


Employee burnout in financial services: a crisis that's costing firms billions

Pierre Pourquery, October 2025



Employee burnout isn't just destroying careers—it's destroying balance sheets.

In financial services, what was once dismissed as "part of the job" has become an existential threat to firm sustainability and competitiveness.

1. The scale of the problem

The numbers are stark. While "burnout" isn't a formal medical diagnosis, the workplace syndrome it describes—characterized by chronic exhaustion, declining performance, and mental disengagement—has reached epidemic proportions in finance.

Consider these findings:

- **17%** of UK finance workers experience all three main burnout symptoms, compared to just 12% across other sectors (Deloitte, 2024)
- **52%** of finance professionals report high levels of burnout and poor work-life balance (FTAdviser, 2024)
- **75%** would not recommend their profession to younger generations, citing burnout-related stressors (FTAdviser, 2024)
- **83%** have considered changing jobs due to work-related mental health concerns—and nearly half of those actually followed through (On Wellbeing, 2023)

These aren't just statistics. They represent a talent exodus that financial services can ill afford.

2. What's driving the crisis?

The roots of burnout in finance run deep, embedded in both culture and structure.

- **Matrix management creates impossible tensions.** Perhaps most insidiously, modern financial services organizations have embraced matrix structures that trap employees in contradictory demands. Professionals report to multiple managers simultaneously—each with different priorities, different deadlines, and different definitions of success. One employee describes the reality: "I have three managers, each expecting me to prioritize their projects. When I focus on one, the other two are disappointed."
- **The collaboration-competition paradox is exhausting.** Firms demand extensive collaboration across teams and departments. Yet the same colleagues you're expected to partner with are also your direct competitors for promotions, bonuses, and visibility. You're told to share knowledge freely while knowing that your performance is being constantly compared to those same teammates. The cognitive dissonance is profound: collaborate deeply with people you must outperform to advance.
- This creates a toxic dynamic where trust becomes nearly impossible. Do you share your best ideas in the team meeting, knowing they might be claimed by a colleague? Do you help a peer with their project when your own deadlines are slipping? Every collaborative moment carries the weight of competitive calculation.
- **Cultural factors amplify the damage.** Lack of psychological safety, insufficient management support, and workplace norms that glorify overwork create an environment where burnout thrives. When you're being pulled in multiple directions by competing managers while simultaneously expected to both collaborate with and outcompete your peers, the mental load becomes crushing.
- **The hours are punishing.** Many professionals still work 70-80 hours weekly, a pace that's simply unsustainable for human beings operating at peak cognitive performance.
- **The "always-on" culture persists.** Rather than liberating employees, technology has eliminated the boundaries between work and life. Emails arrive at midnight. Weekend availability is expected, not appreciated.
- **Post-pandemic pressures intensified the problem.** Staff reductions left skeleton crews managing the same workload. Remote work, initially promising flexibility, instead extended the working day indefinitely.
- **Digital tools raised the bar** instead of lowering it. Automation should have reduced workload; instead, it raised expectations for output and responsiveness.

3. The true cost to firms

Here's where the business case becomes impossible to ignore.

Burnout costs UK employers approximately £56 billion annually through absenteeism, presenteeism, and turnover. In finance and insurance specifically, **the average cost per employee is £5,379 per year** (Deloitte, 2024).

But the damage extends beyond these direct costs:

- Talent drain accelerates, particularly among younger workers who refuse to sacrifice wellbeing for compensation
- Mistakes multiply when exhausted employees make errors in judgment
- Innovation stalls when disengaged teams simply go through the motions
- Reputation suffers when firms become known as burnout factories

Meanwhile, the return on investment for addressing burnout is compelling: **every £1 spent on employee wellbeing generates £4.70 in productivity gains** (Deloitte). The math isn't complicated. Ignoring burnout is financially reckless.

4. The bottom line: action is needed now

Burnout is not a personal failure. It's a systemic warning signal that current operating models are broken.

The question facing financial services leaders is simple: Will you invest in genuine cultural transformation now, or continue paying the £5,379-per-employee tax on organizational dysfunction?

The economics favor action. The talent market demands it. The only question is whether firms will respond before the best people walk out the door.

5. Why current solutions aren't working

Many firms have introduced wellness initiatives—mental health apps, bonuses for taking vacation, protected rest days. These gestures are well-intentioned but fundamentally insufficient.

Employees see through them. As a colleague put it to me recently: "Wellness initiatives are offered, but they fail to address the real issue—the workload itself is unsustainable."

You can't meditate your way out of a 75-hour workweek. You can't yoga-pose away a toxic manager. Free fruit in the break room doesn't compensate for a culture that treats people as disposable.

These programs treat symptoms, not causes. They're the organizational equivalent of offering painkillers to someone with a broken leg—marginally helpful, but missing the fundamental problem.

6. What needs to change

The financial services industry stands at an inflection point. The old model—where success meant surviving the grind—is collapsing under its own weight.

Tactical Moves Are Necessary But Insufficient

Many firms focus on tactical interventions, and while these matter, they rarely address root causes:

- Redefining success metrics beyond billable hours
- Leadership modelling healthy boundaries and work-life balance, not just preaching it
- Creating psychological safety where employees can raise concerns without career consequences
- Right-sizing workloads through proper staffing and realistic expectations
- Structural redesign of how work flows, not just how it's supported

These steps are essential starting points. But they won't deliver lasting change without something deeper.

Real Transformation Requires a Human-Centered Paradigm Shift

Sustainable transformation demands a fundamentally different approach—one that integrates four dimensions:

- **Emotional:** Recognizing that people bring their whole selves to work, not just their productivity. This means acknowledging stress, validating struggles, and creating space for human vulnerability without penalty.
- **Psychological:** Building environments where psychological safety isn't just a buzzword but a lived reality. Where speaking up about unsustainable demands is seen as professionalism, not weakness. Where mental health is treated with the same urgency as physical safety.
- **Rational:** Making the business case impossible to ignore through data, metrics, and clear ROI on wellbeing investments. Demonstrating that human sustainability and business performance are not competing priorities—they're inseparable.
- **Structural:** Redesigning the fundamental architecture of work itself. This means examining reporting structures, decision-making processes, reward systems, and workflow designs to eliminate the organizational factors that systematically generate burnout.

At the core of this transformation is a simple but radical recognition: humans are at the centre of everything—even when current systems and incentives suggest otherwise.

The firms that succeed won't be those that add meditation apps to unsustainable workloads. They'll be those that fundamentally reimagine what high performance looks like when built on a foundation of human sustainability rather than human extraction.

This isn't about going soft. It's about recognizing that sustainable high performance requires sustainable working conditions. The firms that figure this out will attract and retain the best talent. Those that don't will continue hemorrhaging people and profits.