# Tina Van Curen <br> 4/16/2018 

```
STATE OF MICHIGAN
    CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHTENAW
    CAN IV PACKARD SQUARE LLC, a Delaware limited liability
    company,
    -against-
    PACKARD SQUARE, LLC, a Michigan limited liability company,
                                    DEFENDANT,
And
    ALLIED BUILDING PRODUCTS CORP., an Ohio
    corporation, et al.,
                            ADDITIONAL LIEN CLAIMANT DEFENDANTS.
-------------------------------------------------------------------
                    DATE: April 16, 2018
                    TIME: 10:58 A.M.
                    DEPOSITION of a Non-Party Witness, TINA
VAN CUREN, taken by the Defendants, pursuant to a Notice and
    to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, held at the offices
    of GETLER GOMES & SUTTON, 2 Executive Boulevard, Suffern,
    New York, before Suzanne Pastor, a Notary Public of the
    State of New York.
```


## APPEARANCES:

DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC
Attorneys for the Plaintiff and Witness
2600 W. Big Beaver Road, Suite 300
Troy, Michigan 48084
BY: J. BENJAMIN DOLAN, ESQ.
248.433.7535
bdolan@dickinsonwright.com
SWISTAK LEVINE, PC
Attorneys for the Defendant
PACKARD SQUARE, LLC
30833 Northwestern Highway, Suite 120
Farmington Hills, Michigan 482334
BY: I. MATTHEW MILLER, ESQ.
248.831.8000
mmiller@swistaklevine.com

HAHN LOESER PARKS, LLP
Attorneys for the Defendan
QUANDEL CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC.
200 Public Square, Suite 2800
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
BY: MICHAEL PASCOE, ESQ.
216.274.2397
mpascoe@hahnlaw.com
(Appearance by Videoconference)

ALSO PRESENT:
CRAIG SCHUBNER
CHRIS MARTIN, Videographer

Page 3
FEDERALSTIPULATIONS

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between
the counsel for the respective parties herein that the sealing, filing and certification of the within deposition be waived; that the original of the deposition may be signed and sworn to by the witness before anyone authorized to administer an oath, with the same effect as if signed before a Judge of the Court; that an unsigned copy of the deposition may be used with the same force and effect as if signed by the witness, 30 days after service of the original \& 1 copy of same upon counsel for the witness.

## IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that all

 objections except as to form, are reserved to the time of trial.
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here is taking down what you're saying. It's very important to make sure that you answer audibly. No shrugs of the shoulders or nods of the head so that we can take down the words that you're saying, okay?
A. Yes.
Q. Also, it's also important that we try not to talk over each other because it makes it really hard for the court reporter to keep track of who's speaking, okay?
A. All right.
Q. Just to do a little bit of background with you,
did you bring any documents with you today?
A. I did not.
Q. Do you recall whether you were asked to bring documents with you today?
A. I do not.
Q. Have been deposed before?
A. No.
Q. This is the first time?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you ever testified in a court of law before?
A. I've been requested, but I have not.
Q. Testified actually?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall what that case was?
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| Page 6 |  | Page 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| here is taking down what you're saying. It's very important | 1 | Q. Do you use any other e-mail addresses? |
| to make sure that you answer audibly. No shrugs of the | 2 | A. No. |
| shoulders or nods of the head so that we can take down the | 3 | Q. Are you married? |
| words that you're saying, okay? | 4 | A. No. |
| A. Yes. | 5 | Q. How does your company store e-mail? |
| Q. Also, it's also important that we try not to | 6 | A. Store it? It comes through Outlook. |
| talk over each other because it makes it really hard for the | 7 | Q. Is it cloud-based or do you have a server? |
| court reporter to keep track of who's speaking, okay? | 8 | A. No. I have a -- I'm not sure. |
| A. All right. | 9 | Q. Do you use cloud-based storage systems like |
| Q. Just to do a little bit of background with you, | 10 | Dropbox for your business? |
| did you bring any documents with you today? | 11 | A. I don't personally use it. I receive links for |
| A. I did not. | 12 | it. |
| Q. Do you recall whether you were asked to bring | 13 | Q. How do you store documents for your business? |
| documents with you today? | 14 | A. On my hard drive. |
| A. I do not. | 15 | Q. Of your own personal computer? |
| Q. Have been deposed before? | 16 | A. Yes. |
| A. No. | 17 | Q. That's kept at your office in Fishkill? |
| Q. This is the first time? | 18 | A. Yes. |
| A. Yes. | 19 | Q. How long have you been operating and working |
| Q. Have you ever testified in a court of law | 20 | for Hourglass Assessment? |
| before? | 21 | A. Three years. Three and a half. |
| A. I've been requested, but I have not. | 22 | Q. How did you come to start that business? |
| Q. Testified actually? | 23 | A. I'm sorry, what exactly is the question? |
| A. Yes. | 24 | Q. Well, what led you to open Hourglass |
| Q. Do you recall what that case was? | 25 | Assessment? |
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| A. I do not. | 1 | A. I've been in the industry for 25 years doing |
| Q. Have you worked for a company? Are you | 2 | construction consulting. And I decided to not be employed |
| currently working for a company? | 3 | and become a self employer. |
| A. Yes, my own. | 4 | Q. Who did you work for prior to starting |
| Q. What is it called? | 5 | Hourglass? |
| A. Hourglass Assessment Group. | 6 | A. Several companies. Exactly prior? |
| Q. How many employees does Hourglass have? | 7 | Q. Yes. |
| A. Myself. | 8 | A. Prior to Hourglass I was with Newbanks Boston. |
| Q. What is its address? | 9 | Q. How long were you with Newbanks? |
| A. 982 Main Street, Fishkill, New York. | 10 | A. Six years. |
| Q. Do you ever work there with any other | 11 | Q. What did Newbanks do? |
| contractors or subcontractors either for Hourglass | 12 | A. Construction consulting. |
| Assessment or for any related entity of Hourglass | 13 | Q. When you say Newbanks Boston, did you live in |
| Assessment? | 14 | Boston? |
| A. No. | 15 | A. No. |
| Q. Where do you reside? | 16 | Q. You still resided in New York State? |
| A. In Fishkill, New York. | 17 | A. Yes. |
| Q. What's your home address? | 18 | Q. Prior to the six years working for Newbanks |
| A. 37 Arcadian Place. | 19 | Boston, where did you work? |
| Q. Do you use e-mail in your job? | 20 | A. IVI. |
| A. Yes. | 21 | Q. What's that? |
| Q. What is your e-mail address? | 22 | A. Construction consulting firm. |
| A. TVanCuren -- do you want me to spell it out? | 23 | Q. Where is that located? |
| Q. No. It's spelled like your name, right? | 24 | A. White Plains, New York. |
| A. Yes. @HGAssessments.com. | 25 | Q. How long were you there? |
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A. Five years.
Q. Why did you leave IVI Construction Consulting
to move to Newbanks Boston?
A. I had a baby.
Q. How did that affect --
A. I left the company because I was starting a family.
Q. So did you take some time off between working at IVI and Newbanks?
A. Just your standard maternity.
Q. So maybe I'm not following you. Why did having a baby affect the changing of the job?
A. Because I needed to make more money.
Q. Oh, okay, so Newbanks offered you more money.
A. Yes.
Q. That's a valid reason.
A. Yes.
Q. Prior to IVI Construction Consulting, where did you work?
A. Prior to IVI I was with KGD.
Q. How do you spell that?
A. KGD.
Q. Where is that located?
A. Mt. Kisco.
Q. Also in New York?
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A. Yes.
Q. And what do they do?
A. It's an architectural firm.
Q. What was your role there?
A. Designing schools.
Q. I failed to ask you this. What was your work responsibilities at IVI Consulting?
A. To review projects, applications for payments, work in place, schedules, review pay applications. I think I might have said that.
Q. Is that different than the type of design work you were doing at KGD?
A. Yes.
Q. At KGD, I'm trying to cut through this. If I'm skipping a step, please let me know. But at KGD it sounds like you were performing architectural services.
A. Yes.
Q. And then at IVI it was no longer architectural services?
A. Well, there are still architectural services.

One is actually involved in the design and the documentation, and IVI is reviewing other people's drawings and contractors' applications and the actual building being built.
Q. Is there a reason you moved from KGD to IVI?
A. Growth.
Q. Did you not want to continue doing your own designing?
A. No, I didn't. I wanted to be in the field. That's where I started in the industry, in the field, watching it being built.
Q. I see, so where did you work prior to --
A. Children's Village in Dobbs Ferry, New York.
Q. What's Children's Village?
A. It's a residential treatment facility for children.
Q. What's that got to do with the real estate business?
A. They had approximately 30 buildings that they were rebuilding and renovating.
Q. Oh, okay.
A. So I was in the construction division.
Q. I see. What did you do there?
A. Worked closely with architects and engineers and represented the owner to renovate these buildings that children lived in.
Q. How long were you with them?
A. 14 years.
Q. Wow. And why did you leave Children's Village?
A. It was not for profit, so you have a ceiling
that you hit.
Q. So KGD gave you a better opportunity?
A. Yes.
Q. I see. Was Children's Village your first job out of school?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you ever worked directly for any

Canyon-owned entity?
A. Yes.
Q. Which one was that?
A. None of them.
Q. So did we miss it in the chronology? Well, let's ask it a different way. What Canyon-owned entities have you worked for?
A. I'm not sure of the exact entity name.
Q. Okay.
A. Actually, I believe it was Canyon Agassi.
Q. And when was that?
A. 200, I want to say '13. I may have missed that, sorry.
Q. So if you've been in this location -- not this location, but working for Hourglass for about three years and Newbanks Boston for five years, where did Canyon Agassi fit in?
A. Between Newbanks and Hourglass.
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A. No. So directly prior to me going out on my own, I was with Turner Agassi for a year and a half.
Q. What's Turner Agassi?
A. They build charter schools.
Q. What's Canyon Agassi?
A. That division was building charter schools.
Q. Okay, so what was the work you were doing for Canyon Agassi?
A. Working in the northeast building charter schools, overseeing the construction of it
Q. How many charter schools did you help build with Canyon Agassi?
A. From start to finish?
Q. Yeah. I don't know how many -- I have no idea what this is. I'm trying to learn.
A. Two.
Q. Two schools? And what was your role in that construction project?
A. To oversee construction, manage the architects, the contractors.
Q. And did you get hired to do that for Canyon Agassi right out of working for Newbanks?
A. Yes.
Q. What was your relationship at that time to
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either Canyon or Agassi to get that position?
A. Oh, I was consulting for -- they were one of my clients to consult with. They retained Newbanks for construction consulting.
Q. I see, so did then Canyon Agassi stop using Newbanks and start using you? Is that what happened?
A. I do not believe that's so, no.
Q. Okay, so how did that transfer take place?
A. One thing had nothing to do with the other. One company is for overseeing construction projects. The other was a specific division for a very specific product.
Q. Which one was you?
A. I don't understand your question.
Q. Who is the one and who is the other?
A. So Newbanks Boston is construction consulting. It's overseeing the projects for clients.

Canyon Agassi is building charter schools.
Q. Right, I understand that. I'm trying to understand your role. How did you get into that position to do that work for whatever you were doing for Canyon Agassi?
A. I'm sorry, but I don't understand exactly the question.
Q. Okay, that's fair. You were working for Newbanks.
A. Right.
Q. And then you were working for Canyon Agassi.
A. Right.
Q. I'm trying to understand how you got the job at Canyon Agassi.
A. Oh. It was a new division that opened up so I applied for it.
Q. So it was just a cold call -- was it a request for proposals or something?
A. No.
Q. So how did you -- did you know somebody working at Canyon or at Agassi?
A. Well, I was a consultant for them so I did know -- I knew people at Canyon.
Q. Because of your relationship from Newbanks?
A. Yes.
Q. I see. That's what I'm trying to understand.
A. Okay.
Q. I'm trying to understand. So in the Canyon Agassi framework, was Newbanks still also doing work with Canyon Agassi and you or not -- was that work different?
A. Yeah, the work was different.
Q. And you were both on board for Canyon Agassi.
A. I left one and I went to another company. I left Newbanks and I went to work for Canyon Agassi.
Q. So Canyon Agassi was not also retaining
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Newbanks to perform construction services; they were employing you. Is that what you're saying?
A. I worked for Newbanks, and Canyon employed Newbanks, not me directly. Is that the question?
Q. Yes. So how did you -- I'm still at a loss as to how you got to be employed by Canyon Agassi personally.
A. I applied for a job.
Q. And you were an employee of Canyon Agassi, not through Hourglass Consulting?
A. Correct.
Q. I see. And what was Turner Agassi?
A. A different company.
Q. Is that related to Canyon?
A. I don't know.
Q. What did you do for them?
A. I continued building charter schools.
Q. Other than Canyon Agassi and prior to this case at Packard Square, have you done any other work for any other Canyon entity?
A. No.
Q. So Canyon Agassi was the first and only time, and Packard Square was the second?
A. What do you mean -- employed by them or performed --
Q. Provided any employment, provided any
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contracted work, provided any independently contracted work for any Canyon entity.
A. You're mixing it up. One is as an employee and one is as a consultant.
Q. Well, I'm confused because I'm not sure when you're the employee and when you're the consultant. That's the problem that I'm trying to bridge.
A. Okay.
Q. So you as a person, as an employee or as a consultant, in any way you would like to define yourself, other than for Canyon Agassi, have you performed any work of any kind for any other Canyon entity?
A. Other than Canyon Agassi and -- no. No other entity. If that's the question.
Q. Okay, so have you done any consulting work at all for any other Canyon entity?
A. Different entity name?
Q. Okay, let's try it a different way. Canyon has a bunch of different entities.
A. Yes, exactly.
Q. I wouldn't even begin to know them all. I
probably wouldn't be able to begin to know any of them. So for any entity in any way, shape or form related to this larger umbrella we'll just call Canyon, other than for Canyon Agassi and Packard Square, have you done anything for
list and then we can talk about whether they were completed or not.
A. Oh, okay. Let's see. There's a Third Avenue project, a 56th Street project, and LIC project.
Q. You say L--
A. IC, yes.
Q. Any others?
A. No.
Q. All right, so Third Avenue, is that Third

Avenue in Manhattan?
A. Yes.
Q. Third Avenue and what?
A. 39th.
Q. And what was that project?
A. Condos.
Q. What was your involvement in that?
A. Construction consulting.
Q. And some Canyon entity was the lender?
A. I'm not sure what their specific role was.
Q. What was your relationship to Canyon as far as this project is concerned?
A. Construction consulting. Retained to oversee the payment applications and the progress of the work.
Q. But you don't know what Canyon's involvement was.
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A. No.
Q. Do you know if there was litigation involved in that project?
A. No. There's none.
Q. And when was this, approximately?
A. It's underway.
Q. Still -- okay. How long has it been -- how long have you been working on this project?
A. Couple of years.
Q. When is it supposed to be finished?
A. This year.
Q. And 56th Street, is that also in Manhattan?
A. Yes.
Q. And what avenue?
A. Seventh.
Q. What's that building?
A. Office space.
Q. What's Canyon's involvement with that?
A. Lender.
Q. And what's your role?
A. It's the same, construction consulting.
Q. And is that project still underway?
A. Yup.
Q. And when is that set to be completed?
A. Three years.
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Q. Oh, it just started?
A. Yes.
Q. I see. What's LIC stand for?
A. Long Island City.
Q. And where is that project located? Do you know the address?
A. 44th. 44th Drive.
Q. What is that development?
A. Residential.
Q. Like single family houses?
A. No. Multifamily.
Q. Apartment or condominium?
A. Condos.
Q. Do you know how many floors it is?
A. Six.
Q. How many units?
A. I don't know off the top of my head.
Q. And is this completed?
A. No. Just started.
Q. Just started. Earlier in your deposition you
asked about -- you said completed or not completed.
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. None of these are completed.
A. Correct.
Q. So were there other Canyon projects that you've
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worked on that have been completed?
A. Yeah, but that was through Newbanks.
Q. Oh, okay. So that was more than -- so
approximately how many years ago?
A. At least six. Yeah, about six years.
Q. And were any of those multifamily --
A. Yes.
Q. -- construction projects?

Were any of them involved in any litigation?
A. No.
Q. Was there a project that you worked on on Bond

Street in New York City?
A. I'm sorry?
Q. Did you work on a project on Bond Street in New York City?
A. Bond Street in New York City. Yes. It wasn't in New York City though.
Q. Oh, where was it?
A. Brooklyn.
Q. Well, that's New York City. What was that?
A. It's a borough.

That was apartments, multifamily luxury apartments.
Q. I'm sorry, what kind of apartments?
A. Luxury.
Q. Do you know the address?
A. Bond Street in Brooklyn, New York. I don't know the exact number.
Q. All right, and when was that completed?
A. Two years ago.
Q. Was that a project Canyon was involved with?
A. Yes.
Q. So that doesn't jive with your testimony a moment ago when you said there weren't any others.
A. Yes, there's a lot of projects. I forgot that one.
Q. How many more Canyon-based projects are there?
A. In three years? In the past three years? Do you want the other ones from --
Q. Well, I'm not so concerned about the ones that you worked on when you were working with Newbanks. I'm more concerned about the ones that you didn't tell us about --
A. I think that's the only other one.
Q. How many do you think there were, Canyon projects, while you were working for Newbanks?
A. I couldn't even project. I was there for five years. There was hundreds of projects I worked on. I don't know how many were Canyon or another client.
Q. I see. Were there any projects while you were working at Newbanks that you recall being involved in any
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litigation?
A. I'm not aware.
Q. All right, other than these entities that we've
discussed you've been working on with Canyon, how many other companies do you provide consulting services for?
A. Ten. Approximately.
Q. And so how many projects are you overseeing at any given time?
A. Presently, six or seven.
Q. Well, if four of them are these Canyon ones
that we've discussed and there's only two or three -- what are the other --
A. It's not four. One is completed.
Q. I thought they were all still underway. Third Avenue and 39th, 56th and Seventh avenue, and Long Island City I thought were still underway.
A. Seventh Avenue.
Q. 56th Street and Seventh Avenue.
A. That's one building.
Q. Third Avenue.
A. Right.
Q. LIC.
A. Right.
Q. Packard Square.
A. Oh, all right. I didn't know you were
$\qquad$
including that one.
Q. What are the other ten companies? They must not all have projects going on right now.
A. Correct.
Q. So what are the other ones you're working on right now?
A. Other projects?
Q. Yes.
A. A project in New Rochelle.
Q. What's that? What kind of project is that?
A. That's apartments, multifamily.
Q. Who's your client?
A. I represent the owner.
Q. Do you know who that is?
A. Yes. Chechile.
Q. How do you spell that?
A. C-H-E-C-H-I-L-E.
Q. And what else are you working on right now?
A. A project in Marshall Gardens.
Q. What kind of project is that?
A. Multifamily.
Q. Who are you working for there?
A. CPC.
Q. What's CPC?
A. It's a lender.
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Q. This multifamily, why is that different than apartments? Is there a difference between the New Rochelle development and the --
A. No.
Q. They're just all still apartments?
A. Yes.
Q. Any of them have retail on the ground floor?
A. Yes.
Q. Which one?
A. New Rochelle.
Q. Do you know the address of that project?
A. Huguenot Street.
Q. Huguenot?
A. $M m-h m m$.
Q. In New Rochelle.
A. Mm-hmm.
Q. All right, what else are you working on right now?
A. Another multifamily in Owego, New York.
Q. How do you spell that?
A. O-W-E-G-O.
Q. And who are you working for there?
A. CPC.
Q. Also the lender on that project.
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know the address of that?
A. 200 Front Street.
Q. And Marshall Gardens, what's the address of that one?
A. I believe it's Marshall Road.
Q. Do you know the number?
A. No. 21 -- I'm not sure.
Q. Okay, have you -- have any projects that you've worked on ever been involved in any litigation? Other than Packard Square.
A. Yes.
Q. Which ones?
A. Hanson.
Q. What's Hanson?
A. Hanson Place. It's in Brooklyn. 1 Hanson.
Q. What was your involvement in that entity?
A. I was doing construction consulting. I wasn't involved in anything else.
Q. Who were you working for?
A. Newbanks.
Q. Do you recall what the basis of the litigation was?
A. I'm not sure.
Q. How do you know it was in litigation?
A. I'm copied on e-mails.
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Q. Do you know who the lender was on that job?
A. Yes. Canyon.
Q. Oh, okay. And do you recall when that was?
A. Ten years ago.
Q. Any other projects you've worked on that have been involved in litigation?
A. No.
Q. Just this one and Packard Square?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you ever been a party to a lawsuit?
A. No.
Q. Okay, so let's talk about Packard Square for a minute. Who do you work for at Packard Square?
A. I work for Sidley.
Q. You work for Sidley?
A. Yes.
Q. Sidley Austin, the law firm that appeared on this case?
A. Yes.
Q. How did that come about?
A. They retained me to provide services.
Q. They retained you to provide services?
A. Mm-hmm.
Q. That's the first involvement you had with Packard Square?
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A. No.
Q. Oh, okay. When did they retain you to provide services?
A. I believe January, or November. November of '16 maybe.
Q. Do you have a contract with Sidley?
A. Yes, I have an agreement with them.
Q. Where is that agreement?
A. What do you mean where is it?
Q. Where would you have a copy of that agreement?
A. Would you like a copy of it?
Q. Yes.
A. Oh, sure. It's not a problem. I thought you had all the documentation that was -- I believe that was sent over to you. I saw that in an e-mail.
Q. Sent over to whom?
A. To you I believe.
Q. You and I have been e-mailing?
A. Not from me. From Sidley.
Q. Sidley and I have been e-mailing?
A. I thought so.
Q. Really.
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay, what is the scope of your retention from Sidley?
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A. It's to provide or opine on any construction related matters.
Q. Is Sidley in the construction business?
A. No. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. So how are they in a position to ask you to do anything?

