we continue to talk about his story and what kind of story he came up with that day.

So another thing to remember just about the white truck while we're still here. Remember

John Dodd had a white truck, and there were several people that said they saw John Dodd in that area that morning.

And the thing about Jason Autry's story is he was able to combine it with a little truth. Because the best lies that people tell -- any time somebody tells a really good lie, it has some elements of truth in it, some elements that you can confirm with other people, and that's what Jason Autry has done here.

So first thing he says is that Zach raped

Holly as a brief encounter after Dylan gave him oral sex, and this is an absolutely incredible allegation. One of the things Jason has done is as he's told his story about Zach Adams, who at one time was a friend of his, they were drug buddies. They were drug buddies, into doing drug together. And one of the things he does is he's able to weave so many little pieces in there just to make Zach sound like a bad person.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so one of the first little facts that Jason weaves into his incredible story from what happened that day is that he's talking to Zach and says, well, how was it that you're able to rape her? And he gives the -- he claims that Zach replies, well, that his brother had to suck him off so that he could get hard and rape Holly Bobo. And he adds that, one, just to make a dig at Zach Adams, to hurt someone that was an old friend, and also just to add a touch of homosexually and incest and just really complete the picture that Zach's a bad person. Because it's ridiculous to think that in the middle of this rape, if Jason wants the jury to believe that Zach, Dylan, and Shane all raped Holly Bobo that then there's also a brief session of incest between Dylan and Zach in order to -- in order to sexually arouse

i

Zach to rape Holly Bobo. That's just a silly extra that didn't need to be added, but he added it to make Zach sound bad.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other thing he says is -- the thing to keep in mind also is that when Jason is developing his story, he has access to the discovery, and that's the evidence in the case. And you think about the things that -- the defense put in two evidence in this case. First of all, we put in phone records, and phone records that have the locations with them. You-all can go back there in the jury room when you're deliberating, and you can look at those phone records, and you-all can see that the -- that the locations are there. And you don't have to know exactly where that location is that day. If you look at Jason's phone records, it just has a location, and it has a number. So if you can just match Jason's number with Zach's number, you know they're at the same location, and then you just mix it with a little bit of truth. Because if you can remember from that day a little bit of what you did that day, if you remember, yeah, we were over there by the river area, we were over there at that bar, we were over there at the river area that day, if you remember a little bit of the truth there, then you've got some kind of

story to tell.

And then everybody else says, well, it's true. I mean, everything else must be true, because they were there together and their phones were there together. Well, remember Jason Autry had access to all the evidence in the case. The same access to evidence that Zach Adams and his attorneys had.

The other thing that we've put in is we put in the lab reports. We put in the shoe report that showed there was a shoe print there that day. That's what the defense put in. The defense put in all kinds of other evidence in this case and knew enough about reports and things to ask questions. If you think about -- and I'll try to go through some of it as we go along, but a lot of the "evidence" that Jason Autry talks about, the things that he's using that are going to bolster his story, are things and information that would have been available to him as he was going through the evidence.

And you remember Rita Austin testified that when she went to go see Jason, he showed her the report on the pink panties that are found outside Shane's house, and that the DNA showed that they were not Holly Bobo's underwear. And the DNA showed that it was an unidentified female and male on the

underwear.

2.0

And he also was able to tell them that Holly was shot and which direction the bullet in Holly's skull was going, where it entered, where it exited, and the three shell casings that were found at the site. And those are also the -- that's the evidence that the TBI lab person at the body recovery site talked about, those three same shell casings.

So Jason knew that Brian Vitt was there mowing his grass that morning. You heard Brian Vitt when I questioned him, he admitted that yes, he had given that report to law enforcement early on in the case. And so he had made a report that he was outside that morning along Yellow Springs Road. So that's a fact that Jason would have known by throwing in that this -- these things occurred near there. He now seems to have put himself actually there that morning because he says, well, I saw this person.

But in fact, Brian Vitt didn't see Jason Autry there that morning, and so there's nothing that counter-corroborates Jason's story.

Jason also knew that the cable guy would have been coming to Shane's house that morning. That would have been in a report. And Jason would have known what Victor Dinsmore said because that would

have been in the report. And in fact, Brenda
O'Bryant, she's the woman who's father owned the pond
across from Kelly's Ridge and said that Jason -- that
a person in a PT Cruiser showed up that day. Jason
would have had access, because Brenda O'Bryant said
she called the police just a few days later after
that happened and shortly after Holly disappeared to
report that as suspicious activity in relation to
Holly disappearing. So that's another piece of
information that Jason Autry would have had when he
was preparing his story.

So now, we'll go to our next slide. So

Jason Autry, he's talking about showing up Shane's
house. So he says that he shows up at Shane's house
that morning. And if you'll look at the phone record
evidence that's been put in and the report from our
phone expert, Jason Autry's phone never hits off of
that cell tower that's there by Shane Austin's house.

It doesn't ever show that Jason is over there. So if
you're looking at that as to when Jason might have
been there, it's not on the phone record. It shows
on the phone records that he's over by Zach's house.

He's hitting off of Zach's home tower that morning,
but he's not hitting off of -- he does not hit off at
any time Shane's tower -- Shane's home tower.

So he says he shows up Shane's house. And this is just kind of an incredibly crazy story that he tells. He said Shane is running around with a gun in a holster. And initially when Jason tells his story in January of this year, he says that Shane has a .357 or a .38, and that's the kind of revolver that he's got that day, and he specifically does not mention a .32. But later on when the government finds a .32 and comes back to him and says this is the -- is this the weapon you're talking about, he says in court, well, yes, it's definitely the weapon I'm talking about, I can tell.

Well, first of all, if you're going to believe his story, the gun that he saw, he says it looks just like the gun. But the gun that he saw years ago does not look just like that anymore, because the gun that they have here today is very rusted. It's a rusted gun. It's been cleaned up some, but you wouldn't look at this gun right here with all this rust on it and everything and say, oh, that's the exact gun I saw. Because if you're going to believe Jason's story, the gun has changed significantly. So -- but yet, he is able to just look at that gun and say, oh, yeah, that's the gun for sure.

And anybody that knows guns, and if you're a gun person, you would not confuse a puny .32 caliber revolver with .38 or a .357. If you're a gun person and you know guns, you would definitely know that there's a difference between those two guns.

2.4

So he says that when he pulls up, that
Shane's running around with a gun, and that Dylan is
standing in the doorway with his shirt off, and he
has just this strong smell of meth. He can smell
meth chemicals and meth there. So I think clearly
again, Jason is implying that in the middle of the
whole going and kidnapping, raping, murder of
Holly Bobo, they've also cooked up a batch of meth
that morning.

And boy, those guys were busy, because between I think it's 8:00 that was testified to when Holly's phone leaves the area, and I think that's around the time that Clint Bobo says he sees Holly walking off into the woods right before 8:00, 7:58, approximately, at that time he sees Holly walking off into the woods. So that means between 8:00 and about 9:10, 9:15, whenever Jason says he arrives, they have driven that trip up there, which is a fairly lengthy trip. We had our investigator map those times out, so you can sit down and look at those times. That's

a fairly lengthy trip.

2.0

So it's a long drive that they've gone on.

They've stopped at granny's crib -- corncrib and raped Holly. All three of them have then raped Holly, and then they come back and cooked an entire batch of meth. Again, that whole meth thing, the smell of meth cooking, that's a little fact that, again, Jason Autry has thrown in to make

Zachary Adams look like a horrible person. He just throws in another little semi-crime, just another little criminal behavior that he has there to make him look bad.

So he says he pulls in, and here's how things are set up. We have the PT Cruiser, and he parks right behind Zach's truck. He claims at that time that Zach has his white Nissan pickup truck there with him. There's the PT Cruiser right there. The pickup truck is parked in front of him. And then behind the trailer he says is -- Shane's black truck is parked behind the trailer.

So -- and this is going to be important, because if you're going to believe the State's theory in this case -- and their theory is full of holes. I mean, they have kind of this mystery, everything is under the veil and things are bad, but don't really

pick up the veil and look under it, but here's kind of what's happened. And clearly the State is implying that after Zach and Jason allegedly leave to go to the river and take the body to bury it at the river, but then somehow Shane and Dylan are there and they're the ones that go and dump Holly's possessions, and they're driving the phone around.

I think that's clearly that Dylan is driving the phone around is what the government's trying to imply to you-all and trying to argue. But how could that possibly be when Zach leaves this house, according to Jason's own testimony, all that's left there is this wrecked black truck that's parked behind the trailer? So there's not even a truck there for them to leave -- a running car there for them to leave and drive this 20-minute drive down to where Holly's phone or her lunchbox is found dumped and where her phone pings then at 9:25. So that just doesn't make sense.

Also, let's talk a little bit about

Shane Austin at this time. Shane Austin, who the

government wants you to believe that Shane is -- has

killed himself out of guilt over this case, but

that's not accurate. You heard Shane's mother

testify before you that at the time Shane had had

years of a drug problem. He had had years of being involved with drugs. He tried very hard to get off of drugs. The drugs started well before Holly. I mean, clearly just based on what some of the government's witnesses testified to, Shane had been involved in drugs before Holly disappeared. I mean, Jason even says that Shane was into morphine, and Shane was selling morphine. So if you're going to believe the government's witness, Shane is already into morphine, and this is before Holly is taken.

2.0

And so Shane has had a drug problem. Shane had dried out for a while. He had gotten off the drugs. He had gone to Florida. And you heard his mother also say at one time he had been up in Pennsylvania working. And then he'd gone to Florida. He was working for his brother-in-law in Florida. And while he was down there, he had gotten back on drugs. He was making \$1,500 a week, and after he died, he had no money in his checking account -- \$200 in his checking account. So he clearly was spending a lot of money on drugs while he was in Florida.

His brother-in-law found him high. He said that he was going to send him back home. Shane was facing the possibility of coming back home. He did not -- he had gone off the wagon and was given

multiple chances. He was really, really acting out of control because he completely -- he was too high to get on the airplane when he was supposed to come back home, and he had torn up his hotel room so they took him to a separate hotel room. And so then they find him dead the next -- well, I guess by the time his parents got down there, they discovered that he had died.

But the government wants you to believe that this is all related to Holly. This is not related to Holly. This is related to drugs, too many drugs, and somebody that has a bad problem, especially with a lot of money to spend and in a -- in a city far away from most of the family that could have kept an eye on him and maybe tried to intervene.

So -- and then also the State waved around some kind of note during the -- talking with Rita Austin, Shane's mother, they're waving around this note about read this and what does this say to you and don't you think this means something. But remember, that note doesn't come in as -- for the "truth of the matter". Whatever was said about that, that's hearsay. Doesn't come in.

But there's no question that the people involved in this case had been big talkers and had

said a lot of inappropriate things. But just simply because people were big talkers and saying a lot of inappropriate things is not evidence of in fact they are involved in this case or that they have, in fact, killed Holly Bobo.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Remember, Terry Dicus testified that there were people in the case who had claimed -- who had claimed to have Holly's head in their freezer. There were other people who had claimed to have Holly in the basement. And there were people that were using unfortunately -- and it's very inappropriate, but unfortunately people that used Holly Bobo's name like a verb. You know, I'm gonna -- you're gonna end up like Holly Bobo, I'm gonna Holly Bobo you. You're going to disappear like Holly Bobo. And that's what Terry Dicus admitted to, that it was really hard because there were -- there were, he said, up to 20 people that had made different statements like that during his portion of the investigation. And his portion of the investigation was just through 2013.

So that's unfortunate, but simply because people are using those type of phrases or going around saying those type of things does not mean that they were involved. I mean, after all, there was a lot of meth dealing, especially around certain

people, because we heard a lot in that county. I mean, we heard a lot of people that testified on behalf of the State, and most of them, if they weren't law enforcement, came in and said, yes, at the time, you know, I was using drugs, I was using meth, I was friends with Zach Adams and had been using meth with him. So definitely an issue. So all this stuff about waving this around and what was said, if it had been anything that clearly tied Zach Adams to the murder, certainly we would have heard more about it.

Jason Autry, he says he shows up at Shane's house and notices a body in the bed of a pickup truck. And again, here's another point at which Jason Autry cannot resist his temptation to throw in another little bad fact about Zach Adams just to try to taint him in your eyes is what he's done. Because the first thing he says is I thought it was Little Jojo. You know, I pull up, and I see this body in the back the truck, and I think it's Little Jojo. And then he cannot resist but go into this story about how Zach has a beef with Little Jojo. Zach has planned to kill Little Jojo. They had already discussed killing him over some kind of beef that he has with him. And

again, it's just another way to get in little digs at Zach Adams to make him sound like a bad person. But clearly, that's not an issue in this case. There's — the government didn't present any kind of evidence to show that Zach was, in fact, involved in the planned murder of somebody else, Little Jojo, or — the government didn't even bring up any facts at all about Little Jojo or what happened there. Why? Because it's not there. The government cannot present false evidence to the jury, so they didn't present that, because there's not proof in any way that anything happened to Little Jojo or that Little Jojo was — that there was even any kind of conspiracy to kill Little Jojo, whoever that might be.

So Jason says that he goes through this gate. There's a body in the back of the pick-up truck. Jason says he goes through the open gate at Shane's house. Well, there was no gate at Shane's house at that time. Shane had only been living in that trailer two weeks. His mother testified that he had moved in March 25th of 2011. By April the 13th that's only about two weeks that Shane has been living in that trailer by himself. He got a dog later on. That's when they put the fence in, was

when he got his dog. So at that time there was no fence -- no gate up at that trailer. But it's something that Jason Autry remembered from another time. He remembered at some point there was a gate there. That's how you know that Jason Autry's not remembering from that day and what happened. He's just made -- he's just taken an amalgamation of different memories that he has from that property and put them all together. You know, he's just done a mishmash of things. So that's how you know that what he says on that day did not happen, because there was no gate.

He also says that he sees the burn barrel.

Rita Austin testified that at that time during those two weeks shortly after he moved in that Shane did not have a burn barrel. That at that time they were still taking Shane's trash for him. They had gotten him a trash can from his sister's husband's work, one that had a lid strapped on it that they could keep the animals out of, and they took that trash can and put it up there.

On rebuttal the government brought in

Dana Bobo to say that he remembers a burn barrel

there. Well, if there were a burn barrel there and

if you're going to believe Jason Autry's story that

there's a burn barrel going and that the flames are shooting so the burn barrel -- a 55-gallon burn barrel is this high, perhaps, you know, to midwaist, and then the flames are shooting three to four feet above the burn barrel, one, that is a really hot fire you've got going if you've got flames going up that high in the burn barrel. And he didn't say it was just for a second or two. You know, he implies that the burn barrel is going while he's there and everybody's running around crazy.

Well, if you've got this burn barrel there, if the burn barrel truly was there, why wouldn't you throw Holly's thing in it? I mean, it sounds like it's a really hot fire. It would have burned that stuff up. Why wouldn't you go ahead and drop those receipts that were at the end of Shane's driveway in the burn barrel? Why wouldn't you go ahead and throw the notebook or the lunchbox and the little lunch that had been made all in the burn barrel? Why would you run the risk of dropping those things out right by your grandmother's house and right up the road from you and 70 feet in front of your driveway? Why would you drop that all there? Why would you leave the purse at the body recovery site if you had the purse there with you and could just dump it in the

burn barrel?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

It's because there wasn't a burn barrel there that day and because there wasn't a fire, because this is made up by Jason Autry. And yet, there's parts of the story that Jason can't explain such as why if you have a burn barrel do you have -- do you still have things like the receipt found at the end of the driveway.

