1	STATE OF MICHIGAN				
2	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHTENAW				
3					
4	CAN IV PACKARD SQUARE LLC, a ) Delaware limited liability company, )				
5	Plaintiff, )				
6	v. )				
7	)				
8	PACKARD SQUARE LLC, a Michigan ) limited liability company, ) No. 16-990-CB				
9	Defendant, )				
10	And )				
11	THE BELDEN BRICK SALES CO., a ) Michigan corporation, et al., )				
12	Additional Lien Claimant )				
13	Additional Lien Claimant ) Defendants and any and all Counter, ) Cross, and Third-Party Claims. )				
14					
15					
16					
17	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JOHN PLAGA				
18	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA				
19	SEPTEMBER 20, 2018				
20					
21					
22					
23	REPORTED BY:				
24	LINDSAY PINKHAM				
25	CSR 3716, CCRR 17				



09/20/2018					D
1	Page 2 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JOHN PLAGA, taken on	1		INDEX	Page 3
2	behalf of Defendant, commencing at 9:34 a.m., Thursday,	2	WITNESS	EXAMINATION BY	PAGE
3	September 20, 2018, at 2000 Avenue of the Stars, 11th	3	JOHN PLAGA	MR. MILLER	6
4	Floor, Los Angeles, California 90067, before LINDSAY	4		EXHIBITS	
5 6	PINKHAM, CSR 3716.	6	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
7		7	Exhibit 1	11-11-16 letter to John M. Sier	50
8	APPEARANCES:	8		from Bruce W. Fraser, 2 pages	
9		°	Exhibit 2	3-30-15 letter to Craig Schubiner	51
	For Plaintiff:	9		from John P. Plaga, pus	
10	DEGUTINGON UDEGUM DELG			attachment, 4 pages	
11	DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC BY: J. BENJAMIN DOLAN, ESQ.	10	Exhibit 4	8-17-16 letter to Craig Schubiner	54
11	ARIANA PELLEGRINO, ESQ.	11	EXHIDIC 4	from John Plaga, plus attachment,	54
12	(Via videoconference)			9 pages	
	2600 Big Beaver Road	12	Dubibit F	10 10 10 letter to grain Grabbiner	60
13	Suite 300	13	Exhibit 5	10-19-16 letter to Craig Schubiner from John Plaga, 4 pages	60
14	Troy, Michigan 48084 (248) 433-7200	14	Exhibit 6	1-24-17 letter to Craig Schubiner	64
14	bdolan@dickinsonwright.com			from John Plaga, plus attachment,	
15		15	Exhibit 7	5 pages Receiver's Notice for Prepayment	69
16	For Defendant:	110	EXHIDIC /	Amount and Reserve for Costs, 7	09
17	SWISTAK & LEVINE, P.C.	17		pages	
10	BY: I. MATTHEW MILLER, ESQ.	18	Exhibit 8	Email chain, top one 9-1-16 to	45
18	(Via videoconference) 30883 Northwestern Highway	19		Rachel Benitez and Jennifer Alvarez from Maria Stamolis, 4	
19	Suite 120	1		pages	
	Farmington Hills, Michigan 48334	20			
20	(248) 851-8000		Exhibit 9	Email chain, top one 11-15-16 to	39
	mmiller@swistaklevine.com	21		Gerald Goldman from Matthew D. Mason, 2 pages	
21 22	Also Present:	22		nabon, 2 pageb	
22	KYLE LOSKAMP, VIDEOGRAPHER		Exhibit 10	Email chain, top one 11-18-16 to	39
25	JONATHAN KAPLAN, ESQ.	23		John Plaga from Matthew D. Mason, 2 pages	
24	CRAIG SCHUBINER (Via videoconference)	24		2 pages	
	MARCUS NEUPERT (Via videoconference)		Exhibit 15	Portion of privilege log, 15 pages	25
25	Dage 4	25			Page 5
1	INDEX, CONTINUED: Page 4	1	LOS ANGELES	S, CALIFORNIA; THURSDAY, SEPTEMBE	
2					11 20, 2010
	Exhibit 20 10-21-16 letter to Craig Schubiner 73	2	9:5	4 A.M.	
3	from John Plaga, 4 pages	3			
4	Exhibit 21 2-21-17 letter to Craig Schubiner 73	4	THE VIDE	OGRAPHER: Good morning. Here begins	
	from Gerald Goldman, plus	5	the videotaned de	position of John Plaga in the matter of	
5	attachment, 4 pages		1		
6	Exhibit 22 2-24-17 letter to Asya Manning 73			Square LLC versus Packard Square LLC, et	
	from Jonathan Kaplan, 2 pages	7	al, in the State of	Michigan Circuit Court for the	
7		8	County of Washte	enaw. The case number is 16-990-CB.	
8		9	Today's date	e is September 20, 2018, and the	
9			5	1	
	INSTRUCTIONS NOT TO ANSWER			tor is 9:34 a.m. This deposition is	
10		11	taking place at 20	000 Avenue of the Stars, Los Angeles,	
	(None)	12	California, and is	s being made at the request of the	
11		13	defendants. You	r videographer today is Kyle Loskamp	
	PAGE LINE		representing Har		
12	21 24	14	i e	1	
	30 12	15	Would cou	nsel and all present please identify	
13		16	themselves and s	tate whom you represent.	
14		17	MR. MILL	ER: Good morning. My name is	
15	INFORMATION REQUESTED				
16	(None)	18		r. I'm here on behalf of the defendant	
17		19	counterplaintiff (	CAN no, that's you. I do it every	
18		20	time counterpl	aintiff Packard Square LLC, and I'm the	
19		21	-	position this morning.	
20					
21		22		AN: Benjamin Dolan on behalf of Can IV	
22		23	Packard Square,	the plaintiff.	
23		24	THE VIDE	OGRAPHER: The court reporter today is	
24		25	Lindsay Pinkhan	h, and she will now swear in the witness.	
25		-	, <b>1</b>	,	
		I			

	09/20/2018 Page 6, Page 7			
1	JOHN PLAGA,		morning which delayed things, and we're also awaiting	
2	having been first duly sworn, was		the issue of the exhibits, which will delay things, but	
3	examined and testified as follows:	3	while that's happening, I'm going to ask you some	
4		4	questions in some different directions in the hope that	
5	MR. MILLER: Sir, before we get started, I'm	5	we can keep things moving as quickly as we can.	
6	just going to note for the record that Ariana Pellegrino	6	This deposition can be used for any reason	
7	of the Dickinson Wright Law Firm is also on this line	7	under the Michigan Court Rules and the Michigan Rules of	
8	remotely, as is Craig Schubiner, a representative of the	8	Evidence.	
9	defendant. None of them are going to participate, but	9	You're here pursuant to notice, and I	
10		10	appreciate your appearing here today. Just remember to	
11		11	answer questions audibly, no shrugs of the shoulders or	
12	EXAMINATION	12		
13		13	that I've asked, please ask me to repeat or rephrase the	
14	Q Sir, will you please state your name for the	14	question. I'll be happy to do so.	
15		15	And finally, it's not really an issue, I don't	
16		16	think, so much in these videotaped depositions, now that	
17	MR. DOLAN: Matt, let me just interrupt for a	17	I've done all of two of them, but we shouldn't have a	
18	second. Jonathan Kaplan, general counsel of Canyon, is	18	problem talking over each other, because with the lag of	
19	in the room as well.	19	the video, we have to sort of wait to stop to allow the	
20		20	other person to answer, to speak. So please keep that	
21	Q Sir, as I said, my name is Matthew Miller, and	21	in mind as you're answering my questions. All right?	
22	-	22		
23	trick you; I'm not trying to confuse you. I'm going to	23	Q Great. Sir, what is your position there at	
24		24		
25		25	A The chief financial officer.	
	Page 8			
			Page 9	
1	Q And what are your responsibilities in that		directly responsible and works with the real estate	
2	Q And what are your responsibilities in that role?	2	directly responsible and works with the real estate group on the real estate companies.	
2 3	QAnd what are your responsibilities in thatrole?AMain responsibilities would be to oversee the	<b>2</b> 3	directly responsible and works with the real estate group on the real estate companies. Q Okay. But clearly you have a role and	
2 3 4	QAnd what are your responsibilities in thatrole?AMain responsibilities would be to oversee thefinancials and accounting of the firm's books and	<b>2</b> 3 4	directly responsible and works with the real estate group on the real estate companies. Q Okay. But clearly you have a role and responsibility with Packard Square, because you've been	
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q And what are your responsibilities in that role?</li> <li>A Main responsibilities would be to oversee the financials and accounting of the firm's books and records.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	directly responsible and works with the real estate group on the real estate companies. Q Okay. But clearly you have a role and responsibility with Packard Square, because you've been involved in this entity. So what is your role as it	
2 3 4	QAnd what are your responsibilities in thatrole?AMain responsibilities would be to oversee thefinancials and accounting of the firm's books andrecords.QAnd what responsibilities do you have as it	<b>2</b> 3 4 5 6	directly responsible and works with the real estate group on the real estate companies. Q Okay. But clearly you have a role and responsibility with Packard Square, because you've been involved in this entity. So what is your role as it pertains to the real estate controller's role?	
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q And what are your responsibilities in that role?</li> <li>A Main responsibilities would be to oversee the financials and accounting of the firm's books and records.</li> <li>Q And what responsibilities do you have as it pertains to the other entities there at Canyon Partners,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	directly responsible and works with the real estate group on the real estate companies. Q Okay. But clearly you have a role and responsibility with Packard Square, because you've been involved in this entity. So what is your role as it pertains to the real estate controller's role? MR. DOLAN: I'm just going to object to the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q And what are your responsibilities in that role?</li> <li>A Main responsibilities would be to oversee the financials and accounting of the firm's books and records.</li> <li>Q And what responsibilities do you have as it pertains to the other entities there at Canyon Partners, for example, Canyon Partners Real Estate or even the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	directly responsible and works with the real estate group on the real estate companies. Q Okay. But clearly you have a role and responsibility with Packard Square, because you've been involved in this entity. So what is your role as it pertains to the real estate controller's role? MR. DOLAN: I'm just going to object to the form of the question. It assumes facts that have not	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q And what are your responsibilities in that role?</li> <li>A Main responsibilities would be to oversee the financials and accounting of the firm's books and records.</li> <li>Q And what responsibilities do you have as it pertains to the other entities there at Canyon Partners, for example, Canyon Partners Real Estate or even the plaintiff in this action, Can IV Packard Square LLC?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	directly responsible and works with the real estate group on the real estate companies. Q Okay. But clearly you have a role and responsibility with Packard Square, because you've been involved in this entity. So what is your role as it pertains to the real estate controller's role? MR. DOLAN: I'm just going to object to the form of the question. It assumes facts that have not been established.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q And what are your responsibilities in that role?</li> <li>A Main responsibilities would be to oversee the financials and accounting of the firm's books and records.</li> <li>Q And what responsibilities do you have as it pertains to the other entities there at Canyon Partners, for example, Canyon Partners Real Estate or even the plaintiff in this action, Can IV Packard Square LLC?</li> <li>A I am the chief financial officer for Canyon and</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	directly responsible and works with the real estate group on the real estate companies. Q Okay. But clearly you have a role and responsibility with Packard Square, because you've been involved in this entity. So what is your role as it pertains to the real estate controller's role? MR. DOLAN: I'm just going to object to the form of the question. It assumes facts that have not been established. MR. MILLER: Well, okay.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q And what are your responsibilities in that role?</li> <li>A Main responsibilities would be to oversee the financials and accounting of the firm's books and records.</li> <li>Q And what responsibilities do you have as it pertains to the other entities there at Canyon Partners, for example, Canyon Partners Real Estate or even the plaintiff in this action, Can IV Packard Square LLC?</li> <li>A I am the chief financial officer for Canyon and all of its subsidiaries. So it would be all of those</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	directly responsible and works with the real estate group on the real estate companies. Q Okay. But clearly you have a role and responsibility with Packard Square, because you've been involved in this entity. So what is your role as it pertains to the real estate controller's role? MR. DOLAN: I'm just going to object to the form of the question. It assumes facts that have not been established. MR. MILLER: Well, okay. MR. DOLAN: You said, "you must be involved,"	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q And what are your responsibilities in that role?</li> <li>A Main responsibilities would be to oversee the financials and accounting of the firm's books and records.</li> <li>Q And what responsibilities do you have as it pertains to the other entities there at Canyon Partners, for example, Canyon Partners Real Estate or even the plaintiff in this action, Can IV Packard Square LLC?</li> <li>A I am the chief financial officer for Canyon and all of its subsidiaries. So it would be all of those entities.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	directly responsible and works with the real estate group on the real estate companies. Q Okay. But clearly you have a role and responsibility with Packard Square, because you've been involved in this entity. So what is your role as it pertains to the real estate controller's role? MR. DOLAN: I'm just going to object to the form of the question. It assumes facts that have not been established. MR. MILLER: Well, okay. MR. DOLAN: You said, "you must be involved," and "you must have a role." That's not been	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q And what are your responsibilities in that role?</li> <li>A Main responsibilities would be to oversee the financials and accounting of the firm's books and records.</li> <li>Q And what responsibilities do you have as it pertains to the other entities there at Canyon Partners, for example, Canyon Partners Real Estate or even the plaintiff in this action, Can IV Packard Square LLC?</li> <li>A I am the chief financial officer for Canyon and all of its subsidiaries. So it would be all of those entities.</li> <li>Q So there's not a specific chief financial</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	directly responsible and works with the real estate group on the real estate companies. Q Okay. But clearly you have a role and responsibility with Packard Square, because you've been involved in this entity. So what is your role as it pertains to the real estate controller's role? MR. DOLAN: I'm just going to object to the form of the question. It assumes facts that have not been established. MR. MILLER: Well, okay. MR. DOLAN: You said, "you must be involved," and "you must have a role." That's not been established.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q And what are your responsibilities in that role?</li> <li>A Main responsibilities would be to oversee the financials and accounting of the firm's books and records.</li> <li>Q And what responsibilities do you have as it pertains to the other entities there at Canyon Partners, for example, Canyon Partners Real Estate or even the plaintiff in this action, Can IV Packard Square LLC?</li> <li>A I am the chief financial officer for Canyon and all of its subsidiaries. So it would be all of those entities.</li> <li>Q So there's not a specific chief financial officer for Canyon Partners Real Estate, then; correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	directly responsible and works with the real estate group on the real estate companies. Q Okay. But clearly you have a role and responsibility with Packard Square, because you've been involved in this entity. So what is your role as it pertains to the real estate controller's role? MR. DOLAN: I'm just going to object to the form of the question. It assumes facts that have not been established. MR. MILLER: Well, okay. MR. DOLAN: You said, "you must be involved," and "you must have a role." That's not been established. MR. MILLER: Okay.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q And what are your responsibilities in that role?</li> <li>A Main responsibilities would be to oversee the financials and accounting of the firm's books and records.</li> <li>Q And what responsibilities do you have as it pertains to the other entities there at Canyon Partners, for example, Canyon Partners Real Estate or even the plaintiff in this action, Can IV Packard Square LLC?</li> <li>A I am the chief financial officer for Canyon and all of its subsidiaries. So it would be all of those entities.</li> <li>Q So there's not a specific chief financial officer for Canyon Partners Real Estate, then; correct?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	directly responsible and works with the real estate group on the real estate companies. Q Okay. But clearly you have a role and responsibility with Packard Square, because you've been involved in this entity. So what is your role as it pertains to the real estate controller's role? MR. DOLAN: I'm just going to object to the form of the question. It assumes facts that have not been established. MR. MILLER: Well, okay. MR. DOLAN: You said, "you must be involved," and "you must have a role." That's not been established. MR. MILLER: Okay. Q So is it your testimony, sir, that you've had	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q And what are your responsibilities in that role?</li> <li>A Main responsibilities would be to oversee the financials and accounting of the firm's books and records.</li> <li>Q And what responsibilities do you have as it pertains to the other entities there at Canyon Partners, for example, Canyon Partners Real Estate or even the plaintiff in this action, Can IV Packard Square LLC?</li> <li>A I am the chief financial officer for Canyon and all of its subsidiaries. So it would be all of those entities.</li> <li>Q So there's not a specific chief financial officer for Canyon Partners Real Estate, then; correct?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q So as it pertains to Packard Square and when</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	directly responsible and works with the real estate group on the real estate companies. Q Okay. But clearly you have a role and responsibility with Packard Square, because you've been involved in this entity. So what is your role as it pertains to the real estate controller's role? MR. DOLAN: I'm just going to object to the form of the question. It assumes facts that have not been established. MR. MILLER: Well, okay. MR. DOLAN: You said, "you must be involved," and "you must have a role." That's not been established. MR. MILLER: Okay. Q So is it your testimony, sir, that you've had no role in the Packard Square project?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q And what are your responsibilities in that role?</li> <li>A Main responsibilities would be to oversee the financials and accounting of the firm's books and records.</li> <li>Q And what responsibilities do you have as it pertains to the other entities there at Canyon Partners, for example, Canyon Partners Real Estate or even the plaintiff in this action, Can IV Packard Square LLC?</li> <li>A I am the chief financial officer for Canyon and all of its subsidiaries. So it would be all of those entities.</li> <li>Q So there's not a specific chief financial officer for Canyon Partners Real Estate, then; correct?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q So as it pertains to Packard Square and when I say "Packard Square," I really mean Can IV Packard</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 <b>17</b>	directly responsible and works with the real estate group on the real estate companies. Q Okay. But clearly you have a role and responsibility with Packard Square, because you've been involved in this entity. So what is your role as it pertains to the real estate controller's role? MR. DOLAN: I'm just going to object to the form of the question. It assumes facts that have not been established. MR. MILLER: Well, okay. MR. DOLAN: You said, "you must be involved," and "you must have a role." That's not been established. MR. MILLER: Okay. Q So is it your testimony, sir, that you've had no role in the Packard Square project? A I'm not sure how to answer that. Maybe	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q And what are your responsibilities in that role?</li> <li>A Main responsibilities would be to oversee the financials and accounting of the firm's books and records.</li> <li>Q And what responsibilities do you have as it pertains to the other entities there at Canyon Partners, for example, Canyon Partners Real Estate or even the plaintiff in this action, Can IV Packard Square LLC?</li> <li>A I am the chief financial officer for Canyon and all of its subsidiaries. So it would be all of those entities.</li> <li>Q So there's not a specific chief financial officer for Canyon Partners Real Estate, then; correct?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q So as it pertains to Packard Square and when I say "Packard Square," I really mean Can IV Packard Square LLC, so I don't have to keep saying that mouthful</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	directly responsible and works with the real estate group on the real estate companies. Q Okay. But clearly you have a role and responsibility with Packard Square, because you've been involved in this entity. So what is your role as it pertains to the real estate controller's role? MR. DOLAN: I'm just going to object to the form of the question. It assumes facts that have not been established. MR. MILLER: Well, okay. MR. DOLAN: You said, "you must be involved," and "you must have a role." That's not been established. MR. MILLER: Okay. Q So is it your testimony, sir, that you've had no role in the Packard Square project? A I'm not sure how to answer that. Maybe rephrase the question?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q And what are your responsibilities in that role?</li> <li>A Main responsibilities would be to oversee the financials and accounting of the firm's books and records.</li> <li>Q And what responsibilities do you have as it pertains to the other entities there at Canyon Partners, for example, Canyon Partners Real Estate or even the plaintiff in this action, Can IV Packard Square LLC?</li> <li>A I am the chief financial officer for Canyon and all of its subsidiaries. So it would be all of those entities.</li> <li>Q So there's not a specific chief financial officer for Canyon Partners Real Estate, then; correct?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q So as it pertains to Packard Square and when I say "Packard Square," I really mean Can IV Packard Square LLC, so I don't have to keep saying that mouthful each time as it pertains to that entity, what are</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	directly responsible and works with the real estate group on the real estate companies. Q Okay. But clearly you have a role and responsibility with Packard Square, because you've been involved in this entity. So what is your role as it pertains to the real estate controller's role? MR. DOLAN: I'm just going to object to the form of the question. It assumes facts that have not been established. MR. MILLER: Well, okay. MR. DOLAN: You said, "you must be involved," and "you must have a role." That's not been established. MR. MILLER: Okay. Q So is it your testimony, sir, that you've had no role in the Packard Square project? A I'm not sure how to answer that. Maybe rephrase the question? Q Sure. What involvement have you personally had	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q And what are your responsibilities in that role?</li> <li>A Main responsibilities would be to oversee the financials and accounting of the firm's books and records.</li> <li>Q And what responsibilities do you have as it pertains to the other entities there at Canyon Partners, for example, Canyon Partners Real Estate or even the plaintiff in this action, Can IV Packard Square LLC?</li> <li>A I am the chief financial officer for Canyon and all of its subsidiaries. So it would be all of those entities.</li> <li>Q So there's not a specific chief financial officer for Canyon Partners Real Estate, then; correct?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q So as it pertains to Packard Square and when I say "Packard Square," I really mean Can IV Packard Square LLC, so I don't have to keep saying that mouthful each time as it pertains to that entity, what are your specific roles and responsibilities?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	directly responsible and works with the real estate group on the real estate companies. Q Okay. But clearly you have a role and responsibility with Packard Square, because you've been involved in this entity. So what is your role as it pertains to the real estate controller's role? MR. DOLAN: I'm just going to object to the form of the question. It assumes facts that have not been established. MR. MILLER: Well, okay. MR. DOLAN: You said, "you must be involved," and "you must have a role." That's not been established. MR. MILLER: Okay. Q So is it your testimony, sir, that you've had no role in the Packard Square project? A I'm not sure how to answer that. Maybe rephrase the question? Q Sure. What involvement have you personally had in the Packard Square project?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q And what are your responsibilities in that role?</li> <li>A Main responsibilities would be to oversee the financials and accounting of the firm's books and records.</li> <li>Q And what responsibilities do you have as it pertains to the other entities there at Canyon Partners, for example, Canyon Partners Real Estate or even the plaintiff in this action, Can IV Packard Square LLC?</li> <li>A I am the chief financial officer for Canyon and all of its subsidiaries. So it would be all of those entities.</li> <li>Q So there's not a specific chief financial officer for Canyon Partners Real Estate, then; correct?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q So as it pertains to Packard Square and when I say "Packard Square," I really mean Can IV Packard Square LLC, so I don't have to keep saying that mouthful each time as it pertains to that entity, what are your specific roles and responsibilities?</li> <li>A My specific roles, I don't have very specific</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	directly responsible and works with the real estate group on the real estate companies. Q Okay. But clearly you have a role and responsibility with Packard Square, because you've been involved in this entity. So what is your role as it pertains to the real estate controller's role? MR. DOLAN: I'm just going to object to the form of the question. It assumes facts that have not been established. MR. MILLER: Well, okay. MR. DOLAN: You said, "you must be involved," and "you must have a role." That's not been established. MR. MILLER: Okay. Q So is it your testimony, sir, that you've had no role in the Packard Square project? A I'm not sure how to answer that. Maybe rephrase the question? Q Sure. What involvement have you personally had in the Packard Square project? A Very little, if none.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q And what are your responsibilities in that role?</li> <li>A Main responsibilities would be to oversee the financials and accounting of the firm's books and records.</li> <li>Q And what responsibilities do you have as it pertains to the other entities there at Canyon Partners, for example, Canyon Partners Real Estate or even the plaintiff in this action, Can IV Packard Square LLC?</li> <li>A I am the chief financial officer for Canyon and all of its subsidiaries. So it would be all of those entities.</li> <li>Q So there's not a specific chief financial officer for Canyon Partners Real Estate, then; correct?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q So as it pertains to Packard Square and when I say "Packard Square," I really mean Can IV Packard Square LLC, so I don't have to keep saying that mouthful each time as it pertains to that entity, what are your specific roles and responsibilities?</li> <li>A My specific roles, I don't have very specific roles with respect to that entity.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	directly responsible and works with the real estate group on the real estate companies. Q Okay. But clearly you have a role and responsibility with Packard Square, because you've been involved in this entity. So what is your role as it pertains to the real estate controller's role? MR. DOLAN: I'm just going to object to the form of the question. It assumes facts that have not been established. MR. MILLER: Well, okay. MR. DOLAN: You said, "you must be involved," and "you must have a role." That's not been established. MR. MILLER: Okay. Q So is it your testimony, sir, that you've had no role in the Packard Square project? A I'm not sure how to answer that. Maybe rephrase the question? Q Sure. What involvement have you personally had in the Packard Square project? A Very little, if none. Q Have you ever signed documents related to the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q And what are your responsibilities in that role?</li> <li>A Main responsibilities would be to oversee the financials and accounting of the firm's books and records.</li> <li>Q And what responsibilities do you have as it pertains to the other entities there at Canyon Partners, for example, Canyon Partners Real Estate or even the plaintiff in this action, Can IV Packard Square LLC?</li> <li>A I am the chief financial officer for Canyon and all of its subsidiaries. So it would be all of those entities.</li> <li>Q So there's not a specific chief financial officer for Canyon Partners Real Estate, then; correct?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q So as it pertains to Packard Square and when I say "Packard Square," I really mean Can IV Packard Square LLC, so I don't have to keep saying that mouthful each time as it pertains to that entity, what are your specific roles and responsibilities?</li> <li>A My specific roles, I don't have very specific roles with respect to that entity.</li> <li>Q You must have some roles, because you've</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	directly responsible and works with the real estate group on the real estate companies. Q Okay. But clearly you have a role and responsibility with Packard Square, because you've been involved in this entity. So what is your role as it pertains to the real estate controller's role? MR. DOLAN: I'm just going to object to the form of the question. It assumes facts that have not been established. MR. MILLER: Well, okay. MR. DOLAN: You said, "you must be involved," and "you must have a role." That's not been established. MR. MILLER: Okay. Q So is it your testimony, sir, that you've had no role in the Packard Square project? A I'm not sure how to answer that. Maybe rephrase the question? Q Sure. What involvement have you personally had in the Packard Square project? A Very little, if none. Q Have you ever signed documents related to the project?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q And what are your responsibilities in that role?</li> <li>A Main responsibilities would be to oversee the financials and accounting of the firm's books and records.</li> <li>Q And what responsibilities do you have as it pertains to the other entities there at Canyon Partners, for example, Canyon Partners Real Estate or even the plaintiff in this action, Can IV Packard Square LLC?</li> <li>A I am the chief financial officer for Canyon and all of its subsidiaries. So it would be all of those entities.</li> <li>Q So there's not a specific chief financial officer for Canyon Partners Real Estate, then; correct?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q So as it pertains to Packard Square and when I say "Packard Square," I really mean Can IV Packard Square LLC, so I don't have to keep saying that mouthful each time as it pertains to that entity, what are your specific roles and responsibilities?</li> <li>A My specific roles, I don't have very specific roles with respect to that entity.</li> <li>Q You must have some roles, because you've</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>directly responsible and works with the real estate group on the real estate companies.</li> <li>Q Okay. But clearly you have a role and responsibility with Packard Square, because you've been involved in this entity. So what is your role as it pertains to the real estate controller's role?</li> <li>MR. DOLAN: I'm just going to object to the form of the question. It assumes facts that have not been established.</li> <li>MR. MILLER: Well, okay.</li> <li>MR. DOLAN: You said, "you must be involved," and "you must have a role." That's not been established.</li> <li>MR. MILLER: Okay.</li> <li>Q So is it your testimony, sir, that you've had no role in the Packard Square project?</li> <li>A I'm not sure how to answer that. Maybe rephrase the question?</li> <li>Q Sure. What involvement have you personally had in the Packard Square project?</li> <li>A Very little, if none.</li> <li>Q Have you ever signed documents related to the project?</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q And what are your responsibilities in that role?</li> <li>A Main responsibilities would be to oversee the financials and accounting of the firm's books and records.</li> <li>Q And what responsibilities do you have as it pertains to the other entities there at Canyon Partners, for example, Canyon Partners Real Estate or even the plaintiff in this action, Can IV Packard Square LLC?</li> <li>A I am the chief financial officer for Canyon and all of its subsidiaries. So it would be all of those entities.</li> <li>Q So there's not a specific chief financial officer for Canyon Partners Real Estate, then; correct?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q So as it pertains to Packard Square and when I say "Packard Square," I really mean Can IV Packard Square LLC, so I don't have to keep saying that mouthful each time as it pertains to that entity, what are your specific roles and responsibilities?</li> <li>A My specific roles, I don't have very specific roles with respect to that entity.</li> <li>Q You must have some roles, because you've participated in some fashion, have you not?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>directly responsible and works with the real estate group on the real estate companies.</li> <li>Q Okay. But clearly you have a role and responsibility with Packard Square, because you've been involved in this entity. So what is your role as it pertains to the real estate controller's role?</li> <li>MR. DOLAN: I'm just going to object to the form of the question. It assumes facts that have not been established.</li> <li>MR. MILLER: Well, okay.</li> <li>MR. DOLAN: You said, "you must be involved," and "you must have a role." That's not been established.</li> <li>MR. MILLER: Okay.</li> <li>Q So is it your testimony, sir, that you've had no role in the Packard Square project?</li> <li>A I'm not sure how to answer that. Maybe rephrase the question?</li> <li>Q Sure. What involvement have you personally had in the Packard Square project?</li> <li>A Very little, if none.</li> <li>Q Have you ever signed documents related to the project?</li> </ul>	