MR. DOLAN: Object to the form of the question.
Q. Well, I'll rephrase it in a different way. If

Sidley -- Sidley's a law firm, right?
A. Yes.
Q. So they don't hire -- do they normally hire -have you ever been hired by a law firm before?
A. I'm not -- I don't know.
Q. You don't know?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Well, has Hourglass Assessment ever been paid by a law firm?
A. No.
Q. Has Hourglass assessments ever been paid by Sidley?
A. No.
Q. So who pays you?
A. I get paid by the development entity.
Q. What's that?
A. Whatever the project name is.
Q. So why does Sidley need a construction consultant?

MR. DOLAN: Object to the form of the question. You're asking her why Sidley needs one?

MR. MILLER: Yes, I'm asking her. Presumably she's talked to people at Sidley why they would want to hire her.

MR. DOLAN: That would be the foundation for that question, wouldn't it?

MR. MILLER: I was trying to save some 25 minutes we lost.

MR. DOLAN: It was 13, but that's okay.
MR. MILLER: No, it was 25. But that's okay.
MR. DOLAN: No, it wasn't. It was exactly 13.
MR. MILLER: 13 minutes?
MR. DOLAN: Correct.
MR. MILLER: We walked in here at 10:35, we started at 11.

MR. DOLAN: 10:42.
MR. MILLER: No, it was --
MR. DOLAN: I heard the thing.
MR. MILLER: You're wrong.
Q. Nevertheless, who do you work with at Sidley?
A. Liz Walker.
Q. How often do you communicate with her?
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A. Monthly.
Q. And what did she ask you to do?
A. Initially?
Q. Sure, initially.
A. To review -- it wasn't anything specific requested initially.
Q. Well, what did it become?

MR. DOLAN: I'm going to object to the form of the question. That calls for privileged information, which is why Sidley engaged her. So I'm going to instruct the witness not to answer.

MR. MILLER: I'm sorry, are you representing Ms. Van Curen?

MR. DOLAN: Today I am.
MR. MILLER: On what basis are you doing that?
MR. DOLAN: On the basis that I'm her attorney for today.

MR. MILLER: You're her attorney for today?
MR. DOLAN: Correct. For this deposition.
MR. MILLER: Aren't you plaintiff's attorney?
MR. DOLAN: Yes.
MR. MILLER: You don't think there might be a
potential conflict here?
MR. DOLAN: No.
MR. MILLER: I don't see what the basis is for
you to refuse to let her answer. The lawyer and Ms. Van Curen --

MR. DOLAN: You're asking her a question that would compromise the privilege, the attorney-client privilege.

MR. MILLER: No I'm not. I'm asking her what the lawyer asked her to do.

MR. DOLAN: Right.
MR. MILLER: The lawyer doesn't have privilege.
It's the client's privilege.
MR. DOLAN: That's a work product question.
MR. MILLER: No it's not.
MR. DOLAN: Yes it is.
MR. MILLER: No it's not.
MR. DOLAN: Absolutely it is.
MR. MILLER: No it's not.
MR. DOLAN: Well, we disagree. I'm going to instruct her not to answer that question.

MR. MILLER: What else are you going to instruct her not to answer?

MR. DOLAN: You can see the engagement letter.
MR. MILLER: When?
MR. DOLAN: Can we get it now?
MR. MILLER: Yes, let me ask you another question.
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MR. DOLAN: You can ask the witness anything you want.

MR. MILLER: I'm going to.
Q. Can we get the engagement now?

MR. DOLAN: Do you want to get it now?
MR. MILLER: We can get it while we're proceeding. I'm not going to stop the dep.
Q. Is it readily available?
A. I could try to get it.
Q. Who's your lawyer?

MR. DOLAN: For what purpose?
MR. MILLER: For any purpose.
MR. DOLAN: For the deposition it's me.
MR. MILLER: So that means that Sidley is not her lawyer so I can ask her anything I want about Sidley.

MR. DOLAN: No, for their consulting agreement it's Sidley. They hired her. That happens all the time.

MR. MILLER: But I'm entitled to find out in what capacity they've hired her.

MR. DOLAN: She has said exactly what capacity they've hired her and offered to provide the engagement letter. What more do you need?

MR. MILLER: I'm trying to find out what they asked her to do.

MR. DOLAN: Okay.
Q. What did they ask you to do?

MR. MILLER: You said -- she testified at the beginning they asked her to do one thing. I'm asking her what they subsequently asked her to do.

MR. DOLAN: What you should ask her is what is her engagement. That's the part where you ask the question. Not what did somebody say to you, because that asks for privileged information.
Q. Okay, he doesn't have an objection. Go ahead.
A. My engagement is nonspecific. It's to provide construction consulting services. Or opine on -- my understanding is to provide construction consulting and opine on any construction related matters.
Q. So in addition to Liz Walker, whom else do you discuss those types of matters with?

MR. DOLAN: Object to the form of the question. Go ahead and answer if you understand it.
A. I don't.
Q. Okay, well, you said you talked to Liz Walker monthly. Who else do you speak with or communicate with in any fashion about construction services at Packard Square?
A. That would be it.
Q. You don't communicate with anybody else?
A. Bruce I think is -- Bruce? Bruce.
Q. Bruce Frazier?
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A. Yes.
Q. You've never discussed anything at Packard Square with anybody else?

MR. DOLAN: Wait, that's a different question. You asked her if she consulted. Then you asked her if she discussed. Those are two different things.

MR. MILLER: No, no. I'm not asking -- maybe we're talking about two different things. Let me back up.
Q. I'm not asking you whether you were consulting with them about legal information from them. I'm asking you who you were communicating with in addition to Ms. Walker about what you were doing for construction services. Were you thinking that I was asking what they were talking to you -- I'm asking who you were talking to.
A. Right. Bruce and Liz are the two people I speak with.
Q. What about Mr. Dolan?
A. He's a different firm.
Q. No, no -- okay. I'm not asking who you're talking to at Sidley Austin.
A. Oh, okay.
Q. I'm asking in general.
A. Okay.
Q. With whom do you communicate relative to the Packard Square project?
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A. Okay, Ben.
Q. Who else? Bruce you said.
A. Yes.
Q. Mm-hmm.
A. Liz.
Q. Mm-hmm.
A. And this firm here.
Q. What about Matthew Mason?
A. Matt Mason?
Q. Yes.
A. Is he an attorney?
Q. I didn't ask about an attorney.
A. Oh, I thought you were discussing attorneys.
Q. No, no.
A. Oh.
Q. No. Let's try this again. I acknowledge that you said that you confer monthly with Liz Walker.
A. Mm-hmm.
Q. I'm asking who else in the entire world do you discuss your consulting findings with related to Packard Square.
A. Okay. So you have Ben, you have Bruce, you have Liz, you have this firm, and Kevin Scholz, Canyon. Q. Anyone else?
A. You want specific names?
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Q. Yes, please.
A. Gerald.
Q. Gerald who?
A. Goldman. Marie Stamolis.
Q. Anyone else?
A. O'Brien Construction.
Q. That's it?
A. Yes.
Q. You've never talked to anybody at McKinley,

Inc.?
A. Well, Matt you said already.
Q. You didn't affirmatively state that you spoke with him.
A. Oh, Matt McKinley. Matt and Trey.
Q. Trey?
A. Yes.
Q. Trey who?
A. Royal I think is his last name.
Q. Anybody else at McKinley?
A. I've spoken with Chris Allen. That's what I recall.
Q. So when you talk to somebody, say, Kevin Scholz for example, what are you discussing with him that's different than what you're discussing with Liz Walker?
A. What I discuss with Kevin is the pay
applications and the payment -- recommending payment.
Q. Okay.
A. On the work that's in place.
Q. And how is that different from what you discuss with O'Brien Construction?
A. It's not.
Q. How is that different with what you might discuss with McKinley or Matthew Mason?
A. It's not.
Q. How is that different than what you discuss with Liz Walker?

MR. DOLAN: You can tell him what the scope of your engagement is with Liz and Bruce, but not the specifics.
A. So we might discuss the schedule of the construction --

MR. DOLAN: Let me stop because I think we're getting into privilege. She's engaged, to help you out, for consulting, expert services as part of the litigation by Sidley. Those are different from reviewing draw requests and pay apps.

So that's the distinction which she's having a little trouble drawing, but that's it.

MR. MILLER: So am I because I'm at a loss as to whether she's having different conversations about
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different issues with Liz Walker than she's having with Maria Stamolis for example.

MR. DOLAN: Well, what I'm telling you is she is, but I don't want her disclosing the conversations with Liz because that's the whole point of the privilege.

MR. MILLER: Let's try it a different way.
Q. What's the subject matter that you're discussing, not the specifics, with Liz that's different than what you're discussing with the folks from Canyon, O'Brien or the receiver?
A. I'm sorry, ask the question again.
Q. Sure. You've named a number of people and entities with whom you discuss or consult on the Packard Square project.
A. Yes.
Q. And you talked about -- a couple of things you talked about with Kevin Scholz for example. What I'm trying to understand is what's the subject areas that you're discussing with Liz Walker that's different than what you're discussing with Maria Stamolis or Canyon or the receiver or anybody at O'Brien for example.
A. It's all related to construction. Schedule, payments, work in place. So it's all related to the same -I'm a construction consultant so it's all related to the same.
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Q. So is it fair to say that you're having similar conversations with Liz Walker as you're having with Gerald Goldman?
A. Yeah, it's fair.
Q. So I'm trying to understand if there is any difference at all between the types of conversations you're having with Liz Walker or Bruce Frazier or any other attorney versus what you're having with these other non-attorneys.
A. I'm not really sure I understand the question.
Q. Okay.
A. I'm telling you that I discuss construction related matters with all those parties, whether it's regarding application or schedule or work in place or when the project will be finished.
Q. Are there subjects that you only discuss with Liz Walker that you don't discuss with the other people?
A. I'm not really sure.
Q. Do any differences come to mind?
A. No, not at the moment.

Can I take a break?
Q. I guess we can take like a five-minute break, sure.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record. The time is 11:42.
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(Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record. The time is $11: 54$. This is the beginning of disk 2.
Q. Ma'am, we just took a break, we're back. And I wanted to ask you about Packard Square. And I think you may have discussed this.

You said that you review the pay applications, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. What other items do you review on the Packard Square project?
A. Drawings, liens, lien waivers, retainage.
Q. Do you inspect the property?
A. Yes.
Q. How often do you do that?
A. Monthly.
Q. The pay applications, do you approve those
before the payments are made?
A. Yes.
Q. And to whom do you communicate your approval or disapproval?
A. Canyon.
Q. Who particularly?
A. Kevin.
Q. Kevin Scholz?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you review the receiver reports?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever seen a receiver report?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you see them -- in what context have you seen the receiver reports?
A. I don't understand.
Q. Well, do you see the receiver report after it's been issued? Is there a rhyme or reason to why you look at the receiver report? I'm not really sure what you do with the receiver report.
A. No, l've only seen one or two.
Q. When you say the drawings, what do you do with the drawings?
A. Review them.
Q. In what fashion?
A. To review what's being built. The finishes.
Q. Do you change the drawings?
A. No.
Q. Oh. So the drawings were prepared a long, long time ago. You're just looking at what's on the drawings?
A. Yes.
Q. You said the word "review." That may have been where I got confused.
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Have there been cosmetic design changes different from the drawings at Packard Square?
A. Not that I'm aware.
Q. Well, who might be aware? Does somebody else have the job to check the drawings other than yourself?

MR. DOLAN: Well, object to the form of the question. She never said she had the obligation to check drawings.
Q. Right. So if the drawings were changed, who would -- is it your responsibility to compare any changes made to the drawings?
A. Say it again.
Q. If there's a change made and the change is different than what was in the drawing, do you review the change before it's approved?
A. No.
Q. So who makes those decisions?
A. Well, that would be the architect.
Q. Who is that?
A. Tagle.
Q. Have you ever been involved in a conversation with anybody from Canyon or the receiver about decisions being made to change the building?
A. No. To just change it just for no reason?
Q. Change it for any reason. I'm asking about the

## Tina Van Curen <br> 4/16/2018

## Page 46

change first. Then we'll find out what it was for.
MR. DOLAN: You mean design changes?
Q. Design changes. Things that were changed from the drawings.
A. Yes, l've seen that.
Q. Can you describe some of those design changes from the drawings.
A. Well, some of them have to do with field conditions.
Q. Well, I didn't ask you what they had to do
with. I asked you what they were. What were the changes?
MR. DOLAN: I think that's the answer, what they were.

MR. MILLER: No, a field condition is not a change. A field condition is a fact. I want to know what the change was.

MR. DOLAN: Well, to accommodate field conditions. I think that's what she's saying.
A. Yes.
Q. What was the change that was made to accommodate the field condition? I asked what the change was.
A. It has to do with shafts.
Q. Shafts?
A. Yes.
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Q. What kind of shafts?
A. Mechanical shafts.
Q. How many shafts had to be changed because of field conditions?
A. Well, they had to be increased in size.
Q. I didn't ask that. I asked how many shafts had to be changed because of field conditions?
A. If that's the question, then none.
Q. Zero shafts had to be changed because of field conditions?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Mr. Dolan seems to think it was field conditions that caused the changes.
A. It is a field condition. There were new shafts created and it changed it. I thought that's what your question was.
Q. No. Ma'am, we're talking about the changes to the design plan.
A. Yes.
Q. So I'm trying to understand what changes were made to the design plans. You said you reviewed the design drawings. I'm trying to understand what were the changes that were made? Not why they were made. I'm trying to understand what the changes were that were made.

MR. DOLAN: I'm just going to object to the
form and foundation of these questions. She identified the architect who of course is responsible for design changes. To the extent she knows the answer, she can answer.
A. Yes, in this particular matter there was a steel beam in the way, and the original intended shaft could not reach the rooms that it was supposed to because of the steel beam being in the way. So new shafts had to be created to allow heating and air conditioning to get to the location that was planned.
Q. And what field condition caused this problem?
A. Steel beam.
Q. Do you know why the steel beam was in the way? Was that on the drawing?
A. Yes.
Q. So it was just poorly designed?
A. It was a coordination. It wasn't coordinated.
Q. What does that mean?
A. The mechanicals weren't coordinated with the structural drawings.
Q. So who installed the steel beam in the wrong place?
A. I don't know.
Q. When did you notice the problem?
A. When the amenity space was being built.
Q. When did you notice the problem? I asked for a
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time. When?
A. Oh, when?
Q. Yes. When. I've asked it twice now. Three times. When did you notice the problem?
A. Couple months ago.
Q. And where in the building was the steel beam in the way?
A. In the amenity space.
Q. What's your role, if any, in overseeing to make sure that beams aren't installed in the wrong place?
A. That's not my role.
Q. Okay, so when you go to inspect every month, what are you looking for if not mistakes like that?
A. Work completed.
Q. Work completed.
A. Yes.
Q. So who's overseeing to make sure that beams don't get installed in the wrong place pursuant to the drawings?
A. Well, the superstructure was up already prior to my getting onto the site.
Q. That's not my question. That wasn't my question at all.

MR. DOLAN: It is actually an answer to the question. She said it was already up.

MR. MILLER: No, no. She just testified that it was noticed a couple of months ago. So --
A. The duct work. Not the beam.
Q. When was the beam installed?
A. Prior to me getting on the site.
Q. What other changes did you find that were made, cosmetic changes?
A. Cosmetic changes, I'm not aware of any.
Q. You're not aware of being on phone calls
discussing changing the ceiling tile?
A. No.
Q. Do you participate in weekly phone calls with

O'Brien Construction?
A. No.
Q. How often would you participate in those calls?
A. With who?
Q. With O'Brien.

MR. DOLAN: She just said she doesn't --
Q. You've never been on them?
A. No.
Q. Why are you listed in O'Briens notes as participating in calls?

MR. DOLAN: You're asking her to speculate why
O'Brien did something?
Q. Have you ever met with them in person to
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discuss these design changes?
A. I go to the site monthly and I meet with O'Brien team.
Q. So you don't participate in the weekly OAC meeting minutes?
A. Yeah, that's what takes place when I'm at the site.
Q. You're not at the site weekly though.
A. Monthly.
Q. If you turn to Exhibit 28 in the book provided
for you as an example, are you familiar with this type of document?
A. Yes. Mm-hmm.

MR. PASCOE: Is the exhibit going to go up on the screen here?

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes, I'm working on it.
MR. DOLAN: Can we have access to that?
MR. MILLER: You got it right there, Ben.
MR. DOLAN: No, but if there's something on their screens --

MR. MILLER: It's the same thing on their screen is the same thing that's in this book.
Q. Ma'am, I'm not really here to talk about the substance of this document. I'm really just here to talk about the document itself. It's defined as a weekly
meeting.
A. Yes.
Q. You're listed as the second person on the list.

