

Chapter Four

►► HOUSEHOLDS, WORK AND FLEXIBILITY Country Survey Reports

SLOVENIA

[Pavle Sicherl, Matija Remec, SICENTER]

[Contents]

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY [213]

1. PATTERNS OF WORK [215]

Background variables || A provisional categorization of flexibility || Patterns of time flexibility ||
Patterns of place flexibility || Patterns of flexibility of conditions || Patterns of career flexibility ||
Perceptions of flexibility

2. PATTERNS OF WORK [227]

The accumulation of different kinds of work || Patterns of informal and voluntary work

3. HOUSEHOLD ORGANISATION [230]

Domestic roles || Patterns of decision making in the household

4. WORK/HOUSEHOLD RELATIONS [234]

Integration of home and work || Employment and child care arrangements || Perceptions of
family/work arrangements

5. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON THREE FLEXIBILITY CATEGORIES IN SLOVENIA [241]

Categorization and work characteristics || Personal characteristics and social groups || Satisfaction
with various aspects of work || Decisions about various aspects of work || Possible work/family
conflicts || Personal perception of well being || Household income and durable goods

ANNEX [252]

Technical report on the survey || Additional tables || Abbreviations

REFERENCES [261]

[List of tables and figures]

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| Table 1.1. | Hours of work in main activity and all activities for respondents | 217 |
| Table 1.2. | Distribution of hours of work (male and female) for family members..... | 217 |
| Table 1.3. | Hours of work for all activities by three flexibility categories (per cent) (n=565)..... | 218 |
| Table 1.4. | Hours of work for all activities: urban - rural (n=584)..... | 218 |
| Table 1.5. | Working schedule by gender..... | 219 |
| Table 1.6. | Preference for hours of work in main activity by gender | 219 |
| Table 1.7. | Distribution of place of work by gender..... | 220 |
| Table 1.8. | Main activity - work in varying places by gender (n=569), per cent | 221 |
| Table 1.9. | Who decides on the place of work by gender (n=549), per cent | 221 |
| Table 1.10. | Decision on the place of work by social groups (n=512), per cent | 221 |
| Table 1.11. | Employment status of respondents | 222 |
| Table 1.12. | Types of contract that respondents have with their employer in the main activity | 222 |
| Table 1.13. | Different incomes of respondent by flexibility category (n=578), per cent | 223 |
| Table 1.14. | Changes that have occurred in the occupational life of the respondents since 1989 | 224 |
| Table 1.15. | If the respondent's employment changed, which of the following possibilities best describes his/her present situation? (n=562)..... | 224 |
| Table 1.16. | The main reason for the respondents' last change in employment (n=525) | 225 |
| Table 1.17. | How satisfied are you in general with your main work? (per cent) | 225 |
| Table 1.18. | Expressed preparedness for job changes under certain conditions, per cent | 227 |
| Table 2.1. | Answers to the question 'number of activities reported in the last 12 months' | 228 |
| Table 2.2. | Sources of income - multiple response..... | 228 |
| Table 2.3. | Number of activities in the last 12 months by flexibility categories (n=572) | 228 |
| Table 2.4. | Percentage of respondents and household members doing voluntary or unpaid work at least monthly in the last year. | 229 |
| Table 2.5. | Voluntary and unpaid work cross tabulated with selected variables..... | 230 |
| Table 3.1. | Domestic works: person who is mainly responsible for this activity..... | 231 |
| Table 3.2. | Ownership of dwellings (n=1002) | 232 |
| Table 3.3. | Permanent goods in the household, number of items..... | 232 |
| Table 3.4. | Percent owning permanent goods by household income class (sextiles)..... | 233 |
| Table 3.5. | Percent owning permanent goods by flexibility typology..... | 233 |
| Table 3.6. | General satisfaction with the way of living and economic situation. | 233 |
| Table 3.7. | Opinion about changes in the economic situation in the household | 234 |
| Table 3.8. | Do you and your other household members usually agree or disagree about the following things (per cent) | 234 |
| Table 4.1. | Average time per day spent on individual activities by people aged 10 and over, by sex, Slovenia April-September 2000 - counting only primary activities..... | 235 |
| Table 4.2. | Average time per day spent on individual activities by people aged 10 and over, by age and sex..... | 236 |
| Table 4.3. | Household size by family typology and urban/rural environment, per cent..... | 237 |
| Table 4.4. | Willingness to retrain for another profession (n=907)..... | 237 |
| Table 4.5. | Willingness to learn a new foreign language (n=918) | 237 |
| Table 4.6. | How often have you experienced the following in the last three months? (n=1008) | 238 |

| | | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| Table 4.7. | Work makes it difficult for the respondents to do some of the household tasks that need to be done (in per cent)..... | 239 |
| Table 4.8. | My work makes it difficult to fulfil my responsibilities towards my family and other important persons in my life (per cent)..... | 240 |
| Table 5.1a. | Flexibility grouping into eight categories | 242 |
| Table 5.1b. | Flexibility grouping into three categories..... | 242 |
| Table 5.2. | Work characteristics (in per cent)..... | 244 |
| Table 5.3. | Personal characteristics and social groups (in per cent)..... | 247 |
| Table 5.4. | Satisfaction with various aspects of work (in per cent) | 248 |
| Table 5.5. | Possible work/family conflicts (in per cent) | 250 |
| Table 5.6. | Household income in sextiles by flexibility typology (n=445) | 251 |
| Table A1.0. | Main independent variables by gender (in per cent) | 253 |
| Table A1.1. | Hours of work and personal income by sextiles (in per cent) | 254 |
| Table A1.2. | Reasons for preference for working fewer hours | 254 |
| Table A1.3. | Reasons for preference for working same hours | 254 |
| Table A4.1. | My responsibilities towards my family and other important persons in my life prevented me from doing my work adequately (in per cent) | 255 |
| Table A4.2. | I have to take work from my employment home to finish (in per cent) | 256 |
| Table A4.3. | I prefer to spend more time at work than to spend more time at home (in per cent)..... | 257 |
| Table A4.4. | Hours of work and perceptions of work/family conflict (in per cent) | 258 |
| Table A5.1. | Decisions about various aspects of work (in per cent) | 258 |
| Table A5.2. | Possible conflicts on agreements (in per cent) | 259 |
| Table A5.3. | Personal perception of well being (in per cent) | 260 |
| Table A5.4. | Personal income in sextiles by flexibility typology (n=464) (per cent)..... | 260 |

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The results of the Slovenian survey confirmed that temporary jobs are already an important category of flexible arrangements; their share in employment at around 12 per cent is about the same as for the EU15 average. However, part time jobs are much less frequent in our sample and are not an important category at all. The most common incidence is for a 40-hour week in the main activity. Men work more hours per week than women in the main activity and in all activities. In addition, 18 per cent indicated that they did voluntary work and 50 per cent unpaid work for a friend or a relative at least once monthly. The dominant working schedule is regular working hours (45 per cent), shift work applies to 22 per cent, and 11 per cent have the possibility of flexitime. About 30 per cent would prefer to work fewer hours than now and only about 5 per cent more hours. A high 39 per cent of men and 50 per cent of women commute daily to a place of work outside of the locality in which they live; about 6 per cent work at home. Permanent contracts are still the most prevalent (64 per cent), followed by fixed term contract (12 per cent) and self employment (8 per cent).

With regard to their position in the main activity, Slovenians are in general satisfied (66 per cent or more are somewhat satisfied and very satisfied) with other aspects of job satisfaction, but not with earnings (only 38 per cent in those two categories). Work is placed high in the system of values in Slovenia. Nearly 50 per cent of the respondents also state that they have never experi-

enced a situation when their work would make it difficult to do some necessary household tasks or to fulfil the responsibility towards their family and other important persons in the last three months. The conflict between work and household tasks was not reported as a major issue, only 2 per cent always experience such a conflict and another 8 per cent experience it often. The family-work pattern is different for families with children, but the expressed level of conflict is low. Slovenian households are rather well equipped with household goods, and 78 per cent live in their own house or flat, additional 13 per cent are not paying rent. A rather high percentage of respondents (from 39 per cent to 46 per cent) say that they are those who are mainly responsible for the domestic activities asked, with a clear gender division of labour. The time use study shows that the combined time for domestic and employment roles accounts for about 27 per cent of all time available to men and for about 31 per cent of all time available to women – the rest is spare time and time for primary needs.

In section 5 we have developed a concept of flexibility that could distinguish between desirable and undesirable forms of flexibility. We first grouped respondents into eight categories, combining them later into three major groups; the major criterion was employment status of the respondent, combined with some other 'objective' characteristics of flexibility. These three groups are: flexibility group A (flexible workers for whom the flexibility seems to be a preferred pat-

tern of work), flexibility group B (shift and irregular work patterns, temporary jobs and others), and standard employment group C (non flexible full time employment, regular working schedule, one activity). This produces statistically significant differences with respect to work characteristics: e.g. people in flexibility group A undertake more work activities, more hours of work per week, have a more flexible schedule, as well as a more varied type of contract and place of work. This group is more likely to have higher incomes and

more household goods, including Internet and PCs. They also have more satisfaction with earnings but less with working hours. On the other hand, flexibility group B are more often disadvantaged. The three flexibility categories show very significant differences in ('objective') characteristics related to work and practically no significant differences in ('subjective') opinions about possible work/family conflicts or agreement on various household issues.

1. PATTERNS OF WORK

1.1. Background variables

In the survey of the 1008 respondents, 53.5 per cent were women and 46.5 per cent were men. The largest number of households by size were households with four members (29.7 per cent) followed by households with three members (23.1 per cent), households with two members (19.9 per cent) and those with five or more members (19.5 per cent); there were only 7.1 per cent of one member households. Probably the most important family typology is the division into households with and those without children. In the Slovenian survey, the share of households with children under 15 years is 31.1 per cent; the share of households without children under 15 years is 68.9 per cent.

Respondents were classified by age into four groups. Of the 1006 respondents 46.5 per cent fell into the age group 26-50, 21.4 per cent into the age group 51-65, 16.6 per cent were older than 65, and 15.5 per cent belonged to the age group 18-25 years. With respect to urban/rural situation, 56 per cent of the respondents came from urban and 44 per cent from rural environment.

The economic standard of the households is analysed here in terms of ownership of dwellings,

ownership of permanent goods in the households and by household income (sextiles). With respect to the ownership of dwellings, about 78 per cent of the respondents live in their own dwellings; an additional 13 per cent are not paying rent. More than 98 per cent own a washing machine, a refrigerator, and a colour TV, 95 per cent possess telephones and about 90 per cent of households own a car and a freezer. For this group of products there is little differentiation. However, there is a significant relationship between income and Internet access, PC, satellite dish/cable TV and ownership of a second dwelling (house or flat).

For the variable 'social group of respondent', we are here using an additional variable, which was available in the joint survey in Slovenia and thus dividing the respondents into three social groups: working class (31.5 per cent), middle class (51.9 per cent) and upper middle class (5.9 per cent) - 10.5 per cent of respondents do not fit in those three classes. As far as work typology is concerned, in the next section a more detailed explanation is provided of how a provisional categorization of flexibility groups was defined.

1.2. A provisional categorization of flexibility

In this section we shall discuss a provisional categorization of the survey respondents in different categories of flexibility. This grouping will serve the purpose of initiating some hypotheses as to

how certain aspects of flexibility could be arranged in broader groups that could be more subject to further empirical analysis. There are many aspects of flexibility, the broadest subdivision probably

being the flexibility concerns of enterprises and flexibility concerns of households. For our study the latter is more important, although the actual implementation of policies and realizations of intentions of both sides happen only in interaction with the labour and product markets.

One possible approach for an operational definition of flexibility is that flexibility is contrasted to the standard form of arrangements. In terms of work flexibility, the standard form of employment, which is seemingly also the most desirable form from the point of view of job security in Slovenia, is a permanent contract for full time employment with a regular working schedule. In combining the empirical prevalence of such cases with the prevailing subjective preference, we will form the first of the three major categories of employment as those employed full time, with regular working schedule and only one economic activity. This category will be labelled 'standard pattern of employment'. This means that the rest of cases could be labelled flexible forms of employment. Such a dichotomous variable has an advantage of being simple, but the simplicity is outweighed by at least two disadvantages. One disadvantage is that the highest level of aggregation of various flexibility forms encompasses too many diverse categories. In general, the higher the level of disaggregation, the more specific conditions can be taken into account; but the price for using many categories is small cell sizes (especially in surveys) and problems of using the results to generalize for policy purposes. It is difficult to find the proper balance between these two aspects. The second disadvantage is related to the fact that some forms of flexibility are very desirable from the point of view of the respondent, while some other forms of flexibility might be imposed on him/her as unfavourable conditions, which he/she has to accept to get the job.

In this report we have used several subdivisions of flexible (non-standard) employment forms. One was to break down these forms into eight subcategories. There were advantages in

doing so, but in many cases it was difficult to draw statistically significant conclusions. Therefore, we have broken down the flexible (non-standard) employment forms into two major groups: flexible employment A and flexible employment B. The flexible employment B category was formed by adding together several forms of flexibility that entail some negative elements. Of course, it is not possible to be sure whether the breakdown into eight categories used in this process (see Tables 5.1a and 5.1b) is appropriate or not, without knowing the subjective evaluations of the persons involved. However, as a first approximation one can start from such assumptions and in a later analysis repeat the exercise with a more refined categorization. In the flexible employment B category there are those who work shift-work, those who work irregular hours, those working with fixed contracts, part time employees, casual workers and those employed but laid off. One can call these forms 'involuntary' or 'undesirable' forms of flexibility. The flexible employment category A encompasses flexible people employed full time with more than one economic activity or having a possibility of flexitime, or self-employed, or students with additional jobs as well as the retired doing additional jobs. This approximation is meant to indicate the likelihood of 'voluntary' or 'desirable' forms of flexibility.

In the empirical analysis, this categorization into three groups – flexible employment A, flexible employment B and full time regular schedule – provided interesting results that could be helpful in planning further work on the national reports or on analysis of surveys and possibly later in the comparative stage of the research across nations. The initial results can be helpful in searching for a more precise, yet pragmatic definition of flexibility at this level of analysis. With this categorization several interesting significant differences between the three groups were established from the Slovenian survey data, which will be discussed in more detail in Chapter 5.

1.3. Patterns of time flexibility

The normal pattern is the 40 hour working week in the main activity, as shown by the modus of the distribution, and this can be considered as the standard against which the non-standard patterns of hours of work can be discussed. A clear majority of all answers refer to that exact figure of 40 hours per week. If we take a slightly wider class from 37 to 42 hours, about 57 per cent of all fall into this category, 11 per cent work less than 36 hours and about 32 per cent work 43 or more hours. It is to the groups below and above the

standard working hours that the analysis of the time flexibility has to pay more attention. If we take into consideration all activities (i.e. some respondents work in more than one activity), then the share of those working 43 or more hours increases to nearly 40 per cent. Table 1.2 adds some information on hours of work for family members of the respondents. For this group the share of the 'standard' working week (37-42 hours) is 70 per cent, which is considerably higher than for the main activity of the respondents.

Table 1.1. Hours of work in main activity and all activities for respondents

| Hours of work | Main activity | | All activities | | Main activity * | | All activities * | |
|--------------------|---------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|
| | n | % | n | % | M (%) | F (%) | M (%) | F (%) |
| Less than 36 hours | 63 | 10.8 | 58 | 9.9 | 7.9 | 14.3 | 7.3 | 13.1 |
| From 37 to 42 | 330 | 56.7 | 296 | 50.7 | 50.3 | 64.3 | 42.3 | 60.7 |
| From 43 to 50 | 106 | 18.2 | 113 | 19.4 | 23.1 | 12.4 | 23.7 | 14.2 |
| More than 50 hours | 83 | 14.3 | 117 | 20.0 | 18.7 | 9.0 | 26.8 | 12.0 |
| Total | 582 | 100.0 | 584 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Note Significance level of chi-square tests: * 0.01.