HANSON RENAISSANCE hansonreporting.com

Page 10 Page 11 1 A I can't, because I don't specifically recall Canyon to borrowers? 1 2 specific documents. 2 MR. DOLAN: I'm just going to ask for 3 3 clarification. "Issue" and "sign" are two different Q Who's the real estate controller? 4 4 things. If you're asking him both, then just split it Sheriden Mansfeld. Α 5 And what is his job description? 5 up, if you don't mind. Q A Her job description is overseeing the 6 MR. MILLER: Okay. 6 7 Q Have you ever issued a letter to a borrower on 7 accounting and financial records for the real estate 8 behalf of Canyon? 8 entities. 9 9 A I'm not sure what you mean by "issue." So... Q Does that person send out default letters? A I don't know. I don't know the answer to that Q Have you ever written a letter to a borrower on 10 10 behalf of any Canyon entity? specific question. 11 11 12 Q Well, do you? 12 Α I have not written a letter. 13 13 I don't specifically send out default letters, Q Have you signed any such letter? Α 14 14 A I probably have signed a letter. no. What types of letters do you send out in your Why is it that you're a person who signs a 15 0 15 0 16 letter but doesn't write a letter? 16 role as chief financial officer? 17 A I would say in my role, my role is one of 17 MR. DOLAN: Related to Can IV Packard Square, 18 18 authorized signatory, and the person responsible for the or related to -- what? 19 Q BY MR. MILLER: In general. Do you send out 19 project or the entity are responsible for writing the 20 letter. 20 any letters to borrowers on behalf of Canyon? 21 21 MR. DOLAN: I'm going to object to the form of MR. MILLER: I would note just for the record the question to the extent that "send out" is unclear. 22 that Marcus Neupert has joined the conference remotely. 22 23 23 MR. MILLER: Well, would you prefer the word Q Sir, when I asked you about your role, you 24 "issue"? 24 didn't share "authorized signatory" as one of your 25 25 roles. Can you describe that role for me? Q Do you issue or sign letters on behalf of Page Page 13 A Yes. I would say the authorized signatory role 1 MR. DOLAN: I'm just going to object to the 1 2 is one in which I am asked to sign documents and bind 2 extent it calls for any privileged information. the company on behalf of various companies of Canyon. 3 3 If it doesn't, you can answer. But I want to 4 Q Are you the only authorized signatory? 4 caution the witness not to answer relative to anything 5 A I am not. There are several authorized 5 that was communicated to him by counsel. 6 signatories. 6 THE WITNESS: Okay. I don't specifically know 7 Q Who else is an authorized signatory who would what Gerald Goldman has authorized to sign off on. I 7 8 have signed a letter as it relates to the Packard Square 8 don't specifically review his -- what he signs. project? Q BY MR. MILLER: Okay. We'll talk about the 9 9 10 A Again, there's several authorized signatories. 10 letters in particular when they get there. Do you sit on a board or a committee called the 11 I wouldn't know who else signed them without looking at 11 12 them. 12 investment committee? 13 Who else, without worrying about the particular 13 A I do not. Q 14 letters at the moment, who else is an authorized 14 Q Do you know who sits on the investment signatory for any of the Canyon entities of which you 15 15 committee? 16 are aware? 16 MR. DOLAN: Object to the form of the question. 17 Α Jonathan Kaplan, Josh Friedman, and Mitch 17 Assumes that there's one. Julis. 18 18 MR. MILLER: We'll, we've had four people 19 Would somebody say, for example, Gerald Goldman 19 0 deposed say there is. I was trying to cut to the chase 20 could be considered an authorized signatory? 20 a bit. 21 A He's not an authorized signatory that can bind 21 MR. DOLAN: Okay. 22 the company contractually. 22 MR. MILLER: But I'll jump through the hoop. 23 Okay. So what would be the difference between 23 0 Q Mr. Plaga, are you aware of the existence of an 24 a letter that you would sign and a letter perhaps that 24 investment committee at Canyon? 25 25 Mr. Goldman, for example, would sign? Α Yes.



09/20/2018 Page 14 Page 14 Page 14			
1	Q Okay, thank you. Do you know who sits on said	1	Page 15 people of whom you are aware.
2	committee?	2	A Maria Stamolis, Robin Potts, and Josh Friedman
3	A I may know some of the people. I may not know	3	and Mitch Julis.
4	all of them.	4	Q All right. And is that just a real estate
5	Q Are you aware of the structure of the	5	investment committee, or is that an investment committee
6	committee?	6	for all of Canyon Partners?
7	A Yes and no. I don't know the granular details,	7	A That would be a real estate investment
8	no.	8	committee.
9	Q What's your best understanding of the structure	9	Q So is it true that there are more than one
10	of the committee?	10	investment committee at your company?
11	A Structure of the committee or the purpose of	11	A Yes.
12	the committee? When you say "structure," I'm not sure	12	Q I see. So there are different is it fair to
13	what you mean by "structure."	13	say, then, that there are different investment
14	Q Let's start with structure. Are you familiar	14	committees for real estate as opposed to other types of
15	with the members of the committee, the number of	15	investments Canyon might be involved with?
16	members, the types of issues they address? Why don't we	16	A Yes.
17	just go through that.	17	Q All right. What is your understanding of what
18	Do you know how many people are on the	18	the real estate investment committee does?
19	committee?	19	A They propose investment ideas to the committee.
20	A No, I don't know the specific number of people	20	The committee considers investment ideas.
21	that are on the committee.	21	Q And does the committee then approve or reject
22	Q Do you know any of the names of the people who	22	those ideas?
23	are on the committee?	23	A That is my understanding.
24	A Yes.	24	Q Other than the four people that you've
25	Q Can you tell me the names of the committee	25	identified thus far, are there any other people you're
1	Page 16	1	Page 17
1	aware of who have previously sat on the investment	1	A I have no idea.
2	committee but no longer sit on the investment committee?	2	Q Are we talking about a hundred different
<b>3</b> 4	A No, not that I have direct knowledge.	3 4	people? A No.
4 5	Q All right. I think that there's at least a couple of	5	Q Is this like department-head-level-type folks?
6	exhibits that are there.	6	A No.
7	MR. DOLAN: Matt, I have them all now. Just	7	Q So who presents describe for me the type of
8	came in the door a minute ago.	8	people at Canyon who do present letters to you for
9	MR. MILLER: Okay, great. Then I'll move	9	signature as the authorized signatory.
	forward. We'll get back to them.	10	
11	Q When a letter goes out with your signature as		people who could present.
12	the authorized signatory on it, is that just a letter	12	
12	that's presented to you from somebody else? I think you	12	
13	testified you don't draft them. Is that right?	14	
15	A Correct. I do not draft the letters.		me to sign?
16	Q So somebody else presents them to you and then	16	
17	you sign them; correct?	17	
18	A Yes.	18	
19	Q I'm assuming, then, that there might be	19	
20	numerous authors who might present a letter for you to	20	
20	sign as the authorized signatory.		in legal.
21	A Yes. Across Canyon as a firm? Yes.	22	-
23	Q So approximately how many different people	22	
23	would present to you a letter to sign on behalf of	23	
25		25	
25		-0	

# John Plaga 09/20/2018 Page 18

	<b>018</b> Page 19				
1 2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>week? Today's, what, Thursday? So in this short week.</li> <li>A Some days it's none, some days it's a handful.</li> <li>Q All right. And for each of the ones that you</li> <li>do sign, do you read and review them before you sign them?</li> <li>A It depends on the letter.</li> </ul>		prior to signing any of the letters as authorized signatory? A Again, I would answer it depends on the letter and the nature of the letter and who's presenting it to me. Q So is there a protocol by which there are		
7	Q In what circumstances would you not read and	7	people who review the letters prior to your signing the		
8	review the letter before you signed it?	8	letters?		
9	A I would say a circumstance would be where	9	A Yes.		
10	internal legal counsel has reviewed and/or another	10	Q All right. So you mentioned legal, and who		
11	senior executive has reviewed it.	11	else reviews letters before you sign them?		
12	Q So do you mostly then just rely on the author	12	A Again, there's many letters that for all		
13	and those other people to ask you to sign it, then you	13	different purposes that I may sign as an authorized		
14	go ahead and sign them? Is that a fair assessment?	14	signer for Canyon, and to specifically answer that		
15	A Again, it depends on the letter.	15	question would be I can't answer that with one		
16	Q Well, for example, a default letter to a	16	answer.		
17	borrower. Is that something that you would review	17	Q All right. So let's talk about the class of		
18	yourself before you sign it?	18	letters that I would refer to as a default letter. Do I		
19		19	need to define that for you, or do you know what a		
20	on the letter and the to say whether I would or	20	default letter is?		
21	wouldn't, I'd be guessing.	21	A No, I'm familiar with what a default letter		
22	Q All right. So as your normal practice and	22	would be.		
23	then we'll get into the specific letters in a moment	23	Q So let's talk about default letters. Who		
24	but in your normal practice, what is the extent of	24	generally would present you a default letter? In a real		
25	investigation or due diligence that you perform yourself Page 20	25	estate context. I should be specific. I'm sorry.		
1	A Okay. In a real estate context, the letter	1	A Yes.		
2	would be presented to me by the internal counsel's	2	Q I see. Do you have a stamp for your signature?		
3	assistant or by the internal counsel himself.	3	A No.		
4	Q And at that point, by the time it's gotten to	4	Q So every letter that has your name on it,		
5	you, you mentioned counsel obviously reviewed it. Has	5	you've personally signed?		
6	anybody else at Canyon or as part of Canyon Real Estate	6	A Yes.		
7	already reviewed it by the time it gets to you?	7	Q Let's get off that subject for a second. Maybe		
8	A With respect to the with respect to one,	8	we'll come back to it.		
9	with respect to default notices, I don't know	9	What's your involvement with the investment		
	specifically what default notices I've signed, and		side of the financial business at Canyon? Do you deal		
11	whether they've been reviewed by another person. The	11	with investors directly?		
12		12	A I may deal with investors, our investors		
	the general the internal counsel would review the	13	directly, if there is a specific question or issue or		
	letters.	14	subject matter that requires my involvement.		
15	Q And they would draft it together and then give	15	Q Is there an investment relations department,		
	it to you? Is that how it's generally worked?	16	for lack of a better word, at Canyon?		
17	A I'm not involved in the drafting of the letter,	17	A There is an investor relations group.		
18	l l	18	Q Do they report to you?		
19	Q All right. For a default letter, does the	19	A Yes, they report to myself and to Doug		
20	investment committee make the determination as to	20	Anderson, our chief compliance officer.		
21	whether a default letter goes out?	21	Q I see. The acronym RSL, does that stand for		
22	A I don't know.	22	Reliance Standard Life?		
23 24	Q So you're not sure necessarily how many levels	23	A Yes.		
24 25	the letter has gone through before it has gotten to you. Is that your testimony?	24 25	<ul><li>Q Who is your contact person there?</li><li>A Who is the contact person at RSL?</li></ul>		
23	is that your testimony:	43	A Who is the contact person at RSL?		
	HANSON RENAISSANCE COURT REPORTERS & VIDEO 313.567.8100				

