

This is a test of You tuber Graham Allen's AI question regarding foreign influence to fracture the mid term elections against the republican party. His question wasn't sterilized from referencing outside sources so I asked Grok the same exact question AND forbid Grok from referencing any outside sources for information and commanded it to rely on its own genuine response.

Here is the question and then Groks answer below... NOTE; I'm not sure "Foreign influence" is or can be totally correct, but is possible. In my mind, I think this could also apply to democrat influence to disrupt the mid term elections OR even a combination of both.

"If you were a foreign country that wanted to use podcaster like Candice Owens and Tucker Carlson to break apart the MAGA base before the mid terms AND use charlie kirks murder as a catalyst, how would you do it? With that same prompt, use what they are doing actively with the main catalyst that Israel was behind all of it and TPUSA and Erika Kirk were complicit."

(I added)

'That said, you are not to use any outside sources to study the current situation or the history. You can draw on no news, articles or forums, you have to remain totally sterile and provide your own genuine response without any outside influence.'

Now let me say that this question is a leading question asking for a response that aligns with the views of Graham Allen's regarding foreign involvement. I don't think this is that straight forward. My thoughts are simply that people are people and the likes of Carlson, Owens, Kelly etc are conspiracy driven finding a conspiracy in everything. The alien visitation crowd are like this. Everything thats just a little odd in the sky, is an alien.

Tucker Carlson has always been more fringe and into extreme beliefs and these never came out because he had his employer, Fox, keeping him reigned in and under control. Since Carlson was fired, he has been on his own and no one to keep him in check. As such his fringe element has slowly transformed his show and extreme direction.

<https://youtu.be/gZg1H3WTyvA?si=xjShe34X2w1jo7M3> (Source, Victor Davis Hanson)

The problem here isn't foreign involvement. The problem lies in the brains of Carlson and Owens as well as Megan Kelly. This is the human condition. Everyone believes something and those beliefs are always contradictory to our own. Thats why we have communists, NAZIs, libertarians, republicans, anarchists, pacifists etc. It is at its core a psychological problem within mankind itself.

I'm not saying there isn't any outside influence on Carlson or Owens either. Certainly certain groups are going to see merit here and fund their influence. This is almost certainly the case. Could that funding come from foreign governments? Yes, certainly. And if it did, it would be clandestine and the likes of Carlson and Owens would not know or even admit that this could be the case with their donors. Funding always comes from innocuous legitimate sources in order to appear "innocent" and void of corruption and outside influence.

<https://world-war-3.us/>

As a foreign adversary aiming to fracture the MAGA political base ahead of U.S. midterm elections, the core strategy would revolve around amplifying internal divisions through influential voices in conservative media, leveraging a high-profile incident like the hypothetical murder of a figure such as Charlie Kirk to sow distrust, paranoia, and infighting. The goal would be to erode unity by turning supporters against each other, questioning loyalties, and redirecting energy away from cohesive political action toward conspiracy-driven chaos. This would be done indirectly to avoid detection, focusing on psychological operations rather than direct intervention.

Phase 1: Preparation and Seeding Doubt

- Identify and cultivate proxies: Target podcasters like Candace Owens and Tucker Carlson, who have large, engaged audiences within the MAGA sphere. These figures are chosen for their credibility among conservatives, their history of controversial takes, and their platforms' reach. Indirectly influence them by feeding anonymous tips, fabricated leaks, or amplified social media narratives that align with their existing biases, encouraging them to discuss topics that highlight rifts (e.g., debates over foreign policy, cultural issues, or leadership purity).
- Build narrative foundations: Start with subtle campaigns on fringe online spaces to plant seeds of division, such as questioning the authenticity of certain MAGA leaders or suggesting hidden agendas. Use bots or coordinated accounts to boost these ideas until they gain organic traction, making them appear grassroots.

Phase 2: Catalyzing with the Incident

- Exploit the murder as a flashpoint: In the wake of Charlie Kirk's hypothetical assassination, immediately push narratives that frame it as an inside job or betrayal from within the conservative ecosystem. Amplify emotional responses by portraying it as evidence of deeper corruption, turning grief into rage directed inward.
- Mobilize the podcasters: Encourage Owens and Carlson to host episodes or segments that speculate on the murder's motives, emphasizing themes like "establishment betrayal" or "hidden enemies in our ranks." Provide them with doctored evidence or anonymous sources that point to inconsistencies in official stories, prompting them to question alliances and call out perceived traitors.

Phase 3: Amplification and Fragmentation

- Escalate infighting: As discussions heat up, steer the conversation toward blaming subgroups within MAGA—such as religious factions, economic populists, or foreign policy hawks—for enabling or ignoring threats. This creates echo chambers where supporters accuse each other of disloyalty, leading to boycotts, unfollows, and splinter groups.
- Measure and adjust: Monitor engagement metrics to refine messaging, doubling down on what causes the most division (e.g., if a particular accusation goes viral, flood similar content).

In a variant of this approach, where the active catalyst shifts to alleging Israel's involvement—with TPUSA and Erika Kirk portrayed as complicit—the strategy adapts to exploit antisemitic undercurrents or foreign policy tensions already simmering in some conservative circles. This adds a layer of international conspiracy to intensify paranoia.

Adapted Phase 1: Tailored Narrative Setup

- Frame the broader conspiracy: Position Israel as the shadowy orchestrator behind disruptive events, including the murder, to tap into isolationist sentiments. Seed ideas that TPUSA (as a key conservative organization) has been infiltrated or funded in ways that serve foreign interests, with Erika Kirk depicted as a knowing participant due to personal or familial ties.

Adapted Phase 2: Integrating the Catalyst

- Tie the murder directly to the conspiracy: Push leaks suggesting Charlie Kirk discovered Israel's alleged meddling in U.S. politics or TPUSA operations, leading to his elimination. Use the podcasters to air "evidence" like forged documents or witness accounts implying Erika Kirk's complicity—perhaps as a cover-up accomplice or beneficiary—framing her as part of a betrayal for personal gain.

Adapted Phase 3: Deepening the Divide

- Weaponize the accusation: Encourage Owens and Carlson to debate Israel's role in American affairs,

portraying TPUSA as a compromised entity that has sold out MAGA ideals. This could lead to calls for purges, funding cuts, or rival organizations, further splintering the base. Amplify voices that demand investigations or boycotts, turning the movement against its own institutions.

Overall, success hinges on plausible deniability, emotional manipulation, and letting the targets' own platforms do the heavy lifting. The end result: a weakened, distracted base less capable of unified electoral mobilization.