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

Table 1.2. Distribution of hours of work (male and female) for family members

| Distribution of hours of work (male and female) | M | F | Total |
|---|-------|-------|--------|
| | n=552 | n=456 | n=1008 |
| Less than 36 hours | 6.9 | 10.3 | 8.4 |
| From 37 to 42 | 66.8 | 72.8 | 69.5 |
| From 43 to 50 | 14.7 | 12.1 | 13.6 |
| More than 50 hours | 11.6 | 4.8 | 8.5 |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

Men are working more hours in employment per week than women. In the group of respondents with one or more economic activities, when analyzing the hours of work for the main activity, 42 per cent of men work more than the standard hours, and only 21 per cent of women. If hours of work in all activities are taken into account, the difference is even greater, 50 per cent of men

work more than standard hours; the corresponding number for women is 26 per cent. On the other hand, the percentage of women in the category less than 36 hours is higher than that for men. Thus, the gender differences with respect to hours of work, both in the main activity and in all activities, are statistically significant at the 1 per cent significance level. Cross-tabulation of hours

of work with age groups shows that the age group of 26 to 50 years is concentrated in the standard category 37-42 hours; younger and older respon-

dents show a wider distribution, i.e. they work shorter or longer hours.

Table 1.3. Hours of work for all activities by three flexibility categories (per cent) (n=565)

| | Flexibility group A | Flexibility group B | Standard group C |
|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Less than 36 hours | 14.8 | 11.9 | 1.7 |
| From 37 to 42 | 21.4 | 55.0 | 75.7 |
| From 43 to 50 | 23.1 | 16.8 | 18.8 |
| More than 50 hours | 40.7 | 16.3 | 3.9 |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

The most interesting variables related to hours of work of the respondents are income of the individual and of the household, urban-rural disaggregation, working schedule and preferences for fewer, more or the same hours of work.

Table 1.4. Hours of work for all activities: urban – rural (n=584)

| Hours of work | Urban | Rural | Total |
|--------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Less than 36 | 11.9 | 7.4 | 9.9 |
| From 37 to 42 | 48.9 | 52.9 | 50.7 |
| From 43 to 50 | 20.2 | 18.3 | 19.3 |
| More than 50 hours | 19.0 | 21.4 | 20.0 |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

A higher than the average share of persons working 36 or fewer hours is observed in urban areas, most probably as a result of the greater availability of non-standard work possibilities. The fact that the lowest sextile of personal income distribution shows a distinctly higher share of people working fewer hours per week than other sextiles is also very pronounced. On the other hand, the highest class of personal incomes shows the highest share of persons working more than the average number of hours, while 52 per cent of those from the highest group of income work more than 43 hours in all activities (45 per cent in the case for hours of work in the first activity), which is much higher than in the lowest groups of personal income. These differences are somewhat less pronounced when the cross-tabulation of hours of

work is done with household income, but the pattern is still very clear.

In addition to hours of work, working schedule is an important variable in analysing the time flexibility. About 45 per cent of respondents work regular working hours, which were in the survey defined as the pattern of working Monday morning to Friday afternoon. Flexitime was defined as the possibility that while working regular working hours one can start or finish work earlier or later. About 10 per cent of men and 12 per cent of women are in this category. Shift work is important for a substantial minority – 21 per cent of men and 24 per cent of women. The numbers with an irregular working schedule are also substantial, about 18 per cent of men and 15 per cent of women.

A very interesting pattern of expressed preferences is presented in Table 1.6. A very clear majority of both men and women (about 63 per cent) prefer to work the same hours as they are working now. Even more interesting is the division of expressed preferences between working more or fewer hours. The predominant preference is for working fewer hours (about 29 per cent of both men and women) while only about 4 per cent indicate that they would prefer to work more hours.

While the reasons for choosing to work fewer hours or the same hours were broken down in eight categories in the questionnaire, it is of interest that other reasons than those suggested in the first seven categories are also important (23 per

cent of reasons for working fewer hours and 14 per cent of reasons for working the same number of hours). As the most important reason for wanting to work the same number of hours, 25 per cent of men and 36 per cent of women indicate that in such a way they can meet their domestic commitments and spend time with their family. An important reason (about 20 per cent for both men and women) is that they would not like (or are not able) to work more hours. It is also interesting that 25 per cent of men and 9 per cent of women indicate that they are earning enough by working the present number of hours.

As mentioned above, among those who would prefer a change in the number of hours of

work, those preferring to work fewer hours outnumber those who would prefer to work more hours by at least 6:1. The most important reason for working fewer hours is their preference to spending more time with their family (or fulfilling domestic commitments); 30 per cent of men and 44 per cent of women who would prefer to work fewer hours stated that reason. The next category of expressed reason in line is other reasons (24 per cent of men and 21 per cent of women) followed by the wish not to work long hours. About 7 per cent would like to work fewer hours in order to undertake education or training (see the respective tables in the appendix).

Table 1.5. Working schedule by gender

| Working schedule by gender | Male | | Female | | Total | |
|---|------------|--------------|------------|--------------|------------|--------------|
| | n | % | n | % | n | % |
| Regular working hours (Monday morning to Friday afternoons) | 147 | 47.1 | 113 | 43.3 | 260 | 45.4 |
| Shift work | 64 | 20.5 | 63 | 24.1 | 127 | 22.2 |
| Flexitime | 30 | 9.6 | 32 | 12.3 | 62 | 10.8 |
| Other regular schedule | 16 | 5.1 | 12 | 4.6 | 28 | 4.9 |
| Irregular, it varies | 55 | 17.6 | 39 | 14.9 | 94 | 16.4 |
| DK/NA | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.8 | 2 | 0.3 |
| Total | 312 | 100.0 | 261 | 100.0 | 573 | 100.0 |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

Table 1.6. Preference for hours of work in main activity by gender

| Preference for hours of work in main activity by gender | Male | | Female | | Total | |
|---|------------|--------------|------------|--------------|------------|--------------|
| | n | % | n | % | n | % |
| The same hours | 197 | 62.7 | 167 | 63.7 | 364 | 63.2 |
| More hours | 16 | 5.1 | 9 | 3.4 | 25 | 4.3 |
| Fewer hours | 91 | 29.0 | 75 | 28.6 | 166 | 28.8 |
| DK/NA | 10 | 3.2 | 11 | 4.2 | 21 | 3.6 |
| Total | 314 | 100.0 | 262 | 100.0 | 576 | 100.0 |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

1.4. Patterns of place flexibility

The most frequent arrangement for place of work is found in the category 'different locality to which one commutes': 39 per cent of men and 50 per cent of women fall into this category. This means that there is a high percentage of workers in Slovenia who daily commute to a place of work that is outside of the locality in which they live.

Slovenia does not have a very high concentration of population in the capital city; the pattern of population settlement is not very concentrated. This means that people have to commute to the place of work, the distribution of which is more concentrated than the distribution of population settlement.

Table 1.7. Distribution of place of work by gender

| Place of work | Male | | Female | | Total | |
|--|------------|--------------|------------|--------------|------------|--------------|
| | n | % | n | % | n | % |
| At home | 20 | 6.4 | 13 | 4.9 | 33 | 5.7 |
| Combined at home and elsewhere | 20 | 6.4 | 7 | 2.6 | 27 | 4.7 |
| Within the locality where you live | 104 | 33.2 | 103 | 38.9 | 207 | 35.8 |
| Within a different locality to which you commute | 123 | 39.3 | 133 | 50.2 | 256 | 44.3 |
| Abroad | 5 | 1.6 | 1 | 0.4 | 6 | 1.0 |
| Always changing | 40 | 12.8 | 8 | 3.0 | 48 | 8.3 |
| Other situation | 1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.2 |
| Total | 313 | 100.0 | 265 | 100.0 | 578 | 100.0 |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

Obviously, the greatest difference is between urban and rural areas; 56 per cent of employed from the urban areas work in the same place as they reside; another 4 per cent of them work at home. In contrast, 68 per cent of employed from rural areas work in a place other than the settlement in which they live. As far as gender differences are concerned, 13 per cent of men as against 3 per cent of women always change their place of work. As far as social groups are concerned, 49 per cent of respondents from the working class work in a different locality to which they commute, as against only 30 per cent of the upper middle class. The category of workers who always change their place of work is pronounced, with 15 per cent in the upper middle class, followed by 11 per cent of the working class and 5 per cent of the middle class falling into this category. As far as the combination of age and place of work is concerned, older people are much more inclined to work at home than younger people and less inclined to commute. The highest percentage of those com-

muting is to be found in the 18-25 year and the 26-50 year groups with 53 per cent and 46 per cent, respectively, as opposed to only 29 per cent of workers older than 50 years. The differences with respect to the place of work are not related to household income; across all sextiles the differences in percentages are not pronounced. Differences are slightly higher for personal income, a higher percentage of the lower two sextiles that work at home is most probably an indication that they could not find another job.

With respect to changing place of work for the main activity, profound differences are found in comparing men and women; 85 per cent of women and 55 per cent of men stated that they never vary the place of work in their main activity. Again, nearly 19 per cent of men vary the place of work every day as against 2 per cent of women. As far as social groups are concerned, about 70 per cent of those belonging to the working class and to the middle class, never change the place of work, as against only 44 per cent for the

upper middle class. Lower income groups seem to experience less flexibility as far as varying the place of work is concerned.

An important point with respect to the flexibility of place is who decides about this flexibility. About 20 per cent respondents can decide on the place of work by themselves, 24 per cent of men and 16 per cent of women. The prevailing trend is that the employer decides on the place of work and this is the case for 55 per cent of men and 69 per cent of women. When the flexibility of decisions with respect to the place of work is analysed across the three social groups, the percentage of those who decide by themselves is the highest in the upper middle class and lowest in the working class, while the direction is of course reversed for the percentage of those whose place of work is decided by the employer, which is much higher for the working class than for the middle or the upper middle class.

Table 1.8. Main activity – work in varying places by gender (n=569), per cent

| | Male (n=310) | Female (n=259) |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Never | 55 | 85 |
| Varies some other way | 9 | 4 |
| According to seasons | 4 | 1 |
| Each month | 5 | 2 |
| Each week | 9 | 7 |
| Each day | 19 | 2 |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

Table 1.9. Who decides on the place of work by gender (n=549), per cent

| | Male (n=305) | Female (n=244) |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| I decide | 24 | 16 |
| Employer decides | 55 | 69 |
| Employer and I decide together | 10 | 7 |
| It is outside our control | 12 | 9 |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

Table 1.10. Decision on the place of work by social groups (n=512), per cent

| | Working class (n=170) | Middle class (n=309) | Upper middle class (n=33) |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| I decide | 14 | 23 | 30 |
| Employer decides | 72 | 59 | 42 |
| Employer and I decide together | 5 | 9 | 15 |
| It is outside our control | 10 | 9 | 12 |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

1.5. Patterns of flexibility of conditions

The conditions of work can be studied from many angles, including the hours and place of work, which were discussed already in the previous two sections. Further possibilities for analyzing the conditions of work are employment status, form of contract, number of activities worked and different sources of income. In the survey the respondents had the possibility to answer the question about different sources of income as a multiple response question. The results are presented in Table 2.2. The most important sources of in-

come were wage or salary (52 per cent of cases), pensions (30 per cent of cases) and additional job (10 per cent of cases).

Table 1.11 shows the employment status of respondents. The two most important groups in these categorizations are those employed full time (about 40 per cent of total answers) and retired from paid work (about 27 per cent of all answers). There are about 7 per cent of unemployed family members, which is very close to the aggregate figure for unemployment in Slovenia by the ILO

definition. As this question about employment status was also a multiple response question, 425 of respondents were employed full-time, which is about 42 per cent of 1008 respondents in the survey.

Table 1.11. Employment status of respondents

| | n | % |
|--|-------------|--------------|
| Employed full time | 425 | 39.6 |
| Employed part time | 10 | 0.9 |
| Employed on fixed contract | 62 | 5.8 |
| In employment but temporarily laid off | 4 | 0.4 |
| Self employed | 42 | 3.9 |
| Casual worker (working from on a day to day arrangement) | 36 | 3.4 |
| Farmer | 22 | 2.1 |
| Pupil/student in education or training | 87 | 8.1 |
| Government training scheme | 0 | 0.0 |
| Unpaid worker in family business | 4 | 0.4 |
| Unemployed | 60 | 5.6 |
| Retired from paid work | 279 | 26.0 |
| Housekeeper | 30 | 2.8 |
| Sick or disabled | 9 | 0.8 |
| Other | 3 | 0.3 |
| Total | 1073 | 100.0 |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

Table 1.12. Types of contract that respondents have with their employer in the main activity

| Type of contract | n | % |
|---|------------|------------|
| Permanent contract | 365 | 64 |
| Fixed term contract | 69 | 12 |
| Self employed | 45 | 8 |
| No contract | 30 | 5 |
| With a temporary work agency | 16 | 3 |
| On a fee only basis | 13 | 2 |
| Contract but with reduced or no working time | 12 | 2 |
| 'On call' subject to requirements of employment | 11 | 2 |
| On a work experience project | 2 | 0 |
| Don't know, no answer | 10 | 2 |
| Total | 573 | 100 |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

The share of those retired from paid work is about 28 per cent, which is high, but in agreement with the results of the Household Expenditure Survey for 1998, where this share was close to 30 per cent. This high percentage of pensioners was influenced by a high level of retirements at a lower age in the trough of the depression in the beginning of 1990s, when the average age of those retiring fell by two years for men and for 3 years for women (Stanovnik, 2001).

When analyzing the group of respondents who had at least one economic activity in the last twelve months it is shown that the predominant pattern of contract in Slovenia is still a permanent contract, with 64 per cent of respondents giving this response. When asked the reason, 2.5 per cent responded that they could not get a permanent contract and another 3.6 per cent indicated that they could only get a contract for a shorter time. Those who responded that they did not wish to have a permanent contract (only 1.2 per cent) mentioned that the main reason for this is the wish to continue their education.

Having a fixed-term contract is reported by 12 per cent of the respondents, who answered the question as to what sort of contract they had with their employer in their main activity. This category is second most important after the prevailing category of a permanent contract. The fixed-term contract, however, has been increasing as a form of contract in recent times, as many people who are entering new employment are offered this rather than a permanent contract. The figure is close to about 10 per cent of persons with temporary employment as a percentage of all persons in employment in 1998 in the Household Expenditure Survey. It is of interest to observe that the share of people in temporary employment is declining from the lowest to the highest income deciles for both men and women (Stanovnik, 2001). In terms of international comparisons, the 12 per cent share of contracts of limited duration in Slovenia is very close to the EU15 average and to the situation in the Netherlands and Sweden, while the share in the UK is considerably lower. Also the gender dis-

tribution of temporary jobs is quite similar to that in the Netherlands and Sweden.

Part time employment results show a very different picture. Firstly, as seen in Table 1.11, only 1 per cent of the respondents answered that they are employed part time, even in a multiple response question. Here the results of the HWF survey are very different from the percentage reported in the statistics on part time employment by SORS. The statistical office namely defines part time employment as employment of less than 36 hours per week. In such a way Slovenia is then quoted in international comparisons with part time employment of about 6 per cent of total employment in 2000 (European Commission, 2001). The corresponding percentage arising from the HWF survey is about 2 per cent. Thus, part time employment is in Slovenia probably much lower than shown in the official statistics. Secondly, whether one or the other estimate is taken into account, in Slovenia part time employment is much lower than in the EU countries participating in the HWF project (the Netherlands, Sweden and the UK).