# John Plaga 09/20/2018 Page 22

	09/20/2018 Page 22 Page 23			
1	Q Yes.	1	Company?	
2	MR. DOLAN: I'm going to object to the question	2	MR. DOLAN: I'm just going to object to all	
3	and instruct the witness not to answer. What's the	3	these questions about the investors. This is clearly an	
4	relevance of who the contact person is at RSL?	4	effort to harass and harm Canyon's business and has	
5	MR. MILLER: So we know to whom to send the	5	nothing to do with this lawsuit. So we're going to move	
-		6	on to another topic, and we can have a motion in front	
6	subpoena that we need to send.		of the court on this.	
7	MR. DOLAN: I'm going to object to the question	7		
8	and instruct him not to answer. If you want to send	8	Q BY MR. MILLER: Who runs the investor relations	
9	subpoenas to our investors, you're going to have to get	9	group at Canyon?	
10	an order from the court.	10	A Currently it is Deidre DeCaro.	
11	MR. MILLER: On what authority, Mr. Dolan?	11	Q How do you spell the last name?	
12	MR. DOLAN: Because I think it's outside the	12	A D-e-C-a-r-o.	
13	scope of the discovery allowed in this case, and I think	13	Q Thank you. And when you say currently, did she	
14	it's designed to take discovery for the New York action,	14	just recently take that position?	
15	and I think that's in violation of the New York court's	15	A Yes.	
16	order.	16	Q Who preceded her?	
17	MR. MILLER: No, I've been trying to find out	17	A Kyle Furutani.	
18	who the investors are so we can get the documents that	18	Q Oh, gosh. I have to ask you to spell that one,	
19	have been sent to the investors.	19	too. I'm sorry.	
20	MR. DOLAN: Which have nothing to do with Can	20	A F-u-r-u-t-a-n-i.	
21	IV Packard Square's lawsuit. And we can fight about	21	Q And how long has it been since strike that.	
22	this in front of Judge Brown.	22	That's a terrible question.	
23	MR. MILLER: I see. Okay.	23	Kyle was in charge of the group as of	
24	Q Sir, then would you also agree with me that	24	approximately when?	
25	PIIC stands for Philadelphia Insurance Indemnity	25	A Up until approximately January, end of January	
-0	· · ·			
	Page 24		Page 25	
1	this year.	1	he knows.	
1 2		1 2	-	
	this year.		he knows.	
2	this year. Q How long had he been doing it previously?	2	he knows. MR. DOLAN: He just said he didn't know what's	
2 3	<ul><li>this year.</li><li>Q How long had he been doing it previously?</li><li>A Three years.</li></ul>	2 3	he knows. MR. DOLAN: He just said he didn't know what's in there, and now you're asking for something specific	
2 3 4	<ul> <li>this year.</li> <li>Q How long had he been doing it previously?</li> <li>A Three years.</li> <li>Q Okay, perfect. Great. Does the investment</li> </ul>	2 3 4	he knows. MR. DOLAN: He just said he didn't know what's in there, and now you're asking for something specific that's in the whatever it is.	
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>this year.</li> <li>Q How long had he been doing it previously?</li> <li>A Three years.</li> <li>Q Okay, perfect. Great. Does the investment strike that.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	he knows. MR. DOLAN: He just said he didn't know what's in there, and now you're asking for something specific that's in the whatever it is. MR. MILLER: Well, I asked him if it's possible	
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>this year.</li> <li>Q How long had he been doing it previously?</li> <li>A Three years.</li> <li>Q Okay, perfect. Great. Does the investment strike that.</li> <li>Does Canyon send documents about the status of its loans to its investors?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	he knows. MR. DOLAN: He just said he didn't know what's in there, and now you're asking for something specific that's in the whatever it is. MR. MILLER: Well, I asked him if it's possible that those items were in such a report. MR. DOLAN: Anything's possible.	
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>this year.</li> <li>Q How long had he been doing it previously?</li> <li>A Three years.</li> <li>Q Okay, perfect. Great. Does the investment strike that.</li> <li>Does Canyon send documents about the status of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	he knows. MR. DOLAN: He just said he didn't know what's in there, and now you're asking for something specific that's in the whatever it is. MR. MILLER: Well, I asked him if it's possible that those items were in such a report.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 <b>8</b> 9	<ul> <li>this year.</li> <li>Q How long had he been doing it previously?</li> <li>A Three years.</li> <li>Q Okay, perfect. Great. Does the investment strike that.</li> <li>Does Canyon send documents about the status of its loans to its investors?</li> <li>A I don't have specific knowledge of that, so it's hard for me to answer that.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 <b>9</b>	he knows. MR. DOLAN: He just said he didn't know what's in there, and now you're asking for something specific that's in the whatever it is. MR. MILLER: Well, I asked him if it's possible that those items were in such a report. MR. DOLAN: Anything's possible. But go ahead and answer if you can. THE WITNESS: Again, I don't see those specific	
2 3 4 5 6 7 <b>8</b> 9 10	<ul> <li>this year.</li> <li>Q How long had he been doing it previously?</li> <li>A Three years.</li> <li>Q Okay, perfect. Great. Does the investment strike that.</li> <li>Does Canyon send documents about the status of its loans to its investors?</li> <li>A I don't have specific knowledge of that, so it's hard for me to answer that.</li> <li>Q You're not aware that Canyon sends out</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	he knows. MR. DOLAN: He just said he didn't know what's in there, and now you're asking for something specific that's in the whatever it is. MR. MILLER: Well, I asked him if it's possible that those items were in such a report. MR. DOLAN: Anything's possible. But go ahead and answer if you can. THE WITNESS: Again, I don't see those specific reports, so I can't guess as to what's in them.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 <b>8</b> 9 10 11	<ul> <li>this year.</li> <li>Q How long had he been doing it previously?</li> <li>A Three years.</li> <li>Q Okay, perfect. Great. Does the investment strike that.</li> <li>Does Canyon send documents about the status of its loans to its investors?</li> <li>A I don't have specific knowledge of that, so it's hard for me to answer that.</li> <li>Q You're not aware that Canyon sends out quarterly reports to its investors?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	he knows. MR. DOLAN: He just said he didn't know what's in there, and now you're asking for something specific that's in the whatever it is. MR. MILLER: Well, I asked him if it's possible that those items were in such a report. MR. DOLAN: Anything's possible. But go ahead and answer if you can. <b>THE WITNESS: Again, I don't see those specific reports, so I can't guess as to what's in them.</b> Q BY MR. MILLER: I see. All right. Let's look	
2 3 4 5 6 7 <b>8</b> 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>this year.</li> <li>Q How long had he been doing it previously?</li> <li>A Three years.</li> <li>Q Okay, perfect. Great. Does the investment strike that.</li> <li>Does Canyon send documents about the status of its loans to its investors?</li> <li>A I don't have specific knowledge of that, so it's hard for me to answer that.</li> <li>Q You're not aware that Canyon sends out quarterly reports to its investors?</li> <li>A We do send out quarterly reports. I don't look</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	he knows. MR. DOLAN: He just said he didn't know what's in there, and now you're asking for something specific that's in the whatever it is. MR. MILLER: Well, I asked him if it's possible that those items were in such a report. MR. DOLAN: Anything's possible. But go ahead and answer if you can. <b>THE WITNESS: Again, I don't see those specific reports, so I can't guess as to what's in them.</b> Q BY MR. MILLER: I see. All right. Let's look at Exhibit 15 there.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 <b>8</b> 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>this year.</li> <li>Q How long had he been doing it previously?</li> <li>A Three years.</li> <li>Q Okay, perfect. Great. Does the investment strike that.</li> <li>Does Canyon send documents about the status of its loans to its investors?</li> <li>A I don't have specific knowledge of that, so it's hard for me to answer that.</li> <li>Q You're not aware that Canyon sends out quarterly reports to its investors?</li> <li>A We do send out quarterly reports. I don't look at what's in those reports.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	he knows. MR. DOLAN: He just said he didn't know what's in there, and now you're asking for something specific that's in the whatever it is. MR. MILLER: Well, I asked him if it's possible that those items were in such a report. MR. DOLAN: Anything's possible. But go ahead and answer if you can. <b>THE WITNESS: Again, I don't see those specific reports, so I can't guess as to what's in them.</b> Q BY MR. MILLER: I see. All right. Let's look at Exhibit 15 there. MR. DOLAN: Can you say what it is? There are	
2 3 4 5 6 7 <b>8</b> 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>this year.</li> <li>Q How long had he been doing it previously?</li> <li>A Three years.</li> <li>Q Okay, perfect. Great. Does the investment strike that. <ul> <li>Does Canyon send documents about the status of</li> <li>its loans to its investors?</li> <li>A I don't have specific knowledge of that, so</li> <li>it's hard for me to answer that.</li> <li>Q You're not aware that Canyon sends out</li> <li>quarterly reports to its investors?</li> <li>A We do send out quarterly reports. I don't look</li> <li>at what's in those reports.</li> <li>Q So you're not aware of any of the content of</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	he knows. MR. DOLAN: He just said he didn't know what's in there, and now you're asking for something specific that's in the whatever it is. MR. MILLER: Well, I asked him if it's possible that those items were in such a report. MR. DOLAN: Anything's possible. But go ahead and answer if you can. <b>THE WITNESS: Again, I don't see those specific reports, so I can't guess as to what's in them.</b> Q BY MR. MILLER: I see. All right. Let's look at Exhibit 15 there. MR. DOLAN: Can you say what it is? There are no numbers on any of those.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 <b>8</b> 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>this year.</li> <li>Q How long had he been doing it previously?</li> <li>A Three years.</li> <li>Q Okay, perfect. Great. Does the investment strike that.</li> <li>Does Canyon send documents about the status of its loans to its investors?</li> <li>A I don't have specific knowledge of that, so it's hard for me to answer that.</li> <li>Q You're not aware that Canyon sends out quarterly reports to its investors?</li> <li>A We do send out quarterly reports. I don't look at what's in those reports.</li> <li>Q So you're not aware of any of the content of any of those quarterly reports?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	he knows. MR. DOLAN: He just said he didn't know what's in there, and now you're asking for something specific that's in the whatever it is. MR. MILLER: Well, I asked him if it's possible that those items were in such a report. MR. DOLAN: Anything's possible. But go ahead and answer if you can. <b>THE WITNESS: Again, I don't see those specific reports, so I can't guess as to what's in them.</b> Q BY MR. MILLER: I see. All right. Let's look at Exhibit 15 there. MR. DOLAN: Can you say what it is? There are no numbers on any of those. MR. MILLER: I'm sorry. They were numbered	
2 3 4 5 6 7 <b>8</b> 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>this year.</li> <li>Q How long had he been doing it previously?</li> <li>A Three years.</li> <li>Q Okay, perfect. Great. Does the investment strike that. <ul> <li>Does Canyon send documents about the status of</li> <li>its loans to its investors?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A I don't have specific knowledge of that, so</li> <li>it's hard for me to answer that. <ul> <li>Q You're not aware that Canyon sends out</li> <li>quarterly reports to its investors?</li> <li>A We do send out quarterly reports. I don't look</li> <li>at what's in those reports.</li> <li>Q So you're not aware of any of the content of</li> <li>any of those quarterly reports?</li> <li>A I know that they receive a summary of what</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	he knows. MR. DOLAN: He just said he didn't know what's in there, and now you're asking for something specific that's in the whatever it is. MR. MILLER: Well, I asked him if it's possible that those items were in such a report. MR. DOLAN: Anything's possible. But go ahead and answer if you can. <b>THE WITNESS: Again, I don't see those specific reports, so I can't guess as to what's in them.</b> Q BY MR. MILLER: I see. All right. Let's look at Exhibit 15 there. MR. DOLAN: Can you say what it is? There are no numbers on any of those. MR. MILLER: I'm sorry. They were numbered when we sent them. It's a portion of the privilege log,	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>this year.</li> <li>Q How long had he been doing it previously?</li> <li>A Three years.</li> <li>Q Okay, perfect. Great. Does the investment strike that. <ul> <li>Does Canyon send documents about the status of</li> <li>its loans to its investors?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A I don't have specific knowledge of that, so</li> <li>it's hard for me to answer that. <ul> <li>Q You're not aware that Canyon sends out</li> <li>quarterly reports to its investors?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A We do send out quarterly reports. I don't look at what's in those reports. <ul> <li>Q So you're not aware of any of the content of</li> <li>any of those quarterly reports?</li> <li>A I know that they receive a summary of what</li> <li>their investment balance is worth, but I don't</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>he knows.</li> <li>MR. DOLAN: He just said he didn't know what's in there, and now you're asking for something specific that's in the whatever it is.</li> <li>MR. MILLER: Well, I asked him if it's possible that those items were in such a report.</li> <li>MR. DOLAN: Anything's possible.</li> <li>But go ahead and answer if you can.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Again, I don't see those specific reports, so I can't guess as to what's in them.</li> <li>Q BY MR. MILLER: I see. All right. Let's look at Exhibit 15 there.</li> <li>MR. DOLAN: Can you say what it is? There are no numbers on any of those.</li> <li>MR. MILLER: I'm sorry. They were numbered when we sent them. It's a portion of the privilege log, then.</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>this year.</li> <li>Q How long had he been doing it previously?</li> <li>A Three years.</li> <li>Q Okay, perfect. Great. Does the investment strike that. <ul> <li>Does Canyon send documents about the status of</li> <li>its loans to its investors?</li> <li>A I don't have specific knowledge of that, so</li> <li>it's hard for me to answer that.</li> <li>Q You're not aware that Canyon sends out</li> <li>quarterly reports to its investors?</li> <li>A We do send out quarterly reports. I don't look</li> <li>at what's in those reports.</li> <li>Q So you're not aware of any of the content of</li> <li>any of those quarterly reports?</li> <li>A I know that they receive a summary of what</li> <li>their investment balance is worth, but I don't</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	he knows. MR. DOLAN: He just said he didn't know what's in there, and now you're asking for something specific that's in the whatever it is. MR. MILLER: Well, I asked him if it's possible that those items were in such a report. MR. DOLAN: Anything's possible. But go ahead and answer if you can. <b>THE WITNESS: Again, I don't see those specific</b> <b>reports, so I can't guess as to what's in them.</b> Q BY MR. MILLER: I see. All right. Let's look at Exhibit 15 there. MR. DOLAN: Can you say what it is? There are no numbers on any of those. MR. MILLER: I'm sorry. They were numbered when we sent them. It's a portion of the privilege log, then. MR. DOLAN: Okay. It's about 10 or 12 pages.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>this year.</li> <li>Q How long had he been doing it previously?</li> <li>A Three years.</li> <li>Q Okay, perfect. Great. Does the investment strike that. Does Canyon send documents about the status of its loans to its investors?</li> <li>A I don't have specific knowledge of that, so it's hard for me to answer that. Q You're not aware that Canyon sends out quarterly reports to its investors?</li> <li>A We do send out quarterly reports. I don't look at what's in those reports. Q So you're not aware of any of the content of any of those quarterly reports?</li> <li>A I know that they receive a summary of what their investment balance is worth, but I don't specifically see the specific reports.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>he knows.</li> <li>MR. DOLAN: He just said he didn't know what's in there, and now you're asking for something specific that's in the whatever it is.</li> <li>MR. MILLER: Well, I asked him if it's possible that those items were in such a report.</li> <li>MR. DOLAN: Anything's possible.</li> <li>But go ahead and answer if you can.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Again, I don't see those specific reports, so I can't guess as to what's in them.</li> <li>Q BY MR. MILLER: I see. All right. Let's look at Exhibit 15 there.</li> <li>MR. DOLAN: Can you say what it is? There are no numbers on any of those.</li> <li>MR. MILLER: I'm sorry. They were numbered when we sent them. It's a portion of the privilege log, then.</li> <li>MR. DOLAN: Okay. It's about 10 or 12 pages. (Off record)</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>this year.</li> <li>Q How long had he been doing it previously?</li> <li>A Three years.</li> <li>Q Okay, perfect. Great. Does the investment strike that.</li> <li>Does Canyon send documents about the status of its loans to its investors?</li> <li>A I don't have specific knowledge of that, so it's hard for me to answer that.</li> <li>Q You're not aware that Canyon sends out quarterly reports to its investors?</li> <li>A We do send out quarterly reports. I don't look at what's in those reports.</li> <li>Q So you're not aware of any of the content of any of those quarterly reports?</li> <li>A I know that they receive a summary of what their investment balance is worth, but I don't specifically see the specific reports that are sent out or what's the nature of those reports.</li> <li>Q Is it possible that items that have been sent</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>he knows.</li> <li>MR. DOLAN: He just said he didn't know what's in there, and now you're asking for something specific that's in the whatever it is.</li> <li>MR. MILLER: Well, I asked him if it's possible that those items were in such a report.</li> <li>MR. DOLAN: Anything's possible.</li> <li>But go ahead and answer if you can.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Again, I don't see those specific reports, so I can't guess as to what's in them.</li> <li>Q BY MR. MILLER: I see. All right. Let's look at Exhibit 15 there.</li> <li>MR. DOLAN: Can you say what it is? There are no numbers on any of those.</li> <li>MR. MILLER: I'm sorry. They were numbered when we sent them. It's a portion of the privilege log, then.</li> <li>MR. DOLAN: Okay. It's about 10 or 12 pages. (Off record)</li> <li>(Deposition Exhibit 15 was marked</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>this year.</li> <li>Q How long had he been doing it previously?</li> <li>A Three years.</li> <li>Q Okay, perfect. Great. Does the investment strike that.</li> <li>Does Canyon send documents about the status of its loans to its investors?</li> <li>A I don't have specific knowledge of that, so it's hard for me to answer that.</li> <li>Q You're not aware that Canyon sends out quarterly reports to its investors?</li> <li>A We do send out quarterly reports. I don't look at what's in those reports.</li> <li>Q So you're not aware of any of the content of any of those quarterly reports?</li> <li>A I know that they receive a summary of what their investment balance is worth, but I don't specifically see the specific reports that are sent out or what's the nature of those reports.</li> <li>Q Is it possible that items that have been sent to the investors include information about the status of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	he knows. MR. DOLAN: He just said he didn't know what's in there, and now you're asking for something specific that's in the whatever it is. MR. MILLER: Well, I asked him if it's possible that those items were in such a report. MR. DOLAN: Anything's possible. But go ahead and answer if you can. <b>THE WITNESS: Again, I don't see those specific reports, so I can't guess as to what's in them.</b> Q BY MR. MILLER: I see. All right. Let's look at Exhibit 15 there. MR. DOLAN: Can you say what it is? There are no numbers on any of those. MR. MILLER: I'm sorry. They were numbered when we sent them. It's a portion of the privilege log, then. MR. DOLAN: Okay. It's about 10 or 12 pages. (Off record) (Deposition Exhibit 15 was marked for identification.)	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>this year.</li> <li>Q How long had he been doing it previously?</li> <li>A Three years.</li> <li>Q Okay, perfect. Great. Does the investment strike that.</li> <li>Does Canyon send documents about the status of its loans to its investors?</li> <li>A I don't have specific knowledge of that, so it's hard for me to answer that.</li> <li>Q You're not aware that Canyon sends out quarterly reports to its investors?</li> <li>A We do send out quarterly reports. I don't look at what's in those reports.</li> <li>Q So you're not aware of any of the content of any of those quarterly reports?</li> <li>A I know that they receive a summary of what their investment balance is worth, but I don't specifically see the specific reports that are sent out or what's the nature of those reports.</li> <li>Q Is it possible that items that have been sent to the investors include information about the status of a loan and how it's performing and or whether it's</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	he knows. MR. DOLAN: He just said he didn't know what's in there, and now you're asking for something specific that's in the whatever it is. MR. MILLER: Well, I asked him if it's possible that those items were in such a report. MR. DOLAN: Anything's possible. But go ahead and answer if you can. <b>THE WITNESS: Again, I don't see those specific</b> <b>reports, so I can't guess as to what's in them.</b> Q BY MR. MILLER: I see. All right. Let's look at Exhibit 15 there. MR. DOLAN: Can you say what it is? There are no numbers on any of those. MR. MILLER: I'm sorry. They were numbered when we sent them. It's a portion of the privilege log, then. MR. DOLAN: Okay. It's about 10 or 12 pages. (Off record) (Deposition Exhibit 15 was marked for identification.) MR. MILLER: Ben, would you take a look at that	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>this year.</li> <li>Q How long had he been doing it previously?</li> <li>A Three years.</li> <li>Q Okay, perfect. Great. Does the investment strike that.</li> <li>Does Canyon send documents about the status of its loans to its investors?</li> <li>A I don't have specific knowledge of that, so it's hard for me to answer that.</li> <li>Q You're not aware that Canyon sends out quarterly reports to its investors?</li> <li>A We do send out quarterly reports. I don't look at what's in those reports.</li> <li>Q So you're not aware of any of the content of any of those quarterly reports?</li> <li>A I know that they receive a summary of what their investment balance is worth, but I don't specifically see the specific reports that are sent out or what's the nature of those reports.</li> <li>Q Is it possible that items that have been sent to the investors include information about the status of a loan and how it's performing and or whether it's involved in litigation?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	he knows. MR. DOLAN: He just said he didn't know what's in there, and now you're asking for something specific that's in the whatever it is. MR. MILLER: Well, I asked him if it's possible that those items were in such a report. MR. DOLAN: Anything's possible. But go ahead and answer if you can. <b>THE WITNESS: Again, I don't see those specific reports, so I can't guess as to what's in them.</b> Q BY MR. MILLER: I see. All right. Let's look at Exhibit 15 there. MR. DOLAN: Can you say what it is? There are no numbers on any of those. MR. MILLER: I'm sorry. They were numbered when we sent them. It's a portion of the privilege log, then. MR. DOLAN: Okay. It's about 10 or 12 pages. (Off record) (Deposition Exhibit 15 was marked for identification.) MR. MILLER: Ben, would you take a look at that and see if it's got a number at the bottom right-hand	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>this year.</li> <li>Q How long had he been doing it previously?</li> <li>A Three years.</li> <li>Q Okay, perfect. Great. Does the investment strike that.</li> <li>Does Canyon send documents about the status of its loans to its investors?</li> <li>A I don't have specific knowledge of that, so it's hard for me to answer that.</li> <li>Q You're not aware that Canyon sends out quarterly reports to its investors?</li> <li>A We do send out quarterly reports. I don't look at what's in those reports.</li> <li>Q So you're not aware of any of the content of any of those quarterly reports?</li> <li>A I know that they receive a summary of what their investment balance is worth, but I don't specifically see the specific reports that are sent out or what's the nature of those reports.</li> <li>Q Is it possible that items that have been sent to the investors include information about the status of a loan and how it's performing and or whether it's involved in litigation? MR. DOLAN: Object to foundation.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	he knows. MR. DOLAN: He just said he didn't know what's in there, and now you're asking for something specific that's in the whatever it is. MR. MILLER: Well, I asked him if it's possible that those items were in such a report. MR. DOLAN: Anything's possible. But go ahead and answer if you can. <b>THE WITNESS: Again, I don't see those specific reports, so I can't guess as to what's in them.</b> Q BY MR. MILLER: I see. All right. Let's look at Exhibit 15 there. MR. DOLAN: Can you say what it is? There are no numbers on any of those. MR. MILLER: I'm sorry. They were numbered when we sent them. It's a portion of the privilege log, then. MR. DOLAN: Okay. It's about 10 or 12 pages. (Off record) (Deposition Exhibit 15 was marked for identification.) MR. MILLER: Ben, would you take a look at that and see if it's got a number at the bottom right-hand corner of 764 on the first page, and the bottom of the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>this year.</li> <li>Q How long had he been doing it previously?</li> <li>A Three years.</li> <li>Q Okay, perfect. Great. Does the investment strike that.</li> <li>Does Canyon send documents about the status of its loans to its investors?</li> <li>A I don't have specific knowledge of that, so it's hard for me to answer that.</li> <li>Q You're not aware that Canyon sends out quarterly reports to its investors?</li> <li>A We do send out quarterly reports. I don't look at what's in those reports.</li> <li>Q So you're not aware of any of the content of any of those quarterly reports?</li> <li>A I know that they receive a summary of what their investment balance is worth, but I don't specifically see the specific reports that are sent out or what's the nature of those reports.</li> <li>Q Is it possible that items that have been sent to the investors include information about the status of a loan and how it's performing and or whether it's involved in litigation?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	he knows. MR. DOLAN: He just said he didn't know what's in there, and now you're asking for something specific that's in the whatever it is. MR. MILLER: Well, I asked him if it's possible that those items were in such a report. MR. DOLAN: Anything's possible. But go ahead and answer if you can. <b>THE WITNESS: Again, I don't see those specific reports, so I can't guess as to what's in them.</b> Q BY MR. MILLER: I see. All right. Let's look at Exhibit 15 there. MR. DOLAN: Can you say what it is? There are no numbers on any of those. MR. MILLER: I'm sorry. They were numbered when we sent them. It's a portion of the privilege log, then. MR. DOLAN: Okay. It's about 10 or 12 pages. (Off record) (Deposition Exhibit 15 was marked for identification.) MR. MILLER: Ben, would you take a look at that and see if it's got a number at the bottom right-hand	



	D9/20/2018 Page 26 Page 2		
1	MR. DOLAN: Yes, this is it. I found the right	1	
2	thing.	2	A I don't know. Couldn't say.
3	MR. MILLER: All right. So that's Exhibit 15.	3	Q All right. Okay. PIIC, though, is certainly
4	Q Sir, I'm showing you what's been marked as		not a Canyon entity; correct?
5	Exhibit 15. And I don't really expect you to be able to	5	A I do not recognize that acronym.
6	identify this. I'm just going to let you know, and your	6	Q Well, we talked about it a few moments ago, and
7	attorney can correct me if I'm wrong, but these are	7	you told me it was the Philadelphia Indemnity Insurance
8	excerpted pages of a privilege log that was presented to	8	Company.
9	my client and me in this case. It was created by your	9	A No, I don't think I answered that question,
10	attorneys. And I just want to ask you a couple of	10	because I think Ben objected.
11	questions about some of the items in these descriptions,	11	MR. DOLAN: That's correct.
12	okay?	11	Q BY MR. MILLER: Okay, but as we sit here, as
12	A Okay.	12	far as you know, PIIC is not a Canyon acronym.
	-		
14	Q On the first page that's marked 764 at the	14	A Yes, that's what I just said.
15	bottom, there's a document description in column E of	15	Q Okay. And so if you look at 1529 on the same
16	item 1528 that says "Packard - PIIC letter to investors	16	page, you would agree with me that RSL is also not a
17	re reinvestment of funds."	17	Canyon acronym.
18	We talked about PIIC. Would you have had	18	A Correct.
19	anything involved with a letter to investors re	19	Q And if you turn the page to 1485 on the second
20	reinvestment of funds?	20	page marked 742 in the lower right-hand side you see
21	A I have no idea.	21	those?
22	Q As authorized signatory, do you sign letters to	22	A Yes.
23	investors about reinvestments of funds?	23	Q So 1485 has a "Re: RSL and Duke Vrf." Are any
24	A Not that I'm aware of.	24	of those Canyon entities of which you're aware?
25	Q Have you ever reviewed a letter to an investor	25	A Yes.
1	Page 28	1	Page 29
1	Q Which one or ones is?		a specific investment.
2	A VRF is a Canyon entity.	$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	Q So correct me if I'm wrong, then. In taking
3	Q What does that stand for?	3	what you just said, is it an agreement between Canyon
4	A Value Realization Fund.	4	and an investor relating to an investment?
5	Q All right. Okay. But the other two are not?	5	MR. DOLAN: I'm just going to object to the
6	A Duke is a Canyon entity.	6	extent it calls for a legal conclusion. But the witness
7	Q Duke is also a Canyon entity? What does Duke	7	can answer beyond that.
8	stand for?	8	THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question?
9	A Well, let me correct that. Actually, I don't	9	Q BY MR. MILLER: I just didn't quite understand
	know, in the context of this, I don't know if it's a	10	your answer. I was just trying to understand what that
11	Canyon entity or not.	11	meant in layman's, not financial terms. I'm trying to
12	Q Is there a Canyon entity with a name similar to	12	just understand. Because it says it's a participation
13	"Duke"?	13	agreement. You described what that was. Does that have
14	A No.	14	to do with an agreement to invest in a particular
15	Q So when you say Duke is a Canyon entity, that	15	investment?
16		16	MR. DOLAN: Same objection. But go ahead.
17	A Correct.	17	THE WITNESS: Yes, typically a participation
18	Q Okay, very good.	18	agreement relates to an investment.
19	Turning the page to the next page, which has	19	Q BY MR. MILLER: And do you know who, in a
20	340 at the bottom, do you know what a participation	20	situation such as this real estate investment here, who
21	agreement is?	21	the parties to a participation investment would be?
22	A Yes.	22	Would it be Can IV Packard Square? Would it be Canyon
23	Q What is a participation agreement?	23	Real Estate Partners? Would it be some other entity?
24	A A participation agreement is an agreement	24	A I don't specifically know the entities
25	whereby an entity has a participation or an interest in	25	involved.