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. So are you saying that when you attend these meetings you only attend them in person?
A. And I call in sometimes. Yes.
Q. So you do participate in weekly meetings?
A. I listen in, yes.
Q. Why did you just say you didn't?
A. You asked me if I have weekly calls with O'Brien. This is a weekly meeting with a lot of other people involved.
Q. Okay, but it's O'Brien -- O'Brien's the one that sets the meeting, right? It's their meeting, is it not?
A. No. It's an owner-architect meeting. OAC.
Q. Are there any owners on this call?
A. McKinley.
Q. McKinley is the owner?
A. Well, they're the receiver.
Q. But there's nobody from Canyon on the call, correct?
A. No.
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Q. And there's nobody from Sidley on the call, is there?
A. No.
Q. So you're on the call. And these calls do happen on a weekly basis, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And during these times, are there ever discussions about changing design specifications?
A. No.
Q. Never?
A. Not that I heard, no.
Q. Well, let's turn to the third page of Exhibit 28.
A. What page? I'm sorry.
Q. Third page. Page 3 of 6. Do you see that?
A. Yes.

MR. PASCOE: Can you put 3 of 6 on the screen here so I can see the whole page.
Q. Do you see the box under $1 / 5 / 2018$ ?

MR. DOLAN: The first text box at the top?
MR. MILLER: There's a box in the section under January 5th, 2018.

MR. DOLAN: Yes, it's the first text box.
Well, there's a box above it. It has the same date.
Q. Do you see that, ma'am? Why don't you take a
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second to read that to yourself.
A. (The witness reviews the document.)
Q. Have you read that?
A. Yes.
Q. So do you want to change your testimony about changing design issues at these meetings?
A. This is a field condition.
Q. What's a field condition?
A. Why that was changed.
Q. Why was that changed?
A. Because of the ceiling height.
Q. Why would the height be relevant? This is about --
A. Because the ceiling tiles have to end at a wall, and there was openings in the partitions, which wouldn't allow it. So in order to keep the continuity, it had to be changed.
Q. So who made the decision to do this?
A. It says here McKinley.
Q. Well, do you recall this conversation?
A. No.
Q. Are there any other design changes that were discussed at these meetings that you may now recall?
A. Well, the trash exhaust had to be changed. That's a field condition.
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Q. What was the condition that caused that to be changed?
A. The inspector flagged it. And we had to change it to meet the code.
Q. So what was the change?
A. The architect issued a drawing to enclose the fire rated shaft.
Q. Just a quick question. How did you pick the name Hourglass Assessment?
A. I just -- I don't know. There was no rhyme or reason.
Q. It's an unusual name, that's why I asked. We talked about this person earlier. Do you know who Matthew Mason is?
A. Yes.
Q. Who is he?
A. The receiver.
Q. Is he the receiver? Or is he the agent for McKinley who's the receiver?
A. I don't know.
Q. When did you first find out about Canyon
seeking a receiver over Packard Square?
A. I think in 2016.
Q. Can you be more specific, or no?
A. No.
Q. Do you recall how you were alerted to that fact?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Do you even remember the person who made have told you about it?
A. No.
Q. Well, you only deal with a few people. It had
to have been just one of a few people, right?
A. I'm sorry, I don't recall.
Q. When did you first learn about the -- that

McKinley and/or Matthew Mason would be appointed as the receiver?
A. 2016 .
Q. Right, I understand. Relative to learning about a receiver being sought, did you at the same time find out who the receiver was going to be?
A. No.
Q. Do you recall how much time elapsed before you found out?
A. I really don't.
Q. When did you learn about O'Brien Construction

Company relative to the receiver being appointed?
A. It was around the same time.
Q. Who's Janine Getler?
A. Janine is an attorney.
Q. What's her relationship to you?
A. She's my attorney.
Q. She's your attorney.
A. Yeah. Well, this firm. Not Janine, this firm is.
Q. Well, based on that document that you were just looking at in front of you, number 28, she seems to be involved in this case in some fashion. Can you describe her involvement?
A. You'd have to look at her engagement.
Q. Well, you work with her -- how often do you work with Ms. Getler on this project?
A. She visits the site.
Q. That's all she does?
A. She's an attorney, so she's involved in the legal aspect of it.
Q. Then why does she visit the site?
A. I don't know, you'd have to ask her.
Q. Well, I'm asking you because you seem to work together. What's her role with what you're doing? Does she have a role in what you're doing?
A. No.
Q. What she does is different?
A. Yes.
Q. But she's not your attorney.

# Tina Van Curen <br> 4/16/2018 

A. No.
Q. So you are on calls with her about this project, correct?
A. Yes. We -- yes, we're on this call.
Q. Well, aren't you on more than just this call?
A. We're on this one -- yeah, we're on weekly calls.
Q. So what's her --
A. Some she's on, some I'm on. Some we're on together.
Q. What does she do -- what is the purpose of her -- what does she do on these calls?
A. She listens and -- it depends on the call.
Q. Does she ever provide her opinion about how things should be at the site?
A. Yes -- it's more what's going on on site.
Q. Like what? What types of things going on on site?
A. What work is on -- how many workers are on site, what's going on on site, if there's any delays.
Q. For whom does she work?

MR. DOLAN: Just objection to foundation. If you know.
A. I don't know who she's retained by.
Q. You don't know if she works for Canyon or for a
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different law firm?
A. I don't know.
Q. I see. How long have you two worked together?
A. On this project? Since 2016.
Q. No. I didn't ask that. How long have you two worked together in any endeavor?
A. Seven years.
Q. What are the other projects that you and she have worked together on?
A. We worked on Hanson together.
Q. Any others?
A. We worked on the Jefferson together. Third Avenue, Long Island City.
Q. Any others? What's the Jefferson?
A. Condominiums.
Q. Where is that located?
A. New York City.
Q. Where in New York City?
A. 14th Street I believe.
Q. What was your role at the Jefferson?
A. I was a construction consultant.
Q. Who were you working for at that time?
A. Newbanks.
Q. What was Janine's role on that project?
A. I don't know.
Q. Well, what did she do at the Third Avenue and 39th Street project?
A. Third Avenue and 39th Street. She worked with the developer.
Q. To do what?
A. I don't know.
Q. Well, what about the Long Island City project, what did she do there?
A. I don't know her engagement scope.
Q. Well, how often are you on site with her at the same time on any of these projects?
A. We -- you -- we work on the same projects but it doesn't mean that we're doing the same thing together. We don't work with each other. Like, we don't work -- she doesn't engage me, I don't engage her. She's engaged for one reason, I'm engaged for another.
Q. So I'm trying to understand what the reason would be that somebody would engage her.

## MR. DOLAN: Object to form.

A. She's an attorney.
Q. I understand that she may be an attorney by trade. But when she's going to these projects and providing opinion as to design, that's not acting as an attorney. So I'm trying to understand what she does that's different than what you do. And I'm asking you to describe it since you've
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worked with her on three or four other projects already.
MR. DOLAN: I'm just going to object to
foundation and to form because she said although they worked on the same projects, they don't work together. So it lacks the foundation. But go ahead and answer if you can.
A. I don't know.
Q. After seven years you don't know what she does?
A. Yeah, I know she's an attorney.
Q. But is she out there trying the case?

MR. DOLAN: Same objection.
Q. I'm trying to understand what it is she's doing on site. I'm waiting.
A. I don't have an answer for you.
Q. After seven years of working together on at least four projects, you can't describe for me what it is that she does at any of them?
A. I told you she's an attorney. She handles legal matters. I don't know the exact scope of her engagement.
Q. Do you ever travel with her to Packard Square at the same time?
A. We did on -- initially, yes --
Q. What did you do when you'd go there?
A. -- in September.
Q. What did the two of you do when you went there?
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I keep asking questions, you don't seem to want to answer them.
A. We met with Craig.
Q. Okay.
A. And we looked at the project for the first time.
Q. What was the purpose of her being there versus the purpose of your being there?

MR. DOLAN: Again, objection to foundation. If you know, go ahead and answer.
A. I don't know.
Q. Okay. Are you friends other than through working together on some of these projects?
A. What does -- no, I mean --
Q. Do you socialize outside of work?
A. No, not really.
Q. Did you review anything prior to your deposition today in anticipation of the deposition today?
A. I reviewed the deposition and some e-mails that were shared with me by Ben.
Q. What deposition did you review?
A. The affidavit, I'm sorry.

MR. DOLAN: Her declaration.
Q. Oh, okay, the declaration. We'll certainly talk about that.
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Did anybody tell you what to say or what not to say?
A. Regarding what?
Q. Your testimony today.
A. No.
Q. Did you speak to anyone at Canyon about today's deposition?
A. No.
Q. Did you talk to any of the people at Sidley
about today's deposition?
A. No.
Q. What about anybody involved with the receiver?
A. No.
Q. Did you prepare for the deposition with

Mr. Dolan for example?
A. Yes.
Q. What about with Ms. Getler?
A. Yeah, we spoke.
Q. What did the two of you talk about?
A. Who?
Q. You and Ms. Getler.
A. What to expect from this because it's my first one.
Q. What about the facts of the case or anything like that?
A. No.
Q. Just to be clear, you're not an attorney.
A. No.
Q. Do you hold any licenses of any kind?
A. No.
Q. There's no architectural license or anything like that?
A. No.
Q. You did go to school for architecture I think I read, is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Where did you go?
A. New York Institute of Technology.
Q. What was the degree that you got there?
A. A bachelor of science in architecture.
Q. Other than working for KGD, have you done actual architectural work as far as drawing and designing of buildings?
A. I've drawn, yes.
Q. For whom else have you done that?
A. Children's Village.
Q. Oh, okay. All right, great.

What does AIA stand for?
A. Architect Institute of America.
Q. Have you ever seen their construction forms?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you familiar with them as part of the
business that you perform?
A. With their forms, yes.
Q. In a standard development project --
A. Yes.
Q. -- what is a contractor and what do they do? MR. DOLAN: You mean a general contractor?
Q. Yes, general contractor.
A. They construct the building.
Q. To whom do they normally report?
A. To whoever they're contracted to.
Q. What's a construction manager?
A. It's the same premise. It's just a different format of the contract.
Q. What do you mean?
A. So a general contractor would be under a lump sum agreement. Construction manager would be under a GMP agreement.
Q. So they're both acting as general contractors but they have a different name? Is that what you're saying? A. Yes.
Q. What do developers rely on either a contractor or construction manager to do?
A. What do what?
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Q. What do developers rely on a construction manager or a contractor to do?
A. To build the building.
Q. Can you describe how they get paid generally, the contractor or construction manager?
A. Yes, they submit a requisition breaking down the work, divisions, and that's how they get paid.
Q. Is there a fee involved for the construction manager or the general contractor?
A. It's based on the total value of the contract, yes.
Q. Is there a market rate for what that fee would be? And if I'm not using the right phrase or term, if you would correct me, l'd appreciate it.
A. Sure. It really depends on where you're building. So yes.
Q. What's the standard general contractor fee in New York City?
A. Well, again, it also depends on the size of the project. If it's 10 million, the fee is higher. If it's 35 million, the fee would be lower.
Q. Okay, well, why don't --
A. So it just really depends.
Q. Well, are you familiar with the market in, say, Ann Arbor, Michigan?
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A. Yes, I am.
Q. What would be the going market rate for a general contractor's fee in Ann Arbor, Michigan?
A. 5 percent.
Q. What are general conditions?
A. That's everything to get the building built.

That is not a hard cost.
Q. What's a hard cost?
A. The actual nuts and bolts of building the building.
Q. So what are the types of things that wouldn't be hard costs that would be general conditions?
A. A bathroom, Port-A-Potty, cleaning the road, temporary utilities, labor.
Q. How does labor get paid for?
A. Through general conditions.
Q. Oh. Whose labor are we talking about now? Are we talking about the general contractor or the subcontractors?
A. It's both.
Q. Well, wouldn't the subcontractors' labor be paid for through the subcontractors' own contract?
A. Right, but that's part of the overall contract.
Q. So if we looked at a pay application for a subcontractor, would we be able to know how much of what
they were billing for was labor and how much was hard costs?
A. It depends on the subcontractor.
Q. What's a GMP?
A. Guaranteed maximum price.
Q. And what's a payment performance bond?
A. It's a -- there are two bonds, two separate
bonds that guarantee the performance of the work and the payment of the work.
Q. Is that something you're used to seeing on a construction project?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you aware of whether there's one in place on the Packard Square project?
A. Yes.
Q. What do you know about it?
A. I know that there's one. There's a payment bond and a performance bond.
Q. For whom? Whose bonds are --
A. Quandel.
Q. Are you aware of whether McKinley or O'Brien
has such a bond?
A. No.
Q. You're not aware or you're aware they do not?
A. They don't.
Q. Is that something that is of a surprise to you?
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A. No.
Q. In what situations would there not be a performance bond?
A. It's really at the discretion of the owner.
Q. Well, what would be the reason why somebody would want a performance bond?
A. To guarantee the work would be completed.
Q. So wouldn't it be to the receiver's best
interests in this particular case to have such a bond with O'Brien to make sure that the work is completed?
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. Because this was a project that was underway already. It's not from the ground up. So it was picking up where someone else left off.
Q. Why would that affect having a bond?
A. Because there's just a lot of variables of what
work was in and what work was deficient or what work was not done properly. So they're assuming a lot of that scope.
And it's difficult to get a bond for that type of project.
Q. Do you know if anybody tried in this case?
A. I don't know.
Q. Did you review the O'Brien contracts before
they were signed?
A. I saw them.
Q. Were you asked to give your opinion about them?
A. Certain parts of it, yes.
Q. What parts were you asked to give your opinion on?
A. Retainage, withholding.
Q. What about their fees?
A. No.
Q. What about the scope of their work?
A. That's in direct proportion to the drawings.
Q. So that is something you looked at to compare the drawings to what they were being asked to do?
A. No. It's in the -- document references the drawings that it's linked to. So that's part of the contract.
Q. Was there anything about any of the O'Brien contracts that gave you pause in any way?
A. No.
Q. Everything about the contracts as presented you thought were perfectly fine?
A. I didn't say that.
Q. Well, that's what I'm trying to understand.

Were there any problems -- I asked you if there were any problems that you saw with the contracts.

MR. DOLAN: Well, object to the form of the
question. She didn't say she was responsible for reviewing
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the contracts to determine if there were problems. She didn't testify to that.

MR. MILLER: Okay, I thought she said she reviewed the contracts.
Q. What was your purpose for reviewing the contract?
A. I didn't say I reviewed the contract.
Q. You didn't?
A. No.
Q. What did you say?
A. I said that there's certain parts of the contract that I saw. But I didn't actually review and opine on the contract.
Q. Just so we're clear, not on any O'Brien contract did you ever review or present an opinion to somebody else about any of the terms of the contract?
A. Well, this project wasn't so clear cut where there was a contract before the work. It was really documented through the courts. And the court approval.
Q. I don't understand. Can you explain that to me?
A. It was done in stages, so the first part of this was winterization, which wasn't an actual AIA contract to review.
Q. But at some point, whatever time of the
process, were you asked to review O'Brien's contracts before they were signed by the receiver?
A. I honestly don't remember.
Q. If you had been asked to do that, who might have asked you to do that?

MR. DOLAN: Objection. Calls for speculation.
MR. MILLER: Her own participation.
MR. DOLAN: She just said she doesn't remember.
Q. Do you recall ever reviewing the O'Brien contracts and noticing anything that you thought were problematic about them?

MR. DOLAN: I'm just going to object. She said at least three times she did not review the O'Brien contract.
Q. Ma'am, is that your testimony, you did not review the O'Brien contract? That's what Mr. Dolan said you said. Is that what you said?
A. I'm trying to remember, and I just don't remember what I reviewed. Or what I didn't review regarding the contract.
(Whereupon, CANIV 79047 through 79049 was
marked as Exhibit 57 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.)
Q. Let's turn to Exhibit 57. Why don't you take a moment and read this three-page e-mail string.
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A. (The witness reviews the document.) Okay.
Q. So it sure looks like based on your e-mail of March 28th, 2017 at 12:59 p.m. which is on the last page of this exhibit, that you were seeking to review the O'Brien contract, isn't that correct?
A. No, I wasn't seeking to review it.
Q. You actually were asked to review it.
A. I don't see that here.
Q. Well, why would you respond with your comments about insurance?
A. I know I wrote this, but this is not jogging my brain as to why I sent this over.
Q. Well, it sounds like that Chris Allen from McKinley sent it to you --
A. He sent it to a few people, yes.
Q. Regarding a conversation with contracts attached and a $\$ 9$ million budget, and he's going to forward you subcontractor contracts as well. Isn't that what it states?
A. Yes.
Q. So clearly part of your obligation or what you were asked to do is to review O'Brien's proposed contracts, isn't that right?
A. No, because this ties into the payment
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applications. So it was important to know what that dollar value was and how it was actually bought out via the subcontractor contracts.
Q. So why would you comment about "the second phase of work is very light on insurance requirements"?
A. So this -- I'm not an insurance broker. And I really don't recall why I sent this. This might have been through an insurance broker that actually shared this information and then I put it in here.
Q. Where is an insurance broker on this e-mail string?
A. They're not.
Q. Okay, so here's what we have, Ms. Van Curen.
A. Yeah, I see that.
Q. You are under oath. We have an e-mail from

Chris Allen to you, Kevin, Gerald, Matt Mason and Liz Walker.
A. Yes.
Q. You clearly must have had a conversation about contracts.
A. Because we were switching from a winterization cost of 2 point something from the court, and then we moved to this 9.1.
Q. That's fine. That's not the question. The question is, you were asked -- you must have been asked or
contract -- comments and revisions? If you look on the next e-mail that was two and a half hours -- the next day and two and a half hours later, he asked again, "Tina, did you have any other comments? If not, we will move forward with the contracts in order to proceed with the next phase." Do you see that?
A. Yes, I see that.
Q. Because you had comments, because look at your e-mail back just --
A. Yes, I did.
Q. -- 20 minutes later. What were your comments?
A. The form of the agreement was a question.
Q. What was your question about that?
A. That it was an open-ended agreement.
Q. And you said a GMP agreement is typically required. Why were you concerned about it being an open-ended agreement?
A. To control costs.
Q. So you were concerned that costs might be excessive otherwise?
A. No. I just wanted to make sure that the contract represented -- was something to control the cost.
Q. Can you explain why you were concerned that the initial contract wasn't likely to control the costs?
A. I wasn't concerned that it wouldn't. It's just
you chose to take it upon yourself to offer that there was a problem with the insurance requirements in the draft contract. Do you see that?
A. Yes, I see that.
Q. Okay, so you obviously shared your opinion about that; whether you were asked to or not you shared your opinion, correct?
A. I sent an e-mail, yes.
Q. And then you were thanked by Mr. Allen, "Please let me know if you have any further revisions I can incorporate." So clearly you were involved in the discussion of what the contract was ultimately going to say. Otherwise, Mr. Allen would have had no reason to thank you in that fashion, correct?

MR. DOLAN: Object to form and foundation.
Q. Correct, ma'am? If you can answer the question.
A. What's the question?
Q. Clearly you were asked to review the contracts if you were being invited to provide further revisions to the contract.
A. No, I was not asked to review the contracts.