So Jason says he pulls up, and the first thing he does is he gets high. So again, in the middle Jason's story doesn't make sense. Because what Jason says is that they're in the middle -- that Zach, Shane, and Dylan are in the middle of the kidnap, rape, and murder of Holly Bobo and yet everybody pauses -- they're running around like crazy, because remember the cable guy's coming and they have brought a dead body back to the trailer right when the cable guy's going to be there in just a few hours. If this is 9:00, the cable guy's coming at noon, you got three hours to get your meth cooked, all the stuff from your meth cooking cleaned up. It smells terribly like meth chemicals. And they pause -- everybody pauses, and they do a drug deal. So he sells him a morphine pill for \$50 is what Jason says.

So Jason seeing the body there in the back of

2672

He breaks his pill in half. He gets out his spoon.

He melts the -- he mixes it with some water he has in his car. He melts the morphine pill up and cooks it.

He injects it in himself. So he gets it all injected into himself, and he sits there for about ten minutes I think he said getting high. So that's what Jason does.

And then when he gets out of the truck, he's like, hey, what up with the dead body? It just -- so nonchalant. There's a dead body there, and you're like, well, hey, why did you kill Little Jojo? Like it's just no big deal. They say oh, that's not Little Jojo, that's Holly Bobo.

And so Jason Autry absolutely knows that
Holly Bobo is his third cousin. He spent summers
with his grandmother. His grandmother was Sudie
Autry. That's his father's mother. It's his
paternal grandmother. They all live right there in
Parsons area. His grandmother lived not very far
from Holly Bobo off of Five Forks Road.

So they're all sitting there. He says, oh, that's Holly Bobo. Now, Jason wants everybody to believe that he did not know that Holly Bobo was his third cousin when his father and Dana Bobo are first cousins. He's like who's Holly Bobo? And then Zach

says to him, hey, man, can you do me a solid, can you help me get rid of this body? And he's like, sure, I'm in. So Jason's just like automatically in on getting rid of a body. It's a person that he's not responsible for killing.

Jason is street smart. He admitted he had a long history of convictions. He is street smart. A street smart person that sat there and testified does not just automatically put themselves in in the middle of a murder with a dead body if they don't have a reason to. So that's a silly -- silly to believe that somebody like Jason Autry would just automatically be in on helping get rid of a body. Sure, I'll help you get rid of the body. And they says, well, bury the body -- will you help us bury the body? So he says, I'll do it, but I don't want these other two guys knowing I'm involved, so I'll meet you up at the church. Because he just -- for whatever reason, he's willing to work with Zach, but he doesn't want to work with Dylan and Shane.

Well, the reason it has to be that way is because Dylan and Shane's phones are not with Jason's phone that day. It's Jason and Zach's phones that are together that day out on that Birdsong exit, that Birdsong 7 Tower -- sector of that tower, and he

knows it. So he can't put those other two with him because he knows that they both have records that show them other places around the time he's out at the Birdsong Tower. So he's not going to go there.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So he says, sure, I'm not going to have these two guys. So they get in the -- his gets in his PT Cruiser. He backs out first. He goes, parks at the church. He says Zach comes up -- pulls up. Doesn't even pull in. He just pulls up on the street, he gets in the car with Zach, and they're driving away. And it's about this time that he realizes they don't have a shovel or a pickaxe. Well, if you're going to go bury a body, clearly that's the thing you need the most. Why would Zach ask him to come help bury a body if Zach knew that he didn't have a shovel or a pickaxe? Why wouldn't Zach have prepared, at least in his head, about where they were going to get a shovel to bury a body with if Zach specifically said will you help me bury this body? Because he didn't say Zach said help me dispose of the body. He said Zach says help me bury this body. Surely if Zach's already thought that they're going to bury it, he's already got a plan of where he's going to get a shovel or pickaxe to bury the body with. But he doesn't, and that doesn't make sense as part of

Jason's story. So Jason says can you come help me (sic).

2.4

They start to drive and Jason realizes, darn it, we don't have a shovel or a pickaxe, and that's when Jason tells Zach that one time he had seen a body floating. So Jason just happens to have on another occasion seen a body floating in the Tennessee River, or at least that little slough there on the side of the river, a body he didn't report at the time. He doesn't mention to anybody that he sees a body, but he sees this body floating in the Tennessee River.

And he says, you know, I saw a body. The bodies that I've seen -- the body I saw floating was floating because gases built up in the intestine and you have to gut the body in order to make sure it sinks. So when he says this to Zach. They have no discussion. Jason's testimony from this seat is they have no discussion about where this occurred at the Tennessee River. But Zach -- he doesn't tell Zach where to go. He never says drive here, drive there. Zach by coincidence drives to the exact place where Jason happened to have seen a body floating in the Tennessee River. The Tennessee River is a massive body of water, but he happens to drive to the exact

slough. Not just the same Nick's Landing area or Brodie's Landing area, he happens to drive to the exact slough where Jason saw a body floating years earlier.

2.0

Also, it just doesn't make logical sense that you'd go there. I mean, the one thing that Jason knows about bodies is when a body is in that spot, it is floating. And Jason even admitted on the stand that that spot right there is full of stumps. It's stale water, stagnant water. It's not flowing as part of the channel of the Tennessee River. It's a slough. And he admitted that there's lots of stumps there.

So you're going to take a body -- if there's stumps, it's shallow water. You're going to take a body that you're trying to hide from people and you want to sink down to the bottom, that's why you're going to gut it so the body sinks, and you're going to sink it in a place that's shallow enough it has tree stumps sticking up from the bottom? That doesn't make logical sense that you would do that.

So -- and remember, at this time Jason says that they stop there. They parked the car -- parked the truck, and Jason gets in the back. He jumps up into the truck. I mean, clearly he's not afraid of

dead bodies, because he's the one that first puts hands on this dead body as he's claiming. He jumps up into the truck. He grabs the body by the torso, picks up just the blanket. He just picks up the blanket and sits it right at the end of the truck so that then he and Zach can grab it together.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They walk it over to this rip-rap, which he's says is -- you know, the certain angle there. He gave the number. I'm sorry I don't remember exactly what number he said it was. But he sets the body on this rip-rap at an angle sitting up. And I asked him, he says as he's moving the body over there, he admitted that the body is bending in the middle. That the -- that the -- it bent, you know, like this, the feet and the legs come up. So we know that then this alleged body, he's claiming this is Holly's body, is facing face up, because it wouldn't bend this way if it were face down. So we know it's face up. He sits it on the rip-rap. He says the head, torso part to the top. The feet towards the bottom. He says Zach goes back to get a knife out of the fanny pack. It's at that moment that he claims that the leg moves and he hears a sound.

Well, he says this tells him that the body is -- that the person is still alive. Well, if Jason

is to be believed, one, the medical examiner said himself that bodies express gas and can make noises after they're dead. So simply because he hears a noise come from the body doesn't necessarily mean that the body is alive or that the foot moves. But Jason — and Jason never checks to see if the body's alive. Jason never even checks to see if it is truly Holly Bobo. It's not — Jason is clearly not bothered with knowing exactly who the person's identity is.

But he says that there's this orange spot on top of this red -- sorry, a blood spot on the blanket the size of an orange at the top of the torso. He sits the body down. He then hears it make a noise. He's all upset now. She's heard our names, you know, and he begins to curse bad curse words and yelling he -- she's heard our names and now she can identify us. Well, I asked specifically on cross-examination, Jason, when did she hear names, because he says the only conversation -- first of all, he claims that they drive all the way there with this body in the back wrapped up in a quilt. So they're driving on open roads where other cars -- they might pass other cars, and they have a body in the back of a -- the truck wrapped in a quilt and that's all.

Jason says when he pulls up in the driveway, he can tell it's a body wrapped up in a farm blanket style -- an old farm style blanket quilt. So he can pull up and he sees that this -- he can tell when he looks at it in the back of the truck it's a body, because he instantly says why did you kill Little Jojo. So he thinks it's a body.

So why, why would a logical person drive around with a body that's clearly recognizable as a body wrapped up in a blanket with a size of an orange blood spot near the top of the torso? Why would you drive around with that in the back of your pickup, you know, 20 minutes over -- 25 minutes over to the river? And we have -- the investigator drove that route that Jason Autry gives as to how long it takes, and we have all those times over there. Why would you drive -- why wouldn't you at least put cardboard over it or pop something on top of it so if somebody drives by you they wouldn't notice that it's a body in the back of your truck? That's a ridiculous story, but this is a story that Jason has come up with. It's what he needs to sell to the prosecutor.

So -- and the next thing that's ridiculous is if you look at this map where he's talking about, as they turn off Ward Hill and they have -- the

government has provided these overhead satellite pictures. I provided some satellite pictures. As you drive along this road and it snakes along, Jason even says it's a -- it's a narrow road. There are no margins. There's no -- on the side of the road. can't think of the word to know. Not margin, median. Shoulder. Yes, there's no shoulder on the side of the road there to really get over. Why would you -if you're going to be stuck with a body, you're going to -- it's you, Zach Adams, and a dead body of some young, beautiful girl, why would you go down in an area that has only one access in and one access out? Somebody else comes along that area and they're in the middle of that road, you are stuck. And if it's law enforcement that just wants to come up and just be a little nosy about why are you there, you can't get out. There's not a second road out of there because you are landlocked -- you're waterlocked in there in that little -- why would you go there with a body that you don't want anyone to catch you with? That doesn't make sense either. But -- and it's a narrow road there. So that's where Jason says that Zach automatically just drove to where he had seen a dead body before.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Anyway, they set the body on top. He says,

oh, she's alive, now she's heard our names. Although Jason on cross-examination doesn't give any specific examples of when they would have heard his name. Because as far as he knows, they've never -- Zach's never called him out by name. The only conversation they had was about the dead body driving over there, and there wasn't any other conversation on the way there.

So he says -- so now Zach pulls out a gun.

Jason is like, wait, let me go check. So Jason runs down to the end of the curb in the road to make sure nobody is coming. Well, that's probably a wise idea considering the fact that it's a -- only one road in, one road out. But he's not at the very end of the road. Anyway, he runs down there to make sure nobody's coming. Gives Zach the all clear. Zach shoots the body.

Now, this doesn't make sense. He says, Zach walks up and standing over at the top of the body, and he shoots the body. Well, he's already described laying Holly Bobo down on her back. You know, she bent in the middle. I asked him. He lays her down on her back. Zach stands up and shoots. We heard the medical examiner say that the exit wound was in the back right-hand side and came out through the

front. So how does he shoot her? He did not say -and this will be very important. I know I walked him
through it piece by piece. I know it was painful for
the jury to have to sit there and listen piece by
piece as I said, then what did you do next, then what
did you do next, how did Zach stand. He says, Zach
stands over her and shoots her. He does not say,
Zach lifts her up, flops her head down so now she's
on her stomach, and shoots her. He doesn't. He says
he's standing up over her and leans down and shoots
her. That's not the wound that's on Holly Bobo's
skull. So that's not what happened.

That's again, Jason's made up a story, but he's not thinking it through long enough to get it the right direction. And he says it was going from -- if you listen to the medical examiner, you know, goes slightly from low to high. You know from lower to higher, a little from left to -- from right to left. And so that's not how Jason describes that gunshot at all.

And at this point, I would like for you-all when you get back there in deliberations, please look at Exhibit 241. This is the Birdsong seven sector. This is about all the times that the people in this case, their cell phones, were over in that area

pinging off of that tower. It's very important because Jason Autry, you know, he's claiming that they're over there that day for something nefarious. Well, that's all Jason has to do in order to make this story right is, he just remembers a little bit that he was over there that day with Zach Adams, and then he can make a big killing story out of it, and he gives the government what they want because what the government really needs for their first degree death penalty case is an eyewitness the murder. And so that's what Jason Autry has given them is an eyewitness to the murder, and that's what they needed to get the death penalty.

2.3

And so -- but if you look at all the times they're over there, Jason Autry's over there on April the 6th, April the 9th. He's -- Zach Adams is over there on April the 10th. Dylan Adams is over there on April the 11th. Zach is over there on April the 11th later on that day. And then Jason Autry and Zach are over there together on the 11th from about 9:30 through sometime that -- 9:30 in the morning through about 5:00 in the afternoon Jason and Zach, their phones are both over there hitting off of that tower. They're over there together. That's two days before this alleged murder took place. They're both

over there hitting off of that same tower on the river.

Then Jason and Zach are back over there on the 13th. On the 14th Jason goes back and this -Jason and Zach are both back over there on the 14th at 6:44 p.m. hitting off of that same tower. They're over there -- they're still over there on the 14th till about -- looks like about 9:00 -- 9:30.

So why, why in goodness sakes would you, if you're sitting there, your friend has just shot this person, boom -- and Jason describes the shot rings out. It echoes boom, boom, boom, boom. It's this really loud -- birds -- all the Purple Martins go flying (indicating), and he hears this mystery boat start in the background, vroom, vroom. So he's like we've got to get out of here, we may have been seen, or somebody's here, we might be seen. They don't even dispose of the body. They're in such a rush to get out of there -- because he knows. Jason is a wise man. He knows they're on federal property. This is now a federal crime to shoot a gun on federal property, and they are absolutely afraid of what would happen. So they have to get out of there.

Why in the world would you go back the very next day and be in the very same spot again the next

day with the same person that you just shot and killed somebody with the day before? You wouldn't do that. That's ridiculous. That's how you know by looking at these records that they're not really there in order to kill Holly Bobo. They're there for other reasons, because they go back right back on the 14th, the next afternoon. Jason's back over there again. You can look at these records, but on the 15th, the 22nd, the 27th. So Jason's over there with Zach on a regular basis.

2.4

This is a poplar place. Rita Austin

testified it's a greenway where people go down there
and walk. It's a poplar place to go fishing. People
go down there to go fishing a lot. It's not a

place -- if you -- if you have a whole county,

Decatur County, a huge county, you live on a big

bunch of land out in Decatur County and there's so

many abandoned, empty places where there -- nobody
is, where you're out in the woods in the middle of

nowhere, why, why would you go to a poplar fishing

spot to dispose of a body? You wouldn't. That just
doesn't make logical sense. That's not where you

would go to take a body is -- to federal land where
it's a poplar fishing spot.

And the whole thing about using a .32,

anybody that has a .32, that knows a .32, use your common sense. It's a .32 -- a .32 is a slow caliber bullet. Is it going to go all the way through a skull and come out the other side?

1.0

So they say they pick up the body, but yet -you know, that the TBI had lab technicians come in
and testify about the body recovery site. They went
over the body recovery site piece by piece. I mean,
she was saying they were shoveling little scoops of
dirt up, just little piece by little piece and
sifting them to see what they could find in the dirt.
So they were doing that. And you heard all about
Holly Bobo's house and how the TBI lab people went
over there, and they went through piece by piece and
just looked at all the evidence they had. They took
out all kinds of evidence from her house.

What we didn't hear from the TBI was about the lab people that went to search Shane Austin's house. The prosecution didn't put -- not Shane Austin. The prosecution did not put on people to testify about the search of Zach Adams' house. And I asked Brent Booth about it. He said they took hundreds of items -- could be hundreds out of items that were there. That it could be as many as 500 items that the lab tested in this case looking for

evidence. And yet, this government doesn't put those witnesses on. Why? And what about the body recovery site? You know, if at the body recovery site they're there sifting through things, you know that they went to the Tennessee River and did the same thing. They didn't put on any proof about anybody being at the Tennessee River sifting through the dirt looking for little pieces of bone fragment, teeth, quilt, any kind of DNA or blood evidence from where Holly was shot there on the rip-rap. They didn't put that in. Why? Because there's nothing that showed up there.

So their story is is that she's shot with this gun up there on the rip-rap. They pick up the blanket, put it back. Jason doesn't say we stopped and then got everything. Well, if she's shot and if she's shot, if you see that it comes out the mouth -- because if you look at the skull, the bullet exits right here through the top of the jaw and the teeth and everything, then you would think they would also -- the bullet, if it penetrates the skull like that, would go through the quilt, because the quilt's nothing compared to bone and skull. The bullet would go through the quilt, and then you would also have the teeth and pieces of the quilt that would come apart, pieces of bone fragment. It might just spray

everywhere. And yet, none of that's left behind.