09/20 Page 30	/2018			
1 Q Okay. When we turn the page to 775, which is	Page 31 1 letter. But I'm not asking about content in a letter.			
2 the next page, on the top one, No. 1550 on the left-hand	2 I'm simply asking, what is a Packard - Gothic Corp			
3 column refers to "Packard - Gothic Corp. letter	3 letter re reinvestment of funds? Is it a letter from			
-				
4 reinvestment of funds." Do you see that?				
5 A Yes.	5 Canyon to Gothic? What's Gothic Corp? I don't know			
6 Q Is Gothic a Canyon entity?	6 what any of these things are. And if they're not Canyon			
7 A No.	7 entities, they're not privileged.			
8 Q So it sounds like, as we're looking at this,	8 MR. DOLAN: Then I'm going to object on			
9 there must be a letter. Do you know what this refers	9 foundation, because you haven't established that this			
10 to, what type of letter a Corp letter would be?	10 witness knows anything about this letter.			
11 A I do not. I have not seen that letter.	11 MR. MILLER: He just said that Gothic isn't a			
12 Q I'm not asking if you've seen the letter,	12 Canyon entity.			
13 because I certainly haven't, either. I'm just wondering	13 MR. DOLAN: Okay. You can know that Gothic's			
14 if you know what a Corp letter, a Packard - Gothic Corp	14 not a Canyon entity without knowing anything about a			
15 letter re reinvestment of funds. What do you believe	15 letter.			
16 that to be?	16 MR. MILLER: I understand.			
17 MR. DOLAN: Well, I'm just going to object, to	17 Q So my question, my original question was, as			
19 privilege log is. The court has not ruled that this	19 be the purpose of a Packard - Gothic Corp letter re			
20 document can be discussed or ruled. So on that	20 reinvestment of funds?			
21 question, I'm going to object and instruct the witness	21 MR. DOLAN: Just object to foundation.			
22 not to answer.	22 You can answer if you know.			
23 MR. MILLER: I'm not asking for any of the	23 THE WITNESS: I don't know.			
24 contents in the letter. So I can certainly understand	24 Q BY MR. MILLER: You don't have any idea what			
25 your objection if I were asking about contents in a	25 that could be?			
Page 32	Page 33			
1 A No.	1 A Yes.			
2 Q Okay. The letter beneath it, SNCC, is that a	2 Q Thank you. Do you see in column E in both of			
3 Canyon entity?	3 those documents the acronym CUOS?			
4 A No.	4 A Yes.			
5 Q Okay. Would you have any idea what a Packard -	5 Q Are you familiar with that acronym's			
6 SNCC letter re reinvestment of funds might be?	6 description not description what that acronym			
7 A No.	7 stands for?			
8 Q Okay. Turn the page to 763. There's another	8 A I know what that entity is. I don't recall			
9 couple of new acronyms that I've not seen before. Do	9 specifically what the acronym stands for.			
10 you know what "JBD" stands for in the first one on	10 Q Is that a Canyon entity?			
11 No. 1526?	11 A Yes.			
12 A I do not.	12 Q Do you have any idea of that entity, if you			
13 Q Is that a Canyon entity acronym of which you're	13 don't know what it stands for, what its business purpose			
14 aware?	14 is?			
15 A No.	15 A Yes. It's a it is a fund that makes			
16 Q And then down below in 1527 there's reference	16 investments.			
17 to a Packard - Gothic HSP letter to investors. Do you	17 Q Okay. There are some emails in here that I'd			
18 know what "HSP" stands for?	18 like to ask you about.			
19 A No.	19 But before I do, is it protocol for Joshua			
20 Q Are you aware of that being a Canyon entity?	20 Friedman to always include an attorney in every email			
21 A No.	21 that he sends?			
22 Q Okay. Some of those names are repeated again	22 MR. DOLAN: Object to the foundation. You're			
23 for the next few pages, so I'm not going to bother with	23 asking this witness what Mr. Friedman does on all of the			
24 those. If you would jump ahead to the page that says	24 emails he sends? Go ahead.			
25 2653 at the bottom, please. Are you there?	25 MR. MILLER: If he's aware that there's a			
	hand a			

# John Plaga 09/20/2018 Page 34

	09/20/2018 Page 34 Page 35				
1	protocol in place, yes, I'm asking this witness.	1		Page 35 BY MR. MILLER: Are you aware of such a	
2	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	2	protoc	•	
3	Q BY MR. MILLER: Okay, because I'm looking at	3		I don't know.	
4	the email that's described as 5307 on the same page, and	4	Q	You don't know if you're aware of a protocol,	
5	I would note that it's an email from Mr. Friedman to	5	-	a don't know if there is a protocol?	
6	Ms. Stamolis, but that there are a couple of attorneys	6	•	I don't know if there is a protocol.	
7	copied on that. Do you see that?	7	Q	Okay. On the same page we're on, 2662, the	
8	A Yes.	8	bottor	n right-hand corner, I'm still looking at document	
9	Q And if you turn the page, we're now at page	9	5325.	Do you know what CPRE stands for, in column E?	
10	2662, there's an email from Mitch Julis to Maria	10		Here? Yes.	
11	Stamolis, No. 5325, that also has attorneys copied on it	11	Q	What's that?	
12	as well. Do you see that?	12	Α	Canyon Partners Real Estate.	
13	A Yes.	13	Q	Okay, great. On the next page, No. 5739 on	
14	Q And you, of course, were copied on both of	14		2869 are you with me?	
15	those also. Right?	15	Α	Uh-huh.	
16	A It looks that way.	16	Q	Yes? Okay. There's a document referenced	
17	Q Okay. I'm not going to ask you the context or	17	there	as a "Packard - investor update proposal." Do you	
18	the content of the email, but I'm going to rephrase my	18	see th	nat?	
19	prior question, which is, are you aware of any protocol	19	Α	Yes.	
20	which either Mr. Julis or Mr. Friedman or both of them	20	Q	And you again were copied on this email. Is it	
21	always include lawyers in every email that either of	21	still y	your testimony that you've not reviewed documents	
22	them sends to other Canyon employees?	22	sent f	from Canyon to its investors relating to Packard	
23	MR. DOLAN: Just going to object to foundation.	23	-	re or other loans?	
24	2	24	Α	I don't know that I said that.	
25	THE WITNESS: I don't think I can answer that.	25	Q	I thought earlier you said that you had not	
1	Page 36 reviewed documents that were sent to investors, the	1	Δ	I don't know.	
2	quarterlies or otherwise. Have you seen those?	2		Let's talk about page 2894 of Exhibit 15,	
3	A I don't know if I've seen them.	3		e. On the second document, which is numbered 5789	
4	Q All right. Well, here's one that's described	4	-	e left side, there is another set of acronyms in	
5	as a Packard - investor update proposal. Without	5		n E. Do you see CPRE-VMF?	
6	getting into the specifics of it, are you familiar with	6		Yes.	
7	what this document refers to?	7		I think you just told us CPRE well, forget	
8	A No, other than the title.	8		hat do those stand for, please?	
9	Q All right. Do you have any recollection of	9		Canyon Partners Real Estate.	
10	this particular email?	10		And "VMF"?	
11		11	-	I'm not quite sure I know what VMF is.	
12		12	Q	Is VMF not a Canyon entity?	
13	that are sent by Josh Friedman or Mitchell Julis which	13	Α	I don't know what VMF is.	
14	do not include attorneys on them?	14	Q	Okay. Let's turn a couple pages further to	
15	MR. DOLAN: Object to foundation. You can	15	2974	in the lower right-hand corner.	
16		16	Α	Okay.	
17	THE WITNESS: Repeat the question?	17	Q	In No. 5949, do you know who Doug Anderson is?	
18	Q BY MR. MILLER: Yes. Are you familiar with	18	Α	Yes.	
19	having received or seen any emails from Joshua Friedman	19	Q	What is Doug Anderson's role at Canyon?	
20	or Mitchell Julis upon which an attorney was not also	20	Α	Chief compliance officer.	
21		21	Q	Is he an attorney?	
22	A Yes, I'm aware of emails.	22	Α	Yes.	
23	Q Okay. Are you aware of any involving Packard	23		5	
24	Square that either of them authored that did not include	24	same	Los Angeles office there?	
25	-				
25	-	25		Yes.	
25	-			Yes.	



	09/20 Page 38	/2	018 Page 39
1	Q And who's Ginger Norman?	1	Q And when did you go there?
2	A Our deputy general counsel.	2	A It was the fall, October-November of 2016, two
3	Q Deputy general counsel, not compliance officer.	3	years ago.
4	A Correct.	4	Q I think that's correct.
5	Q Okay. And she also works in your office there	5	Mr. Dolan, do you have Exhibits 9 and 10 there,
6	in Los Angeles?	6	by chance? No, you don't have numbers on them.
7	A Yes.	7	Actually, maybe you do. At the top of the page they're
8	Q Flipping ahead to the last page, 3008, you	8	numbered. They're emails numbered 9 dash Can IV and a
9	already told me who Sheriden Mansfeld was, but who is	9	Bates number. And 10 dash Bates number. Do you see
10	Ramin Manteghi?	10	that?
11	A Ramin is an accountant who reports to Sheriden.	11	MR. DOLAN: No. At the top of the document
12	Q Do you know what that person's responsibilities	12	itself there's no number. Are you looking for emails?
13	are relative to the Packard Square project?	13	MR. MILLER: Yeah, they're emails.
14	A I do not.	14	MR. DOLAN: Oh, I got it. So 9 and 10. I have
15	Q And does Ramin work in the L.A. office?	15	them, yes.
16	A He did.	16	MR. MILLER: Okay. If we could just mark
17	Q Doesn't work for Canyon any longer?	17	those, that would be great, as 9 and 10.
18	A Correct.	18	(Deposition Exhibits 9 and 10 was
19	Q I see. How long ago did that person leave	19	marked for identification.)
20	Canyon?	20	5
21	A Within the last year.	21	review 9 and 10. It's just to refresh your
22	Q Okay. Let's talk about Packard Square a little	22	
23	bit now.	23	· ·
24	Have you ever been to the Packard Square site?	24	
25	A Yes.	25	•
1	A Okay.	1	Q BY MR. DOLAN: Do you know who that is in
2	Q Was that the one and only time you visited the	$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	relation to this lawsuit?
3	site?	$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	A Not really. Not that I can remember.
4	A Yes.	4	Q Okay. Do you know why you reached out via
5	Q Why did you go?	5	email to Mr. Mason in order to visit the site?
6	A I was in Detroit. My son plays ice hockey. I	6	A Yes, just to visit the site, because I was in
7	was there for an ice hockey tournament. We were close		town.
8	to Ann Arbor. I thought it would be interesting to go	8	Q But do you know why it was that you asked to do
9	see a site under construction.	9	it through Mr. Mason and not somebody else, for example?
10	Q When you went there, the lawsuit had already	10	
11	been filed and the receiver had already been appointed;	11	
12	correct?	12	-
13	MR. DOLAN: Object to the foundation.	13	
14	Q BY MR. MILLER: I asked him to read the two	14	A Not that I specifically recall, no.
15	emails. Who did he think he was emailing?	15	Q What's your familiarity with the entity known
16	MR. DOLAN: I don't know that he knows who he	16	as McKinley Incorporated?
17	was emailing.	17	A None.
18	MR. MILLER: Okay.	18	Q Have you ever dealt with any people at McKinley
19	Q Who did you email, sir?	19	other than perhaps Mr. Mason?
20	MR. DOLAN: You're asking him if he remembers,	20	A No, not that I'm aware of.
21	or to read the email?	21	Q Have you ever heard the name Ronald Weiser?
22	MR. MILLER: I'm just asking if he knows who he	22	A Not that I recall.
23	emailed.	23	Q How about the name Albert Berriz?
24	THE WITNESS: Looks like I emailed Matthew	24	
25	Mason.	25	Q When you went to the site in November of 2016,
		I	



	09/20/2018 Page 42 Page 43			
1	can you describe what you saw there?	1	Page 43 dated November 14, 2016 at 7:50 p.m. Do you see that	
2	A The Packard Square project in a trailer in	2	one on the first page?	
3	which I met two gentlemen.	3	A Yes.	
4	Q Do you recall who you met with?	4	Q Down below it says that, announces that you're	
5	A I don't know their specific names. Gathering	5	going to visit, and that Mr. Goldman wants Mr. Mason to	
6	from the email	6	give you a tour. Did you tour the whole project?	
7	MR. DOLAN: I don't want you to guess. If you	7	A Yes. We walked around the project.	
8	know, you know. If you don't, you don't.	8	Q Do you have any recollection as to the	
9	Q BY MR. MILLER: If you don't recall their	9	condition of the construction at that time, like where	
10		10		
11	from the receivership? Were they lawyers? Any	11	A It was an incomplete project.	
	recollection?	12	Q But do you know to what extent it was	
12	A Well, again, I'd be assuming if I said who they	12	-	
13		13 14	A No, I couldn't guess as to percentage of	
15	Q What kind of activity was taking place at the	14		
15		15	Q Okay, that's fair.	
10	A The day I was there, there was no activity.	10	The next sentence asks about, it says:	
18	Q And according to your email, it says you were	17	"Obviously, we would like to avoid	
19	going to be going there on a Friday afternoon. Was that	10	•	
20		20	time, if possible."	
20 21	A I'm recalling that weekend. Yes, I think so.	20	Had you made had a request to ensure that the	
21	Q All right. And if you look at Exhibit 9 and	$ ^{21}_{22}$	borrower would not be on site, or was that something	
	I don't know if you have any insight into this at all,	22	that came from Mr. Goldman?	
23 24		23 24	A I did not make a specific request.	
24	email, the one from Gerald Goldman to Matthew Mason	25	Q Approximately how long did your tour of the	
25	Page 44	25	Q Approximately now long did your tour of the Page 45	
1	project take?	1	and 10 there, please.	
2	A Maybe an hour, 45 minutes.	2	MR. DOLAN: I'm looking. Okay.	
3	Q What did you and Mr. Mason and the others	3	MR. MILLER: Can we mark that?	
4	discuss?	4	(Deposition Exhibit 8 was marked for	
5	MR. DOLAN: Object to the form of the question.	5	identification.)	
6	It assumes Mr. Mason was there.	6	Q BY MR. MILLER: Sir, you have what's been	
7	Q BY MR. MILLER: Well, do you recall meeting	7	marked as Exhibit 8 in front of you. It's a little bit	
8	Matthew Mason at the site?	8	more detailed than 9 and 10. Would you take a moment	
9	A Again, I recall meeting two gentlemen. I don't	9	and make sure you read through the whole email chain for	
10	recall everybody's name.	10	yourself, please.	
11	Q Okay. Can you tell me what you and these	11	A Okay, I'm done reading it.	
12	unnamed people discussed?	12	Q Thank you. Who is Amy J. Johnson?	
13	A We just discussed they showed me the	13	A She works for Sheriden Mansfeld.	
14	project, showed me the various aspects of the project,	14	Q And who is Pich Pratt?	
15	the different rooms that were being built, talked about	15	A Pich Pratt is my assistant.	
16	what they were going to look like when they were done.	16	Q And who is Jennifer Alaquinez? I'm sorry.	
17	Q Did you discuss the anticipated completion date	17	That's a different entity. Do you know who that is?	
18	of the project?	18	A No.	
19	A No.	19	Q It says "citi.com."	
20	• • •	20		
21		21	Q Do you know what entity that's referring to?	
	finish?	22	A No.	
23		23	Q Somebody's trying to schedule a meeting here.	
24		24		
25	if you don't mind, which is the email that preceded 9	25	A Yes.	
		I		



	09/20 Page 46	/2	018 Page 47
1	Q It looks like you were to be a party to this	1	MR. DOLAN: I don't know that that indicates
2	meeting. Correct?	2	anything about an attorney. But go ahead.
3	A Yes.	3	Q BY MR. MILLER: So were you consulted by any of
4	Q Do you recall participating in this meeting?	4	these people on this meeting relative to filing a
5	A I don't. I don't know if this meeting	5	lawsuit or issuing a default letter to my client in the
6	occurred.	6	fall of 2016?
7	Q You're not sure if it occurred. Do you have	7	A I don't know.
8	any idea what the purpose or the subject matter of the	8	Q Are you familiar with the litigation history of
9	meeting was supposed to be about?	9	Canyon and its various entities?
10		10	A That's a broad question. Could you be more
11	Q Were you asked about your opinion as to whether	11	specific? I don't know what the question is.
12		12	Q Okay. I was just wondering if you as chief
13	6 .	13	financial officer are aware of what litigation is or has
14	5	14	been in existence involving Canyon or any of its
15		15	entities.
16	6	16	A Yes, I'm aware of litigation.
17	5 5 1	17	Q Approximately how many approximately how
18		18	many lawsuits are you aware of pending at this time at
19	ę ,	19	Canyon?
20		20	A I don't know the number.
21	going to be a meeting, it wasn't going to include an	21	Q Is there a list that would produce such a
22	5	22	number?
23		23	A Not I don't know.
24	±	24	Q How long have you been the chief financial
25	•	25	officer?
1	A Since 1997.	1	Page 49
2	Q Okay. Long time. During that time, do you	$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	Canyon or outside of Canyon relating to the Packard Square project?
2	know how many different lawsuits Canyon or its entities		
4	have been involved with?	<b>3</b> 4	A I don't specifically know.
5	A No.		Q Are there well, let's try it this way. Have
		5	you emailed directly to Maria Stamolis about the Packard
6	Q Do you know how many entities Canyon has sued for default or foreclosure?	6	Square project?
7		7	A I don't know.
8	A No.	8	Q Have you emailed directly to Gerald Goldman
9	Q Would you have any idea of what that number	9	relating to the Packard Square project?
	might be?	10	A I don't know.
11		11	Q Have you ever emailed directly to Mitchell
12		12	Julis about the Packard Square project?
13	e	13	A Don't know.
14		14	Q Have you ever emailed to Joshua Friedman
15		15	relating to the Packard Square project?
16		16	A Don't know.
17		17	Q Are there any people outside of Canyon
18	MR. MILLER: Sure.	18	outside of Canyon about the Packard Square project
19	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 10:39 a.m.	19	strike that.
20		20	Are there any people outside of Canyon with
21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at	21	whom you have emailed relative to the Packard Square
22	10:47 a.m. This is disc 2 of the deposition of John	22	project?
23	Plaga.	23	A Not that I recall, other than this one email
24	Q BY MR. MILLER: Sir, we were talking briefly	24	that was presented as an exhibit.
25	about some emails. To whom have you sent emails in	25	Q You're talking about with the Matthew Mason
	-		

# John Plaga 09/20/2018 Page 50

	<b>09/20</b> Page 50	/2	018
1	issue?		Page 51 loan amount was \$53,783,184?
2	A Correct.	2	A No.
3	Q Okay. Other than that, you don't have any	3	Q In paragraph 2, would you have knowledge about
4	recollection?	4	whether or not these lender's expenses of \$215,000 were
5	A No.	5	included in the sweep amount?
6	Q All right. Let's go through some of these	6	A No. I don't know.
7	exhibits that I've sent over there. The top one there	7	Q Let's move on from Exhibit 1, then.
8	should be Exhibit 1. It's a Sidley Austin letter dated	8	Exhibit 2 is a letter dated March 30, 2015.
	November 11, 2016. Do you have that?	9	Can we have that marked, please?
10	A Yes.	10	-
11	MR. MILLER: Could we mark that as 1?	11	identification.)
12	(Deposition Exhibit 1 was marked for	12	Q BY MR. MILLER: Take a moment, read and review
13	identification.)	13	that one, let me know when you're ready.
14	Q BY MR. MILLER: Sir, take a moment, review it,	14	A Okay.
15	let me know when you've reviewed it and finished, and	15	Q You've reviewed Exhibit 2?
16	then we'll ask you about it.	16	A Yes.
17	A Okay.	17	Q And correct me if I'm wrong. It's a letter
18	Q Have you ever seen this letter before?	18	dated March 30, 2015, from Can IV Packard Square LLC to
19	A Not that I'm aware of.	19	Packard Square, LLC, and you signed it. Correct?
20	Q Are you familiar with any of the facts in the	20	A Yes.
21	letter?	21	Q In this letter, were you familiar with the
22	A No.	22	•
23	Q For example, like in the first paragraph where	23	signed it on March 30, 2015?
24	it talks about the original amount of a loan, without	24	
25	seeing the figure on this page, would you know that the Page 52	25	Page 53
1	paragraph on the first page where it says:	1	Q Yeah. When you send out a notice of
2	"borrower breached section 5.27	2	acceleration, do you make sure that the facts in the
3	of the loan agreement by failing to	3	letter that you're signing are true and accurate before
4	perform under the Property 2500 PSA and	4	you sign them?
5	causing the termination of the property	5	A My role as authorized signer is to sign this
6	2500 PSA"?	6	letter. I look for our legal team to have reviewed it,
7	MR. DOLAN: I'm just going to object to the		as well as the business person, and if those two have
	form of the question. You asked him if he was familiar	8	reviewed it, then I sign the letter.
	with the facts. He said no. And then you asked him, "Who informed you of this fact."	9	Q How do you know those two have reviewed it?
10	But go ahead and answer if you can. I think	10 11	A Their initials. They typically initial the document.
12		12	
13	Q BY MR. MILLER: Let me ask you a different way.	12	
14		14	
15	A I don't know.	15	
16	Q Well, if you read the letter, would you have	16	
17	inquired as to whether the facts in the letter were	17	
18	accurate?	18	
19	A I don't recall.	19	
20	Q Is it your normal course of action to issue a	20	
21	notice of acceleration without being personally familiar	21	A Looks like it.
22	with the facts alleged?	22	Q So who are those two people?
23	What are you looking at, Mr. Plaga?	23	
24	A I was looking at Ben. Can you repeat the	24	
25	question?	25	Q Roshan Sonthalia?
		I	

