DOLPHIN COGNITION AND BEHAVIOR: A COMPARATIVE APPROACH

Edited by

Ronald J. Schusterman

Jeanette A. Thomas

Forrest G. Wood

HANGOL

Dolphin Cognition and Behavior: A Comparative Approach

COMPARATIVE COGNITION AND NEUROSCIENCE

Thomas G. Bever, David S. *Olton, and Herbert L. ROitblat, Series Editors*

Roitblat/Bever/Terrace: Animal Cognition

Nilsson/Archer: Perspectives on Learning and Memory

Kendrick/Rilling/Denny: Theories of Animal Memory

Schusterman/Thomas/Wood: Dolphin Behavior and Cognition

DOLPHIN COGNITION AND BEHAVIOR: A COMPARATIVE APPROACH

Edited by

RONALD J. SCHUSTERMAN *California State University, Hayward. and University of California, Santa Cruz*

JEANETTE A. THOMAS *Hubbs Marine Research Institute. San Diego*

FORREST G. WOOD *Naval Ocean Systems Center, San Diego*

Copyright C 1986 by Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc. All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by photostat, microform, retrieval system, or any other means, without the prior written permission of the publisher

Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc .. Publishers 365 Broadway Hillsdale, New Jersey 07642

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Dolphin cognition and behavior.

(Series in comparative cognition and neuroscience) Bibliography: p. Includes index. 1. Dolphins-Behavior. 2. Dolphins-Psychology. 3. Cognition in animals. 4. Mammals-Behavior. 5. Mammals-Psychology. I. Schustennan, Ronald J. II. Thomas, Jeanette A. III. Wood, Forrest G.
(Forrest Glenn). 1918- IV. Series. (Forrest Glenn), 1918-
OL737.C432D65 1986 599.5'3 QL737.C432D65 1986 599.5'3 86-4440 ISBN 0-89859-665-3 ISBN 0-89859-594-0 (pbk.)

Printed in the United States of America 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 I

Contents

vi CONTEN_{1S}

Dolphin Brain Metabolism 36 Hemispheric Independence 37 Absence of HEM Sleep in Dolphins 41 Lateralizatic r of the Dolphin Brain 41 Somatosensory Observations on the Dolphin 47 The Auditory System 48 References [55](#page--1-0)

3. Middle- and Long-Latency Auditory Event-Related Potentials in Dolphins

David L. Woods, Sam H. Ridgway, Donald A. *Carder, and Theodore H. Bullock*

[61](#page--1-0)

[115](#page--1-0)

Introduction [61](#page--1-0) Methods 62 Experiment I. Effects of Stimulus Probability 63 Experiment II. ERPs to Conditioned Tone Sequences 65 Experiment III. The Recovery Cycle of the Dolphin ERP 67 Discussion 72 Summary [74](#page--1-0) References [75](#page--1-0)

4. Vision, Audition, and Chemoreception in Dolphins and Other Marine Mammals *Paul E. Nachtiga/l* [79](#page--1-0)

Introduction [79](#page--1-0) Vision 80 Audition [88](#page--1-0) Chemoreception *[104](#page--1-0)* References *[109](#page--1-0)*

Theoretical Echolocation Models *[130](#page--1-0)* References 132

List of Measurable Variables *[210](#page--1-0)* Comments on the List [214](#page--1-0) Summary 216 References [217](#page--1-0) 10. Cognition and Language Competencies of Bottlenosed Dolphins *Louis* M. *Herman* Introduction [221](#page--1-0) Processing Auditory and Visual Information [223](#page--1-0) Language Comprehension [227](#page--1-0) Discussion [241](#page--1-0) References 249 11. Reinforcement Training as Interspecies Communication **Karen Pryor** Dolphin Domestication [253](#page--1-0) Positive Reinforcement Training and Cognition Reinforcement Training and Intraspecific Signals Reinforcement Training and Human-Animal Communication [257](#page--1-0) Conclusion [259](#page--1-0) References *[260](#page--1-0)* 12. Dolphin Behavior and Cognition: Evolutionary and Ecological Aspects *J. F. Eisenberg* Introduction [261](#page--1-0) The Social Organizations of Mammals Social Organization and Encephalization Natural Selection and Social Dynamics Higher Mental Processes and Cognition References [269](#page--1-0) **III: COMMUNICATION IN DOLPHINS** *Jeanette A. Thomas* [261](#page--1-0) [262](#page--1-0) [263](#page--1-0) [265](#page--1-0) [254](#page--1-0) [256](#page--1-0) 13. Dolphin Vocal Mimicry and Vocal Object Labeling *Doug/as* G. *Richards* Introduction [273](#page--1-0) Vocal Mimicry [275](#page--1-0) Labeling of Objects [278](#page--1-0) [221](#page--1-0) [253](#page--1-0) [261](#page--1-0) 271 [273](#page--1-0)

Implications of Mimicry for Cognition Research [279](#page--1-0)

Natural Communication [285](#page--1-0) References [286](#page--1-0)

- 14. Acquisition of Anomalous Communicatory Systems: Implications for Studies on Interspecies Communication [289](#page--1-0) *Irene* M. *Pepperberg* Inculcation of Human Codes-Validity and Implications [289](#page--1-0) Inculcation of Human Codes-Social and Referential Aspects [291](#page--1-0) Consequences of Anomalous Communicative Competence [295](#page--1-0) References [297](#page--1-0) 15. Awareness, Intentionality, and Acquired Communicative Behaviors: Dimensions of Intelligence 303 *E. Sue Savage-Rumbaugh and William D. Hopkins* Introduction *[303](#page--1-0)* The Difference Between Acquired and Innate Patterns Awareness and Intentional Informative Communicative Acts *[309](#page--1-0)* References [312](#page--1-0) 16. Signaling- Behavior: Contributions of Different Repertoires W. *John Smith* The Repertoire of Signal Acts 316 Repertoire of Variations of Signal Form 317 Repertoires of Patterned Combinations of Signal Units 324 Repertoires of Formalized Interactions [325](#page--1-0) Contributions of the Repertoires [326](#page--1-0) Conclusions [328](#page--1-0) References [329](#page--1-0) *[306](#page--1-0)* [315](#page--1-0) [IV: SOCIAL BEHAVIOR AND FORAGING STRATEGIES OF DOLPHINS 331](#page--1-0)
	- *Forrest* G. *Wood*
	- 17. Delphinid Social Organization and Social Behavior *Christine M. Johnson and Kenneth* S. *Norris* [335](#page--1-0)

Introduction [335](#page--1-0) Social Organization 336

x CONTENTS

Social Behavior 339 The Symmetry of Relations [342](#page--1-0) Conclusion [343.](#page--1-0) References [343](#page--1-0)

18. Delphlnid Foraging Strategies

Bernd Wiirsig

Introduction [347](#page--1-0) Finding and Securing Food [348](#page--1-0) Cooperative Foraging *[350](#page--1-0)* Concluding Remarks [356](#page--1-0) References [357](#page--1-0)

19. Social Complexity and Cooperative Behavior in Delphlnids [361](#page--1-0) *Jack* W. *Bradbury*

Forms of Social Cooperation 362 Economics of Cooperation in General [363](#page--1-0) Economics of Cooperation in Cetaceans [364](#page--1-0) Measures of Cooperation Complexity [368](#page--1-0) References [371](#page--1-0)

AUTHOR INDEX [373](#page--1-0) SUBJECT INDEX [385](#page--1-0) **[347](#page--1-0)**

[Foreword](#page--1-0)

The conference on dolphin cognition and behavior held at the Hubbs Marine Research Institute at Sea World in June, 1983 led to a stimulating and productive exchange of data and ideas. I am sure I speak for all the participants in thanking the organizers and sponsors for making this possible. The resulting papers (which are far too numerous and diverse for any meaningful review here) include both first-hand reports of dolphin behavior strongly suggesting cognition, and reviews of experiments with other animals whose abilities are relevant and helpful to those planning further investigations of dolphin mentality. While parrots and apes are obviously very different from cetaceans, the eye-opening discoveries made in the past few years about their apparently intentional communication provide significant comparisons with the emerging picture of cognition in dolphins and other marine mammals. It is obvious that dolphins' behavior is complex and versatile. Despite the lack of hands they can learn to carry out a variety of manipulative tasks. Complex communicative exchanges take place under natural conditions, and in captivity they can learn to understand a variety of visual and acoustic signals.

A highly significant but neglected question is whether dolphins are consciously aware of what they are doing. To many people the versatility and complexity of their behavior makes it obvious that they must often be acting intentionally, with some understanding of the likely results of their behavior. For example, dolphins are often kept together in small groups from which only one is trained to perform a complex set of maneuvers to entertain the public. In some cases when the trained animal is removed, another immediately performs the complex actions without prior experience or practice (Herman 1980). Perhaps one dolphin can learn the gymnastic tricks of another without thinking about

them, but it seems more likely that such an observing companion is consciously aware of what the trained dolphin is doing and how he might perform if given the opportunity.

On the other hand, it has become customary for behavioral scientists to ignore the question of animal consciousness, and some even minimize the significance of human thoughts and feelings. Although overt behavior is easier to observe, this does not mean that subjective, conscious mental experiences are nonexistent or unimportant. One can never prove with absolute and logical certainty that another person or animal is consciously thinking; but such extreme standards of proof are seldom if ever required in other areas of science. Given the obvious reality and importance of our own thoughts and feelings, together with the similarity of basic elements that make up all central nervous systems, it is at least as difficult to prove the nonexistence of mental experiences in dolphins, apes, or other animals as to establish their presence.

If and when dolphins do experience conscious thoughts, it may well be adaptive to communicate them to their companions. The coordination of social interactions is surely facilitated by exchange of information about any thoughts and feelings that may be important to the participants. Therefore the interpretation of their communicative signals may well be a long step towards literally reading the minds of dolphins. While it is possible that all dolphin behavior is comparable to the large fraction of our own behavior that goes on without conscious awareness, conscious thinking may be the most economical and efficient way to deal with complex and constantly changing situations, as I have suggested elsewhere (Griffin 1984). This is why it is so important to learn just what the communicative capabilities of dolphins really are.

It has been widely held that animal communication conveys only relatively crude emotional states aroused in the communicator by the immediate stimulus situation. But recent studies of primates both under natural conditions (reviewed in a symposium edited by Harré & Reynolds, 1984), and in captivity (reviewed by Ristau & Robbins, 1982), show that they sometimes communicate semantic information. This may include information about objects and events that are not currently available to stimulate the communicating animals. This is why the data presented in Herman's chapter in this volume are especially significant. Dolphins can learn to comprehend messages from their trainers about objects not visible or otherwise det, 'able at the time the message is received. As the lingering taboos of behaviorism are relaxed, it seems likely that investigators of other animals will begin to inquire how extensive this sort of communication may be.

One of the most important attributes of human language is what George Miller (1967) appropriately described as "combinatorial productivity. " This means the addition of now and important meaning by rule-governed combinations of words, ordinarily into sentences. Until recently this seemed to be a unique human accomplishneent. But Herman demonstrates that dolphins can learn to comprehend relationships encoded in sentence-like sets of commands. It remains to

be seen whether they can also learn to produce rule-governed messages, but an ability to understand and respond appropriately to messages whose meaning requires comprehension of the rules as well as of the individual elements is certainly an important step in the direction of combinatorial productivity in animal communication.

In the thoughtful discussion of Herman's experiments at the conference, it was pointed out that we scientists whose native language is English tend to assume that grammatical rules providing combinatorial productivity must necessarily involve word order or the temporal sequence of other signals. This type of rule is easy to manipulate experimentally, but it is by no means universal among human languages. Many languages use inflection of key words to convey grammatical relationships, such as which noun is actor or object and who performs a certain action. The fact that this sort of grammatical rule is so widespread might mean that it is in some ways a simpler and perhaps even an easier way to combine words meaningfully. Would apes, parrots, dolphins or other animals learn more readily to communicate with combinatorial productivity if the rules involved modifications of signals rather than their temporal order? This notion was one of many potentially fruitful ideas that took shape during the conference, and readers of the following chapters will find abundant and comparable food for thought.

> *Donald R. Griffin The Rockefeller University*

REFERENCES

Griffin. D. R. (1984). Animal thinking. Cambridge. MA: Harvard University Press.

- Harré, R., & Reynolds, V. (Eds.). (1984). The meaning of primate signals. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Herman. L. M. (1980). Cognitive capacities of dolphins. In L. M. Herman (Ed.). Cetacean Behavior mechanisms and functions New York: Wiley.

Miller, G. A. (1967). The psychology of communication. New York: Basic Books.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

From October 3-5, 1980, Hubbs Marine Research Institute in San Diego hosted a workshop entitled "Potentials for Research on Cognition in Dolphins and Human-Dolphin Communication." About 15 scientists discussed problems in characterizing the perceptual world of dolphins, comparative learning capacities of dolphins and chimpanzees, the significance of brain size in relation to intelligence, and training methodologies in dolphins. At that time the need to address these topics at a larger conference was established.

On July 6-8, 1983, about 30 scientists from many disciplines within the broad areas of the brain and behavioral sciences participated in a conference called "Dolphin Cognition and Behavior: Comparative and Ecological Aspeets." The conference was hosted by Hubbs Marine Research Institute. Robert Buhr organized the conference with assistance by William E. Evans, Ronald J. Schusterman, and Forrest G. Wood. This book is based to a large extent on papers given at the conference or generated as a result of discussion at the conference.

Both meetings were funded by the Office of Naval Research under the Oceanic Biology Program. Dr. Bernard J. Zahuranec and Dr. Donald Woodward from the Office of Naval Research provided valuable assistance in organizing and participating in the meetings.

Information in this book relates to Department of Navy Grant NOOOI4-83- G-OOII issued by the Office of Naval Research. The United States Government has a royalty-free license throughout the world in all copyrightable material contained herein.

Dolphin Cognition and Behavior: A Comparative Approach

BRAIN AND SENSES OF DOLPHINS

Theodore H. Bullock

Cetaceans present unique opportunities for new insights into the mammalian brain—the highest achievement of evolution. Some species possess the greatest brains in nature, at least in respect to size. The brains are remarkably specialized in many ways, compared to those of other mammals. They have been evolving separately from other mammals for a long time. Their cognitive achievements, although not yet fully assessed, their special sensory capacities, acoustic signaling, songs, and other behavioral features add reasons that the correlates in brain anatomy, physiology, and chemistry should be studied for clues to understanding how brains mediate cognition and behavior.

In spite of these strong reasons and the great interest in dolphins and whales, their brains are relatively little studied and our knowledge lags well behind that for other orders of mammals. Extraordinary difficulties lie in the path of investigation of cetaceans, even of anatomy. The overriding difficulty is scarcity of material and access permitting the use of modem methods. A quite proper reluctance to sacrifice specimens of these magnificent species has almost completely prevented the use of the most revealing modem techniques of experimental anatomy for tracing connections by allowing the living nerve cell to distribute substances it takes up into all it axonal and dendritic processes-methods which provide the main body of information for establishing homologies and functional interrelations of parts of the brain in other mammals.

The same factors, plus some reluctance even to record physiological activity in the brain of cetaceans with chronically implanted electrodes in the alert and cooperating animal-by the same techniques used on humans for many years for the benefit of the patient-have kept the progress of this branch of research down to a handful of papers. **In** both anatomical and physiological approaches it is vastly more difficult to learn something about cetacean brains than about those of the great apes.

Whales and dolphins which are taken in the course of the still extant commercial operations are wasted as far as research use is concerned because, in addition to other factors, the cost of bringing scientists and their tools to the surviving but doomed animals is beyond the research funds of the competent people. The opportunities due to these remaining fisheries, hopefully soon to be abolished, should not be wasted. Without encouraging the fisheries in any way, humane research upon doomed or dying animals should be greatly accelerated before it is too late, in the interest not only of human welfare, which this knowledge would surely benefit, but of a real appreciation of the cetaceans themselves.

Chapters in this section demonstrate that some significant results can be obtained in spite of the difficulties. Occasional opportunities arise to preserve a brain freshly and suitably, at least forthe older, classical stains. More rare are the qualified anatomists who will devote the time necessary to work up and examine such material and see it through to publication. Peter Morgane and his coauthors are among these few; they have made the most of long and laboriously collected, well-fixed brains of many species. They provide here the most advanced analysis to date, including cell anatomy based on staining by the Golgi method, of the degree of evolutionary achievement of the dolphin cortex, reaching rather surprising conclusions from the point of view of prevailing views based upon inadequate and premature anatomy.

A small number of electrophysiological recording experiments have been done, beginning in the early 60s on anesthetized, mostly doomed animals, in Japan and the U.S.S.R., and on chronically implanted animals in the U.S. and the U.S.S.R. Most recently the technique has been used, based upon standard clinical methods, of recording from outside the skull, in the "far field," averaging hundreds of responses over several minutes. S. H. Ridgway reviews the short latency, relatively more stimulus-bound responses, as well as studies revealing a unique pattern of sleeping. D. L. Woods and coauthors report a preliminary study showing that long latency electrical waves can be found when a dolphin is presumably engaged in cognitive processes of a moderately high level, hence that this active and promising field of research on humans can be extended to cetaceans.

Behavioral evidence is the main grist for the next two chapters which review particularly the sensory capacities. P. Nachtigall compares vision, hearing and

the chemical senses among marine mammals, pinnipedes and otters as well as cetaceans. C. S. Johnson examines more closely the evidence on hearing in the best studied dolphin and its specialized form used in echolocation.

The net result of these five chapters is to reinforce our appreciation of the need for further study of the capacities and of the morphological, physiological and, it should be added, the chemical correlates of cognition and behavior in these remarkable mammals, among other reasons because they have independently evolved so different a brain from most mammalian orders.

[1](#page--1-0) Evolutionary Morphology of the Dolphin Brain

P. J. Morgane *Worcester Foundation for Experimental Biology*

M. S. Jacobs *New York University Dental Center*

Albert Galaburda *Haward Medical School and Beth Israel Hospital*

[INTRODUCTION](#page--1-0)

Studies of the cetacean brain are expected to shed considerable light on mammalian brain evolution and, in particular, on how the brain has adapted to markedly differing environments, such as between land and water. To date, although there have been numerous studies on different aspects of cetacean brain anatomy, what has been lacking is integration of this information with that available from studies of evolutionary neuroanatomy. Relative to this, the cetacean brain has historically often been given special status based on its size and fissural complexity rather than on the microscopic appearance of the cortical formations comprising its main divisions.

Before considering the status of the dolphin brain, it should be noted that development and formation of the neocortex of mammals and reptiles took place in a land environment, which shows much more diurnal and seasonal variation than does an aqueous environment. The cetaceans are a major Order of mammals showing a complete secondary return to an aqueous medium. Genetically related to all terrestrial mammals, the cetaceans are of particular evolutionary value and uniqueness, since they have adapted themselves to activity in an aqueous medium according to evolutionary laws characteristic of the whales alone. In doing so they exemplify the potential possibilities of environmental changes on the structural organization of the brain, in particular, the great adaptability of the cortical fonnations of the cerebral hemispheres. In this regard, analysis of comparative anatomical material reveals that the most important morphologic changes that occur in the mammalian nervous system in the process of evolution can be demonstrated primarily in the telencephalon and, especially, in the cortical formations (Filimonoff, 1949).

Development of the chordate nervous system has followed the path of a progressive development of rostral regions in relation to the caudal ones. It is the development of cortical areas and especially of the neocortex that is of particular

FIG. 1.1. Schema showing sensorimotor areas projected onto the brain surface in a variety of mammals. The brain of the hypothetical common ancestor or "initial" type is indicated in A. In this regard, we view the hedgehog brain as a possible model of the brain of the initial ancestor of mammals. The representations of sensorimotor areas are shown in B: rabbit; C: cat; D: rhesus monkey and E: dolphin brain. Note in the dolphin the displacement of the visual and auditory areas onto the dorsolateral convexity of the hemisphere and the lack of intervening cortex between visual and auditory areas and between visual-auditory areas and sensorimotor areas (as indicated by electrophysiological mapping studies of Ladygina et al., 1978).

interest. Filimonoff's fundamental studies (1947, 1949) have made it possible to visualize the hypothetical original type of the mammalian cortex and has provided support for morphological regularities of departures from this original or "initial" type of cortical organization [\(Fig. 1.1\)](#page--1-0). Of these departures from the prototype, the primate type of cortex has so far been studied most thoroughly. This type is characteristic of monkeys and man who have primitive Insectivora at the root of their phylogenetic tree. In evolution the departure from primitive Carnivora belonging to the group of Creodonta, an extinct suborder of the order of Carnivora, has received the least study. This group has often been proposed as including modem Orders of Ungulata, Carnivora, Pinnipedia, and Cetacea. However, Gingerich, Wells, Russell and Ibrahim Shah (1983) have evidence that whales may have evolved from a family of archaic ungulates called Condylarthra and entered the shallow seas in the early Eocene Period (approximately 60 million years ago). They completely adapted themselves to the new conditions and appear to have preserved characteristic features of the original structure of the brain of primitive mammals in greater measure than have the land animals. At the same time the cetaceans were in a position to develop specific features of adaptation 'not characteristic of land mammals. Thus, studies of the cetacean brain structure make it possible to move closer to solving some of the most important problems of evolution of the mammalian brain.

[SUBDIVISIONS OF THE CORTICAL FORMATIONS](#page--1-0)

Before elaborating and discussing the basis of our subdivision of the cetacean brain it is essential to review some of the basic principles of Filimonoff (1947, 1949) dealing with what he terms a rational division of the cerebral cortex. Using these concepts we can better interpret the organization of the dolphin brain as revealed by our recent studies.

Filimonoff (1949) emphasized that the study of comparative anatomical data is essential to unraveling the problems of a rational classification of cortical formations. Such a classification represents one of the basic tasks of architectonic analysis of the cerebral cortex as a morphological discipline proper as well as a discipline of considerable value in the solution of the problems of localization of function.

In the classification of Filimonoff various types of cortical formations can be identified. The *paleocortex* (semicortex or cortex semi-separatus) is phylogenetically and ontogenetically the most ancient type of cortex and is characterized by an incomplete separation of the cortical lamina from the subcortical cellular aggregates. The *archicortex* or old cortex is characterized by a cortical lamina which has already become fully separated from the subcortex. It is, however, considerably less complex in its structure than that of the final stage of cortical evolution, the *neocortex.* Via so-called transitional cortex the archicortex passes into the lower level of the intermediate or periarchicortex (the presubicular and entorhinal areas), whereas the neocortical lamina passes into the upper level of the latter (superlamination). As noted, the *intermediate cortex.* separating the ancient and old cortex from the new cortex, is divided correspondingly into two major zones which are termed peripaleocortical and periarchicortical formations [\(Fig. 1.2\)](#page--1-0). It is emphasized that the new, ancient, and old cortex, sharply differing from each other in terms of cellular organization and stratification during the process of development, as well as in the adult organism, are not directly adjacent but are separated from each other by transitional areas which cannot be related either to the new, old, or ancient cortex and which we can single out, on this basis, as special areas which are termed the intermediate cortex.

The clear identification of the intermediate cortex as one of the basic cortical types together with the ancient, old and neocortex, corresponds to Filimonoff's earlier formulated concept of intermediate cortical formations which is one of the basic principles in interpreting development of the cerebral cortex. In dealing with cortical formations strongly differing from each other either structurally or genetically: there is always found between them these intermediate formations, the structure of which represents a certain transition of basic formations from one

FIG. 1.2. Schema of dolphin brain (frontal section) indicating Evolutional directional trends of cortical growth outwards from two primitive cortical moieties. archicortex medially and paleocortex laterally. The different cortical fields extending outward from the primitive cortical moieties represent successive waves of circumferential architectonic differentiation in evolution (also [see Fig.](#page--1-0) 1.3). The arrows represent directions of cortical differentiation outward from primitive cortices in Evolution.

to the other, although these may remain under these circumstances sharply and linearly separated from one another. Importantly, by means of this principle, we can establish basically the subdivision of cortical zones into areas and the areas into individual cortical fields. The comparative anatomical study of architectonic structures in the mammalian Orders show that there are always present, without exception, the same basic zones which can be singled out by studies of ontogenetic material and that also here the principle of intermediate formations is of basic importance. In accordance with this principle, within the whole mammalian Class the neocortex is separated along its entire length from the paleoand archicortex by the intermediate cortex.

In summary, the cerebral cortex is thus divisible into five principal zones: ancient cortex (paleocortex), old cortex (archicortex), intermediate cortex (peripaleocortex and periarchicortex), and the new cortex (neocortex). As the basic studies of Filimonoff (1947, 1949) demonstrate, the concept of intermediate or transitional cortical formations can be regarded as one of the leading principles underlying processes of cerebral cortex formation and, correspondingly, it serves as the basis for division of the cortex into its fundamental areas and into more functional structural units.

In studying the evolution of the cerebral cortex, analysis of the development of the ancient and old cortical areas and of the intermediate cortical areas which separate them from the new cortex is of particular interest. Neocortex appears in phylogenesis in typical form only in the mammalian Class (Filimonoff, 1949). Here it plays a greater role the further we get away from the initial forms of animals, i.e., from those archetypal forms [\(see Fig. 1.1\)](#page--1-0) which are of particularly great importance to the understanding of the entire evolutional process. At the same time, only within the limits of the ancient, old, and intermediate cortices can we find precise homologies of their component structural units which provides for their correct quantitative evaluation. This latter is absolutely essential to the elucidation of the dynamics of evolution of the cerebral cortex, to the correct characterization of changes which are taking place, and to the determination of directions in which these changes occur.

It is possible, by studies of corresponding data, to express in definite terms the structural characteristics, based on quantitative and topographic correlations, of the cerebral cortex in the representatives of various Orders of the mammalian Class. A comparison of these formulas with those characterizing the so-called initial type, which can be constructed on the basis of the studies of ontogenetic material, permits approaches to the solution of the problem concerning the degree of proximity of individual investigated structural types of the cerebral cortex to the initial type. Using these approaches will permit solution of the problem concerning the presence or absence in each investigated type of various features deviating from the initial type as well as the trend of corresponding deviations. The solution of these problems also provides us with the data needed to evaluate the ways and means of cerebral cortical evolution within the mammalian Class.

In the evaluation of the essence of individual features characterizing the cerebral cortical structure in a given Order, of basic significance is their comparison with features which characterize this structure in the so-called "initial" type [\(see Fig. 1.1\)](#page--1-0). At present, the true initial type does not exist in nature but a certain idea of it can be obtained on the basis of embryogenetic studies, though only in a general way and in a somewhat conjectural sense. A comparison of any investigated species with this archetype shows that high degrees of deviation from the initial type may be limited to specific individual features and appear as a sharp contrast against the basic features which are closer to those of the initial type. This sharp contrast may attest, to a greater or lesser extent. to the primitive character of the cortical structure of the corresponding brain as a whole. On the other hand, even in cases of high organization of the cerebral cortex, against a basic background of deviating features, individual characteristics inherent in the initial type may similarly appear as a sharp contrast.

The simultaneous presence, in cases with a primitive and in cases with a complex organization of the cerebral cortex, of characteristics which deviate from the initial type, as well as features which are similar to it, attests to a certain independence of the evolution of various features from each other. At the same time, this diversity attests to the highly important role of. idioadaptations in the evolution of the cerebral cortex, i.e., adjustments to specific environmental conditions. In this regard, it is important to stress that cortical formations, due to their extreme plasticity, react particularly strongly by means of changes in structure to environmental changes. They react under these conditions by showing modifications of a differentiated nature, i.e., modifications involving not the cortex as a whole but mostly in special cellular groups in various combinations. corresponding to alterations in various environmental conditions of existence.

CONCEPTS OF NEOCORTICAL EVOLUTION: [APPLICATION TO STUDIES OF ARCHITECTURE](#page--1-0) OF THE CETACEAN CEREBRAL CORTEX

In considering the evolution of the brain it is of paramount importance to determine both the extent and direction of evolutionary development of the different cortical formations. By studying the direction of differentiation outward from the archicortical and paleocortical cortices into the limbic and insular cortices and, thence. into the paralimbic and parinsular cortices and. finally, into highly specialized motor and sensory cores (primary cortex), we may be able to better establish the directionality of evolutionary changes in the brain and perhaps determine the extent to which evolution has taken place in the specific cortical formations. We have been applying just such approaches recently to a study of the evolutionary anatomy of the whale brain. These studies clearly show the importance of understanding the underlying morphogenetic sequences in order to phylogenetically reconstruct the cortical formations.

Before interpreting the organization of the cortical formations of the dolphin brain based on our recent studies, we need first to stress several points in relation to cortical growth and development. These principles have been elaborated in considerable detail by Sanides in a series of studies (1970, 1972) and we have applied them to the analysis of the cerebral cortex of the dolphin. The essence of these principles can be summarized as follows: The limbic lobe is a derivative of archicortex while the insular cortex is a derivative of paleocortex. This principle of the basically "dual" nature of neocortex means that there are two neocortical moieties, one differentiated in stages away from the hippocampus and the other in stages away from the piriform cortex. Thus, there are successive waves of circumferential cortical differentiations away from both archicortex and pal-

FIG. 1.3. Schema indicating directional trends of growth of cerebral cortex outward from archicortex and paleocortex through several cortical growth ring stages. This schema shows all four cortical growth rings extending outward from each primitive cortical moiety culminating in most mammalian species in the fourth cortical growth ring represented by Motor I (primary motor cortex, MI). Somatosensory I (primary sensory cortex, SI), Auditory I (primary auditory cortex, AI), and Visual I (primary visual cortex. VI). In the convexity cortex of the dolphin we find no evidence of the fourth cortical growth ring, the leading edge of cortical differentiation in the dolphin cortex being represented by the paralimbic and parinsular isocortices.

eocortex [\(Figs. 1.2](#page--1-0) and [1.3\)](#page--1-0) that result in the formation of two different moieties of neocortex. These outwardly extending cortical formations have been referred to as "growth rings" of the neocortex (Sanides 1970, 1972). These studies of evolutional direction of cortical differentiation shed considerable light on how the brain is organized and constitute basic approaches to tracing the evolution of, and eventually defining, the mammalian neocortex. This approach has so far been used as a tool for tracing differential trends of evolutionary significance in a series of primates and lower mammals (Sanides 1970, 1972), and we are now for the first time beginning to apply such approaches to studies of the organization of the whale brain. In our recent work (Morgane, Jacobs & Galaburda, 1985) we began to elaborate a concept of neocortical evolution in the dolphin *(Tursiops truncatus)* by histological analysis of successive growth rings of cerebral cortex in this species using both Nissl and Golgi material. In our earlier studies, using primarily cytoarchitectonic analysis, we have described the paleocortex (Jacobs, Morgane & McFarland, 1971), and archicortex (Jacobs, McFarland & Morgane, 1979), whereas in later studies on the limbic lobe (Morgane, McFarland & Jacobs, 1982) and the insular formation (Jacobs, Galaburda, McFarland, & Morgane, 1984) we have stressed the quantitative approach to cortical cytoarchitecture.