Jason doesn't say that they sit down and pick up all the little pieces. How do they account for that being gone? How do they account for the fact that you can find teeth at the body recovery site, but there's no kind of evidence at all at the Tennessee River?

2.4

So Jason says that he -- that -- now, we've switched to the next slide. Jason says that he recognizes now that Zach is in a bind but not him because it's -- Jason tells the story. He threads the needle. It's like sending a needle through some kind of material where you're so careful you never catch a single thread of the material. You weave it through exactly.

So Jason has just woven this very careful story where he leaves some of the evidence that he knows is in the case in, but he's carefully kept himself out. After all, he is not involved in the kidnapping in this case. He's not involved in the rape in this case. And he certainly is not, from the way he describes it, involved in the murder in this case. He's simply a witness to the murder. He's not involved in the rape, and he's not involved in the kidnapping, because he's carefully kept himself out

of all the bad stuff.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

He's more worried that day about being late for lunch with his girlfriend than he is about what's just happened in this whole body disposal.

And the government has also mentioned about the 911 call that day and what the 911 call shows us. Well, I'll tell you what the 911 call from that very day shows us. It shows us, one, Zach didn't have a car or truck. He doesn't have a vehicle, because he's desperately trying to get his brother to provide him with one or his grandfather to provide him with one. He wants his grandfather's van and his brother's truck. It also shows that Zach does not have a gun on that day, because his grandfather says he didn't have a gun. And it shows that Zach is absolutely obsessed with his girlfriend, Rebecca, because he says, "Paw, you just don't understand. love Becca so much". So he's on the -- he's crying to his grandfather. He's not violent. He's there crying with his grandfather, begging for a car, begging his grandfather to please not call the police. Please don't violate his bond, because he's already bonded out on the charges at Natchez Trace. Please don't violate my bond. I'm digging myself in a hole. I've got to get out of it. That's what it

shows Zach Adams' state of mind was that day.

If we can just skip to the next slide? But Jason Autry, let's talk about what's wrong with Jason Autry's story. Clearly Jason Autry is a psychopath. But Jason Autry was very well coached when he was giving his story, because three times he used the word allegedly. If you're really telling a story about what you saw, you would never ever use the word allegedly. You would say, yeah, I was there, I was at the -- I was where they killed her. You know, I was right there where they killed her. This is where they shot her. This is where they dumped the body. You would never say the alleged dump -- "dump site". So let's listen to Jason Autry in his own words say that at trial.

(WHEREUPON, an audio was played to the jury.)

Okay. Let's listen to the second time he uses the word allegedly.

(WHEREUPON, an audio was played to the jury.)

So again, how -- allegedly how he knew her. (WHEREUPON, an audio was played to the jury.)

So, I mean, if something happens to you and

you know it happens and you're telling it from memory, you would never use common language about something allegedly happened. You use allegedly if you're not sure something happened if you're talking about something somebody else said. That's a word that law enforcement uses when they're describing things. It's not a word that a witness would normally use.

2.0

If we can go to the next slide. So Jason's story then as he shows up that day later on. So he already had a pill, which is two servings of morphine according to him. But after they dropped Zach off, he shows up just a couple of hours later because now he's looking to buy another pill of morphine he says. And he says that he goes over -- they go over, starts at Zach's house, they all get in Dylan's truck. And if you remember, Victor doesn't say he sees Dylan.

Jason says Dylan is there, but Victor doesn't say he sees Dylan.

They all get in Dylan's truck with Dylan,
Shane, Zach, and Jason, and they drive over to
Dottie's where for some reason they know Victor is
there. How did they know Victor's at Dottie's? What
really happened is Zach, that morning after he gets
back from over by the Tennessee River with Jason,

Zach went, picked up Shane Austin at Shane's house. The phone records show that Zach is over there by Shane's house. Then Shane and Zach drive to Parsons. The phone records show that Zach and Jane -- that Zach and Shane are in Parsons. They go to Parsons directly after the Tennessee River. So if you look at their -- if you look at their timing that day and their phone records, their phone records go straight from about the Tennessee River, Zach's do, over to Shane's for just -- Shane's home tower for just a moment, and then they go into Parsons.

So if you've got a dead body in the back, that doesn't make sense, because where did the dead body in back of your white Mazda pickup truck go? You go to Shane's, and then you just drive directly into Parsons. That doesn't make sense there. And Rebecca Urp testified -- strike that.

So the -- but they -- it doesn't make sense though that you would be in Parsons and -- with a dead body in the back or blood in the car. So they go to -- what really happened is, as they're driving back from Parsons there with Shane -- it's just Shane and Zach and Dylan in the truck. They're driving Shane back home and you did hear testimony that where Dottie's house is -- Dottie Cooley's house is on Pugh

Road, you would pass by that as one of the ways you're coming back from Parsons. You'd would pass by there on your way to take Shane home.

So as they're getting ready to take Shane home, because he has to be there to meet the guy that's going to install the cable that morning, that's when they drive by Dottie Cooley's house and they see Victor's truck there. That's how it comes about — the story that Jason tells doesn't make any sense, because if you're going by what Jason says, how would they even know that — that Victor Dinsmore is over at Dottie Cooley's house doing any kind of work?

But they go over there to buy a pill -- a morphine pill from Victor. Jason Autry, when he testified to it, gave a long, drawn out explanation of how they bought that pill, that morphine pill, how they passed it back and forth, the money back and the pill back. But that day, Victor Dinsmore denied that he had sold a pill to Jason Autry. He said they came over there, and they were doing meth. Then you also hear this whole business about -- that they got in a fight because they let Shane hit it. Jason testified that they got in a fight about who -- they didn't have to kill Holly, and that Zach says, you know,

you're just as guilty. 1 2 But if you listen to what Victor Dinsmore said, he said -- he testified to the fact that they 3 were fighting over who was going to hit it first and 4 let's listen to what Victor Dinsmore said. 5 (WHEREUPON, an audio was played to the 6 7 jury.) 8 Okay. And so, now looking back, Victor says, 9 well, that was them fighting about who was going to rape Holly. But if you're going to believe 10 Jason Autry's story, at that point Holly was already 11 12 dead, and Holly had already been raped. So what 13 could hitting it mean? If we go to the next slide. 14 Jason Autry claimed that hitting it meant 15 rape, but if you listen to what he says, he uses the word hit to mean a puff off of a meth pipe. Let's 16 17 listen him. 18 (WHEREUPON, an audio was played to the 19 jury.) 20 Now, he says it again. 21 (WHEREUPON, an audio was played to the 22 jury.) So it's more likely just looking at the 23 evidence that plainly is is that they're over there 24

and they're fighting about who's going to take the

25

first puff of marijuana. Remember that the statement that they gave to Terry Dicus was that they went to Parsons, and then they used drugs for the rest of the day. So it would make sense that then they're using the meth -- that then they're over there smoking marijuana with Victor Dinsmore.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Let's talk about Dylan a little bit because now at this point Jason's talking about Dylan. So remember Dylan, we've heard testimony that he was in special education when he was in school, that he was -- had mental issues -- mental educational problems, and that he was special needs. He couldn't tell time. He lived with his grandfather. He wasn't able to handle his money. Remember we also heard testimony that Dylan had charges in federal court. That's how this case started. And he was released, we heard -- Brent Booth testified from the stand right there that Dylan Adams was released from his federal case to go to live with Dennis Benjamin. And as part of his terms of his supervised release from federal court was to go live with Dennis Benjamin. Which means that if Dylan -- I mean, if that's part of your terms of your supervised release, if you leave there, if you're not living with that person, they can violate you for violating the terms of your

supervised released. You can be put back into custody.

So he's sent to go live with Dennis Benjamin, and Dennis Benjamin is somebody that Dick Adams said he didn't think Dylan even knew Dennis Benjamin.

Dennis Benjamin was not a friend of the family, nobody that they were close to at all.

So he's released to go live with a stranger. Why is that? That's because at that point in 2014 the TBI was desperate. They were absolutely desperate. Brent Booth said -- sat here and testified that, no, it was just internal pressure to solve the case. There was no serious or tough pressure on anybody to solve the case. But then as rebuttal witness, the government calls in Jack Vanhooser.

Jack Vanhooser sits there and testifies and says that Terry Dicus is removed from the case. This is in -- sometime in 2012, 2013 that they said

Terry Dicus was simply just focused on Terry Britt.

They didn't have any reason to charge Terry Britt.

And so Terry Discus is taken off the case. That is incredible pressure. Once they took Terry Dicus off the case, he had not solved it two years into it,

2012, 2013, he had not solved it. They took him off

the case, and they replaced him with another agent.

That is pressure. Everybody from then on knows you have to find somebody to charge in this case. It is absolute pressure. So they're going to find somebody in this case to charge.

And so what do they need at that point? They absolutely needed some kind of confession from somebody. That is when they focused on Dylan Adams, who is special ed, and they had him go live with a stranger as part of his supervised release program, because they needed to get this case started.

Okay. My projector. There it goes.

Then Jason says two days later -- can we go back a -- there. Two days later they're going to -- he says that Zach tries to make a deal with Jason to kill Dylan. Again, this is just for the benefit of making Zach look like a bad person and a bad brother that he would then want to have his brother killed. So they're going to bring -- so Jason -- tries to make a deal with Jason. Jason has to think about it. Finally he says, yes, I accept the deal. I will kill Dylan for you, but then I'm going to kill you. And he says he takes Dylan out to the river, and they go fishing first. And they've been fishing for a while before this man comes up, this strange unknown boater

comes up. This strange unknown boater comes up, has now seen them and spoiled the plan. Well, if he really intended to kill Dylan, why didn't he go back and do it a day or two later? Dylan wasn't any the wiser. It doesn't make sense that that was the one and only time he had an opportunity to kill Dylan. If he'd made up his mind, why didn't he do it? It's because it's not true. He just says, that because he really, really needs a way to make Zach look bad again. Plus, it also kind of -- by putting him maybe in a little bit of a crime makes him seem like he's kind of bought into the whole crime thing, so he's not perfectly clean hands.

But again, Jason Autry weaves that needle through that material so nothing sticks, because it's not actually a killing. He doesn't actually kill Dylan. He doesn't actually bring any harm to Dylan, and so there's no crime that you can actually come back on him and say that he's done something wrong.

The other thing that the State has done is they've brought in this stuff about Dylan. So Jason says he talks with Dylan, and Dylan tells him something and -- of course, that's hearsay, whatever Dylan tells him. But then the next thing it is -- Jason does is he goes and looks in his granny's barn

to see what's there. Well, again, that's just a backdoor way of trying get in -- they're certainly implying that some kind of rape or something occurred in this -- his grandmother's barn. This is Jason's grandmother's barn, just like Shane's grandmother's. It's the one -- it's the grandmother that they share in common. So he says that, you know, something bad has happened in the grandmother's barn. But if you think about it and what's gone on there, it's not true. Jason Autry knew. He knew that that barn was torn down in 20 -- end of 2012, beginning of the 2013. So when he's telling his story in January of 2017, it's -- once again, he knows that this is something that cannot be traced. He knows that this cannot come back to him.

The same way that he talks -- if we skip to the next slide when he's talking about being at Kelly's Ridge. He sees in the report. He probably was going there to burglarize the home. You heard that the men in the home had all gone out to search. So probably cars were gone. They had gone out to search for Holly Bobo. Jason's probably thinking that home's abandoned at that time. He goes up there. But he sees in the -- he sees in the discovery that they were there. That they had been

there to search that house -- that the woman had called in a report about him being there. So again, this is something he can try to fill in. But if you listen to the testimony, Kelly Ridge Road T-bones into those people's property. Jason wasn't there to try to figure out where the body had gone. Because even if he were up there fishing, that road T-bones. Kelly's Ridge is on the other side of the road and going directly away from him. So he wouldn't have been there to try to look for a body. Jason says buzzards are there. That's something that cannot ever be disproved, saying oh, on a certain day buzzards were there.

But it doesn't make sense. It doesn't make sense that Zach Adams would have taken Holly Bobo's body there, dumped it there along with the purse and all the teeth and everything, and then at some point later on came back, moved the body, went ahead and moved the purse. If you were going to move the purse, why wouldn't you just pick up the purse and burn it at that time? Why would you go to the trouble of moving the purse but still keeping the ID right there with it? It doesn't make sense that he did that.

The other part that doesn't make sense is he

Says that this is a -- that Zach then tells him about Clint Bobo, and that the real reason that they were there was to teach Clint Bobo how to make meth and that Holly saw us, and she raised hell and that's why we took her. That's not -- that's not a good explanation. First of all, you heard Clint Bobo on the stand say that if he had known anything at all about his sister he would have told. Even if they had been there to make meth, Clint Bobo was not going to protect somebody that he has absolutely no loyalty in taking his sister. That -- I mean, it's one thing to be involved in drugs, but drugs usually get you probation. It -- absolutely he would not have protected Zach Adams from being involved in the disappearance of his sister.

2.4

Let's look real quick at what does

Dr. Marco Ross say. He says the hole in the skull is

9 millimeters by 11 millimeters, but he based that -he used the anthropology report. Which if you look
at Exhibit 174, and it's on page 13 of 16, that's
where the anthropology report is, it's part of the
autopsy report. That hole is 9.24 millimeters by

12.10 millimeters. And if you take that reading,
anything -- well, Dr. Ross testified that anything
less than .36 inches could have made the hole. But

if you're going to take the biggest reading there, that's based on a 9.24 millimeter hole. He also testified that bullets may not be round. At the time of the impact bullets can morph and be different shapes. So if you're going to use that 12.10 millimeter, a bullet as big as .48 inches could have made the hole according to Dr. Ross. But a .32 caliber bullet is actually .3125 inches in diameter.

So we can flip to the next slide. It's the .380. A .380 is .355 inches in diameter. It's not actually .38 inches in diameter. Just because it's something -- a bullet is a certain caliber does not mean that, in fact, is the same size. So a bullet -- it's less than .36 inches in diameter and could have made that mark -- could have made that -- not the mark but the hole in the skull. So there was a .380 found at the site of the skull at the body recovery site. That's the bullet that killed Holly Bobo. It's not this .32 that they have.

Holly Bobo was murdered where her body was found. What happened is the person that took her drove north. If you look at the cell phone records and her cell phone records, the person that took her drove north, and that's where her phone pauses. And does -- her phone does not pause in the Yellow

Springs Road exit -- area. The phone pauses up there when she's on Shiloh 2, Shiloh 1 area. Like our cell phone expert showed, that's where her phone is for 30 minutes and is unaccounted for.

2.0

Once it hits Yellow Springs, once it comes back online and they start doing the pings, that's when the phone actually is moving. The phone moves. Each time they ping, they get a different number because the phone is not staying still. The phone is actually moving. So starting at 8:56 when they get their first ping, that phone is moving constantly, and it moves quickly by where Jason Autry's grandmother's barn would have been. The phone moves by there very quickly, and then goes down to where it's seen at 9:25, which is the last ping they get off of it.

But -- so what happens is the person that takes Holly -- takes her up there, probably rapes her at the body recovery site, where the body's eventually recovered. It's up there beyond the Verizon cell phone tower. So that's on a tower anyone is pinging off of. Takes her up there, rapes her, leaves her purse, because Holly's probably had her purse with her, was carrying it on her shoulder. Takes her up there, rapes her, kills her there, just

leaves her purse. Pulls the \$20 out of it. But does not bother to take the ring. If these are a bunch of meth heads and if meth heads truly are doing something just for the money, they would have taken that diamond promise ring. But the person that took Holly, didn't do it. Leaves her purse there, gets back in the car, and as he's driving away, the person that took Holly, probably Terry Britt, as he's driving away, he then no longer has Holly in the car. He's making a big circle back towards his house. He's throwing things out the window. That's why he would throw things out the window in front of the grandmother's house, Jason Autry's grandmother's house, and Shane's driveway, because it's not Shane. Even the biggest meth head wouldn't throw evidence of what they've just done right out in their driveway in front of them, especially if they had a burn barrel. So that's what's happened there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Victor Dinsmore initially did not remember that the white pickup truck was hidden in his garage. It's only after Brent Booth -- and Brent Booth was under a lot of pressure at the time to solve the case and to get additional evidence for this trial, that Brent goes to see him and -- in May of this year and says, let me tell you what's going to be testified

to. It's going to be testified to that Zach Adams' white pickup truck is parked in your garage, and Victor says, no, absolutely not. And then Victor suddenly remembers, oh, yeah, it was.