	09/20 Page 54	/2	018 Page 55
1	A Yes.	1	Q Okay. Have you had an opportunity to review
2	Q If I'm pronouncing the name correctly? Okay.	2	Exhibit 4?
3	So would that also be true to the Annex A, the last page	3	A Yes.
4	of this exhibit, that you didn't review or confirm any	4	Q And you would agree with me that it is in fact
5	of the figures on that page before signing the letter?	5	a letter dated August 17, 2016, from Can IV Packard
6	A Yes. I signed the letter based upon what I	6	Square LLC to Packard Square LLC with your signature on
7	answered previously.	7	it; correct?
8	Q So as you sit here today, in looking at	8	A Correct.
9	Annex A, is it fair to say you have no idea whether	9	Q And just to move things along, this is a
10	these numbers are true and accurate?	10	default notice letter? Is that a fair assessment?
11	A I don't know.	11	A I don't know. I don't recall what this
12	Q Okay. Let's look at Exhibit 4, please.	12	document is.
12	MR. DOLAN: Is that the very next one or is	13	Q Having just read it, does it familiarize you
13	that two more down?	13	
		14	A I'll read it more specifically.
15	MR. MILLER: 3 was like the construction loan.		MR. DOLAN: Are you asking him based on his
16	I'm not doing that. So 4 is another letter dated August	16	· ·
17	17, 2016.	17	reading of it now, or his recollection of August of
18	MR. DOLAN: Okay, got it.	18	
19	MR. MILLER: Thank you.	19	MR. MILLER: I'm asking him, as he looks at it
20	(Deposition Exhibit 4 was marked for	20	now, am I characterizing it accurately as a default
21	identification.)	21	letter. I might not be.
22	Q BY MR. MILLER: Sir, take as much time as you	22	MR. DOLAN: I'll just object to the extent it
23	need to review Exhibit 4, please. Let me know when	23	calls for a legal conclusion, but otherwise, the witness
24	you're done.	24	is free to answer.
25	A Okay.	25	THE WITNESS: Yes, looks like an
1	event-of-default letter.	1	Q Is it more than a hundred?
2	Q BY MR. MILLER: And you sign event-of-default	2	A I don't know.
3	letters regularly. I think you testified to that	3	Q How about notice-of-acceleration letters, like
4	earlier; correct?	4	Exhibit 2? Approximately how many of those do you send
5	A No, I don't think I said that. I may sign	5	out in a given week, month, or year, or whatever you'd
	them.	6	like to define it as?
6 7		7	A Again, I don't know.
0	Q You may sign them. In a given year, approximately how many default letters do you sign out,		
0		8 9	Q No idea? A Correct.
9 10	you personally, John Plaga? A I do not know.		Q Back to Exhibit 4, then. This document,
		10	
11 12	<ul><li>Q More than a hundred?</li><li>A Again, I don't know.</li></ul>	11 12	Exhibit 4, is this a document that you reviewed prior to signing it or is it a document that was presented to you
12		12	and it was just signed?
14		14	MR. DOLAN: Did you hear the question?
15	eight, nine, I don't remember what the number was,	15	THE REPORTER: I think I got it. I can read it
16	letters in a day.	16	back if you want.
17	Other than default letters and Exhibit 2 was	17	MR. DOLAN: Do you mind reading it back?
18	an example of an acceleration letter I think you	18	(Record read as follows:
19	talked about a few other different classes of letters	19	"Q This document, Exhibit 4, is
20		20	this a document that you reviewed prior
21	A Yes.	21	to signing it or is it a document that
22	Q Okay. So you don't have any way to approximate	22	was presented to you and it was just
23	how many letters of default you send out in a given	23	signed?")
24	week, month, year?	24	THE WITNESS: I don't recall. Don't know.
25	A No. I'd be guessing.	25	Don't know the answer to that question.
		I	

	09/20 Page 58	/2	018 Page 59
1	Q BY MR. MILLER: All right. As we sit here	1	A Correct.
2	today, having reviewed Exhibit 4, do the facts set forth	2	Q Okay. Now, on the fourth page, again, there
3	in Exhibit 4 refresh your recollection to your having	3	are those two boxes that we talked about [technical
4	reviewed these facts back in August of 2016?	4	interference with audio] Exhibit 4?
5	A Again, whether I reviewed the letter or just	5	THE REPORTER: I'm going to need a repeat of
6	signed it back in 2016, I don't know.	6	that.
7	Q Do you have any recollection of checking before	7	Mr. Miller, you're breaking up again. I got,
8	signing this letter whether any of the allegations set	8	"Now, on the fourth page, again, there are those two
9	forth in the letter were true, or did you just sign it?	9	boxes that we talked about?"
10	A Again, I think I answered that question. I	10	Q BY MR. MILLER: That we also previously
11	don't recall whether I did or did not.	11	discussed on Exhibit 2. They're on page 4. Can you
12	Q As we sit here today, having read Exhibit 4, do	12	
13	you have any personal knowledge as to whether any of the	13	MR. DOLAN: Exhibit 2, page 4?
14	facts set forth in Exhibit 4 are true today?	14	MR. MILLER: No, Exhibit 4, page 4. I'm sorry.
15	A I don't know.	15	MR. DOLAN: All right.
16	Q When you say you don't know whether any of	16	Q BY MR. MILLER: Exhibit 4, page 4, the August
17	the facts are true, or you don't know if you're familiar	17	17 letter. Are you with me on page 4?
18	with any of the facts?	18	A Yes.
19	A I'm not familiar with the specific facts in	19	Q Okay. There's two boxes. Again, there's a
20	this letter.	20	
21	Q Okay. So if I referred you to, for example, on	21	initial for Gerald Goldman; is that correct?
22	page 1, the July 21 demand for immediate payment and	22	A Correct.
23	notice of right-to-stop-work letter, you have no	23	Q Who's the legal initial? Do you know?
24	recollection of whether you have seen that letter. Is	24	A Don't know.
25	that a fair statement?	25	Q Okay.
	Page 60		Page 61
1	Let's go to Exhibit 5, please, which is a		further knowledge of any of the facts set forth in
2	letter dated October 19, 2016.	$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	Exhibit 5 as being true or accurate at the time you
3	(Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.)	3	signed it than you had for the prior letters we talked about?
4 5	THE WITNESS: Okay.	45	A No.
5 6	-		
7	Q BY MR. MILLER: Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 5?	6	Q So you didn't check the claims of lien or whather they existed on any of that before the latter
8	A No. Let me take a look at it.	0	whether they existed or any of that before the letter was signed.
9	Q Please.	9	A Not that I recall.
10	A (Examining document) Okay.	10	
11	Q Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 5?		letter was presented to you by the two people who put
12	A Yeah, not every word, but reviewed it.	12	
13	Q Okay. Is it fair to say that Exhibit 5 is a	13	
	letter from Can IV Packard Square LLC to Packard Square	14	Q And you relied upon them to make sure that it
15	LLC dated October 19, 2016, which you signed?	15	was accurate before you signed it; correct?
16	A Yes.	16	
17	Q This one does not have any bold language in the	17	Q Whose initials are these?
18	"re" line. Is there a Canyon way to characterize what	18	A Looks like Gerald Goldman and Marcus.
19	this letter is?	19	Q You think that's Marcus Neupert, that line
20	A Not that I'm aware of.	20	-
21	Q So do you know if this is a default letter or	21	A Actually, don't know. Couldn't tell you for
22	some other type of letter?	22	
23	A I don't know. Wouldn't be able to guess other	23	Q What about looking back on Exhibit 4, page 4 of
24	than to read the contents of the letter.	24	
25	Q Okay. And just so we're clear, do you have any	25	to the squiggly line, at least to me, on Exhibit 5.

	U9/20 Page 62	/2	VI8 Page 63
1	Would you think those are referencing the same person in	1	Q BY MR. MILLER: To what extent do you ensure
2	the legal department?	2	that these letters have been properly vetted before you
3	A I don't know.	3	sign them, if you're not even sure who the initial on
4	Q When you receive a letter such as Exhibit 4 or	4	whom you're relying?
5	Exhibit 5, do you confirm with the person who	5	A Again, I'll go back to my statement previously.
-			
6	purportedly initialed it that they in fact initialed it?	6	I look at the for the boxes to be signed, and to be
7	A No.	7	reviewed by those people.
8	Q So is it possible that somebody else other than	8	Q But if you don't know what Marcus Neupert's
9	a lawyer could have put the initial in on behalf of the	9	initials look like, you wouldn't be able to know whether
10	legal department with the legal department not even	10	or not he actually initialed it; isn't that right?
11	having reviewed the letter?	11	A I can't tell from this specific document, nor
12	A I don't know.	12	recall these specific documents, what the context of the
13	Q So that is possible.	13	signatures were.
14	MR. DOLAN: I think his answer was "I don't	14	Q Well, let me just ask you this way. Did the
15	know."	15	signatures on these letters just appear on your desk one
16	Q BY MR. MILLER: He doesn't know if it's	16	day, or did Mr. Goldman or Mr. Neupert bring these
17	possible?	17	letters to you for you to sign it in their presence?
18	MR. DOLAN: That's what his answer was.	18	A I don't recall who gave me the letters to sign.
19	Q BY MR. MILLER: Okay, so to what extent do you	19	Q Well, how does it normally work in your office?
20	delve into the validity of these letters to make sure	20	Do they normally have a stack of letters that you sign,
21	they were properly vetted before you sign them?	21	or do the people who want them signed bring them to you
22	MR. DOLAN: I'm going to object. It's been	22	in person?
23	asked and answered.	23	A Typically the assistant that works for the
24	But go ahead, you can tell him again.	24	general counsel brings them to me to sign. Not the
25	THE WITNESS: Repeat the question.	25	general counsel. The internal counsel.
	Page 64		Page 65
1	Q I understand. So prior to today, have you ever	1	Q BY MR. MILLER: Have you had a chance to review
2	questioned whether or not, or maybe you're not	2	Exhibit 6?
3	questioning it now, but have you ever considered whether	3	A Yes.
4	or not the signatures on these boxes were of the people	4	Q And it's a letter dated January 24, 2017, from
5	in the legal department?	5	Can IV Packard Square LLC to Packard Square LLC with
6	A Repeat the question?	6	your signature upon it; correct?
7	Q Yeah. We looked at Exhibit 4 and Exhibit 5,	7	A Correct.
8	and they have a couple of squiggly lines representing	8	Q And just so we're clear strike that. I
9	the legal department. Correct?	9	don't want to be clear.
10	A Correct.	10	Do you recognize this letter?
11	Q How do we know that do you have any	11	A No.
12		12	Q Can you describe for me what the purpose of
13	actual attorneys in the legal department, or an actual	13	this letter is?
14	attorney in the legal department?	14	A It looks like a payoff statement.
15	A Based on our process, they would be the	15	Q Is that a letter that you would normally sign
16		16	
17	Q But you can't identify which attorney it was.	17	A I don't know.
18	A I don't specifically watch them sign it.	18	Q Approximately how many payoff letters a week or
19	Q Let's look at Exhibit 6, please. It's a letter	19	a month or a year do you sign off on?
20		20	A I wouldn't be able to calculate that number.
20	tell me when it's been marked and you've had a chance to		Don't know.
21	-	21	Q Before you signed Exhibit 6, did you review the
22 23		22	facts set forth in Exhibit 6?
23 24	(Deposition Exhibit 6 was marked for identification.)	23 24	A I don't recall.
	,	24	
25	THE WITNESS: (Examining document) Okay.	25	Q As you sit here today, having reviewed

	09/20 Page 66	/2	018 Page 67
1	Exhibit 6, do you have any idea whether any of the facts	1	Q Okay. But without the language at the bottom,
2	alleged in Exhibit 6 are true?	2	where it talks about "Note 1: This payoff statement,"
3	A I don't know.	3	is this a common format of a document at Canyon?
4	Q When you looked at the last page of	4	A I don't know.
	Exhibit 6 can you flip to that for me, please?	5	Q Well, this can't be the first payoff statement
6	A Okay.	6	you're ever seen; right?
7	Q Are you familiar with a document that looks	7	A Again, I told you what I said. I don't know.
	like this, or this particular document?	8	Q Have you ever seen a payoff statement issued by
9	A Does the last page say Exhibit A on it?	9	someone at Canyon prior to right now?
10	Q No, it says "repayment date."	9 10	• • •
10	MR. DOLAN: Someone stapled it to the wrong	11	
	document. Hold on.		• • • • •
12		12	
13	MR. MILLER: Okay.	13	MR. DOLAN: That's what he just said. Are you
14	MR. DOLAN: Hold on.	14	
15	MR. MILLER: No problem. Take your time.	15	MR. MILLER: I just want to make sure that
16	MR. DOLAN: Okay.	16	5
17	Q BY MR. MILLER: Exhibit 6 is supposed to be	17	5
18	five pages, sir. Is that what you've got?	18	
19	A Yes.	19	
20	Q And Exhibit A, as you described, on page 4	20	
21	makes reference to a "Payoff Statement [See Attached]."	21	A Yes.
22	Would you define the page 5 document as in fact a payoff	22	Q Okay. When you look at the document,
23	statement?	23	
24	A Based on what it says on page 5, where it says	24	8
25	"this payoff statement," yes.	25	to say you didn't review this one before you signed this
1	Page 68	1	Page 69
	document?		and last page?
2	A I don't recall for this specific document.	2	MR. MILLER: It's "Receiver's Notice of
3	Q Well, do you recall any specific document, ever	3	Prepayment Amount," and the last page is a payoff
	having seen a payoff statement?	4	statement.
5	A Repeat the question? Sorry?	5	(Deposition Exhibit 7 was marked for
6	Q Do you recall, in any specific payoff statement	6	identification.)
	letter, ever having reviewed the actual payoff statement	7	MR. MILLER: When you've marked 7 and reviewed
	before signing the letter?		7, please let me know.
9	A I don't know. Don't recall.	9	THE WITNESS: (Examining document) Okay.
10	Q When you look at the second page of the	10	Q BY MR. MILLER: Thank you. Exhibit 7 is a
11	exhibit, page 2, again, there are legal and another	11	document that was presented called the Receiver's Notice
12	signed signature that looks like the one we assigned	12	of Prepayment Amount and Reserve for Costs. I'm not
13	already as being Gerald Goldman. Would you agree with	13	really so interested in the first two pages. I'm much
14		14	
15	A Gerald Goldman?	15	are a letter correct me if I'm wrong a letter from
16	Q Yeah, on the bottom of page 2.	16	Can IV Packard Square LLC to McKinley Inc. dated January
17	A Yes.	17	28 sorry January 26, 2017, which bears your
18	Q Okay. Do you recognize the initials there for	18	signature. Correct?
19	the "legal" box?	19	A Yes.
20	A Yes. Looks like Marcus.	20	Q Do you recall ever seeing this letter before?
21	Q You believe this one is Marcus Neupert?	21	A No.
22	A Yes.	22	Q Do you know what this letter is?
23	Q And you think okay. Very good. Let's look	23	A Based on the reference on page 1, looks like a
24	to Exhibit 7, please.	24	payoff statement.
25	MR. DOLAN: Just to be sure, what's the first	25	Q And have you ever reviewed the facts in this



	09/20 Page 70	/2	U18 Page 71
1	letter to confirm whether or not they were true at the	1	
2	time you signed them?	2	much time would normally be allotted to somebody to come
3	A Not that I recall.	3	up with that amount of money and pay off the payoff
4	Q Did you ever review the payoff statement which	4	statement?
5	is the last page of the exhibit prior to signing the	5	MR. DOLAN: Same objection.
6	letter?	6	THE WITNESS: I don't know.
7	A I don't recall.	7	Q BY MR. MILLER: Okay. Let's look at page 2 of
8	Q Do you work with payoff statements frequently?	8	your letter encompassed within Exhibit 7, your signature
9	A Describe "frequent."	9	page. Do you see that?
10	Q I don't know. On a weekly or monthly basis?	10	A Yes.
11	A I do not directly get involved with the	11	Q There are some can you tell me whose
12	preparation of payoff statements.	12	initials are in the "legal" box on page 2 of this
13	Q How much time would you think would be	12	letter?
		13 14	A Don't know.
14	allow the borrower to come up with the money to pay off	15	
15		15	Q Do you recognize the initials below the "legal" box?
16	the amount set forth in the payoff statement?	10	
17	MR. DOLAN: Object to foundation. Calls for a	18	•
18	legal conclusion.		Q They're not familiar to you?
19	MR. MILLER: It's a business question.	<b>19</b> 20	A No, it's just hard to tell with the copy.
20	MR. DOLAN: We can disagree on that. Go ahead and answer if you can.		Q What about the letters in the box to the right
21 22	THE WITNESS: I don't know if I can answer	21 22	of the "legal" box? Do you recognize those? A Yeah. Yes.
		22	
23		23 24	<ul><li>Q Are those Gerald Goldman's again?</li><li>A Yes.</li></ul>
24	Q BY MR. MILLER: Well, would you think, if you sent a statement to somebody, let's say, today,	24	<ul><li>A Yes.</li><li>Q And what about your signature? Is that a real</li></ul>
25	Page 72	25	Page 73
1	signature or is that some type of an electronic	1	Q BY MR. MILLER: All right. Let's jump ahead
2	signature?	2	to
3	A That's a real signature.	3	Ben, can you give me the last three letter
4	Q Okay. It's hard to tell with the copy as well.	4	exhibits? The first one we'll call No. 20. It's dated
5	Now, the bold, all-caps paragraph above the	5	October 21, 2016. It should be the last three documents
6	statement, where you signed it do you see that?	6	in your stack.
7	A Yes.	7	MR. DOLAN: I have October 21, February 21, and
8	Q Do you know why it mattered to you when you	8	February 24.
9	signed this letter in the middle, starting on the fifth	9	MR. MILLER: That is correct. Those should be
10	line:	10	20, 21, and 22, in that order.
11	"Lender may in its sole and absolute	11	(Deposition Exhibits 20, 21, and 22
12	discretion return the payment to such	12	were marked for identification.)
13	other person or persons having made such	13	THE WITNESS: Before we move on, can I get a
14	payment"?	14	
15	A What's the question?	15	MR. DOLAN: Sure.
16	Q Do you know why you put that language in this	16	(Off record)
17	letter, or you signed this letter with that language in	17	Q BY MR. MILLER: Let's do them one at a time.
18		18	-
19	A I don't know.	19	letter dated October 21, 2016. And let me know when
20	Q Do you have an opinion as to why it would	20	
21	matter to Canyon who the money comes from to pay off the	21	A Yes.
22	loan amount?	22	Q Thanks.
23	MR. DOLAN: I'm just going to object to the	23	A (Examining document) Okay, I looked at
24	form of the question. Go ahead.	24	
25	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	25	Q Excellent. So let's talk about Exhibit 20.
	-		

	09/20 Page 74	/2	018 Page 75
1	Correct me if I'm wrong. It's a letter dated October	1	Q Do you recall strike that.
2	21, 2016, from Can IV Packard Square LLC to Packard	2	Have you sent a notice of sweep of accounts
3	Square LLC. It's got four pages and your signature page	3	letter since October 21, 2016?
4	is at page 3. Correct?	4	A I don't know.
5	A Correct.	5	Q So the figures that are on page 2 of the
6	Q And this document in the "re" line is entitled	6	letter, you didn't check those before you signed the
7	"Notice of Sweep of Accounts." Correct?	7	letter; correct?
8	A Yes.	8	A On page 2?
9	Q Do you know what that means?	9	Q Yes.
10	A Based on reading the letter, yes.	10	A I don't know.
11	Q What does it mean?	11	Q You don't know whether you checked them, or you
12	A Per the letter, it's notifying the ability to	12	think you might have checked them?
13	sweep cash from an account.	13	A No, I don't know if I checked them.
14		14	Q I see. So the figures, the \$215,000 of costs
15	A Yes.	15	or expenses incurred by lender in that first set-off
16	Q All right. And before you signed this letter,	16	paragraph, as we sit here today, you believe they were
17	did you review it?	17	true because someone told you that they were; correct?
18	A I don't recall.	18	A I believe they were true, based upon this
19	Q So is it your testimony, then, that you did not	19	letter being provided to me and initialed by the people
20		20	on this letter.
21	letter were true before you signed it; correct?	21	Q And who were those two people again?
22	A I don't know, based on I don't know.	22	A Page 3, it looks like Gerald. It's difficult
23 24	Q Have you ever sent a notice of sweep of account letter before this one?	<b>23</b> 24	<b>for me to tell whose signature that is.</b> Q So you're not sure who signed on behalf of the
24 25	A I don't know.		legal department.
45	Page 76	25	Page 77
1	A Correct.	1	Q Right. So somebody from acquisitions or asset
2	Q Okay. Let's go to Exhibit 21, please. Take a	2	management's going to sign in the box in all these
3	moment and let me know when you've reviewed that.	3	letters; correct?
4	A (Examining document) Okay.	4	A Yes.
5	Q So Exhibit 21 is a letter from Can IV Packard	5	Q Okay. Do you know what types of letters Gerald
6	Square to Craig Schubiner dated February 21, 2017.	6	Goldman is the authorized signatory for?
7	Correct?	7	A I do not.
8	A Correct.	8	Q Is this letter of any surprise to you, seeing
9	Q And according to this letter, it's defined as a	9	him as the signatory on it rather than yourself?
	demand for payment for guaranteed obligation. Do you	10	
11	see that on the "re" line? A Yes.	11	Q Is there a reason why you signed the prior
<b>12</b> 13	Q Can you explain to me why Gerald Goldman is the	12 13	letters with Gerald's approval, but now Gerald is able to sign Exhibit 21 with Maria's approval?
13		13	• •
15	A I cannot.	15	
16		16	-
17	-	17	
18	-	18	Q So is it unusual for general counsel to change
19		19	the authorized signatory from you to somebody else
20		20	
21	Q Maria Stamolis in the right-hand box?	21	A I'm sorry. Repeat the question?
22	A Yeah.	22	Q Did you know that Mr. Goldman sent out this
23	Q Is it fair to say that Acq/AM means	23	-
24	acquisitions/asset manager?	24	A Not that I recall. I don't recall.
25	A "Acq" stands for yes. It's acquisitions.	25	Q Is it common for the authorized signatory to
		l	