Growth Rings of the Neocortex

The concept of growth rings of the neocortex, based on circumferentially changing characteristics of the cortex from more primitive cortices outward toward the convexity can be described as follows: The first stage of a laminated cortex is the two-strata periarchicortex medially and peripaleocortex laterally which, together, comprise the periallocortex. This periallocortex represents the first incipiently laminated cortex and is the first growth ring of neocortex [\(see Fig. 1.3\)](#page--1-0). The periallocortex is thus considered the first step of neocortical evolution or what we term the primary stage of neocortex. The second growth ring, which is the proisocortex of the limbic lobe medially and insular cortex laterally, appears outside of the first growth ring. The third growth ring is formed also of two moieties, a paralimbic one medially and parinsular one laterally. These are the sites of additional, so-called secondary and supplemental sensory and motor representations [\(Fig. 1.3\)](#page--1-0). The fourth or last stage of cortical evolution comprises, in the case of sensory cortex, special cores of koniocortex appearing within the sensory regions. In this last stage of cortical evolution koniocortex appears as a core rather than a ring within the cortical sensory regions. In the case of motor cortex the area gigantopyramidalis appears. The principle to keep in mind is that the gradations originating from phylogenetically older cortices determine the structure of more recent cortices and, therefore, they appear to represent directions of cortical differentiation during evolution.

Features of Progressive Cortical Differentiation

It is important first to summarize various features which form the basis of progressive cortical differentiation. These features relate to the continuing trends we see in animals with more highly evolved brains and represent changes that have occurred in the brain in progressing from lower mammalian forms to higher forms such as primates, including man.

The major prevailing trends in progressive cortical differentiation are: (I.) A shift in emphasis from the inner (layers V and VI) to the outer (layers II, III and IV) strata of the cortex, i.e., greater development of the outer layers. The most advanced stage of cortex is one with high granularization and showing an externo-pyramidal character, i.e., there is diminishment of band-like layer V (a "limbic" feature) associated with an increase in cell number, particularly in the outer pyramidal layer III and, especially, a relative increase in lamina IIIc cells; (2.) A stepwise appearance of granular cells, this stepwise granularization or steIlarization process being a main trend in higher neocortical evolution. In this process there is emergence of an incipient inner granular layer IV, via a dysgranular stage in which granule cells are intermingled with small pyramidal cells. Overall, in'progressive cortical evolution there is an increase in number of Golgi type II granular neurons relative to type I pyramidal neurons. Granule cells actually make their first appearance in the limbic and insular proisocortices; (3.) An overall thickening of cortex with special development and sublamination of the upper cortical laminae; (4.) A clear accentuation of lamination and; (5.) Extensive development of the basal dendritic skirt, this basal arborization of dendrites being a major progressive feature of pallial neuron evolution.

Features of Conservative Cortical Differentiation

Our recent Golgi studies have shown that the dolphin brain displays primarily features of conservative cortical differentiation. These will, therefore, be summarized and briefly discussed as a basis for interpreting the status of the dolphin brain. Some of the major prevailing trends in conservative cortical differentiation are: (1) Agranularization or what we term priority of the agranularity principle: The dolphin cortex is largely of the agranular type and there is a limited granularization trend seen over the entire cortex of the dolphin brain. In the dolphin there appears to be only an incipient development of layer IV which may be considered a primitive cortical feature and is, by definition, a sign of an intermediate-type cortex. Since layer IV is barely identifiable over the entire dolphin cortex and still has immature pyramidal cells in it from adjacent layers we term it "dysgranular" which represents the weakest degree of incipient granularization of the cerebral cortex; (2) Accentuation and strong pyramidalization of layer II. In the dolphin cortex, we have found a strong pyramidal character of layer II and, for that matter, strong pyramidalization of all layers of the dolphin cortex over all

FIG. 1.4. Basic schema of frontal section of dolphin brain with boxes indicated where histological sections (A, B, C, D, E) were examined extending ventrally from paleocortical formations (A) around sections (A.B.C.D.E) were examined extending ventrally from paleocortical formations (A) around the convexity (B and C) and onto medial surface (D) to the archicortical formations (E). Note strong the convexity (B and Onto medial surface (D) to the archicortical formations (E). Note strong accentuation of layer II of cortex over the entire convexity (cresyl violet preparations, approximately accentuation of layer II of cortex over the entire convexity (cresyl violet preparations. approximately FIG. 1.4. Basic schema of frontal section of dolphin brain with boxes indicated where histological X9.5). areas so far examined. Thus, Golgi type I neurons clearly predominate relative to type II neurons in all cortical laminae. Layer II is also strongly accentuated over the entire cortical formation of the dolphin brain [\(see Fig. 1.4\)](#page--1-0). An accentuated layer II in the convexity cortex is considered largely a protoneocortical (periallocortical and proisocortical) mark expressing the originally prevailing layer I input of the axodendritic type. This effectively means the cortex in the dolphin is entirely covered over with a paleo-archicortical type of organization which represents a primitive architectonic feature. Thus, the horizontal fibers of the cortex, to which a highly organized associative function is ascribed, are located in man in the phylogenetically recent layers of the cortex, predominantly in layer Ill, whereas in the dolphin they are in the older and functionally primitive layer I. This latter is a characteristic of periallocortex in other mammals. Most of the cells in layer II in the dolphin brain are transitional pyramidal or multiform cells which are larger than granules. The presence of transitional intermediate type neurons is evidence of weak differentiation of the cortex. In this regard, most of the pyramids we have examined in the dolphin cortex are of the atypical or indeterminate type. This lack of specialization of neurons, with most being transitionat or immature in type, is a strongly conservative feature in the dolphin brain. The pyramidalization layer II is actually quite similar in its structural organization to the external layer of the periarchicortex. Kesarev, Malofeyeva and Trykova (1977), in particular, have pointed out that the cetacean neocortex has numerous similarities to periarchicortex and, on the basis of our recent findings, we generally concur with this analysis; (3) Strong development of layers I and VI, the more primitive layers of cortex, along with underdevelopment of layers II, III and IV, the phylogenetically newer layers of the cortex. The dolphin brain shows exceptionally strong development of layers I and VI with some concommitant reduction of layers II and III. Layer IV is, at best, incipient. (4) Widespread dendrites in layer I (zonal arborization of dendrites) from socalled "extraverted" neurons in layer II over the entire cortex is a conservative feature of pallial neuron evolution. These extraverted neurons are a common feature of dolphin cortex and are prominent over the entire convexity cortex (see [Fig. 1.5\)](#page--1-0). They have apical dendrites that characteristically divide dichotomously at varied wide angles and terminate in layer I. Since these "extraverted neurons" very much resemble those of the superficial cell condensations of the first and second growth rings of the neocortex (the periallocortex and proisocortex) we recognize them as ancient type cortical neurons. In reality, the extreme example of the extraverted type goes back even to the amphibian level of brain evolution before a cerebral cortex has developed [\(see Fig. 1.6\)](#page--1-0). In the cerebral cortex of most mammals this type of neuron is preserved only in the primitive allocortices, the most typical cell of its type being the granule cells of the dentate gyrus; (5) Little areal variability which can be characterized as the "uniformity" effect and which Kesarev et al. (1977) term a monotonous character or single structural design of dolphin cortex. Heightening of structural heterogeneity is characteristic of more evolved brains such as in primates. This

FIG. 1.5. Camera lucida drawing of cell of bandlike layer II in convexity cortex of dolphin brain. Accentuated layer II consists of polymorphic cells of the overall pyramidal type which are darkly staining and larger than granule cells. The peculiar "extraverted" character of these cells is revealed primarily by the Golgi method. The cells in layer II in dolphin convexity cortex have a well-developed dendritic pattern which is not found in isocortical laminae of the rat or cat, but is found in convexity cortex of hedgehog and bat brains (Sanides, 1972). These wide angle tufted cells in the convexity cortex are mostly atypical pyramidal cells with a short apical shaft from which dendrites spread widely into layer I or show direct widespreading of apical dendrites from the perikaryon into lamina I. In all of these cells the under-developed basilar dendrites never have reached development or spread like the apical dendrites. There is extensive overlapping of the external dendritic fields of the accentuated layer II cells within the zonal layer. the perikaryon of layer II cells appearing shaped by the extraversion and wide dendritic spread. As is known, extraversion in the allocortical and periallocortical formations is an expression of the fact that the zonal layer still represents the main afferent and association plexus of the cortex. This arrangement is a conservative feature agreeing with the observation in the hedgehog brain that thalamic terminations reach the zonal layer. The pial surface of the cortex is represented by the wavy line above the neuron.

homogeneous appearance of wide areas of the cortical formations of the dolphin brain is a decided feature of dolphin cortex in Nissl material we have examined (Morgane et aI., 1980, 1982; Jacobs et aI., 1984). The projectional regions of the cortex in cetaceans appear to directly interlock with each other and do not appear to be widely separated by associative regions, as in most other mammals. These projectional areas of the cortex in cetaceans are, however, arranged in the very same order as in the hypothetical ancestor of mammals [\(see Fig. 1.1\)](#page--1-0): the visual region is retromedial, the auditory region is lateral and the somatosensorimotor area is rostral; (6) Arcuate pattern of main sulci and gyri on the lateral convexity

FIG. 1.6. Schema from Ramon y Cajal showing phylogenetic trends of development of pallial neurons in A (frog), B (lizard), C (mouse) and D (man). Note how this phylogenetic trend is paralleled by the ontogenesis of a pyramidal neuron of the mouse (a-e). From: S. Ramon y Cajal: Histologie du systeme nerveux de l'homme et des vertébres, Paris, Maloine, 1909. Note the striking resemblance of cortical cells in frog (A) and lizard (B) brains to the extraverted neurons [\(Fig.](#page--1-0) 1.5) seen in layer II on the convexity cortex of the dolphin brain.

of the hemisphere suggestive of the pattern seen in carnivores and ungulates. This is a distinct feature of all cetacean brains we have examined; (7) Coexistence of progressive and conservative characters in the brain, so-called "mosaic" evolution of the brain. Kesarev (1970, 1975) has emphasized that dolphin cortex is of a special character exhibiting combinations of features of very high and primitive organization, though the progressive features he referred to mostly relate to the expanse of cortex and extensive gyrification rather than to specific intrinsic, histological features; (8) Generally indistinct areal boundaries. This is the usual feature in the dolphin cortex as seen in our studies and those of Kesarev (1970, 1975) and Kesarev et al. (1977); (9) Generally poor lamination over the entire cortical surface. This is particularly related to an incipient layer IV which is characteristic of all whale brains we have so far examined.

[RECENT STUDIES OF CETACEAN CORTEX](#page--1-0)

In the *past* most workers seem to have been overcome by the vast expansion of the cortex in the whale brain, particularly the convexity, so-called "association," cortex. Our recent studies, using Golgi material, indicate that the dolphin brain has not reached the definitive final evolutionary stage of cortical development represented by the primary sensory and primary motor cortex. Thus, we find no hypergranular cores (koniocortex) in the dolphin and no evidence of primary motor cortex. Accentuated layer II, with many dendrites of extraverted neurons extending into layer I, is characteristic of the entire convexity cortex in the dolphin brain. In earlier evolutionary stages, before the primary motor and primary sensory cortices are elaborated, the paralimbic and parinsular representations are still contiguous. Our preliminary findings indicate that this contiguity of paralimbic and parinsular cortices appears to be the situation in the dolphin brain. The hedgehogs, which are survivors of the Paleocene epoch when archaic mammals were dominant, did not reach the stage of primary sensory and motor cortex evolution (Sanides, 1970, 1972). Whales are thought to have returned to water some 70–90 million years ago (Kesarev et al., 1977) and, related to this,

FIG. 1.7. Map of electrophysiological localization in dolphin brain described by Sokolov et al. (1972). In these studies they claimed to be able to distinguish primary from secondary auditory and visual areas as shown in the map. In this figure, redrawn from the earlier Sokolov et al. (1972) work, areas of nonresponsive cortex between the auditory and visual areas, as well as between the somatosensory and motor areas, is indicated. This differs somewhat from the later Russian work (Sokolov et al., 1978) indicating that these various areas are in close proximity with little intervening cortex. This latter would agree more with the concept of the "initial" type brain shown in [Fig. I.IE.](#page--1-0)

Cytoarchitectonic organization of the cortex of the suprasylvian gyrus of Tursiops truncatus shown at three locations: (A) along the medial wall of the gyrus forming the external bank of the lateral sulcus, (B) on the ree surface of the gyrus and (C) on the lateral wall of the gyrus forming the medial bank of the suprasylvian aulcus. The locations of these cortical fields (A, B, C) are shown by arrows on the orienting section outline. The $(141.111.1V.VI)$ is 31:7:32:12:18, indicating dominance of layer III in the cortical plate (cortical plate = layers II+III+V+VI_k. The suprasylvian cortex is densely cellular (cortical cell density = 36,300 ± 2,800 neudensity gradient from layer II through layer V (laminar density, L.D., layer II = 103,400 cells/mm³; L.D. layer FIG. 1.8. Cytoarchitectonic organization of the cortex of the suprasylvian gyrus of *Tursiops trunCQtus* shown at three locations: (A) along the medial wall of the gyrus forming the external bank of the lateral sulcus, (B) on the free surface of the gyrus and (C) on the lateral wall of the gyrus forming the medial bank of the suprasylvian sulcus. The l&cations of these cortical fields (A, B, C) are shown by arrows on the orienting section outline. The higher magnifications of cortical areas represented by A, B and C (below each) show the cytoarchitectonic details. The suprasylvian cortex is extremely thin $(0.97 \pm 0.03$ mm) and the percentage ratio of the width of its layers (1:II:II:V:VI) is 31:7:32:12:18, indicating dominance of layer III in the cortical plate (cortical plate = layers cons/mm³), fai denser than the cortices we have previously quantified in the limbic lobe (Morgane et al., 1982) rons/mm³), far denser than the cortices we have previously quantified in the limbic lobe (Morgane et al., 1982) and insula (Jacobs et al., 1984). The laminar packing density of neurons in the cortical plate exhibits a decreasing and insula (Jacobs et al., 1984). The laminar packing density of neurons in the cortical plate exhibits a decreasing density gradient from layer II through layer V (laminar density, L.D., layer II = 103,400 cells/mm³; L.D. layer IIIa = 91,400 cells/mm³; L.D. layer IIIb = 61,400 cells/mm³; L.D. layer IIIc = 47,600 cells/mm³; L.D. layer IIIa = 91,400 cells/mm³; L.D. layer IIIb = 61,400 cells/mm³; L.D. layer IIIc = 47,600 cells/mm³; L.D. layer $Va = 39,300$ cells/mm³; L.D. layer Vb = 26,200 cells/mm³; L.D. layer V1a = 38,700 cells/mm³; and L.D. layer Va = 39,300 cells/mm³; L.D. layer Vb = 26,200 cells/mm³; L.D. layer VIa = 38,700 cells/mm³; and L.D. layer $V1b = 21,200$ cells/mm³). The predominant cell type throughout the cortex has a transitional pyramidal shape, Vlb = 21,200 cells/mm³). The predominant cell type throughout the cortex has a transitional pyramidal shape. with only approximately 11% of the neurons examined in Nissl preparations appearing to be stellate cells. Subsequent Golgi studies have verified this. The majority of these are scattered among the pyramids of layer III, especially in layer IIIc. A typical layer IV containing stellate cells, present in most land mammals, is not seen in the dolphin. Although the cortical plate is composed chiefly of small pyramidal-type cells throughout, variations the dolphin. Although the cortical plate is composed chiefly of small pyramidal-type cells throughout. variations higher magnifications of cortical areas represented by A, B and C (below each) show the cytoarchitectonic details. The suprasylvian cortex is extremely thin (0.97 \pm .03 mm) and the percentage ratio of the width of its layers with only approximately 11% of the neurons examined in Nissl preparations appearing to be stellate cells. Subsequent Golgi studies have verified this. The majority of these are scattered among the pyramids of layer III. especially in layer IIIc. A typical layer IV containing stellate cells. present in most land mammals. is not seen in in cell size are present in the different horizontal layers. The mean size dimensions (major cell axis by minor cell in cell size are present in the different horizontal layers. The mean size dimensions (major cell axis by minor cell II + III + V i). The suprasylvian cortex is densely cellular (cortical cell density = 36.300 \pm 2.800 neu-FIG 18

axis) of neurons in the various layers exhibit a narrow range. The predominant size of the pyramidal cell population is small superficially in the cortex (layer $I = 13.4 \mu$ by 9.2 for any 9.1 for the 13.2 ft by 8.8 ft. layer IIIb = 12.8 ft by 10.2 ft). At deeper levels of the cortex, however, the mean size of pyramidal cells increases (layer Ille = 15.6 µ by 11.8 µ, layer Va = 15.0 µ by 10.2 µ, layer Vb = 15.8 µ by 11.0 µ, layer Vla = 15.2 µ by 11.0 µ, layer Vlb = 14.2 µ by 10.0 cells increases (layer IIe Dy 11.8 ft, layer Va = 15.0 ft, layer Vb = 15.8 ft by 11.0 ft, layer Via = 15.2 ft by 10.0 for Via = 14.2 ft by 10.0 ft. μ , the difference between means of the pyramids of tayers lilic and Va is not significant (P < 0.70) indicating an equopyramidal type cortical organization. The axis) of neurons in the various tange. The predominant size of the pyramidal cell population is small superficially in the cortex (layer $I = 13.4 \text{ m}$) by 9.2 μ , layer II = 13.8 μ by 9.0 μ , layer IIIa = 13.2 μ by 8.8 μ , layer IIIb = 12.8 μ by 10.2 μ). At deeper levels of the cortex, however, the mean size of pyramidal μ). The difference between mean sizes of the pyramids of layers IIIc and Va is not significant ($P < 0.70$) indicating an equopyramidal type cortical organization. The small population of stellate cells throughout the cortex remains essentially unchanged in size, with mean sizes in the different layers ranging only from 9.2 μ by 9.0 μ small population of stellate cortex remains essentially unchanged in size, with mean sizes in the different layers ranging only from 9.2 μ by 9.0 μ in layer II to 10.8 μ by 10.2 μ in layer V1a. From the medial wall of the suprasylvian gyrus (A) to the free surface (B) and, thence, to the lateral wall of the gyrus (C), Γ In layer II to 10.8 ft and the medial wall of the suprasylvian gyrus (A) to the free surface (B) and, thence, to the lateral wall of the gyrus (C). ayers II and III become increasingly more compact and the borders shared by layers II and also by layers III and V become more difficult to distinguish. ayers II and III become increasingly more compact and the borders shared by layers III and by layers III and V become more difficult to distinguish. Decreasing clarity of the III-V border results from the appearance of scattered pyramidal and some stellate-type neurons in layer IIIe and the equopyramidal relationship existing between layers IIIc and Va. The size relationship between pyramids of layer IIIc and Va reflects whether a particular cortex is oriented toward relationship existing between layers IIIc and Va. The size relationship between pyramids of layer IIIe and Va reflects whether a particular cortex is oriented toward motor (emphasis on layer V pyramids) or a sensory-association (emphasis on layer IIIc pyramids) type of organization. At this general location in the lateral edge of the motor (emphasis on layer V pyramids) or a sensory-association (emphasis on layer lIIc pyramids) type of organization. At this general location in the lateral edge of the suprasylvian gyrus the shortest latency evoked responses of neurons to sound (click) stimuli have been elicited, indicating this to be the site of the primary auditory suprasylvian gyrus the shortest responses of neurons to sound (click) stimuli have been elicited, indicating this to be the site of the primary auditory cortex in the dolphin (Ladygina et al., 1978). The equopyramidal relationship between layer IIIc and Va present in suprasylvian cortex of dolphins contrasts with the cortex in the dolphin (Ladygina et al., 1978). The equopyramidal relationship between layer IIIc and Va present in suprasylvian cortex of dolphins contrasts with the strongly extemopyramidal relationship primary sensory and association cortices of land mammals. It is apparent that in the evolution of the cetacean auditory Decreasing clarity of the III-V border results from the appearance of scattered pyramidal and some stellate-type neurons in layer IIIc and the equopyramidal strongly externopyramidal relationship present in primary sensory and association cortices of land mammals. It is apparent that in the evolution of the cetacean auditory
22 MORGANE, JACOBS, AND GALABURDA

cortex differentiation has occurred in a different manner from that in land mammals. the latter involving the proliferation of large numbers of stellate cells and elaboration of layer IV (granularization). In view of the paucity of stellate cells in certain extant insectivores which may have had ancestors phylogenetically closer to those of modem cetaceans, cortical differentiation and specialization in the dolphin appears to have depended upon changes in existing populations of modified pyramidal cells. In the case of the auditory cortex, as indicated by our quantitative data, cortical specialization is associated in the dolphin not only with a greatly increased cell density, particularly in layers II and III and by an overall decrease in pyramidal cell size, but also by the appearance of larger pyramids in the deeper layers of the cortex, beginning with layer IIIc. The hyperpyramidalization occurring in the dolphin auditory cortex appears to parallel the various parameters of hypergranularization present in the auditory and other sensory cortices of most terrestrial mammals in which, in addition to increased cell density of layer IV. greatly increased numbers of stellate cells also appear in layers II and III. It would appear that in evolution of the dolphin, the region of cerebral cortex identified electrophysiologically as primary auditory cortex became specialized in a different way than in terrestrial mammals. In the aquatic environment auditory cortex of dolphin has been densely populated chiefly with transitional pyramidal cells that exhibit a decreased density gradient from superficial to deep levels of the cortical plate. The relative absence of neurons of stellate type and lack of typical layer IV further support the hypothesis that cortical specialization has occurred in a different manner in the dolphin. All fields illustrated stained by cresyl violet. Survey fields A,B,C. approximately IS.7X, enlargements 3S.4X.

also apparently did not reach the final stage of sensory and motor cortex evolution. Actually, the general resemblance of the structural organization of the cetacean type cortex to the so-called "original architectonics" of the neocortex of primitive mammals (Creodonta) makes it possible to suggest that granularity of the neocortex of land mammals developed much later than at the time at which the ancestors of the Cetacea had already descended into the sea. The comparative studies of Sanides (1970, 1972) in insectivores and bats suggest that the formation of *the* koniocortices, as well as of the area gigantopyramidalis, i.e., the latest steps in sensory and *motor* cortex evolution, occurred in somewhat advanced mammals about 50 million years ago in the Eocene epoch *with the* rise of modern Orders and Suborders of mammals. By this *time* the whales had long since returned to the sea.

It should be emphasized that expansion and differentiation of neocortex has proceeded independently in the major mammalian lines of descent. The whales, having left terrestrial life many million of years ago, at about the same time as the Chiroptera, seem to reflect in their present neocortical structure the conservative features of those early mammalian stages that were perhaps preserved because of the decisive lack of further somatic sensory experience of land life for both groups of animals. Lacking this possible stimulus for higher neocortical differentiation, the neocortical evolution in the whales may, therefore have taken

a different path leading, among other things, to the enormous surface spread of the neocortex, compensating or even hypercompensating in this manner for the reduced level of cortical differentiation.

We have only recently been able to acquire adequate Golgi impregnated material of dolphin brain suitable for studies of the various types of neuron families in the different cortical areas and for analysis of the architecture of their dendritic processes. Of particular importance is that our preliminary Golgi analyses of several types of cortical formations (growth rings), including convexity cortex, is not, by any of the criteria described above, indicative of progressive cortical differentiation of the dolphin brain. In the case of the dolphin brain, in particular, and the whale brain, in general, we have observed that: (I) the cortex is highly agranular; (2) layer II consists largely of transitional pyramidal cells, particularly extraverted neurons with wide dendritic ramifications in layer I; and (3) layer IV, if seen, lacks typical granule cells and contains only poorly differentiated or immature pyramidal-type neurons.

In contrast to primates where lateral integration is so well known, convexity cortex in whales, like in carnivores, has not been adequately defined cytoarchitecturally or by Golgi analysis of cell structure and dendritic characteristics. Interestingly, in the convexity cortex of the dolphin there is no dominant development of layer IIIc pyramids which is a hallmark of association cortex in man and primates. On the other hand, there is some evidence from studies of the thalamus that there might be a prominent elaboration of association cortices in dolphin brain. Thus, the lateral, posterior and pulvinar complexes, which project to posterior association cortex in all known species, are large in dolphin (Kruger, 1959). Based on development of the thalamus, association or "intrinsic" cortical fields should be extensive in the cetacean brain. However, regardless of the status of association type cortex, we emphasize, as has Sanides (1970, 1972) that association does not appear to be the leading edge of cortical evolution.

Kesarev et al. (1977) and others in the Russian school prefer to term the entire cetacean cortex as proisocortex, i.e., not true neocortex. We generally disagree with this conclusion, preferring to think in terms of successive rings of cortical differentiation with the second and third growth rings (insulo-limbic and parinsular-paralimbic cortex, respectively) representing earlier types of true neocortex. This parinsular-paralimbic cortex appears to comprise most of the convexity cortex in the dolphin. Thus, our findings to date indicate that the final stage of neocortical evolution as seen in most land mammals has not apparently been reached in the dolphin brain. In these terms, the dolphin brain, therefore, exhibits many similarities to the brain growth ring development seen in hedgehogs, and, in some ways, in bats. As already noted, the hedgehog brain may possibly be viewed as a model of the brain of the initial ancestor of mammals. In this regard, our recent Golgi studies have also shown, as is the case in the hedgehog and bat cortex, a strong development of extraverted neurons in layer II over the entire cortex of the dolphin brain. An accentuated layer II in the cortex is

Organization of the cortex of the lateral gyrus in Tursiops truncatus as FIG. 1.9. Organization of the cortex of the lateral gyrus in *Tursiops Iruncalus* as llustrated in two cortical fields: (A) in the external wall of the lateral gyrus illustrated in two cortical fields: (A) in the eltternal wall of the lateral gyrus forming the medial bank of the lateral sulcus, and (B) near the free surface of the forming the medial bank of the lateral sulcus, and (B) near the free surface of the gyrus. The locations of cortical fields A and B are shown by arrows on the gyrus. The locations of conical fields A and B are shown by arrows on the prienting drawing. Boxes outlining portions of cortex on A and B are shown in orienting d!:3wing. Boxes outlining portions of cortex on A and B are shown in accompanying photomicrographs (below each) at higher magnification. The cortices of both the lateral wall and free surface have an essentially similar ytoarchitectonic organization. Although the cortex is thin $(1.14 \pm .01$ mm), it is slightly (0.17 mm), but significantly (P = \lt .001), thicker than that of the suprasylvian gyrus $(0.97 \pm .03 \text{ mm})$. In common with the suprasylvian gyrus, cortical layer III of the lateral gyrus percentagewise is also the broadest layer of the cortical plate (cortex other than layer I). The percentage ratio of the width of the cortical layers in the lateral gyrus (I:II:III:V:VI) is 20:7:37:15:21, indicating again cortical layers in the lateral gyrus ($I:II:V:V:V:U:37:15:21$, indicating again the strong likelihood that this cortex is dominated by afferent rather than efferent cortical mechanisms. The cortical plate in the lateral gyrus is especially compact at 9.2 um by 8.4 um to 17.6 um by 11.8 um. The overall cortical cell density is slightly higher (37,500 \pm 1,800 neurons/mm³) than that of the suprasylvian gyrus, but the difference between the means is not significant ($P < 0.70$). The same decreasing gradient pattern of laminar densities from layer II through layer V, present in the suprasylvian gyrus, is also present in the lateral gyrus (laminar density, L.D., layer II = 105,000 cells/mm³; L.D. layer IIIa = 75,400 cells/mm³; L.D. layer IIIb = 54,500 cells/mm³; L.D. layer IIIc = 43,500 20,000 cells/mm³; L.D. layer Va = 35,800 cells/mm³; L.D. layer Vb = 20,000 cells/mm³; L.D. layer VIa = 33,100 cells/mm³; and L.D. layer VIb = 16,700 ices of both the lateral wall and free surface have an essentially similar cytoarchitectonic organization. Although the cortex is thin (1.14 \pm .01 mm), it is slightly (0.17 mm), but significantly (P = \lt .001), thicker than that of the apprasylvian gyrus $(0.97 \pm .03 \text{ mm})$. In common with the suprasylvian gyrus, cortical layer III of the lateral gyrus percentagewise is also the broadest layer of the ortical plate (cortex other than layer I). The percentage ratio of the width of the the strong likelihood that this cortex is dominated by afferent rather than efferent cortical mechanisms. The cortical plate in the lateral gyrus is especially compact at the level of layers II and III and consists, as in the case of the suprasylvian gyrus, the level of layers II and III and consists, as in the case of the suprasylvian gyrus, chiefly of small cells mostly of transitional pyramidal type that range in size from chiefly of small cells mostly of transitional pyramidal type that range in size from 9.2 um by 8.4 um to 17.6 um by 11.8 um. The overall cortical cell density is slightly higher $(37,500 \pm 1,800$ neurons/mm³) than that of the suprasylvian gyrus, but the difference between the means is not significant ($P < 0.70$). The ame decreasing gradient pattern of laminar densities from layer II through layer V, present in the suprasylvian gyrus, is also present in the lateral gyrus (laminar $= 75,400$ 43.500 cells/mm³; L.D. layer Vla = 33,100 cells/mm³; and L.D. layer VIb = 16,700 ells/mm³). Throughout the cortex of the lateral gyrus the great majority of cells cells/mm³). Throughout the cortex of the lateral gyrus the great majority of cells are modified pyramids that appear somewhat immature or transitional in nature . Approximately 13% of the neurons appear to be stellate cells and, as in the Approximately 13% of the neurons appear to be stellate cells and, as in the suprasylvian cortex, these cells are located mainly in layer III, especially 1I1c. Obviously, the final classification of these cells cannot be made except in Golgi Obviously, the final classification of these cells cannot be made except in Golgi malysis. The cells in layer IIIc that may be of stellate type, however, are scattered analysis. The cells in layer I1Ic that may be of stellate type, however, are scattered among the more numerous pyramidal cells and are present neither in sufficient accompanying photomicrographs (below each) at higher magnification. The corre modified pyramids that appear somewhat immature or transitional in nature. apprasylyian cortex, these cells are located mainly in layer III, especially IIIc. mong the more numerous pyramidal cells and are present neither in sufficient \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} cells/mm²; L.D. layer IIIb = 54,500 cells/mm³; L.D. layer IIIc cells/mm³; L.D. layer $Va = 35,800$ cells/mm³; L.D. layer Vb density, L.D., layer $II = 105,000$ cells/mm³; L.D. layer IIIa $=16.1.9.$

numbers or concentrations to be considered as a distinct layer such as the internal granular layer of terrestrial mammals though we are continuing to study this issue. Considering the overall small size of neurons throughout the cortex. it is of interest that neurons of pyramidal type exhibit broader ranges of mean sizes (from 11.8 to 17.6 um by from 7.6 um to 11.8 um) than do the stellate cells (from 9.2 to 12.2 um by from 8.4 to 11.2 um). Moreover, a statistical comparison of pyramidal cell size in the cortex reveals that the pyramids of layers IIIb. IIIc and Va are significantly larger than those of layers II and IIIa, with P values being from 0.05 to less than 0.001 (layer I = 12.2 um by 7.6 um, layer II = 11.8 um by 8.2 um, layer IIIa = 13.4 um by 10.0 um. layer IIIb = 15.4 um by 10.0 um. IIIc = 15.8 um by 11.4 um, layer Va = 17.6 um by 11.8 um. layer Vb = 14.6 um by 10.6 um. layer Vla $= 12.6$ um by 8.8 um, and layer VIb $= 15.2$ um by 10.8 um). It is important to point out. however. that there is no statistically significant size difference between layer IIIc and Va pyramids ($P < .30$) indicating that. like the suprasylvian region. the cortex of the lateral gyrus has an equopyramidal type organization. In view of their similar equopyramidal organization. it is significant that most of the lateral gyrus has been shown to give rise to multiple evoked responses to light flashes (Ladygina et al.. 1978). In general. the cortical cytoarchitecture of the lateral gyrus repeats the patterns present in the suprasylvian gyrus. Thus. as in the case of auditory cortex the visual cortex of the dolphin is essentially microcellular. hyperpyramidalized. agranular and is characterized by extremely dense layers II. ilia and b, and an equopyramidal organization. Nevertheless, in spite of overall similarities. morphometric differences. especially involving layers III and V. are present and reflect organizational and functional differences between the two adjacent cortical regions. It is of additional interest that the cortex extending onto the medial surface of the hemisphere beyond the lateral gyrus in the dolphin. and comprising part of the medial occipital area (Kesarev et al.. 1977). has been partially included in the visual projection zone by Ladygina et al (1978) based on limited electrophysiological evidence with exploring electrodes. In Loyez myelin material we have traced fibers from the lateral geniculate nucleus 10 this medial cortex which in *Tursiops* covers a prominent oval shaped lobule. As in the lateral gyrus, the cortex of the oval lobule is thin (1.2 \pm .05 mm) and the percent ratio of width of its layers (I,II,III, V, VI) is 13:5:32:22:28, again indicating the dominance of layer III. Although a number of architectonic differences from the lateral gyrus can be demonstrated morphometrically the overall cortical architecture of the oval lobule is of the same fundamental type as that of lateral and suprasylvian gyri. Both fields of lateral gyrus shown are stained by cresyl violet. Survey fields approximately 20X. enlargements approximately SOX.

clearly a protoneocortical mark indicating the originally prevailing layer I input of the axodendritic type. Of course, the extreme thickness of layer I and its strong content of horizontal fibers does not, in itself, serve as conclusive evidence of a phylogenetic closeness between dolphin brain and brains of insectivores. However, considered along with the many other conservative features we and others have defined in the dolphin brain, the accumulated evidence in no way points to signs of progressive evolution of the whale brain or to any evidence that the final cortical growth rings have developed in these brains.