2.4

I'm sure that Victor -- Victor Dinsmore at some point was a suspect. Even if not very long, he knows he was a suspect. He knows that things were thrown out on either side of him. He was told by law enforcement that Zach Adams was trying to use him as an alibi. That made him mad. So he's, of course, thinking that Zach is trying to somehow use him as an alibi. He wants to make sure that doesn't happen. He gets mad at Zach, although that they had been friends at one time, he gets mad at Zach. And so he is going to agree with what law enforcement says because he absolutely, one, wants to assist in law enforcement, and there's a \$250,000 reward out, and because he's irritated with Zach thinking that Zach has somehow tried put him in the middle of this.

But initially Victor Dinsmore said that it was a .38 or .357 that he traded with Jason Autry.

And again, a little bit of truth is always something.

Remember Victor Dinsmore said that he had a second

.32. Now, he identifies this gun here, this rusty
gun as clearly that's the same gun he saw then. But

remember, Victor Dinsmore said he had a .32 that he threw into his cousin's pond. His cousin who lives up there in the Parsons area, he threw a .32 in her pond years before they got rid of this gun that Jason Autry brought him.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, remember they put this gun in the water. But first they had to have somehow -- we didn't hear from Sandra Dinsmore, but we must know that somehow she said that the gun was there by the water. But they got a backhoe, and they were digging along side of the road. What does that tell us? That probably Sandra Dinsmore initially said the gun was buried on the side of the road. They brought them down several times. When they couldn't find it, suddenly Sandra Dinsmore says, oh, I remember where the gun was now. Because they went back again and looked with people that had -- so it had to have happened that way. They go back again and they look with people that have underwater metal detectors. And they go back, and they find this gun, this .32, which initially the gun had been a .38 or .357. That they find this .32 sitting on top -- under 15 inches of water but on top of all this silt and soil, this qun that's been in that water since the Dinsmores left, and they left this area five and a half years

earlier.

So since they left this area five and a half years earlier, that gun's been sitting in that creek there. The creek -- we even heard testimony that the road had been graded and blacktopped. With all that construction around there, all those rains, all those floodings that we've had not a built -- bit of silt was on top of that gun. It's been sitting in that water five and half years, and there's no mud, no silt, no gravel on top of it. It's still sitting fresh right there on top of all the -- all the gravel itself but in 15 inches of water. That's not believable.

But there's a big reward in this case, and people -- you know, a lot of people -- we heard that Victor Dinsmore was not to be trusted. He's not a trustful person. He sold Jamie Darnell a house that he didn't really own and took \$21,000 from him. So what we know is Victor Dinsmore's not to be trusted, and if it's -- if money's involved, Victor Dinsmore will do what he has to to get money from people.

So we can go to the next slide now.

Mike Frizzell, when he did his timeline, he does it

very general. So he says, you know, between 8:56 and

9:10 or 8:30 and 9:10 Holly and Zach's cell phones

are within this big Yellow Springs Road area, and he does a really big circle. But Mike Frizzell doesn't -- never takes into account any sectors. And if you group the time like that, of course, you know, it's going to look like people's phones overlap but -- and they're together in time. But when you break it up call by call, minute by minute, you can see there's actually multiple times when their phones are farther away from each other. So that's just not accurate.

In this case -- the defense in this case called five law enforcement officers to come testify for the defense. Terry Dicus came and testified. came, and he was the case agent for years. Art Viveros came and testified in this case. the FBI agent. John Walker came and testified. He's the US Marshal. James Garnett came and testified. He was from the TBI lab. He testified regarding the searches that were on Terry Britt's computer. And then also the -- I can't remember her name, but the woman that came and testified about the shoe print and saying that the shoe print found in the Bobo's carport matched his shoe -- was consist with the shoe of Clint Bobo. I mean, it's unheard of that the defense would call five different law enforcement agents to come in and talk.

Yes, so Zach Adams has said some silly, stupid things over the years. He was a drug dealer living in Decatur County. He was a drug user, a meth user, a meth dealer. He wasn't a good person. And yes, he was walking around saying a bunch of stupid stuff. He's not the only person that said a bunch of stupid stuff. But that does not mean that he killed Holly Bobo. Like Terry Dicus said, when you get and you're looking -- and a couple of puzzle pieces absolutely don't fit, you just stop there and you move on.

So as they were looking at Terry Britt -Terry -- as they're looking at Zach Adams, he's
looking to see what puzzle pieces fit and don't fit,
he absolutely decided it was time to move on, because
when he sees that his phone is not where Holly's
phone is, he moves on from that. So that's what
Terry Dicus was considering an alibi in this case.

So the government wants you just to ignore facts. They want you to ignore the phone stuff.

They're going to come back when they do their second half of their closing, and they're going to say, no, no, just Zach Adams doesn't have to be the person that kidnapped her, that what we know is he's right there with Shane. And they're going to want you to

Suppose and do a lot of guessing about what Shane and Dylan were doing. But again, they have no proof. There's absolutely no facts that show. Why is it that the government has to prove their case? Because it's almost impossible to prove a negative. How do you — how is it that you can possibly prove that Shane Austin was not there that morning or that Dylan Adams was not there that morning? They have to prove they were. And in fact, they do not meet the description of the person that was there that morning. There's no proof whatsoever.

2.3

The State wants you to make a jump, because this case is absolutely so emotional. This is a beautiful, young woman who has been killed in this case, and it's a tragedy. It's a tragedy for the family to go through. But that doesn't mean that Zach Adams is responsible for this. He's absolutely not guilty.

The other thing they say that Zach has confessed, because they have two different people in jail that say he confessed. But you heard testimony -- you heard testimony about the -- where Zach Adams was staying in jail. He's in the Williamson County Jail, and he's in protective custody, which means he spends 23 hours a day locked

up. One hour a day he can walk around but without anyone else who can touch him. You know, he's separated from everybody.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You also heard through the people from the jail say that there was a -- one phone in the pod for everybody to use. They can hear the television through their doors. Some of them have to stand. Some of them can sit on the bed, but they can hear the television through the door. It's also easy to assume that they can hear people talking on the telephone through the door. So when they're using the one phone, which is out in the public common area, everybody else can stand there and hear what they say. What they say perhaps to their attorney, what they say perhaps to their families, what they're saying about the case. So once somebody gets just a few facts about the case that they can use, then it's absolutely nothing more for them to say, well, and then he said he did it. But with all those confessions that you hear, there's no new information. Nobody has any information to say that Zach Adams confessed to them, and they have learned details that you wouldn't just otherwise know through something else.

There's no aspect of this that has been

explained like who it is that went and took Holly, where it is that they took her, how they got away with it, where was the car parked when James Barnes walked by there. Nobody can answer those questions. And why? Because these jailhouse confessions are just not accurate. All it takes is a little bit of information, and the State has just jumped on that.

Yes, we hear them say that Zach said to the people in Chester County, no body, no gun, he'd never get convicted, but those people came forward.

Although they came forward at first to say that he was threatening his brother, to send a word to his brother in Chester County. The whole got no body, got no gun, those were all things that were said here in court on the stand. There's no evidence that they said no body, no gun before. They said those particular phrases before they had found Holly Bobo's body.

Terry Britt, you've heard the evidence on

Terry Britt. It is compelling. He has a history of

stalking young blondes. He lived closer to Holly.

He lived fairly close to Holly, within a few miles on

Jeanette Holladay Road. He had a history of rape.

He was a sex offender. He fit the physical

description, 200 pounds, six feet tall -- about six

feet tall, dark hair. At some point it was long and covered his collar. He was barrel-chested, skinny legs, looked like he used to workout. He'd been in jail. People in jail workout. Wearing a black cap. They have a picture of him in a black cap.

He -- so he saw Holly. He was able to see
Holly one day at the Dollar General Store. Once he
saw her -- he has a history of stalking women. He
knows how to find women. He drove the paper route
there, so he's always back on the roads. He said
he'd even get drunk and drive the roads. So he's on
those roads. He's watching for people. He has one
of those rape vans. He has a white panel van that he
even talked about. He has got a rape van.

The other thing is he's got two vans identical. Red vans that he's driving, but different years. He goes up. He punches Holly in the nose. He's standing there probably waiting for her because he's a stalker. He knows what her schedule is. He knows that this is the one day that Shane -- that the brother, Clint's, truck is parked out in the garage. He's standing there waiting for her. She comes out. He knocks her in the nose. He has to wait for her to kind of get her wits about her so she can walk off in the woods with him. He walks off in the woods.

The first time the deputies go by to see him, you heard Deputy Dunavant, he's not there, although Terry Britt claims he was back by noon. That's what he said there on the stand, because he's a compulsive liar. He cannot tell the truth. But the marshals, when they went by at about ten -- I'm sorry, 1:45, 2:00, Terry Britt was not there and cars were gone. Not just one car, two -- three cars. There was only one car there, and he said he had two Caravans, one white van, and a pickup truck and a -- gold pickup truck and a black pickup truck. So he has multiple cars parked around for him to get away in case he needs second cars.

So Jan is gone, too, when they first go by to see him. He's not there. It's not till later that evening -- you heard Tony Webber say it's later in that evening when he comes back and he's talking to them that they're there and that's when they're unloading the truck. Why? Because suddenly Terry realized he needed an alibi, and he went and got -- they made -- they had already purchased that truck before. They left it in the car until the police came, because he thought that the police would be coming by. He even says they're going to try to pin this on me.

So they get -- they get the -- trying to make some kind of reason why Jan would have missed work like on the biggest news day of the year, and she works at the News Leader in that county. The very biggest news day of the year, that's when she takes off, because they have a bathtub leak. And Terry Britt even testified they didn't even put the bathtub in on that day. So the bathtub leak, whatever they had was not bad enough for them to put the bathtub in on that day. But he needed an alibi. He needed an alibi fast.

2.4

Then they also go -- they -- somehow they lift one of those receipts. Because the receipt did not match the receipt from Allgoods. They lift one of those receipts. They fill it out as if they'd bought the tub that day, and then they stage -- they put the receipt in the safe, and they go ahead and just stage an alibi for Terry Britt. People that have alibis ready-made, those are the suspicious people. The people like Shane, Dylan, Zach, Jason Autry, they didn't have their alibis ready-made, because they didn't know they needed one.

So in this case there's more -- I mean, reasonable doubt is not a technicality. Reasonable doubt is not something to think, well, it's just a

little technicality, we've got reasonable doubt. In this case, unlike so many others, there's absolutely multiple reasonable doubts in this case. You have a man who offered to plead guilty. He's not being played. You're talking about a US Marshal with 31 years experience. When was the one time that you saw the district attorney in this case get the most upset? Is when she's cross-examining the US Marshal. That's when she gets upset, because she doesn't like the way that proof's coming in.

Я

This man has 31 years experience. He's trained in all kinds of -- you don't have to give Miranda warnings. She's acting like absolutely you must give Miranda warnings. There's many, many exceptions legally when you don't have to give Miranda warnings. And certainly it wasn't required to give Miranda warnings. But Terry Britt sits down and says, it sounds like you've got all figured out, I'll go ahead and plead and resolve this -- solve this -- you know, let's tie this case up for you -- tie up your case but -- you can close the case. Looks like you got it all figured out, I'll plead to it and you can close the case. I mean, what powerful words that would be from somebody.

But the TBI at that point, you heard, they

had already decided they were going to focus on Clint Bobo at that time. You heard that in 2013 they were getting the family's finances, saying that the family had material lied (sic) about things. And so the TBI, at that point, they didn't see any way they were going to get Terry Britt. Because after all, you heard -- you absolutely heard Brent Booth sit up here and say, well, Terry Britt, they bugged his house and they didn't hear anything on the wiretap about him confessing, and so they decided to move on to somebody else. Well, of course they didn't because you heard Art Viveros say he was listening in on the wiretap when he was -- when Terry Britt was discussing the fact that they might be recording and to be careful about what they said. So absolutely there's nothing on the wiretap, and Terry Britt didn't have a brother with a mental handicap that they could go backdoor and try to get some kind of confession. He didn't have a charge partner. just Terry Britt. He didn't have a charge partner to go and try to charge with him and see if they could do death penalty against two people and get somebody to change. So all of that.

So final thoughts in this case.

government's job to fill in all the holes and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1.6

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

It was the

complete the story. They've utterly failed in this case. Terry Britt is most likely the real abductor and the killer of Holly Bobo. You've had multiple agents get up here and testify about Terry Britt, and they all have years of experience. The government wants you to believe Zach is guilty based on mere suspicion and rumor. In this country we do not convict based on mere suspicion and rumor. A finding of guilt must be based on reasonable doubt.

And at this time, it's a very serious -- what you're about to do because a man's life -- and a lot of times they say somebody's life is on the line, but literally in this case Mr. Adams' life is on the line. It's a very serious matter. At this point there's absolute many doubts, reasonable doubts as to why he did not commit this crime and why somebody else did. At some point in the future it may be that they get the evidence they need to charge the right person. But simply because there's suspicious things that have been said or Zach Adams has been running his mouth like a stupid fool is not a reason to convict him of the kidnapping, rape, and murder of Holly Bobo.

THE COURT: All right. We're going to take lunch. Take one hour. Follow the admonitions

7 I've given you since the outset. We'll take one 2 hour, and then finish closing at that time. (WHEREUPON, the jury left the courtroom, 3 4 after which the following proceedings were had:) 5 THE COURT: I orally charged when we were trying the case, but I'm going to supplement just one 6 7 brief thing concerning out of court statements can't be considered for the truth of them. She'll show 8 9 that to you if you want to look at it. Fairly short, but somebody had mentioned what Shane Austin had said 10 11 and words to the effect that you didn't need to kill him or whatever. I think that was what the statement 12 13 -- came through through the --GENERAL NICHOLS: It came through the 14 15 defense. THE COURT: -- defense counsel. Yeah, 16 17 but still. GENERAL HAGERMAN: Is the instruction 18 19 clear though that out-of-court statements by the 20 defendants? 21 THE COURT: Yeah, yeah, yeah. GENERAL NICHOLS: And other people. 22 mean, it's not all out-of-court statements. 23 THE COURT: Just read what we got. 24 25 GENERAL HAGERMAN: We'll read it.

GENERAL NICHOLS: Okay.

THE COURT: It's not complex. If either side objects, I will not give it. All right? The defense actually raised it. If either side objects, I won't give it.

(Lunch break.)

(WHEREUPON, the jury returned to the courtroom, after which the following proceedings were had:)

THE COURT: Be seated. Okay. State with rebuttal argument.

know you're tired. We all are. I'm going to refocus. I'm going to bring you back to why we're here, what took all of you away from your homes and your jobs, your loved ones. She's why you're here. When they found a driver's license in September of 2014, it says her name, Holly Lynn Bobo. Says her date of birth, October the 12th, 1990. Says her address, 681 Swan Johnson, and it has a picture. It really doesn't do her justice.

What isn't on this ID of Holly's is her story, what happened to her, how she spent the last two hours of her life. Nowhere on that driver's license does it say she was the daughter of Dana.

Dana who built her house. Dana who worked long hours to provide for her and her family. Dana who put that \$20 bill on the kitchen bar an hour or two before she was killed to make sure she had gas money. It doesn't say any of that.