The lawyers were handling the contracts.
Q. Well, then why does Mr. Allen -- do you have
any explanation as to why Mr. Allen keeps asking you about

Page 77
that my experience has been that it's best to have a lump sum or a fixed price, a GMP in this case, as opposed to cost of work plus a fee because then you don't know what the costs are.
Q. Right. And then the next one, it sounds like you were concerned about the contractor's fee as being 7.5 percent, is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And why were you concerned about that?
A. It says right here, higher than typical for size of project.
Q. Didn't you just testify that really the market rate was only 5 percent?
A. Approximately, yes.
Q. And then the general conditions --
A. But that's for -- that percent, you said what's the standard. That's based on a project that starts and finishes with the same contractor, and there's no interruptions. The whole fees and percentages, that all changes when you start having a stop or remobilization or a change in contractors or a change in subcontractors.
Q. But then why did you say this? You wrote this in March of 2017.
A. Yes, I did write it.
Q. So you must have also been concerned. You're
not sharing the concerns you just raised with me now, you didn't raise those then.
A. Raise what?
Q. You wrote that the fee is too high. You didn't say well, it might be okay because of the type of project that we're doing. No, no, that's not what you said. You said it's higher than typical for the size of this project. You didn't qualify it by saying well, but it's okay because you're a new contractor. You were obviously concerned that it was too high. Otherwise you wouldn't have written this, right?
A. I flagged it, yes.
Q. So it was a concern to you. That's fair.
A. Okay.
Q. That's fair? You're agreeing with that?
A. That it was a concern?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes, that's why I wrote it.
Q. So let's look at the next one. "General conditions total $\$ 1,625,500$ for a period of 14 months covering March 1 to" I assume to April 30, 2018. "Amount does not reconcile with amount in budget breakdown." Can you explain that to me?
A. What exactly do you want explained?
Q. Ma'am, you wrote it. I don't know what it
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means. Can you tell me what it means?
A. It means that the general conditions cover a period of 14 months from March 1 to April 30th.
Q. Well, what about the next sentence that you typed in bold?
A. Yes, it's referring to attachments. I don't have the attachment here to opine on.
Q. That's not what it says. It says, "The amount does not reconcile with the amount in the budget breakdown."
A. Attached, right. But that's not here.
Q. Well, that's true, it certainly isn't. But you don't recall what you were referring to as what the difference was in the budget breakdown?
A. I don't know the specific number, no.
Q. You don't recall?

You also noted that there were no attachments.
A. Yes. It was a draft.
Q. And were you concerned that there were items that were omitted or missing?
A. No. It wasn't -- it was just a comment, a comment to be sure that they're included. This was a draft.
Q. Okay, did you ultimately review and approve the final version?
A. I did not, no.
Q. You're sure?
A. That's what I recall, yes.

Can I get more water?
MR. DOLAN: She wants to walk around for a second.

MR. MILLER: I mean, okay. We can go off the record for a couple of minutes.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record. The
time is $12: 43$. This is the end of disk 2.
(Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record. The time is $1: 11$. This is the beginning of disk 3 .

MR. MILLER: So Ben, did you want to -- you asked me something at the end of -- before the last break.

MR. DOLAN: Yes. I handed you the engagement letter between Sidley Austin and Tina Van Curen. I needed to review it and see if there were any redactions that needed to be done to ensure that I didn't inadvertently disclose something that's privileged. I will get back to you tomorrow with either a complete unredacted version of it or partially redacted. But l'll find that out within 24 hours.

MR. MILLER: Oh. I was under the impression that you thought there was something for which you needed to ask for it back. Now it's a little bit different.

MR. DOLAN: I need to determine that, so yes.
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MR. MILLER: All right. Pressing on.
BY MR. MILLER:
Q. Welcome, everybody. Ma'am, are you familiar with the phrase "force majeure"?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know what it means?
A. I don't know the specific term.
Q. In what context are you aware of its existence at all?
A. Due to uncontrollable events, something happens.
Q. What does it mean for a project to be competitively bid?
A. It means that it goes out to multiple vendors to price out different scopes of work.
Q. Is that standard?
A. It's common.
Q. Is there a situation when you would not competitively bid any scope of work?
A. I'm sure there is.
Q. Can you describe one of those reasons?
A. The size of the project might be one reason.
Q. Size too small?
A. If it's a small scope -- if it's a small scope of work, or --
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Q. Is there a situation here like in Packard

Square where you would believe that it would be appropriate not to competitively bid the work that the receiver has been doing?
A. There's some small scopes of work, yeah.
Q. Like, can you name one?
A. I can't really think of any at the moment.
Q. If not the bidder, can you think of the work itself that you would think wouldn't need to have been competitively bid?
A. Like sometimes if there's not enough companies in the area that actually provide that service, that would be a situation. Like metal is usually one of those areas.
Q. Metal?
A. Yes. Miscellaneous metals, decorative metal. Welding.
Q. Does it depend on amount of money at issue, is that one OF the factors you would consider appropriately to bid or not competitively bid?
A. There's multiple variables.
Q. What are those variables?
A. Money, the size of the project, the scope being
bid. Or the fact if there's any viable -- multiple subcontractors in the area.
Q. All things being equal, if everything was
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perfect, what would your recommendation be to your clients as to how many bids they should get for a particular sub or a particular bid?
A. The industry standard is three.
Q. Three?
A. Mm-hmm.
Q. Why is that something you would suggest?
A. To make sure that the documentation is clear that's being bid, and to see what the prices are.
Q. So by doing it, you could potentially get better pricing.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay, that's a good reason.

When something has drawings completed and wants to hire a contractor, is it common to have that whole scope of work multiply bid?

MR. DOLAN: Can you repeat the question? Or whoever does the repeating.
Q. I'll do it. If you're going to hire a general contractor, you've got the whole thing drawn, would that also be something that you would have bid by multiple contractors? The whole general project as opposed to just the subs?

MR. DOLAN: You mean the construction drawings themselves?

MR. MILLER: No, I'm talking about doing the work.
Q. The drawings are done, ready to hire a GC.
A. Mm-hmm.
Q. Would you agree with me that it would be appropriate to competitively bid that as well as just a particular sub for metals, for example?

MR. DOLAN: Just object to the form. Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: Answer it?
MR. DOLAN: Yes. If you understand what he's asking, go ahead. I'm saying I don't but you might.
A. I'm sorry, can you repeat the question?
Q. Yes. Someone has drawings completed.
A. Yes.
Q. They want to hire a contractor.
A. Yes.
Q. Would they ever ask multiple contractors to bid on the entire scope of work?
A. Would they ever? Yes, there's instances where they would and then there's instances where they wouldn't.
Q. In which situations would you, as a construction consultant, suggest to your client you shouldn't bother, or you shouldn't go out and bid this to multiple general contractors?
A. Well, see, the -- what contract are you talking

Page 85
about? The overall GC?
Q. That's correct.
A. So it's bid within the divisions. Because the actual contractor that oversees all of it isn't actually performing the work. It's all through subs. So you would bid all those subs out multiply.

So to say not to bid the GC contract, ultimately what happens is if you were to do the GC contract, the same subs are going to be called because there's only a certain amount of subs in any given area. So more than likely, if you had three GCs, those three GCs are probably going to the same subs at some point because there's only a certain pool of subs available in that area.
Q. Okay, but you would agree with me that it makes sense to still competitively bid the general contract.
A. Yes, in a normal -- in a straightforward ground-up, starting new contract, yes.
Q. How much time would you normally suggest, or maybe what's normal in the industry to allow the proposed general contractor to create their bid? Is there a general timeline?
A. The process is six to eight weeks. Four to six. It just really depends.
Q. Do parking lots always go in first in a construction project like Packard Square?

# Tina Van Curen <br> 4/16/2018 

A. Parking lots? Prior to what?
Q. First. I mean, is that the first thing that
would be installed in a multiuse project like Packard Square?
A. As soon as you come into the site?
Q. Yes.
A. You have to demo whatever's there first.
Q. I'm talking about as construction goes.

Parking lots are first?
A. No. You would build the building first.
Q. How would emergency vehicles get access without parking lots and roads?
A. They wouldn't.
Q. So what happens if there's a fire?
A. What's your -- I don't understand your question. You asked me if that would be the first thing you do when you come on a site? You have to build a building first to have a parking lot around it. So you would build the building -- you would do demo if there's demo, obviously. Then you would build the building. Then you would to have put in some type of means for the site for vehicles to drive on.
Q. After the building is built?
A. Yes.
Q. So if in the midst of construction there were a
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fire, the building would just burn to the ground because there would be no way to access it for fire trucks? Because there are no roads?
A. Is the building built?
Q. No, no. In the midst of building the building.

The building is not completed.
A. Okay. So there's fire extinguishers.
Q. Fire extinguishers.
A. Mm-hmm.
Q. What if there's nobody on the site when the building starts to burn? How would the fire trucks get there?
A. You would have to protect the site to keep people from going into the site.
Q. Wouldn't you have to build temporary roads to allow the fire trucks to get back there if they needed to or other emergency vehicles?
A. Yes. You need a base for heavy vehicles to drive on. But there's usually construction rip rap at the entrances.
Q. What are frost laws?
A. Laws?
Q. Frost laws. Are you familiar with that phrase?
A. I don't know what frost laws are. Laws?
Q. Mm-hmm.
A. I know what frost is.
Q. Okay, what's frost?
A. When the ground freezes and thaws.
Q. Is there a situation where when the ground freezes and thaws, that construction work can't be performed on a building in the midwest?
A. Repeat the question.
Q. Yes. Is there a situation because the ground is freezing and thawing that construction work can't be performed in the midwest?
A. Well, when the ground is frozen it's hard to dig.
Q. What if it's already been dug, what about other construction, can't everything else be done during that time?
A. Everything is in broad scope. So there's certain trades that could be performed, yes.
Q. So what trades couldn't be performed while the ground is freezing and thawing?
A. Utilities. Utility work you wouldn't normally do. It's not that it can't be done. It's just that it's not commonly done.
Q. When you're referring to utility work, you're talking about underground utility work?
A. Yes.
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Q. But if there's electrical work to be performed in the building, that could certainly be done.
A. Yes.
Q. So what else can't be done?
A. When the ground is frozen?
Q. Because of -- are you not familiar with the phrase "frost laws" in Michigan?
A. No.
Q. You're not, okay. So is there anything that you're aware of that can't be worked on in the winter except for digging into frozen ground?
A. In the winter, what can't you do. You can't calk, can't do mortar -- anything that's subject to temperature.
Q. So let's talk about construction. Is there a benefit to be had for construction that moves faster versus construction that moves more slowly?
A. Yes, you occupy it faster.
Q. What other savings are there?
A. Labor, general conditions.
Q. Insurance?
A. Well, my understanding of insurance is that you buy it -- it doesn't matter the time that you buy it, as long as buy it at the onset of the construction. So whether you buy 18 months or 24 months, it's the same. That's my
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understanding in the industry.
Q. Interest on money being lent to build the building.
A. Yes.
Q. That would certainly be saved, sure. And you said general conditions and construction fees would probably be reduced.
A. General conditions I said.
Q. Not construction fees?
A. No, that's not what I said.
Q. Okay, would those be reduced?
A. Not normally, no.
Q. Have you reviewed a construction budget?
A. In my lifetime, yes.
Q. What types of things are normally included in such a budget?
A. Hard costs, owner items, materials, labor.
Q. Would you expect to see support for the numbers that are on the budget page, like bids and attachments?
A. Which page? I'm sorry.
Q. Okay, so if you look at a budget and the budget has numbers on it and says we're going to spend $\$ 500,000$ for this, 30,000 for this, $\$ 2$ million for this, when looking at the budget as a construction consultant, would you expect to see the support for those bid numbers, or do you just take
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the page at face value?
A. It depends on what the budget was presented for. If it's an initial estimate, no, it wouldn't.
Q. Well, I'm presuming -- my suggestion is -- what I'm talking about is you have a budget created, it says this is what we're going to do to build this building.
A. Mm-hmm.
Q. And it's going to cost $X$ amount of dollars.
A. Right.
Q. Wouldn't it be appropriate to have the bids that support the numbers or, as a construction consultant, would you just be expected to assume that the numbers are accurate on the budget itself?
A. No, you wouldn't have bids at that stage. Not at early stage you wouldn't have that. You wouldn't have bids available for that.
Q. Why does it have to be at an early stage.
A. You have to explain to me which budget you're talking about, because through the life cycle of a construction project there are multiple budgets that are created. I'm not clear on which budget you're asking.
Q. In this case, for example, there have been multiple budgets presented to the court.
A. Mm-hmm.
Q. Have you ever reviewed those?
A. The court documents? No.
Q. The budgets -- no, the budgets that were submitted to the court for approval.
A. I've seen budgets, multiple budgets, yes.
Q. Okay, when you've reviewed those budgets, have there been bids attached to them?
A. See, again, there's so many budgets, do you have a sample of what budget you're referring to?
Q. No, because the budgets I have don't have bids attached to them. I'm wondering if the bids you have --
A. No.
Q. You've never seen the bids themselves?
A. No. Not to the court, no.
Q. But you've seen budgets that have had bids attached in this project?
A. No. An actual budget with bids, no.
Q. So what does your budget look like that you've seen?
A. It's on an AIA form in G702. And it lists the trades and the divisions and the costs.
Q. So you're relying on whatever the contractor of that form has provided to you, but you haven't had the opportunity to review to make sure that the numbers that went into that form were supported by actual bids.
A. Well, it ultimately comes back -- it's
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supported by subcontracts to engage them. That's how you buy it out. That's a -- there's an initial budget and then it develops and then as they get bids, that gets formalized. So that's why I'm asking you. I'm not clear on which budget you're referring to.
Q. Well, in the fall of last year, 2017 --
A. '17, okay.
Q. -- there was a budget presented to the court for the costs it would take to finish Packard Square. Do you recall reviewing such a budget?
A. Is this the GMP budget?
Q. I believe it is.
A. Because there's multiple budgets here. And can I go back on something that you had inquired about with regards to this?

MR. DOLAN: When you say "this," you gotta say the number.
A. Number 57. You had inquired about it. You realize this was the bridge amount, the $\$ 9.1$ million. This wasn't regarding the actual GMP. This is the e-mail. I just wanted to point that out.

And with regards to the insurance, this was actually from -- there's an insurance specialist that reviews the insurance work. And this was actually something that I sent to them in trying to facilitate going forward to
review the actual insurance and get comments back faster. So this was actually the words of Sterling that I cut and pasted with regards to the insurance.
Q. Who's the insurance person?
A. Sterling. Sterling Insurance. So I just wanted to clarify that to you.
Q. Okay, but I'm talking about the new receiver loan --
A. Which is different from this one.
Q. Right. I'm done with that one.
A. Okay. I just wasn't sure it was clear.
Q. That's fine. No, I'm talking about the receiver loan. There was a budget that was supplied to the court that asked for a significant sum of additional money --
A. Yes.
Q. -- to finish the building.
A. Yes.
Q. And my question to you is, did you review the bids that went into that request based on the budget that was presented to the court?
A. No, I did not review each and every bid, no.
Q. Okay, so did you just -- did you at all review the bid itself? I'm sorry, the budget, did you review the budget itself?

## at one time.

Q. Was that something that -- were you hired to review bids?
A. No.
Q. So that's beyond what you're supposed to be doing.
A. Yes.
Q. That's what I didn't understand.

All right, is there a standard in the industry
of what a reasonable cost overrun would be?
A. No.
Q. So how do we determine what -- what is a cost overrun? Is it a dollar? How is that defined?
A. A cost overrun is usually money, yes.
Q. But how much money?
A. It depends on the project and the position of it. Every project is unique in its own situation.
Q. So in this project, for example, which is a $\$ 53$ million project, what would be in your mind a reasonable or appropriate, if there is one, cost overrun that would be expected or acceptable?
A. It's not possible to answer that question.
Q. Why not?
A. Because it really depends on why it went over budget and what the reasons were. If the same contractor is
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A. I saw the budget, yes.
Q. Did you think that the budget was high, low, appropriate for the type of work that needed to be finished?
A. I didn't think of it either way. It's an
extenuating circumstance because the building was partially
done by another contractor and another -- and contractors are picking it up. So it really is based on the current market.
Q. But this was a year later you understand.
A. Yeah, I understand that. But yeah, exactly the reason, the original GMP was probably two years prior to that locked in. Labor prices change, material costs increase. So I would expect it to be higher, yes.
Q. That wasn't my question. My question was, looking at the budget that was presented to the court, did you believe that the amounts and figures in it were appropriate for the work that still needed to be finished at the building?
A. Given the scope, yes.
Q. Okay, did you ever review any of the bids in conjunction with that budget?
A. I received contracts. No. Not bids.
Q. So if there had been other bids, they were not shared with you.
A. I don't recall seeing multiple bids presented
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staying in, if the same subs are staying -- I mean, there's just so many different variables that it's not -- there's not any set amount that you can expect. You set it up for 5 percent contingency. That's what you expect your overruns in a perfect world where the building is built by the same contractor that starts it and finishes it, that's what you would expect.
Q. So anything less than that is within expected norms, anything beyond that is beyond expected norms?
A. In a straightforward construction, yeah. I mean, you prepare for 5 percent of cost overruns. That's why you have a contingency.
Q. What is a cold dark shell?
A. A cold dark shell?
Q. Yes.
A. Is a structure that is cold and dark. I don't know how to answer that.
Q. To what extent has there been construction done in a space that's considered to be a cold dark shell?
A. Well, you can have an existing building where the power is shut off and the heat is off and you walk in and there's no lights and it's dark and cold.
Q. Does it have to do with whether there is or is not flooring completed?
A. No.
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Q. So it could have flooring, it could not have flooring, it would be the same?
A. Yes.
Q. Do retailers ever need to put plumbing into the ground under the ultimate flooring?
A. Usually, yes.
Q. And you would do that before the concrete is poured so they don't have to cut it up and reinstall it after the fact, right?
A. Usually. I've seen it done both ways.
Q. Which way is more economical?
A. To put it in before.
Q. Yeah, right. I have to ask this question because it goes to the Michigan rules of evidence. Please don't be offended. Have you ever been convicted of a felony?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever been convicted of a misdemeanor over the last ten years?

MR. DOLAN: It's for Michigan rules. He's not trying to be offensive. It's just for rules that are unique to depositions and testimony. Don't be offended by the question.
A. Okay, I'm not.
Q. Have you been convicted of a felony?
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A. No.
Q. Have you been convicted of a misdemeanor in the last ten years?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever filed bankruptcy?
A. No.
Q. When did you first learn of Packard Square in

## Ann Arbor?

A. When did I first learn? I'm still thinking
about your questions. I'm just trying to figure out the
placement of them.
When did I first learn about -- in 2016.
September I believe.
Q. And how did you learn about it?
A. Through Canyon.
Q. And who at Canyon contacted?
A. I believe Maria Stamolis.
Q. What did Marie ask you to do?
A. Needed assistance on a project.
Q. What about it?
A. Wanted to make sure that it was going to be finished on time.
Q. Did she tell you she was concerned at that time that the project wasn't going to be finished on time?
A. Oh, yes.
Q. What exactly did she say?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Do you recall why you first visited Packard Square?
A. Yes.
Q. Why?
A. To review the project and get to understand what was being built.
Q. And what were you directed specifically to do when you arrived there?
A. Just to see what's going on in the project, where it's at, what's being done. We met with Craig.
Q. Who all was there, if you recall, in addition to yourself and Mr. Schubner?
A. Myself, Schubner, Gerald Goldman, Janine Getler, and I think Kevin Scholz was there.
Q. Did Mr. Schubner take you around the project?
A. Yes.
Q. Where did you go in the project?
A. What do you mean? Throughout the whole project we went --
Q. Every floor, every room?
A. Not every room. We went through the whole building, through the corridors.
Q. Did you go up on the roof?
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A. Yes, I did.
Q. How long did that all take?
A. I believe we were there five or six hours.
Q. What time did you arrive?
A. $9,8: 30$.
Q. Did you leave to go to lunch?
A. I don't recall. Possibly.
Q. With Gerald and Kevin and Janine, you didn't all go to lunch?
A. I don't recall.
Q. And so then you returned after lunch to the project?