[ELECTROPHYSIOLOGICAL MAPPING STUDIES](#page--1-0) OF THE CETACEAN BRAIN

With regard to the relative size and positions of specific types of cortex on the convexity of the brain in whales little is presently known. Sokolov, Ladygina and Supin (1972) and Ladygina, Mass and Supin (1978) have carried out a series of electrophysiological mapping studies on convexity cortex of the dolphin and a map summarizing their findings is shown in [Figs. I.IE,](#page--1-0) and [1.7.](#page--1-0) They have even claimed, on the basis of evoked potential work, to have been able to define both primary and secondary auditory and visual cortex in the dolphin [\(see Fig. 1.7\)](#page--1-0). We have followed up this work with a cytoarchitectonic analysis of these same areas and, to date, have not on these grounds been able to demonstrate any koniocortices indicative of primary sensory cortex. In [Figs. 1.8](#page--1-0) and [1.9](#page--1-0) we indicate the cytoarchitecture of similar cortical fields mapped by the Russians (as shown in [Fig. 1.7\)](#page--1-0). We are presently carrying out Golgi analyses of these same formations and, to date, these have revealed in these areas extremely extraverted neurons of accentuated layer II with dendrites extending at wide angles into accentuated layer 1 [\(see Fig. 1.5](#page--1-0)). Our Golgi analyses indicate that layer II is composed largely of immature-type pyramidal neurons with strong development of apical branches into layer I and poorly developed basilar dendrites. In general, our evidence to date in no way distinguishes a primary sensory cortical area on the convexity of the hemisphere. It appears that the parinsular/paralimbic type cortices we have identified as forming the main mass of convexity cortex may contain the equivalents of secondary sensory-motor areas, though none of these are clearly definable by cytoarchitectonic or Golgi analyses.

[SUMMARY](#page--1-0)

The whale cortex does not appear to have developed the last or phylogenetically latest stage of cortical evolution characteristic of primates and many other mammals. It is possible that hypergranular cores (koniocortex) and area gigantopyramidalis developed about 50 million years ago in land mammals, whereas whales returned to water some 70–90 million years ago before granularization of the cortex occurred. The leading stage in cortical differentiation in whales seem to be the paralimbic-parinsular stage which is the most primitive of somatomotor representation. It is obvious that Golgi studies combined with evolutionary and ontogenetic analyses, along with physiological approaches, are needed to shed further light on fundamental cortical types and their extent in the whale brain. Though the whale brain has taken a different course of evolution it retains all conservative characters seen in primitive terrestrial and aerial forms such as hedgehogs and bats. Given that the whale returned to water many million of years ago as a totally aquatic mammal, continued studies of the cetacean brain may help in the understanding of mammalian brain evolution in general and the effects of environment on cortical development.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Supported by National Science Foundation Grant BNS 82-42356 and BNS 84-14523.

[REFERENCES](#page--1-0)

- Filimonoff, I. N. (1947). A rational subdivision of cerebral cortex. *Archives of Neurology* & *Psychiatry.* 58, 296-311.
- Filimonoff, I. N. (1949). *Comparative anatomy of mammalian cerebral cortex.* Moscow: Edition of the Academy of Medical Sciences (Russian Edition).
- Gingerich, P. *D.,* Wells, N. A., Russell, D. E., & Ibrahim Shah, S. M. (1983). Origin of whales in epicontinental remnant seas: New evidence from the early Eocene of Pakistan. *Science. 220,* 403-406.
- Jacobs, M. S., Galaburda, A. M., McFarland, W. L., & Morgane, P. J. (1984). The insular formations of the dolphin brain. Quantitative cytoarchitectonic studies of the insular component of the limbic lobe. *Journal Comparative Neurology,* 225, 396-432.
- Jacobs, M. S., McFarland, W. L., & Morgane, P. J. (1979). The anatomy of the brain of the bottlenose dolphin *(Tursiops truncatus).* Rhinic lobe (rhinencephalon): The archicortex. *Brain Research Bulletin,* 4 (Supp. I), 1-108.
- Jacobs, M. S., Morgane, P. J., & McFarland, W. L. (1971). The anatomy of the brain of the bottlenose dolphin *(Tursiops truncatus).* Rhinic lobe (rhinencephalon). I. The paleocortex. *Journal Comparative Neurology, 141. 205-272.*
- Kesarev, V. S. (1970). Certain data on neuronal organization of the neocortex in the dolphin brain. *Arkh. Anal. Gistol. Embriol.,* 59, 71-77.
- Kesarev, V. S. (1975). On the problem of homologization of the cerebral neocortex in cetaceans. *Arkh. Anat. Gistol. Embriol.,* 68, 5-13.
- Kesarev, V. S., Malofeyeva, L. I., & Trykova, O. V. (1977). Ecological specificity of cetacean neocortex. *Journal Hirnforschung,* 18, 447-460.
- Kruger, L. (1959). The thalamus of the dolphin *(Tursiops truncatus)* and comparison with other mammals. *Journal Comparative Neurology*, 111, 133-194.
- Ladygina, T. F., Mass, A. M., & Supin A. la. (1978). Multiple sensory projections in the dolphin cerebral cortex. *Zh. Vyssh. Nerv. Deiat.* 28, 1047-1054.
- Morgane, P. J., Jacobs, M. S., & McFarland, W. L. (1980). Anatony of the brain of the bottlenose dolphin *(Tursiops truncatus).* Surface configuration of the telencephalon of the bottlenose dolphin with comparative anatomical observations in four other cetacean species. *Brain Research Bulletin, 5 (Supp. 3), 1-107.*
- Morgane, P. J., McFarland, W. L., & Jacobs, M. S. (1982). The limbic lobe of the dolphin brain: A quantitative cytoarchitectonic study. *Journal Hirnforschung.* 23, 465-552.
- Morgane, P. J., Jacobs, M. S., & Galaburda, A. (in press, 1985). Evolutionary aspects of cortical organization in dolphin brain. In M. Bryden & R. J. Harrison (Eds.), *Research on dolphins.* Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- San ides. F. (1970). Functional architecture of motor and sensory cortices **in** primates **in** the light of a new concept of neocortex evolution. **In** C. R. Noback & W. Montagna (Eds.), *The primate brain (Advances in Primatology, Vol. I)* (pp. 132-208). New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts.
- Sanidcs, F. (1972). Representation **in** the cerebral cortex and its areal lamination patterns. **In** E. H. Bourne (Ed.), *Structure and function of nen'ous tissue, Vol.* 5 (pp. 329-453). New York: Academic Press.
- Sokolov, V. E., Ladygina, T. F., & Supin A. la. (1972). Localization of sensory zones in the dolphin's cerebral cortex. *Doklady Akademy Nauk SSSR*, 202, 490-493.

References

Foreword

Griffin. D. R. (1984). Animal thinking. Cambridge. MA: Harvard University Press.

Harre. R .. & Reynolds. V. (Eds.). (1984). The meaning of primate signals. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Herman. L. M. (1980). Cognitive capacities of dolphins. In L. M. Herman (Ed.). Cetacean Behavior mechanisms and functions New York: Wiley.

Miller. G. A. (\967). The psychology of communication. New York: Basic Books.

1 1. Evolutionary Morphology of the Dolphin Brain

Filimonoff, I. N. (1947). A rational subdivision of cerebral cortex. Archives of Neurology & Psychiatry. 58, 296-311.

Filimonoff, I. N. (1949). Comparative anatomy of mammalian cerebral cortex. Moscow: Edition of the Academy of Medical Sciences (Russian Edition).

Gingerich, P. D., Wells, N. A., Russell, D. E., & Ibrahim Shah, S. M. (1983). Origin of whales in epicontinental remnant seas: New evidence from the early Eocene of Pakistan. Science. 220, 403-406.

Jacobs, M. S., Galaburda, A. M., McFarland, W. L., & Morgane, P. J. (1984). The insular formations of the dolphin brain. Quantitative cytoarchitectonic studies of the insular component of the limbic lobe. Journal Comparative Neurology, 225, 396-432.

Jacobs, M. S., McFarland, W. L., & Morgane, P. J. (1979). The anatomy of the brain of the bottlenose dolphin (Tursiops truncatus). Rhinic lobe (rhinencephalon): The archicortex. Brain Research Bulletin, 4 (Supp. I), 1-108.

Jacobs, M. S., Morgane, P. J., & McFarland, W. L. (1971). The anatomy of the brain of the bottlenose dolphin (Tursiops truncatus). Rhinic lobe (rhinencephalon). I. The paleocortex. Journal Comparative Neurology, 141. 205-272.

Kesarev, V. S. (1970). Certain data on neuronal organization of the neocortex in the dolphin brain. Arkh. Anal. Gistol. Embriol., 59, 71-77.

Kesarev, V. S. (1975). On the problem of homologization of the cerebral neocortex in cetaceans. Arkh. Anat. Gistol. Embriol., 68, 5-13.

Kesarev, V. S., Malofeyeva, L. I., & Trykova, O. V. (1977). Ecological specificity of cetacean neocortex. Journal Hirnforschung, 18, 447-460.

Kruger, L. (1959). The thalamus of the dolphin (Tursiops truncatus) and comparison with other mammals. Journal Comparative Neurology, 111, J 33-194.

Ladygina, T. F., Mass, A. M., & Supin A. la. (1978). Multiple sensory projections in the dolphin cerebral cortex. Zh. Vyssh. Nerv. Deiat. 28, 1047-1054.

Morgane, P. J., Jacobs, M. S., & McFarland, W. L. (1980). Anatony of the brain of the bottlenose dolphin (Tursiops truncatus). Surface configuration of the telencephalon of the bottlenose dolphin with comparative anatomical observations in four other cetacean species. Brain Research Bill/etin, 5 (Supp. 3),1-107.

Morgane, P. J., McFarland, W. L., & Jacobs, M. S. (1982). The limbic lobe of the dolphin brain: A quantitative cytoarchitectonic study. Journal Hirnforschung. 23, 465-552.

Morgane, P. J., Jacobs, M. S., & Galaburda, A. (in press, 1985). Evolutionary aspects of cortical organization in dolphin brain. In M. Bryden & R. J. Harrison (Eds.), Research on dolphins. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

San ides. F. (1970). Functional architecture of motor and sensory cortices in primates in the light of a new concept of neocortex evolution. In C. R. Noback & W. Montagna (Eds.), The primate brain (Adl'ances in PrimalOlogy, Vol. /) (pp. \32-208). New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts.

Sanidcs, F. (1972). Representation in the cerebral cortex and its areal lamination patterns. In E. H. Bourne (Ed.), Structure and function of nen'ous tissue, Vol. 5 (pp. 329-453). New York: Academic Press.

Sokolov, V. E., Ladygina, T. F., & Supin A. la. (1972). Localization of sensory zones in the dolphin's cerebral cortex. Doklad.\' Akademy Nauk SSSR. 202. 490-493.

2 2. Physiological Observations on Dolphin Brains

Au. W. L. (1980). Echolocation signals of the Atlantic bottlenose dolphin (Tursiops truncatus) in open waters. In R. G. Busnel & J. F. Fish (Eds.), Animal Sonar Systems (pp. 251-282). New York: Plenum.

Balonov, L., Deglin, V., Kaufman, D., & Nikolaenko, N. (1981). Functional asymmetry of the animal brain. Journal of Evolutionary Biochemistry and PhysioloRY, 17. 163-170. (In Russian, English translation 1982, New York: Plenum).

Bogen, J. E. (1969). The other side of the brain II. An appositional mind. Bulletin of The Los Angeles Neurological Society. 34. 135-162.

Bonner, J. T. (1980). The evolution of culture in animals. New Jersey: Princeton University Press.

Breathnach, A. S. (1960). The cetacean central nervous system. Biological Reviews. 35. 187-230.

Bullock, T. H. (\984). Comparative neuroscience holds promise for quiet revolutions. Science. 225. 473-478.

Bullock, T. H., Grinnell, A. D., Ikezono, E., Kameda, K., Katsuki, K.·, Nomoto, M., Sato. 0., Suga, N., & Yanagisawa, K. (1968). Electrophysiological studies of central auditory mechanisms in cetaceans. Zeitschriji Fiir Vergleichende PhysioloRie. 59. 117-156.

Bullock, T. H., & Gurevich, V. (1979. Soviet literature on the nervous system and psychobiology of Cetacea. International Review of Neurobiology, 21, 48-127.

Bullock, T. H., & Ridgway, S. H. (1971). Neurophysiological findings relevant to echolocation in marine animals. In K. Schmidt-Koenig & M. F. Thompson (Eds.), Orientation and navigation in animals (pp. 373-395). Washington, DC: American Institute of Biological Sciences.

Bullock, T. H., & Ridgway, S. H. (1972). Evoked potentials in the central auditory system of alert porpoises to their own and artificial sounds. Journal of Neurobiology, 3, 79-99.

Caldwell, M. c., Caldwell, D. K., & Siebenaler, J. B. (1965). Observations on captive and wild Atlantic bottlenosed dolphins, Tursiops truncatus, in the

Northeastern Gulf of Mexico. Los Angeles County Museum Contributions in Science, 91, 1-10.

Callaway, E., Tueting, P., & Koslow, S. (Eds.). (1978). Event-related brain potentials in man. New York: Academic Press.

Dawson, W. W., Carder, D. A., Ridgway, S. H., & Schmeisser, E. T. (1981). Synchrony of dolphin eye movements and their power density spectra. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology, 68A. 443-449.

Dawson, W. W., Hawthorne, M., Jenkins, R., & Goldston, R. (1982). Giant neural systems of the inner retina and optic nerve of small whales. Journal of Comparath'e Neurology, 205. 1-7.

DeGaaf, A. S. (1967). Anatomical aspects of the cetacean brain stem. Assen, Netherlands: Von Gorcum.

Don, M., Allen, R., & Starr, A. (1977). Effect of click rate on the latency of auditory brain stem responses 'in humans. Ann. 0101. Rhinol. Laryngol .. 86. 186-196.

Elias, H., & Schwartz, D. (1969). Surface areas of the cerebral cortex of mammals determined by stereological methods. Science. 166, 111-113.

Evans. W. E., & Powell, B. A. (1967). Discrimination of different metallic plates by an echolocating deophinid. In R. G. Busnel (Ed.). Animal sonar systems (pp. 363-383). Jouy-en-Josas. France: Laboratoire De Physiology Acoustique, INRA-CNRZ.

Fish, J. F., Johnson, C. S., & Ljungblad, D. K. (1976). Sonar target discrimination by instrumented human divers. Journal of The Acoustical Society of America. 59. 602-606.

Flanigan. W. F. (1974). Nocturnal behavior of captive small cetaceans. I. The bottlenosed porpoise, Tursiops truncatus. Sleep Research, 3. 84.

Flanigan, W. F. (1975). More nocturnal observations of captive, small cetaceans. I. The killer whale, Orcinus orca. Sleep Research. 4. 139.

Geshwind, N., Levitsky, W. (1968). Human brain: Left-right asymmetries in temporal speech region. Science. 161, 186-187.

Gambel, R. (1985). The fin whale. In S. H. Ridgway & R. J. Harrison (Eds.). Handbook of marine mammals. Vol. III. Sierenia and Mysticeti (pp. 171-192). London: Academic Press.

Hampton, I. F. G., Whittow, G. C., Szekercezes, J., & Rutherford, S. (1971). Heat transfer and body temperature in the Atlantic bottle nosed dolphin, Tursiops truncatus. International Journal of Biometeorology. 15. 247-253.

Hamad, S., & Doty, R. (1977). Introduction. In S. Hamad, R. Doty, L. Goldstein, J. Jaynes, & G. Krauthamer (Eds.), Lateralization of the nervous system. (p. 17). New York: Academic Press.

Jerison, H. J. (1973). Evolution of the brain and intelligence. New York: Academic Press.

Jerison, H. J. (1982). Allometry. brain size, cortical surface. and convolutedness. In E. Armstrong & D. Falk (Eds.), Primate brain evolution: Methods and concepts (pp. 77-84). New York: Plenum.

Johnson, C. S. (\966). Auditory thresholds of the bottlenosed dolphin (Tursiops truncatus Montagu). U. S. Naval Ordinance Test Station, NOTSTP 4178. 25 pp.

Johnson. C. S. (1967). Discussion. In R. G. Busnel (Ed.). Animal sonar systems (pp. 384-398). Jouy-en-Josas, France: Laboratoire de Physiology Acoustique, INRA-CNRZ.

Kanwisher, J., & Sundnes, G. (1965). Physiology of a small cetacean. Hvalradets Skrifter. 48. 4553.

Kasuya, T., & Rice, D. W. (1970). Notes on baleen plates and on arrangement of parasitic barnacles of gray whales. Scientific Reports of The Whales Research Institute. 22, 39-43.

Kellogg, W. N., & Kohler, R. (1952). Reactions of the porpoise to ultrasonic frequencies. Science. 116. 250-252.

Kerem, D., Elsner, R., & Wright, J. (1971). Anaerobic metabolism in the brain of the harbor seal during the late stages of a maximum dive. Federation Proceedings. 30. 484.

Kesarev, V. (1971). The inferior brain of the dolphin. Soviet Science Review. 2. 52-58.

Kesarev. V. S., & Malofeyeva, L. I. (1969). Structural organization of the dolphin motor conex. Arkhiv Anatomii Gistologii i Embriologii. 56. 48-55. (Neuroscience Translations No. 12, Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology).

Harrison, R. J. (1969). Endocrine organs: Hypophysis, thyroid, and adrenal. In H. T. Anderson (Ed.), The biology of marine mammals (pp. 349-389). New York: Academic Press.

Hatschek, R. (1903). Schnervenatrophie bei einem delphin. Arb. Neurol. Inst. Univ. Wien. 10. 223-229.

Haug, H. (1969). Vergleichende, quantitative untersuchungen an den gehiren des menschen, des elefanten und einiger zahnwale. Medizinsche Monatschrift. 23, 201-205.

Haug, H. (1970). Qualitative und quantitative untersuchungen an den gehirnen des menschen, der delphinoideae und des elefanten. Ergebnisse der Anatomie und Entwicklungsgeschichte. 43. 170.

Herman, L. M. (1980). Cognitive characteristics of dolphins. In L. M. Herman (Ed.), Cetacean behavior (pp. 149-209). New York: Wiley.

Hillyard, S. A., & Bloom, F. E. (1982). Brain functions and mental Processes. In D. R. Griffin (Ed.), Animal mind-human mind (pp. \3-32). Dahlem Konferenzen, Berlin: Springer-Verlag.

Hockett, C. F. (1978). In search of Jove's brow. American Speech, 53. 243-3\3.

Irving, L., Scholander, P. F., & Grinnell, S. W. (1941). The respiration of the porpoise, Tursiops truncatus. Journal of Cellular and Comparative Physiology. 17. 145-168.

Jacobs, M. S., & Jensen, A. V. (1964). Gross aspects of the brain and fiber analysis of cranial nerves in the great whale. Journal 0fComparath'e Neurology. 123.55-72.

Jacobs, M. S., Morgane, P. J., & McFarland, W. L. (1975). Degeneration of visual pathways in the bottlenosed dolphin. Brain Research. 88. 346-352.

Knudsen, E., & Konishi, M. (1978). Center surround organization of auditory receptive fields in the owl. Science. 202.778-780.

Ko\Chin, S. & Bel'kovitch. V. (1973). Tactile sensitivity in Delphinus delphis. Zoologicheskiy Zhurnal. 52. 620-622.

Kovalzon, V. M., & Mukhametov, L. M. (1982). Temperature variations in the brain corresponding to unihemispheric slow wave sleep in dolphins. Journal Evolutionary Biochemistry and Phvsiology. 18. 307-309. (in Russian)

Kutas, M., & Hillyard, S. A. (1980). Reading senseless sentences: Brain potentials reflect semantic incongruity. Science. 207. 203-205.

Laird, A. K. (1969). The dynamics of growth. ResearchlDevelopment. 20. 28-31.

Langwonhy, O. R. (1932). A description of the central nervous system of the porpoise (Tursiops truncatus). Journal of Comparative Neurology, 54. 437-499.

Lende, R. A. & Welker, W. I. (1972). An unusual sensory area in the cerebral neoconex of the bottlenose dolphin, Tursiops trullcatus. Brain Research. 45. 555-560.

Levy J. (1982). Mental processes in the nonverbal hemisphere. In D. R. Griffin (Ed.), Animal mindhuman mind (pp. 57-73). Dahlem Konferenzen, Berlin: Springer-Verlag.

Lilly, J. C. (1962). In V. Mountcastle (Ed.), Interhemispheric relations and cerebral dominance (pp. 112-114). Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.

Lilly, J. C. (1964). Animals in aquatic environments: Adaptation of mammals to the oceans. In D. B. Dill (Ed.) , Handbook of physiology-environment (pp. 741-747). Washington, DC: The American Physiological Society.

MacKay, R. S. (1967). Experiments to conduct in order to obtain comparative results. In R. G. Busnel (Ed. l, Animal sonar systems (pp. 1173-1196). Jouy-en-Josas, France: Laboratoire De Physiology Acoustique, INRA-CNRZ.

Maxwell, G. (1960). Ring of bright water London: Longmans.

McCormick. J. G. (1969). Relationship of sleep. respiration. and anesthesia in the porpoise: A preliminary report. Proceedings of The National Academy of Sciences of The United States of America. 62. 697-703.

McFarland. W. L., Jacobs. M. S .. & Morgane. P. J. (1979). Blood supply to the brain of the dolphin, Tursiops truncatus. with comparative observations on special aspects of the c~re brovascular supply of other vertebrates. Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews. Suppl. I. 93 pp.

Mitchell. E. (1972). Whale pigmentation and feeding behavior. American Zoologist. 12. 60.

Mukhametov, L. M. (1984). Sleep in marine mammals. Experimental Brain Research. Suppl. 8. 227-238.

Mukhametov. L. M., Supin. A. Y .. & Polyakova.1. G. (1977). Interhemispheric asymmetry of the electroencephalographic sleep patterns in dolphins. Brain Research. 134, 581-584.

Nagel. E. L., Morgane, P. J., Mc Farland, W. L., & Galliano. A. E. (1968). Rete mirabile of dolphin: Its pressure-damping effect on cerebral circulation. Science, 161. 898-900.

Ness. A. R. (1967). A measure of asymmetry of the skulls of odontocete whales. Journal oJZoology (London). 153. 209-221.

Neville. H. J.', & Hillyard. S. A. (1982). Neurophysiological approaches. state of the art report. In D. R. Griffin (Ed.), Animal mind-human mind (pp. 333-353). Dahlem Konferenzen. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.

Pierce. R. W. (1970). Design and operatioll of a metabolic chamber Jor marine mammals. Doctoral dissertation. University of California. Berkeley.

Popov, V. V .. Ladygina, T. F .. & Supin. A. Y. (1985). Evoked potentials of the auditory cortex of Phocoena phocoena. Journal of Comparative Physiology.

Ridgway, S. H. (1972). Homeostasis in the aquatic environment. In S. H. Ridgway (Ed.). Mammals oJ the sea: Biology and medicine (p. 715). Springfield, IL: Thomas.

Ridgway. S. H. (1983). Dolphin hearing and sound production in health and illness. In R. Fay & G. Gourevich (Eds.), Hearing and other senses: Presetllations in honor of E. G. Wever (pp. 247296). Groton. CT: Amphora Press.

Ridgway, S. H .• & Brownson, R. H. (1979). Brain size and symmetry in three dolphin genera. AnalOmical Record. 193.

Ridgway, S. H., & Brownson. R. H. (1982). Relative brain sizes and cortical surface areas of odontocetes. In A. Myllymaki & E. Pulliainen (Eds.). Abstracts of Papers: Third ItlIernational Theriological Congress. Helsinki, p. 207.

Ridgway, S. H .• & Brownson. R. H. (1984). Relative brain sizes and cortical surface areas of odontocetes. Acta Zoologica Fennica, 172, 149-152.

Ridgway, S. H., Bullock. T. B .• Carder, D. A., Seeley, R. L., Woods. D., & Galambos. R. (1981). Auditory brainstem response in dolphins. Proceedings of The National Academy of Sciences of The United States of America. 78. 943-1947.

Ridgway. S. H., & Carder. D. A. (1984). Compliance of dolphin skin: Tactile sensitivity distribution and skin vibrations in the living dolphin.

Ridgway, S. H., Flanigan. N. J .• & McCormick, J. G. (1966). Brain-spinal cord ratios in porpoises: Possible correlations with intelligence and ecology. Psychonomic Science, 6. 491-492.

Ridgway. S. H .. & McCormick. J. G. (1967). Anesthesia for major surgery in porpoises. Science, 158. 510-512.

Ridgway. S. B., & Patton, G. S. (1971). Dolphin thyroid: Some anatomical and physiological findings. ZeitschriJt Fur Vergleichende Physiologie, 71, 129-141.

Ridgway, S. H .. Scronce, B. L., & Kanwisher. J. (1969). Respiration and deep diving in a bottlenose porpoise. Science. 166, 16511654.

Ries. F. A .• & Langworthy. O. R. (1937). A study of the surface structure of the brain of the whale (Balaenoptera physalus and Physeter catodon). Journal of Comparative Neurology. 68, 1-47.

Scholander. P. F. (1940). Experimental investigations on the respiratory function in diving mammals and birds. Hmlradets Skri/ter. 22. 1-131.

Serafetinides. E. Shurley. J .. & Brooks. R. (1972). Electroencephalogram of the pilot whale. Globicephala scammoni. in wakefulness and sleep: Lateralization aspects. international Journal of Psvchohiologv. 2.

664.

129-135.

Sergeant. D. E. (1969). Feeding rates of Cetacea. FiskDir Skrijier Ser HavUnders. 15, 246-258.

Sergeant. D. E .. Caldwell. D. K .. & Caldwell. M. C. (1973). Age. growth and maturity of bottlenose dolphins (Tursiops truncatus). Journal of The Fisheries Research Board of Canada. 30. 1009-1011.

Shurley. 1.. Serafetinides. E .. Brooks. R., Elsner. R .. & Kenney. D. (1969). Sleep in cetaceans. I. The pilot whale. Globicephala scammoni. Ps)'chophvsiology. 6. 230.

Simon, L. M. Robin. E. D .• Elsner, R .• Van Kessel. A .. & Theodore, J. (1974). A biochemical basis for differences in maximal diving time in aquatic mammals. Comparative Biochemistrv and Physiology, 47B, 209-215.

Sleptsov, M. M. (1939). On the problem of the asymmetry of the skull in odontoceti. Zo%gicheskiy Zhurnal. 18, 367-386.

Spector, W. S. (1956). Handbook of biological data (p. 162). Philadelphia: Saunders.

Suga, N. (1984). Neural mechanisms of complex-sound processing for echolocation. Trends in NeuroSciences. 7, 20-27.

Supin, A. Y., Mukhametov. L. Moo Ladygina. T. F .. Popov. V. V .. Mass. A. M .. & Poliakova, E. G. (1978). Electrophysiological study of the dolphin hrain. Moscow: Nauka. (in Russian)

Teyler, T. J. (1977). An Introduction to the Neurosciences. In M. C. Wittrock (Ed.), The human brain (p. 5). Englewood Cliffs. NJ: Prentice Hall.