2.3

2.4

It doesn't say anything about her being the beloved daughter of Karen. Karen, whose goal in life was to be a good mama, who fixed Holly's muffins that morning, who slid that \$20 bill that Dana left on the kitchen bar, slid it into this billfold. Karen, who lovingly packed Holly's last lunch.

You won't find on the driver's license what you heard in trial the last two weeks about Holly's dreams of being a nurse, about her homework that was dated that day, about her binder that she had written student nurse with a smiley face on it, about how hard she was working four days a week every week all day long just trying to be the best student nurse she could be. Nowhere on that card, that license does it mention the sweet, the sweet love that she shared with Drew Scott. Drew, who put that little promise ring on her finger less than four months before she died. Doesn't say any of that.

It doesn't tell you that when she walked out of the house early that Wednesday morning -- not just

a house, her house, the house that Dana built. It doesn't tell you that when she walked out her backdoor, keys to her Mustang, her books, her lunch that Karen had packed, wearing the ring like she did every day that Drew gave her, just precious inches from her car door. All she had to have done was to have made it into the car and maybe been able to close that door. You saw how close the car was. Inches. She didn't make it. Poof, she just disappeared. All that gone. Dad not there. Mom not there. Teacher's not there. Drew not there. All of it gone. She disappeared.

2.3

But you know better; don't you? People don't just disappear without a trace; do they? Do they? Holly didn't. Holly spilled her precious blood in the carport behind the house that Dana built, and you saw the pictures. It was grouped together in about four or five different groups. I think Special Agent Lawrence James said it was like 70 to 80 drops. All tested. All Holly. She didn't disappear without a trace. Ladies and gentlemen, that's your kidnapping. Do one of you have any doubt in your mind that she went willingly? Do you think that? That's your kidnapping. That's why I say you know better.

As for the rape, that word is so sterile. Do

you know what I mean by that? It's so sterile. You can read it in any book, in any newspaper. You can see it on the news. You can say it in polite company, and nobody even flinches anymore, because that word rape is just a made up word. It's a made up word that somebody invented so that you didn't have to say what really happened. Because what really happened when they got Holly out of there, what he did, what they all did, is they ripped Holly's clothes off, her blue jeans and her panties. And one by one they lined up, they pulled out their penises, and they shoved them into her repeatedly. That's what happened. That's what we put that nice word raped. I hope she didn't know it. They lined up and took turns. That's what she went through her last morning on Earth, then she was murdered. Plain and simple.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

She was murdered with this .32. Ms. Thompson made some mention about the bullet placement. Let's go ahead and talk about that now. It entered through the back of Holly's head, come out the front. How could that be? If he and Jason had laid her on those rocks, how could that be? How about this? This time Jason's heard her make a sign of distress, not gasp. He saw her foot move. A shot in the shoulder, the

arm, between her chest and her arm, not going to do. Have to take care of this right now. Right now.

Holly beaten. We knew she was. Left a lot of blood in the carport. Gang raped, wrapped tightly in a blanket, propped up on those rocks, had to go. Not going to accidentally miss. Thought she was already dead, but she wasn't. Pulls out the gun. Jason, hero that he is, said, whoa, not to save her, just to make sure they didn't get caught. And the defendant stoops down, feels around, makes sure, picks up her head and fires. Picks up her head and fires.

I'm no rocket scientist. It doesn't take rocket science to figure out how it happened. How do we know it happened like that? How do you know what happened at the hands of that man? How do you know? I'm not going to go back over everything you heard. Every single one of you was taking notes and listening the last two weeks.

Jason Autry, number 1, told you what he witnessed with his own eyes. He told you what he did. He confessed multiple crimes under oath to you. We'll start with the kidnapping, because the kidnapping didn't end once they got her off of Swan Johnson Road. The kidnapping continued. I don't

care how many times they moved her. It continued until Zachary Adams put that gun to the back of her head and pulled the trigger. So we have Autry's eyewitness account.

There's some question about whether it was just a coincidence that the two of them went to this place. I mean, could it be a coincidence they just went to that place? Could it? I don't think so.

Took her to a spot -- took Holly to a spot 50 yards,
50 yards from one of Zach Adams' favorite places.

Place he took Rebecca Urp. Place he returned many times. It's no coincidence. Took her to a place that he knew and felt safe. No coincidence.

In addition to telling you what he witnessed himself, Jason Autry told you what Zach Adams told him about that morning. They took her. They took her out of her own house. He told him that they raped her. That sterile word again. Doubt he used those words; don't you? Because what they did, as you know, is line up and take turns.

So Jason sort of covers the whole morning time until after he gets back to his car. It's fuzzy. It gets fuzzy from where Zachary Adams put her, because he wasn't there when the body was disposed off. Honestly, after admitting to what he

did, there is no reason to hold back that information if he had it. Improperly disposing of a body may be some sort of a crime, but I don't know about that.

No, reason to hold that back. He didn't know.

2.4

He told you what Zach told him that he had dumped her near Kelly's Ridge. And you know the corroboration that comes with the Kelly's Ridge spot.

But if you want to rule him out for knowing what happened that morning, what about Victor Dinsmore? Zach Adams decked Shane right out there in front of -- beside Ms. Dottie's house arguing about who was gonna hit it, who did hit it. You think they were fighting about marijuana? What do you think? I would suggest common sense. Use your common sense on that.

In addition to Dinsmore, what about

Carl Stateler? He may have been the only non-felon

friend of Zachary Adams that you heard from this

week. Carl Stateler told you Zach Adams said, I let

Shane hit it. You think he was talking about

marijuana that time, too? And he told Carl Stateler,

I did it. I did it. Then he told some of the

others, Anthony Phoenix, called her a bitch -- pretty

bitch. He said it was fun. Was he talking about

putting a bullet in her head as being fun? Or was he

talking about lining up with his friends? Was he talking about lining up with his friends and taking turns? I leave that to you.

2.4

When he told one person I was there for the worst of it, surely to goodness rape was the worst of it. When he asked two different people, will God forgive me. State submits that's between him and God, not between him and you. Not between him and you. There's 10 or 12 statements that he made, all different people. Not in the same jails, not on the same roads, not in the same cars.

Paul and I keep going back to Corey Rivers probably because he's a kid that literally got in trouble traveling through Tennessee on a driving charge here last year in East Tennessee, knows nothing about this case, has never heard about this case, but because he had the history he has, he ends up being in the same section with Zachary Adams. And Corey reads the Bible and he prays. And Adams saw him doing it, started up a conversation, and Corey not wanting to judge being that his mother killed his stepfather after walking in on his stepfather raping him, Corey listened to him and didn't just cut him off. I submit that Corey Rivers was what Adams thought to be a safe person to talk to given his lack

of association with anything here, the fact that he had a 60-day sentence and a ticket back to Florida, didn't appear to have an axe to grind with Zachary Adams, and he confessed to him. He told Corey Rivers we got her in the woods. That's your kidnapping. We got her in the woods. And Corey saying, did you do it? I'm not supposed to talk about it. Then he'd come back and talk some more. Will God forgive me? That's how that ended.

Phones. I promise we talked about the phones a lot. There's five takeaways. Okay. Six. The first one is the defense expert said at the beginning of his testimony he didn't disagree with Special Agent Frizzell, he just took a more nuanced approach. Remember that? Meaning, yeah, what he said is right, but I'm going to break it down some more. So let's just take Agent Frizzell's testimony and set it aside for a minute and just look at the defense expert.

When asked the question by Mr. Hagerman, is the phone data consistent with Dylan and Shane taking Holly, he said yes. Is the phone data consistent with Dylan and Shane taking Holly to Shane's grandma's property? The answer yes.

Third thing, is the phone data consistent with Holly's phone lingering in the Yellow Springs

area at the same time Zachary Adams? Answer, yes.

Four, is the phone data consistent with Zachary Adams and Jason Autry driving north to the river and back? Answer, yes.

Fifth thing, is the phone data consistent with Holly's last ping -- at the time of Holly's last ping with Dylan Adams driving south and getting rid of her phone and perhaps some of her other things?

Answer, I know you heard it, yes. Despite all of these maps, these colors, and these times and these numbers and these crossovers between the three sectors and the fact that a sector might look like this, but it doesn't really mean that because you could be over here or you could be over there or you could be over there. Despite all that that you heard, the defendant's own expert said yes to those five critical questions that Mr. Hagerman posed to him.

Ms. Thompson covered a lot of material, and I'm not going to try and cover it all, but I want to touch on just a couple of things, and I've already touched on some of them. One was the placement of Holly's head and the gunshot wound, and we've already talked about that.

The second one, that after the fact she said

they kept going back to the river, kept going back to the river. Why would you go back to the river? Go back to the river. But you saw the maps of both her expert and Agent Frizzell. It is an enormous area. Nobody said they went back to the river. They just said they were in that area, which is their stomping grounds, which includes a bar that Autry said he went to constantly.

You remember the night, the 13th, the 911 call. Do you remember the heavy breathing of Dick Adams who had to rustle two guns away from his grandson? Do you remember Zachary Adams asking his grandfather as well as his brother for their vehicles? Why didn't he have a vehicle? Why didn't he have a vehicle? Why didn't he have a vehicle? Where was the white truck? Hidden in Victor Dinsmore's garage for a minute, and then returned back to the Bell's. I don't know if it was returned back to Billy Bell's between those two shops that night or the next, but we know it went back. We have no reason to doubt Mr. Bell.

And begging for guns. Not begging, but wrestling for guns. Taking guns. His grandfather said jumped -- came in here and jumped on us and got my two guns. If he comes back like this, I'll shoot him. It gives you just a little glimmer of what was

going on in his mind, the desperation that he felt hours, just hours, after they had kidnapped Holly, raped Holly, and killed Holly.

2.4

He's had an encounter with Rebecca Urp, which
I think that the words that were used ended badly
that day. You hear him talk about that. Why does he
need guns? Why does he need another car? Where was
he going?

There was some discussion about putting Dylan in a stranger's house, Dennis Benjamin. You got to remember the proof was -- remember, Dylan's still locked up when what happened? When his brother's sending messages, keep your mouth shut or I'll put you in a hole. Then you heard testimony from Agent Booth about him going to someone that was an associate of Dylan's. Then you have Dylan getting out. Should they have sent him back home? Should they have sent him back home? Or should they have tried to keep an eye on him, because that's what they did. He's still alive.

There was some discussion about the measurements of the ammunition. Marco Ross told you the exact measurement of the hole in Holly's skull as compared to the measurement of a .32 caliber. And then, bless his heart, the defense witness that came

in with pictures of different calibers, I have no doubt that the way he measured them is the way he reported it, but he didn't know how to measure them. Didn't know that remove the projectile from the casing and then measure it. So the expert, the expert who testified about the gunshot wound to Holly's head said it matched my words perfectly, basically .32. And if you look at those measurements and you remember his testimony, it excluded a .38. Excluded it.

Let's talk about the gun. What are the chances Jason Autry finally started talking, he didn't know where the gun was, hadn't seen the gun since shortly after it was used to kill Holly Bobo, and he went with his cousin, Shane, traded it for drugs to Victor Dinsmore. He's done with it at that point. Victor Dinsmore gives it to his wife to carry. He's sitting there one night talking to somebody, and he gets worried about where the gun has been, what gun is this. I better get rid of the gun. He tells her get rid of it. She does. He's done with the gun. That's in 2011. They left. They left Tennessee before the end of the year.

And when, again, TBI agents go to talk to Victor Dinsmore and his wife, they tell us where the gun is. They dig. They use the backhoe. They bring him down. Can't find it. The road's been regraded. It looks different. They go back. They talk to them some more. She's thought about it again. They go back to the spot she tells them with underwater detectors, and guess what, they do find a gun. I don't know why Ms. Thompson is upset that it wasn't buried. Nobody said it was sitting on top. You saw the pictures. They're in there, what it looked like when they raised it. It was covered in slime and rust and all sorts of crap. And Cervinia Braswell soaked it, got it off, and guess what, guess what, it still fires. It still fires. Still loaded. Still fires.

No body? That's what's left. How does that happen? How do you go from that to this? You know the answers, and you know what happened in between.

Spend about one minute talking about

Terry Britt. And I agree with Mr. Hagerman, I don't

know why we're talking at all about Terry Britt. He

had local law enforcement, TBI, FBI, US Marshal, a

wiretap, his house bugged, his computer searched, his

property searched, they even took his chicken scoop,

whatever you call it. It's not a shovel for burying

bodies. It's a scooper. And it was a dead end.

When they looked at his computer, I think the agent said for the entire history of the computer, they found four searches. Four searches for porn sites.

But when they searched Zach Adams' computer, you have him posting -- I wish it was in color for you. "Who am I searching for?" What's more telling? It's just Facebook. The comments below.

It wasn't Terry Britt. If it was

Terry Britt, it would have been a lot easier. It

just wasn't. And when Terry Dicus couldn't shed

himself of his belief and his desire to prove it was

Terry Britt, he was removed from the case and another

case officer came on. You nonetheless heard from

him. You heard from what he did, and you heard what

he did. But under cross-examination when asked what

did all your efforts prove? Nothing, nothing, dead

end.

And you heard from Johnny Walker -- excuse me, Marshal Walker. You decide for yourself if you find him credible. If you do, all right. Given what he testified to, seems like that would be pretty hard, a US Marshal who would go into the visitation room of a multiple convicted felon and say, tell us where the body is and look at you and say he didn't need to give him his Miranda rights.

Agent Walker laid out, you heard him, in great detail just delighted to tell you-all all the things that he told Terry Britt that were not in his report, and then when asked and how did he respond, you got it all figured out, I'll just plead guilty, close the case. He wants you to believe that that was true. Because when he said, well, where's the body? I don't have it. Can't give you something I don't have. You decide if you find that credible.

I believe that license says that she was five foot three. We say she was five foot three inches of country. And those of us involved and her parents have waited a long, long time, 2011, 2012, all of 2013 and '14 and '15 and '16 and most of 2017. It's hard to turn it over, but it's time. If you believe --

MS. THOMPSON: I'm sorry, I can't hear.

GENERAL NICHOLS: If you believe defense theory, then ladies and gentlemen, let him go. It's what you're supposed to do. But if you don't, and if you see the kidnapping that continued until she got a bullet in her head, and if you see rape, and if you see the murd er the occurred in the perpetration of and was closely connected to those two, then return the right verdict. It's not one of those lessers.

In fact -- in fact, I don't know why, it's page 36.
It should be page -- to me it should be page 1.

If you read this deliberation order of consideration, you don't even have to consider them. Every single one of you has to agree that he's not guilty of murder perp, before you ever even look at anything less. So if you agree -- this isn't like a menu. You don't peruse the whole thing. This says you shall not proceed to consider any lesser-included offenses until you have first made a unanimous determination that he's not guilty of receiving death in this case as charged. Got it? This is important. Real important.

From the -- from the proof that you've heard, it's doubtful that Holly would have been famous. It's more likely that she would have finished nursing school, that she would have married Drew, that she would have lived close to her parents the remainder of her life. You wouldn't have known her. But she's entitled to this, justice for her inquires that you be here.

What we're asking is that each one of you go back to your deliberation room with a clear head, clear mind. Don't be distracted from your mission.

You elect yourself a foreperson. You take as long as

you need. Might not take long or it might. But you take as long as you need, and you come back out here with the right verdict. We, the jury, find Zachary Adams guilty of murder in the perpetration of kidnapping. Come back with another one. We, the jury, find you guilty of murder in the perpetration of rape. And we, the jury, find you guilty of premeditated murder. Do what needs to be done. We'll be waiting right here for as long as it takes.

## CHARGE OF THE LAW

get one those and pass them down. That's actually an instruction I gave you once or more during the case. I thought it should be reduced to writing. I forgot to put it in there. So you'll be handed that. You can put it behind that tab right before jury deliberation, page 46. Then I'll finish the charge. It's fairly short at this point.