MR. DOLAN: I think she just said she doesn't recall if she left for lunch.
A. I don't recall.
Q. You believe you believe there for five straight hours?
A. Yes.
Q. And all of these other people were there walking with you at the same time?
A. I don't know if Craig was with us.
Q. So it's your testimony that you, Ms. Getler,

Mr. Scholz, Mr. Goldman, I think that's all there were, all walked through the project and it took you five hours to do that?
A. We were at the site for five hours, yes. We met with Quandel too afterwards in their trailers for a while after that.
Q. After the five hours or inclusive of the five hours?
A. No, inclusive.
Q. How much time was spent on the roof?
A. I don't recall. 30 minutes.
Q. You spent 30 minutes on the roof?
A. Yes.
Q. And how much time did you spend on each floor?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Did you go through the retail space?
A. Yes.
Q. How much time was spent there?
A. I don't know.
Q. How much time was spent in the parking garage?
A. I don't know.
Q. What was the mediation?
A. What's that?
Q. I'm asking you. What was the mediation?
A. I have no idea.
(Whereupon, CANIV 69231 through 69233 was marked as Exhibit 64 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.)
A. It is professionally, yes.
Q. I understand, but professionally special.
A. We work well together.
Q. Okay. And why does it seem again like it's Janine and Tina as a team? You'll note that Ms. Getler responds to the e-mail thanking Kevin and Ed.
A. Yup.
Q. So earlier we were talking about Janine's
role --
A. Yup.
Q. If you guys are a team or part of a team that are two favorite people who seem to work together, I would think that you'd be able to describe in more detail what it is that Janine does.
A. I did -- I told you, she's an attorney. She does legal matters. That has nothing to do with me. I'm in construction, she's in legal.
Q. That's not what it says. It says "to provide us with their industry knowledge and experience." It doesn't say to provide us with additional legal authority.
A. Right, but it doesn't not say that.
Q. No, it doesn't not say that, that's true. But I'm just -- didn't Canyon already have other lawyers? I'm trying to understand if you know why they would need yet another attorney.
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Q. Why don't you turn to Exhibit 64. Why don't you take a moment and read through this three-page e-mail string.
A. (The witness reviews the document.)
Q. Have you had a chance, ma'am, to review Exhibit 64?
A. Yes.
Q. Yes?
A. Yes.
Q. I'm going to direct you to the first e-mail dated September 7 at 9:14 a.m. where it says, "Ed," I don't know who Ed is, but Ed Schmitt I'm guessing from Canyon. Do you know Ed Schmitt?
A. Yes.
Q. "Our two favorite people, Janine and Tina, graciously offered to join the Packard Square team and provide us with their industry knowledge and experience."

I mean, obviously you can't speak for Kevin
Scholz, but is there a reason why Kevin would refer to you as Janine and you as his two favorite people?
A. He likes working with us.
Q. Well, was there something about the relationship that was special?
A. No.
Q. Professionally. I'm not --
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MR. DOLAN: Object to foundation. Go ahead and answer.
A. I don't know.
Q. Now, if you look at the Friday, September 9 e-mail from Ms. Getler, of which you were copied, it's the top of page 2. Top of page 2, ma'am. Are you there?
A. I'm here.
Q. "The mediation timetable, Tina and I are prepared to go out on Thursday." What is the mediation timetable?
A. I have no idea.
Q. Well, when you got this e-mail, what did it mean?
A. I was copied on it. It wasn't to me.
Q. Well, you've been copied on all of them.
A. Yes, but only one of them says something about mediation.
Q. Well, no, let's look at page 1, Mr. Goldman says, "I have not heard about the mediation." So evidently Ms. Getler knew about the mediation but Mr. Goldman did not know. And you don't know what the mediation is or was?
A. No. I wouldn't know.
Q. So you did not go out there for a mediation.
A. No. I'm not an attorney. I wouldn't be involved in mediation.
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Q. Well, do you have any idea what he's referring to when he says "let's plan to meet Thursday and if we have more information about the mediation, we can discuss and react as needed"?
A. Where are you reading that from?
Q. The bottom of the first page.
A. That's the first page. MR. DOLAN: Which e-mail is it? MR. MILLER: The last sentence of the first
page. Right above --
A. Oh, this one?
Q. Yes.
A. Okay, what's the question?
Q. You don't have any idea what that means?
A. No.
Q. Now let's look at the top e-mail. It says you could be in Ann Arbor by a.m. Were you at Packard Square by 10 a.m. or at 8:30, as you just testified?
A. I don't recall. I would say our flight was very early in the morning. It was a 6:00 in the morning flight, so $8: 30$, I would stick with that.
Q. You thought you were on site by 8:30 even though Ms. Getler said you would be there by 10 ?
A. Yes. It also says our flights are very early in the morning, which it was.
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Q. Yes, and we could be in Ann Arbor by 10, does that work.
A. Okay.
Q. So what were you asked to do -- let me ask it a different way. Were you asked to do something different on that date than Janine was asked to do that day?
A. I don't know what Janine was asked to do. I know that I was asked to visit the site and see what was going on.
Q. Okay, did you create a report?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And to whom did you send the report?
A. I think it was to you. I think it was to Ben Dolan.
Q. Why would you send a report to Ben Dolan?
A. Because I wasn't contracting with anybody at that point. And that's who I sent it to.
Q. When did you send that report to Ben Dolan?
A. October.
Q. October.
A. Yes.
Q. You were there on September 16th and you sent a report to Mr. Dolan in October.
A. Yes.
Q. Did you make notes when you were there on

September 15 or 16 , or whatever the date was?
A. We took pictures.
Q. So other than the photos, there were no written notes?
A. I don't recall. There's a good possibility there was.
Q. Well, where would those notes be?
A. I don't recall. I honestly think it was photos and just getting up to speed and listening to Craig on the status of the project and what's going on. We were just getting up to speed on the work that was underway.
Q. So then why would you give a report to Mr. Dolan?
A. Because the report was inclusive of documentation that I received afterwards, subsequently, from Craig and Quandel.
Q. I'm still lost as to how Mr. Dolan gets into this conversation.

MR. DOLAN: Yeah, and at that point, beyond giving me the report, that's work product.

MR. MILLER: She wasn't your client then, sir.
MR. DOLAN: That doesn't matter.
MR. MILLER: Sure. It's her report. It's not your report.

MR. DOLAN: I asked her to give it to me.
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MR. MILLER: Where is the report? I've never seen the report.

MR. DOLAN: Because it's work product.
MR. MILLER: When did you retain --
Q. Ms. Van Curen, when did Mr. Dolan retain you? MR. DOLAN: It doesn't matter. Work product is governed by attorney-client privilege. It's governed by the work product doctrine. It's different.

MR. MILLER: I'm asking the question.
MR. DOLAN: Go ahead.
Q. When did Mr. Dolan or his firm retain you?
A. When he asked me for the report.
Q. And he paid you?
A. Did he -- no, he didn't pay me directly.
Q. Did you sign a retainer agreement with him?
A. No.
Q. Okay, so just so we're crystal clear, you created a report.
A. Yes.
Q. And you think you created it in October.
A. Yes.
Q. So it was weeks after you were there.
A. Yes.
Q. And you didn't have notes. It was based on the photos.
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A. It wasn't based only on the site visit. It was based on the documentation that Packard Square provided to me. So it was based on the contracts that were in place with Quandel. It was all work that was in place and underway and ongoing. That's what the report was surfaced around.
Q. When is the first time you communicated with Mr. Dolan?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Was it within days of being on the site? Or was it weeks?
A. I don't recall.
Q. What was completed at the site at the time of that visit?
A. What was completed at the site? The
superstructure was up. There was no particular scope that was fully completed.
Q. So nothing was completed as far as you're concerned.
A. No, it was a construction site ongoing.
Q. Ongoing construction. Okay, did you assess the status of the project at that point?
A. During the walk-through?
Q. Mm-hmm.
A. No.
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Q. What were you looking for if not the status of the project?
A. Well, you have to walk through the whole job and you have to put it together. You don't just determine right out in the field while you're standing there what completion it is.
Q. When did you, if ever, do that and come to a conclusion about what the status was at that point in time?
A. October.
Q. So in October you went back and reviewed it?
A. Yes.
Q. So going back a month in time, did you then come to backdate in your mind what was the status back in September when you were there?
A. Ask the question again.
Q. Yes. In October you just said you were able to determine what the project status was --
A. Yes.
Q. -- at the point in time you were there in

## September.

A. Mm-hmm.
Q. What was it? What was the status in September when you were there after having reviewed the items in October?
A. It was a construction project underway.
Q. Right.
A. The structure was up, there was very little activity going on. There was maybe 20, 25 people working. Quandel was there babysitting the project. There was panels on the exterior going up or underway. They weren't actually being -- I don't think they were being installed at the time, I don't recall. There was some mechanical MEP roughs started.
Q. Do you have an opinion at that time as to what percent of the project was complete as of September 15th?
A. Yes.
Q. What is that?
A. That project with that current contractor and the scope, because that plays a role into percent complete, was probably at 55,60 percent at best.
Q. Did you have an opportunity to make that type of determination as it pertained to each of the major trades? Like if I said what was the electrical as of September 15th as far as percent complete, do you have an opinion as to that?
A. You wouldn't do that. You would do month end. And that was based on the applications for payment. That's how you would do the electrical part of it.
Q. But you weren't there at the month end, were you? You were only there the month middle.
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A. After, right. So it would be projected through August 31st for 9/15.
Q. Okay. So what was --
A. It would be based on the applications for payment that were submitted.
Q. And you reviewed those?
A. I did.
Q. So do you recall what the status was of fire suppression at that time?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Do you have it in your notes or report somewhere?
A. It's part of the application process. So it would be in the draw paperwork.
Q. That was presented by Quandel and the developer --
A. By --
Q. -- in September?
A. By Craig, yes.
Q. Was the building substantially roughed in when you were there in September?
A. No.
Q. It was not. To what extent do you think it was roughed in? The fire suppression that is.
A. Fire suppression or mechanical rough-in?
Q. Fire suppression, and then we'll talk about the others.
A. I don't recall.
Q. What about the plumbing, did you review the plumbing?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you have a --
A. I don't recall.
Q. Where --
A. You're asking me for percent completes from two years ago.
Q. Well, it was the first time you were there and it sounds like you created a report. So it seems like it would be a good starting point seeing it was your first visit. Do you have those notes somewhere, or there are no notes?
A. No.
Q. So would that also be true with electrical as to what may or may not have been left to do with electrical?
A. The work was barely underway. It was in the rough-in phase. There was very little mechanical MEP rough-in in the job.
Q. When was the next time you visited?
A. January I believe.
Q. Why did you return that time?
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A. I think there was concern about making sure the building was protected because Quandel was fired at that point. Or prior to.
Q. Were you aware of the arrangement that my client had made to replace Quandel with a different construction company?
A. I heard a name of another contractor, but I
wasn't -- I don't know any arrangements that were made. No.
Q. Gleason?
A. That was the name I heard.
Q. But you were not involved in any of that, you didn't review their bid, you didn't review their contract, nothing like that?
A. No.
Q. Did you agree with the pay applications that Quandel had submitted that you reviewed?
A. No.
Q. You did not?
A. No.
Q. What didn't you agree about?
A. There's multiple. Usually I would send out an e-mail. I'm sure that that was provided with a list of items that needed backup or substantiation or didn't match what was in the field.
Q. If Gaylor's pay application -- Gaylor was the

## electrician.

A. Yes.
Q. If Gaylor's pay application said that they were 82 percent completed --
A. Yes.
Q. -- would you agree with that?
A. No.
Q. Do you have an opinion at all as to what they were?
A. No.
Q. But not 82 percent.
A. Correct.
Q. Do you think it was even 50 percent?
A. There was quite a bit of material in a trailer that they had. I understand. So without the inventory at that point in time, no, I wouldn't be able to give you a percentage.
Q. How many times have you been to the site?
A. Roughly 20.
Q. How often do you go?
A. Monthly.
Q. When is the next time you're scheduled to go?
A. May.
Q. Do you remember the date?
A. 3rd.
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Q. May 3rd? And then not again until June?
A. Potentially the end of May. It depends on how the schedule works.
(Whereupon, CANIV 71772 was marked as Exhibit 39 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.)
Q. Let me direct your attention to number 39, please. Before we get to -- one more question back on Gaylor. Did you subsequently learn what the inventory was that Gaylor had in the trailer?
A. There was a lien process that was underway, and that was submitted as part of that process.
Q. Did you go in and see what they had?
A. I did not. There was nobody available to unlock it.
Q. 39. Have you -- do you recall this e-mail?
A. I don't recall it.
Q. Well, you were copied on it from Ms. Getler to

Mr. Mason. Do you see that?
A. Mm-hmm.
Q. And you're copied on it. "Hi, Matthew, I work with Tina and Kevin whom you met on January 20th. Maria Canyon has asked us to make two trips per month so I am scheduled to make the next trip on February 10th."

Was there a time that you were going twice per month?
Q. Are you aware if there were times that Janine was going twice per month?
A. Yes.
Q. So she was going twice per month but you were only going once per month.
A. Yes.
Q. And you still don't know what she was doing when she got there twice per month.
A. No, not specifically.
Q. Did you or Hourglass have a contract with

Canyon?
A. Yes.
Q. What were the terms of that contract?
A. To review pay applications.
Q. Did that arrangement ever change?
A. №.
Q. So when did you enter into the contract with

Canyon initially to review pay applications?
A. I think December or November.
Q. Nothing before that?
A. №.
Q. At the time that you went through the building the first time on September 15th, what was the condition of the drywall status?
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A. Drywall was loaded in some areas but there was no work underway.
(Whereupon, CANIV 69016 was marked as Exhibit 63 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.)
Q. Let me direct your attention to Exhibit 63.

Have you ever seen these e-mails before?
A. There's only one or two.
Q. There's two.
A. There's only one page.
Q. That's right. Two e-mails.
A. (The witness reviews the document.) Okay.
Q. Do you see at the bottom where you were directed to the e-mail from Kevin Scholz that there was status of your amended engagement letter?
A. Mm-hmm.
Q. Do you see that?
A. Mm-hmm.
Q. That's a yes?
A. Yes.
Q. So this is September 26th. So in order to have an amended engagement letter, there must have been an initial engagement letter, wouldn't you agree?
A. Yes.
Q. So --
A. But I did not have an executed agreement at all.
Q. So you went to work for Canyon without an agreement?
A. That's correct.
Q. What was the terms of the unsigned agreement?
A. They were similar. And it was just a matter of how often I would go.
Q. Well, when did you not -- when did you receive the first engagement letter that you didn't sign?
A. Nobody signed it. Nobody signed the letter. It was a draft attempt in determining the scope of the engagement. And it got marked up. And then it had to be revised. So amended isn't really the right word here.
Q. So what was in the original and what is in the amendment and what was the final?
A. The only difference was between visiting the site twice a month or once a month. That was the only difference. Which is in the final engagement letter which you have.
Q. Well, I don't because the final engagement letter that I just gave back was from an entity that's not a party to this e-mail string.
A. No, it was submitted to the court.

MR. DOLAN: Different engagement letter.
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MR. MILLER: That's what I'm thinking. That's not what she said.
Q. What's the engagement letter that you're referring to? I got the one from Sidley he just handed me. This one's from Canyon.
A. Right.
Q. I don't have an engagement letter from you from Canyon.
A. Sure you do. It was issued through the courts.
Q. How would you know that?
A. Because they asked me for it. There was an e-mail at one point about -- for the court and something was discussed during court. And they needed a copy of it.
Q. How would you know if -- so somebody told you? You don't have any personal knowledge.
A. Oh, yeah. I spoke with Ben about it.
Q. So Ben told you --

MR. DOLAN: Hold on.
MR. MILLER: It's her testimony. That's your client.

MR. DOLAN: We're not testifying about what I told you or you told me.

THE WITNESS: Oh, okay, I'm sorry.
MR. DOLAN: Those are privileged conversations.
But keep going. To the extent you can comment about other
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things, that's fine.
Q. So ma'am, do you have any personal knowledge
that you ever -- it doesn't matter. Let's talk about the engagement letter you didn't sign. What were the terms of that letter? Did it provide a price, did it provide a scope of work? What did it say?
A. It was to review the project status and to review pay applications on a monthly basis.
Q. For how long?
A. There was no contract time.
Q. And what was the rate of pay?
A. Per visit was 5,500 .
Q. And what were you going to -- what were you charged to do or directed to do at each one of these visits? Or was that up to you to decide?
A. I would meet with the contractor at the time and review pay applications and subcontracts.
Q. How long did that take each time you visited?
A. Well, I didn't start -- that never happened -it never materialized because Quandel was fired.
Q. So what was the amendment? That was just instead of twice a month it was going to be once a month?
A. Yes.
Q. Did that letter ever get signed?
A. Yes.
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Q. What were the terms of that letter?
A. The same letter.
Q. \$5500 to go once a month.
A. Yes.
Q. And to review pay applications with the contractor.
A. Yes. The one prior was twice a month, $\$ 7500$.
Q. Per time? Per visit?
A. No. I'm not sure. I don't remember.
Q. And you go there for how long when you visit?
A. Four hours.
Q. And you include your travel time?
A. No.
Q. So you're getting $\$ 1250$ an hour?
A. Well, I go for four hours and then there's travel time, right.
Q. I literally just asked you if that was including travel time and you said no.
A. Well, I don't charge extra for the travel time.

So yes, it is included.
Q. How much time are we talking about for one of these days for $\$ 5500$ including travel time?
A. It's probably like four days of work.
Q. Four days of work?
A. Mm-hmm. Three days.
Q. What do you do over the four days or three days of work in addition to the visit? Or are you there for three days?
A. No.
Q. Okay, can you describe what you do on a monthly basis?
A. Okay, so I have to -- when I'm at the site I
walk the project, meet with the team to discuss the pay application, review the pay application, and then afterwards I -- I'll receive a finalized pay application, make sure the changes were made and cross-reference all the documentation that supports it and lien waivers and subcontracts for each item.
Q. And how many hours does that take you to do other than the site visit?
A. It's probably another two days of work, day and a half of work.
Q. So it's 12 to 20 hours? I don't want to guess. You tell me.
A. Yeah, it's about -- yeah, maybe 15 to 20 hours overall.
Q. When did you first hear about a lawsuit relating to Packard Square?
A. I don't know that. I don't know that I have a date about it.
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Q. Do you recall from whom you heard about it?
A. No.
Q. Do you recall the reason presented to you as to why such a lawsuit was going to be filed?
A. No.
Q. You don't remember anything?
A. No. It's not my area of knowledge. (Whereupon, CANIV 71799 was marked as Exhibit
38 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.)
Q. Let me direct your attention to Exhibit 38.