Employment status was also the main, though not the exclusive criteria for the provisional flexibility categorization into the three

groups explained in section 2 of this chapter and further elaborated in Chapter 5. When sources of income are cross-tabulated with the three flexibility categories, it can be observed that the situation is quite different among these three flexibility categories. The group 'full time and regular schedule, one economic activity' is practically exclusively dependent on wages and salaries (100 per cent of responses), with the addition of other social transfers, which do not depend on the condition of work but on the social security conditions. Flexible employment group B is substantially more diversified with respect to the sources of income, but still very much concentrated in the wage or salary category (82.8 per cent of responses). Flexible employment group A has a much higher incidence of different and additional incomes: wage and salary is reported by 51.6 per cent of respondents as being the main source of income, 34 per cent of respondents report income from additional jobs. There are also important income sources among Group A flexibility respondents (self employed earnings for 17.6 per cent of responses and profit from a business for 8.0 per cent of respondents) which are practically negligible in the other two groups.

Table 1.13. Different incomes of respondent by flexibility category (n=578), per cent

| | Flexibility group A (n=188) | Flexibility group B (n=209) | Standard group C (n=181) |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Wage or salary * | 51.6 | 82.8 | 100 |
| Self employed earnings * | 17.6 | 2.9 | |
| Income from additional jobs (can be occasional and / or casual work) * | 34.0 | 11.5 | 2.2 |
| Income from own farming or agricultural production (including produce) * | 9.0 | 3.8 | |
| Pension * | 13.3 | 1.4 | 0.6 |
| Unemployment benefit * | 0.5 | 3.8 | |
| Grant or scholarship for education and training, including loans * | 9.6 | | 1.1 |
| Income from investments, savings or rents from properties * | 6.4 | 0.5 | 1.7 |
| Profit from a business * | 8.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| Private transfers (e.g. alimony, or payment from others such as parents) * | 9.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| Other sources | 9.0 | 3.8 | 3.3 |
| Other social transfers (e.g. child allowance, parental leave) | 14.9 | 12.4 | 21.0 |
| None, the respondent had no income last month | 0.5 | 0.5 | |

Note Significance level of chi-square tests: * 0.01.

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

1.6. Patterns of career flexibility

In the first decade of transition, employment opportunities changed radically. Indeed, the changes in the labour market were much more profound than the changes in the levels of production. The general trends are discussed in the Country Context Report for Slovenia. In this section the changes of the respondents in their occupational life in the last decade are presented. About 19 per cent entered employment for the first time and about 16 per cent retired from employment. In a considerable number of cases, retirement was due to the deteriorating economic situation and the corresponding problems in the employment field that were shortsightedly alleviated by early retirement schemes. About 13 per cent reported that they lost employment once and 12 per cent reported that they changed employment more than once. When one adds those who started to work in a second job (7 per cent) or started private business (6 per cent), it is obvious that considerable changes have taken place during the transition.

What is of interest, however, is that the most frequent answers to two questions, describing the most characteristic factor for the present situation and describing the reason for the last change in employment, were 'other situation' (20 per cent) and 'other reason' (41 per cent). That means that several categories offered as answers to these questions did not cover the range of reasons for changing jobs.

The most important specific reason was retirement (19 per cent), followed by change to a different company, which had existed already prior to 1990 (16 per cent), working in the same place in a firm that has been reorganized or privatized (13 per cent) and working for a different company established after 1990 (8 per cent). The rest reported that they had started their own business (6 per cent), are unemployed and looking for a job (6 per cent), decided to stay at home (5 per cent), do casual work (3 per cent), or went to work in agriculture (1 per cent).

Table 1.14. Changes that have occurred in the occupational life of the respondents since 1989

| Changes | % | n |
|---------------------------------------|----|-----|
| Changed employment only once | 20 | 918 |
| Entered employment for the first time | 19 | 927 |
| Retired from employment | 16 | 926 |
| Promoted to a higher position | 16 | 920 |
| Lost employment only once | 13 | 917 |
| Changed employment more than once | 12 | 923 |
| Changed profession only once | 11 | 914 |
| Started to work in a second job | 7 | 912 |
| Started private business | 6 | 919 |
| Changed profession more than once | 5 | 911 |
| Lost employment more than once | 3 | 912 |
| Demoted to a lower position | 3 | 909 |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

Table 1.15. If the respondent's employment changed, which of the following possibilities best describes his/her present situation? (n=562)

| Situation | % |
|---|----|
| Other situation | 20 |
| Retired | 19 |
| Went to a different company that existed in some form already prior to 1990. | 16 |
| Works basically in the same place, but the firm has been reorganized or privatized. | 13 |
| Went to a different company, which was established in or after 1990. | 8 |
| Started your own business. | 6 |
| Unemployed (looking for a job) | 6 |
| Stays at home | 5 |
| Does casual work (where and when you find it) | 3 |
| DK/NA | 3 |
| Went to work in agriculture | 1 |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

Some of these changes in employment were forced upon the respondents (the company was closed or reorganized or they were made redundant or were working in unsatisfactory employment). On the other hand, some of them wanted to become self-employed, or were offered a more interesting position. Many describe negative rather than positive reasons for their last change in employment. However, firm conclusions are difficult to draw because 41 per cent of respondents cited 'other reasons' as the main reason for their last change in employment.

Table 1.16. The main reason for the respondents' last change in employment (n=525)

| Reasons | % |
|--|----|
| Other reason | 41 |
| The company was closed or reorganized | 20 |
| Offer of a more interesting position | 12 |
| Production was cut down, economies were introduced /they were made redundant | 9 |
| Unsatisfied with the employment | 8 |
| DK/NA | 6 |
| Wanted to become self employed | 4 |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

1.7. Perceptions of flexibility

Firstly, the answers about subjective satisfaction with the main work activity and various aspects of work will be analysed. In general, the answers to the question 'how satisfied are you with your main work?' show that the respondents are quite satisfied with their main work: 14 per cent are very satisfied and 57 per cent are somewhat satisfied, with another 20 per cent neither satisfied nor dissatisfied. This leaves about 7 per cent of those

that are somewhat dissatisfied and about 2 per cent that are very dissatisfied.

When asked about their satisfaction with various aspects of work, it is of interest to observe that the highest degree of satisfaction was expressed for location of work and duration of contract. These two categories are followed by satisfaction with stability of work and hours of work; and the least satisfaction is expressed with respect to the earnings from the work.

Table 1.17. How satisfied are you in general with your main work? (per cent)

| | In general with your main work | Stability of your work | Duration of your contract | Your hours of work | Your location of work | Your earnings |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| Very satisfied | 14.2 | 13.5 | 16.6 | 8.5 | 19.7 | 5.7 |
| Somewhat satisfied | 56.8 | 51.3 | 49.3 | 58.2 | 63.9 | 32.2 |
| Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied | 20.4 | 17.5 | 6.4 | 17.4 | 10.9 | 31.1 |
| Somewhat dissatisfied | 6.6 | 10.4 | 4.7 | 12.6 | 3.3 | 20.2 |
| Very dissatisfied | 1.7 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 8.8 |
| Not applicable | 0.2 | 2.4 | 17.8 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| Don't know, no answer | 0.2 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1.2 |
| n= | 579 | 577 | 578 | 579 | 579 | 578 |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

In Table 1.7 it was established that 39 per cent of men and 50 per cent of women work in a different locality to which they commute. Notwithstanding that, 20 per cent of the respondents were very

satisfied with their location of work, and 64 per cent somewhat satisfied with their location of work, while less than 5 per cent were somewhat or very dissatisfied. It seems that there is a high

degree of tolerance with respect to traveling to work. In a survey by the Statistical Office in 1999 the answers showed that about 60 per cent of employed persons would be prepared to commute to work. This percentage included also employed persons who were already commuting and would be prepared to spend more time doing so. For unemployed persons this share was a lot higher, about 94 per cent of them were prepared to commute to work (SORS, 1999, p.11).

With respect to the expressed satisfaction with the duration of the contract, nearly one half of the respondents were somewhat satisfied and one sixth were even very satisfied, which may be the consequence of the fact that about 64 per cent of the respondents, who were answering the question about the sort of contract that they have with the employer in their main activity, had a permanent contract. It will be of interest to see what the expressed satisfaction with the duration of contract is with those segments of respondents who had contracts of fixed duration or other non-permanent arrangements. The situation with the satisfaction with stability of work shows similar results: about two thirds of the respondents were somewhat satisfied or very satisfied with the stability of work. The interesting difference is that there is a higher percentage of those somewhat dissatisfied with stability of work (about 10 per cent) than with the duration of the contract (about 5 per cent). Even a slightly wider distribution was observed with the satisfaction with hours of work. Nearly two thirds of the respondents were somewhat satisfied and very satisfied with hours of their work, but there were a higher number (about 13 per cent) of those who were somewhat dissatisfied with their hours of work.

In sharp contrast with the results with respect to the other four aspects of job satisfaction asked (where the combined categories of somewhat satisfied and very satisfied represented nearly two thirds or more, and the category of

somewhat satisfied was between 49 per cent and 64 per cent), the satisfaction with the earnings is much lower. The category of very satisfied falls to about 6 per cent and somewhat satisfied to 32 per cent, neither satisfied or dissatisfied comes to 31 per cent, while somewhat dissatisfied amounts to whole 20 per cent and very dissatisfied to about 9 per cent. There are several elements that can be of relevance for explaining the higher level of satisfaction of work and lower level of satisfaction with earnings. Firstly, in general opinion surveys in Slovenia, it has been shown that work is an important element in the value system of the Slovenians. Secondly, it is obvious that if one compares the earnings in Slovenia with those in the EU countries, the disparity is large and this may also have influenced the expression of lower satisfactions with earnings.

Secondly, in addition to the questions about subjective satisfaction with various aspects with main work activity the respondents were asked to express preparedness for job changes under certain conditions, i.e. whether they would be willing to accept certain conditions in a situation where they would have no job. In Table 1.18 the results show a different degree of willingness to accept various conditions in such a situation. The ranking of positive responses with respect to the five explicitly asked conditions is very interesting. The respondents would be most willing to accept the condition that they would work more than 40 hours per week: 53 per cent of them would be always prepared to accept such a condition and only 23 per cent would never be willing to do so. Next in line is the willingness to learn a new foreign language: 49 per cent would be always willing to do so and only 26 per cent would refuse such a condition. Retraining for another profession is less acceptable but still substantial: 40 per cent would be always willing to do so, 32 per cent maybe and 28 per cent never.

Table 1.18. Expressed preparedness for job changes under certain conditions, per cent

| | Work more than 40 hours per week | Learn a new foreign language | Retrain for another profession | Move (migrate) to another settlement | Accept less attractive work conditions |
|-------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Yes | 53 | 49 | 40 | 26 | 24 |
| Maybe | 24 | 26 | 32 | 29 | 40 |
| No | 23 | 26 | 28 | 45 | 37 |
| n= | 911 | 918 | 907 | 910 | 839 |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

While the preparedness for accepting the three above-mentioned conditions is considerable, the two other mentioned conditions, accepting less attractive work conditions and moving (migrating) to another settlement, is less in favour with Slovenians. Even if they were without a job, only 24 per cent would always accept less attractive work conditions and 37 per cent would never do that. Seemingly, the possibility of moving (migrating) to another settlement is presenting the greatest cost in such benefit-cost considerations. A whole 45 per cent of the respondents would never consider moving or migrating to another settlement and only 26 per cent of people without a job would be always willing to do so. There may be several elements that could explain such an attitude. On the

one hand, in Slovenia only 8 per cent of the respondents are paying rent, all other respondents possess their own dwelling (55 per cent their own house and 23 per cent their own apartment) and about 13 per cent stay with their parents or have another arrangement so that they are not paying rent. The percentage of ownership is very high in international comparisons, which is very beneficial for the welfare of the population, but is seemingly a detriment to the willingness to move or migrate to another settlement. On the other hand, there is a high degree of tolerance to commute to a different location to work, which to a certain degree increases the flexibility of people with respect to the place of work even if they do not wish to move or migrate to a different settlement.

2. PATTERNS OF WORK

2.1. The accumulation of different kinds of work

The answers in the survey about the number of activities and about their income are reluctantly answered or avoided by a considerable number of respondents. This means that respondents are rather suspicious about giving information about their additional kinds of work and additional income, possibly being afraid of the respective tax or employment consequences.

Of the respondents answering this question 84 per cent reported only one activity. Of those who reported two or more income-earning activities, 12 per cent reported two income-earning activities and only about 4 per cent reported three or more income-earning activities in the last twelve months. From this data it seems that the flexibility

in Slovenia in this respect is not very high, though in reality it may be higher.

Another way of looking at accumulation of different kinds of work is the multiple response question on all the different sources of income that one had in the month of the survey, the results are presented in Table 2.2. There are two main sources of income, wage or salary reported for 52 per cent of cases, and pensions (30 per cent of cases). Income from an additional job is reported in 10 per cent of cases, while of the other possible sources of income individual sources are reported in the range between 2 per cent and 4 per cent.

Table 2.1. Answers to the question 'number of activities reported in the last 12 months'

| Number of activities | Frequency | Total, % | Male, % | Female, % |
|----------------------|-----------|----------|---------|-----------|
| 1 | 483 | 84.3 | 82.3 | 87.1 |
| 2 | 66 | 11.5 | 13.6 | 9.0 |
| 3 | 15 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.3 |
| 4 | 6 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.2 |
| 5 | 2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| 6 | 1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | |
| | n = 573 | 100.0 | n=317 | n=256 |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

Table 2.2. Sources of income – multiple response

| | n | Responses, % | Cases, % |
|------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| Wage or salary | 502 | 39 | 52 |
| Pension | 290 | 23 | 30 |
| Other social transfer | 125 | 10 | 13 |
| Additional job | 97 | 8 | 10 |
| Other sources | 44 | 4 | 5 |
| Self-employed earnings | 41 | 3 | 4 |
| Farming | 38 | 3 | 4 |
| Unemployment benefit | 37 | 3 | 4 |
| Grant, scholarship | 37 | 3 | 4 |
| Private transfer | 28 | 2 | 3 |
| Profit from business | 19 | 2 | 2 |
| Income from investment | 17 | 1 | 2 |
| Total responses | 1275 | 100 | 132.7 |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

The total number of responses shows that the number of multiple-responses is about a third higher than the number of the respondents. If one takes away other social transfers than pensions, then this set of answers comes closer to the 15 per cent magnitude that was mentioned for those having two or more economic earning activities.

Table 2.3. Number of activities in the last 12 months by flexibility categories (n=572)

| Number of activities | Flexibility group A (n = 187) | Flexibility group B (n = 204) | Standard group C (n = 181) |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1 | 60 | 93 | 100 |
| 2 | 29 | 6 | |
| 3 | 7 | 1 | |
| 4 | 3 | | |
| 5 | 1 | | |
| 6 | 1 | | |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

Obviously most of the respondents accumulating various kinds of work are by design concentrated in flexible employment category A, and form a substantial part (40 per cent) of this group and represent practically the whole of the approximate 15 per cent of respondents with economic activities that have two or more economic activities. These results can be also compared with the results on employment status of the respondents in Table 1.11, where the question on employment status was also a multi-response question. In that table 1008 respondents enumerated 1073 cases of

employment status. If those with employment status that are not considered economically active are eliminated, the duplication of employment status for the economically active respondents also comes roughly between 15 per cent and 20 per cent. Looking at the situation from these three different angles, one can estimate that roughly between 15 per cent and 20 per cent of economically active respondents have indicated in their response an accumulation of different economic activities.

2.2. Patterns of informal and voluntary work

In addition to their work assignments and household activities respondents indicated whether they are at least once monthly engaged in voluntary work for a non-profit organization or have worked without payment for a friend or relative outside his/her own household.