	09/20 Page 78	/2	018 Page 79
1	change from you to Mr. Goldman or someone else without	1	A I don't recall.
2	your knowledge?	2	Q Okay. Let's look at Exhibit 22, please. It's
3	A Don't know. Don't know why this would have	3	just a little over a page.
4	I don't know why this would have been done this way.	4	A Okay.
5	Q Is this unusual it would have been done this	5	Q So Exhibit 22 correct me if I'm wrong is
6	way?	6	a letter from Can IV Packard Square to City National
7	A No.	7	Bank dated three days after Exhibit 21. Correct?
8	Q Have you seen many authorized signatory letters	8	A Yes.
9	signed by Gerald Goldman?	9	Q Okay. So Exhibit 21 was February 21,
10	A I don't recall.	10	- •
11	Q Well, how do you know whether it is or is not	11	sure you would as well, you again are not the authorized
12	unusual?	12	
13	A Don't know.	13	A Yes.
14	Q Well, what makes something usual or unusual?	14	Q I think, though, that you did testify earlier
15	A Again, there may be specific reasons why this	15	that Mr. Kaplan is an authorized signatory. Correct?
16	was done this way. I don't know what those reasons	16	A Yes.
17	were.	17	Q Okay. So in what circumstances would he sign a
18	Q And who would have given Gerald Goldman the	18	letter such as Exhibit 22 instead of your doing it?
19	authority to sign as the authorized signatory?	19	A Could be any circumstance. We're both
20	A I don't know.	20	authorized signers.
21	Q Do you have the authority to give Gerald	21	Q So are you saying that people just bring
22	Goldman the authority to be the authorized signatory?	22	letters to your office or to his office without regard
23	A Yes. There's instances where we can approve	23	as to who the particular signer should be that day or
24	another authorized signer to sign a document.	24	
25	Q Do you recall doing that in this situation? Page 80	25	A I'm saying that we're both authorized signers, Page 81
1	and either one of us can sign as authorized signer.	1	A Again, we don't it's not based upon level of
1 2	Q And it doesn't matter who that is on a	1 2	employee; it's based upon the knowledge of the employee
	Q And it doesn't matter who that is on a particular day or particular circumstance?	1 2 3	employee; it's based upon the knowledge of the employee on the team of the acquisitions/asset management team.
2 3 <b>4</b>	<ul><li>Q And it doesn't matter who that is on a particular day or particular circumstance?</li><li>A Correct.</li></ul>	2 3 4	<pre>employee; it's based upon the knowledge of the employee on the team of the acquisitions/asset management team. Q So anybody on the team could do it?</pre>
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q And it doesn't matter who that is on a particular day or particular circumstance?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Okay. Do you recognize the initials in the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>employee; it's based upon the knowledge of the employee</li> <li>on the team of the acquisitions/asset management team.</li> <li>Q So anybody on the team could do it?</li> <li>A Don't know. Don't know the answer to that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q And it doesn't matter who that is on a particular day or particular circumstance?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Okay. Do you recognize the initials in the legal and acquisition/asset manager boxes?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>employee; it's based upon the knowledge of the employee</li> <li>on the team of the acquisitions/asset management team.</li> <li>Q So anybody on the team could do it?</li> <li>A Don't know. Don't know the answer to that</li> <li>question.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q And it doesn't matter who that is on a particular day or particular circumstance?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Okay. Do you recognize the initials in the legal and acquisition/asset manager boxes?</li> <li>A I don't know in the acquisition/asset</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>employee; it's based upon the knowledge of the employee</li> <li>on the team of the acquisitions/asset management team.</li> <li>Q So anybody on the team could do it?</li> <li>A Don't know. Don't know the answer to that</li> <li>question.</li> <li>Q I see. All right. Other than the case let</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q And it doesn't matter who that is on a particular day or particular circumstance?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Okay. Do you recognize the initials in the legal and acquisition/asset manager boxes?</li> <li>A I don't know in the acquisition/asset</li> <li>management. Legal, again, looks like Marcus.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>employee; it's based upon the knowledge of the employee on the team of the acquisitions/asset management team.</li> <li>Q So anybody on the team could do it?</li> <li>A Don't know. Don't know the answer to that question.</li> <li>Q I see. All right. Other than the case let me ask you this way. Are you aware of this Packard</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q And it doesn't matter who that is on a particular day or particular circumstance?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Okay. Do you recognize the initials in the legal and acquisition/asset manager boxes?</li> <li>A I don't know in the acquisition/asset</li> <li>management. Legal, again, looks like Marcus.</li> <li>Q Do you recognize the initials under the "legal"</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>employee; it's based upon the knowledge of the employee on the team of the acquisitions/asset management team.</li> <li>Q So anybody on the team could do it?</li> <li>A Don't know. Don't know the answer to that question.</li> <li>Q I see. All right. Other than the case let me ask you this way. Are you aware of this Packard Square case and that the plaintiff, Can IV Packard</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q And it doesn't matter who that is on a particular day or particular circumstance?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Okay. Do you recognize the initials in the legal and acquisition/asset manager boxes?</li> <li>A I don't know in the acquisition/asset</li> <li>management. Legal, again, looks like Marcus.</li> <li>Q Do you recognize the initials under the "legal" box?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>employee; it's based upon the knowledge of the employee on the team of the acquisitions/asset management team.</li> <li>Q So anybody on the team could do it?</li> <li>A Don't know. Don't know the answer to that question.</li> <li>Q I see. All right. Other than the case let me ask you this way. Are you aware of this Packard Square case and that the plaintiff, Can IV Packard Square, has sued my client for foreclosure to repossess</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q And it doesn't matter who that is on a particular day or particular circumstance?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Okay. Do you recognize the initials in the legal and acquisition/asset manager boxes?</li> <li>A I don't know in the acquisition/asset</li> <li>management. Legal, again, looks like Marcus.</li> <li>Q Do you recognize the initials under the "legal" box?</li> <li>A I do not.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>employee; it's based upon the knowledge of the employee on the team of the acquisitions/asset management team.</li> <li>Q So anybody on the team could do it?</li> <li>A Don't know. Don't know the answer to that question.</li> <li>Q I see. All right. Other than the case let me ask you this way. Are you aware of this Packard Square case and that the plaintiff, Can IV Packard Square, has sued my client for foreclosure to repossess the property?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q And it doesn't matter who that is on a particular day or particular circumstance?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Okay. Do you recognize the initials in the legal and acquisition/asset manager boxes?</li> <li>A I don't know in the acquisition/asset</li> <li>management. Legal, again, looks like Marcus.</li> <li>Q Do you recognize the initials under the "legal" box?</li> <li>A I do not.</li> <li>Q And you're not familiar with the name, or</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>employee; it's based upon the knowledge of the employee on the team of the acquisitions/asset management team.</li> <li>Q So anybody on the team could do it?</li> <li>A Don't know. Don't know the answer to that question.</li> <li>Q I see. All right. Other than the case let me ask you this way. Are you aware of this Packard Square case and that the plaintiff, Can IV Packard Square, has sued my client for foreclosure to repossess the property?</li> <li>A I'm aware of the lawsuit.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q And it doesn't matter who that is on a particular day or particular circumstance?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Okay. Do you recognize the initials in the legal and acquisition/asset manager boxes?</li> <li>A I don't know in the acquisition/asset</li> <li>management. Legal, again, looks like Marcus.</li> <li>Q Do you recognize the initials under the "legal" box?</li> <li>A I do not.</li> <li>Q And you're not familiar with the name, or initials, I should say, SM in acquisitions or asset</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>employee; it's based upon the knowledge of the employee on the team of the acquisitions/asset management team.</li> <li>Q So anybody on the team could do it?</li> <li>A Don't know. Don't know the answer to that question.</li> <li>Q I see. All right. Other than the case let me ask you this way. Are you aware of this Packard Square case and that the plaintiff, Can IV Packard Square, has sued my client for foreclosure to repossess the property?</li> <li>A I'm aware of the lawsuit.</li> <li>Q Okay. How many other foreclosure suits are</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q And it doesn't matter who that is on a particular day or particular circumstance?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Okay. Do you recognize the initials in the legal and acquisition/asset manager boxes?</li> <li>A I don't know in the acquisition/asset</li> <li>management. Legal, again, looks like Marcus.</li> <li>Q Do you recognize the initials under the "legal" box?</li> <li>A I do not.</li> <li>Q And you're not familiar with the name, or initials, I should say, SM in acquisitions or asset management?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>employee; it's based upon the knowledge of the employee on the team of the acquisitions/asset management team.</li> <li>Q So anybody on the team could do it?</li> <li>A Don't know. Don't know the answer to that question.</li> <li>Q I see. All right. Other than the case let me ask you this way. Are you aware of this Packard Square case and that the plaintiff, Can IV Packard Square, has sued my client for foreclosure to repossess the property?</li> <li>A I'm aware of the lawsuit.</li> <li>Q Okay. How many other foreclosure suits are pending by other Canyon entities at this time?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q And it doesn't matter who that is on a particular day or particular circumstance?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Okay. Do you recognize the initials in the legal and acquisition/asset manager boxes?</li> <li>A I don't know in the acquisition/asset</li> <li>management. Legal, again, looks like Marcus.</li> <li>Q Do you recognize the initials under the "legal" box?</li> <li>A I do not.</li> <li>Q And you're not familiar with the name, or initials, I should say, SM in acquisitions or asset management?</li> <li>A I'd have to go through the employee list. I</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>employee; it's based upon the knowledge of the employee on the team of the acquisitions/asset management team.</li> <li>Q So anybody on the team could do it?</li> <li>A Don't know. Don't know the answer to that question.</li> <li>Q I see. All right. Other than the case let me ask you this way. Are you aware of this Packard Square case and that the plaintiff, Can IV Packard Square, has sued my client for foreclosure to repossess the property?</li> <li>A I'm aware of the lawsuit.</li> <li>Q Okay. How many other foreclosure suits are pending by other Canyon entities at this time?</li> <li>A I don't know. I think that's a better question</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q And it doesn't matter who that is on a particular day or particular circumstance?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Okay. Do you recognize the initials in the legal and acquisition/asset manager boxes?</li> <li>A I don't know in the acquisition/asset</li> <li>management. Legal, again, looks like Marcus.</li> <li>Q Do you recognize the initials under the "legal" box?</li> <li>A I do not.</li> <li>Q And you're not familiar with the name, or initials, I should say, SM in acquisitions or asset management?</li> <li>A I'd have to go through the employee list. I don't know.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>employee; it's based upon the knowledge of the employee on the team of the acquisitions/asset management team.</li> <li>Q So anybody on the team could do it?</li> <li>A Don't know. Don't know the answer to that question.</li> <li>Q I see. All right. Other than the case let me ask you this way. Are you aware of this Packard Square case and that the plaintiff, Can IV Packard Square, has sued my client for foreclosure to repossess the property?</li> <li>A I'm aware of the lawsuit.</li> <li>Q Okay. How many other foreclosure suits are pending by other Canyon entities at this time?</li> <li>A I don't know. I think that's a better question for general counsel.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q And it doesn't matter who that is on a particular day or particular circumstance?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Okay. Do you recognize the initials in the legal and acquisition/asset manager boxes?</li> <li>A I don't know in the acquisition/asset</li> <li>management. Legal, again, looks like Marcus.</li> <li>Q Do you recognize the initials under the "legal" box?</li> <li>A I do not.</li> <li>Q And you're not familiar with the name, or initials, I should say, SM in acquisitions or asset management?</li> <li>A I'd have to go through the employee list. I don't know.</li> <li>Q Would a person of strike that.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>employee; it's based upon the knowledge of the employee on the team of the acquisitions/asset management team.</li> <li>Q So anybody on the team could do it?</li> <li>A Don't know. Don't know the answer to that question.</li> <li>Q I see. All right. Other than the case let me ask you this way. Are you aware of this Packard Square case and that the plaintiff, Can IV Packard Square, has sued my client for foreclosure to repossess the property?</li> <li>A I'm aware of the lawsuit.</li> <li>Q Okay. How many other foreclosure suits are pending by other Canyon entities at this time?</li> <li>A I don't know. I think that's a better question for general counsel.</li> <li>Q Except I'm not allowed to ask general counsel</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q And it doesn't matter who that is on a particular day or particular circumstance?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Okay. Do you recognize the initials in the legal and acquisition/asset manager boxes?</li> <li>A I don't know in the acquisition/asset</li> <li>management. Legal, again, looks like Marcus.</li> <li>Q Do you recognize the initials under the "legal" box?</li> <li>A I do not.</li> <li>Q And you're not familiar with the name, or initials, I should say, SM in acquisitions or asset management?</li> <li>A I'd have to go through the employee list. I don't know.</li> <li>Q Would a person of strike that. At what level of Canyon employee must you be to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>employee; it's based upon the knowledge of the employee on the team of the acquisitions/asset management team.</li> <li>Q So anybody on the team could do it?</li> <li>A Don't know. Don't know the answer to that question.</li> <li>Q I see. All right. Other than the case let</li> <li>me ask you this way. Are you aware of this Packard</li> <li>Square case and that the plaintiff, Can IV Packard</li> <li>Square, has sued my client for foreclosure to repossess the property?</li> <li>A I'm aware of the lawsuit.</li> <li>Q Okay. How many other foreclosure suits are pending by other Canyon entities at this time?</li> <li>A I don't know. I think that's a better question for general counsel.</li> <li>Q Except I'm not allowed to ask general counsel any questions. Is that how that works?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q And it doesn't matter who that is on a particular day or particular circumstance?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Okay. Do you recognize the initials in the legal and acquisition/asset manager boxes?</li> <li>A I don't know in the acquisition/asset</li> <li>management. Legal, again, looks like Marcus.</li> <li>Q Do you recognize the initials under the "legal" box?</li> <li>A I do not.</li> <li>Q And you're not familiar with the name, or initials, I should say, SM in acquisitions or asset management?</li> <li>A I'd have to go through the employee list. I don't know.</li> <li>Q Would a person of strike that.</li> <li>At what level of Canyon employee must you be to approve a letter for an authorized signatory to sign?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>employee; it's based upon the knowledge of the employee on the team of the acquisitions/asset management team.</li> <li>Q So anybody on the team could do it?</li> <li>A Don't know. Don't know the answer to that question.</li> <li>Q I see. All right. Other than the case let me ask you this way. Are you aware of this Packard Square case and that the plaintiff, Can IV Packard Square, has sued my client for foreclosure to repossess the property?</li> <li>A I'm aware of the lawsuit.</li> <li>Q Okay. How many other foreclosure suits are pending by other Canyon entities at this time?</li> <li>A I don't know. I think that's a better question for general counsel.</li> <li>Q Except I'm not allowed to ask general counsel any questions. Is that how that works?</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q And it doesn't matter who that is on a particular day or particular circumstance?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Okay. Do you recognize the initials in the legal and acquisition/asset manager boxes?</li> <li>A I don't know in the acquisition/asset</li> <li>management. Legal, again, looks like Marcus.</li> <li>Q Do you recognize the initials under the "legal" box?</li> <li>A I do not.</li> <li>Q And you're not familiar with the name, or initials, I should say, SM in acquisitions or asset management?</li> <li>A I'd have to go through the employee list. I don't know.</li> <li>Q Would a person of strike that. At what level of Canyon employee must you be to approve a letter for an authorized signatory to sign?</li> <li>A For this, it's just a requirement that someone</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>employee; it's based upon the knowledge of the employee on the team of the acquisitions/asset management team.</li> <li>Q So anybody on the team could do it?</li> <li>A Don't know. Don't know the answer to that question.</li> <li>Q I see. All right. Other than the case let</li> <li>me ask you this way. Are you aware of this Packard</li> <li>Square case and that the plaintiff, Can IV Packard</li> <li>Square, has sued my client for foreclosure to repossess the property?</li> <li>A I'm aware of the lawsuit.</li> <li>Q Okay. How many other foreclosure suits are pending by other Canyon entities at this time?</li> <li>A I don't know. I think that's a better question for general counsel.</li> <li>Q Except I'm not allowed to ask general counsel any questions. Is that how that works?</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q So are you familiar with any at the moment?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q And it doesn't matter who that is on a particular day or particular circumstance?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Okay. Do you recognize the initials in the legal and acquisition/asset manager boxes?</li> <li>A I don't know in the acquisition/asset</li> <li>management. Legal, again, looks like Marcus.</li> <li>Q Do you recognize the initials under the "legal" box?</li> <li>A I do not.</li> <li>Q And you're not familiar with the name, or initials, I should say, SM in acquisitions or asset management?</li> <li>A I'd have to go through the employee list. I don't know.</li> <li>Q Would a person of strike that. At what level of Canyon employee must you be to approve a letter for an authorized signatory to sign?</li> <li>A For this, it's just a requirement that someone in acquisitions/asset management is signing off on this.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>employee; it's based upon the knowledge of the employee on the team of the acquisitions/asset management team.</li> <li>Q So anybody on the team could do it?</li> <li>A Don't know. Don't know the answer to that question.</li> <li>Q I see. All right. Other than the case let</li> <li>me ask you this way. Are you aware of this Packard</li> <li>Square case and that the plaintiff, Can IV Packard</li> <li>Square, has sued my client for foreclosure to repossess the property?</li> <li>A I'm aware of the lawsuit.</li> <li>Q Okay. How many other foreclosure suits are pending by other Canyon entities at this time?</li> <li>A I don't know. I think that's a better question for general counsel.</li> <li>Q Except I'm not allowed to ask general counsel any questions. Is that how that works?</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q So are you familiar with any at the moment?</li> <li>A No, I don't have specific knowledge to other</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q And it doesn't matter who that is on a particular day or particular circumstance?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Okay. Do you recognize the initials in the legal and acquisition/asset manager boxes?</li> <li>A I don't know in the acquisition/asset</li> <li>management. Legal, again, looks like Marcus.</li> <li>Q Do you recognize the initials under the "legal" box?</li> <li>A I do not.</li> <li>Q And you're not familiar with the name, or initials, I should say, SM in acquisitions or asset management?</li> <li>A I'd have to go through the employee list. I don't know.</li> <li>Q Would a person of strike that. At what level of Canyon employee must you be to approve a letter for an authorized signatory to sign?</li> <li>A For this, it's just a requirement that someone in acquisitions/asset management is signing off on this.</li> <li>Q That wasn't my question. My question was, at</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>employee; it's based upon the knowledge of the employee on the team of the acquisitions/asset management team.</li> <li>Q So anybody on the team could do it?</li> <li>A Don't know. Don't know the answer to that question.</li> <li>Q I see. All right. Other than the case let me ask you this way. Are you aware of this Packard Square case and that the plaintiff, Can IV Packard Square, has sued my client for foreclosure to repossess the property?</li> <li>A I'm aware of the lawsuit.</li> <li>Q Okay. How many other foreclosure suits are pending by other Canyon entities at this time?</li> <li>A I don't know. I think that's a better question for general counsel.</li> <li>Q Except I'm not allowed to ask general counsel any questions. Is that how that works?</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q So are you familiar with any at the moment?</li> <li>A No, I don't have specific knowledge to other lawsuits.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q And it doesn't matter who that is on a particular day or particular circumstance?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Okay. Do you recognize the initials in the legal and acquisition/asset manager boxes?</li> <li>A I don't know in the acquisition/asset</li> <li>management. Legal, again, looks like Marcus.</li> <li>Q Do you recognize the initials under the "legal" box?</li> <li>A I do not.</li> <li>Q And you're not familiar with the name, or initials, I should say, SM in acquisitions or asset management?</li> <li>A I'd have to go through the employee list. I don't know.</li> <li>Q Would a person of strike that. At what level of Canyon employee must you be to approve a letter for an authorized signatory to sign?</li> <li>A For this, it's just a requirement that someone in acquisitions/asset management is signing off on this.</li> <li>Q That wasn't my question. My question was, at what level of employee do you have to be to present a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>employee; it's based upon the knowledge of the employee on the team of the acquisitions/asset management team.</li> <li>Q So anybody on the team could do it?</li> <li>A Don't know. Don't know the answer to that question.</li> <li>Q I see. All right. Other than the case let me ask you this way. Are you aware of this Packard Square case and that the plaintiff, Can IV Packard Square, has sued my client for foreclosure to repossess the property?</li> <li>A I'm aware of the lawsuit.</li> <li>Q Okay. How many other foreclosure suits are pending by other Canyon entities at this time?</li> <li>A I don't know. I think that's a better question for general counsel.</li> <li>Q Except I'm not allowed to ask general counsel any questions. Is that how that works?</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q So are you familiar with any at the moment?</li> <li>A No, I don't have specific knowledge to other lawsuits.</li> <li>Q Do you know how many foreclosure lawsuits there</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q And it doesn't matter who that is on a particular day or particular circumstance?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Okay. Do you recognize the initials in the legal and acquisition/asset manager boxes?</li> <li>A I don't know in the acquisition/asset</li> <li>management. Legal, again, looks like Marcus.</li> <li>Q Do you recognize the initials under the "legal" box?</li> <li>A I do not.</li> <li>Q And you're not familiar with the name, or initials, I should say, SM in acquisitions or asset management?</li> <li>A I'd have to go through the employee list. I don't know.</li> <li>Q Would a person of strike that.</li> <li>At what level of Canyon employee must you be to approve a letter for an authorized signatory to sign?</li> <li>A For this, it's just a requirement that someone in acquisitions/asset management is signing off on this.</li> <li>Q That wasn't my question. My question was, at what level of employee do you have to be to present a letter with your initial on it for an authorized</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>employee; it's based upon the knowledge of the employee on the team of the acquisitions/asset management team.</li> <li>Q So anybody on the team could do it?</li> <li>A Don't know. Don't know the answer to that question.</li> <li>Q I see. All right. Other than the case let me ask you this way. Are you aware of this Packard Square case and that the plaintiff, Can IV Packard Square, has sued my client for foreclosure to repossess the property?</li> <li>A I'm aware of the lawsuit.</li> <li>Q Okay. How many other foreclosure suits are pending by other Canyon entities at this time?</li> <li>A I don't know. I think that's a better question for general counsel.</li> <li>Q Except I'm not allowed to ask general counsel any questions. Is that how that works?</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q So are you familiar with any at the moment?</li> <li>A No, I don't have specific knowledge to other lawsuits.</li> <li>Q Do you know how many foreclosure lawsuits there have been in the 28 years you've been working at Canyon,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 3 24	<ul> <li>Q And it doesn't matter who that is on a particular day or particular circumstance?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Okay. Do you recognize the initials in the legal and acquisition/asset manager boxes?</li> <li>A I don't know in the acquisition/asset</li> <li>management. Legal, again, looks like Marcus.</li> <li>Q Do you recognize the initials under the "legal" box?</li> <li>A I do not.</li> <li>Q And you're not familiar with the name, or initials, I should say, SM in acquisitions or asset management?</li> <li>A I'd have to go through the employee list. I don't know.</li> <li>Q Would a person of strike that.</li> <li>At what level of Canyon employee must you be to approve a letter for an authorized signatory to sign?</li> <li>A For this, it's just a requirement that someone in acquisitions/asset management is signing off on this.</li> <li>Q That wasn't my question. My question was, at what level of employee do you have to be to present a letter with your initial on it for an authorized</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 24 25 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	<ul> <li>employee; it's based upon the knowledge of the employee on the team of the acquisitions/asset management team.</li> <li>Q So anybody on the team could do it?</li> <li>A Don't know. Don't know the answer to that question.</li> <li>Q I see. All right. Other than the case let me ask you this way. Are you aware of this Packard Square case and that the plaintiff, Can IV Packard Square, has sued my client for foreclosure to repossess the property?</li> <li>A I'm aware of the lawsuit.</li> <li>Q Okay. How many other foreclosure suits are pending by other Canyon entities at this time?</li> <li>A I don't know. I think that's a better question for general counsel.</li> <li>Q Except I'm not allowed to ask general counsel any questions. Is that how that works?</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q So are you familiar with any at the moment?</li> <li>A No, I don't have specific knowledge to other lawsuits.</li> <li>Q Do you know how many foreclosure lawsuits there have been in the 28 years you've been working at Canyon,</li> </ul>