Townsend. C. H. (1914). The porpoise in captivity. Zoologica, 1.289-299.

Viamonte. M .. Morgane, P. J .• Galliano. R. E., Nagel, E. L.. & McFarland, W. L. (1968). Angiography in the living dolphin and observations on blood supply to the brain. American Journal of Physiology, 214,1225-1249.

Vogl, A. W .. & Fisher. H. D. (198Ia). The internal carotid artery does not directly supply the brain in Monodontidae (Order Cetacea). Joumal of MorpllOlogy. 170. 207-214.

Vogi. A. W., & Fisher. H. D .• (l98Ib). Arterial circulation of the spinal cord and brain in the Monodontidae (Order Cetacea). Journal of Morphology, 170. 171-180.

Watkins, W. A .. & Schevill, W. E. (1979). Aerial observation of feeding behavior in four baleen whales: Eubalaena glaciaJis, Balaenoptera borealis. Megaptera nOl'Qeang/iae. and Balaenoptera physalus. Journal of Mammalogv. 60. 155-163.

Wenzel. B .• Tschirgi. R .• & Taylor. J. (1962). Effects of early postnatal hemidecortication on spatial discrimination in cats. Experimelllal Neurology, 6. 332-339.

Wever. E. G .. McCormick. J. G .. Palin. J .• & Ridgway, S. H. (1971). The cochlea of the dolphin Tursiops truncatlls: Hair cells and ganglion cells. Proceedings of The National Academy of Sciences of The United States of America, 68. 2908-2912.

Wilson. R. B. (1933). The anatomy of the brain of the whale (Balaenoptera sulfurea). Journal of Comparative Neurology 101, 19-51.

Wirz, K. (1950). Studien iiber die cerebralization: Zur quantitativ en bestimmung der rangordnung bei saugetiere. Acta Anatomica, 9, 134-196.

Witelson. S. F. (1977). Anatomic asymmetry in the temporal lobes: Its documentation, phylogenesis, and relationship to functional asymmetry. In S. 1. Dimond. & Blizard, D. A. (Eds.), Evolution and lateraJization of the human brain (pp. 328-354). Annals of the New York Academy of Science, 299, 1-50 I.

Wood. F. G .. & Evans. W. E. (1980). Adaptiveness and ecology of echolocation in toothed whales. In R. Busnel & J. Fish (Eds.), Animal sonar systems (pp. 381-426). New York: Plenum.

3 3. Middle- and Long-Latency Auditory Event-Related Potentials in Dolphins

Arthur, D. L., & Starr, A. (1984). Task-relevant late positive component of the auditory eventrelated potential in monkeys resembles P300 in humans. Science. 223. 186-188.

Buchwald. J .• Hinman. c.. Norman. R. J .• Huang. c.. & Brown. K. A. (1981). Middleand longlatency auditory evoked responses recorded from the vertex of normal and chronically lesioned cats. Brain Research. 205. 91-109.

Bullock. T. H. (1981). Neuroethology deserves more study of evoked responses. Neuroscience. 6. 1203-1215.

Bullock. T. H .• Grinnell. A. D .• Ikezono. E .• Kameda. K .. Katsuki, K .• Nomoto, M., Sato. 0 .. Suga. N .• & Yanagisawa. K. (1968). Electrophysiological studies of central auditory mechanisms in cetaceans. Zeitschrift fur Vergleichende Physiologie. 59. 117-156.

Bullock. T. H., & Gurevich. V. S. (1979). Soviet literature on the nervous system and psychobiology of Cetacea. International Review of Neurobiology. 21. 47-127.

Bullock, T. H., & Ridgway. S. H. (1972). Evoked potentials in the central auditory system of alert porpoises to their own and artificial sounds. Journal of Neurobiology. 3. 79-99.

Butler. R. A. (1973). Frequency specificity of the auditory evoked response to simultaneously and ~uccessively presented auditory stimuli. Electroencephalography. and clinical Neurophysiolog). 33. 277-282.

Corwin. J. T., Bullock. T. H .• & Schweitzer, J. (1982). Auditory brainstem responses in five vertebrate classes. I::lectroencepholography and clinical Neurophysiology. 54. 629-641.

Courchesne, E. (1978). Changes in P3 waves with event-repetition: Long-term effects on scalp distribution and amplitude. Electroencephalography and clinical Neurophysiology JR. 45. 754766.

Courchesne. E .. Courchesne. R .. & Hillyard. S. (1978). The effect of stimulus deviation on P3 waves to easily recognized stimuli. Neuropsychology. 18. 189-199.

Courchesne, E .• Hillyard. S .• & Galambos. R. (1975).

Stimulus novelty. task relevance. and the visual evoked potential in man. Electroencephalography and clinical Neurophysiology, 39. 131-143.

Donchin, E. (1981). Surprise!. .. Surprise? Psychophysiology. 18.493-513.

Farley, G. R., & Starr, A. (1983). Middle and long latency auditory evoked potentials in cat. I. Component definition and dependence on behavioral factors. Hearing Research. 10. 117-138.

Goodin. D., Squires, K., Henderson, B., & Starr, A. (1978). Age related variations in evoked potentials to auditory stimuli in normal human subjects. Electroencephalography and clinical Neurophysiology. 44, 447-458.

Herman, L. M. (1980). Cognitive characteristics of dolphins. In L. M. Herman (Ed.), Cetacean behavior (pp. 363-429). New York: Wiley.

Hillyard, S. A., & Kutas, M. (1983). Electrophysiology of cognitive processing. Ann. Rev. Psychol., 34. 33-61.

Hillyard, S .• & Woods, D. (1979). Electrophysiological ananysis of human brain function. In M. Gazzaniga (Ed.), Handbook of behavioral neurobiology (pp. 345-377). New York: Plenum.

Johnson, C. S. (1966). Auditory thresholds of the bottlenose dolphin (Tursiops truncatus Montagu). U.S. Naval Ordinance Test Station TP. 4178.

Klein, M., Coles, M. G. H., & Donchin, E. (1984). People with perfect pitch process tones without producing P300. Science. 223. 1306-1309.

Knight, R. T. (1984). Decreased response to novel stimuli after prefrontal lesions in man. Electroncephalography and clinical Neurophysiology. 59, 9-20.

Ladygina, T. F., & Supin, A. Y. (1977). Localization of the projectional sensory areas in the cortex of the Atlantic bottlenose dolphin (Tursiops truncatus). Zhurnal Evoliutsionnoi Biokhimii Fiziologii. 13,712-718.

McCarthy, G., & Donchin, E. (1981). A metric for thought: A comparison of P300 latency and reaction time. Science. 21I. 77-80.

Nelson, D .. & Lassman, F. (1973). Combined effects ofrecovery period and stimulus intensity on the human auditory evoked vertex response. Journal of Speech and Hearing Research. 16. 297-308.

Nelson, D., & Lassman, F. (1973). Combined effects of recovery period and stimulus intensity on the human auditory evoked vertex response. Journal of Speech and Hearing Research. 16. 297-308.

Neville, H. J., & Foote, S. L. (1984). Auditory event-related potentials in the squirrel monkey: Parallels to human late wave responses. Brain Research. 298. 107116.

O'Brien. J. H. (1982). P300 wave elicited by a stimulus-change paradigm in acutely prepared rats. Physiology & Behavior, 28. 711-713.

O'Neill, W. E., & Suga, N. (1982). Encoding of target range and its representation in the auditory cortex of the mustached bat. The Journal of Neuroscience jR. 2. 17-31.

Picton, T., Campbell, K., Baribeau-Braun, J., & Proulx, G. (1978). The neurophysiology of human attention. A tutorial review. In J. Requin (Ed.), Attention and performance VII (pp. 429-467). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Picton, T., Woods, D., Baribeau-Braun. J., & Healey. T. (1977). Evoked potential audiometry. Journal of Otolaryngology. 6. 90-119.

Picton, T., Woods, D., & Proulx, G. (1978). Human auditory sustained potentials II. Stimulus relationships. Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology. 45. 198-210.

Pritchard, W. S. (1981). Psychophysiology of P300. Psychology Bulletin. 89. 506-540.

Ridgway. S. H., Bullock, T. H .• Carder, D. A .. Seeley, R. L.. Woods, D. L., & Galambos, R. (1981). Auditory brainstem response in dolphins. Proceedings of the Natural Academy of Science. 78. 1943-47.

Seeley, R. L., Flanigan, W. F., & Ridgway, S. H. (1976). A technique for rapidly assessing the hearing of the bottle noised porpoise, Tursiops rruncatus. Naval Undersea Center Report. San Diego California. NUC TP 522.

Wilder. M. B .• Farley. G. R .. & Starr. A. (1981). Endogenous late positive component of the evoked potential in cats corresponding to P300 in humans. Science. 211. 605-607.

Woods. D. L.. Courchesne. E .• Hillyard. S .• & Galambos. R. (1980). Split-second recovery of the P3 component in multiple decision tasks. Progr. Brain Research. 54. 322-330.

Woods. D. L.. & Elmasian. R. (1984). The Short and long-term habituation of event-related potentials to speech sounds and tones. Electroencephalography and clinical Neurophysiology. in press.

4 4. Vision, Audition, and Chemoreception in Dolphins and Other Marine Mammals

Andersen, E. (I 970a). Auditory sensitivity of the harbor porpoise Phocoena phocoellU. In G. Pilleri (Ed.). Investigations on Cetacea. Vol. 2. (p. 255-259). The Brain Anatomy Institute. University of Berne.

Andersen. S. (I 970b). Directional hearing in the harbor porpoise Phocoena phocoena. In G. Pilleri (Ed.). Investigatiolls 011 Cetacea. Vol. 2. (pp. 260-263). The Brain Anatomy Institute. University of Berne.

Arvidson. K .• & Friberg. V. (1980). Human taste: Response and taste bud number in fungiform papillae. Science. 209. 807-808.

Au. W. W. L.. & Moore. P. W. B. (1984). Receiving beam patterns and directivity indices of the Atlantic bottlenosed dolphin Tursiops trullcatus. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 75. 255-262.

Balliet. R. F .• & Schusterman. R. J. (1971). Underwater and aerial visual acuity in the Asian "clawless" otter (Amblyonix cilleria cineria). Nature. 234. 305-306.

Bernholz. C. D .• & Mathews. M. L. (1975). Critical flicker frequency in a harp seal; evidence for duplex retinal organization. Vision Research. 15. 733-736.

Bullock. T. H .• Grinnel. A. D .• Ikezono. E .• Kameda. K. Katsuki. Y .• Nomoto. J .• Sato. 0 .. Suga. N .• & Yanagisawa. K. (1968). Electrophysiological studies of central auditory mechanisms in cetaceans. Zeitschrift fur Vergleichende Physiologie. 59. \7-156.

Bullock. T. H .• & Ridgway. S. H. (1972). Evoked potentials in the central auditory system of alert porpoises to their own and artificial sounds. Journal of Neurobiology. 3:79-99.

Bullock. R. H .• Ridgway. S. H .• & Suga. H. (1971). Acoustically evoked potentials in midbrain auditory structures in sealions (Pinnipedia). Zeitschrift fur Vergleichende Physiologie. 74. 372387.

Busnel. R.-G .. & Fish. J. R. (Eds.). (1980). Animal sonar systems. New York: Plenum Press.

Caldwell. M. M .. Hall. N. R .. & Caldwell. D. K. (1971).

Ability of an Atlantic bottlenosed dolphin to discriminate between. and respond differentially to. whistles of eight conspecifics. Proceedings of the Eighth Annual Conference on Biological Sonar and Diving Mammals. 8. 57-67.

Christman. R. J. (1970. Sensory experience. Scranton. PA: International Textbook Co.

Dawson. W. W. (1980). The cetacean eye. In L. M. Herman (Ed.). Cetacean behavior: Mechanisms andfllnctions (pp. 58-100). New York: Wiley.

Dawson. W. W., Birndorf, L. A., & Perez, J. M. (1972). Gross anatomy and optics of the dolphin eye (Tursiops truncatus). eetology. /0. 1-12.

Dawson. W. W., Hope, G. M., Ulshafer, M. N .. & Jenkins. R. L. (1983). Contents of the optic nerve of a small cetacean. Aquatic Mammals. /0. 45-56.

Dawson. W. W., & Perez. J. M. (1973). Unusual retinal cells in the dolphin eye. Science. 181. 747-749.

Donaldson, B. J. (1977). The tongue of the bottle nosed dolphin. In R. J. Harrison, (Ed.), Functional anatomy of marine mammals Vol 3. (pp. 175-198). London: Academic Press.

Dral, A. D. G. (1972). Aquatic and aerial vision in the bottlenosed dolphin. Netherlallds Journal of

Dral, A. D. G. (1977). On the retinal anatomy of cetacea. In R. J. Harrison (Ed.). Functional anatomy of marine mammals. Vol. 3 (pp. 81-134). London: Academic Press.

Evans, W. E. (1973). Echolocation by marine delphinids and one species of freshwater dolphin. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 54(1), 191-199.

Evans, W. E., & Bastian, J. (1969). Marine mammal communication: Social and ecological factors. In H. T. Anderson (Ed.). The biology of marine mammals (pp. 425-473). New York: Academic Press.

Evans. W. E., & Haugen, R. M. (1963). An experimental study of echolocation ability of a California sea lion, Zalophus californianus (Lesson). Bulletin of the Southern California Academv of Science. 63. 167-175.

Fleischer, G. ~1973). On structure and function of the

middle ear in the bottle nosed dolphin (Tursiops truncatus). Proceedings of the Ninth Annual Conference on Biological Sonar and Diving Mammals. 9. 137-180.

Fobes. J. L.. & Smock. C. C. (1981). Sensory capacities of marine mammals. Psychological Bulletin. 89. 288-307.

Fraser. F. c., & Purves. P. E. (1960). Hearing in cetaceans. Bulletin of the British Museum (Nall/ral History). 7. 1-140.

Gentry R. L. (1967). Underwater auditory localization in the California sea lion (Zalophus calif ornianus). The Journal of Auditory Research. 7. 187-193.

Gentry. R. L.. & Peterson. R. S. (1967). Underwater vision of the sea otter. Nature. 216. 435-436.

Griffin. D. R. (1983). Prospects for a cognitive ethology. In J. de Luce & H. T. Wilder (Eds.). Language in primates: Perspectives and implications. New York: Springer-Verlag.

Hall. J. D .• & Johnson, C. S. (1972). Auditory thresholds of a killer whale Orcinus orca Linnaeus. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 51. 515-517.

Harrison. R. J .• & Kooyman, G. L. (1968). General physiology of the pinnipedia. In R. J. Harrison. R. S. Hubbard. R. S. Peterson. C. E. Rice. & R. J. Schusterman (Eds.). The behavior and physiology of pinnipeds (pp. 211-296). New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts.

Herman. L. M .. & Arbeit. W. R. (1972). Frequency difference limens in the bottlenose dolphin: 170 kHz. Journal of Auditory Research. 12. 109-120.

Herman. L. M .• Peacock. M. F:. Yunker. M. P .• & Madsen. C. J. (1975). Bottlenosed dolphin: Double-split pupil yields equivalent aerial and underwater diurnal acuity. Science. 189. 650-652.

Jacobs. D. W .• & Hall. J. D. (1972). Auditory thresholds of a fresh water dolphin.lnia geoffrensis. Blainville. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 51. 531-533.

Jacobs. M. S .• Morgane. P. J .• & McFarland, W. L. (1971). The anatomy of the brain of the bottlenosed dolphin. Journal of Comparative Neurology. 141. 205-272.

Johnson. C. S. (1966). Auditory thresholds of the bottlenosed porpoise. Naval Ordinance Test Station Technical Report (NOTS TP 4178).

Johnson, C. S. (l968a). Relation between absolute threshold and duration-of-tone pulses in the bottlenosed porpoise. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 43. 757-763.

Johnson. C. S. (l968b). Masked tonal thresholds in the bottle nosed porpoise. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 44. 965-967.

Kellogg, W. N., & Kohler, R. (1952). Responses of the porpoise to ultrasonic frequencies. Science. 116. 446-450.

Kellogg, W. M., & Rice, C. (1963). Visual discrimination in a bottlenose dolphin. Psychological Record. 13. 483-498.

Kellogg, W. N., & Rice, C. (1966). Visual discrimination and problem solving in a bottlenose dolphin. In K. S. Norris (Ed.), Whales. dolphins and porpoises (pp. 731-754). Berkeley: University of California Press.

Komatsu, S., & Yamasaki, F. (1980). Fonnation of the pits with taste buds at the lingual root of the striped dolphin Stenella coeruleoalba. Journal of Morphology, 164, 107-119.

Kuznetsov, V. B. (1974). A method of studying chemoreception in the Black Sea bottlenose dolphin (Tursiops truncatus). In V. Ye Sokolov (Ed.), Morfologiya. jiziologia i akustika morskikh mlekopitayushchikh (pp. 147-153). Moscow: Nauka. (in Russian)

Kuznetsov, V. B. (1978). Chemical communication and capacity of the bottlenosed dolphin to transmit infonnation on a chemical stimulus. In V. Ye Sokolov (Ed.), Morkiye meklopitayushchiye: Rezul'taty imetody issledovanity (pp. 213-22\). Moscow: Nauka. (in Russian)

Kuznetsov, V. B. (1979). Chemoreception in dolphins of the Black Sea. Doklady Akadamii Nauk SSR.249(6). 1498-1500. (Translated by Plenum Publishing Corp., 1980)

Landau, D., & Dawson, W. W. (1970). The histology of retinas from the pinnipedia. Vision Research. 10. 691-702.

Levigne, D. M., Bernholz, C. D., & Ronald, K. (1977).

Functional aspects of pinniped vision. In R. J. Harri50n (Ed.), Fllnctional anatomy of marine mammals. Vol. 3 (pp. 135-173). London: Academic Press.

Lavigne, D. M., & Ronald, K. (1972). The harp seal, Pagophillls groenlandicus (Erxleben 1777). XXIII. Spectral sensitivity. Canadian Journal of Zoology. 50. 1197-1206.

Madsen. C. J. (1972, Sept.). Visual acuity in marine mammals. Paper presented at the American Psychological Association Meeting, Honolulu.

Madsen, C. J., & Hennan, L. M. (1980). Social and ecological correlates of cetacean vision and visual appearance. In L. M. Hennan (Ed.), Cetacean behavior: Mechanism andfunctiolls (pp. 101-147). New York: Wiley.

Mayer, R. E. (1983). thinking. problem solving. cognition. New York: W. H. Freeman.

McConnick, J. G .. Wever, E. G., Palin, J., & Ridgway, S. H. (1970). Sound conduction in the dolphin ear. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 48. 1418-1428.

M0hl, B. (1964). Preliminary studies on hearing in seals. Videllsk. Medd. Fra Dansk Naturh. Foren. Bd .. 127. 283-294.

M0hl, B. (1967). Frequency discrimination in the common seal and a discussion of the concept of upper hearing limit. In V. A. Albers (Ed.), Underwater acoustics. Volume 2. (pp. 43-54). New York: Plenum Press.

M0hl, B. (1968). Hearing in seals. In R. J. Harrison (Ed.), The behavior and physiology of pinllipeds (pp. 172-195). New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts.

Moore, P. W. (1975). Underwater localization of click and pulsed pure tone signals by the California sea lion. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 2. 406-410.

Moore, P. W., & Au, W. W. L. (1975). Underwater localization of pulsed pure tones by the California sea lion. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 58. 721-727.

Moore. P. W. B., & Schustennan, R. J. (1976). Discrimination of pure-tone intensities by the California sea lion. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 60, 1405-1407.

Nachtigall, P. E. (1969). Visual size discrimination in the East Asian clawless otter (Amblyonyx cinerea) in air and undenater. Proceedings of the Sixth Annual Conference on Biological Sonar and Diving Mammals. 6. 83-86.

Nachtigall, P. E. (1980). Odontocete echolocation perfonnance on object size, shape and material. In R.-G. Busnel & J. F. Fish (Eds.), Animal sonar systems (pp. 71-95). New York: Plenum Press.

Nachtigall, P. E., & Hall, R. W. Taste reception in the bottlenosed dolphin. Acta Zoologica Fennica, 172, 147-148.

Nagy, A. R., & Ronald, K. (1970). The harp seal, Pagophilus groenlalldicus (Erxleben, 1777). VI. Structure of the retina. Canadian Journal of Zoology, 48, 367-370.

Norris, K. S. (1964). Some problems of echolocation in cetaceans. In W. N. Tavolga (Ed.), Marine bioacoustics (pp. 317-336). New York: Pergamon Press.

Norris, K. S. (Ed.). (1966). (Whales, dolphins and porpoises.) Berkeley: University of California Press.

Norris, K. S. (1968). The evolution of acoustic mechanisms in odontocete cetaceans. In E. T. Drake (Ed.), Evolution and environment (pp. 297-324). New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

Penner, R. H., & Murchison, A. E. (1970). Experimentally demonstrated echolocation in the Amazon River porpoise, Inia geoffrensis (Blainville). Proceedings of the Seventh Annual Conference on Biological Sonar and Diving Mammals, 7, 17-35.

Pepper, R. L., Simmons, J. V., Beach, F. A. III, & Nachtigall, P. E. (1972). In air visual acuity of the bottlenose dolphin. Proceedings of the Ninth Annual Conference on Biological Sonar and Diving Mammals, 9, 83-89.

Perez. J. M., Dawson, W. W., & Landau, D. (1972). Retinal anatomy of the bottle nosed dolphin (Tursiops truncatus). Cetology, 11. I-II.

Peterson, R. S., & Bartholomew, G. A. (1967). The natural history and behavior of the California sea lion. Special Publication # I, The American Society of Mammalogists.

Pfaffman, C. (.1959). The sense of taste. In J. Field, H. W. Magoun, & V. E. Hall (Eds.), Handbook of physiology (pp. 507-553). Baltimore: Williams and Wilkins.

Popper, A. N. (1980). Sound emission and detection by delphinids. In L. M. Herman (Ed.), Cetacean behavior (pp. I-52). New York: Wiley.

Poulter, T. C. (1963). Sonar signals of the sea lion. Sciellce. 193. 753-755.

Poulter, T. C. (1966). The use of active sonar by the California sea lion. Journal of Auditory Research. 6. 165-173.

Poulter, T. C. (1969b). Sonar of penguins and fur seals. Proceedings of the California Academy of Science. 36. 363-380.

Purves, P. E. (1966). Anatomy and physiology of the outer and middle ear in cetaceans. In K. S. Norris (Ed.), Whales. dolphins and porpoises (pp. 320-376). Berkeley: University of Calfornia Press.

Renaud, D. L., & Popper, A. N. (1975). Sound localization by the bottlenose porpoise Tursiops truncatus. Journal of Experimental Biology. 63. 569-585.

Renouf. D., & Davis, M. B. (1983). Evidence that seals may use echolocation. Nature. 300. 635637.

Reppening, C. A. (1972). Underwater hearing in seals: Functional morphology. In R. J. Harrison (Ed.), Functional anatomy of marine mammals (pp. 307-331). New York: Academic Press.

Ridgway, S. H., & Joyce, P. L. (1975). Studies on seal brain by radiotelemetry. Rapp. P.v. Reun. Cons. into Explor. Mer .• 1969. 81-91.

Riggs, L. A. (1966). Visual Acuity. In C. H. Graham (Ed.), Vision and visual perception (pp. 321349). New York: Wiley.

Scheville, W. E. (1968). Sea lion echo ranging? Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 43, 1458-1459.

Schusterman, R. J. (1971). Visual acuity in pinnipeds. In H. E. Winn & B. L. Olla (Eds.). Behavior of marine animals. Vol. 2 (pp. 469-492). New York: Plenum Press.

Schusterman, R. J. (1966). Underwater click vocalizations by a California sea lion: Effects of visibility. Psychological Record. 16. 129-136.

Schusterman, R. J. (1968). Experimental laboratory studies of pinniped behavior. In R. J. Harrison (Ed.), The behavior and physiology of pinnipeds (pp. 87-171). New York: Appleton-CenturyCrofts.

Schustennan, R. J. (1974). Auditory sensitivity of a California sea lion to airborne sound. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 56. 1248-1250.

Schustennan, R. J .• Balliet. R. F., & Nixon, J. (1972). Underwater audiogram of the California sea lion by the conditioned vocalization technique. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior. 17. 339-350.

Schustennan. R. J .• Gentry, R .. & Schmook, J. (1967). Underwater sound production by captive California sea lions. Zalophus californianus. Zoologica. 52. 21-24.

Schustennan, R. J .• Kellogg, W. N., & Rice. C. E. (1965). Underwater visual discrimination by the California sea lion. Science. 147. 1594-1596.

Schustennan. R. J., & Moore. P. W. (1978). The upper limit of underwater auditory frequency discrimination in the California sea lion. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 63. 15911595.

Shaver, H. N., & Poulter. T. C. (1967). Sea lion echo ranging. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 42. 428-437.

Sokolov. V. Ye, & Kuznetsov, V. B. (1971). Chemoreception in the Black Sea bottlenose dolphin, Tursiops truncatus (Montague). Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR. 201. 998-1000.

Spong, P., & White. D. (1971). Visual acuity and discrimination learning in the dolphin (Lagenorhynchus obliquidens). Experimental Neurology. 31. 431-436.

Suchowskaja, L. I. (1972). The morphology of the taste organs in dolphins. In G. Pilleri (Ed.), Investigations on cetacea. IV (pp. 201-204). Brain Anatomy Institute. Berne.

Terhune. J. (1974). Directional hearing of a harbor seal in air and water. Journal of the Acoustical Society of

America. 56. 1862-1865.

Terhune. J. M., & Ronald. K. (1971). The harp seal, Pagophilus groenlandicus (Erxleben, 1777). X. The air audiogram. Canadian Journal of Zoology. 49. 385-390.

Terhune. J. M., & Ronald, K. (1972). The harp seal, Pagophilus groenlandicus (Erxleben. 1777). II. The underwater audiogram. Canadian Journal of Zoology. 50. 565-569.

Terhune, J. M., & Ronald. K. (1975). Underwater hearing sensitivity of the ringed seal (Pusa hispida). Canadian Journal of Zoology. 53. 227-231.

Thompson, R. K. R .• & Hennan, L. M. (1975). Underwater frequency discrimination in the bOil Ie nosed dolphin (I-140kHz) and human (I-8kHz). Journal of the Acoustical Societ), of America. 57. 943-947.

Walls. G. L. (1963). The vertebrate eye and its adaptive radiation. New York: Hafner.

Wartzok. D., Schustennan, R. J., & Gailey-Phipps, J. (1984). Seal echolocation? Nature. 308. 753.

Weaver, E. G., McConnick, J. G., Palin, J .• & Ridgway, S. H. (197Ia). Cochlea of the dolphin. Tursiops truncatus: The basilar membrane. Proceedings of the National Academy of Science. USA .. 68. 2708-2711.

Wever. E. G., McCormick. J. G., Palin. J .• & Ridgway, S. H. (I97Ib). The cochlea of the dolphin, Tursiops truncatus: Hair cells and ganglion cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Science. USA. 68. 2908-2912.

Wever. E. G., McConnick. 1. G., Palin, J., & Ridgway. S. H. (1972). Cochlear structure in the dolphin. Lagenorhynchus obliquidens. Proceedings of the National Academy of Science. USA. 69. 657-661.

White. D .• Cameron. N .• Spong. P .• & Bradford. J. (1971). Visual acuity of the killer whale (Orcinus orca). Experimental Neurology. 32. 230-236.

White, M. J .• Norris, J .• Ljungblad, D .• Baron, K., & di Sciara, G. (1978). Auditory thresholds of two beluga whales. Hubbs Sea World Technical Report 78-109. San Diego. CA.

Yablokov, A. V. (1961). Trudy soveshchaniy ikhtiologicheskoy komissii. (The "sense of smell" in marine mammals.) Akademii Nauk SSSR. 12. 87-93. (in Russian)

Yamasaki, F .• Komatsu. S., & Kamiya. T. (1978). Taste buds in the pits of the posterior dorsum of the tongue of Stenella coeruleoalba. Scientific Reports of Whales Research Institute. 30. 285290.

5 5. Dolphin Audition and Echolocation Capacities

Altes, R. A. (1973). A theory for animal echolocation and its application to ultrasonics. Ultrasonics Symposium Proceedings. IEEE Cat. No. 73 CHO 807-8 SU, 67-72.

Altes, R. A. (1980). Models for echolocation. In R.-G. Busnel & J. F. Fish (Eds.), Allimal sOllar systems (pp. 625-67\). New York: Plenum Press.

Altes, R. A., Evans, W. E., & Johnson, C. S. (1975). Cetacean echolocation signals and a new model for the human glottal pulse. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 57, 1221-1224.

Anderson, V. C. (1950). Sound scattering from a fluid sphere. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 22, 426-31.

Au, W. W. L. (1980). Echolocation signals of the Atlantic bottlenose dolphin (Tursiops truncatus) in open waters. In R.-G. Busnell & J. F. Fish (Eds.), Animal sonar systems (pp. 251-282). New York: Plenum Press.

Au, W. W. L., Floyd, R. W. & Haun, J. E. (1978). Propagation of Atlantic bottlenose dolphin echolocation signals. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 64, 411-422.

Au, W. W. L., Floyd, R. W., Penner, R. H., & Murchison, A. E. (1974). Measurement of echolocation signals of the Atlantic bottlenose dolphin, Tursiops truncatus Montagu, in open waters. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 56, 1280-1290.

Au, W. W. L., & Martin, D. W. (1983). Insights into dolphin sonar discrimination capabilities from broadband sonar discrimination experiments with human subjects. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America Suppl. I, 74, S73.

Au, W. W. L., & Moore, P. W. B. (1983). Critical ratios and bandwidths of the Atlantic bottlenose dolphin (Tursiops truncatus). Journal of the Acoustical Society of America Suppl. I, 74, S73.

Au, W. W. L., & Moore, P. W. B. (1984). Receiving beam patterns and directivity indices of the Atlantic bottlenose dolphin (Tursiops truncatus). Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 75, 255-262.

Au, W. W. L., & Penner, R. H. (1981). Target detection in noise by echolocating Atlantic bottlenose dolphins. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 70, 687-693.

Au, W. W. L., & Snyder, K. J. (1980). Long-range target detection in open waters by an echolocating Atlantic bottlenose dolphin (Tursiops Truncatus). Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 68, 1077-1084.