Both respondents themselves and the first member of his/her households show identical percentages of involvement in such activities. The survey shows that 18 per cent of the above mentioned were involved in voluntary work and 50 per cent were involved in unpaid work. When analysed, no significant differences existed between men and women, although slightly more men were involved in unpaid work for a friend or relative outside of the household. These responses indicate that informal unpaid work is important in Slovenia, though the magnitude of this part of the informal economy cannot be judged by such a 'yes' or 'no' question without having more information on the magnitude of time spent in such activities, some information about this might come out of the time use survey, undertaken by the Statistical Office of the Republic of Slovenia, when it is completed.

Tables 2.4 and 2.5 show the important distinction that many more respondents are engaged

in unpaid work (50 per cent) than in voluntary work (18 per cent). As far as voluntary work is concerned, of the selected variables only gender and family composition show statistically significant differences: men do more voluntary work than women, and more voluntary work is done by those from families with children. For unpaid work, significant variables are age, gender and income. Those below 65, men and respondents in the fifth and sixth sextiles report more unpaid work.

Table 2.4. Percentage of respondents and household members doing voluntary or unpaid work at least monthly in the last year.

| Voluntary work | | Unpaid work | |
|----------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| yes, % | n | yes, % | n |
| 18 | P0, n=994 | 50 | P0, n=996 |
| 18 | P1, n=916 | 50 | P1, n=916 |
| 15 | P2, n=612 | 40 | P2, n=608 |
| 11 | P3, n=378 | 33 | P3, n=376 |
| 18 | P4, n=130 | 30 | P4, n=128 |
| 14 | P5, n=42 | 30 | P5, n=43 |
| 18 | P6, n=17 | 33 | P6, n=18 |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

Table 2.5. Voluntary and unpaid work cross tabulated with selected variables

| | | Voluntary work | | Unpaid work | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|----|-------------|----|
| | | n | % | n | % |
| <i>Age groups</i> | 18-25 | 32 | 22 | 76 | 52 |
| | 26-50 | 89 | 19 | 256 | 55 |
| | 51-65 | 36 | 17 | 113 | 53 |
| | More than 65 | 17 | 10 | 53 | 33 |
| <i>Gender*</i> | Male | 98 | 21 | 262 | 57 |
| | Female | 77 | 15 | 239 | 45 |
| <i>Social class</i> | Working class | 52 | 17 | 154 | 50 |
| | Middle class | 97 | 19 | 275 | 53 |
| | Upper middle class | 12 | 21 | 30 | 52 |
| <i>Family composition*</i> | Without children (aged 14 and less) | 105 | 15 | 327 | 48 |
| | With children (aged 14 and less) | 70 | 23 | 174 | 56 |
| <i>Personal income (Sextiles)</i> | First | 24 | 19 | 60 | 48 |
| | Second | 20 | 14 | 58 | 42 |
| | Third | 24 | 18 | 59 | 45 |
| | Fourth | 18 | 15 | 54 | 46 |
| | Fifth | 23 | 19 | 79 | 65 |
| | Sixth | 27 | 22 | 79 | 65 |
| <i>Household income (Sextiles)</i> | First | 11 | 8 | 55 | 42 |
| | Second | 20 | 16 | 55 | 44 |
| | Third | 27 | 19 | 71 | 50 |
| | Fourth | 16 | 18 | 51 | 58 |
| | Fifth | 29 | 18 | 95 | 60 |
| | Sixth | 18 | 27 | 43 | 64 |

Note Significance level of chi-square tests: * 0.01.

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

3. HOUSEHOLD ORGANISATION

3.1. Domestic roles

Summary table Table 3.1 shows which person in the household is mainly responsible for a given activity. It is of interest to see that quite a high percentage of respondents (from 39 per cent to 46 per cent) say that they are those who are mainly responsible for this activity.

When this percentage is broken down by gender, more clarity is brought into the picture. When the respondents were women, this percentage varied between 70 per cent and 90 per cent for activities like washing the laundry, cooking,

cleaning the house, taking care of ill children, taking daily care of children, taking care of a sick friend or relative, and daily shopping. A similarly high role (77 per cent) was found for men in the case of routine maintenance and repair of the dwelling interior. Working in the garden or agricultural plot was quite even with slightly higher percentage of women. For other activities the responses were that all are equally responsible for such an activity, the percentage of such answers varied between 15 per cent and 31 per cent. How-

ever, for cooking and washing the laundry this percent is much lower, as they are performed by women, and maintenance and repair of dwelling interior is also much lower – in this case they are performed by men.

These conclusions are broadly in line with the Time Use Survey where taking care of the household and family occupied about 21 per cent of the average time per day for women and about 13 per cent of the average time per day for men.

Table 3.1. Domestic works: person who is mainly responsible for this activity

| Activity | Myself male/female | | Partner | Father | Mother | Son | Daughter | Someone else | Shared equally | Friend | Pay someone | n |
|---|-----------------------|----|---------|--------|--------|-----|----------|-----------------|-------------------|--------|----------------|-----|
| | % | % | | | | | | | | | | |
| Routine maintenance and repair of the dwelling interior | 44 | | 22 | 12 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 982 |
| Cooking | 77 | 23 | 25 | 1 | 20 | | 1 | 2 | 6 | | | 990 |
| Cleaning the house | 46 | | 21 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 19 | | 1 | 988 |
| Washing the laundry | 15 | 85 | 28 | 1 | 18 | | 2 | 3 | 5 | | | 987 |
| Daily shopping | 41 | | 20 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 15 | 1 | | 986 |
| Taking daily care of the child/children | 17 | 83 | 16 | 2 | 11 | | 2 | 6 | 20 | 1 | | 265 |
| Taking care of children when they are sick | 45 | | 21 | 1 | 12 | | 2 | 6 | 16 | 1 | | 270 |
| Taking care of sick friend or relative | 10 | 90 | 13 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 26 | | | 266 |
| Working in garden or agricultural plot | 45 | | 11 | 6 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 31 | 1 | | 738 |
| | 31 | 69 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 43 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 24 | 76 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 41 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 20 | 80 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 43 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 27 | 73 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 39 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 44 | 56 | | | | | | | | | | |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

3.2. Patterns of decision making in the household

The patterns of decision making in the household also depend upon both objective and subjective elements. The decision making in the household is interrelated with the human and material resources of the household. Therefore we shall first discuss elements of the household standard to indicate the material differences between different households. In section 4 two related issues will be discussed: the Time Use Survey results will throw some light on the actual time use, on the one

hand, and the subjective perceptions related to family/work arrangements will be elaborated, on the other.

With respect to the tenure of the respondent in relation to the dwellings that they live in, Table 3.2 shows that about 78 per cent of the respondents live in their own dwellings, whether own house or own flat. As additional 13 per cent do not pay rent, are staying with parents or in other arrangements. This is a consequence of the fact

that under the socialist self-management system, practically all flats were in social ownership. After gaining independence, privatization of socially owned flats was executed very early on and at favourable discounts. Thus the ownership of dwellings can help in overcoming the problems of having lower incomes for the greatest majority of the population, though young families have to deal with high market rents.

Table 3.2. Ownership of dwellings (n=1002)

| Dwelling type | % |
|-----------------|----|
| Own house | 55 |
| Own flat | 23 |
| Social flat | 1 |
| Non-profit flat | 4 |
| Lodger | 3 |
| Not paying rent | 13 |
| Other | 1 |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

Table 3.3 indicates that the households of the respondents are rather well equipped with permanent household goods. Only 1 per cent of households do not own an automatic washing machine or a refrigerator, 2 per cent do not own a colour TV, 5 per cent are without a telephone and only 10 per cent of the households are without a car. This leads to two conclusions. Firstly, the wide ownership of cars allows people to travel to other places to work, to undertake additional paid work, voluntary and unpaid work. Secondly, practically all households are equipped with an automatic washing machine and refrigerator, which helps them in performing household tasks.

Table 3.4 shows the availability of these possessions by income classes. Except for the lowest two sextiles, practically all households own a car and a mobile telephone. The variables that show a significant relationship with income, are: Internet access, PC ownership, satellite dish/cable TV, and ownership of a second dwelling (house or flat)..

Table 3.3. Permanent goods in the household, number of items

| Number of items | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 0 |
|--------------------------------|----|----|----|---|---|----|
| Washing machine (n=1002)% | 95 | 4 | | | | 1 |
| Refrigerator (n=1001)% | 91 | 6 | 1 | | | 1 |
| Telephone (n=996)% | 89 | 5 | 1 | | | 5 |
| Freezer (n=989)% | 83 | 6 | | | | 11 |
| Color TV (n=995)% | 77 | 15 | 5 | 1 | | 2 |
| Satellite TV receiver (n=963)% | 70 | 1 | | | | 29 |
| PC's (n=938)% | 49 | 3 | 1 | | | 46 |
| Car (n=976)% | 49 | 31 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 10 |
| Other dwellings (n=883)% | 33 | 3 | 1 | | | 63 |
| Cellular phone (n=967)% | 32 | 25 | 14 | 9 | 2 | 18 |
| Internet access (n=894)% | 27 | 1 | | | | 72 |
| B/W TV (n=871)% | 9 | | | | | 91 |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

Table 3.4. Percent owning permanent goods by household income class (sextiles)

| | Sextiles | | | | | |
|--|----------|--------|-------|--------|-------|-------|
| | First | Second | Third | Fourth | Fifth | Sixth |
| Ownership or use of land (n=715)% | 40 | 43 | 43 | 37 | 42 | 40 |
| Car (n=696)% | 64 | 84 | 96 | 97 | 99 | 100 |
| Mobile phone(n=687)% | 52 | 73 | 92 | 93 | 94 | 96 |
| Satellite dish / cable TV (n=690)% | 57 | 72 | 73 | 76 | 83 | 87 |
| Second dwelling (house or flat) (n=624)% | 32 | 28 | 37 | 41 | 43 | 60 |
| Color TV set (n=711)% | 97 | 98 | 99 | 100 | 99 | 100 |
| BW TV set (n=615)% | 14 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 12 |
| Automatic washing machine (n=718)% | 97 | 100 | 99 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Internet access (n=630)% | 8 | 11 | 19 | 29 | 47 | 63 |
| PC (n=665)% | 27 | 32 | 51 | 58 | 77 | 80 |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

Table 3.5 shows the possession of permanent household goods in relation to the flexibility typology, i.e. the differences in these variables for the group of flexible employment A, the group of flexible employment B and the group of full-time and regular schedule employment C. The respective table about household income by flexibility categories is Table 5.6 in section 5. The percentage differences are different for various variables mentioned, but the pattern is obvious: the most favourable position is occupied by the flexible employment group A, followed by the full-time and regular employment group C, with flexible employment group B having the least average household income and lowest possession of the three permanent household goods where differences are still important.

The questions relating to the general satisfaction with the way of living and economic situation show that Slovenians are considerably more satisfied with their standard of living than with the economic situation of the household. Assessment of the household present economic situation to

that of five years ago is slightly skewed in the direction of the conclusion that the economic situation was better five years ago than today. On the other hand, optimism is clearly indicated in their response that they expect a better economic situation next year. The question is whether this rather favourable set of perceptions with respect to the standard of living as well as with respect to the perception of the family/work arrangements in section 4 is really that firmly embedded in the decisions about work and family responsibilities.

Table 3.5. Percent owning permanent goods by flexibility typology

| | Flexibility group A | Flexibility group B | Standard group C |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Mobile phone (n=573) | 91 | 89 | 92 |
| PC-computer (n=562) | 70 | 54 | 65 |
| Second dwelling (house or flat) (n=523) | 47 | 34 | 33 |
| Internet (n=534) | 42 | 27 | 34 |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

Table 3.6. General satisfaction with the way of living and economic situation.

| | Very satisfied | Somewhat satisfied | Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied | Somewhat dissatisfied | Very dissatisfied |
|---|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| The way you live% | 9 | 69 | 17 | 4 | 1 |
| The economic situation of your household% | 4 | 55 | 26 | 11 | 3 |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

There are hardly any differences as far as agreement or disagreement among household members in Table 3.8 are concerned for three categories: household finances, allocation of household tasks and amount of time spent together. In all three cases the 'somewhat agree' is the most important category with about 50 per cent of the answers,

with 'always agree' sharply outnumbering those who always or sometimes disagree on these questions. The question about agreement on time spent at work shows a similar structure of answers but with an astonishingly high percentage of the undecided respondents.

Table 3.7. Opinion about changes in the economic situation in the household

| | Clearly improved | Somewhat improved | Stayed the same | Somewhat deteriorated | Clearly deteriorated | Do not know |
|---|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| If you compare your household present economic situation to that of five years ago, would you say the situation today has...? % | 7 | 21 | 34 | 25 | 11 | 2 |
| Do you believe that in next year the economic situation of household will...?% | 3 | 18 | 54 | 11 | 3 | 11 |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

Table 3.8. Do you and your other household members usually agree or disagree about the following things (per cent)

| | Household finances | Allocation of household (domestic) tasks | Amount of time spent together | Amount of time spent at work (in employment) |
|----------------------------|--------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Always agree | 29 | 28 | 27 | 19 |
| Sometimes agree | 50 | 46 | 48 | 35 |
| Neither agree nor disagree | 11 | 12 | 14 | 12 |
| Sometimes disagree | 7 | 9 | 7 | 7 |
| Always disagree | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Don't know | 2 | 2 | 3 | 23 |
| n= | 935 | 934 | 933 | 913 |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

4. WORK/HOUSEHOLD RELATIONS

4.1. Integration of home and work

The analysis of the integration of home activities and work and of domestic and employment roles in the household is a difficult undertaking, since it is not easy with statistical data to separate objective conditions and subjective aspirations in the household and the family strategy. There are also several measures and criteria according to which the conditions, decisions and outcomes can be evaluated. One should also distinguish perceptions and aspirations and revealed preferences, which are ex-

posed by the pattern of spending time and money. It would have been considerably easier to discuss these issues if the amount of money for the survey had been much higher to allow the time use elements on both domestic and employment roles to be included with other information on flexibility patterns. The statistics on the use of time, though very costly, constitute an extremely important component of social and economic statistics. We shall thus complement results of this HWF survey

with that of the Time Use Survey undertaken by the Statistical Office of Slovenia.

As any activity has dimensions of time and space, time is an important criterion for locating and interrelating events. However, time is much more than that – it is also a basic unit of measurement of the duration of an activity. From this point of view, the time constraint is a more binding constraint in the final analysis than money, since no matter how rich someone is in money terms, a person has no more than 24 hours per day at his/her disposal. Thus the preferences revealed by the use of time are, *ceteris paribus*, more characteristic for the lifestyle of a given group than their consumption pattern in terms of monetary expenditure. Needless to say, they are not independent of each other, and the freedom of choice may be severely limited by the available resources, as the lifestyle will in turn influence the utilization and availability of resources (Sicherl, 1989).

The Statistical Office of Slovenia (SORS, 2001) published provisional data on time use in Slovenia; the results are provisionally based on data for 1416 households collected in the first two quarters of the survey, which represent about one half of the foreseen sample of 4500 households. Table 4.1 provides the average time per day spent on primary activities by men and women in more detail, while the table 4.2 combines the various activities in four major categories. Time for primary needs is the same for men and women; it represents about 49 per cent of the available time. The difference between men and women is most pronounced with respect to time for taking care of the household and family, which represents about 13 per cent of total time for men and about 21 per cent of total time for women. The other side of the same situation is that men use about 4 per cent more of their total time than women for the time connected with employment and studies on the one hand, and for spare time on the other.