This October 20th and October 21st, 2016. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And so it looks like Maria Stamolis sends an e-mail to you and Ms. Getler --
A. She copied me, yes.
Q. It's not really clear, but that's fine.

Can you recall and tell me why the last couple of days/weeks have demanded a lot of your time?
A. Because we were getting up to speed on a project that had been going on for a period of time and there was a lot of documentation to review as far as the contract -- the current contracts in place, the drawings, where the pay applications were at.
Q. At this point were you aware that there had been a change in the general contractors?
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A. No. What contractors?
Q. Gleason took over for Quandel on October 17th.

MR. DOLAN: Object to the form of the question.
Q. You're not aware of that?
A. No.
Q. You're not aware of that?
A. No.
Q. Do you have an opinion as to what "this mission critical" means?
A. I don't have an opinion for that, no.
Q. Do you have an opinion as to what "we are now in a super time sensitive place" meant?
A. No, I don't.
Q. Do you know what -- what were the other things you may have had going on at the time?
A. What's the question?
Q. What were the other things that you may have had going on?
A. Life perhaps. I don't know.
Q. Did you have other business obligations, did you have obligations to Canyon, did you have other site visits you were going on? I mean, I don't know either.
A. Normal life. Course of life. Professional life, yes.
Q. "Tina's affidavit must," all caps, "be

I have to do. I worked on it.
Q. I meant in order to work on this. Did you drop the other things you may have had going on?
A. I got it done is what I did.
Q. "Anything you have to move to make yourself available for this so that by 9 a.m. PST tomorrow we are complete with your affidavit. That's where this has to be." You don't have any recollection as to why it was so urgent?
A. No, I don't know.
Q. No idea at all?
A. No.
Q. All caps, "Please, please coordinate with our local counsel and be in communication about logistics now." What was that referring to?
A. I don't know. It has to do with the affidavit.
Q. What were the logistics?
A. I have no idea.
Q. Okay, let's look at Janine's response. Do you recall speaking with Janine about this "assignment"?
A. Yes.
Q. What did you guys talk about?
A. About the status of the project and what was finished and what wasn't. Normal construction stuff.
Q. Why would you be discussing construction stuff with Janine? That's not a legal issue, is it?
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A. Well, the affidavit is.
Q. Did she draft the affidavit?
A. I don't know who drafted it.
Q. Did you draft the affidavit?
A. It was a legal form. I filled it in, yes. I assisted in filling it in, yes.
Q. Okay, "We're going to turn this in the morning and are scheduled to speak about it first thing tomorrow morning to put the finishing touches on it. We are both working on it and both sensitive to the timeline."

So Tina. What made you sensitive to the timeline?
A. I don't understand.
Q. Maria is in a rush to get something done.
A. Yes.
Q. You testified that that's your affidavit.
A. Yes.
Q. You don't know why it was such a rush, but according to Janine, you and she are both sensitive to the timeline.
A. Right.
Q. What was it about the timeline that you were sensitive about?
A. To get it completed.
Q. What was the rush?
-
A. I don't know what the rush was. Ask her.
Q. Well, I would love to. But I'm asking you
because according to this, you were sensitive to the timeline.
A. I didn't write that. Janine wrote that. And I was getting -- if I'm told to complete a task and there's timelines in the professional world that you're given, you try to hit them. That's all I know.
Q. And you have no recollection as we're sitting here today why it was such a rush, why Maria needed it that way?
A. No, I don't.
Q. "Please know we will do our best...thank you for including us."
A. I don't see that part, but okay.
Q. It's the last sentence of Janine's e-mail.
"Thank you for including us and please know we will do our best."
A. Oh, here it is. Okay.
Q. You found it?
A. Yes.

MR. DOLAN: You just read it backwards.
MR. MILLER: I acknowledge that.
Q. So what was your best that you were going to do?
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## A. Complete the affidavit.

Q. That somebody else prepared for you. Don't look at him.

MR. DOLAN: Object to the form of the question.
The reason she's looking at me is because she already testified that she assisted in filling in the affidavit.
Now you're trying to get her to say that someone else did it. You can ask these tricky kinds of questions all day if you want, but I'm pretty sure she answered the question.
Q. Somebody else prepared the affidavit and you had to review it and sign it.

MR. DOLAN: That's not what she said.
Q. Well, tell me.

MR. DOLAN: She already did. You're ignoring her testimony, or you're just not listening. I don't know which.
Q. Do you recall who prepared the affidavit?

MR. DOLAN: Object to the form of the question.
She already asked and answered that. Or you asked that, she answered.
Q. Ma'am, you don't get to not answer. He lodges his objection and then you answer it.

MR. DOLAN: You want a different answer or the same one?

MR. MILLER: No, I want her to answer it.

Maybe I wasn't paying attention because I was reading the e-mail. I'd like her to tell me the answer.
A. What's the question?
Q. Who prepared your affidavit?
A. I assisted in writing it, yes.
Q. Who prepared your affidavit?
A. I don't know.
Q. It just materialized out of thin air.
A. Just like earth, yes.
Q. Interesting.

MR. DOLAN: You have to change the tape? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes.
A. Good, because I'd like to take a break.
Q. You don't get a break. That's not how it works.

MR. DOLAN: Yes it does.
MR. MILLER: There's no predetermined "I get to take a break."

MR. DOLAN: She can take a break any time she wants.

MR. MILLER: Well, the problem with that is that we've wasted at least an hour of my time. And we've got a lot to get to.

MR. DOLAN: I disagree with that.
MR. MILLER: You can disagree all you want.
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MR. DOLAN: But she gets to take breaks. This isn't a prison. You can't hold her here. If she wants to get up and walk around and stretch her legs, she could do that.

MR. MILLER: Is that what you told her?
MR. DOLAN: I'm telling you that. She also can take a bathroom break or water break, whatever she wants. The fact that you ask irrelevant questions that extend this deposition as long as it should is your problem.
Q. In addition to the report that you prepared in October, have you done any additional reports?

MR. DOLAN: I'm just going to object to the extent it calls for privileged information. But beyond that, go ahead and answer.

MR. MILLER: I didn't ask what was in the report. I asked if she did any reports.
A. Yes.
Q. And how many reports have you done?
A. I don't know the exact quantity.
Q. Do you do one every month when you go to the site?
A. Yes.
Q. To whom do you send those reports?
A. Liz.
Q. Directly to Ms. Walker?
A. Yes.
Q. Anybody else?
A. I don't recall.
Q. What about to Ms. Getler?
A. I'm not sure.

MR. MILLER: Let's take that break then.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record. The
time is $2: 14$. This is the end of disk 3.
(Recess taken.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record.
The time is $2: 25$. This is the beginning of disk 4 .
BY MR. MILLER:
Q. All right, ma'am, we were talking about reports when we took that break. I would like to direct your attention to Exhibit 86, please.
(Whereupon, CANIV 59851 was marked as Exhibit 86 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.)
Q. This again is an October 19 in the afternoon.
A. $M m$-hmm.
Q. And it talks about photos.
A. Mm-hmm.
Q. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. It's interesting, she sent -- maybe I should ask it a different way. Did Janine have the photos?
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## A. I don't know.

Q. Well, it says she wrote "doing that now" a few minutes after the request was made. And then you responded four and a half hours later. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have an explanation as to what took you so long to respond?
A. No.
Q. Do you believe that Ms. Getler had the photos, or you had the photos?
A. I'm not sure.
Q. Is it normal --
A. Apparently we both had them.
Q. Oh, okay, did you give them to her?
A. I don't know.
Q. Is it normal for her to speak for you, to imply that when it says "doing that now" and it was directed to the both of you, that that just meant she would ask you to take care of it?
A. No.
Q. Let's look at Exhibit 79, please. (Whereupon, CANIV 59859 was marked as Exhibit 79 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.)
Q. We talked about this earlier. According to
your e-mail, same day, October 19, you never sent a formal
report with pictures.
A. Okay.
Q. So did you prepare a report when you saw Packard Square in September thereafter, or you did not send a -- create a report?
A. No, I did.
Q. When did you do that?
A. After October 19 th.
Q. And you sent that to whom again?
A. I don't recall.
Q. I thought you testified a moment ago that you sent your reports to Liz Walker.
A. Yeah, l'd have to look and see who the report is to. I don't recall. I do send my reports to Liz Walker.
Q. But you were not retained by Liz Walker in October of 2016.
A. That's correct.
Q. Why would you send them to her?
A. I didn't say I sent it to her. I said I -- you
made a comment that I send my reports to Liz Walker, and I concur yes, I do. Now I do.
Q. But from September 2016 to February 2017 you did not.
A. February when?
Q. 2017. That's when you got retained.
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A. Right.
Q. So who did you send your reports to then? You don't remember?
A. Yeah, I don't know.
Q. Prior to today, have you been represented by the Dickinson Wright law firm?
A. No.
Q. Is it possible to get from you the engagement letters, the reports, the other documents you made reference to today?
A. Sure. I don't see why not.
Q. Are they stored on Dropbox or are they at your office on your hard drive?
A. They are not on Dropbox.
Q. They're on your hard drive?
A. Mm-hmm.
Q. Are you aware of any foundation settlement in the retail wings at Packard Square?
A. Yes.
Q. What do you know about those?
A. I know that one of the footings was not installed as it was required to be. And it caused the floor above to have cracks in it.
Q. Do you recall if that was repaired?
A. Yes.
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Q. Do you recall when it was repaired?
A. In 2017 at one point.
Q. Do you know by whom?
A. I don't know the name of the sub off the top of my head.
Q. Do you recall what it cost?
A. No.
Q. Well, I'm going to address your attention to

Exhibit 82 and see if that might refresh your recollection.
(Whereupon, CANIV 59805 was marked as Exhibit 82 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.)
Q. The first e-mail dated December 14, 2016 at 10:49 p.m., the one on the top talks about Kent Companies invoicing $\$ 66,695$ for install of helical piers.
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. And that was fully funded, correct?
A. Mm-hmm. Right. That was prior to.
Q. Prior to what?
A. This work was prior to me visiting the site.
Q. So is it your testimony that there was
additional installation after this work was done?
A. Yes.
Q. And you have no recollection as to who did that or how much it cost?
A. This particular e-mail trail was trying to

Page 139
determine who actually installed it to begin with and what the amount that was paid out. So that's what this was. This was research to determine who was involved in the process to begin with when it was built.
Q. Well, it was ELS Construction I believe that installed the piers and footings to begin with. But I think the Kent Companies was there to do, like, a repair job on what somebody else didn't do. Do you have any recollection of that?
A. Here it says that they did -- they installed helical piers. So I don't know -- I don't recall.
Q. Do you know anything else about the foundation settlement or any of the repairs that were done to it?
A. Yeah, I know that helical pier was installed underneath the footing that was not -- was failing. And there was some ground vibration put into place, equipment put into place to monitor the movement of the building.
Q. So you're saying that there were additional helical piers installed after the ones installed by Kent Companies.
A. One.
Q. One pier.
A. Yes.
Q. But you don't know by whom.
A. I don't recall.
Q. Did you ever review the Quandel GMP statement of cost?
A. They submitted that with their prior applications.
Q. Did you ever review it?
A. Not all of them. Only I think rec 19. I believe.
Q. Let's look at Exhibit 6.
(Whereupon, Statement of Proposed Guaranteed Maximum Price was marked as Exhibit 6 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.)
Q. Have you ever seen this document before?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever do an analysis of the owner direct
hard costs when you went in there in September-October 2016?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you compare it to the Quandel GMP in order to figure out what the dollar amounts were?
A. Yes.
Q. But not this particular version of it?
A. I don't believe so, no.
Q. Were you aware of what Quandel's budget was for base and casing material? In this version it's in division 6.
A. Yes, it's an allowance. And on this particular
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form it's an allowance for 50,000.
Q. Right. And millwork a million. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. What about off-site sanitary? Looks like 200,000, on the next page.
A. Okay.
Q. And then there were toilet accessories also, $\$ 60,000$, also on page 2. Right?
A. Yes.
Q. So you add those up, it's about $\$ 1.3$ million.
A. Okay.
(Whereupon, CANIV 59757 and Attachment was marked as Exhibit 78 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.)
Q. Let's look at Exhibit 78. Have you had a chance to review those two pages? "Attached is a spreadsheet I previously created." Do you see that?
A. I'm still reading it. (The witness reviews the document.)

Okay.
Q. So did you create the document that's page 2 of Exhibit 78?
A. Yes, it looks like my form.
Q. Is that the spreadsheet that you previously
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created showing owner direct items and monies paid toward those line items totalling $\$ 3.4$ million? I'm reading that on your other e-mail.
A. Yes.
Q. So what is the actual rec cost?
A. Red cost? I don't understand.
Q. Rec cost. It's one of your columns there.
A. Rec cost.
Q. Yes.
A. This is recommended cost.
Q. What's the total?
A. 5.5 .
Q. $\$ 5,586,991.87$.
A. Yes.
Q. Correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you showed the Quandel budget as being $\$ 4,056,442$. Do you see that?
A. Where is that?
Q. Same document.
A. Yes.
Q. So on October 2016 you showed a $\$ 1.5$ million cost overrun, correct?
A. Where do you see that?
Q. I'm subtracting actual rec cost from Quandel
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budget.
A. Yes.
Q. It's a million and a half difference, right?
A. Yes. But these are owner related items.
Q. I'm sorry?
A. You're mixing up Quandel budget and owner items.
Q. Explain, please.
A. So Quandel had a budget to provide certain
scopes of work. Certain scopes of work were removed from Quandel's budget and being performed directly by the owner.
Q. Okay.
A. So originally Quandel was to perform that work, but it was being removed from them and taken over by ownership. So I just want to be clear on what the budgets are that you're looking at.
Q. Right, but is that -- if you're not you and you didn't create this, doesn't it look like there's a $\$ 2.2$ million overrun?
A. It says that that's the balance to finish.
Q. Right, but that's --
A. No, it doesn't look like a 2.2. It looks like 2.2 is the balance to finish paying for those items.
Q. Right, but that's assuming that the Quandel budget number of $\$ 4,056,000$ is accurate.
A. No, it's based on the actual recorded cost -the recommended cost of 5.5 .
Q. Right, but doesn't it look like that this is a million and a half over budget?
A. But it was no longer in Quandel's budget so it doesn't matter what Quandel's budget was. Quandel budgeted $\$ 4$ million for these items. The owner took it over. And based on the purchase orders and any documentation related to purchasing those items is what's in the actual recommended cost.

And then the paid to date, it was -- it was an automatic of what's been paid to date against them based on the draw process. And that was the balance to finish paying for those unrelated items.
Q. Where is the column that shows the million dollars of millwork that you say the owner had taken over?
A. It's right there. Flooring and tile.
Q. No, it's blank. Millwork is blank. Next to Quandel budget it says nothing. It says --
A. Which exhibit is that, the other one that you're referring to millwork?
Q. 6.
A. This isn't my chart though.
Q. This is Quandel's chart.
A. Right.
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Q. You just testified that the owner was taking it over.
A. Certain scopes, right. But millwork is not here because Quandel hadn't released it yet.
Q. But you didn't put anything in for millwork in the budget, so it looks like when you look at the second page of 78 , if you're not aware of the additional information that you're telling us right now that somebody else was taking care of it, it looks like that the actual rec cost is million and a half dollars over the budget.

MR. DOLAN: I'm just going to object to the form of the question. Go ahead.
A. So this is material.
Q. Yeah?
A. And then somebody has to install it.
Q. Mm-hmm.
A. This doesn't include installation. And Quandel still had numbers in their budget. This is specific to owner direct costs and what was paid for as far as whether it's material or material and installation. So Quandel still had numbers involved in their budget still for install. Or both or one or the other. So we can't mix apples and --
Q. Okay, but then why is millwork on here then if --
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A. Because he bought material. So that's the cost of the material he was buying and he paid towards some of it. So I'm capturing what he contracted to buy on a -- for the material to get installed. So there was a material cost that he paid. The owner paid. And then there was money paid against it, and that was the balance to pay on it.
Q. Right, I understand.
A. It's a snapshot of where you're at at that present time because you're in the middle of construction. So if one person buys it and someone else is installing it, you have to account for both because it has to get installed. So this is the material that the owner purchased and had actual contracts and proposals or whatever documentation he supplied for it.
Q. Right, but the Quandel budget had these items in it and so by not --
A. But this chart is specific to owner. It's headed "owner direct hard costs." It's not direct to Quandel. Quandel still had -- there was another chart that had Quandel costs associated with it.
Q. I understand, but if you're not as
sophisticated as you are, Ms. Van Curen, and you look at this and you just look at the snapshot, it looks like it's significantly over budget because if the 1.3 million that was on the Quandel budget for millwork and for toilet

## Page 147

accessories and for plumbing was on here, then the numbers at the bottom would be much, much closer.

My concern is that the gap here doesn't completely tell the story.
A. Right, but this is also one component of a budget. The budget -- the overall budget is almost $\$ 40$ million. This is only a $\$ 4$ million picture of it. So you're not looking at all the documents that go with it.
Q. Because that's what was allegedly spent to date.
A. No, that was what was paid to date.
Q. Right. Spent, paid, same thing. Paid to date.
A. Right.
Q. But it looks like that because the money is not in the Quandel budget but it's showing up on the rec cost, that there's a million and a half dollars not accounted for. It looks like a million and a half dollar overrun.

MR. DOLAN: I'm just going to object to the form of the question.
Q. Could you see how somebody could come to that conclusion?
A. Yes.
Q. I just want to confirm -- just make a note of the dollar figure there, the $\$ 5,586,000$ for the actual rec cost. If you would please turn to Exhibit 43.
(Whereupon, Cost to Complete Summary was marked as Exhibit 43 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.)
Q. Have you ever seen that document before?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you create that document?
A. Yes.
Q. And so the figure that sets forth as owner direct hard costs is that same figure.
A. Yes.
Q. So is it looks like -- by looking at this, there's a significant cost overage, doesn't it?
A. There was a cost overage.
Q. Well, but one could argue that it's inflated because some of the figures that might have been in your document, number 78, didn't get accounted for in this document, Exhibit 43.
A. Yeah, but in order -- see, Quandel included it in their scope. It was part of their GMP. The only way to officially contractually remove it from their scope is by a change order moving it out. If that hasn't happened, then technically it hasn't moved and it's not available in this budget.

And so there was a lot of cases and instances where that occurred, whether it was -- only 14 change orders
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executed. And some of it included removing scope from Quandel, which there may be a zero next to it because it did technically move into an owner budget. But there's other cases where Quandel did not actually accept that or did not execute a change order that would allow that transfer or reallocation of funds to occur.

