

Media placement of “anti-Zionism is pro-war” narrative:

- [The Chicago Tribune](#): “We must break the cycle of perpetual war. While this ceasefire holds, we must focus on the possibility of reconciliation, peace and mutual understanding. Achieving these goals means giving up on the single most destructive ideology in the history of the conflict: the dream of eliminating Israel. This goal, often referred to as anti-Zionism, shuns collaboration, refuses compromise and demands ongoing conflict. It’s time to end the forever-war against Israel’s existence.”
- [The Kansas City Star](#): “The real obstacle at this point is anti-Zionism, the movement to destroy Israel. Anti-Zionism demands maximalist positions, rejects compromise, rejects peacebuilding efforts and has brought untold grief to ordinary people. Anti-Zionism states that there is nothing Israel can do, short of committing national suicide, to end the conflict. Anti-Zionism gives hope to extremists like Hamas who believe in eradication. It is time, finally, for the anti-Zionist dream to be abandoned.”
- [The St. Louis Post-Dispatch](#): “But that future depends on relinquishing the dream that has fueled every war against Israel since its founding: the anti-Zionist desire to eliminate Israel. Anti-Zionism is not a movement for peace or justice, but in the West it uses the language of righteousness to promote violence and deny a people their right to self-determination. Anti-Zionism inspires calls to “globalize the Intifada” and chants for a single state “from the river to the sea” — slogans that reject peace and demand Israel’s destruction.”
- [The Arizona Republic](#): “But modern anti-Zionism isn’t about policy — it’s about erasure. It denies the Jewish people’s right to self-determination in any part of our ancestral homeland. It rejects coexistence, the promise of a two-state solution and any vision of peace. At its core, anti-Zionism is a call for endless war until Israel no longer exists. It’s wrong, it’s dangerous, and increasingly, it’s deadly.”
- [The San Diego Union Tribune](#): “Next, we must be clear-eyed about anti-Zionism, an ideology that denies the Jewish people’s right to self-determination in any part of their ancestral homeland. Anti-Zionism rejects compromise, shuns a two-state solution and flattens a complex conflict to a simple morality play. Moreover, the rhetoric that supports anti-Zionism is more dangerous than many realize. Phrases like “from the river to the sea” and “globalize the intifada” are not vague slogans; they are explicit calls for the eradication of Israel and the glorification of violence.”

- [PennLive](#): “Conversely, anti-Zionism rejects the right of the Jewish people to a state in any part of the land between the Mediterranean Sea and the Jordan River. In this rejection, anti-Zionism intrinsically opposes a two-state solution—an idea that, however imperfect, remains the only viable path to peace between Israelis and Palestinians. Whether intentionally or not, anti-Zionism leads to further division, hatred, and violence.”
- [Crain’s Chicago Business](#): “Today’s anti-Zionism isn’t a virtuous call for peace. It is a campaign of erasure. It denies the Jewish people’s right to a homeland, rejects compromise, dismisses a two-state solution, and seeks to dismantle Israel entirely. It claims to be anti-war, but it fuels conflict. It speaks the language of justice while undermining it at every turn.”
- [Las Vegas Review Journal](#): “Moreover, the rhetoric that supports anti-Zionism is dangerous. Phrases such as “from the river to the sea” and “globalize the intifada” are not vague slogans. They are explicit calls for the eradication of Israel and the glorification of violence. At its core, anti-Zionism is a call for a forever-war until Israel no longer exists.”
- [Cleveland Plain Dealer](#): “I learned how the anti-Zionist demand to see Israel dismantled as a Jewish state is not a call for peace, but rather a de facto appeal for unending war.”
- [PhillyDaily](#): “By requiring a resolution that is non-negotiable for one party and existentially threatening to the other, anti-Zionism moves beyond the realm of compromise and, in effect, becomes pro-war.”
- [St. Louis Post Dispatch](#): “In the anti-Zionist narrative, Israel disappears, and everyone lives happily ever after. But history offers little reason to believe this would bring peace. For more than a century, much of the Arab world rejected Jewish sovereignty in any part of historic Israel. That rejection has fueled wars, terror, and tragedy, including the atrocities of Hamas’ Oct. 7, 2023, attack on Israel. The idea that Israelis should entrust their safety to the same population that elected Hamas is, at best, dangerously naive. After all, Israel was built by survivors of the ethnic cleansing of Jews from Muslim countries, pogroms, the Farhud, and the Holocaust. They, and most American Jews, understand what is at stake and reject the notion that Israel should dissolve itself to satisfy rejectionist demands.”