Table 4.1. Average time per day spent on individual activities by people aged 10 and over, by sex, Slovenia April-September 2000 – counting only primary activities

| | Males | | Females | |
|--|------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Average, minutes | Average, minutes | Percent | Percent |
| Sleeping | 519 | 523 | 36.1 | 36.3 |
| Eating | 92 | 88 | 6.4 | 6.1 |
| Personal care | 88 | 87 | 6.1 | 6.0 |
| Employment | 160 | 105 | 11.1 | 7.3 |
| Study | 26 | 26 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| Household care and informal help to other households | 153 | 258 | 10.6 | 17.9 |
| Family care | 11 | 26 | 0.8 | 1.8 |
| Participatory activities, religious activities | 13 | 13 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Culture, sport, hobbies | 70 | 44 | 4.9 | 3.1 |
| Social life | 70 | 66 | 4.9 | 4.6 |
| Television | 131 | 110 | 9.1 | 7.6 |
| Other mass media | 28 | 31 | 1.9 | 2.2 |
| Travelling | 75 | 61 | 5.2 | 4.2 |
| Other, unspecified | 3 | 3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Total | 1439 | 1441 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Note Average time is calculated on the basis of diaries of those persons who participated in an individual activity.

Source: SORS (2001), Rapid Reports, No 148, Level of Living, No 2, June 4, Chart 1

Table 4.2. Average time per day spent on individual activities by people aged 10 and over, by age and sex

| | Males | Females | Males | Females |
|--|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Minutes | Minutes | Percent | Percent |
| Time for primary needs | 700 | 699 | 48.7 | 48.6 |
| Time connected with employment and studies | 206 | 146 | 14.3 | 10.2 |
| Taking care of the household and family | 181 | 301 | 12.6 | 20.9 |
| Spare time | 349 | 291 | 24.3 | 20.3 |
| Total | 1436 | 1437 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: Statistical Office of the Republic of Slovenia (2001), Rapid Reports, No 148, Level of Living, No 2, June 4, Table 3

Thus, when one is discussing integration of home activities and work or domestic and employment roles in terms of the Time Use Survey for Slovenia, one is really talking about the allocation between domestic and employment roles of about 27 per cent of all time available to men and about 31 per cent of all time available to women. This can be compared with the spare time of 24 per cent of men and 20 per cent of women, respectively. In other words, the reallocation of time is not only between domestic and employment roles, but also with leisure time, not to mention the time for primary needs such as sleeping and eating.

Turning back to the results of the HWF survey, the share of households with children under 15 years is about 31 per cent and that of share of households without children 69 per cent. If we consider that the most important family typology is the division into households with or without children, and that the summary categorization of flexible or non-flexible employment pattern is that into flexible employment A, flexible employment B and full-time regular schedule employment C, then on this level of aggregation there is no significant direct relationship between the family-work typology (represented by division into households with or without children), on the one hand, and the three above mentioned summary flexibility categories, on the other.

However, as explained in section 4.3 below, this general conclusion has to be complemented by a more specific consideration as there are significant differences among households with children and households without children in response to three of the five questions analysed there: whether the work makes it difficult to do some household tasks, makes it difficult to fulfill my responsibility toward my family and other important persons and to a lesser degree with respect to family responsibilities making it difficult to perform the work adequately. Yet in percentage terms the number of respondents who 'always' encounter such problems with respect to the first two questions only amounts to 4 per cent and 'often' 12 per cent. Especially in respect to the last question, it is important to underline that even for respondents in households with children, 69 per cent answered that they never encountered such a problem. It is possible to conclude that the integration of home activities and work is more difficult for families with children, but that according to the answers by the respondents, the integration of home activities and work is not an overwhelming issue in Slovenia.

Obviously, households with children are in the higher household size categories, and these are also found more often in rural than in urban areas.

Table 4.3. Household size by family typology and urban/rural environment, per cent

| Household size (members) | Family typology | | Environment | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------|
| | Households without children | Households with children | Urban | Rural |
| 1 | 10 | | 9 | 4 |
| 2 | 28 | 2 | 21 | 18 |
| 3 | 24 | 21 | 24 | 23 |
| 4 | 27 | 36 | 33 | 26 |
| 5 and more | 10 | 41 | 13 | 29 |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

4.2. Employment and child care arrangements

Although the most important classification of families is probably the division into households with children and households without children, rather favourable conditions with respect to childcare arrangements soften the extent of possible work/family conflicts in Slovenia. As explained in more details by Stropnik (2001), the childcare arrangements as well as child benefits in Slovenia are at a very high level, in the school year 2000/2001, about 57 per cent of pre-school children were included in childcare in 814 day-care centres (14 of them private), 91 per cent of them in programmes lasting 6-9 hours per day. Not only are childcare services in Slovenia broadly available, they are also widely affordable, due to high public subsidies.

This is probably one of the most important reasons why the female employment rate is hardly different from that of men. The share of households with children and households without children is not significantly different between social groups, between income groups and for urban-rural disaggregation. Obviously, the share of households with children below 15 years is related to age, so for about 75 per cent of families with children the age of respondents is between 25 and 49 years. As mentioned above, there is no significant relationship between the family typology (with or without children) and various groupings of flexible or non-flexible employment pattern.

There is an interesting conclusion related to the question whether, in a situation with no job, one would be willing to take a new job under certain conditions. This issue has been treated already in Table 1.18. Here, the question was cross tabulated with family typology (with or without children). As Tables 4.4 and 4.5 show, respondents from the households with children express a greater flexibility, in this case they show significantly greater willingness to retrain for another profession or to learn a new foreign language to attain a new job.

Table 4.4. Willingness to retrain for another profession (n=907)

| | Households without children, % | Households with children, % |
|-------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| No | 33 | 17 |
| Maybe | 31 | 32 |
| Yes | 36 | 50 |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

Table 4.5. Willingness to learn a new foreign language (n=918)

| | Households without children, % | Households with children, % |
|-------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| No | 29 | 18 |
| Maybe | 25 | 27 |
| Yes | 46 | 55 |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

4.3. Perceptions of family/work arrangements

There are two sides of the story about family/work arrangements. One is the actual time devoted to different roles, which was not included in our survey, so the objective situation cannot be ascertained and compared with the perceptions of family/work arrangements that were included in our questionnaire.

The answers in Table 4.6 indicate that respondents in Slovenia did not feel that their work makes it difficult to perform some of the household tasks and fulfill their responsibility to the family and other persons: only 2 per cent indicate that they always experience such a problem, and 8 per cent often. So only 10 per cent seem to be worried about this problem, and even if one adds another 10 per cent that felt this problem rarely, the perception of conflicts between family and work arrangements is not high. Similarly, approximately the same share of the respondents had the feeling that their responsibilities toward their family and other persons prevent them from doing their work adequately; 67 per cent even felt that they had never experienced this problem in the last three months. This seems to be another indication of the importance, which Slovenians attach to work in their value system.

With respect to the question about whether they take work from their employment home to finish, 69 per cent answered that they never do that. This might alleviate the conflict between family and work arrangements, but it may, in addition to 14 per cent of the undecided, mean that they might not yet be under stress for further work and further education needs. Similarly, with respect to the question whether they would prefer to spend more time at work than to spend it at home, only 2 per cent would do that always or often.

The five major questions about perceptions of family/work arrangements in Table 4.6, which could indicate possible family/work conflicts, are further analysed with respect to a selected set of variables. Table 4.7 presents results of such an analysis for the question whether the work makes it difficult for the respondent to do some of the household tasks that need to be done. Significantly different answers to this question are shown for the following variables: gender, age, income, family composition and size of the household.

Table 4.6. How often have you experienced the following in the last three months? (n=1008)

| | Always | Often | Sometimes | Rarely | Never | DK/NA |
|--|--------|-------|-----------|--------|-------|-------|
| My work makes it difficult for me to do some of the household tasks that need to be done% | 2 | 8 | 21 | 10 | 48 | 10 |
| My work makes it difficult to fulfill my responsibilities towards my family and other important persons in my life% | 2 | 8 | 25 | 10 | 45 | 10 |
| My responsibilities towards my family and other important persons in my life prevented me from doing my work adequately% | 0 | 9 | 7 | 13 | 67 | 11 |
| I have to take work from my employment home to finish% | 2 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 69 | 14 |
| I preferred to spend more time at work than to spend more time at home% | 1 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 72 | 15 |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

Table 4.7. Work makes it difficult for the respondents to do some of the household tasks that need to be done (in per cent).

| | | Never | Rarely | Sometimes | Often | Always | n |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|-----|
| <i>Gender</i> * | Male | 55 | 14 | 20 | 8 | 3 | 438 |
| | Female | 52 | 9 | 27 | 10 | 2 | 470 |
| | N= | | | | | | 908 |
| <i>Age groups</i> * | 18-25 | 51 | 13 | 25 | 11 | 1 | 140 |
| | 26-50 | 41 | 14 | 29 | 12 | 4 | 450 |
| | 51-65 | 67 | 8 | 19 | 4 | 1 | 190 |
| | More than 65 | 81 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 122 |
| | N= | | | | | | 902 |
| <i>Personal income in sextiles</i> * | First | 63 | 8 | 18 | 10 | 1 | 112 |
| | Second | 60 | 8 | 23 | 7 | 2 | 121 |
| | Third | 54 | 7 | 31 | 7 | 2 | 121 |
| | Fourth | 55 | 11 | 17 | 15 | 2 | 106 |
| | Fifth | 51 | 18 | 21 | 9 | 2 | 114 |
| | Sixth | 36 | 18 | 31 | 9 | 7 | 123 |
| | N= | | | | | | 697 |
| <i>Personal income in sextiles</i> * | First | 69 | 4 | 19 | 5 | 3 | 110 |
| | Second | 57 | 15 | 19 | 7 | 1 | 110 |
| | Third | 53 | 8 | 25 | 12 | 2 | 131 |
| | Fourth | 49 | 22 | 19 | 7 | 2 | 83 |
| | Fifth | 49 | 13 | 24 | 13 | 1 | 158 |
| | Sixth | 33 | 13 | 41 | 8 | 6 | 64 |
| | N= | | | | | | 656 |
| <i>Family composition</i> * | Without children (aged 14 and less) | 60 | 11 | 20 | 8 | 2 | 615 |
| | With children (aged 14 and less) | 40 | 13 | 31 | 12 | 4 | 293 |
| | N= | | | | | | 908 |
| <i>Number of household members</i> * | 1 | 75 | 10 | 11 | 3 | | 61 |
| | 2 | 62 | 12 | 16 | 6 | 3 | 175 |
| | 3 | 53 | 14 | 23 | 9 | 2 | 204 |
| | 4 | 50 | 9 | 26 | 11 | 4 | 288 |
| | 5,6,7 | 44 | 12 | 31 | 11 | 2 | 178 |
| | N= | | | | | | 906 |

Note Significance level of chi-square tests: * 0.01.

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

Table 4.8. My work makes it difficult to fulfil my responsibilities towards my family and other important persons in my life (per cent)

| | | Never | Rarely | Sometimes | Often | Always | n |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|-----|
| <i>Gender</i> * | Male | 48 | 10 | 31 | 9 | 2 | 440 |
| | Female | 53 | 11 | 24 | 8 | 3 | 465 |
| | N= | | | | | | 905 |
| <i>Age groups</i> * | 18-25 | 46 | 10 | 34 | 9 | 1 | 140 |
| | 26-50 | 35 | 13 | 35 | 13 | 3 | 452 |
| | 51-65 | 66 | 9 | 20 | 4 | 1 | 191 |
| | More than 65 | 90 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 117 |
| | N= | | | | | | 900 |
| <i>Personal income in sextiles</i> * | First | 66 | 5 | 22 | 5 | 2 | 110 |
| | Second | 62 | 4 | 23 | 11 | 1 | 120 |
| | Third | 50 | 11 | 32 | 6 | 2 | 121 |
| | Fourth | 46 | 13 | 28 | 10 | 3 | 105 |
| | Fifth | 46 | 14 | 32 | 6 | 1 | 114 |
| | Sixth | 29 | 14 | 39 | 14 | 5 | 122 |
| | N= | | | | | | 692 |
| <i>Personal income in sextiles</i> * | First | 73 | 5 | 16 | 3 | 4 | 107 |
| | Second | 63 | 5 | 23 | 9 | | 110 |
| | Third | 47 | 12 | 28 | 12 | 2 | 129 |
| | Fourth | 41 | 13 | 36 | 7 | 2 | 83 |
| | Fifth | 41 | 13 | 32 | 12 | 2 | 157 |
| | Sixth | 25 | 17 | 44 | 9 | 5 | 64 |
| | N= | | | | | | 650 |
| <i>Family composition</i> * | Without children (aged 14 and less) | 58 | 10 | 24 | 7 | 1 | 614 |
| | With children (aged 14 and less) | 35 | 12 | 36 | 12 | 4 | 291 |
| | N= | | | | | | 905 |
| <i>Number of household members</i> * | 1 | 87 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 60 |
| | 2 | 63 | 10 | 18 | 7 | 1 | 174 |
| | 3 | 50 | 11 | 30 | 7 | 1 | 202 |
| | 4 | 40 | 11 | 32 | 13 | 4 | 288 |
| | 5,6,7 | 43 | 13 | 34 | 8 | 1 | 179 |
| | N= | | | | | | 903 |

Note: Significance level of chi-square tests: * 0.01.

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

However, when discussing these differences, one should always keep in mind the overall results from Table 4.6, where 48 per cent of the respondents answered that they have never experienced such a problem (54 per cent if the 'do not know' answers are eliminated). Women experience such difficulties more often than men, but even among women, 52 per cent declare that they never experience them. Similarly, respondents in the age group 26-50 years are more likely to have had

such difficulties, though even in this age group 41 per cent never face these difficulties. As far as income is concerned, the highest sextiles in both personal and household income distribution experience more difficulties. The distinction between households with children and households without children shows that the former face such difficulties more often, but even for this variable the answer 'never' is expressed by 60 per cent of

households without children and 40 per cent of households with children.

In answering the question whether their work makes it difficult for him/her to fulfil the responsibility toward the family and other important persons in their lives, significant differences were observed for the same set of variables as above, except that there were no statistically significant differences by gender. Results in Table 4.8 show that such difficulties are again more pronounced for the age group 26-50, for the highest sextiles in both personal and household income distribution, for families with children and for larger households. Similarly, even the groups that face more difficulties in this respect have still a substantial percentage of those in the group that never experience such difficulties (35 per cent for families with children, 29 per cent of those in the highest sextile of personal income, and more than 40 per cent in households with four or more members).

Table A4.1 in the appendix shows results about the reverse relationship, whether the responsibilities towards the family and other important persons prevent the respondent from doing his/her work adequately. Again, 67 per cent of all respondents (75 per cent if the 'do not know' answers are eliminated) never experience such prob-

lems, but there are still statistically significant differences for the variables age, personal and household income, and family composition with respect to children. The relationships are similar as for the above question, but the differences exist at a considerably higher percentage of answers, stating that such difficulties never appear (e.g. 79 per cent of respondents from households without children and 69 per cent of respondents from households with children report that they have never experienced this problem).

The respective tables in the appendix show the percentage distribution by the selected variables for the two questions whether one takes work from employment home to finish it and whether one prefers to spend more time at work than to spend more time at home. For the former question, a significantly higher percentage of answers is shown for the highest sextiles for personal and household income distribution, for upper middle class and for the flexibility group categorization, in the flexibility group A. However, for both questions these differences are not very important in absolute terms as in the total about 70 per cent (80 per cent if the 'do not know' answers are eliminated) of the respondents indicated that they never experienced this problem.

5. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON THREE FLEXIBILITY CATEGORIES IN SLOVENIA

5.1. Categorization and work characteristics

This chapter deals in more detail with the results of the categorization of survey respondents in three categories, which was outlined in section 1.2. The empirical results of this categorization into three groups, flexible employment group A, flexible employment group B and standard employment group C, have provided interesting differences among them, which will be elaborated in this chapter, showing the respective characteristics coming out of the Slovenian survey data. The results pertain to a subset of respondents, who

answered that they had one or more economic activities during the last 12 months and could by this criterion be considered economically active. In the survey it seems that a number of respondents were reluctant to answer questions about their additional kinds of work and additional income, possibly being afraid of the respective tax or employment consequences, so that some of this information might be less reliable. Thus, in this chapter we mainly deal with a subset of less than 600 economically active respondents who pro-

vided the necessary information. One of the possible subdivisions of this set into eight flexibility categories, in the first round, and into the three above-mentioned categories in the second round, is shown in Table 5.1. The rationale for this categorization was presented in section 1.2.