So this number for owner direct cost is solely predicated on what contractually the owner was buying and purchasing and purchase orders that they had in place for scope they were buying out. But there's still a whole other process here where the contractor has to allow it.
Q. Right, but again, for the unsophisticated, which I will freely admit that I am --
A. Okay, fair enough.
Q. -- it looks like when you look at Exhibit 43, that this is not an actual -- a true and accurate statement of the extent of the over -- alleged overrun because the $\$ 1.3$ million that was in the Quandel budget is not accounted for in this Exhibit 43.
A. But it is. It's accounted for through the change orders that are on this page. The change orders 1 through 14 executed to date. Some of those were adds and some were credits. So depending on the items, there's a multitude of items, then on those change orders -- the change order wasn't for one scope. There were 20 change
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orders. Some were adds, some in credits. So you come up with 3 million, so that accounts for it.

I could not list every single change order here because there was just too many various trades with it. So it's all in one line item to simplify it for people that do not understand stuff like this.
Q. But I don't see that explained in your Exhibit 78 though. That's where my confusion lies. It's not explained there. I don't see $\$ 3$ million in change orders.
A. Because this is an owner direct hard cost template. It's one piece of 20 pieces. It's one part of the equation. This is the summary of it.
Q. Do you know what Quandel's general conditions and fee were?
A. Off the top of my head I don't know.
Q. Well, according -- let's look at Exhibit 6.

While you're looking, do you know what the other 20 pieces are?
A. Yeah, it's a $\$ 35$ million budget. What I'm saying is 4 million is only one part of a bigger picture, of $\$ 35$ million. So it's the difference between 35 and 4 million. That's what the other piece is.
Q. I guess I asked it badly. I'm asking where are the other documents that you created that would reflect that? Do you have additional documents much like the
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exhibits we just talked about that would reflect that? Because I didn't find those.
A. There's another chart for Quandel which gives you -- what --
Q. 78 .
A. No, what was the other one? 6? This one? MR. DOLAN: 6.
A. No, there was another one with a summary sheet.
Q. 43 was the cost to complete summary.
A. Yeah, this.

MR. DOLAN: When she says "this," she means 43.
A. This is all part of their report in October
that you requested. There's another chart I believe, which is Quandel's break-out of costs. And that is a very similar premise. It's what Quandel has as a budget and what their actual contracts were. Which can be different at times.
Q. Okay, so let's just look at the last page of Exhibit 6, please. Quandel's guaranteed maximum price, $\$ 32,271,582$. Their general conditions and fee, $\$ 1.9$ million. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. I did the math. It's about 6 percent.
A. Okay.
Q. Does that seem to make sense? That's close to the market rate that we discussed earlier of 5 percent?
A. Okay. You have to do the math for it.
Q. I did.
A. I'm not doing it now.
Q. Did you review O'Brien's budgets before they were presented to the court? I think I asked you that. We talked about --
A. I think you did. I don't know if I recall.
Q. The bids weren't attached I think is what you said.

Do you recall if there was money in those bids for settlements with prior subcontractors?

MR. DOLAN: Do you mean bids or budget?
Q. Sorry. In the budgets.

MR. DOLAN: That's what I thought you meant.
Q. For settlements with prior subcontractors?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. How about were there money in the budgets for legal fees?
A. In O'Brien's --
Q. Budgets.
A. I mean, there's always some type of legal fees included in general conditions.
Q. As we talked about earlier, and I don't want to belabor this, there was an e-mail that you had questioned the amount of the contractor's fee. We can look at that
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again if you want, but you said it was higher than typical for the size of the project at 7.5 percent. Do you recall?
A. Yes.
Q. So I'm not going to make you go back to that one. But what I would like to do is, I'd like to direct you to Exhibit 84, please.
(Whereupon, CANIV 59639 through 59640 was marked as Exhibit 84 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.)
Q. It looks like you were asked to review a construction draw request in October of 2017, and you had some comments. Do you see that?
A. Yup.
Q. I'm taking this that by making these comments that you were not readily approving the proposed construction draw. Or am I reading into that?
A. You're reading into that.
Q. What are you saying there?
A. I'm flagging documentation numbers that just weren't accurate. And it had to do with formulas on a spreadsheet.
Q. So is it your opinion that testing engineers was 357 percent over their budget by mistake, or was it a clerical error?
A. No. It was just the percentage -- it's a
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formula. It's all formula driven. So if a number gets entered or the formula gets corrupted or gets changed, it will skew the percent complete. It's one column of ten columns on a form.
Q. So were these not massively overcharged?
A. No, it wasn't overcharged. This is based on a 9.1 million budget. So this was -- there was three budgets here. There was the winterization budget, which was roughly 2 million, and then it moved -- it evolved into an added 9.1 million budget, which is what this is discussing, and a requisition regarding that. And then after the bankruptcy, there was then another budget that came after that.

So that's why earlier when you asked about budgets, there's a multitude of budgets here. So I just want to be specific on which one. This one is referring to a $\$ 9.1$ million budget and a requisition to do with that. So that's what this is. And it's a formula issue ultimately.
Q. So was O'Brien charging 119 percent for their general conditions instead of --
A. No, it's a formula issue.
Q. I don't understand what that means. Did you approve that the money got paid?
A. I'll explain this to you. I'll break it down for you.
Q. Please.
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A. On an application for payment, which is a requisition from the contractor, they have a budget and then they have a previous payment and then they have a current payment. And then those numbers are then -- there's a formula that says percent complete for each line item. If that formula is corrupt or changed or doesn't align with the cells, it will spit out a percent that's not correct.
Q. So how did this get fixed?
A. Correct the formula in the chart.
Q. So it didn't affect the amount of money that was paid out? It just affected the percentage?
A. Correct. It says right here it's likely due to the need to get the budget updated by the court.
Q. I just want to make sure that we weren't overpaying the general conditions.
A. No.
Q. As significantly as it suggests that we did.
A. I didn't suggest that. I'm flagging that there's a discrepancy on the percent complete.
Q. Okay. So ultimately --
A. It's a percent complete, that's what it is.
Q. So ultimately, was the draw request rectified and then approved?
A. Correct.
Q. What does it mean when units are ready to
deliver?
A. It means that they're punched out and cleaned and the work is finished.
Q. Does that mean that residents are able to move in?
A. You get a TCO when residents are ready to move in.
Q. During the time from September 15 until all this talk started by these e-mails on October 19 --
A. I'm sorry, which year?
Q. '16. Prior to the filing of a lawsuit. There was your visit September 15 or 16, and then all those e-mails about getting your affidavit that we looked at that were -- I think it was October 21st or something.

Do you recall e-mailing Canyon describing your concerns about the conditions on site between those times?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Was there something that you were concerned about that you wanted to alert them to during that time?
A. I don't recall.
Q. If you had seen something that you would have thought was problematic, would you have normally informed Canyon of that after doing your initial assessment on September 15 ?
A. Ask the question again.
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Q. Yes.
A. Please.
Q. If you don't recall from this situation, in a normal situation, if you did an assessment on Canyon's behalf of a property and you saw that there were issues that were of concern to you --
A. Yes.
Q. -- would you have normally communicated that to someone at Canyon?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay, is there any -- do you have any recollection that you did that in this situation?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Did you communicate with Canyon about the project in other areas during that time frame? Talking about other things, not about problems with the building.
A. Ask the question again, I'm sorry.
Q. Sure. If you don't recall informing Canyon that there were issues with the project between the time you first visited and the time the lawsuit was filed, did you communicate with Canyon about other issues or items relating to the project?
A. No. I don't recall that.
Q. So if there was nothing -- if there was nothing
to complain about, there would have been no reason to
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communicate with Canyon? I'm just trying to understand what you were supposed to do there.
A. We were there to visit. I mean, it was not an adversarial situation. It was to -- we met with Craig, we walked through the site, we looked at what was going on. We heard that he had to share about his experiences with Quandel and what was going on at the project. What he wasn't happy with or what he was happy with. And that's pretty much what occurred.
Q. What were your impressions after that meeting as far as now things were going?
A. I was just getting up to speed on the job, so I didn't have any initial impression at first. I thought that there wasn't enough manpower on the job, which is what Craig was saying.
Q. Okay. So --
A. And I knew that -- I believe there was a projected November completion date, and I knew that that wasn't happening by walking through the job.
Q. Did you ever see a revised schedule from the subsequent contractor Gleason?
A. I recall something being created. I don't remember opining on it.
Q. Did you ever recall seeing it with a completion date of March of $2017 ?$
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## A. I don't recall.

Q. Back to the e-mails, I just want to make sure that we're clear on this. You may or may not, or you did or did not communicate with Canyon between September 15 th and October 21st about anything having to do with the project.
A. I said I don't recall. Yes.
Q. But if there was something negative to report, is it safe to say that you would have shared that with Canyon?
A. Yes.
Q. There are a couple of instances that I did find where you did communicate with them, and I just want to go through those with you.
A. Okay.
Q. Let's go to Exhibit 46.
(Whereupon, CANIV 3883 was marked as Exhibit 46 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.)
Q. Here's an e-mail from you --

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Counsel, I'm sorry, I don't
have this particular one. It just says couldn't open it.
Can we just continue with it? The file is there but it just --

MR. MILLER: Doesn't open?
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It says it won't open.
MR. MILLER: We'll get it to Mr. Pascoe later.
Q. This e-mail dated October 10th, 2016 at 6:16 p.m. Tina to Kevin and Gerald, it looks like you're forwarding an e-mail. Do you see that?
A. $M m-h m m$.
Q. Yes?

MR. DOLAN: You have to say yes or no.
A. Yes.
Q. There's nothing in this e-mail saying, or is there, something negative about the condition of the project or the construction as it was proceeding in your e-mail.
A. In my e-mail?
Q. Yes.
A. What's the question?
Q. Is there anything in this e-mail from you to Canyon which sets forth that there's a problem at the Packard Square project or with the construction that you notified Canyon about?
A. Yeah, there's an indication that the paperwork is difficult to track. That's what my e-mail says.
Q. But that's about the paperwork, not about the construction, is it?
A. That's what the e-mail is about. It's not about construction. It's about the paperwork.
Q. But I'm asking about construction. There's nothing in your e-mail saying to Canyon we've got a real
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problem here with the construction progress and the construction.
A. Correct.
Q. Let's look at number 50.
(Whereupon, CANIV 51939 was marked as Exhibit 50 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It's the same situation. It's looking -- I'm sorry for interrupting. I just want to say it's a TIF file. It just says Windows Photo can't open this picture.

MR. MILLER: Michael, when we get back, I will copy these and scan them to you.

MR. PASCOE: Okay, thanks.
Q. This is dated Tuesday, October 11, 2016. Again, these are e-mails from you to people at Canyon. Do you see that?
A. Yup.
Q. Is there anything in there that sets forth a problem with the construction or the continuation of construction or anything having to do with the project not proceeding in an appropriate fashion?
A. Yeah, it indicates on the bottom of the e-mail that there's pipe repairs from Craig.
Q. But I'm talking about your e-mails to Canyon, is there anything in here from you to Canyon alerting Canyon
there's a problem at Packard Square?
A. No. It's discussing unconditional lien
waivers. It has nothing to do with that.
Q. I'm just noting that there's nothing about that in this e-mail. That's fine.

Let's look at Exhibit 53.
(Whereupon, CANIV 59992 was marked as Exhibit
53 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.)
Q. Here's an e-mail dated --

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Same situation. I'm sorry.
MR. MILLER: Really?
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It's just a group of them in the 50 s .

MR. MILLER: They're all the TIFs?
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes.
MR. MILLER: The format that we got them, unfortunately.
Q. Exhibit 53 is an October 7, 2016 e-mail from
you to Gerald and Kevin. "Please see correspondence below from electrician Gaylor regarding mechanic's lien." Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Is there anything in your e-mail that says to

Canyon that there's a problem with construction or a problem with the project?
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A. Well, there's a lien on the building. That signifies that there's a problem right there.
Q. We're going to talk about how liens got appointed at another time. But there's no statement from you saying --
A. Well, this is one -- there's obviously multiple e-mails that were -- are not attached to this exhibit.
Q. Yes, I'm sure there are.
A. So. There's clearly saying something that there's an issue with Gaylor Electric on this e-mail. Whether or not I reiterate what's in the e-mail, I did not reiterate. But I am indicating there's a mechanic's lien which automatically signifies there's a problem.
Q. But you didn't overtly say anything about it.
A. I did not reiterate what's in the e-mail trail, no.
Q. We talked about this earlier. Gaylor contends that the work was 81.5 percent complete as of October 7th. But when we talked about it earlier, you thought just a couple weeks earlier that it was I think, what, less than half complete at that time.
A. Okay.
Q. So would you agree that Gaylor was overstating what it claimed it had done at that point?
A. This includes the labor and material. So there
was a trailer of materials that were on site, which this includes.
Q. All right, let's look at Exhibit 85.
(Whereupon, CANIV 59965 was marked as Exhibit 85 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.)
Q. October 10, 2016, again, an e-mail to Kevin and Gerald. Nothing about there's a real problem at the Packard Square project, construction, anything like that, correct?
A. This is reviewing a requisition.
Q. I understand what it says. I'm just confirming that there's nothing in there that's setting forth a warning of, wow, we've got a real problem at Packard Square.
A. It's regarding a review of an application. So it would not include that detail, no.
Q. Well, are there other e-mails that did? I think I asked you that and you said you didn't think so.
A. I don't recall. I mean, I don't recall.
Q. Do you know who O'Brien hired to be its electrician?
A. Yes.
Q. What was the name of that? Hopp?
A. Hopp.
Q. Hopp Electric?
A. Yes.
Q. What was their scope to finish? Just the last

## 18 percent and no materials?

A. The materials that were on site were incorporated into any subcontracts.
Q. So all Hopp had to do -- they didn't have to get any materials because the materials were already there.
A. No, not all the material for the job were there.
Q. Do you know what additional portion Hopp had to purchase?
A. I don't know specifically. Wire.
Q. There needed to be some wire?
A. A lot of wire. Feeders. Normal standard stuff for an electrician.
Q. Was 82 percent of the work done when Hopp took over?
A. No.
Q. So what percent was done when Hopp took over?
A. I answered that question already.
Q. It was like half.
A. Yes.
Q. Do all the units at Packard Square have insulation?
A. Do all the units at Packard Square have insulation? Yes.
Q. Do they have sound insulation and thermal
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insulation?
A. No, it depends on the locations.
Q. There are some apartments that don't have sound and thermal insulation?
A. You wouldn't put on exterior wall sound insulation.
Q. On an exterior wall there's thermal insulation,
on an interior wall there's thermal insulation on all the units?
A. Yes
Q. Insulation first, then drywall, correct?
A. That's usually the sequence.
Q. Is there any other way to do it?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. Let's look at Exhibit 4.
(Whereupon, PACKARD 006 was marked as Exhibit 4 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.)
Q. Have you ever seen this document before?
A. I don't know if I've seen this specific document. I've seen this budget though, 9.1.
Q. Do you have any idea who created this document?
A. I'm not really sure.
Q. Do you see anything on it for insulation? Any bid I should say for insulation.
A. Potentially drywall could include it.
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Q. Do you know if these drywall contractors install insulation?
A. I don't know.
Q. Down a little bit under concrete, Albanelli

Cement, $\$ 748,040$. Do you see that?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Is it odd to you that there's no other cement, concrete contractor bid on this document?
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. It could be that they didn't bid or they couldn't find contractors. As I previously stated, there's not all trades. Some people weren't even interested in bidding on the job.
Q. What about the contractors that were on site before the receivership? They weren't given the opportunity to bid, were they?
A. Yeah, I recall that there were several that weren't interested in bidding. I don't know exactly who, but I know that that was definitely mentioned.
Q. So you believe that Albanelli -- your belief was that Albanelli was the only potential bidder who was interested, and it couldn't have been competitively bid?
A. I don't know specifically for Albanelli, or any of these for that matter.
Q. This is the one that jumps out to me because it's one of the very few that doesn't have competitive bidding. That's why I'm asking.
A. Okay.
Q. Have you ever met Matthew Mason personally?
A. Yes.
Q. In Ann Arbor or New York or where?
A. Ann Arbor, at the site.
Q. Have you ever met him in New York City?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever met him in New York State?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever gone to dinner with him and with Janine and Lauren Leech?
A. No. I don't know who Lauren Leech is.
Q. What subcontractor at Packard Square is responsible for the building envelope?
A. Could you be more specific on "building envelope"? Which material?
Q. Well, it's a phrase you used in your declaration, which we'll get to in a few minutes, "building envelope."
A. Mm -hmm.
Q. Who are you referring to?
A. Construction Ahead.
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Q. Are you aware of settlement agreements entered into between the receiver McKinley and certain subcontractors?
A. No.
Q. You don't review any of those lien claims?
A. No.
Q. Were there monthly draws for O'Brien and their subcontractors?
A. There was requisitions. Not draws.
Q. So they're all based on pay applications?
A. Yes.
Q. And they pay for the preceding month.
A. Yes.
Q. Have you ever been notified after the receivership came into effect of letters that my client sent to the receiver about issues with the construction progress or the manner by which things in the construction were proceeding?
A. I'm sorry, say that again. It was a very long question.
Q. Are you aware of my client ever having sent letters to the receiver about how construction was progressing or how items were being changed in the project and complaining about things that were going on on site?
A. I heard that there was e-mails being sent.
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From Matt I heard that from.
Q. Did you ever see the letters?
A. Not really.
Q. Not really or no?
A. No.
Q. Has the idea of selling the property been discussed on any of the calls on which you participate?
A. Not with me.
Q. Are you aware of whether or not somebody is interested in selling the property?
A. I don't know.
Q. If this building were going to start, start to finish tomorrow, how long should it reasonably take?
A. This project? It's a two-year project at least.
Q. Sorry what?
A. It's at least a two-year project.
Q. At least a two-year project. Were you involved in the discussion of the -- how O'Brien and the receiver were going to initiate construction once they were put on the job?
A. No.
Q. So they created their own timeline of what they were going to do and they did not include you in that conversation?
Q. Yes?
A. Yes.
Q. So were you party to discussions that the plan was to not earnestly construct this project until the spring?
A. No.
Q. Is this the first you're seeing this?
A. I'm not on this e-mail so yes.
Q. I mean, had you been aware of this concept that there was not an intention to move quickly through the winter on construction?
A. It was -- the court approved a certain amount of scope. And my understanding is the court approved winterization.
Q. Yes, but that was 2.4 million. They're only talking about spending 1 million. Do you see that?
A. Okay.
Q. And then shutting it down, basically, from November, December, January, February, March, for, like, six months.
A. I don't see where it says that.
Q. Well, it does. "We can begin construction in earnest once the frost laws are lifted. So in essence, it sounds like we're going to do prep work and not really much for about six months." Do you see that?
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MR. DOLAN: Object to the form of the question.
A. I don't know.
Q. Wouldn't a better use of the time have been to proceed with construction in earnest rather than not do very much for six months? Especially when Gleason said they could have the building finished by March of 2017?

MR. DOLAN: Object to form of the question.
A. I don't know.
Q. What's your definition of "winterization"?
A. Making sure the building is watertight and protected from the elements.
Q. And on September 15, 2016, it in your opinion was not?
A. No, it was not.
Q. And of course, you testified earlier that this was a construction project in the midst of construction.
A. Yes.
Q. How do you know that those problems would have been -- would not have been taken care of prior to winter?
A. Because Quandel got fired. How would they do it if they were fired?
Q. Because Gleason came in on October 17th --
A. But I was not aware of Gleason's information.
Q. Nobody told you that Gleason had been put on the job the same day Quandel was fired?
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A. No --

MR. DOLAN: Object to the form of the question. You gotta let him ask the question, let me object and you can answer.
A. No.
Q. Are you hearing that for the first time today?