Au, W. W. L., & Turl, C. W. (1983). Target detection in reverberation by an echolocating Atlantic bottlenose dolphin (Tursiops truncatus). Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 73, 16761681.

Banner, A. (1968). Measurements of the particle velocity and pressure of the ambient noise in a shallow bay. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 44, 1741-1742.

Bel'kovich, V. M., & Dubrovskiy, N. A. (1976). Sensory basis of cetacean orientation. Izdaniya Nauka, Leningrad. Joint Publications Research Service 7157, May 1977.

Bullock. T. H., Grinnel, A. D .• Ikezona. E .. Kameda. K .. Katsuke. Y .. Nomoto. M .. Sato. 0 .• Suga, N .• & Yanogisawa, K. (1968). Electrophysiological studies of central auditory mechanism in cetaceans. ZeitschriJt fur Vergleichende Physiologie. 59. 117-156.

Bullock. T. H .• & Gurevich. V. W. (1979). Soviet literature on the nervous system and psychobiology of cetacea. International Review of Neurobiology. 21. 47-127.

Butler. R. A. (1969). Monaural and binaural localization of noise bursts vertically in the median sagital plane. Journal of Auditory Research. 3. 23-25.

Chivers, R. c.. & Parry, R. J. (1978). Ultrasonic velocity and attenuation in mammalian tissues. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 63. 940-953.

Dubrovskiy. N. A .• & Zaslavskiy. G. L. (1975). Skull bone. dolphin sounding pulses. dolphin echolocation. Jzdaniya Nauka. Leningrad. Joint Publications Research Service 65777. Sept. 1979.

Egan. J. P .• & Hoke. H. W. (1950). On the masking pattern of a simple auditory stimulus. Journal of the Acoustical
Society of America. 22. 622-630.

Erulkar, S. D. (1972). Comparative aspects of spatial localization of sound. Physiological Reviews. 52. 237-260.

Evans. W. E. (1973). Echolocation by marine delphinids and one species of freshwater dolphin. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 54. 191-199.

Evans. W. E .• & Maderson. P. F. A. (1973). Mechanism of sound production in delphinid cetaceans: A review and some anatomical considerations. American Zoologist. 13. 1205-1213.

Evans. W. E .. & Powell. B. A. (1967). Discrimination of different metallic plates by an echolocating delphinid. In R.-G. Busnel (Ed.). Animal sonar systems: Biology and bionics (pp. 363-382). Laboratoire de Physiologie Acoustique. Jouy-en-Josas 78. France.

Evans. W. E .• Sutherland, W. W .• & Bei!. R. G. (1964). The directional characteristics of delphinid sounds. In W. N. Tavolga (Ed.). Marine bio-acoustics (pp. 353-372). New York: Pergamon press.

Fish, J. F., Johnson, D. S., Ljungblad, D. K. (1976), Sonar target discrimination by instrumented human divers. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 59. 602-606.

Fletcher, H. (1940). Auditory patterns. Reviews of Modern Physiology. 12. 47-6S.

Floyd, R. W. (1980). Models of cetacean signal processing. In R.-G. Busnel & 1. F. Fish (Eds.), Animal sonar systems (pp. 6IS-623). New York: Plenum Press.

Fraser, F. C., & Purves, P. E. (l9S4). Hearing in cetaceans. Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History), Zoology, 2, 103-1\6.

French, N. R., & Steinberg. J. C. (1947). Factors governing the intelligibility of speech sounds. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 19. 90-119.

Folds, D. L. (1973). Focusing properties of solid ultrasonic cylinderical lenses. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 53. 826-834.

Green, D. M. (1973). Temporal acuity as a function of frequency. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 54, 373-379.

Green, R. F., Ridgway, S. H., & Evans, W. E. (1980). Functional and descriptive anatomy of the bottlenose dolphin nasolaryngeal system with special reference to the musculature associated with sound production. In R.-G. Busnel & J. F. Fish (Eds.), Animal sonar systems (pp. 199-238). New York: Plenum Press.

Hammer, C. E., Jr., & Au. W. W. L. (1980). Porpoise echo-recognition: An analysis of controlling target characteristics. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 68. 128S-1293.

Harris, G. G. (1964). Considerations on the physics of sound production by fishes. In W. N. Tavolga (Ed.), Marine Bioacoustics (pp. 233-247). New York: Pergamon Press.

Hawkens, J. E., & Stevens, S. S. (l9S0). The masking of pure tones and speech by white noise. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 22. 6-13.

Hueler, T. F., & Bolt, R. H. (1955). Sonics. New York: Wiley.

Johnson. C. S. (1967). Sound detection thresholds in marine mammals. In W. N. Tavolga (Ed.). Marine bioacoustics (pp. 247-268). New York: Pergamon Press.

Johnson, C. S. (I 968a). Masked tonal thresholds in the bottlenose porpoise. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 44. 965-967.

Johnson, C. S. (I 968b). Relationship between absolute threshold and duration-of-tone in the bottlenose porpoise. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 43. 757-763.

Johnson. C. S. (1971). Auditory masking of one pure tone by another in the bottlenose porpoise. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 49. 1317-1318.

Johnson. C. S. (1980). Important areas for future cetacean auditory study. In R.-G. Busnel & J. F. Fish (Eds.), Animal sonar systems (pp. SI5-S18). New York: Plenum Press.

Johnson, R. A. (1980). Energy spectrum analysis in echolocation. In R.-G. Busnel & J. F. Fish (Eds.), Animal sonar systems (pp. 673-693). New York: Plenum Press.

Litchfield, c., Karol, R., Mullen, M. E., Dilger, J. P., &

Luthi, 8.(1979). Physical factors influencing refraction of the echolocative sound beam in delphinid cetaceans. Marine Biology. 52. 285-290.

Littler, T. S. (1965). The physics of the ear. New York: Pergamon Press.

Ljungbald, D. K., Scoggins, P. D .. & Gilmartin, W. G. (1982). Auditory thresholds of captive eastern Pacific bottle nosed dolphins, Tursiops spp. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 72, 1726-1730.

Martin, D. W., & Au, W. W. L. (1983). Auditory detection of broadband sonar echoes from a sphere in white noise. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 73. S91.

McCormick. J. G., Wever. E. G., Palin, J., & Ridgway, S. H. (1970). Sound conduction in the dolphin ear. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 48. 1418-1428.

McConnick, J. G., Wever, E. G., Ridgway, S. H .. & Palin, J. (1980). Sound reception in the porpoise as it relates to ecolocation. In R.-G. Busnel & J. F. Fish (Eds.), Animal SOllar systems (pp. 449-467). New York: Plenum Press.

Mills, A. W. (1958). On the minimum audible angle. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 30, 237-246.

Mills, A. W. (1972). Auditory localization. In J. S. Tobias (Ed.), Foundations of modern auditory theory Vol. II. (pp. 303-348). New York: Academic Press.

Moore, P. W. B., & Au, W. W. L. (1982). Masked pure-tone thresholds of the bottlenosed dolphin (Tursiops truncatus). Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 72, S42.

Morgane, P. J., & Jacobs, N. S. (1972). Comparative anatomy of the cetacean nervous system. In R. J. Harrison (Ed.), Functional anatomy of marine animals (pp. 117-244). New York: Academic Press.

Morozov. V. P .. Akopian. A. I.. Burdin. V. I.. Donskov, A. A .• Zaitseva, K. A., & Sokovykh, Yu. A. (1971). Audiogram of the dolphin (Tursiops truncatus). Sechenov Phusiological Journal of the USSR, 57. 843-848. (in Russian)

Munson, W. A., & Gardner. M. B. (1950). Loudness patterns-A new approach. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 22, 179-192.

Murchison, A. E. (1980). Detection range and range resolution of echolocating bottlenose porpoise (Tursiops truncatus). In R.-G. Busnel & J. F. Fish (Eds.), Animal sonar systems (pp. 43-70). New York: Plenum Press.

Nagel, E. L., Morgane, P. J., & McFarland. W. L. (1964). Anesthesia for the bottlenose dolphin, (Tursiops truncatus). Sciellce, 146. 1591-1593.

Nordmark, J. (1960). Perception of distance in animal echolocation. Nature (Lond.), 188, 10091010.

Norris, K. S. (1964). Some problems of echolocation in cetaceans. In W. N. Tavolga (Ed.), Marine bioacoustic \degree (pp. 317-336). New York: Pergamon Press.

Norris, K. S. (1968). The evolution of acoustic mechanisms in odontocete. In Drake (Ed.), Evolution and environment. New Haven. CT: Yale University Press.

Norris, K. S. (1980). Peripheral sound processing in odontocetes. In R.-G. Busnel & J. F. Fish (Eds.), Animal sonar systems (pp. 495-509). New York: Plenum Press.

Norris, K. S., & Harvey, G. W. (1974). Sound transmission in the porpoise head. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 56. 659-663.

Officer, C. B. (1958). Introductioll to the theory of sound trallsmission, with application to the ocean. New York: McGraw-Hili.

Parnell, J. E. (1963). Estimates of auditory frequency response limits as a functioll of mammalian ossicular density. Lockheed California Company Report No. 17080.

Penner, R. H., & Kadane, J. (1980). Biosonar interpulse interval as an indicator of attending distance in Tursiops truncatus. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 68. S97.

Popper, A. N. (1980). Behavioral measures of odontocete hearing. In R.-G. Busnel & J. F. Fish (Eds.), Animal sonar systems (pp. 469-481). New York: Plenum Press.

Renaud, D. L., & Popper, A. N. (1975). Sound localization by the bottlenose porpoise (Tursiops truncatus). Journal of Experimental Biology, 63. 569-585.

Reyesenback de Haan, F. W. (1957). Hearing in whales. Acta Oto-laryngolica. 134 (suppl.) 1-114.

Rice, C. E., Schubert, E. D .• & West, R. A. (1969). An auditory sensitivity at high frequency. Psychological Record. 19. 611-615.

Ridgway, S. H. (1983). Dolphin hearing and sound production in health and illness. In R. R. Fay & G. Gourevitch (Eds.), Perspectives on modern auditory research: Papers in Honor of E. G. Wever. Groton, CT: Amphora Press.

Romanenko, Y. V. (1973). Investigating generation of echolocation pulses in dolphins. Zoologiya Zhurnal. 22. 1698. Joint Publications Research Service 61553.

Schnitzler, H.-U., & Henson, O. W., (1980). Performance of airborne animal sonar systems. I. Microchiroptera. In R.-G. Busnel & J. F. Fish (Eds.). Animal sOllar systems (pp. 109-195). New York: Plenum Press.

Sharf, B. (1970). Critical bands. In J. V. Tobias (Ed.), Foundations of modern auditory theory. New York: Academic Press.

Shower, E. G., & Biddulph, R. (1931). Differential pitch sensitivity of the ear. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 3, 275-287.

Thompson. R. K. R .• & Hennan, L. M. (1975). Underwater frequency discrimination in the bottle nosed dolphin (1-140 kHz) and the human (1-8 kHz). Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 57, 943-948.

Urick. R. J. (1967). Principles of underwater soulld for engineers. New York: McGraw-HilI.

von Bekesy, G. (1957, August). The ear. Scientific American. pp. 66-77.

von Bekesy, G. (1960). Experiments in hearing. Translated and edited by E. G. Wever. New York: McGraw-Hili.

Wegel, R. L., & Lane, C. E. (1924). The auditory masking of one pure tone by another and its probable relation to the dynamics of the inner ear. Physiological Reviews. 23. 266-285.

Wever. E. G., Palin. J. G., & Ridgway, S. H. (1971). The

cochlea of the dolphin (Tursiops truncatus). Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciellces. 68. 2381.

Wood, F. G. (1964). Discussion. In W. N. Tavolga (Ed.). Marine bioacoustics (pp. 395-396). New York: Pergamon Press.

Zwicker. E. (1961). Subdivision of the audible frequency range into critical bands (Frequellzyruppell). Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 33. 248.

Zwicker, E., Flottorp, G., & Stevens, S. S. (1957). Critical bandwidth in loudness summation. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 29. 548-557.

6 6. The Perceptual Worlds of Dolphins

Ainsworth, M. D. S., Blehar, M. c., Waters, E., & Wall, S. (1978) Patterns of attachment: A psychological study of the strange situation. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Bartlett, F. C. (1932). Remembering. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.

Benson, D. F. (1979). Aphasia. alexia. and agraphia. New York. Edinburgh, and London: Churchill Livingstone Inc.

Bullier, J. (1983). Les cartes du cerveau. La Recherche. l4(No. 148), 1202-1214.

Bullock, T. H. (1982). Electroreception. Annual Review of Neuroscience. 5. 121-170.

Bullock, T. H .• & Gurevich. V. S. (1979). Soviet literature on the nervous system and psychobiology of cetaceans. International Review of Neurobiology. 21. 47-127.

Bullock, T. H., Orklund, R .• & Grinell, A. (1977). Introduction to nervous systems. San Francisco: W. H. Freeman.

Busnel, R.-G., & Fish, J. F. (Eds.). (1980). Animal sonar systems. New York: Plenum Press.

Carterette, E. c., & Friedman, M. P. (1974). Handbook of perception, Volume 2: Psychophysical judgment and measurement. New York: Academic Press.

Conner. R. c., & Norris. K. S. (1982). Are dolphins reciprocal altruists? American Naturalist, 119. 358-374.

Craik, K. J. W. (1943). The nature of explanation. London and New York: Cambridge University Press (reprinted in 1967, with postscript).

Dewdney, A. K. (1984). Computer recreations. Scientific American. 25/(3), 22-34.

Diamond, I. T. (1979). The subdivisions of the neocortex: A proposal to revise the traditional view of sensory, motor, and association areas. Progress in Psychobiology and Physiological Psychology. 8, 1-43.

Ebbesson, S. O. E. (Ed.). (1980). Comparative neurology of the telecephalon. New York: Plenum.

Eccles, J. C. (1973). The cerebellum as a computer: Patterns in space and time. Journal of Physiology. 229. 1-32.

Eimas, P. D. (1985). The perception of speech in early infancy. Scientific American. 252(1),46-58.

Eisenberg, J. F. (1981). The mammalian radiations. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Eisenberg, J. F .• & Kleiman, D. (1972). Olfactory communication in mammals. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, 3. 1-31.

Erulkar, S. C. (1972). Comparative aspects of spatial localization of sound. Physiological Review. 52. 237-360.

Fenton, M. B. (1980). Adaptiveness and ecology of echolocation in terrestrial (aerial) systems. In R.-G. Busnel & J. F. Fish, (Eds.), Animal sonar systems (pp. 427-446). New York: PI unum Press.

Fraenkel, G. S., & Gunn, D. L. (1940). The orientation of animals: Kineses, taxes and compass reactions. Oxford: The Clarendon Press. (Reprinted. New York: Dover Books, 196\)

Gallup, G. G., Jr. (1979). Self-awareness in primates. American Scientist, 67, 417-421.

GOUld, J. L. (1980). Sun compensation by bees. Science, 207, 545-547.

Green, D. M., & Swets. J. S. (1966). Signal detection theory and psychophysics. New York: Wiley.

Griffin. D. R. (1976). The question of animal awareness. New York: Rockefeller University Press.

Heffner. H. E .• & Heffner, R. S. (1984). Temporal lobe lesions and perception of species-typical vocalizations by macaques. Science, 226, 75-76.

Herman, L. M. (1980). Cognitive characteristics of dolphins. In L. M. Herman (Ed.), Cetacean behavior: Mechanisms and functions (pp. 363-429). New York: Wiley. Herman, L. M., Richards, D. G .• & Wolz, J. P. (\984). Comprehension of sentences by bottlenosed dolphins. Cognition, 16, 129-219.

Humphrey. N. K. (1978). Nature's psychologists. New Scientist, 78, 900-903.

Humphrey, N. K. (1983). Consciousness regained. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Jacobs. M. S., McFarland, W. L.. & Morgane, P. J. (1979). The anatomy of the brain of the bottlenose dolphin (Tursiops truncatus). Rhinic lobe (rhinencephalon): The archicortex. Brain Research Bulletin, 4(Suppl.l). 1-108.

Jerison, H. J. (1973). Evolution of the brain and intelligence. New York: Academic Press.

Jerison. H. 1. (1982). The evolution of biological intelligence. In R.I. Sternberg (Ed.). Handbook of human intelligence (pp. 723-791). New York & London: Cambridge University Press.

Jerison. H. 1. (1983). The evolution of the mammalian brain as an information processing system. In 1. F. Eisenberg & D. G. Kleiman (Ed.), Advances in the study of mammalian behavior (pp. 113146). Special Publication No.7, American Society of Mammalogists.

Jerison. H. J. (1985a). Animal intelligence as encephalization. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society (London). B308, 21-35.

Jerison, H. J. (l985b). Issues in brain evolution. Oxford Surveys in Evolutionary Biology, 2, (\02134).

Jones, E. G .• & Powell. T. P. S. (1970). An anatomical study of converging sensory pathways within the cerebral cortex of the monkey. Brain, 93, 793-820.

Kant, l. (1934). Critique of pure reason (2nd ed.). (J. M. D. Meiklejohn, Trans., with an Introduction by A. D. Lindsay). London: J. M. Dent & Sons Ltd .• Everyman's Library. (Original work published 1787)

Koffka, K. (1935). Principles of Gestalt psychology. New York: Harcourt.

Kosslyn, S. M. (1980). Image and mind. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Liberman. A. M. (\974). The specialization of the language hemisphere. In F. O. Schmitt & F. G. Warden (Eds.), The neurosciences: Third study program (pp. 43-56). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Liberman, A. M., Cooper, F. S., Shankweiler, D. P., & Studdert-Kennedy. M. (1967). Perception of the speech code. Psychological Review, 74,431-461.

Lilly, J. C. (1975). Lilly on dolphins. Garden City, NY: Doubleday/Anchor Press.

Lorenz, K. (1977). Behind the mirror: A search for a natural history of human knowledge (R. Taylor, Trans.). New York and London: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich. (Original work published 1973)

Marcel. A. 1. (l983a). Conscious and unconscious perception: Experiments on visual masking and word recognition. Cognitive Psychology, 15, 197-237.

Marcel, A. J. (l983b). Conscious and unconscious perception: An approach to the relations between phenomenal experience and perceptual processes. Cognitive Psychology, 15, 238-300.

Marler. P .• & Hamilton, W. J. Ill. (1966). Mechanisms of animal behavior. New York: Wiley.

Marr, D. (1980). Visual information processing: the structure and creation of visual representations. Philosophical Transaction of the Royal Society (London), B290, 199-218.

Masterton, R. B., Heffner. H. E., & Ravizza, R. J. (1969). The evolution of human hearing. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 45, 966-985.

Merzenich, M. M., & Kaas, 1. H. (1980). Principles of organization of sensory-perceptual systems in mammals. Progress in Psychobiology and Physiological Psychology. 9. 1-42.

Mountcastle, V. B. (1978). An organizing principle for cerebral function: The unit module and the distributed system. In G. M. Edelman & V. B. Mountcastle (Eds.), The mindful brain (pp. 750). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Oakely, D. A. (1983). The varieties of memory: A

phylogenetic approach. In A. Mayes (Ed.), Memory in animals and humans (pp. 20-81). Wokingham, England: Van Nostrand Reinhold.

Pavio, A. (1978). The relationship between verbal and perceptual codes. In E. C. Carterette & M. P. Friedman (Eds.), Handbook of perception (Vol. 8., pp. 375-397). New York: Academic Press.

Pirlot, P., & Stephan, H. (1970). Encephalization in Chiroptera. Canadian Journal of Zoology. 48. 433-444.

Premack, D., & Woodruff, G. (1978). Does the chimpanzee have a theory of mind? Behavioral alld Brain Sciences. 4. 515-526.

Sapir, E. (1949). Selected writings of Edward Sapir in language. culture and personality. (D. G. Mandelbaum, Ed.) Berkeley: University of California Press.

Schilder, P. (1950). The image and appearance of the human body. New York: International Universities Press.

Schnitzler, H-U., & Ostwald, 1. (1983). Adaptations for the detection of fluttering insects by echolocation in horseshoe bats. In I.-P. Ewert. R. R. Capranica, & D. J. Ingle (Eds.), Ad~'ances in vertebrate neuroethology (pp. 801-827). New York and London: Plenum Press.

Schusterman"R. J. (1980). Behavioral methodology in echolocation by marine animals. In R.-G. Busnel & J. F. Fish (Eds.), Animal sonar systems (pp. 11-41). New York: Plenum Press.

Simon, H. A. (1974). How big is a chunk? Science. 183. 482-488.

Suga, N .• Niwa, H., & Taniguchi, I. (1983). Representation of biosonar information in the auditory cortex of the mustached bat. with emphasis on representation of target velocity information. In J.P. Ewert, R. R. Capranica, & 0.1. Ingle (Eds.), Advances ill vertebrate neuroethology (pp. 829867). New York and London: Plenum Press.

von Frisch. K. (1950). Bees: Their chemical senses. vision. and language. Ithaca. NY: Cornell University Press.

Von Neumann, 1. (1958). The computer and the brain. New Haven: Yale University Press.

von Uexkiill, 1. (1920). Theoretical biology. New York: Harcourt Brace.

von Uexkiill. J. (1957). A stroll through the worlds of animals and men. In C. H. Schiller (Ed. and Trans.), Instinctive behavior: The development of a modern concept (pp. 5-80). New York: International Universities Press. (Original work titled "Streifziige durch die Umwelten von Teiren und Menschen," published 1934)

Vygotsky, L. S. (1962). Language and thought. (E. Hanfmann & G. Vakar, Trans.). Cambridge. MA: MIT Press. (Original work published 1934)

Walker, S. (1983). Animal thought. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul.

Welker. W. I. (Ed.). (1976). Neocortical mapping studies. Brain. Beha~'ior and Evolution. 13 (Whole No.4), 241-343.

Whorf, B. L. (1956). Language, thought and reality (edited with an introduction by B. 1. Carroll). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Wood, F. G. (1953). Underwater sound production and concurrent behavior of captive porpoises, Tursiops truncatus and Stenella pagiodon. Bulletin of Marine Science of the Gulf and Caribbean. 3, 120-133.

Wood, F. G., & Evans, W. E. (1980). Adaptiveness and ecology of echolocation in toothed whales. In R.-G. Busnel & 1. F. Fish (Eds.), Animal sonar systems (pp. 381-425). New York: Plenum Press.

7 7. How Can You Tell if an Animal is Intelligent?

Blurton Jones, N. G .• & Konner, M. J. (1976). !Kung knowledge of animal behavior (or: The Proper Study of Mankind is Animals). In R. B. Lee & J. DeVore (Eds.), Kalahari huntergatherers (pp. 325-348). Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Cole, M., Gay, J., Glick, J. A., & Sharp, D. W. (1971). The cultural context of learning and thinking. New York: Basic Books.

Cole, M., & Scribner, S. (1974). Culture and thought. New York: Wiley.

Demarest, J. (1983). The ideas of change, progress, and continuity in the comparative psychology of learning. In D. W. Rajecki (Ed.), Comparing behal'ior: Studying man studying animals (pp. 143-180). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Dewsbury, D. A. (1984). Comparative psychology in the twemieth cemur),. Stroudsburg, PA: Hutchinson Ross.

Diogenes Laertius. (1970). Lives of Emil/em Philosophers. Vol. 2. (Trans. By R. D. Hicks.) Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Epstein, R., Lanza, R. P., & Skinner, B. F. (1981). "Self-awareness" in the pigeon. Science, 212, 695-696.

Epstein, R., Kirshnit, C. E., Lanza, R. P., & Rubin, L. C. (1984). "Insight" in the pigeon: Antecedents and determinants of an intelligent performance. Nature, 308. 61-62.

Fantz, R. L. (1958). Visual discrimination in a neonate chimpanzee. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 8, 59-66.

Fantz, R. L. (1964). Visual experience in infants: Decreased attention to familiar patterns relative to novel ones. Science, 146, 668-670.

fobes, J. L.,"& King, J. E. (Eds.). (1982). Primate behavior. New York: Academic Press.

Gallup, G. G. (1977). Self-recognition in primates: A comparative approach to the bidirectional properties of consciousness. American Psychologist, 32, 329-338.

Gardner, B. T., & Gardner, R. A. (1971). Two-way communication with an infant chimpanzee. In A. M. Schrier & F. Stollnitz (Eds.), Behavior of nonhuman primates, Vol. 4 (pp. 117-184). New York: Academic Press.

Gibson, J. J. (1979). The ecological approach to visual perception. Boston: Houghton-Mifflin.

Gould, S. J. (1981). The mismeasure of man. New York: W. W. Norton.

Green, S., & Marler, P. (1979). The analysis of animal communication. In P. Marler & J. G. Vandenbergh (Eds.), Handbook of behavioral neurobiology, Vol. 3 (pp. 73-158). New York: Plenum.

Harlow, H. F. (1951). Primate learning. In C. P. Stone (Ed.), Comparatil'e psvchology (3d ed.). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Harlow, H. F., & Mears, C. (1979). The human model: Primate perspectives. New York: Wiley.

Hediger, H. (1968). The psychology and behavior of animals in zoos and circuses. New York: Dover.

Hodos, W. (1982). Some perspectives on the evolution of intelligence and the brain. In D. R. Griffin (Ed.), Animal mind-human mind (pp. 33-56). Berlin: Dahlem Konferenzen Springer Verlag.

Hodos, W., & Campbell, C. B. G. (1969). Scala naturae: Why there is no theory in comparative psychology. Psychological Review, 76, 337-350.

Jerison, H. J. (1982). The evolution of biological intelligence. In R. J. Sternberg (Ed.), Handbook of human imelligence (pp. 723-791). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Kamil, A. C., & Sargent, T. (Eds.). (1981). Foraging behavior: Ecological. ethological and psychological approaches. New York: Garland Press.

Kohler, W. (1925). The mentality of apes (trans. E. Wimers). New York: Harcourt Brace.

Kohler, W. (1929). Gestalt psychology. New York: Liveright.

Kummer, H. (1982). Social knowledge in free-ranging primates. In D. R. Griffin (Ed.), Animal mind-human mind (pp. 113-130). Berlin: Dahlem Konferenzen Springer Verlag.

Lewontin, R. C. (1983). Darwin's real revolution. New York Review of Books, 30(10), 21-27.

Lorenz, K. Z. (1971). Studies in animal and human behavior, Vol. 2. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Lumsden, C. J., & Wilson, E. O. (1981). Genes, mind, and culture. Cambridge. MA: Harvard University Press.

MacPhail. E. (1985). Vertebrate intelligence: the null hypothesis. Philosophical Transactions ()(the Royal Society of London. B308. 37-51.

Mason. W. A. (1982). Primate social intelligence: Contributions from the laboratory. In D. R. Griffin (Ed.). Animal mind-human mind (pp. 131-144). Berlin: Dahlem Konferenzen Springer Verlag.

Maynard Smith. J. (1984). Game theory and the evolution of behavior. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 7,95-125.

Mayr. E. (1982). On the growth of biological thought. Cambridge. MA: Harvard University Press.

Menzel, E. W. (1979). General discussion of the methodological problems involved in the study of social interaction. In M. E. Lamb. S. J. Suomi. & G. R. Stephenson (Eds.). Social imeractioll analysis: Methodological issues (pp. 291-310). Madison: University of Wisconsin Press.

Menzel. E. W .• & Johnson. M. K. (1976). Communication and cognitive organization in humans and other animals. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 280. 131-142.

Milton. K. (1981). Distribution patterns of tropical plant foods as an evolutionary stimulus to primate mental development. American Amhropologist, 83, 534-548.

Popper. K. R. (1972). Objective knowledge. London: Oxford University Press.

Sahlins, M. (1976). The use and abuse of biology. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.

Schrier. A. M., Stolinitz. F., & Harlow, H. F. (Eds.). (1965). Behavior of nonhuman primates (2 vols). New ,York: Academic Press.

Sibly. R .. & McFarland. D. (1976). On the fitness of behavior sequences. American Naturalist, liD, 601-617.

Simpson. G. G. (l96\). Principles of animal taxonomy. New York: Columbia University Press.

Siobodkin. L. B. (1976). The peculiar evolutionary strategy of man. Transactions of tire Boston Colloquium of Philosophy of Science.

Siobodkin L. B .• & Rapoport. A. (1974). An optimal strategy of evolution. Quarterly Review of Biology, 49, 181-200.

Smith. C. U. M. (1978). Charles Darwin. the origin of consciousness. and panpsychism. Journal of the history of biology. II. 245-267.

Walter. W. G. (1961). The living brain. Harmondsworth. Middlesex: Penguin Books.

Yerkes, R. M. (1943). Chimpanzees: A laboratory colony. New Haven: Yale University Press.

8 8. Describing Intelligence

Anderson, 1. R. (1983). The architecture of cognition. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Anderson, J. A. (1977). Neural models with cognitive implications. In D. La Berge, D., & S. Samuels (Eds.), Basic Processes in Reading: Perception and Comprehension Hillsdale, Nl: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Berry, J. (1981). Cultural systems and cognitive sytles. In M. P. Friedman. J. P. Das, & N. O'Connor (Eds.), Intelligence and learning. New York: Plenum Press.

Binet, A., & Simon, T. (1905). The development of intelligence in children. L'annee Psychologique. 12. 163-244.

Boring, E. C. (1923). Intelligence as the tests test it. The New Republic. June 6,35-37.

Carroll, I. B. (1982). The measurement of intelligence. In R. I. Sternberg (Ed.), Handbook of human intelligence. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Coltheart, M. (1984, July). Cognitive neuropsychology. Paper read at Attention and Performance Conference, Eugene, OR.

Conrad, R. (1963). Acoustic confusions and memory span for words. Nature. 197. 1029-1030.

Cooper, L., & Shepard, R. (1973). Chronometric studies of the rotation of mental images. In W. Chase (Ed.), Visual information processing. New York: Academic Press.

Cover, T., & Hart, P. (1967). Nearest neighbor pattern classification. IEEE Transactions on l'!formation Theory. IT-3. 21-27.

Fodor, 1. (1983). Modularity of the mind. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Garcia, J. (1974). In Hankins, W. G., & Rusiniak. K. W. "Behavioral regulation of the mileu interne in rat and man." Science. J 85. 824-831.

Griffin, D. R. "The question of animal awareness." (1976) Rockefeller University Press.

Grossberg, S. B. (1980). How does the brain build a cognitive code? Psychology Review 87. I-51.

Henley, N. (1969). A psychological study of the semantics of animal tears. Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior. 8. 176-184.

Hennan, L. M .. Richards, D. G., & Wolz, J. P. (1984). Comprehension of sentences by bottlenosed dolphins. Cognition, 16(2), 129-219.