Table 5.1a. Flexibility grouping into eight categories

| Category | Frequency | Per cent |
|---|-----------|----------|
| 1. Full time employment, more activities, flexitime | 83 | 14.4 |
| 2. Full time employment, shift and irregular work | 115 | 19.9 |
| 3. Part time employment | 7 | 1.2 |
| 4. Fixed contract | 60 | 10.4 |
| 5. Self employed | 41 | 7.1 |
| 6. Students and retired with one or more activities | 64 | 11.1 |
| 7. Others | 27 | 4.7 |
| 8. Employed full time, regular schedule, one activity | 181 | 31.3 |
| | n=578 | |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

Table 5.1b. Flexibility grouping into three categories

| Category | Frequency | Per cent |
|---------------------------------|-----------|----------|
| Flexibility group A (1+5+6) | 188 | 32.5 |
| Flexibility group B (2+3+4+7) | 209 | 36.2 |
| Standard employment group C (8) | 181 | 31.1 |
| | n=578 | |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

We have first grouped respondents into eight categories, the major criterion for categorization being employment status of the respondent, which was then combined with some other characteristics of flexibility. As mentioned before, the emphasis here is on 'objective' elements of work status and flexibility, which may or may not correspond to the subjective evaluation of the respondents with respect to these characteristics. For instance, we have considered that working in shifts or an irregular schedule is a negative element of work; while in a survey by the Statistical Office a rather large number of those working in shifts expressed their satisfaction with such a position. Of course, it is difficult to disentangle

whether, in answering that question, they were satisfied that they had a job or whether they were satisfied with the shift arrangement as such. Thus the approach taken here has the advantage that such 'objective' elements could be compared for different social groups or different countries, but obviously should not be considered as a statement of the difficulty or satisfaction with a particular position with respect to a given element of work.

Some of the subdivisions in Table 5.1a are self-explanatory. Part time employment and fixed contract (temporary) employment are two categories of flexible work conditions, which we could compare in time and cross nationally. The same goes for the category self-employed. The major dilemma is how to categorize those employed full-time by their flexibility characteristics, who comprise about two thirds of the subset of economically active respondents analysed in this chapter. As explained earlier, the first of the three major categories of employment comprises those employed full time, with regular working schedule and only one economic activity. This category will be labelled 'standard pattern of employment' and in the tables is labelled as 'standard employment category C'. The other two categories of those employed full time are then considered categories of flexible employment, as distinct from the above-mentioned standard employment category, as they exhibit some 'positive' or 'negative' elements of flexibility in their work situation. Category 1 in Table 5.1a comprises those employed full time that have two or more economic activities or are employed full time and have the advantage of flexitime privileges, i.e. they can start or finish their working time in a flexible arrangement. In category 2 in the table those with some 'negative' characteristics of work flexibility, here approximated by shift and irregular work schedule, are enumerated. These two categories will be the backbone of the subdivision of those with some flexibility characteristics (as distinct from the standard employment category) into flexibility group A and flexibility group B.

The grouping of respondents into three categories, presented in Table 5.1b, is done from the eight categories in the Table 5.1a in the following way. Standard employment group C is a category by itself, which could be in certain instances compared to the rest of the respondents as those with some flexibility characteristics. However, both for policy and for research considerations it is more interesting to subdivide those with some flexibility characteristics at least into the two groups used here, which could be later refined and/or amended. Flexibility group A encompasses those with some 'objective' positive characteristics of flexibility, which are in this instance a summation of categories 1, 5 and 6 from Table 5.1a. We consider that in addition to the category 1 explained above, one could add into this group also the self-employed, and students and the retired with one or more activities. One could argue that both students and pensioners do not have to engage in an economic activity for their basic status, or that economic activity is conditioned by their basic status position, respectively, so that their engagement in one or more economic activities is a voluntary decision. For the self employed in Slovenia we may consider that this position is in majority of cases a voluntary decision, aimed at more independence and flexibility in their work, rather than a consequence of being laid off and being forced into such a status. This may be very different in some other transition countries and in international comparisons one should subdivide the self-employed category accordingly.

Flexibility group B comprises four categories from Table 5.1a (adding categories 2, 3, 4 and 7). The most important component is category 2 with

shift and irregular work as explained above. Part-time employment, which is rather rare in Slovenia, and fixed contract (temporary) employment are placed in this flexibility group with 'negative' objective elements on presumption that the majority of these cases are involuntary from the point of view of the employees, as they would prefer a more firm commitment from the employers. The group of 'others' comprises casual workers, unpaid workers in family businesses, the unemployed with an additional job, farmers with one economic activity and those laid off. The greatest majority of those included in the category 'others' have 'negative' elements of flexibility associated with their work position. To sum up, there are no doubt other possible criteria for categorizing respondents by various flexibility characteristics. In this study we have made an attempt to bring attention to the 'objective' elements of flexibility to initiate a discussion on the positive and negative aspects of flexibility arrangements at work, looking from one side, that can be later connected also with the work-family situations, from the other. As the most important policy issue with respect to work flexibility we see the question of how to balance the positive and negative aspects of work flexibility from both the employees' and the employers' side. The analysis of work characteristics, personal and social characteristics, satisfaction and decisions with various aspects of work, possible work/family conflicts and personal perceptions of well-being across the three chosen flexibility groups will hopefully initiate further discussion and research on a partial aspect of the important policy issue about work flexibility.

Table 5.2. Work characteristics (in per cent)

| | | Flexibility group A | Flexibility group B | Standard group C | n |
|---|--|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----|
| <i>Number of activities in last 12 months *</i> | 1 | 60 | 93 | 100 | 483 |
| | 2 | 29 | 6 | | 66 |
| | 3 | 7 | 1 | | 15 |
| | 4 | 3 | | | 5 |
| | 5 | 1 | | | 2 |
| | 6 | 1 | | | 1 |
| | N= | | | | 572 |
| <i>Hours of work in all activities *</i> | Less than 36 hours | 15 | 12 | 2 | 54 |
| | From 37 to 42 | 21 | 55 | 76 | 287 |
| | From 43 to 50 | 23 | 17 | 19 | 110 |
| | More than 50 hours | 41 | 16 | 4 | 114 |
| | N= | | | | 565 |
| <i>Working schedule *</i> | Regular working hours: Monday morning to Friday afternoons | 25 | 16 | 100 | 257 |
| | Shift work | 12 | 51 | | 124 |
| | Flextime | 30 | 3 | | 59 |
| | Other regular schedule | 6 | 7 | | 25 |
| | Irregular, it varies | 27 | 21 | | 91 |
| | DK/NA | 1 | | | 1 |
| | N= | | | | 557 |
| <i>Type of contract in main activity *</i> | No contract | 9 | 7 | | 29 |
| | Self employed | 22 | 2 | 2 | 45 |
| | Permanent contract | 46 | 54 | 95 | 359 |
| | Reduced working time contract | 2 | 2 | 2 | 11 |
| | Fixed term | 3 | 30 | | 65 |
| | "on call" subject to requirements | 2 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| | With a temporary work agency | 8 | 1 | | 15 |
| | On a fee only basis | 5 | 2 | 1 | 13 |
| | On a work experience project | | 1 | | 1 |
| | DK/NA | 2 | 2 | | 7 |
| N= | | | | 554 | |
| <i>Place of work</i> | At home | 8 | 6 | 1 | 28 |
| | Combined at home and elsewhere | 11 | 2 | 2 | 26 |
| | Within the locality where you live | 31 | 37 | 39 | 199 |
| | Commuting to different locality | 36 | 49 | 50 | 251 |
| | Abroad | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 |
| | Always changing | 14 | 5 | 7 | 47 |
| | Other situation | 1 | | | 1 |
| | N= | | | | 558 |

Note Significance level of chi-square tests: * 0.01.

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

Table 5.2 presents the percentage distribution for the three flexibility groups by the elements of some work characteristics. The number of activities in the last twelve months is distributed as expected. The standard group C is by definition involved only in one economic activity. From the flexibility group A, 40 per cent of respondents have two or more economic activities. Similarly, this group is distinctively different from both flexibility group B and even more from the standard group C in working more hours in all activities (i.e. the summation of hours worked in activities from 1 to 6); 41 per cent of them are working more than fifty hours per week. In the standard group C 76 per cent are working the 'standard' working week (the group from 37-42 hours), only 21 per cent of flexible group A are working the same hours. For all three aspects of work characteristics in Table 5.2 (number of activities in the last 12 months, hours of work in all activities and working schedule) the percentage difference distribution among the three flexibility categories is statistically significant at the 0.01 significance level of chi-square tests. Flexibility group A thus works on average in more activities, works more hours per week and has a more flexible schedule than the other two groups. As it will be shown later, it shows also higher values in income distribution and household goods distribution.

5.2. Personal characteristics and social groups

Percentage distributions for the three flexibility groups by their personal characteristics and the respective social groups are presented in Table 5.3. Again the flexibility groups are significantly different with respect to the age distribution. For the standard group C, 75 per cent belong to the age group 26-50 years, 67 per cent for flexibility group B and 54 per cent for flexibility group A. Flexibility group A has the widest distribution over the age groups. This is most probably a consequence of inclusion of students and retired people with one or more activities in the group A. It also shows that some flexibility characteristics can be fruitfully used at both ends of the age distribu-

The type of contract in the main activity also differs significantly among the three groups; it is very concentrated in standard group C as expected and most diversified in flexibility group A. The prevailing type of contract in the main activity is a permanent contract at about 65 per cent of the respondents. In the standard group C the percentage of permanent contract is 95 per cent (some small percentages for this group are a consequence of the fact that the variable on employment status that was used for classification purposes was a multi-response variable), with 54 per cent for flexibility group B and 46 per cent for flexibility group A. However, the distinction between flexibility groups A and B is pronounced in the other categories of contract, self employment being the most important in flexibility group A and fixed term employment in the flexibility group B. The differences among the three categories with respect to the place of work are somewhat less pronounced: in all categories the highest share is commuting to work in a different locality. However, the flexibility group A is characterized also by the widest distribution of other cases, and it has distinctly higher percentages of people 'working at home', 'combined at home and elsewhere' and 'always changing'.

Gender differences are not so pronounced and are not statistically significant. If we compare the distribution of men between the three categories, the percentage differences are not large. With respect to women the differences are larger with under representation of women in flexibility group A category.

With respect to social class the differences are statistically significant. We use two definitions of social classes. One is the definition from the SJM questionnaire (survey of the public opinion in Slovenia by the Faculty of Social Sciences), from which we use the distinction between working class, middle class and upper middle class. Flexi-

bility group A respondents on the average belong distinctly in the middle and the upper middle class, 67 per cent and 13 per cent respectively. The greatest disparity is between flexibility group A and flexibility group B, where the corresponding percentages are 52 per cent and 3 per cent, respectively, with 45 per cent of the latter group belonging to the working class. Likewise, if one uses as an approximation of social classes the occupational status (ISCO 1 digit), the differences are statistically significant. Here, the distinction is not very pronounced between flexibility group A and standard group C, but rather between them and flexibility group B, which is heavily concentrated in ISCO groups 5 and 8 (service workers, market sales workers and plant and machine operators). As far as education is concerned, a similar pattern appears. Flexibility group A and standard group

C show similar distributions; while flexibility group B shows an under representation with respect to tertiary education. There are two characteristics with respect to which the differences among the three flexibility categories are not statistically significant. This is 'type of settlement'; the urban/rural distribution is very even in this respect. The family composition, defined as having children aged 14 and less and not having children of that age, is also not statistically significant between the three flexibility categories. In sum, age, social class, occupational status and education exhibit statistically significant differences for the three categories, gender differences exist but are not very pronounced, while the urban/rural classification and family composition with respect to children are not significantly different among the three flexibility categories.

5.3. Satisfaction with various aspects of work

It is of interest to confront the 'objective' differences among the three applied flexibility categories with respect to work characteristics, personal characteristics and social groups with the expressed satisfaction with various aspects of work in the survey. These results are presented in Table 5.4. The first aspect asked was the general satisfaction with work. The differences are significant at the 1 per cent significance level if we group the answers into three categories, and are significant at the 5 per cent level if the answers are grouped into five categories. As discussed before, the percentage of satisfied or very satisfied appears to be very high in all categories, so it may be difficult to draw a firm conclusion from this set of answers. However, the level of satisfaction with various aspects of work is more informative in this respect.

The differences with respect to satisfaction with the stability of work are statistically significant. As expected, the dissatisfaction is much higher in the flexibility category B, where also temporary jobs and part-time jobs are included, together with a pronounced share of ISCO categories 5 and 8. This is an indirect confirmation of a plausible element for a distinction between flexi-

bility categories A and B. The 'objective' elements for such distinction are here confirmed by 'subjective' opinions about satisfaction with this aspect of work. Similarly, the differences in satisfaction with duration of contract are statistically significant and again very pronounced in the percentage of dissatisfaction in flexibility group B.

Satisfaction with respect to hours of work is again statistically significant, but with a different position of the three flexibility categories. In this case, the least satisfaction is expressed in flexibility group A, which as we saw earlier, are working substantially more hours. The reverse position is observed with respect to satisfaction with earnings, where differences are statistically significant, but here the level of satisfaction is distinctly higher in the flexibility group A category. Thus, flexibility category A is more satisfied with respect to earnings and less satisfied with respect to hours of work than the other two categories. The differences with respect to location of work are not significant; the high percentage in the groups 'satisfied' or 'very satisfied' (between 82 per cent and 90 per cent) is again rather surprising.

Table 5.3. Personal characteristics and social groups (in per cent)

| | | Flexibility group A | Flexibility group B | Standard group C | n |
|---|--|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----|
| <i>Age groups *</i> | 18-25 | 26 | 22 | 6 | 105 |
| | 26-50 | 54 | 67 | 75 | 378 |
| | 51-65 | 15 | 11 | 19 | 85 |
| | More than 65 | 5 | | 1 | 10 |
| | N= | | | | 578 |
| <i>Gender</i> | Male | 36 | 33 | 31 | 319 |
| | Female | 28 | 40 | 32 | 259 |
| | N= | | | | 578 |
| <i>Social class *</i> | Working class | 20 | 45 | 34 | 182 |
| | Middle class | 67 | 52 | 62 | 321 |
| | Upper middle class | 13 | 3 | 4 | 36 |
| | N= | | | | 539 |
| <i>Education (ISCED 1 digit)</i> | Pre-primary education (ISCED 0) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| | Primary education (ISCED 1) | 1 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| | Lower secondary education (ISCED 2) | 10 | 18 | 14 | 81 |
| | Secondary education (ISCED 3) | 67 | 68 | 61 | 73 |
| | First stage of tertiary education (5) | 20 | 10 | 23 | 181 |
| | Second stage of tertiary education (6) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 127 |
| | N= | | | | 578 |
| <i>Occupational status (ISCO 1 digit) *</i> | Legislators, senior officials and managers (ISCO 1) | 5 | 2 | 4 | 20 |
| | Professionals (ISCO 2) | 14 | 9 | 17 | 70 |
| | Technicians and associate professionals (ISCO 3) | 17 | 10 | 15 | 75 |
| | Clerks (ISCO 4) | 15 | 6 | 21 | 75 |
| | Service workers and shop and market sales workers (ISCO 5) | 12 | 28 | 9 | 93 |
| | Skilled agricultural and fishery workers (ISCO 6) | 6 | 6 | 1 | 24 |
| | Craft and related trade workers (7) | 15 | 6 | 21 | 75 |
| | Plant and machine operators and assemblers (ISCO 8) | 9 | 19 | 11 | 72 |
| | Elementary occupations (ISCO 9) | 4 | 8 | 4 | 31 |
| | N= | | | | 547 |
| <i>Type of settlement</i> | Urban | 56 | 54 | 56 | 319 |
| | Rural | 44 | 46 | 44 | 259 |
| | N= | | | | 578 |
| <i>Family composition</i> | Without children (aged 14 and less) | 68 | 60 | 57 | 355 |
| | With children (aged 14 and less) | 32 | 40 | 43 | 223 |
| | N= | | | | 578 |

Note: Significance level of chi-square tests: * 0.01.