II' I n HANSON RENAISSANCE hansonreporting.com

	John	Pla	aga
	09/20		018
1	Page 82	1	Page 83
1	A I know there have been some. I don't know how	2	
2	many.	3	
3	Q All right, sir, I appreciate it. Thank you,		
4	I'm done.	4	I declare under penalty of perjury under the
5	A Okay, thank you.	5	laws of the State of California that the foregoing
6	MR. DOLAN: No questions.	6	testimony is true and correct.
7	(Off record)	7	In witness whereof, I have hereunto subscribed
8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes today's	8	my name this day of,
9	deposition of John Plaga. The time is 11:46 a.m. We	9	20, at,
10	are now off the record.	10	
11	(At 11:46 a.m. the deposition of	11	
12	JOHN PLAGA was concluded.)	12	
		13	
13	-000-	14	
14			
15		15	JOHN PLAGA
16		16	
17		17	
18		18	
19		19	
20		20	
21		21	
22		22	
22		23	
		24	
24		25	
25		25	
1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA )		
	) ss:		
2	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES )		
3			
4	I, Lindsay Pinkham, a Certified Shorthand		
5	Reporter, CSR 3716, do hereby certify:		
б	That prior to being examined, the witness in the		
7	foregoing proceedings was by me duly sworn to testify to		
8	the truth, the whole truth, and nothing		
9	but the truth;		
10	That said proceedings were taken before me		
11	at the time and place therein set forth and were		
12	taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed		
13	into typewriting under my direction and supervision;		
14	I further certify that I am neither counsel for,		
15	nor related to, any party to said proceedings, nor in		
16	anywise interested in the outcome thereof.		
17	In witness thereof, I have hereunto		
18	subscribed my name.		
19			
20	Dated: September 27, 2018.		
21			
21			
22			
23	Lindsay Pinkham, CSR 3716		
24	LINGSAY PLIKIAM, CSK 5/10		
24			
25			
		I	

	71:7
\$	<b>20</b> 5:
<b>\$215,000</b> 51:4 75:14	2000
<b>\$38</b> 71:1	2015
<b>\$53,783,184</b> 51:1	<b>2016</b> 54:1
	73:5
	2017
- <b>000-</b> 82:13	2018
1	<b>21</b> 58 74:2
<b>1</b> 50:8,11,12 51:7 58:22 67:2 69:23	<b>22</b> 73
<b>10</b> 25:18 39:5,9,14,17,18,21 45:1,	<b>24</b> 64 <b>25</b> 48
<b>10:39</b> 48:19	2500
<b>10:47</b> 48:22	<b>26</b> 69
11 50:9	2653
<b>11:46</b> 82:9,11	2662
<b>12</b> 25:18	<b>28</b> 69
<b>14</b> 43:1	2869
<b>1485</b> 27:19,23	2894
<b>15</b> 25:12,20 26:3,5 37:2	2974
<b>1526</b> 32:11	
<b>1527</b> 32:16	
<b>1528</b> 26:16	<b>3</b> 53:
<b>1529</b> 27:15	<b>30</b> 51
<b>1550</b> 30:2	3008
16-990-CB 5:8	340 2
<b>17</b> 54:17 55:5 59:17	
<b>19</b> 60:2,15	
<b>1997</b> 48:1	<b>4</b> 54: 19 5
2	16,1 <b>45</b> 44
<b>2</b> 48:22 51:3,8,10,15 53:12,15	

2 5 1 3,8,10,15 53.12,15 56:17 57:4 59:11,13 68:11,16

John Plaga 09/20/2018 7,12 75:5,8 1,9 71:1 73:4,10,11,18,24,25 5:11 51:8,18,23 39:2 41:25 43:1 47:6 50:9 7 55:5,18 58:4,6 60:2,15 5,19 74:2 75:3 64:20 65:4 69:17 76:6 5:1,9 8:22 67:17 73:5,7,10,11,19 2 75:3 76:2,5,6 77:13 79:7,9 3:10,11 79:2,5,10,18 4:20 65:4 73:8 79:10 8:12 52:4,6 9:17 32:25 34:10 35:7 9:17 81:24 35:14 37:2 37:15 3 :15 54:15 61:12 74:4 75:22 1:8,18,23 25:25 38:8

28:20

### 4

:12,16,20,23 55:2 57:10,11, 58:2,3,12,14 59:4,11,13,14, 17 61:23,24 62:4 64:7 66:20

4:2

Index: \$215,000..absolute

5					
1,3,7,11,13 61:2,25 62:5 7 66:22,24					
52:2					
34:4					
34:11 35:9					
35:13					
37:3					
37:17					

**6** 64:19,23 65:2,22,23 66:1,2,5,17

6

67:23

# 7

<b>7</b> 68:24 69:5,7,8,10 71:8
<b>742</b> 27:20
<b>763</b> 32:8
<b>764</b> 25:24 26:14
<b>775</b> 30:1
<b>7:50</b> 43:1

**8** 44:24 45:4,7

**9** 39:5,8,14,17,18,21 42:22,24 44:25 45:8

8

9

**9:34** 5:2,10

# Α

a.m. 5:2,10 48:19,22 82:9,11 ability 74:12 absolute 72:11



acceleration 52:21 53:2 56:18 account 74:13,23 accountant 17:18 38:11 accounting 8:4 10:7 accounts 74:7 75:2 accurate 42:20 52:18 53:3 54:10 61:2,15 accurately 55:20 Acq 76:25 Acq/am 76:23 acquisition/asset 80:6,7 acquisitions 76:25 77:1 80:13 acquisitions/asset 76:24 80:21 81:3 acronym 21:21 27:5,13,17 32:13 33:3.6.9 acronym's 33:5 acronyms 32:9 37:4 action 8:9 22:14 41:13 52:20 activity 42:15,17 actual 64:13 68:7 address 14:16 afternoon 42:19 agree 22:24 27:16 55:4 68:13 agreement 28:21,23,24 29:3,13, 14,18 52:3 ahead 18:14 25:8 29:16 32:24 33:24 34:24 38:8 47:2 52:11 62:24 70:21 72:24 73:1 Alaquinez 45:16 **Albert** 41:23 all-caps 72:5 allegations 58:8 alleged 52:22 66:2 allotted 71:2 allowed 22:13 81:17

John Plaga 09/20/2018 amount 50:24 51:1,5 69:3,12 70:16 71:3 72:22 **Amy** 45:12 and/or 18:10 Anderson 21:20 37:17 Anderson's 37:19 Angeles 5:1,11 37:24 38:6 **Ann** 40:8 **Annex** 54:3,9 announces 43:4 answering 7:21 anticipated 44:17 Anything's 25:7 appearing 7:10 appointed 40:11 41:13 approval 77:12,13 approve 15:21 78:23 80:19 approximate 56:22 **approximately** 16:23 17:22 23:24.25 43:25 47:17 56:8 57:4 65:18 **Arbor** 40:8 Ariana 6:6 asks 43:17 aspects 44:14 assessment 18:14 45:24 55:10 asset 77:1 80:13 assigned 68:12 assistant 20:3 45:15 63:23 assumes 9:8 13:17 44:6 assuming 16:19 42:13 assumption 46:24 Attached] 66:21 attention 6:10 attorney 26:7 33:20 36:20,25

Index: acceleration..bears 37:21,23 46:17,19,22 47:2 64:14,17 attorney's 46:17 64:16 attorneys 26:10 34:6,11 36:14 64:13 audibly 7:11 audio 59:4 August 54:16 55:5,17 58:4 59:16 Austin 50:8 author 18:12 authored 36:24 authority 22:11 78:19,21,22 authorized 11:18,24 12:1,4,5,7, 10,14,20,21 13:7 16:12,21,25 17:9,23 19:1,13 26:22 53:5 76:14 77:6,19,25 78:8,19,22,24 79:11,15,20,25 80:1,19,24 authors 16:20 Avenue 5:11 avoid 43:18 awaiting 7:1 aware 12:16 13:23 14:5 15:1 16:1 24:10,14 26:24 27:24 32:14,20 33:25 34:19 35:1,4 36:22,23 41:11,20 47:13,16,18 48:14 50:19 51:24 60:20 81:8,12 В back 16:10 21:8 48:21 57:10,16, 17 58:4.6 61:23 63:5 balance 24:17 Bank 79:7

> **based** 54:6 55:16 64:15 66:24 69:23 74:10,22 75:18 76:18 81:1,2

basis 70:10

**Bates** 39:9

bears 69:17

RENAISSANCE hansonreporting.com 313.567.8100

COURT REPORTERS & VIDEO

**behalf** 5:18,22 10:20,25 11:8,11 12:3 16:24 62:9 65:16 75:24 76:16 Ben 25:22 27:10 52:24 73:3 beneath 32:2 Benjamin 5:22 Berriz 41:23 bind 12:2.21 80:25 bit 13:20 38:23 45:7 board 13:11 **bold** 60:17 72:5 books 8:4 borrower 11:7,10 18:17 43:19,22 52:2 70:15 74:14 borrowers 10:20 11:1 81:25 bother 32:23 bottom 25:23.24 26:15 28:20 32:25 35:8 67:1 68:16 **box** 68:19 71:12,16,20,21 76:17, 21 77:2 80:10 boxes 59:3,9,19 61:12 63:6 64:4 80:6 breached 52:2 break 48:16 breaking 59:7 briefly 48:24 bring 63:16,21 79:21 brings 63:24 briskly 6:24 broad 47:10 **Brown** 22:22 **built** 44:15 business 21:10 23:4 33:13 53:7 77:15 70:19

begins 5:4

businessperson 20:12

С calculate 65:20 California 5:1,12 call 73:4 called 13:11 69:11 calls 13:2 29:6 46:14 55:23 70:17 **Canyon** 6:18 7:24 8:7.8,10,14 10:20 11:1,8,11 12:3,15 13:24 15:6,15 16:22,25 17:8,23 19:14 20:6 21:10,16 23:9 24:6,10 27:4, 13,17,24 28:2,6,7,11,12,15 29:3, 22 30:6 31:5,6,12,14 32:3,13,20 33:10 34:22 35:12,22 37:9,12,19 38:17.20 46:12 47:9.14.19 48:3. 6 49:1,17,18,20 60:18 65:16 67:3,9 72:21 80:18 81:14,24 Canyon's 23:4 case 5:8 22:13 26:9 53:12 81:7,9 cash 74:13 causing 52:5 caution 13:4 cetera 39:25 **chain** 45:9 chance 39:6 60:6,11 64:21 65:1 change 77:18 78:1 characterize 60:18 characterizing 55:20 charge 23:23 **chase** 13:19 check 61:6 74:20 75:6 checked 75:11,12,13 checking 58:7 chief 7:25 8:10,13 10:16 21:20 31:18 37:20 47:12,24 67:16 Circuit 5:7

Index: begins..construction circumstance 18:9 79:19 80:3 circumstances 18:7 79:17 citi.com. 45:19 **City** 79:6 claims 61:6 clarification 11:3 class 19:17 classes 56:19 clear 60:25 65:8,9 client 26:9 46:13 47:5 81:10 **close** 40:7 column 26:15 30:3 33:2 35:9 37:5 committee 13:11,12,15,24 14:2, 6,10,11,12,15,19,21,23,25 15:5, 8,10,18,19,20,21 16:2 20:20 committees 15:14 common 67:3 77:25 communicated 13:5 communication 46:15 companies 9:2 12:3 company 12:3,22 15:10 23:1 27:8 31:18 80:25 completion 43:15 44:17 compliance 21:20 37:20 38:3 concluded 82:12 concludes 82:8 conclusion 29:6 55:23 70:18 condition 43:9 conference 11:22 confirm 54:4 62:5 70:1 confuse 6:23 considered 12:20 64:3 considers 15:20 construction 40:9 42:10 43:9 54:15



313.567.8100

John Plaga Index: consulted..discussion 09/20/2018 consulted 47:3 **CPRE-VMF** 37:5 9,13,14 75:25 contact 21:24,25 22:4 Craig 6:8 76:6 department-head-level-type 17:5 content 24:14 31:1 34:18 crazy 48:15 depends 18:6,15,19 19:3 contents 30:24,25 60:24 created 26:9 deposed 13:19 context 19:25 20:1 28:10 34:17 **CUOS** 33:3 63:12 deposition 5:5,10,21 7:6 25:20 **cut** 13:19 39:18 45:4 48:22 50:12 51:10 contractually 12:22 54:20 60:3 64:23 69:5 73:11 D controller 8:25 10:3 17:17 82:9.11 controller's 9:6 depositions 7:16 **D-E-C-A-R-O** 23:12 conversation 46:16 deputy 38:2,3 dash 39:8,9 copied 34:7,11,14 35:20 describe 9:25 11:25 17:7 42:1 date 5:9 44:17 66:10 65:12 70:9 copy 71:19 72:4 76:19 dated 43:1 50:8 51:8,18 54:16 description 10:5,6 26:15 33:6 corner 25:24 35:8 37:15 55:5 60:2,15 64:20 65:4 69:16 73:4,19 74:1 76:6 79:7 descriptions 26:11 Corp 30:3,10,14 31:2,5,19 day 17:22 42:17 56:14,16 63:16 designed 22:14 correct 8:14,15 16:15,17 17:16 79:23 80:3 26:7 27:4,11,18 28:9,17 29:2 desk 63:15 38:4.18 39:4 40:12 46:2 50:2 days 18:2 79:7 detailed 45:8 51:17,19 55:7,8 56:4 57:9 59:1, deal 21:10,12 details 14:7 21,22 61:15,16 64:9,10 65:6,7 69:15,18 73:9 74:1,4,5,7,14,21 dealt 41:18 determination 20:20 75:7,17 76:1,7,8 77:3 79:5,7,15 **Decaro** 23:10 Detroit 40:6 80:4 decision 77:15 Dickinson 6:7 correctly 54:2 default 10:9,13 18:16 19:18,20, difference 12:23 costs 69:12 75:14 21,23,24 20:9,10,19,21 46:13 difficult 17:24 75:22 76:18 counsel 5:15 6:18 13:5 18:10 47:5 48:7 55:10,20 56:8,17,23 20:3,5,13 38:2,3 63:24,25 77:17, 60:21 diligence 18:25 18 81:16,17 defendant 5:18 6:9 direct 16:3 counsel's 20:2 defendants 5:13 directions 7:4 counsels 53:24 define 19:19 57:6 66:22 directly 9:1 21:11,13 49:5,8,11 counterplaintiff 5:19,20 defined 76:9 70:11 County 5:8 **Deidre** 23:10 disagree 70:20 **couple** 16:5 26:10 32:9 34:6 **disc** 48:22 delay 7:2 37:14 64:8 delayed 7:1 discovery 22:13,14 court 5:7,24 7:7 22:10 23:7 30:19 delivery 70:14 discretion 72:12 court's 22:15 delve 62:20 discuss 44:4,17 court-appointed 41:12 discussed 30:20 44:12,13 59:11 demand 58:22 76:10 CPRE 35:9 37:7 department 21:15 62:2,10 64:5, discussion 44:20



document 26:15 30:18,20 35:8, 16 36:7 37:3 39:11 53:11 55:12 57:10.11.12.19.20.21 60:10 63:11 64:25 66:7,8,12,22 67:3, 22 68:1,2,3 69:9,11 73:23 74:6 76:4 78:24

documents 9:22 10:2 12:2 22:18 24:6 33:3 35:21 36:1 63:12 73:5

**Dolan** 5:22 6:17 9:7,11 10:17,21 11:2 13:1,16,21 16:7 22:2,7,11, 12,20 23:2 24:24 25:2,7,13,18 26:1 27:11 29:5,16 30:17 31:8, 13,21 33:22 34:23 36:15 39:5, 11,14 40:13,16,20 41:1 42:7 44:5,24 45:2 46:14,23 47:1 48:16 52:7 54:13,18 55:16,22 57:14,17 59:13,15 62:14,18,22 66:11,14,16 67:13,19 68:25 70:17,20 71:5 72:23 73:7,15 82:6

door 16:8

Doug 21:19 37:17,19

draft 16:14,15 20:15

drafting 20:17

due 18:25

Duke 27:23 28:6,7,13,15

duly 6:2

Ε

earlier 35:25 56:4 79:14

effort 23:4

electronic 72:1

email 33:20 34:4,5,10,18,21 35:20 36:10 40:19,21 41:5 42:6, 18.25 44:25 45:9 46:20 49:23

emailed 40:23,24 49:5,8,11,14, 21

emailing 40:15,17

emails 33:17,24 36:12,19,22 39:8,12,13,23 40:15 45:24 48:25

employee 80:15,18,23 81:2

John Plaga 09/20/2018

employees 34:22 encompassed 71:8

end 23:25

ensure 43:21 63:1 74:20

entities 8:7,12 10:8 12:15 27:24 29:24 31:7 47:9,15 48:3,6 81:14

entitled 74:6

entity 8:19,22 9:5 11:11,19 27:4 28:2,6,7,11,12,15,25 29:23 30:6 31:12,14 32:3,13,20 33:8,10,12 37:12 41:15 45:17,21 65:16

erroneous 28:16

established 9:9,13 31:9

estate 8:8,14,25 9:1,2,6 10:3,7 15:4,7,14,18 19:25 20:1,6 29:20, 23 35:12 37:9

event-of-default 56:1,2

everybody's 44:10

Evidence 7:8

**EXAMINATION** 6:12

examined 6:3

examining 60:10 64:25 69:9 73:23 76:4

Excellent 73:25

excerpted 26:8

executive 18:11

exhibit 25:12,20 26:3,5 37:2 42:22,24 44:24 45:4,7 49:24 50:8,12 51:7,8,10,15 53:12,15 54:4,12,20,23 55:2 56:17 57:4, 10,11,19 58:2,3,12,14 59:4,11, 13,14,16 60:1,3,7,11,13 61:2,23, 24,25 62:4,5 64:7,19,23 65:2,22, 23 66:1,2,5,9,17,20 67:23 68:11, 24 69:5,10 70:5 71:8 73:18,24, 25 76:2,5 77:13 79:2,5,7,9,10,18

exhibits 7:2 16:6 39:5,18 50:7 73:4,11

existed 61:7

Index: document..financials

existence 13:23 47:14

expect 26:5

expenses 51:4 75:15

explain 76:13

extent 10:22 13:2 18:24 29:6 30:18 43:12 46:14 55:22 62:19 63:1

### F

F-U-R-U-T-A-N-I 23:20

fact 52:10 55:4 62:6 64:12 66:22 74:14

facts 9:8 50:20 51:22 52:9,17,22 53:2 58:2,4,14,17,18,19 61:1 65:23 66:1 69:25 74:20

failing 52:3

fair 15:12 18:14 43:16 45:24 46:23 54:9 55:10 58:25 60:13 67:24 76:23

fall 39:2 47:6

familiar 14:14 19:21 33:5 36:6. 12,18 47:8 50:20 51:21 52:8,21 55:14 58:17,19 66:7 71:18 80:12 81:20

familiarity 41:15

familiarize 55:13

fashion 8:24

February 73:7,8 76:6 79:9,10

fight 22:21

figure 50:25

figures 54:5 75:5,14

**filed** 40:11

filing 47:4

finally 7:15

financial 7:25 8:10,13 10:7,16 21:10 29:11 31:18 47:13,24 67:17 77:16

financials 8:4



313.567.8100

find 22:17 fine 42:24 finish 44:22 finished 50:15 firm 6:7 16:22 firm's 8:4 flip 66:5 Flipping 38:8 folks 17:5 follow 69:14 foreclosure 48:7 81:10,13,23,25 forget 37:7 form 9:8 10:21 13:16 44:5 52:8 72:24 format 67:3 formed 52:12 forward 16:10 found 26:1 foundation 24:24 31:9,21 33:22 34:23 36:15 40:13 70:17 fourth 59:2.8 free 55:24 frequent 70:9 frequently 70:8 Friday 42:19 Friedman 12:17 15:2 33:20,23 34:5,20 36:13,19 49:14 front 22:22 23:6 45:7 fund 17:18 28:4 33:15 funds 26:17,20,23 27:1 30:4,15 31:3,20 32:6 Furutani 23:17

G

Gathering 42:5

John Plaga 09/20/2018 gave 63:18 general 6:18 10:19 20:13 38:2,3 63:24,25 77:17,18 81:16,17 generally 19:24 20:16 gentlemen 42:3 44:9 Gerald 12:19 13:7 42:25 49:8 53:23 59:21 61:18 68:13,15 71:23 75:22 76:13 77:5,12 78:9, 18.21 Gerald's 77:12 GG 53:18 59:20 Ginger 38:1 give 20:15 43:6 73:3 78:21 glass 73:14 Goldman 12:19,25 13:7 42:25 43:5,23 49:8 53:23 59:21 61:18 63:16 68:13,15 76:13 77:6,22 78:1,9,18,22 Goldman's 71:23 **good** 5:4,17 28:18 68:23 gosh 23:18 Gothic 30:3,6,14 31:2,5,11,19 32:17 Gothic's 31:13 granular 14:7 great 7:23 16:9 24:4 35:13 39:17 group 9:2 21:17 23:9,23 guaranteed 76:10 guess 25:10 42:7 43:14 48:13 60:23 guessing 18:21 46:20 56:25 н

handful 18:2 Hanson 5:14 happening 7:3 happy 7:14

RENAISSANCE hansonreporting.com

COURT REPORTERS & VIDEO

313.567.8100

Index: find..information harass 23:4 hard 24:9 53:18 71:17,19 72:4 harm 23:4 hear 57:14 heard 41:21 history 47:8 hockey 40:6,7 hold 48:14 66:12,14 hoop 13:22 hope 7:4 hour 44:2 HSP 32:17,18 huh-uhs 7:12 hundred 17:2 56:11 57:1

ice 40:6,7

idea 17:1 26:21 31:24 32:5 33:12 46:8 48:9 54:9 57:8 66:1

L

ideas 15:19,20,22

identification 25:21 39:19 45:5 50:13 51:11 54:21 60:4 64:24 69:6 73:12

identified 15:25

identify 5:15 26:6 64:17

imagining 67:23

improperly 52:12

include 24:21 33:20 34:21 36:14, 24 46:21

included 36:21 51:5

incomplete 43:11,13

Incorporated 41:16

incurred 75:15

Indemnity 22:25 27:7

information 13:2 24:21

informed 51:25 52:10

initial 53:10 59:21,23 62:9 63:3 80:24

initialed 62:6 63:10 75:19

initials 53:10,14,17 61:12,17 63:9 64:12 68:18 71:12.15 76:18 80:5,9,13

inquired 52:17

insight 42:23

instances 78:23

institute 46:12

instruct 22:3,8 30:21 46:18

Insurance 22:25 27:7

interest 28:25

interested 69:13.14

interesting 40:8

interference 59:4

internal 18:10 20:2,3,13 53:24 63:25

interrupt 6:17

invest 29:14

investigation 18:25

investment 13:12,14,24 15:5,7, 10,13,18,19,20 16:1,2 20:20 21:9,15 24:4,17 29:1,4,15,18,20, 21

investments 15:15 33:16

investor 21:17 23:8 26:25 29:4 35:17 36:5

investors 21:11,12 22:9,18,19 23:3 24:7,11,21 26:16,19,23 32:17 35:22 36:1

involved 9:5.11 15:15 20:17 24:23 26:19 29:25 48:4 70:11

involvement 9:19 21:9,14

involving 36:23 47:14

issue 6:25 7:2,15 10:24,25 11:3,9 21:13 50:1 52:20

09/20/2018 issued 11:7 67:8 issues 14:16 46:17 issuing 47:5 item 26:16 items 24:20 25:6 26:11 IV 5:6.22 8:9.17 10:17 22:21 29:22 39:8 51:18 55:5 60:14 65:5 69:16 74:2 76:5 79:6 81:9 J January 23:25 64:20 65:4 69:16, 17 **JBD** 32:10 Jennifer 45:16 **job** 10:5,6 John 5:5 6:1,16 48:22 56:9 82:9, 12 **Johnson** 45:12 joined 11:22 Jonathan 6:18 12:17 **Josh** 12:17 15:2 36:13 Joshua 33:19 36:19 49:14 Judge 22:22 Julis 12:18 15:3 34:10,20 36:13, 20 49:12 July 58:22 jump 13:22 32:24 73:1