Hennan, L. M. (1980). Cognitive characteristics of dolphins. In L. M. Herman (Ed.), Cetacean behavior: Mechanisms and functions New York: Wiley.

Hinton, G. E., & Anderson, J. A. (1981). Parallel models of associative memory. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Jerison, H. J. (1973). Evolution of the brain and intelligence. New York: Academic Press.

Johnson-Laird, P. N. (1980). Mental models in cognitive science. Cognitive Science, 4,71-114.

Kohler, W. (1959). The mentality of apes. New York: Vintage Books. Translated from the second revised edition by Ella Winter.

Kosslyn, S. L. (1980). Images and mind. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Krumhansl, C. L. (1979). The psychological representation of musical pitch in a tonal context. Cognitive Psychology, I I, 346-374.

Lansman, M., & Hunt, E. B. (1982). Individual differences in secondary task performance. Memory & Cognition. /0, I, 10-24.

Laboratory of Comparative Human Cognition.(l982). Culture and intelligence. In R. J. Sternberg (Ed.), Handbook of human intelligence. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Lawrence, D. H., & DeRivera, J. (1954). Evidence for relational transposition. J. Compo Physiol. Psychol., 47, 465-471.

McClelland, J. L. (1979). On the time relations of mental processes: An examination of systems of processes in

cascade. Psychol. Rev., 80, 287-330.

Minsky, M., & Paper!, S. (1969). Perceptions. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Neisser, C. (1979). The concept of intelligence. In R. 1. Sternberg & D. Dettennan (Eds.), Human intelligence. Norwood NJ: Ablex.

Plyshyn, Z. (1984). Computation and cognition. Cambridge. MA: Branford Press.

Risteau, C. A., & Robbins, P. (1982). Language in the great apes: A critical review. In J. S. Rosenblatt, P. A. Hinge, C. Beer, & M. C. Busnel (Eds.), Advances in the sllldy of behavior (Vo\. 12). New York: Academic Press.

Rumbaugh, D. G., & Pate, J. L. (1984). The evolution of cognition in primates: A comparative perspective. In H. L. Roitblat, T. G. Bever, & H. S. Terrace (Eds.), Animal Cognition. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Sands, S. F., Lincoln, C. E., & Wright, A. A. (1982). Pictorial similarity judgments and the organization of visual memory in the rhesus monkey. JEP: General. Vol. I I I, No.4. 369-389.

Schiffman, S. S., Reynolds, M. L., & Young, F. W. (1981). Introduction to multidimensional Scaling: Theory, methods, and applications. New York: Academic Press.

Schustennan, R. J., & Krieger, K. (1984). California sea lions are capable of semantic comprehension. Psychological Record, 34, 3-23.

Skinner, B. F. (1966). The operant analysis of problem solving. In B. Kleinmuntz, (Ed.), Problem solving: Research, method, and theory. Norwood, NJ: Ablex.

Smith, E. E. & Medin, D. L. (l98\). Categories and concerns. Cambridge. MA: Harvard University Press.

Sternberg, R. J. (1977). Intelligence, information processing. and analogical reasoning. Hillsdale. NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Sternberg, R. J. (1984). Toward a triarchic theory of human intelligence. The behavioral and brain sciences. 7(2), 269-315.

9 9. Suggestions for Research on Ethological and Comparative Cognition

Barlow, H. B. (1983). Intelligence, guesswork. language. Nature. 304. 207-209.

Beck, B. B. (1980). Animal tool behal'ior. New York: Garland.

Bitterman. M. E. (1965). The evolution of intelligence. Sciellfific American. 212. 92-100.

Blough, D. S. (1983). Pigeon perception of letters of the alphabet. Science. 218. 397-398.

Bunge, M. (1980). The mind-body problem. Oxford: Pergamon.

Burghardt, G. M. (1984). On the origins of play. In P. K. Smith (Ed.). Play in animals and hllmans. Oxford, Basil Blackwell.

Chevalier-Skolnikoff. S. (1976). The ontogeny of primate intelligence and its implications for communicative potential: A preliminary report. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 280. 173-211.

Chevalier-Skolnikoff, S., Galdikas. B. M. F., & Skolnikoff, A. Z. (1982). The adaptive significance of higher intelligence in wild orangoutans: A preliminary report. JOllrnal of Human Evolution. 1I. 639-652.

Crook, J. H. (1983). On attributing consciousness to animals. Nature. 303. 11-14.

Darwin. C. (1882). Notes in G. 1. Romanes. Animal intelligellce. London: Kegan Paul Trench. International Scientific Series 41.

Dawkins, R. (1976). Hierarchical organisation: A candidate principle for ethology. In P. P. G. Bateson & R. A. Hinde (Eds.). Growing poillts in ethology (pp. 7-54). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Dawkins, M. S. (1980). Animal suffering. The science of animal welfare. New York: Chapman & Hall.

Delius, J. D., & Habers G. (1978). Symmetry: Can pigeons conceptualize it? Behal'ioral Biology. 22. 336-342.

Desmond, A. J. (1979). The ape's reflexion. London: Blond &

Briggs.

Epstein, R., Kirshnit. C. E., Lanza R. P., & Rubin L. C. (1984). "Insight" in the pigeon: Antecedents and determinants of an intelligent performance. Nature. 308. 61-62.

Fantino. E. J., & Logan, C. A. (1979). The experimental analysis o.fbehavior: a biological perspective. San Francisco: Freeman.

Fodor. J. A. (1983). Modularity of mind. Cambridge. MA: MIT Press.

Gibson. K. R. (1977). Brain structure and intelligence in macaques and human infants from a Piagetian perspective. In S. Chevalier-Skolnikoff & F. E. Poirier (Eds.). Primate bio-social development (pp. 113-158). New York: Garland.

Glickman. S .. & Stroges. R. W. (1966). Curiosity in zoo animals. Behaviour. 26, 151-188.

Griffin. D. R. (1976). The question of animal awareness. Evolutionary continuity of mental experience. New York: Rockefeller University Press.

Griffin. D. R. (1982). Animal mind, human mind. Berlin: Dahlem Konferenzen.

Griffin. D. R. (1984). Animal thinking. American Scientist. 72. 456-464.

Herman. L. M. (1980). Cognitive characteristics of dolphins. In L. M. Herman (Ed.). Cetacean behavior: Mechanisms and functions. New York: Wiley.

Hinde. R. A. (1970). Animal behaviour. 2nd edit. New York: McGraw-HilI.

Hinde. R. A. (1983). Ethology. New York: Oxford University Press.

Holland V. D .• & Delius J. D. (1982). Rotational in variance in visual pattern recognition by pigeons and humans. Science. 218, 804-806.

Hoyle. G. (1983). Review of The mind-body problem. by Mario Bunge. Journal of Neurobiology, 14, 337-338.

Hulse. S. H .• Fowler H .• & Honig. W. K. (1978).

Cognitive processes in animal behavior. Hillsdale. NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Humphrey. N. K. (1976). The social function of intellect. In P. P. G. Bateson & R. A. Hinde (Eds.). Gl'owing points in ethology (pp. 303-318). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Humphrey. N. K. (1983). Consciousness regained: Chapters in the development of mind. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Kalat. J. W. (1983). Evolutionary thinking in the history of the comparative psychology of learning. Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews. 7. 309-314.

Kitchell. R. L., Erickson. H. I.. Carstens. E .• & Davis. L. E. (1983). Animal pain. perception and alleviation. Bethesda. MD: American Physiological Society.

Marin. O. S. M .• Glenn C. G .• & Rafal R. D. (1983). Visual problem solving in the absence of lexical semantics: Evidence from dementia. Brain & Cognition. 2. 285-311.

Macphail. E. M. (1982). Brain and intelligence in vertebrates. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Moynihan. M .• & Rodaniche A. F. (1982). The behavior and natural history of the Caribbean reef squid Sepioteuthis sepioidea with a consideration of social. signal, and defensive patterns for difficult and dangerous environments. Joumal of Comparative Ethology. suppl. 25. 1-150.

Panksepp. J. (1982). Toward a psychobiological theory of emotions. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 5. 407-467.

Rajecki. D. W. (1983). Comparing behal'ior: Studying man studying animals. Hillsdale. NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Reynolds. P. C. (1981). On the evolution of human behavior: The argument from animals to man. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Roitblat. H. L.. Bever. T. G .• & Terrace H. S. (1984). Animal cognition Hillsdale. NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Romanes. G. J. (1883). Mental evolution in animals. With a posthumous essay on instinct by Charles Darwin (pp. 355-384). London: Kegan Paul Trench.

Rosch. E .• & Lloyd. B. B. (l978). Cognition and categorization. Hillsdale. NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Rozin. P. (1976). The evolution of intelligence and access to the cognitive unconscious. Progress in Psychobiology and Physiological Psychology. 6. 245-280.

Schmid. T. L.. & Hake D. F. (1983). Fast acquisition of cooperation and trust: A two-stage view of trusting behavior. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior. 40. 179-192.

Sebeok. T. A .• & Umiker-Sebeok. J. (1980). Speaking of apes. New York: Plenum.

Simpson, M. J. A. (1976). The study of animal play. In P. P. G. Bateson & R. A. Hinde (Eds.), Growing points in ethology (pp. 385-400). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Smith, P. K. (1984). Pia.\' in animals and humans. Oxford: Basil Blackwell.

Sternberg, R. 1. (1982). Handbook ofhwnan intelligence. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Waldrop. M. M. (1984). The necessity of knowledge. Science. 223. 1279-1282.

Walker. S. F. (1983). Animal thought. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.

Weingartner, H .. Grafman, J .• Boutelle, W .• Kaye W .. & Martin P. R. (1983). Forms of memory failure. Science. 221, 380-382.

Wilkie, D. M. (1983). Pigeon's spatial memory: III. Effect of distractors on delayed matching of key locations. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior. 40, 143-152.

10 10. Cognition and Language Competencies of Bottlenosed Dolphins

Beach, F. A .. & Hennan, L. M. (1972). Preliminary studies of auditory problem solving and intertask transfer by the bottlenosed dolphin. Psyclwlogical Reports. 22. 49-62.

Bolles, R. C. (1973). The comparative psychology of learning: The selective association principle and some problems with "general" laws of learning. In G. Bermant (Ed.), Perspectives on animal behavior. Glenview, IL: Scott-Foresman.

Chun, N. K. W. (1978). Aerial visual shape discrimination and matching-to-sample problem solving ability of an Atlantic bOlllenose dolphin. Report Number NOSC TR 236, Naval Ocean Systems Center, San Diego, CA.

Colombo, M., & D'Amato, M. R. (1985 March). Auditory matching-to-sample in monkeys. Paper presented at the meeting of the Eastern Psychological Association, Boston.

D'Amato, M. R. (1973). Delayed matching and short-tenn memory in monkeys. In G. H. Bower (Ed.), The psychology of learning and motivation: Advances in research and theory. New York: Academic.

D'Amato, M. R .. & Salmon, D. P. (1982). Tune discrimination in monkeys (Cebus apel/a) and in rats. Animal Learning and Behavior. 10, 126-134.

D'Amato, M. R., & Salmon, D. P. (l984a). Processing of complex auditory stimuli (tunes) by rats and monkeys (Cebus apel/a). Animal Learning and Behavior. 12, 184-194.

D' Amato, M. R .. & Salmon, D. P. (l984b). Cognitive processes in cebus monkeys. In H. L. Roitblat, T. G. Bever, & H. S. Terrace (Eds.), Animal cognition. Hillsdale. NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Davenport, R. K. (1977). Cross-modal perception: A basis for language? In D. M. Rumbaugh (Ed.), Language learning by a chimpanzee: The Lana Project. New York: Academic.

Dawson, W. W. (1980). The cetacean eye. In L. M. Herman (Ed.). Cetacean behavior: Mechanisms and functions. New York: Wiley-Interscience.

Oral, A. D. G. (1977). On the retinal anatomy of Cetacea (mainly Tursiops truncatus). In R. J. Harrison (Ed.),

Functional anatomy of marine mammals. New York: Academic.

Flanigan, N. J. (1972). The central nervous system. In S. H. Ridgway (Ed.), Mammals of the sea: Biology and medicine. Springfield, IL: Thomas.

Fouts, R. S., Chown, W., & Goodin, L. T. (l976a). Transfer of signed responses in American sign language from vocal English stimuli to physical object stimuli by a chimpanzee (Pan). Learning and Motivation, 7, 458-475.

Fouts, R. S., Chown, W., Kimball, G., & Couch, J. (l976b). Comprehension and production of American sign language by a chimpanzee (Pan). Paper presented at the XXI International Congress of Psychology, Paris, July 18-25.

Gardner, R. A .. & Gardner, B. T. (1969). Teaching sign language to a chimpanzee. Science. 165. 664-667.

Gardner, B. T., & Gardner, R. A. (1971). Two-way communication with an infant chimpanzee. In A. M. Schrier & F. Stollnitz (Eds.), Behavior of Nonhuman Primates. Vol. 4. New York: Academic.

Griffin, D. R. (1981). The question of animal awareness: Evolutionan cominuit.\' of mental experience. New York: Rockefeller University Press.

Hayes, C. (1951). The ape in our house. New York: Harper.

Hennan, L. M. (1975). Interference and auditory short-tenn memory in the hott1enosed dolphin. Animal Learning and Behavior, 3, 43-48.

Hennan, L. M. (1980). Cognitive characteristics of dolphins. In L. M. Herman (Ed.), Cetacean behavior: Mechanisms and functions. New York: Wiley-Interscience.

Hennan, L. M., & Arbeit, W. R. (1972). Frequency difference limens in the bottlenose dolphin: 1-70 KC/S. Journal of Auditory Research, 2. 109-120.

Hennan, L. M., & Arbeit, W. R. (1973). Stimulus control and auditory discrimination learning sets in the bottlenose dolphin. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behal'ior. 19. 379-394.

Hennan, L. M., Beach, F. A. III, Pepper, R. L., & Stalling, R. B. (1969). Learning set performance in the bottlenosed dolphin. Psychonomic Science, 14. 98-99.

Hennan, L. M., & Forestell, P. H. (1985). Reporting presence or absence of named objects by a language-trained dolphin. Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Redews.

Hennan, L. M., & Gordon, J. A. (1974). Auditory delayed matching in the bottlenose dolphin. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior. 21. 19-26.

Hennan, L. M., Peacock, M. F., Yunker, M. P., & Madsen, C. J. (1975). Bottlenosed dolphin: Double-slit pupil yields equivalent aerial and underwater acuity. Science. 139. 650-652.

Hennan, L. M., Richards, D. G., & Wolz, J. P. (1984). Comprehension of sentences by bottlenosed dolphins. Cognition, 16, 129-219.

Hennan, L. M., & Tavolga, W. N. (1980). The communication systems of cetaceans. In L. M. Hennan (Ed.), Cetacean behavior: Mechanisms and functions. New York: Wiley-Interscience.

Hennan, L. M., & Thompson, R. K. R. (1982). Symbolic, identity, and probe delayed matching of sounds by the bottlenosed dolphin. Animal Learning and Behavior. 10. 22-34.

Hinde, R. A.,. & Stevenson-Hinde, J. (Eds.). (1973). Constraints on leaming: Limitations and predispositions. New York: Academic.

Hockett, C. F. (1960). Logical considerations in the study of animal communication. In W. E. Lanyon & W. N. Tavolga (Eds.), Animal sounds and communication. Washington, D.C.: American Institute of Biological Sciences, Pub. No.7.

Honig, W. K., & Thompson, R. K. R. (1982). Retrospective and prospective processing in animal working memory. In G. H. Bower (Ed.), The Psychology of Leaming and Motivation (Vol 16). New York: Academic.

Jennings, J. W., & Keefer, L. H. (1969). Olfactory learning set in two varieties of domestic rat. Psychological Reports. 24. 3-15.

Jerison, H. J. (1973). Evolution of the brain and intelligence. New York: Academic.

J.erison, H. J. (1978). Brain and intelligence in whales.

In S. Frost (Ed.), Whales and whaling. Vol. 2. Canberra: Australian Government Printing Service.

Kellogg, W. N., & Kellogg, L. A. (1933). The ape and the child. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Kraemer, P. J., & Roberts, W. A. (1984). Short-tenn memory for visual and auditory stimuli in pigeons. Animal Learning & Behavior, 8. 10-16.

Madsen, C. J., & Hennan, L. M. (1980). Social and ecological correlates of cetacean vision and visual appearance. In L. M. Hennan (Ed.), Cetacean behavior: Mechanisms and functions. New York: Wiley-Interscience.

MacPhail, E. M. (1980). Short-tenn visual recognition memory in pigeons. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology. 32, 531-538.

Miles, H. L. (1978). Language acquisition in apes and children. In F. C. C. Peng (Ed.), Sign language acquisition in man and ape: New dimensions in comparative psycholillguistics. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.

Morgane, P. J. (1978). Whole brains and their meaning for intelligence. In S. Frost (Ed.), Whales alld whaling, Vol. 2. Canberra: Australian Government Printing Service.

Morgane, P. J., & Jacobs, N. S. (1972). Comparative anatomy of the cetacean nervous system. In R.I. Harrison (Ed.), Functional anatomy of marine mammals. New York: Academic.

Nachtigall, P. E. (1980). Odontocete echolocation perfonnance on object size, shape and materia\. In R.-G. Busnel (Ed.), Animal sonar systems. New York: Plenum.

Nachtigall. P. E .• & Patterson. S. (1980). Training of a sameness/difference task in the investigation of concept formation in echolocating Tursiops truncatus. In 1. Pearson & 1. Barry (Eds.). Proceedings of the IllIernational Marine Animal Trainer Association Conference. October 2831. 1980. Boston: New England Aquarium.

Patterson. F. G. (1978). The gestures of a gorilla: Language acquisition in another pongid. Brain and Language. 5. 72-97.

Petitto. L. A .• & Seidenberg. M. S. (1979). On the evidence for linguistic abilities in signing apes. Brain and Language..8. 162-183.

Premack. D. (1971). On the assessment of language competence in the chimpanzee. In A. M. Schrier & F. Stollnitz (Eds.). Behavior of nonhuman primates. Vol. 4 (pp 186-228). New York: Academic Press.

Premack. D. (1976). IllIelligence in ape and man. Hillsdale. NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Richards. D. G .. Wolz. 1. P .. & Herman. L. M. (1984). Vocal mimicry of computer-generated sounds and vocal labeling of objects by a bottlenosed dolphin (Tursiops trul/catus). Journal of Comparatil'e Psychology. 98. 10-28.

Ristau. C. A .. & Robbins. D. (1982). Language in the great apes: A critical review. In J. F. Rosenblatt. R. B. Hinde. C. Beer & M.-C. Busnel (Eds.). Admnces ill the study of behavior. Vol. 12. New York: Academic Press.

Roberts. W. A .• & Grant. D. S. (1976). Studies of short-term memory in the pigeon using the delayed matchin13·to-sample procedure. In D. L. Medin. W. A. Roberts. & R. T. Davis (Eds.). Processes of animal memory. Hillsdale. Nl: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Rumbaugh. D'. M. (1977). Language learning by a chimpallZee: The Lalla project. New York: Academic.

Sands. S. F .. & Wright. A. A. (1980). Primate memory: Retention of serial list items by a rhesus monkey. Science. 209. 938-940.

Santiago. H. c.. & Wright. A. A. (1984), Pigeon memory: Same/Different concept learning. serial probe recognition acquistion. and probe delay effects on the serial-position function. Joumal of Experimelllal Psychology: Animal Behavior Processes. 10.498-512.

Savage-Rumbaugh. E. S .• Pate. J. L.. Lawson. J .. Smith. S. T .. & Rosenbaum. S. (1983). Can a chimpanzee make a statement') Joumal of Experimelllal Psychology: General. 112. 457-492.

Savage-Rumhaugh. E. S .. & Rumbaugh. D. M. (1978). Symbolization. language. and chimpanzees: A theoretical reevaluation based on initial language acquisition processes in four young Pan troglodytes. Brain and Language. 6. 265-300.

Savage-Rumbaugh. E. S .. Rumbaugh. D. M .. & Boysen. S. (1980). Do apes use language? American Scielllist. 68. 49-61.

Savage-Rumbaugh. E. S .. Rumbaugh. D .. Smith. S. T .. & Lawson. J. (1980). Reference: The linguistic essential. Science. 210. 922-925.

Schusterman. R. (1972). Visual acuity in pinnipeds. In H. Winn & B. alia (Eds.). Behavior of Marine Animals. Vol. 2. New York: Plenum.

Schusterman. R. 1 .• & Krieger. K. (1984). California sea lions are capable of semantic comprehension. The Psychological Record. 34. 3-23.

Seidenberg. M, S .• & Petitto. L. A. (1979). Signing behavior in apes: A critical review. Cognition. 7. 177-215.

Seidenberg. M. S .• & Petitto. L. A. (1981). Ape signing: Problems of method and interpretations. In T. A. Sebeok & R, Rosenthal (Eds.). The clever Hans phenomenon: Communication with horses. whales. apes and people. New York: New York Academy of Sciences.

Seligman. M. E. P. (1970). On the generality of the laws of learning. PsycllOlogical Rel'ielV. 77. 406-418.

Shimp. C. P. (1976). Short-term memory in the pigeon: Relative recency. Joumal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior. 25. 55-61.

Shyan, M. R. (1985). Determinants of perception of gestural signs in an artificial language by bottlenosed dolphins and humans. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. University of Hawaii, Honolulu.

Terrace, H. S. (1979, August). Is problem solving language? Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 31, 161-175.

Terrace, H. S. (1981). A report to an academy. In T. A. Sebeok & R. Rosenthal (Eds.), The clever Hans phenomenon: Communication with horses, whales, apes, and people. New York: New York Academy of Sciences.

Terrace, H. S. (1983). Simultaneous chaining: The problem it poses for traditional chaining theory. In M. L.

Commons, A. R. Wagner. & R. 1. Hermstein (Eds.), Harvard Symposium in the Quantitative Analysis of Behavior. Cambridge, MA: Ballinger.

Terrace, H. S., Petitto, L. A., Sanders, R. 1., & Bever, T. G. (1979). Can an ape create a sentence? Science, 206, 891-902.

Thompson, R. K. R., & Herman, L. M. (1975). Underwater frequency discrimination in the bottlenosed dolphin (I-140kHz). Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 57, 943-948.

Thompson, R. K. R., & Herman, L. M. (1977). Memory for lists of sounds by the bottlenosed dolphin: Convergence of memory processes with humans? Science, 195, SOI-503.

Thompson, R. K. R., & Herman, L. M. (1981). Auditory delayed discriminations by the dolphin: Nonequivalence with delayed-matching performance. Animal Learning and Behavior, 9, 9-15.

Thorpe, W. H. (1972). The comparison of vocal communication in animals and man. In R. A. Hinde (Ed.), Non-verbal communication. London: Cambridge University Press.

Walls, G. (1942). The vertebrate eye and its adaptive radiation. New York: McGraw-Hili.

Wegener, 1. G. (1964). Auditory discrimination behavior of normal monkeys. Journal of Auditory Research, 4. 81-106.

Wright. A. A .• Santiago, H. C .• Sands. S. F., & Urcuioli. P. 1. (1984). Pigeon and monkey serial probe recognition: Acquisition, strategies. and serial position effects. In H. L. Roitblat. T. G. Bever, & H. S. Terrace (Eds.). Animal cognition. Hillsdale. N1: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

11 11. Reinforcement Training as Interspecies Communication

Defran, R. H., & Pryor, K. (1980). Social behavior and training of eleven species of cetaceans in captivity. L. Herman (Ed.), In Cetacean behavior: Mechanisms and fUllctiolls. New York: Wiley-Interscience.

Francis, D. (1979). Whip hand. New York: Harper & Row.

Lorenz, K. (1975). Foreword. In K. Pryor Lads before the wind: Adventures ill porpoise traillill[:. New York: Harper & Row.

Pryor, K. W. (1974). Learning and behavior in whales and porpoises. NatunvisJellschajicll. 60. 137-143.

Pryor, K. W. (1975). Lads before the wind: Adventures in porpoise training. New York: Harper & Row.

Pryor, K. W. (198Ia). The rhino likes violets. Psychology Today, April, 92-98.

Pryor, K. W. (l98Ib). Why porpoise trainers are not dolphin lovers: Real and false communication in the operant setting. Annals of the New York Academy of Science, 304. 137-143.

Pryor, K. (1984). Don't shoot the dog.' New York: Simon & Schuster.

Pryor, K. W., Haag, R., & O'Reilly, J. (1969). The creative porpoise: Training for novel behavior. The Journal of Experimental Analysis of Behal'ior, 12, 653-661.

Turner, R. N. (1964). Methodological problems in the study of behavior. In W. N. Tavolga (Ed.), Marine bio-acoustics. Oxford: Pergamon Press.

Schusterman, R. (1980). Behavioral methodology in echolocation by marine mammals. In R. G. Busnel & J. F. Fish (Eds.), Animal sonar systems. New York: Plenum.

12 12. Dolphin Behavior and Cognition: Evolutionary and Ecological Aspects

Alexander, R. D. (1974). The evolution of social behavior. Annual Rel'iew of Ecology and Systematics 5, 325-383.

Bertram, B. C. (1983). Kin selection and altruism. In J. F. Eisenberg & D. G. Kleiman (Eds.), Advances in the study of mammalian behavior (pp. 721-737). Special Publication of the American Society of Mammalogists No.7.

Darwin, C. (1859). The origin of species. New York: The Modem Library. (Reprint)

Darwin, C. (1872). The descent of man. New York: The Modem Library. (Reprint)

Descartes, R. (1952). The meditations and selections from the principles (translated by J. Veitch). La Salle, IL: Open Court Publishing Company.

Eisenberg, J. F. (\966). The social organizations of mammals. Handbuch der Zoologie, 8 Band. Lieferung 39.

Eisenberg, J. F. (\ 976). Communication and social integration in the black spider monkey. Ateles fusciceps robustus. and related species. Smithsonian Contributions 10 Zoology, No. 213. 108 pp.

Eisenberg, J. F. (\981). The mammalian radiations. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Gardner, R. A., & Gardner. B. T. (\969). Teaching sign language to a chimpanzee. Science, 165, 644-672.

Griffin, D. R. (1981). The question of animal awareness. New York: Rockerfeller University Press.

Hamilton. W. D. (1964). The genetical evolution of social behavior. Parts I and II. Journal of Theoretical Biology. 7. 1-16, 17-52.

Jarvis, J. (1981). Eusociality in a mammal: Cooperative breeding in naked mole rat colonies. Science, 212. 571-573.

Jerison, H. (1983). The evolution of the mammalian brain as an information-processing system. In J. F. Eisenberg & D. G. Kleiman (Eds.), Advances in the study of mammalian behavior (pp. 113-146). Special Publication of the

American Society of Mammalogists # 7.

Kant, I. (1949). Kant's Prolegomena (translated and edited by Paul Carus). Chicago: Open Court Publishing Company.

Kohler, W. (1951). The mentality of apes (translated by E. Winter). London: The Humanities Press.

Kohler, O. (1952). Vom unbenannten Denken. Zoologischer Anzeiger, Suppl. 16,202-211.

Langer, S. (1971). The great shift: Instinct to intuition. In J. F. Eisenberg & W. S. Dillon (Eds.), Man and beast: Comparative social behavior (pp. 313-332). Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press.

Leeper, R. (1951). Cognitive processes. In S. S. Stevens (Ed.), Handbook of Experimental Psychology (pp. 730-757). New York: Wiley.

Lilly, J. C. (1961). Man and dolphin. New York: Worlds of Science, Pyramid Publication.

Lorenz, K. Z. (1952). King Solomon's Ring. London: Methuen.

Lorenz, K. Z. (1965). Evolution and modification of behavior. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Lorenz, K. Z. (1973). Behind the mirror (translated by R. Raylor). New York: Harcourt, Brace, Jovanovich.

Lumsden, C. J., & Wilson, E. O. (1981). Promethean fire. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Marler, P. (1963). Monkey calls: How are they perceived, What do they mean. In J. F. Eisenberg & D. G. Kleiman (Eds.), Advances in the study of mammalian behavior (pp. 343-356). Special Publication of the American Society of Mammalogist # 7.

Maynard-Smith, J. (1968). Mathematical ideas in biology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

McNab, B. K., & Eisenberg, 1. F. (in press). Brain size and its relation to rate of metabolism in mammals. Oecologia.

Ralls, K., Fiorelli, P., & Gish, S. (1985). Vocalizations and vocal imitation in captive harbor seals, Phoca vitulina. Canadian Journal of Zoology, 63, 1050-1059.

Rensch, B., & Altevogt, R. (1953). Visuelles Lernvermogen eines Indischen Elefanten. Zeitschrift fur Tierpsychologie 10, 119-134.

Robinson, J. (1984). Syntactic structures in the vocalizations of wedgecapped capuchin monkeys, Cebus nigrivittatus. Behaviour. 90:46-79.

Rumbaugh, D. M. (1975). The learning and symbolizing capacities of apes and monkeys. In R. H. Tuttle (Ed.), Socioecology and psychology of primates (pp. 353-401). The Hague: Mouton.

Schusterman, R., & Krieger, K. (1984). California sea lions are capable of semantic comprehension. The Psychological Record, 34, 3-23.

Tolman, E. C. (1958). Behavior and psychological man. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Webster's New World Dictionary. (1958). New York: World Publishing Company.

Wemmer, c., & Mishra, H. (1982). Observational learning by an Asiatic elephant of an unusual sound prodUction method. Mammalia, 46, 556-557.

Williams, L. (1965). Samba and the monkey mind. London: Bodley Head.

Wilson, E. O. (1975). Sociobiology, the new synthesis. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

13 13. Dolphin Vocal Mimicry and Vocal Object Labeling

Andrew, R.I. (1962). Evolution of intelligence and vocal mimicking. Science. 137. 585-589.

Bastian, 1. (1967). The transmission of arbitrary environmental information between bottlenose dolphins. In R. G. Busnel (Ed.), Animal sonar systems. Vol. II (pp. 803-873). Jouy-en-Josas. France: Laboratoire de Physiologie Acoustique.

Bastian. J., Wall, C., & Anderson, C. L. (1968). Further investigation of the transmission of arbitrary environmental information between bottlenose dolphins. Naval Undersea Warfare Center. TP 109. San Diego, California. pp. 1-40.

Batteau, D. W., & Markey. P. R. (1967). Man/dolphin communication. (Final Report. Contract N 00123-67-JJ03. 15 Dec. 1966-13 Dec. 1967). China Lake. California. U.S. Naval Ordnance Test Station.