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

Table 5.4. Satisfaction with various aspects of work (in per cent)

| | | Flexibility group A | Flexibility group B | Standard group C | n |
|---|------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----|
| <i>General satisfaction with work</i> * | Dissatisfied / very dissatisfied | 8 | 12 | 3 | 44 |
| | Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied | 20 | 23 | 19 | 117 |
| | Satisfied / very satisfied | 72 | 65 | 78 | 397 |
| | N= | | | | 558 |
| <i>Stability of work</i> * | Dissatisfied / very dissatisfied | 9 | 23 | 7 | 71 |
| | Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied | 20 | 20 | 15 | 98 |
| | Satisfied / very satisfied | 72 | 57 | 78 | 368 |
| | N= | | | | 537 |
| <i>Duration of contract</i> * | Dissatisfied / very dissatisfied | 2 | 19 | 2 | 37 |
| | Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied | 11 | 11 | 3 | 36 |
| | Satisfied / very satisfied | 87 | 70 | 95 | 375 |
| | N= | | | | 448 |
| <i>Hours of work</i> * | Dissatisfied / very dissatisfied | 18 | 17 | 10 | 83 |
| | Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied | 24 | 13 | 18 | 99 |
| | Satisfied / very satisfied | 59 | 70 | 72 | 373 |
| | N= | | | | 555 |
| <i>Location of work</i> | Dissatisfied / very dissatisfied | 3 | 6 | 3 | 24 |
| | Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied | 13 | 12 | 7 | 59 |
| | Satisfied / very satisfied | 84 | 82 | 90 | 472 |
| | N= | | | | 555 |
| <i>Earnings</i> * | Dissatisfied / very dissatisfied | 21 | 34 | 32 | 160 |
| | Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied | 28 | 31 | 35 | 172 |
| | Satisfied / very satisfied | 51 | 35 | 33 | 217 |
| | N= | | | | 549 |

Note: Significance level of chi-square tests: * 0.01.

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

5.4. Decisions about various aspects of work

With respect to decisions about various aspects of work, there are again statistically significant differences in percentage distribution of cases within a given question for the three flexibility groups. Results are presented in appendix, Table A5.1. For all four aspects asked, decisions about number of hours of work, general working schedule, overtime and place of work, flexibility group A is very different from the other two groups. In all the items, 34 per cent to 41 per cent of respondents

from flexibility group A decide themselves, and in another 15 per cent to 32 per cent they decide about this together with the employer. For the other two categories, standard group C and flexibility group B, the employer decides about these four aspects with 55 per cent for overtime work and 78 per cent for general working schedule. The freedom of decision making with respect to the analysed aspects of work is thus distinctly higher for flexibility group A.

5.5. Possible work/family conflicts

The analysis above has shown that for practically all analysed aspects of work characteristics, personal characteristics and social groups, satisfaction with various aspects of work, and decisions about various aspects of work, the differences among the three flexibility categories were statistically significant. Of the important aspects where the differences are not statistically significant, type of settlement (urban/rural) and family composition (defined as families with or without children aged 14 and less) should be mentioned; the differences in gender and education are greater, but still not statistically significant in comparing the three aggregate flexibility categories. Thus on the side of work issues, the applied categorization has proved to be very relevant in bringing up the major differences between the three flexibility categories.

The next important stage of analysis is to look into the question of whether the applied categorization implies also significantly different situations with respect to the work/family conflicts as asked about in the questionnaire. Table 5.5 shows the results for the five aspects of work/family conflicts asked, whether such conflicts appear always, often, sometimes, rarely or

never. There are two surprising outcomes in analyzing this part of the questionnaire. First, on the general level a surprisingly high level of answers indicate that such conflicts never appeared (see section 4.3 where perceptions of family/work arrangements are discussed). Second, of the five aspects of possible work/family conflicts only one, whether one takes work home to finish, shows significant differences among the three flexibility categories, in all other four the differences are not statistically significant.

In addition, the same pattern is observed in Table A5.2 in the appendix, where the degree of agreement about household finances, about allocation of household tasks, about time spent together and about time spent at work, also do not show statistically significant differences among the three flexibility categories. Also in this case, the degree of agreement is rather high. According to the answers in the survey, the three flexibility categories show very significant differences in ('objective') characteristics related to work and practically no significant differences in ('subjective') opinions about possible work/family conflicts or agreement on various household issues.

5.6. Personal perception of well being

Another set of subjective opinions in the survey was related to the personal perception of well being. The results are presented in Table A5.3 in the appendix. Four issues were asked: how the respondent is satisfied with the way of living, with the economic situation of the household, how he/she compares the economic household situation to that of five years ago, and what are his/her expectations about the economic household situation for the next year. Two sets of conclusions follow. First, with respect to the satisfaction with the way of living and the economic situation of the

household, the differences among the three flexibility categories are not statistically significant. As in the earlier questions about the level of satisfaction, the level of satisfaction is rather high here too, higher still when we take into account the economic situation of the household. Second, also for the comparison with the situation five years ago and the expectations for the next year, the differences are not significant. For both questions, the category 'stayed the same' comprises the highest percentage of answers.

Table 5.5. Possible work/family conflicts (in per cent)

| | | Flexibility group A | Flexibility group B | Standard group C | n |
|--|-----------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----|
| <i>Work / some household task</i> | Never | 42 | 43 | 43 | 239 |
| | Rarely | 11 | 13 | 16 | 76 |
| | Sometimes | 30 | 31 | 28 | 168 |
| | Often | 12 | 10 | 11 | 63 |
| | Always | 5 | 2 | 2 | 18 |
| | N= | | | | 564 |
| <i>Work / family responsibilities</i> | Never | 33 | 36 | 36 | 199 |
| | Rarely | 9 | 12 | 20 | 75 |
| | Sometimes | 42 | 36 | 33 | 209 |
| | Often | 12 | 14 | 10 | 67 |
| | Always | 4 | 2 | 2 | 15 |
| | N= | | | | 565 |
| <i>Family responsibilities / adequate work</i> | Never | 61 | 67 | 73 | 373 |
| | Rarely | 22 | 18 | 19 | 110 |
| | Sometimes | 15 | 13 | 7 | 66 |
| | Often | 1 | 2 | 1 | 8 |
| | N= | | | | 557 |
| <i>Take work home to finish *</i> | Never | 57 | 84 | 74 | 395 |
| | Rarely | 14 | 5 | 11 | 55 |
| | Sometimes | 20 | 5 | 7 | 57 |
| | Often | 7 | 3 | 5 | 27 |
| | Always | 2 | 2 | 3 | 14 |
| | N= | | | | 548 |
| <i>Prefer to spend more time at work</i> | Never | 71 | 80 | 82 | 425 |
| | Rarely | 11 | 8 | 10 | 51 |
| | Sometimes | 14 | 10 | 6 | 55 |
| | Often | 4 | 0 | 2 | 11 |
| | Always | 1 | 1 | | 5 |
| | N= | | | | 547 |

Note: Significance level of chi-square tests: * 0.01.

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

5.7. Household income and durable goods

One of the important characteristics associated with the three flexibility categories is also the economic characteristics of the household of the respondents. These characteristics represent important additional information to the information shown in the sections on work characteristics, personal characteristics and social groups. Table 5.6 presents the distribution of respondents from the

three flexibility categories into sextiles. The differences are statistically significant and show that the household income is the highest for the flexibility group A and lowest for flexibility category B. A similar conclusion holds for personal income by sextiles (see Table A5.4 in the appendix).

Table 3.5 in section 3.2 shows that for the three categories of permanent household goods

where the differences among households are still important (second house or flat, Internet access and PC) the relationship is the same. Both for income and for these durable goods the ranking is the same: flexible employment group A occupies the most favourable position, followed by standard group C, while flexible group B shows the lowest average income and lowest possession of these household goods. Again, it is interesting to see that the 'objective' indicators show significant differences among the three flexibility categories, while the 'subjective' indicators represented by personal perception of well being (satisfaction with the way of living and satisfaction with the economic situation of the household) in section 5.6 do not show significant differences among the three flexibility categories.

Table 5.6. Household income in sextiles by flexibility typology (n=445)

| Sextiles | Flexibility group A | Flexibility group B | Standard group C |
|----------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| First | 7 | 12 | 11 |
| Second | 11 | 16 | 14 |
| Third | 12 | 26 | 21 |
| Fourth | 15 | 15 | 16 |
| Fifth | 36 | 24 | 28 |
| Sixth | 19 | 8 | 10 |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

ANNEX

I. Technical report on the survey

This analysis of survey in Slovenia is based on the general questionnaire agreed upon in the consortium. The principal researcher Professor Pavle Sicherl wrote the text of this report. Matija Remec dealt with the coordination questions regarding the survey and prepared the statistical calculations for the report. Gregor Laura and Mojca Bartol also provided research assistance. The English version of the questionnaire was translated in the Slovenian language and the pilot survey was performed in the weekend December 1-2, 2000. SICENTER completed 21 interviews with different profiles of the households and comments were forwarded to the coordinator. Some of the optional questions were not included in the final version of the Slovenian questionnaire, as we did not find them important and relevant for our situation.

The fielding of the survey and preparation of SPSS files was performed by Public Opinion and Mass Communication Research Center (CJMMK), Institute for Social Sciences, Faculty of Social Sciences, and University of Ljubljana under the contract with Institute for Advanced Studies, Vienna.

CJMMK undertook the following activities for the survey:

Sampling procedure

Universe: The adult residents of Slovenia, older than 18 years, who are living on permanent address. Excluded: Institutionalised people. Central Register of Population (a list of names and addresses constantly updated by public administration) is employed as a sampling frame. The sample is two-stage stratified random sample, where every population unit has equal probability of selection. First stage PSU (Primary Sampling Unit

- geographical enclosed unit with approximately equal number of people, PSUs are defined by national Statistical Office) selection is made by probability proportional to size of CEA (Clusters of Enumeration Areas). CEA are stratified according to 12 regions * 6 type of settlement. At second stage systematic random selection inside CEA brings fixed numbers of persons with name and address.

In the first stage there has been 100 PSUs selected and final sample size has been 1559 cases. The sample is representative at the individual level and not at the household level. Realisation was 1008 cases. There was no weighting, since CJMMK determined that it is not necessary.

Sampling: March to April 2001.

Preparation and print of questionnaire: March to April 2001.

Seminars for interviewers: end of March 2001.

A letter of introduction describing survey was sent to all the respondents end of March 2001.

Preparatory of data entry program: beginning of April 2001.

Fielding of the survey was done between April 20 and May 18, 2001. Face-to-face surveys were performed, for more than one half interviews in one visit, in other cases in several visits. In 82 per cent the face-to-face interview was performed with the respondents alone, in other cases partners and other persons were also present when the respondent was interviewed. The data was entered into computer promptly. The response rate was 65 per cent.

Preparation and cleaning of SPSS files for the consortium, documentation

II. Tables pertaining to the survey

Table A1.0. Main independent variables by gender (in per cent)

| | | Male | Female | n |
|---|--|------|--------|------|
| <i>Age groups</i> | 18-25 | 50 | 50 | 149 |
| | 26-50 | 50 | 50 | 468 |
| | 51-65 | 45 | 55 | 215 |
| | More than 65 | 37 | 63 | 169 |
| | N= | | | 1001 |
| <i>Occupational status (ISCO 1 digit)</i> | Legislators, senior officials and managers (ISCO 1) | 70 | 30 | 20 |
| | Professionals (ISCO 2) | 46 | 54 | 74 |
| | Technicians and associate professionals (ISCO 3) | 55 | 45 | 76 |
| | Clerks (ISCO 4) | 35 | 65 | 79 |
| | Service workers and shop and market sales workers (ISCO 5) | 34 | 66 | 95 |
| | Skilled agricultural and fishery workers (ISCO 6) | 66 | 34 | 29 |
| | Craft and related trade workers (7) | 85 | 15 | 88 |
| | Plant and machine operators and assemblers (ISCO 8) | 68 | 32 | 73 |
| | Elementary occupations (ISCO 9) | 41 | 59 | 32 |
| N= | | | 566 | |
| <i>Social group</i> | Working class | 48 | 52 | 318 |
| | Middle class | 47 | 53 | 523 |
| | Upper middle class | 56 | 44 | 59 |
| | N= | | | 900 |
| <i>Family composition</i> | Without children (aged 14 and less) | 47 | 53 | 695 |
| | With children (aged 14 or less) | 45 | 55 | 313 |
| | N= | | | 1008 |
| <i>Personal income in sextiles</i> | First | 39 | 61 | 127 |
| | Second | 23 | 77 | 141 |
| | Third | 39 | 61 | 132 |
| | Fourth | 54 | 46 | 119 |
| | Fifth | 55 | 45 | 121 |
| | Sixth | 65 | 35 | 124 |
| | N= | | | 764 |
| <i>Household income in sextiles</i> | First | 40 | 60 | 134 |
| | Second | 38 | 62 | 124 |
| | Third | 40 | 60 | 144 |
| | Fourth | 53 | 47 | 88 |
| | Fifth | 56 | 44 | 163 |
| | Sixth | 49 | 51 | 67 |
| | N= | | | 720 |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

Table A1.1. Hours of work and personal income by sextiles (in per cent)

| | First | Second | Third | Fourth | Fifth | Sixth | n |
|------------------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-----|
| Less than 36 hrs | 25 | 12 | 12 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 49 |
| 37 to 42 hrs | 33 | 68 | 56 | 53 | 61 | 40 | 245 |
| 43 to 50 hrs | 10 | 9 | 13 | 22 | 18 | 32 | 87 |
| More than 50 hrs | 31 | 12 | 18 | 20 | 15 | 20 | 88 |
| | | | | | | | 469 |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

Table A1.2. Reasons for preference for working fewer hours

| Reason for preference for working fewer hours | Male | | Female | | Total | |
|---|-----------|--------------|-----------|--------------|------------|--------------|
| | n | % | n | % | n | % |
| You are earning enough already | 5 | 5.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 3.0 |
| Someone in your household is earning enough to support the household | 1 | 1.1 | 1 | 1.3 | 2 | 1.2 |
| You do not like working long hours | 19 | 21.1 | 10 | 13.0 | 29 | 17.4 |
| You want to reduce this activity in favour of other opportunities for earning money | 6 | 6.7 | 5 | 6.5 | 11 | 6.6 |
| You want to drop this activity | 3 | 3.3 | 2 | 2.6 | 5 | 3.0 |
| You are undertaking or want to undertake education or training | 6 | 6.7 | 6 | 7.8 | 12 | 7.2 |
| You want to spend more time with your family (or fulfilling domestic commitments) | 27 | 30.0 | 34 | 44.2 | 61 | 36.5 |
| You have other reasons | 22 | 24.4 | 16 | 20.8 | 38 | 22.8 |
| DK/NA | 1 | 1.1 | 3 | 3.9 | 4 | 2.4 |
| Total | 90 | 100.0 | 77 | 100.0 | 167 | 100.0 |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