Okay. That's supplemental. In addition to the jury instructions I have provided to you, I, again, instruct you -- and this is fairly generic. But I, again, instruct you if a witness testified concerning statements made by other persons other than the defendant, Zachary Adams, outside the

courtroom, then such statements may be used by you only for the limited purpose providing context for its effect on the other person. You cannot rely upon such third person statements as proof of the truth of the matter as asserted in those statements or to establish the charges against the defendant.

2.4

The verdict must represent the considered judgment of each juror. In order to return a verdict, it is necessary that each juror agree thereto. Your verdict must be unanimous. It is your duty as jurors to consult with one another and to deliberate -- we might have left somebody's out. Again, we did that this morning. Here, hand that to her. You didn't have it?

THE JUROR: Oh, here it is.

THE COURT: You did have it?

THE JUROR: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. I don't want anybody to feel slighted, okay.

It is your duty as jurors to consult with one another and to deliberate with a view to reaching an agreement if you can do so without violence to your individual judgment. Each of you must decide the case for yourself, but do so only after an impartial consideration of the evidence with your fellow

jurors. In the course of your deliberations do not hesitate to reexamine your own views and change your opinion if convinced that it is erroneous. But do not surrender your honest conviction as to the weight or effect of the evidence solely because of the opinion of your fellow jurors or for the mere purpose of returning a verdict.

The crime charged in each count of the indictment is a separate and distinct offense. You must decide each charge separately on the evidence and the law applicable to it. The defendant may be found guilty or not guilty of any or all of the offenses charged. Your finding as to each crime charged must be stated in your verdict. You can have no prejudice or sympathy or allow anything but the law and the evidence to have any influence on your verdict. You must render your verdict with absolute fairness and impartiality as you think justice and truth dictate.

During your deliberations you must not communicate with or provide any information to anyone by any means about this case outside the jury deliberation room. You may not use any electronic device or media -- we've taken those away. I thought we took that out. Such as telephones, cell phones,

smart phone, iPhone, Blackberry, or computer, the Internet, any Internet service or any text or instant messaging or any Internet chat room, blog or website, including but not limited to Facebook, MySpace, LinkedIn, YouTube or Twitter to communicate to anyone about this case or to conduct any research about this case until you have returned your verdict and the trial has concluded.

When you retire to the jury room, you will first select one of your members as a foreperson who will preside over your deliberations. When you have reached a verdict, you will return it to the courtroom, and your foreperson will deliver the verdict forms to the Court.

If you find that the State has proven the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, then you should find him guilty. On the other hand, if you find that the State has not proven beyond a reasonable doubt the defendant's guilt or if you have a reasonable doubt as to his guilt, then you must find the defendant not guilty.

You will be provided with a verdict form for each count which includes all possible verdicts for that count of the indictment. The jury will complete each verdict form, and your foreperson will sign each

verdict form.

2.0

2.4

The verdict forms which you will receive read as follows, and they're included in your book as well. I'll send the original to be executed by your foreperson out as well as the exhibits in this case. They all will go with the exception, we have two or three offers of proof. We'll make sure they don't go out.

Anything further?

GENERAL NICHOLS: No, sir.

THE COURT: Anything further?

MS. THOMPSON: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mix that up for me. What I'm going to do is draw three -- everybody feel well?

Nobody sick or anything is what I'm getting at. I'm going to draw three names at random. You saw her mix them up. I will give these cards to Ms. Franks. I'm not going to call your name out right now. I will give these cards to her. You will be separated after everybody goes out. You will continue to be sequestered. We've got arrangements made by that.

You won't read or view any news reports. You will remain in the presence of an officer. That's in case something happens and we need you. And then if we do go into a penalty phase, you'll go back in the jury

box to listen to that, and once again, you will be designated as an alternate and sequestered while the others are working. Everybody kind of understand how that works?

It's probably even worse now. I tried a case in Decaturville a few years back, and it wasn't a long case. It was a couple of days. And I drew the lady's name one as alternate, and I thought she would be tickled to death. She scowled at me, looked angry, and I said something wrong? She said I feel like I've been kicked off the island. So don't feel you're getting kicked off the island just yet, okay?

I'll inform counsel in a few minutes, okay?

The three that I've drawn, you will have no contact with the other 12 unless you're eventually substituted in. So you'll be separate and apart.

The 12 will be deliberating. So I'm going to give Ms. Franks those names. Return these cards to me once you get everything, hopefully. All right. You folks can all file out. Take your notebooks with you.

(WHEREUPON, the jury left the courtroom for deliberations at 3:08 p.m., after which the following proceedings were had:)

THE COURT: Y'all approach, I'll show

1 you. Got that? (Attorneys reviewing document.) 2 It's not going anywhere. Did y'all get 3 these? 4 GENERAL RAGLAND: Yes, sir. Thank you. 5 THE COURT: All right. We'll be on 6 standby. 7 GENERAL RAGLAND: Can I -- can I say one other thing just about the evidence? 8 9 THE COURT: Yes, sir. GENERAL RAGLAND: I understood from 10 11 Ms. Angel and Ms. Jones that some of the items that 12 are in the evidence bags, the items themselves were 13 tagged. They've since gone back, and I understand 14 they've tagged the evidence envelopes as well with an 15 Α. 16 THE COURT: Yeah. 17 GENERAL RAGLAND: Okay. 18 THE COURT: That's so that they can be 19 preserved. There might or might not be other trials 20 in this case. So we don't want to destroy the chain 21 of custody. They simply will be adding the letter to 22 it. So the bag -- no sense in sending the bags out, 23 but we're trying to preserve that, okay? 24 GENERAL RAGLAND: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. 25

GENERAL RAGLAND: Ms. Jones mentioned 1 2 that to me, and the need to put it on the record. THE COURT: Okay. 3 GENERAL RAGLAND: Thank you. 4 5 (Short break.) GENERAL NICHOLS: May I ask a question? 6 7 THE COURT: Yes. GENERAL NICHOLS: The family has inquired 8 9 do they need to stay? Not that we're expecting a 10 quick verdict. They want to know will you give them 11 time to get back? THE COURT: Yes. 12 13 GENERAL NICHOLS: How much time? 14 THE COURT: 30 minutes if it takes it. 15 GENERAL NICHOLS: Okay. So they can 16 get -- they go sit somewhere else? 17 THE COURT: Yes. GENERAL NICHOLS: Okay. 18 19 (Break.) 20 THE COURT: We're bringing the jury. The 21 original 12 we'll put -- be moving two seats down on 22 the back, one on the front. The alternates that are 23 being kept separate and apart, they're now actually 24 in my chambers. We'll also put them in the box, 25 because I want them to listen to some of these

1 admonitions as well. They're being kept separate and apart, though. Matter of fact, I kind of feel sorry 2 for those three. 3 4 GENERAL NICHOLS: I do, too. 5 GENERAL HAGERMAN: Me, too. 6 MS. THOMPSON: No devices and sitting 7 there looking at each other. THE COURT: Maybe they got a book at the 9 hotel or something. And then they can delay bringing 10 them in tomorrow for a while, you know. 11 (WHEREUPON, the jury returned to the 12 courtroom, after which the following proceedings were 13 had:) 14 THE COURT: You'll be changing two seats 15 on the back row further down from where you were. 16 THE JUROR: Will we go up? THE COURT: No. Go where you were. 17 18 going one further down for some of you on the front. 19 Hey, you can't pick that one up. 20 THE JUROR: I was fixing to say. THE COURT: All right. Let's bring our 21 22 three alternates in. 23 (WHEREUPON, the alternate jurors returned 24 to the courtroom, after which the following proceedings were had:) 25

THE COURT: No, you put the one on the front and then two on the back. That's all right. I feel sorry for the three of them. They're more isolated than you folks are.

All right. Be seated, please. We're fixing to recess for the evening. He told me -- I told him originally 5:30 or 6:00. He said you folks elected to work until 6:00, then you want to go back to the inn rather than some alternative arrangements they had for dinner for you.

I want to remind you, don't make up your mind. You're following the same admonitions until you reach agreement after your deliberations. In other words, put this matter out of your mind. No discussion with anyone. And the three of you that are now alternates, you can't discuss with the other people. You'll be kept pretty separate and apart, but there can be no communication from the 12 to the 3 of you. You were drawn at random. So I hope you can appreciate that. Matter of fact, hopefully, they can give y'all a delayed reporting time tomorrow, because looking at four walls is no fun, and they'll be using separate transportation. So y'all can spend a little more time in your hotel room or leisurely breakfast or whatever. Because I realize it's

probably harder on y'all than it is the 12 of them.

So I appreciate that. Do we have any questions prior to breaking?

2.0

2.2

2.4

THE JURY: (Shook heads negatively.)

THE COURT: What I'm going to do is when you folks report, the 12 of you, you will be escorted to the jury room. When you're ready to deliberate, the officer will leave. You pull the door closed and recommence your deliberations, okay? When you reach a verdict, knock on the door. She's been taking pretty good care of you, and you will be brought down here to report that verdict.

Are there any questions?
(No response.)

I truly appreciate your patience, your continued patience. I appreciate your devotion. I can tell that each of you have viewed this very seriously, which it needs to be -- needs to be viewed seriously. But you've been an excellent juror. I've watched. You've paid attention, and thank you for what you've done so far, okay? So you folks are all free to go at this time. Sheriff, you want to take care of them.

(WHEREUPON, the jury left the courtroom, after which the following proceedings were had:)

1 THE COURT: We need some place they can leave their notebooks. I told the others to leave 2 3 their notebooks upstairs and the evidence. That room 4 would be secured. Well, you can put them in my chambers. They got their names on it. I promise you 5 I won't go through them. All right. 6 7 Madam Clerk, you got the contact phones, right? 8 9 THE CLERK: Yes, sir. 10 THE COURT: So y'all just be available I 11 guess is what I'm saying. 12 MS. THOMPSON: So we don't come back in 13 the morning? THE COURT: You don't need to until 14 15 you're contacted. 16 MS. THOMPSON: Very good. 17 GENERAL NICHOLS: Starting back at what 18 time? 19 THE COURT: I told the sheriff whenever 20 they want to start. He thought it would probably be 21 about 9:00. 22 GENERAL NICHOLS: Thank you. 23 (WHEREUPON, court was adjourned at 6:08 24 p.m.) 25

## VOLUME XVII

## DAY 12

## FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 22, 2017

2.0

(WHEREUPON, a conference was held in chambers between counsel and the Court.)

THE COURT: All right. Hand me my note.

All right. What I'm going to do, I'm going to read the note. The court reporter is present. It's something that I can't answer, because it would be a comment on evidence, which is inappropriate. So what I'm going to do, I will make a notation, send it back to them. First of all, I got a copy of the note as given. Then after I make notation on this, we'll make a copy of that so it will constitute part of the record.

The note reads as follows: "Concerning Count 5, Element 2(a), if the rape is quote, 'closely connected' with the other crimes, does the presence of a weapon later in the day matter for the duration of the rape? The kidnapping lasts much longer than the rape, and there's testimony of a weapon after the rape."

I can't comment because it's a comment on the evidence. I will put, the Court cannot comment on

7 evidence. The Court is prohibited from commenting on 2 evidence, you must refer to your judgment and your 3 instructions. That will be it, okay? 4 GENERAL NICHOLS: Are you going to bring 5 them in, or just send her an answer? THE COURT: I'm just going to send them 6 7 an answer. GENERAL NICHOLS: Good. 8 9 THE COURT: That's why I'm writing both 10 ends where you can see the question without the 11 answer, then you can see actually what I said to 12 them, okay? 13 GENERAL NICHOLS: Yes, sir. 14 THE COURT: And I can't write as good as 15 whoever wrote this. 16 MR. GONZALEZ: Pardon me. What was the 17 last part? 18 THE COURT: I said I can't write as good 19 as that person wrote it. It's very good printing. 20 (WHEREUPON, the conference ended, a break 21 was taken, and the following proceedings continued 22 within the hearing of the courtroom:) 2.3 THE COURT: Okay. You may be seated. 24 Okay. I've met with the attorneys. I've told them 25 we've now got the second question. The first one I

answered on the sheet of paper that they sent. I told the attorneys what that was. The question itself and then the question plus the answer was filed as an exhibit just so the record would be complete.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I've now got the second one, and I really feel that I owe this jury some explanation as to the fact that I'm not able to do a whole lot. As the attorneys know, the Court's extremely limited once the jury starts deliberating in answering questions. Because first of all, it's absolutely prohibited for the Court to make any comment on the evidence. Second of all, the jury, they've got the charge. Each one of them has got their copy of the charge. And they are the sole and exclusive judges of the evidence and the exclusive judges of the law under the direction of the Court. I have charged them. can't go into further explanation. The question even mentions if I could go into layman's terms. I can't do that. So I want everybody to know kind of what we're doing, but at the same time I didn't want the jury to think that we're down here ignoring them.

So I'm going to bring them in, and I'm going to ask who the foreman or forelady is, and then I'll go over the question with them, and then send them

back out for deliberations. I probably will make the simple inquiry. I'm not going to ask them what but if they're making progress on the case.

(WHEREUPON, the jury returned to the courtroom, after which the following proceedings were had:)

want. I'm not bringing those alternates back in.

For right now, it's not necessary. You can be seated. Okay. I brought you back in because I felt like -- sent a question earlier -- her writing's better than mine. I had her write the response, and then I put my initials and dated it. That was my response.

And we've now got a second question, and I don't want the jury to think I'm ignoring you. All indications are you're very deliberate. You're working hard, and for that I appreciate it. But I've now got the second question, and so I want to tell you why I'm extremely limited in what information I can furnish. Do we have a foreman or forelady?

THE FOREMAN: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Cromwell, that's you. I'll address this to you in the presence of everybody. The second question says, "Reference

your guidance on page 8, paragraph 9", which is part of the charge, "concerning what evidence is entirely circumstantial". There's a whole paragraph there, okay? And, you know, you have to be guided by this charge. But I'm going to read you something else, and I'm not doing it to emphasize this particular thing, but this is part of the preliminary instructions. I'm doing this to try to explain why I'm very limited in what I can answer. It's on page 4 if you want to look at it when you get back up there.

2.3

"You, as jurors, must base your decision solely on the evidence you hear in the courtroom."

went on and said, "You are the exclusive judges of the facts in this case. Also, you are the exclusive judges of the law under the direction of the Court.

You should apply the law to the facts in deciding this case. You should consider all of the evidence in the light of your own observation and experience in life."

The reason I read that, it's impermissible for the Court to comment on evidence. That's your sole prerogative. And then as I said, you're also the exclusive judges of the law under the direction of the Court. I've given you everything that I can

1 give you as far as that's concerned. You've got it 2 in your notebooks, and it's up to you what that says, 3 okay. THE FOREMAN: Yes, sir. 4 5 THE COURT: And so I brought you down, because I don't want you up there thinking, well, 6 7 he's just kind of blowing us off or whatever. I'm not doing it. I take my oath very seriously, and I 9 try to follow the law. So I'm just simply explaining 10 to you. Does that take care? 11 THE FOREMAN: Yes, sir. THE COURT: All right. Y'all understand 12 that? 13 THE FOREMAN: Yes, sir. 14 15 THE JURY: (Nodded heads affirmatively.) 16 THE COURT: Anything further? THE FOREMAN: No, sir. 17 18 THE COURT: All right. Let me ask you, 19 and I'm not asking particulars. But is this jury 20 making progress? 21 THE FOREMAN: Yes, sir. 22 THE COURT: All right. That's all I --23 all I was asking. You probably discovered yesterday 24 that I essentially give in to you as far as your 25 time, so forth and so on. So probably we want to

1 operate close to the same timeframe, but I'm willing 2 to yield to you based upon what this jury feels is 3 appropriate. Does that make sense? 4 THE FOREMAN: Yes, sir. 5 THE COURT: In other words, I'm letting 6 you set the timetable on this within limits. All 7 right? 8 THE FOREMAN: Yes, sir. 9 THE COURT: Anything else? Thank you so much for your continued dedication. 10 (WHEREUPON, the jury left the courtroom, 11 12 after which the following proceedings were had:) 13 THE COURT: I'm just going to add this to 14 the other as cumulative. We probably won't get any 15 more now. We might have saved some of that based 16 upon -- I'm basically -- tell them I can't tell them 17 anything else. I've told them all I can tell them. 18 All right. 19 (WHEREUPON, the above-mentioned jury 20 questions were marked as Exhibit Number 250.) 21 (Short break.) 22 THE COURT: The jury has indicated they 23 have a verdict. I do not know what that verdict is. 2.4 I told everyone we would give them 30 minutes lead 25 time. We're within about two minutes of being at

that 30-minute timeframe. Most parties -- most attorneys have indicated to the Court that interested parties they thought were present, but I wanted a few things before I bring the jury in.