Bertram, B. (1970). The vocal behavior of the Indian hill mynah, Gracula religiosa. Animal Behaviour, Monograph 3, 80-192.

Burdin. V. I.. Reznik, A. M., Skornyakov. V. M., & Chupakov. A. G. (1974). Study of communicative signals in Black Sea dolphins. Akusti Cheskiy Zhurnal. 20. 518-525.

Busnel, R.-G., & Dziedzic, A. (1966). Acoustic signals of the pilot whale Globicephala malaena and of the porpoises Delphinus delphis and Phocoena phocoenu. In K. S. Norris (Ed. J, Whales. dolphins, and porpoises (pp. 607-646). Berkeley: University of Califomia Press.

Caldwell, M. C., & Caldwell. D. K. (1965). Individualized whistle contours in bottlenosed dolphins, Tursiops trullcatus. Nature, 207, 434-435.

Caldwell, M. c., & Caldwell, D. K. (1967). Intra-specific transfer of information via the pulsed sound in captive odontocete cetaceans. In R. G. Busnel (Ed.), Animal Sonar systems, Vol. II (pp. 879-936). louy-en-Josas, France: Laboratoire de Physiologie Acoustique.

Caldwell, D. K., & Caldwell, M. C. (1972). Vocal mimicry in the whistle mode in the Atlantic bottlenosed dolphin. Cetology, 9, 1-8.

Caldwell, M. c., & Caldwell. D. K. (1968). Vocalizations of naive captive dolphins in small groups. Science, 159, 1121-1123.

Dreher, J. 1. (1966). Cetacean communication: Small-group experiment. In K. S. Norris (Ed.). Whales, dolphins, alld porpoises (pp. 529-541). Berkeley: University of California Press.

Dreher, J. J., & Evans, W. E. (1964). Cetacean communication. In W. N. Tavolga (Ed.), Marine bio-acoustics, Volume 1 (pp. 373-393). Oxford: Pergamon Press.

Evans, W. E. (1967). Vocalization among marine mammals. In W. N. Tavolga (Ed.), Marine bioacoustics, Volume II (pp. 159-186). Oxford: Pergamon Press.

Gish, S. L. (1979). Quantitative analysis of two-way acoustic communication between captive Atlantic bottlenose dolphins (Tursiops truncalUs Montague). Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of California, Santa Cruz.

Graycar, P. (1976). Whistle dialects of the Atlantic bottlenosed dolphin. Tursiops /rImcatus. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Gainesville: University of Florida.

Hayes, K. J., & Hayes, C. (1951). The intellectual development of a home-raised chimpanzee. Proceedings of the American Philosophical Society, 95, 105\09.

Herman, L. M. (1980). Cognitive characteristics of dolphins. In L. M. Herman (Ed.), Cetacean behavior: Mechanisms and functions (pp. 363-429). New York: Wiley-Interscience.

Herman, L. M., & Arbeit, W. R. (1973). Stimulus control and auditory discrimination learning sets in the bottlenose dolphin. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Beha~'ior, 19, 379-394.

Herman, L. M., Richards, D. G., & Wolz, J. P. (1984). Comprehension of sentences by bottlenosed dolphins. Cognition, 16, 129-219.

Herman, L. M., & Tavolga, W. N. (1980). The communication systems of cetaceans. In L. M. Herman (Ed.), Cetacean behavior: Mechanisms andfurtctions (pp. 149-209l. New York:
WileyInterscience.

Herman, L. M., & Thompson, R. K. R. (1982). Symbolic, identity and probe delayed matching of sounds by the bottlenosed dolphin. Animal Learning and Behavior, 10. 22-34.

Hockett, C. F. (1960). Logical considerations in the study of animal communication. In W. E. Lanyon & W. N. Tavolga (Eds.), Animal sounds and communication (pp. 392-430). Washington, D.C.: American Institute of Biological Sciences, Pub. No.7.

Kroodsma, D. E. (\979). Vocal dueling among male marsh wrens: Evidence for ritualized expressions of dominance/subordinance. Auk, 98. 506-515.

Lilly, J. C. (1962). Vocal behavior of the bottle nosed dolphin. Proceedings of the American Philosophical Society., 106. 520-529.

Lilly, J. C. (1965). Vocal mimicry in Tllniops. Ability to match numbers and durations of human vocal bursts. Science. 147. 300-301.

Lilly, J. C. (1978). Communication between man and dolphin. New York: Crown Publishers.

Lilly, J. c., Miller, A. M., & Truby. H. M. (1968). Reprogramming of the sonic output of the dolphin: Sonic burst count matching. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 43. 14121424.

Morton. E. S. (\ 982). Grading. discreteness, redundancy and motivation-structural rules. In D. E. Kroodsma & E. H. Miller, (Eds.), Acoustic communication in birds. Voillme I (pp. 183-212). New York: Academic Press.

Pepperberg, I. M. (1981). Functional vocalizations by an African grey parrot (Psittacus erithacus). Zeitschrift fur Tierpsychologie. 55. 139-160.

Pryor, K., Haag, R., & O'Reilly, J. (\ 969). The creative porpoise: Training for novel behavior. Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 12. 653-661.

Richards, D. G., Wolz, 1. P., & Herman, L. M. (1984). Vocal mimicry of computer-generated sounds and vocal labeling of objects by a bottlenosed dolphin, Tl/rsiops trl/ncatlls. Joumal of Comparative Psychology, 98. 10-28.

Savage-Rumbaugh, E. S., Rumbaugh, D. M., & Boysen, S. (1980). Do apes use language? American Scientist, 68. 49-6\.

Seyfarth, R. M., Cheney, D. L., & Marler, P. (1980). Monkey responsiveness to different alarm calls: evidence of predator classification and semantic communication. Science. 210, 801-803.

Thompson, R. K. R., & Herman, L. M. (1977). Memory for lists of sounds by the bottlenosed dolphin: convergence of memory processes with humans? Science, 195. 501-503.

Thorpe. W. H. (1963). Learning and instinct in animals, (2nd. Ed.). Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Todt, D. (1975). Social learning of vocal patterns and modes of their application in gray parrots (Psittacus erithacus). ZeitschriJt fur Tierpsychologie, 39, 178-188.

Verner, 1. (1975). Complex song repertoire of male Long-billed marsh wrens in eastern Washington. Living Bird, 14, 263-300.

14 14. Acquisition of Anomalous Communicatory Systems: Implications for Studies on Interspecies Communication

Amsler. M. (1947). An almost human Grey parrot. AI'iclIlture Magazine. 53. 68-69.

Asher. J. J .• & Price. B. (1967). The learning strategy of total physical response: Some age differences. Child Del'elopment. 38. 1219-1227.

Baldwin. J. M. (1914). Deferred imitation in West African Grey parrots. IXth Int"! Congress (If Zoology, Monaco. 536.

Bandura. A. (l97Ia). Psychotherapy based upon modeling principles. In A. E. Bergin & S. L. Garfield (Eds.). Psychotherapv and behavioral change: An empiriCeJI analysis (pp. 653-708). New York: Wiley.

Bandura. A. (I97Ib). Analysis of modeling processes. In A. Bandura (Ed.), PS.I'cilOlogiCeJI modeling (pp. 1-62). Chicago: Aldine-Atherton.

Bandura. A. (1977). Social modeling theory. Chicago: Aldine-Atherton.

Bandura. A .. & Menlove. F. L. (1968). Factors determining vicarious extinction of avoidance behavior through symbolic modeling. Journal of Personalin' and Social PsvcllOlogy, 8, 99\08.

Bandura, A .. Blanchard, E. B .• & Ritter, B. (1969). The relative efficacy of desensitization and modeling approaches for inducing behavioral, affective. and attitudinal changes. JOllrnal of Personalin' and Social Psychologv. 13, \73199.

Baptista. L. F. (1972). Wild how,e finch sings white-crowned sparrow ~ong. ZeilScllrijt .liir TierpsrcilOlogie, 3(), 266-270.

Baptista. L. F .. & Murtun. M. L. (1981). Interspecific sung acquisitiun by a white-nowned sparrow. Auk, 98, 383-385.

Baptista, L. F.. & Petrinovich. L. (1984). Social interaction. sensitive phases and the song template hypothesis in the white-crowned sparrow. Animal Behaviour. 32. 172181 .

Baptisla, L. F .• Morton, M. L.. & Pereyra. M. E. (1981). Interspecific song mimesis by a Lincoln sparrow. Wilson Bulletin. 93. 265-267.

Beer, C. G. (1975). Multiple functions and gull displays. In G. P. Baerends. C. G. Beer, & A. Manning (Eds.). Function and emlution in behavior-Essan in hallour of Pr(J{essor Niko Tinbergen, F.R.S. (pp. 16-54). London and New York: Oxford University Press.

Beer. C. G. (1976). Some complexities in the communication behavior of gulls. Origins and evolution of language and speech. Annals of the Nell" York Academy of Sciellces. 280. 413-432.

Beer. C. G. (1982). Conceptual issues in the study of communication. In D. E. Kroodsma & E. H. Miller (Eds.). Acoustic communication in birds. Vol. 2: SOllg leamilll:: alld its COil sequences (pp. 279-310). New York: Academic Press.

Bertram. B. C. R. (1970). The vocal behavior of the Indian hill mynah. Granda reJiRiosa. Animal Behaviour Monograph. 3. 79-192.

Boosey, E. J. (1947). The African Grey parrot. Aricullure Magazine, 53. 39-40.

Borror. D. J. (1977). Rufous-sided towhees mimicking Carolina wren and field sparrow. Wilsoll Bulletin. 89, 477-480.

Bottjer. S. W., Miesner, E. A., & Arnold. A. P. (1984). Forebrain lesions disrupt development but not maintenance of song in passerine birds. Science, 224. 901-903.

Brenowitz. E. A. (1982). Aggressive response of red-winged blackbirds to mockingbird song imitation. Auk, 99, 584-586.

Brown. I. (1976). Role of referent concreteness in the acquisition of passive sentence comprehension through abstract modeling. Journal of Experimental Child PsycholoR.'". 22. 185-199.

Cheney. D. L., & Seyfarth. R. M. (1980). Vocal recognition in free ranging vervet monkeys. Animal Behaviour, 28, 362-367.

Cheney, D. L., & Seyfarth, R. M. (1982). How vervet monkeys perceive their grunts: field playback experiments. Animal Behaviour. 30, 739-751.

Courtright, 1. A., & Courtright, I. C. (1979). Imitative modeling as a language intervention strategy: the effects of two mediating variables. Journal of Speech and Hearillg Research. 22. 389-402.

Crook, 1. H. (1983). On attributing consciousness to animals. NUllIre. 303, 11-14.

de Villiers, 1. G., & de Villiers, P. A. (1978). LanRuaRe acquisition (pp. 270-271). Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Dobkin, D. S. (1979). Functional and evolutionary relationships of vocal copying phenomcnon in birds. Zeitschrijt fur TierpsycllOlogie, 50. 348-363.

Dooling, R.I., & Searcy. M. H. (1981). A comparison of auditory evoked potentials in two species of sparrow. Physiological Psychologr. 9. 293-298.

Eberhardt, C., & Baptista. L. F. (1977). Intraspecific and interspecific >ong mime~is in California song sparrow. Bird Banding, 48, 193-205.

Fouts, R. S. (1973). Acquisition and testing of gestural sigm in four young chimpanzees. Science. 180, 978-980.

Fouts, R. S., & Couch, T. B. (1976). Cultural evolution of learned language in chimpanzees. In M. E. Hahn & E. C. Simmel (Eds.), Commullicatil'e bellm'ior lind el'ollltilin ipp 141 . Iii I). N~ York: Academic Press.

Fulwiler, R. L., & Fouts, R. S. (1976). Acquisition of American sign languag~ h) a !l,"!-C'"1lJll\l' nicating autistic child. Journal of Autism and Child Schi:ophrellill. 6. 43 -51

Gardner. B. T., & Gardncr, F. A. (1978). Comparative psychology and langlla~,' .ll:qui,ifl(1fl Psychologv. the state of the art. Annals of the Nell' Yod Awtiem." o(Sciel1co . .lUi} .,7 7(,

Gossette, R. L. (1969). Personal communication to O. H. Mowrer, 1980: Psychology of language and learning (pp. 105-106). New York: Plenum Press.

Gould, J. L., & Gould, C. G. (1982). The insect mind: Physics or metaphysics? In D. R. Griffin (Ed.), Animal mind-human mind (pp. 269-298). Berlin and New York: Springer-Verlag.

Greenfield, P. M. (1978). Developmental processes in the language learning of child and chimp. Behavioral and Brain Sciences. 4. 573-574.

Griffin, D. R. (\981). The question of animal awareness. New York: The Rockefeller University Press.

Grosslight, J. H., & Zaynor. W. C. (\967). Verbal behavior in the mynah bird. In K. Salzinger & S. Salzinger (Eds.), Research in verbal behavior and some neurophysiological implications (pp. 519). New York: Academic Press.

Grosslight. J. H .. Zaynor, W. C., & Lively. B. L. (\964). Speech as a stimulus for differential vocal behavior in the mynah bird (Gracula religiosa). Psychonomic Science. I. 7-8.

Giittinger, H. R. (1974). Gesang des Grunlings (Chloris chloris). Lokale Unterschiede and Entwicklung bei Schallisolation. Journalflir Ornithologie. 115. 321-337.

Hensley, G. (\980). Encounters with a hookbill-II. American Cage Bird Magazine. 52. 11-12. 59.

Herman, L. (1980). Cognitive characteristics of dolphins. In L. Herman (Ed.), Cetacean behavior: Mechanisms and functions (pp. 363-430). New York: Wiley-Interscience.

Herman, L., Richards, D .. & Wolz. J. (1984). Comprehension of sentences by bottlenosed dolphins. Coghition. 16. 129-219.

Howard. R. D. (\974). The influence of sexual selection and interspecific competition on mockingbird song (Mimus polyglottos). Evolution. 28. 428-438.

Humphrey, N. (1979). Nature's psychologists. In B. Josephson & B. S. Ramchandra (Eds.), Consciousness and the physical world (pp. 57-75). New York: Pergamon.

Krebs, J. R .• & Kroodsma. D. E. (\980). Repertoires and geographical variation in bird song. In 1. S. Rosenblatt, R. A. Hinde, C. Beer. & M-C. Busnel (Eds.), Advances in the study of behavior, Vol. II (pp. 143-177). New York: Academic Press.

Kroodsma, D. E. (1972). Variation in songs of vesper sparrows in Oregon. Wilson Bulletin. 84, 173-178.

Kroodsma, D. E. (\973). Coexistence of Bewick's wrens and house wrens in Oregon. Auk. 90, 341-352.

Kroodsma. D. E. (1977). A re-evaluation of song development in the song sparrow. Animal Behaviour. 25. 390-399.

Kroodsma, D, E, (\978). Aspects of learning in the ontogeny of bird song: Where. from whom, when, how many. which, and how accurately? In G. M. Burghardt & M. Bekoff (Eds.), The development of behavior: Comparath'e and evolutionary aspects (pp. 215-230). New York: Garland Press.

Kroodsma, D. E. (1981). Geographical variations and functions of song types in warblers (Parulidae). Auk. 98. 743-751.

Kroodsma, D. E. (1982). Learning and the ontogeny of sound signals in birds. In D. E. Kroodsma & E. H. Miller (Eds.), Acoustic communication in birds. Vol. 2: Song learning and its consequences (pp. 1-23). New York: Academic Press.

Kroodsma. D. E., & Baylis, J. R. (1982). Appendix: A world survey of evidence for vocal learning in birds. In D. E. Kroodsma & E. H. Miller (Eds.). Acoustic communication ill birds. Vol. 2: Song learning and its consequences (pp. 311-337). New York: Academic Press.

Kroodsma, D. E., & Canady. R. (\982). Population differences in repertoire size. neuroanatomy, and song development in the long-billed marsh wren. (From American Ornithologists' Union National Meeting Abstract. October, Chicago, Illinois).

Kroodsma, D. E., & Pickert. R. (1980). Environmentally dependent sensitive periods for avian vocal learning. Nature. 288. 477-479.

Kroodsma, D. E., & Picken, R. (I984a) Sensitive phases for song learning: effects of social interaction and individual variation. Animal Behaviour. 32. 389-394.

Kroodsma, D. E., & Picken, R. (I 984b). Repenoire size, auditory templates,. and selective vocal learning in songbirds. Animal Behaviour. 32, 395-399.

Leger, D. W., & Owings, D. H. (1978). Response to alann

calls by California ground squirrels: Effects of call structure and maternal status. Behavioral Ecology Sociobiology. 3. 177-186.

Leger, D. W., Owings, D. H., & Boal, L. M. (1979). Contextual information and differential responses to alann whistles in California ground squirrels. Zeitschrift fur Tierpsychologie. 49. 142-155.

Leger, D. W., Owings, D. H., & Gelfand, D. L. (1980). Single-note vocalizations of California ground squirrels: Graded signals and situation-specificity of predator and socially evoked calls. Zeitschrift fur Tierpsychologie. 52. 227-246.

Lein, M. R. (1972). Territorial and counship songs of birds. Nature. 237. 48-49.

Lein, M. R. (1978). Song variation in a population of chestnut-sided warblers (Dendroica pensylvanica): its nature and suggested significance. Canadian Journal of Zoology. 56. 1266-1283.

Lenneberg, E. H. (1973). Biological aspects of language. In G. A. Miller (Ed.), Communication. language. and meaning (pp. 49-60). New York: Basic Books.

Litvak, S. B. (1969). A comparison of two brief behavior group therapy techniques on the reduction of avoidance behavior. Psychological Record. 19. 329-334.

Lovaas, O. I. (1977). The autistic child: Language development through behavior modification. New York: hvington.

Malmi, W. A. (1976). Chimpanzees and language evolution. Origins and evolution of language and speech. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 280. 598-603.

Marler, P. (1970). A comparative approach to vocal learning: Song development in white-crowned sparrows. Journal oj Comparative and Physiological Psychology. 71. 1-25.

Marler, P. (1973). Speech development and bird song: Are there any parallels? In G. A. Miller (Ed.), Communication. language and meaning (pp. 73-83). New York: Basic Books.

Marler, P. (1983). Monkey calls: How are they perceived and

what do they mean? In J. F. Eisenberg & D. G. Kleiman (Eds.), Advances in the study o/mammalian behavior (pp. 343-356). American Society of Marnmalogists, Special Publication # 7.

Marler, P., & Peters, S. (1977). Selective vocal learning in a sparrow. Science. 198. 519-521.

Marler, P., & Peters, S. (1981). Sparrows learn adult song and more from memory. Science. 213. 780-782.

Marler, P., & Peters, S. (I982a). Structural changes in song ontogeny in the swamp sparrow. Melospiza georgiana. Auk. 99. 446-458.

Marler, P., & Peters, S. (1982b). Long term storage of birdsongs prior to production. Animal Behaviour. 30. 479-482.

Miles, H. L. (1983). Apes and language: the search for communicative competence. In J. de Luce & H. T. Wilder (Eds.), Language in primates (pp. 43-61). New York: Springer-Verlag.

Mowrer, O. H. (1954). A psychologist looks at language. American Psychologist. 9. 660-694.

Mowrer, O. H. (1958). Hearing and speaking: An analysis of language learning. Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders. 23. 143-152.

Nottebohm, F. (1970). Ontogeny of bird song. Science. /67. 950-956.

Nottebohm, F. (1975). A zoologist's view of some language phenomena with panicular emphasis on vocal learning. In E. H. Lenneberg & E. Lenneberg (Eds.), Foundations of language development (pp. 61-104). New York: Academic Press.

Nottebohm, F. (1981). A brain for all seasons: Cyclical anatomical changes in song control nuclei of the canary brain. Science. 2/4. 1368-1370.

Nottebohm, F., Kasparian, S., & Pandazis, C. (1981). Brain space for a learned task. Brain Research. 2/3. 99-109.

Owings, D. H., & Virginia, R. A. (1978). Alarm calls of California ground squirrels (Spermophilus beecheyi). Zeitschrijt fur Tierpsychologie. 46, 58-70.

Patterson, F. (1978). Linguistic capabilities of a lowland gorilla. In F. C. C. Peng (Ed.), Sign language and language acquisition in man and ape (pp. 161-201). Boulder, CO: Westview Press.

Payne, R. B. (1981). Song learning and social interaction in indigo buntings. Animal Behaviour, 29, 688-697.

Payne, R. B., Thompson, W. L., Fiala, K. L., & Sweany, L. L. (1981). Local song traditions in indigo buntings: Cultural transmission of behavior patterns across generations. Behaviour, 77(4), 199-221.

Pepperberg, I. M. (1978, March). Object identification by an African Grey parrot. (From Midwest Animal Behavior Society Meeting Abstract, W. Lafayette, IN.)

Pepperberg, I. M. (1979, June). Functional word use in an African Grey parrot. (From Animal Behavior Society Meeting Abstract, New Orleans.) .

Pepperberg, I. M. (1981). Functional vocalizations by an African Grey parrot (Psillacus erithacus). Zeitschrijt fur Tierpsychologie, 55, 139-160.

Pepperberg, I. M. (1983). Cognition in the African Grey parrot: preliminary evidence for auditory/vocal comprehension of the class concept. Animal Learning and Behavior, l/, 179-185.

Pepperberg, I. M. (1985). Social modeling theory: A possible framework for understanding avian vocal learning. Auk. 102, 854-864.

Petrinovich, L.:Patterson, T., & Baptista, L. F. (1981). Song dialects as barriers to dispersal: A reevaluation. Evolution. 35. ISO-188.

Premack, D. (1976). Intelligence in ape and man. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Premack, D. (1983). The codes of man and beasts. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 6. 125-167.

Price, P. H. (1979). Developmental determinants of structure in zebra finch song. Journal of Comparative and Physiological Psychology, 93. 260-277.

Richey, E. (1976). The language program. In E. R. Ritvo,

B. 1. Freeman. E. M. Ornitz, & P. E. Tanguay (Eds.), Autism: Diagnosis. current research alld managemelll (pp. 203-226). New York: Spectrum Publication.

Rieber, R. W., & Voyat. G. (1983). Dialogues on the psychology of language and thought. New York: Plenum Press.

Rimm, D. C., & Mahoney, M. 1. (1969). The application ofreinforcement and participant modeling procedures in the treatment of snake-phobic behavior. Behaviour Research and Therapy, I. 369376.

Risley, T., & Wolf, M. (1968). Establishing functional speech in echllialic children. In H. N. Sloane, Jr. & B. D. MacAuley (Eds.), Operalll procedures ill remedial speech and language training (pp. 157-184). Boston: Houghton-Mimen.

Robinson, F. N. (1975). Vocal mimicry and the evolution of bird song. Emu. 75. 23-27.

Robinson, S. R. (19S0). Antipredator behaviour and predator recognition in Belding's ground squirrels. Animal Behaviour. 28, 840-852.

Robinson, S. R. (1981). Alarm communication in Belding's ground squirrels. Zeitschrijt fur Tierpsychologie. 59. 150-168.

Rumbaugh, D. M., & Gill, T. V. (1976). The mastery of language-type skills by the chimpanzee (Pan). Origins alld evolution of language and speech. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 280. 562-578.

Salzinger, K. (1980). The concept of the behavioral mechanism in language. In O. H. Mowrer (Ed.), Psychology of language and learning (pp. 213-232). New York: Plenum Press.

Saunders, R., & Sailor, W. (1979). A comparison of the strategies of reinforcement in two-choice learning problems with severely retarded children. American Associationfor the Education of the Severely (Profoundly) Handicapped Review. 4. 323-334.

Savage-Rumbaugh, E. S., Rumbaugh, D. M., & Boysen, S. (1980). Do apes use language? Ameri· can Scientist. 68. 49-61.

Scarcella, R. c., & Higa, C. A. (1982). Input and age differences in second language acquisition. In S. D. Krashen, R. C. Scarcella, & M. H. Long (Eds.), Child-adult differences in second language acquisition (pp. 175-201). Rowley, MA: Newbury House Publishers.

Schusterman, R., & Krieger, K. (1984). California sea lions are capable of semantic comprehension. The Psychological Record. 34. 3-23.

Seyfarth, R. M., Cheney, D. L., & Marler, p, (l980a). Vervet monkey alarm calls: Semantic communication in a free-ranging primate. Animal Behaviour. 28. 1070-1094.

Seyfarth, R. M., Cheney, D. L.. & Marler. P. (1980b). Monkey responses to three different alarms: Evidence for predator classification and semantic communication. Science. 2/0. 801-803.

Shiovitz, K. A., & Lemon, R. E. (\980). Species identification of songs by indigo buntings as determined by responses to computer-generated sounds. Behaviour. 74. (III-IV), 167-199.

Snow, C. E. (\979). The role of social interaction. In W. A. Collins (Ed.), Children's language and communication, Minnesota Symposium on Child Psychology. Vol. 12. (pp. 157 -182). Hi11sdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Sonnenschein, E., & Reyer, H-U. (1983). Mate-guarding and other functions of antiphonal duets in the slate-coloured Boubou (Laniarius funebris). ZeitschriJt flir Tierpsychologie. 63, 112-140.

Struhsaker, T. (\967). Behavior and ecology of the Red Colobus monkeys. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Thielcke, G.'(I970). Die sozialen Funktionen der Vogelstimmen. Vogelwarte. 25. 204-229.

Thielcke, G. (\972). Waldbaumliiufer (Certhiafamiliaris) ahmen artfremdes Signal nach und reagieren darauf. Journalflir Ornithologie. 113, 287-295.

Thorpe, W. H. (1961). Bird song. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Thorpe, W. H. (1964). Learning and instinct in animals. London: Methuen.

Todt, D. (1975). Socialleaming of vocal patterns and models of their applications in Grey parrots. ZeitschriJt flir Tierpsychologie, 39. 178-188.

Todt, D., Hultsch, H., & Heike, D. (1979). Conditions affecting song acquisition in nightingales (Luscinia megarhynchos L). ZeitschriJt flir Tierpsychologie. 51, 23-35.

Waser, M. S., & Marler, P. (1977). Song learning in canaries. Journal ofComparath'e and Physiological Psychology, 9 I. 1-7.

Whitehurst, G. J., Ironsmith, E. M., & Goldfein, M. (1974). Selective imitation of the passive construction through modeling. Journal of Experimental Child Psychology. 17. 288-302.

15 15. Awareness, Intentionality, and Acquired Communicative Behaviors: Dimensions of Intelligence

Breland, K., & Breland, M. (1966). Animal behavior. New York: Macmillan.

Brown, D. H., & Norris, K. S. (1956). Observations of captive and wild cetaceans. Journal of Mammalology, 37, 311-326. 15. AWARENESS, INTENTIONALITY, AND COMMUNICATIVE BEHAVIORS 313

Chevalier-Skolnikoff, S. (1974). The ontogoney of communication in the stumptail macaque (macaque arctoides). In Contributions to PrimalOlogy. (vol. 2. pp. 1-174). Basel: Karger.

Crook. 1. H. (1983). On attributing consciousness to animals. Nature. 303. 11-14.

Eibl-Eibesfeldt, \. (1970). Ethology: The biology of behavior. New York: Holt. Rhinehart & Winston.

Goodall. 1. (1964). Tool using and aimed throwing in a community of free-living chimpanzees. Nature, 201, 1264-1266.

Griffin, D. R. (1976). The question of animal awareness: Evolutionary continllit." oj melllal experience. New York: The Rockefeller University Press.

Herman, L. M .. & Tavolga. W. N. (1980). The communication systems of cetaceans. In L. A. Herman (Ed.), Cetacean behavior: Mechanisms andfunctio/lS. New York: Wiley.

Hockett, C. F. (\959). Animal "languages" and human language. In J. Spuhler (Ed.). The evolution oJ man's capacity for culture. Detroit. MI: Wayne State University Press.

Hockett. C. F. (1960). Logical considerations in the study of animal communication. In W. Lanyon & W. Tavolga (Eds.), Animal sounds and commllnication. Washington DC: American Institute of Biological Sciences.

Hockett, C. F .• & Altmann. S. A. (1968). A note on design features. In T. A. Sebeok (Ed.). Animal Communication. Bloomington:Indiana University Press.

Kellogg, W. H. (1961). Porpoises and sonar. Chicago:

University of Chicago Press.

Lilly. 1. (1967). Mind of the dolphin: A IlOn-hllman illlelligence. Moonachie. NJ: Pyramid Publishing.

Lilly, J. C. (1978). Communication between man and dulphin: The possibilities of talking with other species. New York: Crown Publishers.

Nelson. K. (1980. June). First words of chimp and child. Paper presented at the Southeastern Psychological Associations Symposium on Apes and Language. Atlanta.

Norris. K. S .• & Prescott. 1. H. (1961). Observations of Pacijic cetaceans of Cal!forllia and Mexican waters. Unil'ersitv of Cailforllia. Publicatio/lS in Zoology. 63. 291-402.

Olton. D. S. (1979). Mazes. maps, and memory. American Ps."chologist. 34, 583-596.

Pitman, C. R. S. (1931). A game warden among his charges. In G. B. SchaUer (Ed.). The mountain gorilla. Chicago: Chicago University Press.

Pryor. K. W. (1973). Behavior and learning in porpoises and whales. Die Nalllrwissenschaften. 60. 412-420.

Rumbaugh. D. M. (1965). Maternal care in relation to infant behavior in the squirrel monkey. Psychological Reports. 16(1), 171-176.

Savage-Rumbaugh. E. S. (in press). Ape lanRllage: From conditioned respO/lSe to s."mbol. New York: Columbia University Press.

Savage,'E. S .• Temerlin. 1. W., & Lemmon, W. B. (1974. July). The appearance of mothering behavior toward a kitten by a human-reared chimpanzee. Paper presented at 5th Congress of the International Primatological Society. Nagoya.

Skinner. B. F. (1957). Verbal behavior. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts.

Smith, W. 1. (1977). The behavior of communicating: An ethological approach. Cambridge. MA: Harvard University Press.

Terrace. H. S. (1979). Nim. New York: Academic Press.

Thorpe, W. H. (1974). Animal natllre and human nall/re. Garden City. NY: Anchor Press/ Doubleday.

Wilson, E. O. (1975). Sociobiology: A new synthesis. Cambridge. MA: Harvard University Press.

Yerkes, R. M .• & Yerkes. A. M. (1929). The great apes: A stud\' of anthropoid life. New Haven: Yale University Press.

16 16. Signaling Behavior: Contributions of Different Repertoires

Beer, C. G. (1977). What is a display? American Zoologist. 17. 155-165.