John Plaga

# Κ

Kaplan 6:18 12:17 79:15

kind 42:15

knowing 31:14

knowledge 16:3 24:8 51:3 58:13 61:1 64:12 77:20 78:2 81:2,21

Kyle 5:13 23:17,23

Index: informed..letters

L **L.A.** 38:15 lack 21:16 laq 7:18 language 60:17 67:1 72:16,17 Law 6:7 lawsuit 22:21 23:5 40:10 41:2 46:12,13 47:5 81:12 lawsuits 47:18 48:3 81:22,23 lawyer 62:9 lawyers 34:21 42:11 layman's 29:11 leave 38:19 left 37:4 left-hand 30:2 legal 17:21 18:10 19:10 29:6 53:6 55:23 59:20,23 62:2,10 64:5,9, 13,14 68:11,19 70:18 71:12,15, 21 75:25 76:17,19 80:6,8,9 lender 72:11 75:15 lender's 51:4 letter 11:7,10,12,13,14,16,20 12:8,24 16:11,12,20,24 17:14,19 18:6,8,15,16,20 19:3,4,18,20,21, 24 20:1,17,19,21,24 21:4 26:16, 19,25 30:3,9,10,11,12,14,15,24 31:1,3,4,10,15,19 32:2,6,17 47:5 50:8,18,21 51:8,17,21,22 52:14, 16,17 53:3,6,8 54:5,6,16 55:5, 10,21 56:1,18 58:5,8,9,20,23,24 59:17 60:2,14,19,21,22,24 61:7, 11 62:4,11 64:19 65:4,10,13,15 68:7,8 69:15,20,22 70:1,6 71:8, 13 72:9,17 73:3,19 74:1,10,12, 16,21,24 75:3,6,7,19,20 76:5,9, 14,17 77:8,23 79:6,12,18,24

letters 10:9,13,15,20,25 12:14 13:10 16:15 17:8,22 18:23 19:1, 7,8,11,12,18,23 20:14 26:22

80:19,24

313.567.8100



56:3,8,13,16,17,19,23 57:3 61:3 62:20 63:2,15,17,18,20 65:18 71:20 77:3,5,12 78:8 79:22

level 80:18,23 81:1

levels 20:23

lien 61:6

Life 21:22

Lindsay 5:25

lines 64:8

list 46:25 47:21 80:15

litigation 24:23 47:8,13,16

**LLC** 5:6,20 8:9,18 51:18,19 55:6 60:14,15 65:5 69:16 74:2,3

loan 24:22 50:24 51:1 52:3 54:15 72:22

loans 24:7 35:23

log 25:16 26:8 30:19

long 23:21 24:2 38:19 43:25 44:20 47:24 48:2

longer 16:2 38:17

- looked 64:7 66:4 73:23
- Los 5:1,11 37:24 38:6

Loskamp 5:13

lower 27:20 37:15

## Μ

made 5:12 43:21 64:12 72:13
Main 8:3
make 20:20 39:23 43:24 45:9 48:15 53:2 61:14 62:20 67:15 77:15
makes 33:15 66:21 78:14
management 80:8,14,21 81:3
management's 77:2

manager 76:24 80:6

manager 70.2400.0

Mansfeld 10:4 38:9 45:13

John Plaga 09/20/2018 Manteghi 38:10

March 51:8,18,23

68:20,21 80:8

Marcus 11:22 61:18,19 63:8

Maria 15:2 34:10 49:5 76:21

Maria's 76:19 77:13

mark 39:16 45:3 50:11

**marked** 25:20 26:4,14 27:20 39:19 45:4,7 50:12 51:9,10 54:20 60:3 64:21,23 69:5,7 73:12

### marketing 17:19

**Mason** 40:25 41:5,9,11,19 42:25 43:5 44:3,6,8 49:25

Matt 6:17 16:7

matter 5:5 21:14 46:8 72:21 80:2

mattered 72:8

Matthew 5:18 6:21 40:24 42:25 44:8 49:25

Mckinley 41:16,18 69:16

means 74:9 76:23

meant 29:11

**meeting** 44:7,9,21 45:23 46:2,4, 5,9,21 47:4

members 14:15,16

mentioned 19:10 20:5

met 42:3,4

Michigan 5:77:7

middle 72:9

Miller 5:17,18 6:5,13,20,21 9:10, 14 10:19,23 11:6,21 13:9,18,22 16:9 22:5,11,17,23 23:8 24:25 25:5,11,15,22 26:3 27:12 29:9, 19 30:23 31:11,16,24 33:25 34:3 35:1 36:18 39:13,16,20 40:14, 18,22 42:9 44:7 45:3,6 46:19,25 47:3 48:18,24 50:11,14 51:12 52:13 54:15,19,22 55:19 56:2 58:1 59:7,10,14,16 60:6 62:16, 19 63:1 65:1 66:13,15,17 67:15, **Index: level..notices** 20 69:2,7,10 70:19,24 71:7 73:1, 9,17

million 71:1

mind 7:21 11:5 44:25 57:17

minute 16:8

minutes 44:2

Mitch 12:17 15:3 34:10

Mitchell 36:13,20 49:11

moment 12:14 18:23 39:20 45:8 50:14 51:12 76:3 81:20

moments 27:6

money 70:15 71:3 72:21

monitor 5:10

month 56:24 57:5 65:19

monthly 70:10

morning 5:4,17,21 7:1

motion 23:6

mouthful 8:18 move 16:9 23:5 51:7 55:9 73:13

moving 6:24 7:5

### Ν

names 14:22,25 32:22 42:5,10 National 79:6 nature 19:4 24:19 necessarily 20:23 Neupert 11:22 61:19 63:16 68:21 Neupert's 63:8 normal 18:22,24 52:20 Norman 38:1 note 6:6 11:21 34:5 67:2 79:10 notice 7:9 52:21 53:1 55:10

58:23 69:2,11 74:7,23 75:2

notice-of-acceleration 57:3

notices 20:9,10



**Notify** 74:14

notifying 74:12

November 41:25 43:1 50:9

**number** 5:8 14:15,20 25:23 39:9, 12 47:20,22 48:9 56:15 65:20

numbered 25:15 37:3 39:8

numbers 25:14 39:6 54:10

numerous 16:20

# 0

**object** 9:7 10:21 13:1,16 22:2,7 23:2 24:24 29:5 30:17,21 31:8, 21 33:22 34:23 36:15 40:13 44:5 46:14 52:7 55:22 62:22 70:17 72:23

objected 27:10

objection 29:16 30:25 71:5

obligation 76:10

occurred 46:6,7

October 60:2,15 73:5,7,19 74:1 75:3

October-november 39:2

office 37:24 38:5,15 63:19 79:22

officer 7:25 8:10,14 10:16 21:20 31:18 37:20 38:3 47:13,25 67:17 77:16

onsite 43:19

opinion 43:13 46:11 72:20

opportunity 55:1

opposed 15:14

order 22:10,16 41:5 73:10

original 31:17 50:24

oversee 8:3

overseeing 10:6

Ρ

**p.m.** 43:1

### John Plaga 09/20/2018

Packard 5:6,20,23 8:9,16,17 9:4, 16,20 10:17 12:8 22:21 26:16 29:22 30:3,14 31:2,4,19 32:5,17 35:17,22 36:5,23 38:13,22,24 42:2 49:1,5,9,12,15,18,21 51:18, 19 55:5,6 60:14 65:5 69:16 74:2 76:5 79:6 81:8,9

pages 25:18 26:8 32:23 37:14 66:18 69:13,14 74:3

**paragraph** 50:23 51:3 52:1 72:5 75:16

part 20:6

participate 6:9

participated 8:24

participating 46:4

participation 28:20,23,24,25 29:12,17,21

parties 29:21

Partners 7:24 8:7,8,14 15:6 29:23 35:12 37:9

party 46:1

pay 70:15 71:1,3 72:21

paying 6:10

payment 58:22 72:12,14 76:10

**payoff** 65:14,18 66:21,22,25 67:2,5,8,11,18,24 68:4,6,7 69:3, 24 70:4,8,12,14,16 71:3

Pellegrino 6:6

pending 47:18 81:14

**people** 13:18 14:3,18,20,22 15:1, 24,25 16:23 17:3,8,11,13 18:13 19:7 41:18 42:10 44:12,21 47:4 49:17,20 53:22 61:11 63:7,21 64:4 75:19,21 79:21

percentage 43:14

perfect 24:4

perform 18:25 52:4

performing 24:22

person 7:20 10:9 11:15,18 20:11 21:24,25 22:4 38:19 53:7 62:1,5 63:5

Index: Notify..previously 63:22 72:13 80:17 person's 38:12 personal 58:13 personally 9:19 21:5 52:21 56:9 persons 72:13 pertains 8:7,16,19 9:6 Philadelphia 22:25 27:7 **phone** 48:14 **Pich** 45:14,15 **PIIC** 22:25 26:16,18 27:3,13 Pinkham 5:25 place 5:11 34:1 42:15 Plaga 5:5 6:1,16 13:23 39:20 48:23 52:23 56:9 73:18 82:9,12 plaintiff 5:23 8:9 81:9 plays 40:6 **point** 20:4 portion 25:16 position 7:23 23:14 **Potts** 15:2 practice 18:22,24 Pratt 45:14,15 preceded 23:16 44:25 prefer 10:23 18:19 preparation 70:12 Prepayment 69:3,12 presence 63:17 present 5:15 16:20,24 17:8,11,14 19:24 80:23 presented 16:13 20:2 26:8 49:24 57:12,22 61:11 69:11 presenting 19:4 presents 16:16 17:7 previously 16:1 24:2 54:7 59:10



prior 19:1,7 34:19 57:11,20 61:3 64:1 67:9 70:5 77:11

privilege 25:16 26:8 30:19

privileged 13:2 31:7 46:15

problem 7:18 66:15

procedure 20:12

process 43:10 64:15

produce 47:21

project 9:16,20,23 11:19 12:9 38:13 42:2 43:6,7,11 44:1,14,18 49:2,6,9,12,15,18,22

pronouncing 54:2

properly 62:21 63:2

property 52:4,5 81:11

proposal 35:17 36:5

propose 15:19

protocol 19:6 33:19 34:1,19 35:2,4,5,6

provided 75:19

**PSA** 52:4,6

purportedly 62:6

purpose 14:11 31:19 33:13 46:8 65:12

purposes 19:13

pursuant 7:9

put 61:11 62:9 72:16

Q

quarterlies 36:2

quarterly 24:11,12,15

question 7:12,14 9:8,18 10:11,22 13:16 19:15 21:13 22:2,7 23:22 27:9 29:8 30:21 31:17 34:19 36:17 44:5 47:10,11 52:8,12,25 57:14,25 58:10 62:25 64:6 67:14 68:5 70:19 72:15,24 77:21 80:22 81:6,15

John Plaga 09/20/2018

questioned 64:2

questioning 64:3

questions 6:22 7:4,11,21 23:3 26:11 39:22 81:18 82:6

quickly 7:5

# R

Ramin 38:10,11,15

reached 41:4

read 18:4,7 40:14,21 45:9 51:12 52:16 55:13,15 57:15,18 58:12 60:24

reading 45:11 52:14 55:17 57:17 74:10

**ready** 51:13

real 8:8,14,25 9:1,2,6 10:3,7 15:4,7,14,18 19:24 20:1,6 29:20, 23 35:12 37:9 71:25 72:3

Realization 28:4

reason 7:6 77:11

reasonable 70:14

reasons 78:15,16

recall 10:1 33:8 41:14,22,24 42:4,9 44:7,9,10,23 46:4 49:23 52:19 55:11 57:24 58:11 61:9 63:12,18 65:24 68:2,3,6,9 69:20 70:3,7 74:18 75:1 77:24 78:10, 25 79:1

recalling 42:21 receive 24:16 62:4 received 36:19 receiver 40:11 41:12 **Receiver's** 69:2.11 receivership 42:11 recently 23:14 **Recess** 48:20 recognize 27:5 65:10 68:18 71:15,21 80:5,9

Index: prior..repayment recollection 36:9 39:22 42:12 43:8 50:4 55:17 58:3,7,24 record 6:6,15 11:21 25:19 48:19, 21 57:18 73:16 82:7,10 records 8:5 10:7 refer 19:18 reference 32:16 66:21 69:23 referenced 35:16 referencing 62:1 referred 58:21 referring 45:21 53:14 refers 30:3,9 36:7 refresh 39:21 58:3 regard 79:22 regularly 56:3 reinvestment 26:17,20 27:1 30:4.15 31:3.20 32:6 reinvestments 26:23 reject 15:21 related 9:22 10:17,18 relates 12:8 29:18 relating 29:4 35:22 49:1,9,15 relation 41:2 relations 21:15,17 23:8 relative 13:4 38:13 47:4 49:21 relevance 22:4 relevant 17:10 Reliance 21:22 **relied** 61:14 rely 18:12 relying 63:4 remember 7:10 41:3 56:15 remembers 40:20 remotely 6:8 11:22 repayment 66:10



313.567.8100

repeat 7:13 29:8 36:17 52:24 59:5 62:25 64:6 68:5 77:21 repeated 32:22 rephrase 7:13 9:18 34:18 report 21:18,19 25:6 reporter 5:24 57:15 59:5 Reporters 5:14 reports 24:11,12,13,15,18,19 25:10 38:11 repossess 81:10 represent 5:16 representative 6:8 41:12 representing 5:14 64:8 request 5:12 43:21,24 46:17 requirement 80:20 requires 21:14 **Reserve** 69:12 respect 8:22 17:19 20:8,9 responsibilities 8:1,3,6,20 38:12 responsibility 9:4 responsible 9:1 11:18,19 return 72:12 review 13:8 18:4,8,17 19:7 20:13 39:21 50:14 51:12 54:4,23 55:1 60:6,11 64:22 65:1,22 67:25 70:4 73:18 74:17 **reviewed** 18:10,11 20:5,7,11 26:25 35:21 36:1 50:15 51:15 53:6,8,9 57:11,20 58:2,4,5 60:12 62:11 63:7 65:25 68:7 69:7,25 76:3 reviews 19:11 right-hand 25:23 27:20 35:8 37:15 76:21 right-to-stop-work 58:23 **Robin** 15:2 role 8:2 9:3,5,6,12,16 10:16 11:17,23,25 12:1 37:19 53:5

John Plaga 09/20/2018 roles 8:20,21,22,23 11:25 Ronald 41:21 **room** 6:19 rooms 44:15 **Roshan** 53:25 **RS** 53:20 **RSL** 21:21,25 22:4 27:16,23 ruled 30:19.20 **Rules** 7:7 runs 23:8

### S

sat 16:1 schedule 45:23 Schubiner 6:8 76:6 scope 22:13 section 52:2 send 10:9,13,15,19,22 22:5,6,8 24:6,12 53:1 56:23 57:4 sends 24:10 33:21,24 34:22 senior 18:11 sentence 43:17 September 5:1,9 71:1 set 37:4 51:22 58:2,8,14 61:1 65:23 70:16 74:20 set-off 75:15 share 11:24 Sheriden 10:4 38:9,11 45:13 **short** 18:1 shoulders 7:11 **showed** 44:13,14

sign 10:25 11:3 12:2,24,25 13:7

16:17,21,24 17:15,23 18:4,13, 14,18 19:11,13 26:22 52:14 53:4,5,8 56:2,5,7,8,13,20 58:9 62:21 63:3,17,18,20,24 64:18 65:15,19 77:2,13 78:19,24 79:17 80:1,19

Index: repeat...situation

signator 80:25

signatories 12:6,10

- signatory 11:18,24 12:1,4,7,15, 20,21 16:12,21,25 17:9,23 19:2 26:22 76:14 77:6,9,19,25 78:8, 19,22 79:12,15 80:19
- signature 16:11 17:9 21:2 55:6 64:16 65:6 68:12 69:18 71:8,25 72:1,2,3 74:3 75:23 76:20

signatures 63:13,15 64:4

signed 9:22 11:13,14 12:8,11 17:25 18:8 20:10 21:5 51:19.23 54:6 57:13,23 58:6 60:15 61:3,8, 15 63:6,21 65:22 67:25 68:12 70:2 72:6,9,17 74:16,21 75:6,24 76:16 77:11 78:9

signer 19:14 53:5 78:24 79:23 80:1

signers 79:20,25

signing 19:1,7 53:3 54:5 57:12, 21 58:8 68:8 70:5 80:21

sians 11:15 13:8

similar 28:12 61:24

simply 31:2

single 46:19

- sir 6:5,14,21 7:23 9:15 11:23 22:24 26:4 40:19 45:6 48:24 50:14 54:22 66:18 67:20 82:3
- **sit** 13:11 16:2 27:12 54:8 58:1,12 65:25 75:16
- site 38:24 40:3,9 41:5,6,10,25 42:16 43:22 44:8

sits 13:14 14:1

situation 29:20 78:25



side 21:10 27:20 37:4

showing 26:4

shrugs 7:11

**Sidley** 50:8

313.567.8100

**SM** 80:13 **SNCC** 32:2,6 sole 72:11 Somebody's 45:23 **son** 40:6 Sonthalia 53:25 sort 7:19 sounds 30:8 speak 7:20 specific 8:13,20,21 10:2,11 14:20 18:19,23 19:25 21:13 24:8,18 25:3,9 29:1 39:22 42:5 43:24 47:11 58:19 63:11,12 68:2,3,6 78:15 81:21 **specifically** 10:1,13 13:6,8 19:14 20:10 24:18 29:24 33:9 41:14 49:3 55:15 64:18 specifics 36:6 spell 23:11,18 split 11:4 **Square** 5:6,20,23 8:9,16,17,18 9:4,16,20 10:17 12:8 29:22 35:23 36:24 38:13,22,24 42:2 49:2,6,9,12,15,18,21 51:18,19 55:6 60:14 65:5 69:16 74:2,3 76:6 79:6 81:9,10 Square's 22:21 squiggly 61:24,25 64:8 stack 63:20 73:6 Stamolis 15:2 34:6.11 49:5 76:21 stamp 21:2 stand 21:21 28:3,8 37:8 Standard 21:22 stands 22:25 32:10,18 33:7,9,13 35:9 76:25 stapled 66:11 Stars 5:11 start 14:14

John Plaga 09/20/2018 started 6:5 starting 72:9 state 5:7,16 6:14 statement 58:25 63:5 65:14 66:21,23,25 67:2,5,8,12,18,24 68:4,6,7 69:4,24 70:4,14,16,25 71:4 72:6 statements 70:8,12 status 24:6.21 **stop** 7:19 strike 23:21 24:5 49:19 65:8 75:1 80:17 string 46:20 structure 14:5,9,11,12,13,14 subject 21:7,14 46:8 subpoena 22:6 subpoenas 22:9 subsidiaries 8:11 sued 48:6 81:10 suits 81:13 **summary** 24:16 supposed 46:9 66:17 surprise 77:8 swear 5:25 **sweep** 51:5 74:7,13,23 75:2 **sworn** 6:2 т taking 5:11,21 29:2 42:15 talk 13:9 19:17,23 37:2 38:22 73:25 talked 26:18 27:6 44:15 56:19 59:3,9 61:3 talking 7:18 17:2 48:24 49:25 talks 50:24 67:2 team 53:6 81:3,4

Index: SM..typically technical 6:25 59:3 termination 52:5 terms 29:11 terrible 23:22 testified 6:3 16:14 56:3 testify 79:14 testimony 9:15 20:25 35:21 61:10 67:16 74:19 thing 26:2 things 7:1,2,5 11:4 31:6 55:9 thought 35:25 40:8 44:21 **Thursday** 5:1 18:1 time 5:10,20 8:19 20:4,7 40:2 43:9.20 47:18 48:2 54:22 61:2 66:15 70:2.13 71:2 73:17 81:14 82:9 title 36:8 today 5:13,24 7:10 54:8 58:2,12, 14 64:1 65:25 70:25 75:16 today's 5:9 18:1 82:8 told 27:7 37:7 38:9 67:7 75:17 top 30:2 39:7,11 50:7 topic 23:6 tour 43:6,25 tournament 40:7 town 41:7 trailer 42:2 trick 6:23

true 15:9 53:3 54:3,10 58:9,14,17 61:2 66:2 70:1 74:21 75:17,18

turn 27:19 30:1 32:8 34:9 37:14

**Turning** 28:19

**type** 17:7,13,14 30:10 46:12 60:22 72:1

types 10:15 14:16 15:14 77:5

**typically** 20:12 29:17 53:10 63:23



	- Weiser 41:21
U	_ <b>wife</b> 48:15
uh-huh 7:12 35:15 45:20	wondering 30:13 47:12
unclear 10:22	word 10:23 21:16 60:12
understand 29:9,10,12 30:24 31:16 64:1	work 38:15,17 63:19 70:8
understanding 14:9 15:17,23	worked 20:16
-	workers 42:10
unnamed 44:12	working 81:24
unusual 77:18 78:5,12,14 update 35:17 36:5	works 9:1 17:17,18 37:23 38:5 45:13 63:23 81:18
usual 78:14	worrying 12:13
	- worth 24:17
V	Wright 6:7
validity 62:20	write 11:16
versus 5:6	writing 11:19
vetted 62:21 63:2	written 11:10,12
video 7:19	wrong 26:7 29:2 51:17 66:11
violation 22:15	69:15 74:1 79:5
<b>visit</b> 41:5,6 43:5	Y
visited 40:2	
VMF 37:10,11,12,13	year 24:1 38:21 56:7,24 57:5 65:19
Vrf 27:23 28:2	years 24:3 39:3 67:17 81:24
W	<b>York</b> 22:14,15
wait 7:19	_
walked 43:7	
wanted 39:23	

09/20/2018

John Plaga



wanted 39:23 Washtenaw 5:8 watch 64:18 watching 6:10 water 73:14 week 18:1 56:24 57:5 65:18 weekend 42:21 weekly 70:10

# Υ