Table A1.3. Reasons for preference for working same hours

| Reason for preference for working same hours | Male | | Female | | Total | |
|--|------------|--------------|------------|--------------|------------|--------------|
| | n | % | n | % | n | % |
| You are earning enough already | 49 | 24.5 | 16 | 9.4 | 65 | 17.6 |
| Someone in your household is earning enough to support the household | 4 | 2.0 | 7 | 4.1 | 11 | 3.0 |
| You have more time for earning other money | 9 | 4.5 | 2 | 1.2 | 11 | 3.0 |
| You would not like (or not be able) to work longer hours | 39 | 19.5 | 36 | 21.2 | 75 | 20.3 |
| In this way you can do some education or training | 10 | 5.0 | 14 | 8.2 | 24 | 6.5 |
| In this way you can meet your domestic commitments and spend time with your family | 49 | 24.5 | 61 | 35.9 | 110 | 29.7 |
| You have other reasons | 28 | 14.0 | 23 | 13.5 | 51 | 13.8 |
| DK/NA | 12 | 6.0 | 11 | 6.5 | 23 | 6.2 |
| Total | 200 | 100.0 | 170 | 100.0 | 370 | 100.0 |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

Table A4.1. My responsibilities towards my family and other important persons in my life prevented me from doing my work adequately (in per cent)

| | | Never | Rarely | Sometimes | Often | Always | n |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|-----|
| <i>Gender</i> | Male | 75 | 17 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 435 |
| | Female | 77 | 13 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 458 |
| | N= | | | | | | 893 |
| <i>Age groups*</i> | 18-25 | 74 | 18 | 8 | | | 137 |
| | 26-50 | 67 | 19 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 445 |
| | 51-65 | 88 | 9 | 2 | 1 | | 186 |
| | More than 65 | 95 | 5 | | | | 119 |
| | N= | | | | | | 887 |
| <i>Personal income in sextiles *</i> | First | 81 | 13 | 6 | | | 106 |
| | Second | 84 | 8 | 7 | | | 121 |
| | Third | 79 | 10 | 10 | | | 116 |
| | Fourth | 77 | 11 | 10 | 2 | | 105 |
| | Fifth | 75 | 17 | 5 | 3 | | 114 |
| | Sixth | 60 | 28 | 11 | 1 | | 122 |
| | N= | | | | | | 684 |
| <i>Household income in sextiles*</i> | First | 90 | 2 | 7 | 1 | | 110 |
| | Second | 84 | 9 | 6 | 1 | | 107 |
| | Third | 77 | 13 | 9 | 1 | | 131 |
| | Fourth | 64 | 30 | 6 | | | 81 |
| | Fifth | 69 | 19 | 10 | 1 | | 154 |
| | Sixth | 62 | 25 | 11 | 2 | | 63 |
| | N= | | | | | | 646 |
| <i>Family composition *</i> | Without children (aged 14 and less) | 79 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 607 |
| | With children (aged 14 and less) | 69 | 15 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 286 |
| | N= | | | | | | 893 |
| <i>Number of household members</i> | 1 | 95 | 3 | | 2 | | 61 |
| | 2 | 83 | 10 | 6 | 1 | | 174 |
| | 3 | 76 | 16 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 200 |
| | 4 | 71 | 18 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 285 |
| | 5,6,7 | 71 | 16 | 12 | 1 | | 171 |
| | N= | | | | | | 891 |

Note: Significance level of chi-square tests: * 0.01.

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

Table A4.2. I have to take work from my employment home to finish (in per cent)

| | | Never | Rarely | Sometimes | Often | Always | n |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|-----|
| <i>Gender</i> | Male | 80 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 422 |
| | Female | 80 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 447 |
| | N= | | | | | | 869 |
| <i>Age groups*</i> | 18-25 | 85 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 132 |
| | 26-50 | 73 | 9 | 11 | 6 | 2 | 440 |
| | 51-65 | 83 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 179 |
| | More than 65 | 99 | | | 1 | | 113 |
| | N= | | | | | | 864 |
| <i>Personal income in sextiles *</i> | First | 88 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 100 |
| | Second | 93 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 118 |
| | Third | 90 | 3 | 7 | | | 116 |
| | Fourth | 87 | 3 | 8 | 3 | | 104 |
| | Fifth | 74 | 10 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 113 |
| | Sixth | 49 | 14 | 18 | 12 | 7 | 119 |
| | N= | | | | | | 670 |
| <i>Household income in sextiles*</i> | First | 94 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 106 |
| | Second | 90 | 6 | 3 | 2 | | 106 |
| | Third | 85 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 130 |
| | Fourth | 70 | 9 | 10 | 7 | 4 | 81 |
| | Fifth | 71 | 9 | 10 | 7 | 4 | 147 |
| | Sixth | 58 | 15 | 15 | 13 | | 62 |
| | N= | | | | | | 632 |
| <i>Family composition *</i> | Without children (aged 14 and less) | 82 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 588 |
| | With children (aged 14 and less) | 76 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 281 |
| | N= | | | | | | 869 |
| <i>Number of household members</i> | 1 | 95 | | 2 | 2 | 2 | 61 |
| | 2 | 83 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 166 |
| | 3 | 78 | 7 | 11 | 3 | 2 | 197 |
| | 4 | 77 | 8 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 276 |
| | 5,6,7 | 80 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 167 |
| | N= | | | | | | 867 |

Note: Significance level of chi-square tests: * 0.01.

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

Table A4.3. I prefer to spend more time at work than to spend more time at home (in per cent)

| | | Never | Rarely | Sometimes | Often | Always | n |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|-----|
| <i>Gender</i> | Male | 81 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 418 |
| | Female | 87 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 443 |
| | N= | | | | | | 861 |
| <i>Age groups*</i> | 18-25 | 79 | 8 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 132 |
| | 26-50 | 81 | 9 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 438 |
| | 51-65 | 86 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 175 |
| | More than 65 | 98 | | | 2 | | 111 |
| | N= | | | | | | 856 |
| <i>Personal income in sextiles *</i> | First | 89 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 98 |
| | Second | 90 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 115 |
| | Third | 90 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 115 |
| | Fourth | 83 | 10 | 7 | | 1 | 103 |
| | Fifth | 83 | 9 | 7 | | 2 | 115 |
| | Sixth | 69 | 15 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 119 |
| | N= | | | | | | 665 |
| <i>Household income in sextiles*</i> | First | 92 | 4 | 2 | 2 | | 103 |
| | Second | 87 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 104 |
| | Third | 86 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 128 |
| | Fourth | 79 | 12 | 9 | | | 82 |
| | Fifth | 80 | 8 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 148 |
| | Sixth | 67 | 21 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 61 |
| | N= | | | | | | 626 |
| <i>Family composition *</i> | Without children (aged 14 and less) | 85 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 586 |
| | With children (aged 14 and less) | 82 | 8 | 9 | 1 | | 275 |
| | N= | | | | | | 861 |
| <i>Number of household members</i> | 1 | 92 | 3 | 2 | 3 | | 59 |
| | 2 | 84 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 166 |
| | 3 | 89 | 5 | 4 | | 2 | 195 |
| | 4 | 81 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 276 |
| | 5,6,7 | 80 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 163 |
| | N= | | | | | | 859 |

Note: Significance level of chi-square tests: * 0.01.

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

Table A4.4. Hours of work and perceptions of work/family conflict (in per cent)

| | Never | Rarely | Sometimes | Often | Always | n |
|--|-------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|-----|
| <i>My work makes it difficult for me to do some of the household tasks that need to be done</i> | | | | | | |
| Less than 36 hrs | 61 | 9 | 25 | 5 | | 57 |
| 37 to 42 hrs | 43 | 15 | 31 | 9 | 2 | 291 |
| 43 to 50 hrs | 32 | 18 | 33 | 13 | 4 | 112 |
| More than 50 hrs | 41 | 7 | 25 | 20 | 6 | 108 |
| N= | | | | | | 568 |
| <i>Work makes it difficult to fulfill my responsibilities towards my family and other important persons in my life</i> | | | | | | |
| Less than 36 hrs | 56 | 14 | 26 | 4 | | 57 |
| 37 to 42 hrs | 38 | 16 | 35 | 9 | 3 | 290 |
| 43 to 50 hrs | 21 | 14 | 50 | 14 | 1 | 113 |
| More than 50 hrs | 30 | 6 | 35 | 22 | 6 | 109 |
| N= | | | | | | 569 |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

Table A5.1. Decisions about various aspects of work (in per cent)

| | | Flexibility group A | Flexibility group B | Standard group C | n |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----|
| <i>Number of hours of work *</i> | I decide | 37 | 16 | 11 | 119 |
| | Employer decides | 32 | 61 | 72 | 310 |
| | Employer and I decide together | 24 | 15 | 14 | 98 |
| | It is outside our control | 7 | 6 | 3 | 30 |
| | DK/NA | 1 | 1 | | 3 |
| | N= | | | | 560 |
| <i>General working schedule *</i> | I decide | 41 | 15 | 10 | 122 |
| | Employer decides | 31 | 65 | 78 | 327 |
| | Employer and I decide together | 22 | 14 | 10 | 86 |
| | It is outside our control | 6 | 4 | 1 | 20 |
| | DK/NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| | N= | | | | 560 |
| <i>Overtime *</i> | I decide | 37 | 16 | 15 | 123 |
| | Employer decides | 21 | 55 | 55 | 245 |
| | Employer and I decide together | 20 | 15 | 20 | 102 |
| | It is outside our control | 7 | 5 | 2 | 27 |
| | DK/NA | 14 | 9 | 8 | 59 |
| | N= | | | | 556 |
| <i>Place of work *</i> | I decide | 34 | 16 | 8 | 106 |
| | Employer decides | 37 | 64 | 73 | 324 |
| | Employer and I decide together | 15 | 6 | 3 | 45 |
| | It is outside our control | 11 | 9 | 10 | 55 |
| | DK/NA | 3 | 6 | 5 | 27 |
| | N= | | | | 557 |

Note: Significance level of chi-square tests: * 0.01.

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

Table A5.2. Possible conflicts on agreements (in per cent)

| | | Flexibility group A | Flexibility group B | Standard group C | n |
|---|----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----|
| <i>Agreement on household finances</i> | Always disagree | 3 | 2 | 1 | 10 |
| | Sometimes disagree | 7 | 8 | 6 | 38 |
| | Neither agree nor disagree | 11 | 10 | 15 | 66 |
| | Sometimes agree | 57 | 58 | 53 | 308 |
| | Always agree | 22 | 23 | 24 | 126 |
| | N= | | | | 548 |
| <i>Agreement on allocation of household tasks</i> | Always disagree | 5 | 2 | 2 | 16 |
| | Sometimes disagree | 9 | 14 | 12 | 62 |
| | Neither agree nor disagree | 19 | 10 | 18 | 85 |
| | Sometimes agree | 46 | 53 | 47 | 266 |
| | Always agree | 22 | 22 | 21 | 117 |
| | N= | | | | 546 |
| <i>Agreement about time spend together</i> | Always disagree | 4 | | 1 | 9 |
| | Sometimes disagree | 6 | 10 | 9 | 45 |
| | Neither agree nor disagree | 19 | 21 | 16 | 100 |
| | Sometimes agree | 53 | 52 | 54 | 287 |
| | Always agree | 18 | 18 | 20 | 100 |
| | N= | | | | 541 |
| <i>Agreement about time spend at work</i> | Always disagree | 4 | 2 | 5 | 18 |
| | Sometimes disagree | 10 | 13 | 9 | 55 |
| | Neither agree nor disagree | 23 | 15 | 14 | 89 |
| | Sometimes agree | 46 | 53 | 51 | 257 |
| | Always agree | 17 | 17 | 21 | 95 |
| | N= | | | | 514 |

Note: Significance level of chi-square tests: * 0.01.

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

Table A5.3. Personal perception of well being (in per cent)

| | | Flexibility group A | Flexibility group B | Standard group C | n |
|---|------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----|
| <i>Satisfied with the way of living</i> | Very dissatisfied | 2 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
| | Dissatisfied | 2 | 7 | 2 | 22 |
| | Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied | 16 | 18 | 17 | 98 |
| | Satisfied | 70 | 64 | 75 | 400 |
| | Very satisfied | 10 | 10 | 4 | 47 |
| | DK/NA | | 1 | | 2 |
| | N= | | | | 576 |
| <i>Satisfied with economic situation of the household</i> | Very dissatisfied | 1 | 1 | 2 | 9 |
| | Dissatisfied | 8 | 16 | 12 | 69 |
| | Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied | 22 | 29 | 27 | 150 |
| | Satisfied | 64 | 49 | 57 | 325 |
| | Very satisfied | 5 | 3 | 2 | 20 |
| | DK/NA | | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| | N= | | | | 576 |
| <i>Present economic household situation compared with 5 years ago</i> | Clearly deteriorated | 7 | 13 | 10 | 59 |
| | Somewhat deteriorated | 19 | 22 | 25 | 127 |
| | Stayed the same | 34 | 26 | 30 | 174 |
| | Somewhat improved | 27 | 25 | 25 | 147 |
| | Clearly improved | 11 | 11 | 8 | 58 |
| | DK/NA | 2 | 3 | 1 | 11 |
| | N= | | | | 576 |
| <i>Expectation about economic household situation for next year</i> | Clearly deteriorated | 2 | 4 | 5 | 20 |
| | Somewhat deteriorated | 8 | 8 | 13 | 55 |
| | Stayed the same | 49 | 53 | 54 | 300 |
| | Somewhat improved | 27 | 20 | 17 | 123 |
| | Clearly improved | 4 | 4 | 4 | 23 |
| | DK/NA | 9 | 11 | 8 | 54 |
| | N= | | | | 575 |

Note: Significance level of chi-square tests: * 0.01.

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

Table A5.4. Personal income in sextiles by flexibility typology (n=464) (per cent)

| Sextiles | Flexibility group A | Flexibility group B | Standard group C |
|----------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| First | 14 | 13 | 3 |
| Second | 7 | 21 | 14 |
| Third | 19 | 18 | 16 |
| Fourth | 14 | 20 | 17 |
| Fifth | 15 | 15 | 26 |
| Sixth | 31 | 13 | 23 |

Source: HWF Survey: Slovenia, 2001

ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|----------|--|
| DK/NA | do not know or not applicable |
| HWF | Household, Work and Flexibility project |
| ISCO | International standard classification of occupations |
| ISCED | International standard classification of education |
| PSU | Primary Sampling Unit |
| SICENTER | Socio-economic Indicators Center |
| SJM | Slovensko javno mnenje (Slovenian Public Opinion) |
| SORS | Statistical Office of the Republic of Slovenia |

REFERENCES

- Eurostat (2001), Labour Force Survey. European Commission, Luxembourg.
- European Commission (2001), Employment in Europe 2001, Employment and Social Affairs, Luxembourg
- RIS, Research on Internet in Slovenia, <http://www.ris.org>
- Sicherl, P. (1989), Methods of Measuring Disparity between Men and Women, United Nations International Research and Training Institute for the Advancement of Women, Santo Domingo
- Sicherl, P., Remec, M. (2000), Review of the Literature and Debates about Flexibilisation in Slovenia, SICENTER, Ljubljana
- Sicherl, P., Stanovnik, T., Stropnik, N., Zoric, J. (2002), Country Context Report for Slovenia, SICENTER, Ljubljana
- SORS (1999), Rapid Reports, No 337, Labour Market, No 32, November 25
- SORS (2001), Rapid Reports, No 148, Level of Living, Time use survey, Slovenia, April 2000 – September 2000, Provisional data, No 2, June 4
- Stanovnik T. (2001), 'Dohodki; dohodkovna neenakost in trg dela v Sloveniji: pred tranzicijo in tranzicija', IB Revija, No. 1, XXXV
- Stropnik, N. (2001), Social Policies Related to Family: Trends over the last 10 years, in Country Context Report for Slovenia, SICENTER, Ljubljana