Beer, C. G. (1980). The communication behavior of gulls and other seabirds. In J. Burger, B. L. Olla, & H. E. Winn (Eds.). Behavior of marine animals. 4: Marine birds (pp. 169-205). New York: Plenum.

Bernstein, P. L., Smith, W. J., Krensky, A., & Rosene, K. (1978). Tail positions of Cercopithecus aethiops. Zeitschrift fiir Tierpsychologie. 46. 268-278.

Caldwell, D. K., & Caldwell. M. C. (1977). Cetaceans. In T. A. Sebeok (Ed.). How animals communicate (pp. 794-808). Bloomington: Indiana University Press.

Dittus, W. P. (1984). Toque macaque food calls: Semantic communication concerning food distribution in the environment. Animal Behaviour. 32. 470-477.

Estes. R. D. (1969). Territorial behavior of the wildebeest (Connochaetes taurinus Burchell. 1823). Zeitschrift fiir Tierpsychologie. 26. 284-370.

Goffman, E. (1974). Frame analysis. New York: Harper & Row.

Gouzoules. S .. Gouzoules. H .• & Marler. P. (1984). Rhesus monkey (Macaca mulat/a) screams: Representational signalling in the recruitment of agonistic aid. Animal Behaviour. 32. 182-193.

Green. S. (1975). Communication by a graded system in Japanese monkeys. In L. Rosenblum (Ed.). Primate behavior: Developments infield and laboratory research. 4 (pp. 1-102). New York: Academic Press.

Lang. T. G., & Smith, H. A. P. (1965). Communication between dolphins in separate tanks by way of an electronic acoustic link. Science. 150, 1839-1844.

Morton, E. S. (1977). On the occurrence and significance of motivational-structural rules in some bird and mammal sounds. American Naturalist, III, 855-869.

Morton. E. S. (1982). Grading. discreteness, redundancy, and motivation-structural rules. In D. E. Kroodsma & E. H. Miller (Eds.), Acoustic communication in birds. I.

Production. perception, and design features of sounds. (pp. 183-212). New York: Academic Press.

Moynihan. M. (1970). The control, suppression, decay, disappearance and replacement of displays. Journal of Theoretical Biology. 29. 85-112.

Nelson, D. A. (1984). Communication of intention in agonistic contexts by the pigeon guillemot. Cepphus columba. Behaviour. 88. 145-189.

Nelson, D. A. (1985). The syntactic and semantic organization of pigeon guillemot (Cepphus columba) vocal behavior. Zeitschrift fur Tierpsychologie, 67. 97\30.

Owings, D. H., & Leger. D. W. (1980). Chatter vocalizations of California ground squirrels: Predatorand social-role specificity. Zeitschrift fur Tierpsychologie, 54. 163-184.

Seyfarth. R. M., Cheney. D. L., & Marler, P. (1980). Vervet monkey alarm calls: Semantic communication in a free-ranging primate. Animal Behaviour. 28, 1070-1094.

Simpson, M. J. A. (1968). The display of the Siamese fighting fish, Bella splendens. Animal Behaviour Monographs. J. 1-73.

Smith, W. John (1963). Vocal communication of infonnation in birds. American Naturalist. 97. 117-125.

Smith, W. John. (1969). Messages of vertebrate communication. Science. 165. 145-150.

Smith, W. John. (l970a). Courtship and territorial displaying in the vermilion flycatcher, Pyrocephalus rubinus. Condor, 72, 488-491.

Smith, W. John. (l970b). Song-like displays in the genus Sayornis. Beha~·iollr. 37. 64-84.

Smith, W. John. (1977). The behavior of communicating. An ethological approach. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Smith, W. John. (1981). Referents of animal communication. Animal Behaviour. 29. 1273-1275.

Smith, W. John, Smith, S. L., Oppenheimer, E. C, & deVilla, J. G. (1977). Vocalizations of the black-tailed prairie dog, Cynomys ludovicianus. Animal Behaviour. 25. 152-164.

Smith. W. John, Pawlukiewicz, J., & Smith, S. T. (1978). Kinds of activities correlated with singing patterns of the yellow-throated vireo. Animal Behaviour 26. 862-884.

17 17. Delphinid Social Organization and Social Behavior

Andersen, S., & Dziedzic, A. (1964). Behavior patterns of a captive harbor porpoise (Pho('Q<'na phocaena). Bull. In.lt. Oceanogr. Monaco, 63, 1-20.

Au, W., Floyd, R. W., & Haun, J. E. (1978). Propagation of Atlantic bottlenose dolphin echolocation signals. Journal Acou.l. Society America., 64(2), 411-422.

Balcomb, K. c., Boran, J. R., Osborne, R. W., & Haenel, N. J. (1980). Observations of killer whales (Orcinus orca) in Greater Puget Sound, State of Washington (Report MMI3OO731-7). Washington, DC: U.S. Marine Mammal Comm.

Balcomb, K. C., & Goebel, C. A. (1976). A killer whale study in Puget Sound: Final report (Contract No. NASO-6-35330). Washington, DC: National Marine Fisheries.

Bateson, G. (1965). Porpoise community research: Final report (Contract No. N60530-C-1098). Kaneohe, Oahu, Hawaii: U.S. Navy Undersea Research and Development Center.

Bateson, G. (1966). Problems in cetacean and other mammalian communication: In K. S. Norris (Ed.), Whales, dolphins and porpoises (pp. 569-579). Berkeley: University of California Press.

Bel'kovich, V. M., Krushinskaya, N. L., & Gurevich, V. S. (1969). The behavior of dolphins in captivity. Piroda, JJ, 18-28.

Bigg, M. A. (1982). An assessment of killer whale (Orcinus orca) stocks off Vancover Island, British Columbia (Paper SCIJn8I1KW4). Washington, DC: International Whaling Commission.

Bigg, M. A., McAskie, I. B., & Ellis, G. (1976). Abundance and movements of killer whales off eastern and southern Vancover Island with comments on management (Preliminary report). Ste. Anne de Bellevue, Quebec, Canada: Artie Biological Station.

Breder, C. M. (1976). Fish schools as operational structures. Fishery Bulletin, 74, (3),471-502.

Brown, D. H. (1960). Behavior of a captive Pacific pilot whale. Journal Mammalogy, 4/(3), 342350.

Brown, D. H., & Norris, K. S. (1956). Observations of captive and wild cetaceans. Journal of Mammalogy, 37, 311-326.

Caldwell, M. c., Brown, D, H., & Caldwell, D. K. (1963). Intergeneric behavior by a captive Pacific pilot whale. Los Angeles City Museum Contributions to Science, 70, 1-12.

Caldwell, M. C., & Caldwell, D. K. (1966). Epimeletic (care-giving) behavior in cetacea. In K. S. Norris (Ed.), Whales, dolphins and porpoises (pp. 755-789). Berkeley: University of California Press.

Caldwell, M. C., & Caldwell, D. K. (1967). Dolphin community life. Los Angeles City Museum Quarterly, 5(4), 12-15.

Caldwell, M. c., & Caldwell, D. K. (1972). Behavior of marine mammals. In S. H. Ridgway (Ed.), Mammals of the sea: Biology and medicine (pp. 419-465). Springfield, IL: Thomas.

Connor, R. C., & Norris, K. S. (1982). Are dolphins reciprocal altruists? The American Naturalist. 119(3), 358-374.

Eisenberg, J. F. (1982). Mammalian radiations: An analysis of trends in evolution, adaptation and beha~'ior. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Essapian, F. S. (1953). The birth and growth of a porpoise. Natural History, 62, 392-399.

Essapian, F. S. (1962). Courtship in captive saddleback dolphins, Delphinus delphis, L, 1758. Zeitschrift Saugetierkd, 27, 211-217.

Essapian, F. S. (1963). Observations on abnormalities of parturition in captive bottlenose dolphins, Tursiops truncatus, and concurrent behavior of other porpoises. Journal of Mammalogy, 44, 405-414.

Evans, W. E. (1974). Radio-telemetric studies of two species of small odontocete cetaceans. In W. E. Schevill (Ed.), The whale problem: A status report (pp. 385-394). Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Evans, W. E., & Dreher, J. J. (1962). Observations on scouting behavior and associated sound production by the Pacific bottlenose porpoise (Tursiops gilli Dall).

Bulletin Southern California Academy Science, 61, 217-226.

Hamilton, W. D. (1972). Altruism and related phenomena mainly in social insects. Annual Rev Ecology and System, 3, 193-232.

Humphrey, N. K. (1976). The social function of intellect. In P. P. G. Bateson & R. Hinde (Eds.), Growing points in ethology (pp. 303-317). London: Cambridge University Press.

Irvine, A. B., Scott, M. D., Wells, R. S., & Kaufman, J. H. (1981). Movements and activities of the Atlantic bottlenose dolphin, Tursiops truncatus. near Sarasota, Florida. Fishery Bulletin United States. 79. 671-688.

Leatherwood, S. (1977). Some preliminary impressions on the numbers and social behavior of freeswimming bottlenose dolphin calves (Tursiops truncatus) in the northern Gulf of Mexico. In S. H. Ridgway & K. W. Benirschke (Eds.). Breeding dolphins: Present status. suggestions for the future (pp. 143-167). (Report MMC-76/07). Washington DC: Marine Mammal Comm.

Leatherwood. S., & Evans. W. E. (1979). Some recent uses and potentials of radiotelemetry in field studies of cetaceans. In H. E. Winn & B. L. Olla (Eds.), Behavior of marine animals (pp. 1-32). New York: Plenum.

Madsen, C. J .. & Herman. L. M. (1980). Social and ecological correlates of cetacean vision and visual appearance. In L. M. Herman (Ed.). Cetacean behavior (pp. 101-147). New York: Wiley.

McBride, A. F., & Hebb. D. O. (19481. Behavior of the captive bottlenose dolphin (Tursiops truncatus). journal of Comparative Physiology and Psychology. 41. 111-123.

Mitchell, E. (1970). Pigmentation pattern evolution in delphinid cetaceans. An essay in adaptive coloration. Canadian journal of Zoology. 48. 717-740.

Norris, K. S. (1967). Aggressive behavior in cetacea. In C. D. Clemente & D. B. Lindsley (Eds.). Aggression and defense (pp. 225-241). Berkeley: University of California Press.

Norris, K. S., & Dohl, T. P. (l980a). Behavior of the Hawaiian spinner dolphin. Slenella longirastris. Fishery Bulletin. 77. 821-849.

Norris. K. S., & Dohl. T. P. (l980b). The structure and function of cetacean schools. In L. M. Herman (Ed.). Cetacean behavior (pp. 211-261). New York: Wiley.

Norris, K. S .. & Evans, W. E. (1967). Directionality of echolocation clicks in the rough-toothed porpoise. Stello bredallellsis Lesson. Marille bio-acoustics: Volume 2. New York: Pergamon Press.

Norris. K. S .• & Prescott, J. H. (\961). Observations on Pacific cetaceans of Californian and Mexican waters. Ulliversitv California Publicatiolls ill Zoology. 63. 291-402.

Norris, K. S .• Stuntz. W. E .. & Rogers. W. (1978). The beha,ior of porpoises and tulla ill the eastern tropical Pacific yel/o fin tuna jishery: Preliminarr studies (Report No. MMc-76/12). Washington, DC: U.S. Marine Mammal Commission.

Norris, K. S., Wiirsig. B., Wells, R. S., Wiirsig. M .. Brownlee. S. M., Johnson. C. M .. & Solo, J. (1982). The behU\'ior of the Hawaiian spinner dolphill. Stenel/a longirostris: Fillal report (Contract No. 79-ABC-00090). La Jolla, CA: National Marine Fisheries Service.

Perrin, W. F. (1975). Distribution and differentiation of populations of dolphins of the genus Stellella in the eastern tropical Pacific. journal of the Fisheries Research Board. Canada. 32. 1059-1067.

Pryor, K., & Kang, I.. (1980). Social behavior and structure in pelagic porpoises (Stenella attenuata and S. longirostris) during purse seining for wna: Final report (Yse. Adm. Rpt. LJ-80-IIC). La Jolla, CA: National Marine Fisheries Service.

Saayman. G. S., & Tayler. C. K. (1973). Social organization of inshore dolphins (Tursiops aduncus and Sousa) in the Indian Ocean. journal of Mammalogy. 54. 993-996.

Saayman. G. S., & Tayler, C. K. (1979). The socioecology of humpback dolphins (Sousa sp.). In H. E. Winn & B. L. Olla (Eds.), Behavior of marine mammals. Volume 3: Cetaceans (pp. 165226). New York: Plenum Press.

Sergeant. D. E., & Brodie, P. F. (1969) Tagging white whales in the Canadian Artie. journal of the Fisheries Research Board. Canada. 30, 1009-1011.

Shane, S. H. (1977). The population biology of the Atlantic bottlenose dolphin (Tursiops truneatus) in the Aransas Pass area of Texas. Unpublished Master's Thesis. College Station: Texas A&M University.

Shane. S. H .. Wells. R. S .• Wiirsig. B .. & Odell. D. K. (1982). Behavior and ecology of the bottlenose dolphin: A review. (Contract report). Long Beach: University of S. Mississippi at Gulf Port.

Tavolga. 11.1 c. (1966). Behavior of the bottlenose dolphin (Tursiops truncatus): Social interactions in a captive colony. In K. S. Norris (Ed.). Whales. dolphins and porpoises (pp. 718-730). Berkeley: University of California Press.

Tavolga. M. C .• & Essapian. F. S. (1957). The behavior of the bottlenose dolphin (Tursiops truncatus): Mating. pregnancy. parturition and mother-infant behavior. Zoologica. 1. 289-299.

Tayler. C. K .• & Saayman. G. S. (1972). The social organization and behavior of dolphins (Tursiops aduncus) and baboons (Papio ursinus): Some comparisons and assessments. Annals Cape Providence Museum of Natural History. 9. 11-49.

Trivers. R. L. (1971). The evolution of reciprocal altruism. Q. Rev. Biology. 56. 35-57.

Van Lawick-Goodall. J. (1971). 1n the shadow of man. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.

Wells. R. S .• Irvine. A. B .• & Scott. M. D. (1980). The social ecology of inshore odontocetes. In L. M. Herman (Ed.). Cetacean Behavior (pp. 263-317). New York: Wiley.

Williams. G. C. (1966). Adaptation and natural selection. New Jersey: Princeton University Press.

Wood. F. G .• Caldwell. D. K .• & Caldwell. M. C. (1970). Behavioral interactions between porpoises and sharks: In G. Pilleri (Ed.).1nvestigations on cetacea. Vol. 2. (pp. 264-277). Berne. Switzerland: Brain Anatomy Inst.. Univ. of Berne.

Wiirsig. B. (1978). Occurrence and group organization of Atlantic bottlenose porpoises (Tursiops truncatus) in an Argentine bay. Biology Bulletin 154. 348-359.

Wiirsig. B .• & Wiirsig. M. (1977). The photographic determination of group size. composition and stability of coastal porpoises (Tursiops truncatus). Science. 198. 755-756.

Wiirsig. B .• & Wiirsig. M. (I979a). Behavior and ecology of bottlenose porpoises. Tursiops truncaruso in the south Atlantic. Fishery Bulletin. 77. 399-442.

Wiirsig. B .• & Wiirsig. M. (l979b). Day and night of the dolphin. Natural History. 88(3).60-67.

Wiirsig. B .• & Wiirsig. M. (1980). Behavior and ecology of dusky porpoises. Lagenorhynchus obscurus. in the south Atlantic. Fishery Bulletin. 77. 871-890.

18 18. Delphinid Foraging Strategies

Au, D., & Weihs, D. (1980). At high speeds dolphins save energy by leaping. Nature, 284, 548550.

Balcomb, K. c., Boran, J. R., Osborne, R. W., & Haenel, N. J. (1980). Observations of killer whales (Orcinus orca) in greater Puget Sound, State of Washington. Final report for MMC contract MMI30073l-7. NTIS PB 80-224 728.

Bel'kovich, V. M., & Yablokov, A. V. (1963). Marine animals "share experience" with designers. Nauka Zhizn, 30. 61-64.

Bigg, M. A., MacAskie, I. B., & Ellis, G. (1976). Abundance and movements of killer whales off eastern and southern Vancouver Island, with comments on management. Report of the Arctic Biological Station, Ste. Anne de Bellevue, Quebec.

Caldwell, D. K., & Caldwell, M. C. (1972). The world of the bottlenosed dolphin. Philadelphia: J. B. Lippincott Co.

Condy, P. R., van Aarde, R. J., & Bester, M. N. (1978). The seasonal occurrences and behavior of killer whales Orcinus orca at Marion Island. Journal of Zoology. London. 184.449-464.

Connor, R. C., & Norris, K. S. (1982). Are dolphins reciprocal altruists? American Naturalist. 119. 358-374.

Dakin, W. J. (1934). Whalemen adventures. Sydney, Australia: Angus and Robenson Ltd.

Evans, W. E. (1971). Orientation behavior of delphinids: Radio telemetric studies. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 188. 142-160.

Evans, W. E. (1974). Radio telemetric studies of two species of small odontocete cetaceans: In W. E. Schevill (Ed.), The whale problem: A status report (pp. 385-394). Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Fink, B. D. (1959). Observations of porpoise predation on a school of Pacific sardines. California Fish and Game. 45. 216-217.

Fulton, G. (1976). Sounds in the night. Waters. 1. 30-31.

Gaskin, D. E. (1982). The ecology of whales and dolphins. London: Heinemann Press.

Green, R. E., Perrin, W. R., & Petrich, B. P. (1971). The American tuna purse seine fishery: In Modern fishing gear of the world. 3. 182-194. London: Fishing News Books Ltd.

Gruber, J. A. (1981). Ecology of the Atlantic bottlenosed dolphin (Tursiops truncatus) in the Pass Cavallo area of Matagorda Bay. Texas. Texas A&M University: M.Sc. thesis.

Hamilton, W. D. (1964). The genetical evolution of social behavior, I and II. Journal of Theoretical Biology, 7. I-52.

Hamilton, P. V., & Nishimoto, R. T. (1977). Dolphin predation on mullet. Florida Scientist. 40. 251-252 .•

Hendricks, H. (1983). On the evolution of social structure in mammals. In J. F. Eisenberg & D. G. Kleiman (Eds.), Advances in the study of mammalian behavior. Shippensburg, PA: Special Pub!. #7, American Society of Mammalogists, Shippensburg State College.

Henel, H. (1963). Struktur-Form-Bewegung. Bd. I, Biologie und Technik. Mainz: KrausskopfVerlag.

Hoese, H. D. (1971). Dolphin feeding out of water in a salt marsh. Journal of Mammalogy. 52. 222-223.

Hult, R. (1982). Another function of echolocation for bottlenose dolphins (Tursiops truncallls). Cetology. 47.

Kleiman, D. G., & Eisenberg, J. F. (1973). Comparisons of canid and felid social systems from an evolutionary perspective. Animal Behavior. 21. 637-659.

Kruuk, H. (1972). The spotted hyena. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Leatherwood, S. (1975). Some observations of feeding behavior of bottle-nosed dolphins (Tursiops /runcatus) in the nonhern Gulf of Mexico and (Tursiops cf. T. gilli) off southern California, Baja. California, and Nayarit, Mexico. Marine Fisheries Review. 37. 10-16.

Leatherwood, S., Reeves, R. R., & Foster, L. (1983). The Sierra Club handbook of whales and dolphins. San Francisco: Sierra Club Books.

Lopez, J. C., & Lopez, D. (1985). Killer whales (Orcinus orca) of Patagonia, and their behavior of intentional stranding while hunting nearshore. Journal of Mammalogy. 66. 181-183.

Major, P. F. (1976). The behavioral ecology of predator-prey interactions in schoolilZgfish. Ph.D. thesis, University of California, Santa Cruz.

Major. P. F. (1978). Predator-prey interactions in two schooling fishes, Caranz iglZobilis and Stolephorus purpureus. Animal Behavior. 26. 760-777.

Mitchell, E. D. (1975). Porpoise, dolphin, and small whale fisheries of the world: Status and problems. IUCN Monograph No.3. Morges. Switzerland.

Morozov, D. A. (1970). Dolphins hunting. Rybnoe Khoziaistvo. 46. \6-17.

Moss, S. A., & McFarland, W. N. (1970). The influence of dissolved oxygen and carbon dioxide on fish schooling behavior. Marine Biology. 5. 100-107.

Norris, K. S., & Dohl, T. P. (I 980a). The structure and functions of cetacean schools: In L. M. Herman (Ed.), Cetacean behavior : Mechanisms and functions (pp. 211-261). New York: Wiley.

Norris, K. S., & Dohl, T. P. (1980b). Behavior of the Hawaiian spinner dolphin, Stenella longirostris. Fishery Bulletin U.S., 77. 821-849.

Norris, K. S., & Ml'!hl, B. (1983). Can odontocetes debilitate prey with sound? American Naturalist, 122. 85-104.

Norris, K. S., & Prescott. 1. H. (1961). Observations on Pacific cetaceans of Californian and Mexican waters. University of California Publications in Zoology, 63, 291-402.

Norris, K. S., Stuntz. W. E .• & Rogers. W. (1978). The behavior of porpoises and tuna in the eastern tropical Pacific yellowfin tuna fishery-preliminary studies. Springfield. V A: NTIS Reporl PB-283 970, U.S. Department of Commerce.

Norris. K. S .• WUrsig, B., Wells. R. S., WUrsig. M .• Brownlee. S .. Johnson. c.. & Solon. J. (1985). The

Behavior of the Hawaiian Spinner Dolphin, Stenella longirostris. National Marine Fisheries Service Report LJ-85-06C. 213 p.

Perrin, W. F .• Warner. R. R .• Fiscus, C. H., & Holts. D. B. (1973). Stomach contents of porpoise, Stenella spp. and yellowfin tuna. Thunnus albacores. in mixed species aggregations. Fishery Bulletin U.S .. 71. 1077-1092.

Rigley. L. (1983). Dolphins feeding in a South Carolina salt marsh. Whalewatcher, Journal of the American Cetacean Society. 17. 3-5.

Schaller. G. B. (1972). The Serellgeti lioll. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Schmitt. R. J .• & Strand. S. W. (1982). Cooperative foraging by yellowtail. Seriola lalandei (Carangidae) on two species of fish prey. Copeia. 3. 714-717.

Shaw. E. (19'70). Schooling in fishes: Critique and review: In A. R. Aronson. E. Tobach. D. S. Lehnnan. & J. S. Rosenblatt (Eds.). Development and evolution of behavior (pp. 452-480). San Francisco: W. H. Freeman.

Tarpy. C. (1979). Killer whale attack. National Geographic Magazine. 155. 542-545.

Tayler. C. K .• & Saayman. G. S. (1972). The social organization and behavior of dolphins (Tursiops aduncus) and baboons (Papio ursinus): Some comparisons and assessments. Annals of the Cape Provincial Museum (Natural Historv). 9. 11-49.

Trivers. R. L. (1971). The evolution of reciprocal altruism. Quarterlv Review of Biology. 46. 3537.

Wells, R. S .. Irvine. A. B .. & Scott. M. D. (1980). The social ecology of inshore odontoctes: In L. M. Herman (Ed.). Cetacean behavior: Mechanisms and functions (pp. 263-318). New York: Wiley.

Wells. R. S .• WUrsig. B. G .• & Norris. K. S. (1981). A survey of the marine mammals of the upper Gulf of California. Mexico. with an assessment of the status of PllOcoena sinus. Springfield. VA: NTIS. U.S. Department of Commerce.

Wilson. E. O. (1975). Sociobiology. the new synthesis. Cambridge. MA: Belknap Press.

Woolfenden. G. E. (1975). Florida scrub jay helpers at the nest. Auk. 92. I-IS.

Wrangham. R. W. (1977). Feeding behaviour of chimpanzees in Gombe National Park, Tanzania. In T. H. Clutton-Brock (Ed.). Primate ecology (pp. 504-538). London: Academic Press.

WUrsig, B. (1978). Occurrence and group organization of Atlantic bottlenose porpoises (Tursiops truncalus) in an Argentine bay. Biological Bulletin. 154. 348-359.

WUrsig, B. (1979). Dolphins. Scientific American. 240. 136-148.

WUrsig, B., & WUrsig. M. (1979a). Behavior and ecology of the bottlenose dolphin. Tursiops truncatus. in the south Atlantic. Fishery Bulletill U.S .. 77. 399-412.

WUrsig. B., & WUrsig. M. (1979b). The day and the night of the dolphin. Natural History. 88. 6167.

WUrsig. B., & WUrsig. M. (1980). Behavior and ecology of the dusky dolphin. Lagenorhynchus obsCUTlis., in the south Atlantic. Fishery Bulletin U.S .. 77, 871-890.

19 19. Social Complexity and Cooperative Behavior in Delphinids

Axelrod, R., & Hamilton, W. D. (1981). The evolution of cooperation. Science. 211, \390-1396.

Best, P. (1979). Social organization in sperm whales. Physeter macrocephalus. In H. E. Winn & B. L. Olla (Eds.), Behavior of marine animals (Vol. 3). Cetaceans (pp. 227-289). New York: Plenum Press.

Benjaminsen, T .. & Christensen, I. (1979). The natural history of the bottlenose whale, Hyperoodon ampullalus. In H. E. Winn & B. L. Olla (Eds.), Behavior of marine mammals (Vol. 3). Cetaceans (pp. 143-164). New York: Plenum Press.

Boorman, S., & Levitt, P. (1980). The genetics of altruism. New York: Academic Press.

Bradbury, 1., & Vehrencamp, S. (1977). Social organization and foraging in emballonurid bats. III. Mating systems. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology, 2. 1-\7.

Brown, J. S., Sanderson, M. J., & Michod, R. E. (1982). Evolution of social behavior by reciprocation. lournal of Theoretical Biology, 99, 319-339.

Caldwell, M. c., & Caldwell, D. K. (1966). Epimeletic (care giving) behavior in cetacea. In K. S. Norris (Ed.), Whales, dolphins, and porpoises. (pp. 7SS-789). Berkeley: University of California Press.

Connor, R. C., & Norris, K. S. (1982). Are dolphins reciprocal altruists? American Nall/ralist, 119, 3S8-374.

Donovan, G. P. (Ed.). (in press). Behavior of whales in relation to managemelll. Reports of the International Whaling Commission (special issue 8). Cambridge, England.

Emlen, S. T., & Oring, L. W. (1977). Ecology, sexual selection and the evolution of mating systems. Science, 197, 2IS-223.

Engels, W. R. (1983). Evolution of altruistic behavior by kin selection: An alternative approach. Proceedings of the National Academv of Sciences, USA. 80. SIS-SI8.

Gaskin, D. E. (1982). The ecology of whales and dolphins. London: Heinemann Educational Books.

Hamilton, W. D. (1964). The genetical evolution of social behaviour. lournal of Theoretical Biology, 7, I-S2.

Irvine, A. B., Scott, M. D., Wells, R. S .. & Kaufmann. J. H. (1%1). Movements and activities of the Atlantic bottlenose dolphin near Sarasota, Florida, Fishen' Bullelin, 79, 671-688.

Jarman, P. J. (1974). The social organization of antelope in relation to their ecology. Behm'iour, 48. 2IS-267.

Krebs, J. R., & Davies, N. B. (Eds.). (1981). An illlroduclion to behavioural ecology. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Publications.

Leatherwood, S., & Walker, W. A. (1979). The northern right whale dolphins, Lissodelphis borealis, in the Eastern North Pacific. In H. E. Winn & B. L. Olla (Eds.). Behavior of marine mammals (Vol. 3. Cetaceans (pp. 85-141). New York: Plenum Press.

Lilly, J. C. (1967). The mind of the dolphin: A nonhuman intelligence. New York: Doubleday.

Morejohn, G. V. (1979). The natural history of Dall's porpoise in the North Pacific Ocean. In H. E. Winn & B. L. Olla (Eds.), Behavior of marine mammals (Vol. 3). Cetaceans (pp. 4S-83. New York: Plenum Press.

Norris, K., & Dohl, T. P. (1979). Behavior of the Hawaiian spinner dolphin, Stenella longirosrris. Fishery Bulletin, 77, 821-849.

Owings, D. H., & Virginia, R. A. (1978). Alarm calls of California ground squirrels (Spermophilus beecheyi). ZeitschriJt fiir Tierpsychologie, 46. 58-70.

Perrin, W. F., Brownell, Jr., R. L., DeMaster. D. P. (Eds.). (1984). Reproduclion in whales, dolphins, and porpoises. Reports of the International Whaling Commission (special issue 6). Cambridge, England.

Saayman, G. S., & Taylor, C. K. (1979). The socioecology of humpback dolphins (Sousa sp.). In H. E. Winn & B. L. Olla (Eds.), Behavior of marine mammals (Vol. 3), Celaceans (pp. 165226). New York: Plenum Press.

Schaffer. W. M. (1978). A note on the theory of reciprocal altruism. American Naturalist. 112. 250-253.

Sergeant. D. E. (1962). The biology of the pilot or pothead whale. Globicephala melaena. in Newfoundland waters. Bulletin a/the Fisheries Research Board. Canada. 132, 1-84.

Seyfarth. R. M .• Cheney. D. L.. & Marler. P. (1980). Monkey responses to three different alarm calls: Evidence of predator classification and semantic communication. Science, 210, 801-803.

Trivers. R. A. (1971). The evolution of reciprocal altruism. Quarterly Review 0/ Biology. 46, 3557.

Vehrencamp. S. L. (1980). The roles of individual. kin. and group selection in the evolution of sociality. In P. Marler & J. G. Vandenbergh (Eds.). Handbook a/behavioral neurobiology (Vol. 3). Social behavior and communication (pp. 351-394). New York: Plenum Press.

Wilkinson. J. (1984). Reciprocal food sharing in the vampire bat. Nature, 308, 181-184.

Wilson. D. S. (1977). Structured demes and the evolution of group advantageous traits. American Naturalist. 111. 157-185.

Wilson. D. S. (1980). The natural selection 0/ populations and communities. Menlo Park: Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Company.

Wilson. E. O. (1975). Sociobiology: The new synthesis. Cambridge. MA: The Belknap Press.

Wiirsig. B. (1978). Occurrence and group organization of Atlantic bottlenose porpoises (Tursiops truncatus) in an Argentine bay. Biological Bulletin, 154, 348-359.

Wiirsig. B .• & Wiirsig. M. (1979). Behavior and ecology of the bottlenose dolphin. Tursiops truncatus, ill the South Atlantic. Fishery Bulletin, 77, 399-412.

Wiirsig. B .• & Wiirsig. M. (1979). Behavior and ecology of the dusky dolphin. Lagenorhynchus obscurus. in the South Atlantic. Fishery Bulletin, 77, 871-890.