I can't tell you what day we're on. The press can probably do it. It's been two solid weeks though, okay, of trial, plus a day of jury selection. I would commend the people that have been in our gallery. All sides, the victim's family, the people that are here in support of the defendant, I think have shown respect and followed proper courtroom decorum. So I commend the parties on that and very much appreciate that.

When the verdict is announced, there can be a potential for things very emotional. Out of respect for this jury, I will tell you I want you to contain your emotions. I want there to be no displays. If there's any type of outburst or anything, I will authorize the officers to take that person into custody. I don't want to do that because as I said, things have really gone along well as far as the demeanor and behavior of the people that have been involved in this case. But there's a danger at this time with high emotions for something to get out of order, and I just will not do that.

Dut of respect for this jury, I want there to be no displays. If you like what the jury does, that's fine. Keep it to yourself. This isn't a time to cheer like we do for a football team or something. If you don't like what the jury said, keep that to yourself. It's inappropriate to show negative displays, because these people have given up over two weeks of their life to come in here and do their civic duty. They've been a very hard-working jury. They've been a very dedicated jury. And I want them to be respected.

All right. Everybody understand where I'm coming from? I hope so. All right. Let's bring our jury in. I will -- I will poll the jury not by name, but I'll be starting, and the three alternates will be brought in. They will not be polled, but they'll be here just for the announcing of the verdict.

(WHEREUPON, the jury returned to the courtroom, after which the following proceedings were had:)

THE COURT: Got three more coming.

(WHEREUPON, the alternates returned to the courtroom, after which the following proceedings were had:)

THE COURT: Go ahead and be seated,

please. All right. Mr. Foreman, I kind of outed you before, but I will also tell you folks, I'm limited in protecting identities. It becomes a matter of record, but I will also tell you I will support you and make sure that you're not harassed in any form or fashion. I didn't out you by first and last name, just by last, and they had to of been listening pretty close. But has the jury reached a verdict in this case?

THE FOREMAN: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: I want you to pass the verdict forms to me, please, through the bailiff or the sheriff. And then I'll be going over these with you. All right.

I'm going to start with Count 1 verdict form:

First degree felony murder of Holly Lynn Bobo during
the perpetration of or attempt to perpetrate
kidnapping of Holly Lynn Bobo. You checked guilty of
first degree felony murder of Holly Lynn Bobo during
the perpetration of or attempt to perpetrate
kidnapping of Holly Lynn Bobo; is that correct?

THE FOREMAN: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And you signed and dated it?

THE FOREMAN: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Now, I'm going to go ahead

```
and poll them as to each count. So I'm going to
 1
 2
      start on the back corner.
 3
      BY THE COURT:
            Number 1, is that your verdict?
 4
      Q.
 5
      Α.
             Yes.
           Number 2?
 6
      Q.
 7
          Yes.
      Α.
 8
      Q.
             Then we're skipping over.
 9
             Yours? Is that your verdict?
10
             Yes.
      Α.
11
      Q.
             Is that your verdict?
12
      Α.
             Yes.
13
      Q. Back to the front row.
14
             Is that your verdict?
15
      Α.
             Yes.
             Is that your verdict?
16
      Q.
17
      Α.
             Yes.
            Your verdict?
18
      Q.
19
      Α.
            Yes.
20
            Your verdict?
      Q.
21
      Α.
             Yes.
22
             Your verdict?
      Q.
             Yes.
23
      Α.
            And your verdict?
24
      Q.
25
      Α.
             Yes.
```

```
1
                  THE COURT: Count Number 2. Verdict form
 2
      Count 2: Especially aggravated kidnapping of
      Holly Lynn Bobo accomplished with a deadly weapon or
 3
 4
      by display of any article used or fashioned to lead
 5
      the victim to reasonably believe it to be a deadly
      weapon. At that you checked number A, that guilty of
 6
 7
      especially aggravated kidnapping of Holly Lynn Bobo
 8
      accomplished with a deadly weapon or by display of
 9
      any article used or fashioned to lead the victim to
10
      reasonably believe it to be a deadly weapon; is that
11
      correct?
                  THE FOREMAN: Yes, sir.
12
13
      BY THE COURT:
14
             Let me start with him first, chairperson.
15
      Then I'm going to come right back down the way as I
16
      did. Yours? Ma'am?
17
      Α.
             Yes.
             Yours?
18
      Ο.
19
      Α.
             Yes.
20
             Yours?
      Q.
21
      Α.
             Yes.
22
             Yours?
      Q.
23
             Yes.
      Α.
24
             And yours?
      Q.
25
      Α.
             Yes.
```

```
Back to the front row.
 1
      Q.
 2
              Yours?
 3
              Yes.
      Α.
              Yours?
 4
      Q.
 5
             Yes.
      Α.
 6
      Q.
             Yours?
 7
      Α.
             Yes.
 8
              Yes.
 9
      Q.
             Yours?
10
      Α.
              Yes.
11
              And yours?
      Q.
12
      Α.
              Yes.
13
                   THE COURT: Verdict Count 3, especially
14
      aggravated kidnapping of Holly Lynn Bobo where
15
      Holly Lynn Bobo suffered serious bodily injury. You
      checked A, guilty of especially aggravated kidnapping
16
      of Holly Lynn Bobo where Holly Lynn Bobo suffered
17
      serious bodily injury.
18
      BY THE COURT:
19
20
             Is that correct, Mr. Foreman?
      Q.
21
      Α.
             Yes, sir.
22
              And starting back at the corner.
      Q.
23
              Your verdict?
24
              Yes.
      Α.
             Your verdict?
25
      Q.
```

```
1
      Α.
              Yes.
 2
              Your verdict?
      Q.
 3
              Yes.
      Α.
             Your verdict?
 4
      Q.
 5
      Α.
              Yes.
             Your verdict?
 6
      Q.
 7
              Yes.
      Α.
 8
              Your verdict?
      Q.
 9
              Yes.
      Α.
              I didn't hear from her.
10
      Q.
11
              Yes.
      Α.
12
              Yours?
      Q.
13
              Yes.
      Α.
14
             Yours?
      Q.
15
      Α.
              Yes.
16
              Yours?
      Q.
17
      Α.
              Yes, sir.
18
             And yours?
      Q.
19
              Yes.
      Α.
20
              Okay.
      Q.
21
                   THE COURT: Count 4, first degree felony
22
      murder of Holly Lynn Bobo during the perpetration of
23
      or attempt to perpetrate rape of Holly Lynn Bobo.
      You checked A, guilty of first degree felony murder
24
25
      of Holly Lynn Bobo during the perpetration of or
```

```
1
      attempt to perpetrate rape of Holly Lynn Bobo.
 2
      BY THE COURT:
 3
             Mr. Foreman; is that correct?
      Q.
             Yes, sir.
 4
      Α.
 5
      Q.
             And back to the corner?
 6
      Α.
             Yes.
 7
      Q.
             Your verdict? Your verdict?
8
             Yes.
      Α.
             Your verdict?
9
      Q.
             Yes.
10
      Α.
             Your verdict?
11
      Q.
12
      Α.
             Yes.
13
             Your verdict?
      Q.
14
      Α.
             Yes.
15
             Your verdict?
      Q.
16
             Yes.
      Α.
17
             Your verdict?
      Q.
18
             Yes.
      Α.
             Your verdict?
19
      Q.
20
      Α.
             Yes.
             Your verdict?
21
      Q.
22
      Α.
             Yes.
23
             Your verdict?
      Q.
24
             Yes, sir.
      Α.
25
             And your verdict?
      Q.
```

1 Α. Yes. 2 THE COURT: Count 5, aggravated rape of 3 Holly Lynn Bobo by use or force of coercion while 4 armed with a weapon or any article used or fashioned 5 in a manner to lead the victim reasonably to believe 6 it to be a weapon. 7 BY THE COURT: 8 Mr. Foreman, you checked A, guilty of 9 aggravated rape of Holly Lynn Bobo by the use or 10 force of coercion while armed with a deadly weapon or any article used or fashioned in a manner to lead the 11 12 victim reasonably to believe it to be a weapon; is 13 that correct? 14 Yes, sir. Α. 15 Q. Then starting in the back corner. 16 Yes. Α. 17 Your verdict? Your verdict? Q. 18 Α. Yes. 19 Your verdict? Q. 20 Yes. Α. 21 Your verdict? Q. 22 Α. Yes. 23 Your verdict? Q. 24 Yes. Α. 25 Back down here. 0.

```
1
              Your verdict?
 2
      Α.
             Yes.
 3
             Your verdict?
      Q.
 4
      Α.
             Yes.
 5
      Q.
             Your verdict?
 6
             Yes.
      Α.
 7
      Q.
             Your verdict?
 8
      Α.
             Yes.
 9
             Your verdict?
      Q.
             Yes, sir.
10
      Α.
             Your verdict?
11
      Q.
12
      Α.
              Yes.
                  THE COURT: Count 6, aggravated rape of
13
14
      Holly Lynn Bobo where Holly Lynn Bobo suffered bodily
15
      injury.
16
      BY THE COURT:
17
            Mr. Foreman, you checked A, guilty of
      Q.
18
      aggravated rape of Holly Lynn Bobo where
19
      Holly Lynn Bobo suffered bodily injury; is that
20
      correct, sir?
21
             Yes, sir.
      Α.
22
      Q.
             And then all the way back down.
23
      Α.
              Yes.
24
              Yes.
25
              Yes.
```

```
1
              Yes.
              Yes.
 3
              Yes.
 4
              Yes.
 5
              Yes.
 6
              Yes.
 7
              Yes, sir.
 8
              Yes.
             All right.
9
      Q.
10
                  THE COURT: Count 7, aggravated rape of
11
      Holly Lynn Bobo by use of force or coercion where
12
      aided or abetted by one or more persons.
13
      BY THE COURT:
14
         Mr. Foreman, you checked A, guilty of
15
      aggravated rape of Holly Lynn Bobo by use of force or
16
      coercion while aided or abetted by one or more
17
      persons; is that correct?
18
      Α.
             Yes, sir.
             Then all the way at the back.
19
20
             Yes.
      Α.
21
              Yes.
22
              Yes.
23
              Yes.
24
              Yes.
25
              Yes.
```

```
1
              Yes.
 2
              Yes.
 3
              Yes.
 4
              Yes, sir.
 5
              Yes.
 6
                   THE COURT: Count 8, first degree
 7
      premeditated murder of Holly Lynn Bobo.
 8
      BY THE COURT:
            Mr. Chairman, you checked A -- or put a --
 9
10
      all of these put X's, but they're checked.
11
              You checked guilty of first degree
12
      premeditated murder of Holly Bobo; is that correct,
13
      sir?
14
      Α.
          Yes, sir.
15
             All right.
      Q.
16
      Α.
             Yes.
17
              Yes.
18
              Yes.
19
              Yes.
20
              Yes.
21
             Back down here.
      Q.
22
      Α.
              Yes.
23
              Yes.
24
              Yes.
25
              Yes.
```

Yes, sir.

Yes.

Q. Okay.

THE COURT: That's the verdict of the jury. They've been polled.

As I told you at the outset, upon a finding of murder in the first degree, either felony murder or premeditated, there's a separate sentencing hearing. It's too late in the day to do that. You might have guessed that.

The same rules I gave you from the outset must be applied. No further discussion of this case whatsoever. Don't discuss it with anyone. You formed an opinion concerning the guilt, but the sentencing phase is still open, so don't form any opinion whatsoever until you've heard all the evidence and the law that applies to that. Avoid conversations, not even among yourselves, further on this case until you're back in deliberations with others.

You've been sequestered from the news media, so you have not been viewing or hearing any news reports. All of that's been covered, and I've been very pleased with the way you folks have followed your admonitions.

So we're going to recess. It will be a little different, because there's a few things prior to that second phase that I want to take up with the attorneys. And so we're going to bring you in. Be ready hopefully to start at 10:00. So that will give you a little more time for breakfast and everything, and hopefully we'll have things where they're set to go in pretty good fashion, okay? Do you have any questions?

And once again, the three that are alternates, you need to avoid this and you need to avoid conversations with the other people that are on the jury in case we still have to substitute something. Also, the three of you will be present during this next phase of the trial so that you can listen to the law, you can listen to the evidence, and then once again, you will be the three alternates, but that way if you're called into service, you would have properly heard the evidence and the law and you can participate, okay? So you won't have to play cards or whatever as much tomorrow. You got her to thank for that. She said, I feel so sorry for them, and she got an adult coloring book. I don't know if anybody used that or not. Supposedly it's supposed to soothe you.

decks of cards, and I said why three? She said, well, they might want to play solitaire. And then a couple of puzzle books or whatever.

THE ALTERNATE: We got a 1,000 piece puzzle. That was nice.

THE COURT: Holy cow. My dad used to do those usually around Christmas time. And he had the patience of Job. I don't know whether y'all have perceived this. I was not blessed with that patience. I do the best I can, but I'm high gear. Okay? But ultimately that works to the benefit, because I like to keep things moving.

Something else I want you to consider. Maybe we can wrap it up tomorrow, maybe we can't. I'm not going to promise. But I want you folks to decide — the only way I'll work on a Sunday afternoon — we'll probably be going all day tomorrow, because we're getting ready to be done with this in fairness to everybody. But in the event we don't get ready tomorrow, I'm going to ask the jury to take a poll whether or not you would be interested in going on Sunday afternoon. The only way I would do that is with the consent of all jurors, okay? And then we'll talk further tomorrow.

THE JUROR: Yes, sir.

1 THE COURT: And I've already told you, 2 I'm extremely limited on anything I can say or comment or whatever, but do you have any questions? 3 4 THE JUROR: No, sir. 5 THE COURT: All right. You'll be retired 6 then. The sheriff will take care of you. 7 (WHEREUPON, the jury left the courtroom, 8 after which the following proceedings were had:) 9 THE COURT: Anybody want to examine the 10 verdict forms, they'll be filed as an exhibit, okay? 11 (WHEREUPON, the above-mentioned verdict 12 forms were marked as Exhibit Number 251.) 13 THE COURT: And then I was making 14 contingent plans as far as what to do tomorrow, and 15 we've discussed all of this. Everybody is good with 16 it. 17 MR. SIMMONS: What time would you like 18 the attorneys here? THE COURT: I think probably 9:00, okay? 19 20 Because I've looked through your materials, and I've 21 got a pretty good idea. There will have to be -- if 22 they're to offer victim impact, they'll have to be an 23 out of Court hearing on that. You want to disclose 24 how many you think? 25 GENERAL NICHOLS: (Held up one finger.)

```
1
                  THE COURT: One? So that shouldn't take
      that long. I think if you're here at 9:00, we'll be
 2
      ready to go with your first witness at 10:00, okay?
 3
 4
      Attorneys got any further questions?
 5
                  GENERAL NICHOLS: (Shook head
 6
      negatively.)
 7
                  THE COURT: Okay.
 8
                  (WHEREUPON, court was adjourned at 5:14
 9
      p.m.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## VOLUME XVII 1 DAY 13 SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 23, 2017 3 SENTENCING PHASE 4 5 GENERAL NICHOLS: May I approach, Your 6 Honor. THE COURT: Yes. 8 9 GENERAL NICHOLS: (Passes document.) 10 THE COURT: Everybody double checked? 11 GENERAL HAGERMAN: Yes, sir. 12 THE COURT: It's 10:27. We were set to 13 go at 10:00. Starting about 9:30 was when I 14 anticipated taking up the matters so that we could 15 proceed into sentencing at 10:00. I asked the jury to return at 10:00. I was approached by attorneys at 16 17 that time saying that if I could give them additional time, potentially there might be some agreement that 18 19 could be reached. I think the agreement has been reached with a certain degree of reluctance, but 20 nevertheless, the parties have indicated to me that 21 22 they're in agreement. Who wants to announce the agreement? 23 GENERAL NICHOLS: I will, Your Honor. 24 25 THE COURT: Okay.

GENERAL NICHOLS: I probably need the papers back to make sure I get the consecutive and current.

2.2

THE COURT: All right. Generically the agreement is they're agreeing to sentence, the three murder counts would be merged in Count 8. The agreement on sentence with the parties would be life without the possibility of parole. The rape counts would be merged for a 25-year consecutive sentence at 100 percent. The kidnapping counts would be merged for a 25-year consecutive at 100 percent.

Now, that generically is the agreement; is it not?

GENERAL NICHOLS: It is. In a nutshell, it will be life without the possibility of parole, plus 50 years at 100 percent to run consecutively.

So in Case Number 17-CR-10 Count 1, the agreed upon sentence is murder in the perpetration of kidnapping, life without the possibility of parole.

Count 2, on the indicted offense of especially aggravated kidnapping, the agreed upon sentence is 25 years at 100 percent to run consecutively to all murder cases.

Count 3, especially aggravated kidnapping
Count 3, same sentence 25 years at 100 percent to be

merged with the previous count of especially -excuse me, to be merged with the -- yeah, Count 2,
especially aggravated kidnapping.

1.5

Count 4, murder in the perpetration of rape, the agreed upon sentence is life without the possibility of parole to be merged with murder of the perpetration of kidnapping, Count 1.

Count 5, aggravated rape, the agreed upon sentence is 25 years at 100 percent to run consecutively to Count 1 and Count 8.

Count 6, aggravated rape, the agreed upon sentence is 25 years, that would be merged with the other alternative theory of aggravated rape and will run consecutively to Count 1, 8, and 2.

Count 7, aggravated rape, again the agreed upon sentence is 25 years at 100 percent. That will run consecutively to Count 1, 8, and 2 and will merge with the other counts of aggravated rape.

Count 8, murder first degree premeditated, the agreed upon sentence is life without the possibility of parole. And as previously announced by the Court, it will be merged with the other felony murders, but the kidnapping -- excuse me, the especially aggravated kidnapping and the aggravated rape will run consecutively.

1	May I approach?
2	THE COURT: You may.
3	GENERAL NICHOLS: (Passes document.)
4	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Simmons, as I
5	understand and have understood, essentially you were
6	designated by the defense team as the attorney that
7	would handle the sentencing issues or that phase of
8	the trial.
9	MR. SIMMONS: Yes, sir.
10	THE COURT: As has been announced by the
11	Court and further announced by the district attorney
12	general; is that correct?
13	MR. SIMMONS: That is correct, Your
14	Honor. The agreement is life plus 50 years.
15	THE COURT: All right.
16	MR. SIMMONS: Life without parole plus
17	50.
18	THE COURT: I told you that I would be
19	addressing your client as to voluntariness. I want
20	you to stand, Mr. Adams. Raise your right hand.
21	
22	* * *
23	ZACHARY ADAMS,
24	was called as a witness and having first been duly
25	sworn testified as follows:

1 2

3

4

DIRECT EXAMINATION

## QUESTIONS BY THE COURT:

- Q. You've been present during this announcement.
- 5 Do you understand?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 \ Q. Are you agreeing to this freely and
- 8 voluntarily?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. Anybody put undue pressure on you to force
- 11 | you to agree to this against your wishes?
- 12 A. No, sir.
- 13 Q. Is this what you want to do?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- THE COURT: All right. Court accepts the
- 16 | agreement. Also as part of this agreement, I am
- going to bring the jury in, all 15, and then the
- 18 State as part of this agreement, going to offer the
- 19 victim's mother in the nature of victim impact. I
- 20 don't know that it -- pure victim impact, but it's in
- 21 | the nature of that, and that was part of the
- 22 agreement; is that correct?
- MR. SIMMONS: That's correct, Your Honor.
- GENERAL NICHOLS: Yes, sir.
- 25 THE COURT: Ready to bring the jury in?

We'll need those three alternates as well, okay?

(WHEREUPON, the jury returned to the courtroom, after which the following proceedings were had:)

THE COURT: You folks know how I am about time. The last 30 minutes while you've been waiting, I think we have utilized the time wisely. Will it hurt anyone's feelings if you don't get to spend the rest of the day and potentially part of tomorrow with me?

THE JURY: (Shook heads negatively.)

anybody's feelings. I will tell you, the parties have reached an agreement as to sentence. The sentencing is as follows: The defendant will be sentenced to life without the possibility of parole on the murder counts. As to the other counts, there will be a 50-year consecutive sentence. That means in addition to the life without parole, there will be 50 years. It's 25 on two of them. Legal, they've merged. But 25 on two of the counts for a result of 50 years, and that's at 100 percent classification.

Part of this agreement, which I will tell you has been reached maybe with some reluctance, but I think it certainly works to your benefit, because --

be a very difficult issue. You folks, I can't thank 1 you enough for how hard you've worked, the work that 2 3 you've done. Had many people comment about how 4 dedicated the jury was, how alert and paying attention to the evidence. You folks have been 5 excellent. 6 Part of this agreement is the State will be 8 allowed to call Ms. Karen Bobo as far as -- generally it's called victim impact. That was to be done at 9 10 your presence -- in your presence. So you may call the witness at this time. 11 GENERAL NICHOLS: Karen. 12 THE COURT: Ms. Bobo, you were already 13 14 placed under oath, you understand that? THE WITNESS: Yes. 15 16 17 KAREN BOBO, 18 19 was called as a witness and having first been duly sworn testified as follows: 20 21 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 QUESTIONS BY GENERAL NICHOLS:

The judge just told the jury that this

agreement to life without parole plus 50 years was

24

25

done with some reluctance. Is it fair to say that it's something that you have thought about and thought about and prayed about with your family and the people that you love?

A. Yes, ma'am.

1.4

2.4

Q. Had we gone forward, there would have been an opportunity for you to have addressed the jury in the sentencing hearing in what's called victim impact.

So I want you to take a few minutes, this is your opportunity to tell them about Holly, because all they know right now is who she belonged to, who she promised herself to, and what her career goals are.

But there's more than that, right?

A. There's much more about Holly that you should know. In all the people that I've been around, experienced, had the opportunity to know, she was the sweetest soul I've ever known in my life. The kindness and the love for people that many people just can't even imagine.

From an early age in life, I could see that in her. I could see the love and care that she had for people. She appreciated the small things in life. Might be riding down the road, and she would just look over at me, and say, mom, have you ever thought about all the beautiful things that God made

in this world, the trees, just when the seasons change. She would notice those things.

She also from an early age had a passion for helping people. When there was a new student that came to school, she would be the first one to welcome them and make them feel apart of their class. Many times she was voted most favorite in her class. Not because of her beautifulness on the outside but on the inside. There's just so many things about Holly that you don't even know. Even though she was beautiful. Her senior year when she was elected most beautiful, most talented, she was like, man, I wanted to get tightest ride, that was her car, you know.

And her Facebook posts where she described herself, I am a country girl, and I wouldn't want to be any other way. I love God and I am a Christian. I love Drew Scott with my whole heart and always will. When he gave her that promise ring on Christmas, they started making plans for their future. She asked Dana and I could they live beside us. All of those things were taken from her, from us. Part of her reason in wanting to besides help others and become a nurse was to take care of her grandparents when they got old.

When she was a child, when they used to take

her in a store, they'd say pick out something, and she'd look at them and say, doesn't that cost too much. Holly wasn't materialistic at all. When she went in a store, she'd go straight to the clearance rack, because that's what I taught her.

Started working at a very young age, cleaning, making her own money, because we were just an average, hard-working family. She was raised in a Christian home filled with lots of love and laughter. There will be none of that anymore for all of us, because there's a piece of us that will always be missing. When we sit down to Sunday dinners, which was one of her favorite things to do, there's one of us missing.

Q. Can you tell them some of the things that you described to me regarding the impact, the actual impact that her loss has had on you and Dana and her grandparents and the rest of your friends and family?

A. I've had to watch my parents in their what's supposed to be called golden years be so sad every day. Not a morning since this has happened have we not woken up with Holly being the first thing on our mind when we wake up and the last thing on our mind when we go to sleep.

Last night I saw my husband smile for the

1 | first time in six and a half years.

- Q. What about you?
- A. I didn't only lose my daughter, I lost my best friend. We rode to school together every day.
- Q. Was it your idea or hers?
- A. It was her idea. And then when she got old
  enough to get her driver's license, she didn't just
  kick me out. She was like, mom, let's ride together.
  And then she, instead of me dropping her off at
  school and picking her up, she dropped me off and
- 12 Q. What changed in Dana?
- 13 A. I'm sorry?

picked me up.

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. What's the change you've seen in Dana?

getting justice for our daughter.

A. Dana changed from one of the strongest men

I've ever known to one of the weakest. For three

years, almost three years the only thing that kept us

going was hope. Then when all that hope was lost,

what kept us going was we had to find our daughter's

remains. And then when that happened, then we began

still on that long, hard road that we've been walking

on for over six and a half years, but it changed to

And I want to thank you, each and every one of you for making the right decision and helping us

1 to know that we do have justice for our daughter.

- Q. I am going to show a couple of pictures. Who is that a picture of?
- A. That's Holly and myself going shopping one day, which if she ever did go shopping which she hated to do, we would go together or sometimes she would just say, mom, just pick out something, you
- 8 know what I like, and it will be okay.
- 9 Q. How close in time to when you lost her?
- 10 A. Just few weeks before.

2

3

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

- 11 Q. And there's one more picture.
- A. That's Holly and her nursing student

  classmates just a few days before she was hoping to

  fulfill her dream of becoming a nurse, but we all

  know that didn't happen.
- Q. Is there something that you want to say to Zachary Adams?
  - A. First of all, I would like for you to know that this decision that was made this morning had absolutely nothing to do with that animal. It had to do with the future of our family. If there can ever be any more joy in our family to maybe give us an opportunity to find at least a little joy.

I would like for Mr. Adams to look at me when I speak to him. I know that my daughter fought and

fought hard for her life. Can you back up just a little bit, so he can look at me? And I know that she begged for her life, because my daughter loved and enjoyed life, but you chose to take that from her.

And you have shown absolutely, look at me, please, you have shown absolutely no remorse for anything that you have done.

Q. Thank you.

GENERAL NICHOLS: That's all, Judge, unless there's --

THE WITNESS: I will like to say that I appreciate each and every one of you that have stood beside us, picked us up, physically taken care of me when I couldn't take care of myself, and our church family who's been with us all the way. And then I want to thank this prosecuting team that once we got together, I had full confidence in you and I still do.

I would like to thank the law enforcement who's been with us all the way, on the good and the bad days, but we finally got there. And it took six and a half long years, but we finally got there. And I will never forget all the help that all of you have given us. Thank you.

THE COURT: Anything else?

GENERAL NICHOLS: (Shook head

negatively.)

2.3

2.4

THE COURT: Anything else?

MR. SIMMONS: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I know you folks are tired of me. I don't blame you. I am going to discharge you at this time. Here's some instructions. First of all I told you I can't safeguard your names. But I also told you that I will protect you. If someone wishes to discuss the case with you, that's your option. If you don't want to, you're not required to. If someone persists in wanting to get you to discuss this case and you don't want to, you get word to the clerk's office or the sheriff, and I promise you I can take care of that, okay?

When I discharge you, I want you to go to the jury room. You can take what you want from those notebooks. Anything you want to take from those notebooks, you may do so. If you've got personal notes, if you want to take those, fine. If you leave them in there, they'll go -- this lady over here will run them through a shredder. If you want to take them with you to insure your privacy, you're free to do that. If you want to take portions of the charge

or the charge, you can do that. You might have written on it or anything, just take it out of the notebook. I do reuse the notebooks.

1.0

Matter of fact, how many sets do we got?

SHERRY CONLEY: Five.

THE COURT: Five. I just wanted her to keep three, because sometimes I'll be trying cases back to back to back. Most cases I have I don't do 12 days like we have on this. This is the longest case in my history, probably the most complex. And as I said, I can't commend you folks strongly enough. When you file out, go to the jury room. Then you can get what you want to from that.

Is Ms. Peck in here? She's probably in the press room. She will come up there. If any of you want to give interviews to the press, you can do so if you want to. But she will probably give you some guidelines. Not trying to limit what you say, but to make sure you don't say anything that might embarrass other jurors or anything like that. If you don't wish to do that, that's fine. Your privacy will be protected.

You might, again, be contacted in the future. I had another very high profile case, the attorneys on both sides said I can disclose names and those

1 people were contacted by 20/20 or one of the news 2 programs. It might have been Dateline, I don't 3 remember. About half of them were willing to discuss, some of them really didn't want to. were respected in their decision. Does everybody 5 6 understand that? Go first to the jury room, and I 7 think Ms. Peck will join you up there and probably be with you if you wish to lend yourself to an interview. 9 And like I say, you might be contacted 10 further down the road, that's up to you. In other 11

4

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

words, if you want to talk that's fine. If you don't, that's fine, too. Do we have questions?

THE JURY: (Shook heads negatively.)

THE COURT: I can't thank you folks enough from the bottom of my heart. This is above and beyond the call of civic duty. And I will tell you if your name comes up on down the road, you just mention that you served on this case, and the clerk will excuse you on that one if you want to.

You folks got any questions?

THE JURY: (Shook heads negatively.)

THE COURT: I know a lot of your families. Your little boy played baseball with my youngest little boy. I've known your daddy for a

thousand years. Good family. I know you at the bank. I'll try not to come up to you, put you into a cold sweat if you see me before long. But I know -- I know a lot of you, but I really appreciate what you've done here.

If you would stand, so the jury can -
(WHEREUPON, the jury left the courtroom,

after which the following proceedings were had:)

THE COURT: I'm going to have to have these redone. Believe it or not, I picked up some things on it. I think it's better -- if something can be added -- probably white out. But it has to do with the counties and so forth.

Anything else prior to being dismissed?

MR. SIMMONS: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

GENERAL NICHOLS: The date, reset date.

THE COURT: November 14, we've got to check with two other attorneys, but it looks like that at 2:00 p.m. And that's status as to the other two defendants.

THIS WAS ALL THE EVIDENCE INTRODUCED AND PROCEEDINGS HAD RELEVANT TO QUESTIONS RAISED ON APPEAL ON THE TRIAL OF THIS CAUSE.

## CERTIFICATE

I, the undersigned, ERIN ANGEL, Court
Reporter for the Twenty-Fourth Judicial District of
the State of Tennessee, and Notary Public, do hereby
certify that the foregoing to be a true, accurate and
complete transcript to the best of my knowledge,
understanding and ability, of all the proceedings had
and evidence introduced in the hearing of the
captioned cause, in the Circuit Court for Hardin
County, Tennessee, before the Honorable C. Creed
McGinley, Presiding Judge, on the 9th - 23rd days of
September, 2017.

I do further certify that I am neither of kin, counsel nor interest to any party hereto.

Dated this 30th day of July, 2019.

TENNESSEE
TENNES

My Notary Commission Expires: 11/22/2020