MR. DOLAN: Object to the form. What's "that"?
Q. Are you hearing the fact that Quandel was fired and Gleason replaced them on the same day, am I telling you something you had not heard before?
A. Yes.

MR. DOLAN: Object to the form of the question. Go ahead.
A. Okay. Yes.
Q. Knowing that now, might that have changed your opinion on where this project was going after you were there on September 15th?

MR. DOLAN: Object to the form of the question. Go ahead.
A. I don't know.
Q. Well, if you had seen a -- ma'am, if I could direct your attention to 14, please.
(Whereupon, Gleason Contract Schedule was marked as Exhibit 14 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.)
A. No, I didn't see this
Q. Okay, so let's assume that somebody did tell you about this. Hey, Ms. Van Curen, Quandel got fired, Gleason is on the job. It's now October 17th, 2016, and they've got a schedule that says they're gonna be done in less than six months. Might have that changed your opinion on where the progress of this project was or might have been before winter had come on?

MR. DOLAN: Object to form and foundation. Go ahead and answer if you can.
A. I can only comment on what was present at the time. I was not familiar with this document. And no.
Q. Not only were you not familiar with this document, you weren't familiar with the fact that there had been a new contractor hired.
A. Correct --

MR. DOLAN: Object to the form of the question.
Q. So here we are, it's now another -- it's April of 2016. So -- I'm sorry, what year is it? '18. April 2018. Another year has gone by and this project isn't done.
A. Mm-hmm.
Q. How do you feel about the progress that's proceeding?

MR. DOLAN: Object to the form of the question. Go ahead.
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A. The work's getting done.
Q. At an appropriate time rate?
A. It's been performed in phases. So it's not crystal cut pattern where it would be two years or X amount. It's been done in phases and it's developed along the way.
Q. Well, what's taking so long?
A. I just said that it's been getting done in phases, in sequences, so it's different. It's not straight construction. It's not a straight construction timeline anymore.
Q. Well, why isn't it?
A. Because it had to go through court approvals.
Q. So? What had to go through court approvals?
A. The budget. It went from 2 million, then it was 9 , then there was a GMP. There was stages of a budget approval.
Q. And you know this how?
A. Because I look at the requisitions.
Q. Do you know that -- okay. But you're not intimately familiar with the court process and what comes first, the chicken or the egg.
A. No, but I just know that the original budget was 2.4 for winterization. And I do know the next one was 9.1. And my main purpose is to keep track of the costs in accordance with whatever budget it is that I'm told it is.
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So that's how I know that.
Q. Was there a conscious decision for Canyon to dole out money slowly to build this project slower than normal?
A. No.
Q. Do you think that everybody is working at the appropriate speed for this project?
A. For payments?
Q. No, for construction.
A. Yes, given the circumstances.
Q. How long is the circumstances that there's been a new contractor in place are we going to rely on that as an excuse for the project going at such a slow rate of speed? MR. DOLAN: Object to form.
Q. They've been on the job for a year and a half, have they not?
A. Yes.
Q. So I don't understand. If the project was -what percent was it completed when you were there in September of 2016?
A. 55,60 .
Q. Okay, so $45-40,45$ percent left to be done and it's still been a year and a half and you don't think there's a problem with that?
A. No.
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Q. You think that's normal and appropriate?
A. For this project, yes.
Q. Let me turn your attention to Exhibit 3.
(Whereupon, Amendment 1-Scope of Work was marked as Exhibit 3 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.)
Q. Have you seen this document?
A. No.
Q. This isn't something you would have reviewed as part of the scope of work phasing and the payment plans?
A. Nope.
Q. Having looked at it now, are you surprised that you haven't seen it before?
A. No.
Q. Why are you not surprised that you haven't --
A. It's a legal form.
Q. Well, it's -- is it? It looks like it's a
funding form.
A. It does?
Q. Yes. Look at page 2, "release of funds, scope of work phasing."
A. No, it's not a funding form.
Q. It's a scheduling form.
A. It's a scope of work phasing.
Q. Yes. You review the long AIA forms, don't you?
A. I review the applications for payments.
Q. Well, you said you also reviewed the AIA forms I thought.
A. The application for payment is an AIA form.
Q. I thought you reviewed the contract, like the GMP contract that O'Brien signed. Didn't you review that?
A. No, I never said that.
Q. You didn't review that?
A. No, I did not review the GMPs.
Q. Let me direct your attention to the fifth paragraph of the first page, last sentence. "The receiver acknowledges the release of multiple tranches may reduce or eliminate efficient and economical implementation of the work which may increase the contract sum and contract time generally typical to industry standards." Do you know what that sentence means?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever seen a sentence like that where a contractor and I guess developer, as the receiver might be, would agree in advance that we're going to do this slowly on purpose?
A. You're asking me if l've ever seen this before?
Q. No, I'm asking if you've ever seen anything
like it where a contractor and developer made an agreement that says we're going to eliminate efficient and economic
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implementation of the work which will increase the amount of money and time, basically not what you would normally do in construction industry standards?
A. Well, the way I understand this statement in reading it now is that because it's being released in multiple phases, because the project was phased, is that it's not -- it's gonna reduce the efficiency and the cost. Because you're doing it in sections as opposed to doing the building as a whole. Which would make perfect sense.
Q. Do you know who made the decision to do the phasing?
A. I do not.
Q. Were you involved with drafting or setting of any schedules in this project?

MR. DOLAN: Construction schedule?
MR. MILLER: Yes.
A. No, not the construction schedule.
Q. Were there other schedules that you were involved with?
A. Perhaps overall projected completions. But not the day-to-day construction schedule. That comes from the contractor.
Q. Did you ever review those and comment on them?
A. No.
Q. The overall schedule that you just referred to,
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when did you see one of those?
A. An overall schedule? Maybe late last year.
Q. Of -- near the end of ' 17 ?
A. With the GMP. This isn't even the GMP budget. This language is for the $\$ 9.1$ million scope of work.
Q. I know that. I'm asking you -- this is from much longer ago. I'm asking you, you said there was a general schedule that you saw. And I was just trying to figure out what the date of that was.
A. It would be part of the GMP schedule.
Q. And when was the estimated completion date?
A. I believe November.
Q. Of '18?
A. Yes.
Q. So a full two years since the receiver was appointed.
A. Yes.
Q. To finish a building that only needed to be 40 percent finished in the amount of time that you said it would take to build the entire building.
A. Completed in phases, yes. And switching contractors.
Q. Well, that happened in November of 2016.
A. But that's part of the whole timeline that you're presenting.
or how they get paid.
Q. They get paid monthly. They get a fee every month.
A. Okay.
Q. So for them it's in the receiver's best interest not to finish because the longer it goes, the more they get paid, right?

MR. DOLAN: Just object to the form of the question.
Q. Correct?
A. I don't know.
Q. It's in the lender's best interest because the longer it goes on, the more interest they accrue. Correct?

MR. DOLAN: Object to the form.
A. I don't know.
Q. And the longer it continues, the better it is for O'Brien because of the manner by which they're paid pursuant to their contract, isn't that right?

MR. DOLAN: Same objection.
Q. Ma'am, I'm waiting.
A. I don't know.
Q. Are you aware of any penalties in any O'Brien contract based on time?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Are there any -- when you say you don't recall,
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Q. But how long do they get a pass on that? I
mean, they started in November of 2016. Does it take them two years to get up to speed? I don't understand.
A. But I indicated that it would be approximately a two-year project if it was the same contractor from start to finish. But in this instance it took two years. But it's also switching contractors, doing the work in phases. So it changes the timeline.
Q. Who benefits by that delay?
A. I have no idea.
Q. Well, does the lender benefit by getting more interest and longer interest payments?
A. I think it's in everybody's interest to get the building completed.
Q. Really?
A. I don't see how it benefits anybody.
Q. Let's think about this. Does it benefit the receiver? Because the receiver keeps getting its fees every month regardless of what happens. It's not a construction based fee. It's an actual fee so it's in their benefit to go slowly, isn't it?
A. I don't believe that, no.
Q. Well, if they finish the building, then they don't get paid any longer, right?
A. I don't know what their terms of their contract
is that because you're not familiar if there are any?
A. I'm not familiar.
Q. So other than -- I think I got misled
somewhere. Other than Starky's, did you tell us who else you thought did winterization contracting?
A. No, I did not.
Q. Do you know who else did?
A. I don't know. I don't know the names of the subs off the top of my head.
Q. Let's look at Exhibit 8, please.
(Whereupon, E-mail and attached Lender Cost Detail was marked as Exhibit 8 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.)
Q. Exhibit 8 is a three-page document. I'm really most interested in the second page. Winterization budget. Do you see that? It's the second item on the second page.
A. Where are you looking?
Q. Hard costs --
A. Oh, I see, okay.
Q. According to this document that was created by the attorney for the receiver --
A. Yes.
Q. -- the winterization budget as referenced in applications 1 through $6, \$ 2,396,874.32$. Do you see that? A. Yes.
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Q. What was that money used for?
A. For winterization.
Q. What exactly?
A. Sealing up open windows or broken windows, securing broken glass, closing up the doors, sealing up the facade, repositioning the leaders which were from the roof directly into a unit and hanging out of a window, an open window to get the water off the roof. Items of that nature. Making sure there was safety protection in front of the elevators or wherever else needed it. Locking it up making sure nobody can get in there and vandalize.
Q. A lot of those things don't have anything to do with winterization.
A. Sure it does.
Q. Locking the door? That has nothing to do with the weather.
A. Yeah, but it has to do with securing -- it's winterization and securing the property.
Q. Well, then maybe your definition of your winterization and my definition are different. I thought winterization was to prevent it from being damaged as a result of inclement weather, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Locking the door has nothing to do with the weather, does it?
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A. Yeah, but you have a responsibility to make sure the site stays safe and nobody can get into it that's just walking by.
Q. How did you know that wasn't going to happen in the normal course of business? Hmm?
A. What was the question?
Q. How did you know that wasn't going to happen in the normal course of business?
A. I never said it wasn't going to happen.
Q. Weren't there gates surrounding the property at all times? Or fencing with gates?
A. There was construction fencing along Packard, yes.
Q. Nowhere else?
A. There was -- there is a fence in -- I don't recall the fence on the other street. And there was definitely not fencing on the sides.
Q. Were gates locked on a nightly basis?
A. I don't know if they were locked every night.
Q. So how do you know there was a problem? How do you know access was a problem?
A. Because Quandel was no longer on site. There was no contractor on site.
Q. There was, ma'am. There was.

MR. DOLAN: Listen, don't argue with the
witness. That's the witness' testimony.
Q. To me, ma'am, your entire testimony changes if you knew about the other contractor.

MR. DOLAN: I'm just going to object to the question as argumentative.

MR. MILLER: It's not argumentative. I'm
feeling bad for the witness, Ben, with all due respect.
MR. DOLAN: That's fair.
Q. When was the winterization completed, ma'am?
A. I believe April 2017. May -- March. March or April.
Q. So wait. The winterization was completed after the winter was completed?
A. The winterization was completed in March.
Q. That doesn't sound like it was in imminent harm in October.
A. Okay.
Q. What was so imminent in October that it could wait until March to be finished?
A. I don't understand your question.
Q. Yeah. You testified, and we're going to get to that in a minute, that there were things that had to be dealt with right away or things were going to be terrible at the project. That's part of your declaration.
A. Yes.
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Q. Well, that was in October.
A. Yes.
Q. Why was it okay that those things weren't
completed until March when the winter is already almost over.
A. But it was underway through that whole process.
Q. What was underway through that whole process?
A. Closing of the doors, sealing up the exterior,
making sure the water was rerouted to where it needed to go.
Q. I'm at a loss as to why that would take four months to do or six months -- wait, five months. Why would it take five months to do that? What was the harm -- what would have happened if it wasn't done? Would the building have fallen down?
A. Which scope?
Q. Any of them. I'm still at a loss as to what this winterization is.
A. The existing structure if it is subjected to the element can be damaged.

## Q. Okay.

MR. DOLAN: Before we go into the declaration, can I use the rest room? Whenever it's convenient to stop.

MR. MILLER: Yes.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to take a break? THE WITNESS: Yes.
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THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I'll just wait until he says okay

MR. DOLAN: No, we don't have to wait.
MR. MILLER: Okay, we can take that break.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record. The time is $3: 43$.
(Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record.
The time is $3: 55$. This is the beginning of disk 5 .
BY MR. MILLER:
Q. All right, we're ready. Ma'am, before we took a break you had listed a number of items that were involved in a plan for winterization. I just want to ask you about some of them. I'm going to go through them. I took them off the court reporter's list.

You said sealing plastic on the windows. Do
you know who the contractor was who did that job?
A. No.
Q. Do you know when that was completed?
A. I don't recall the details, no.
Q. Were you involved in overseeing any of those details?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have a record somewhere that would establish that?
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A. Yes. In my report to Sidley.
Q. Of course this was before Sidley -- this was before Sidley.
A. I wasn't at the site in November and December.
Q. So your testimony is that when you went back in January you saw that it had been done? Is that what you're saying?
A. It was started.
Q. So as of January, is it your testimony that the plastic sealing on the windows had yet to be completed?
A. I don't know. I'd have to check.
Q. Was Starky's the contractor that would have done that?
A. There is a lot of work that was performed by different subs. I don't know exactly the names.
Q. Well, I'm going to go through them, maybe it will be quick. Securing the glass, do you know who would have done that?
A. No.
Q. Do you recall when that was completed?
A. No.
Q. But you think you might have a record from January of 2017 that might show that?
A. Yes.
Q. And you don't know who the sub was.
A. I don't recall.
Q. Well, okay.

You said closing doors. What did you mean by "closing doors"?
A. Putting temporary doors on openings.
Q. Do you recall how many there were that needed that?
A. Not off the top of my head, no.
Q. Do you have any idea who the sub was who did that?
A. No.
Q. Do you have any idea how much it cost to do that?
A. I do not have the specifics at this moment, no.
Q. Do you know when it was completed?
A. All that work was completed by March.
Q. Sealing the facade, what were you referring to there?
A. Sealing the facade?
Q. Yes.
A. Making sure water didn't get into the building from the outside.
Q. Okay, do you know who did that work?
A. No.
Q. Do you know when that work was completed?
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A. All the work was completed by March. I don't know the specific details.
Q. And repositioning leaders, what does that mean?
A. The roof drains were routed into the top floor units and out a window. So it was to connect them so that they flowed out of the building, not via an open window.
Q. And what would that have done if that hadn't been addressed prior to winter?
A. It would have fell off -- the connection was temporary. It would have fell off the attachment to the roof and all the water from the roof would have came into the building.
Q. And do you know who did the work on that?
A. No.
Q. Do you know when that work was completed?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Do you have any idea how much it cost to do that work?
A. No.
Q. Safety on elevators. The elevator shafts? Is that what you're referring to?
A. Yes.
Q. What needed to be done? Just put wood up in front of them?
A. To make sure somebody doesn't fall down the
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shaft, yes.
Q. And you believe that would be a winterization issue?
A. A security issue.
Q. Was there a history of people traipsing around the project while it was under construction other than construction workers?
A. The site was not -- there was nobody on the site during this time.
Q. What time?
A. Quandel was fired.
Q. Yeah, I know.
A. Okay.
Q. But you're sill going with there was nobody on
the site because you didn't know that there was somebody on the site.
A. Quandel was fired. Who else would be on the site?
Q. Gleason was hired the same day.
A. But I'm not familiar with Gleason and I
didn't -- I'm not aware of them being on any site.
Q. So when you say no one was there, you have no personal knowledge that no one was there because you weren't there to see that no one was there, correct?
A. No. I know that Quandel was the contractor,
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they were terminated, and I'm not familiar with Gleason.
Q. Who told you Quandel was terminated?
A. There was I believe an e-mail.
Q. From whom?
A. Craig.
Q. And it was directly from Craig to you?
A. No.
Q. Oh, so who --
A. That's not what I said.
Q. Okay, well, then Craig didn't tell you that
they were terminated. Who told you that they were terminated?
A. It was in the body of an e-mail that he sent to Canyon I believe.
Q. So you think Canyon forwarded it to you?
A. No, I think I was copied on the e-mail from him to everybody.
Q. Okay. And you don't believe that that e-mail said anything about a replacement contractor taking over?
A. I didn't see that.
Q. But you weren't back on site from

September 15th to January, so you would have had no way of knowing whether there was or wasn't anyone there, correct?
A. No.
Q. I am correct. I asked you if I were correct.
A. I would have no way of knowing if anybody was there.
Q. And then locking it up --
A. Unless of course there was a contract that was sent to indicate that.
Q. Indicate what?
A. That there was a contractor on site. I don't have to physically see it to know that there's a contractor -- a contract showing an agreement between another contractor being on site would indicate that.
Q. Well, if they were working based on existing contracts, why would you see a new contract?
A. Quandel was terminated. What other contracts were there?
Q. The Gleason contract.

MR. DOLAN: Object to the form of the question. There was no Gleason contract.
Q. Uh-huh. Okay. Did you tell me who the contractor was who would have been responsible for the elevator safety?
A. I didn't say that.
Q. Do you know who it would be?
A. No.
Q. Do you know when that issue was taken care of?
A. That scope was completed by March.
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Q. By March. And do you know how much that cost?
A. No.
Q. And then locking it up. Do you know who would have been responsible for that subcontractor-wise?
A. No.
Q. And do you have any idea how much it would cost to make sure that the fence and gates were locked?
A. I do not recall at this time, no.
Q. So what did the $\$ 2.4$ million get spent on?
A. There was work -- there was other work that was completed within that 2.4 for winterization. It wasn't just solely winterization. It was winterization, security, making sure the site was secure. There was some repairs to the garage piping that was damaged. Which was with the same sub that was working on it prior.
Q. Anything else done during that time?
A. There was some investigation work that was performed to make sure the area where the foundations were settling wouldn't further collapse.
Q. So are you saying to me that this $\$ 2.4$ million for winterization was not all hard costs?
A. No, that's not what I said. It's all hard costs.
Q. The 2.4 million was all hard costs?
A. Yes.
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Q. Okay. Ma'am, I'm going to hand you, because it didn't fit in the book, what's been marked as Exhibit 127. It should be online but I couldn't put it in the book.
(Whereupon, Contractor's Application for Payment was marked as Exhibit 127 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.)

MR. DOLAN: What number?
MR. MILLER: 127.
Q. Ma'am, have you seen that document before?
A. Yes.
Q. What is that?
A. It's an application for payment.
Q. Is there anywhere in that document where it's reflected the $\$ 2.4$ million winterization budget?
A. Yeah.
Q. Where?
A. Right here, number 1. 2.4 .
Q. Okay, turn to the next page. Is there a breakdown there of what the hard costs are?
A. Yes.
Q. What are they?
A. Do you want me to read them all to you?
Q. Well, I thought there was a summary. Maybe it's on the third page, excuse me. Yeah, maybe the third page is easier because it's all on one page.
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THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I'm not sure it's the same document I'm looking at.

MR. MILLER: 127 ?
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: That's what I have up on the screen but the first page starts where it says Packard Square Apartments. Oh, it is the same. Thank you, I'm sorry to bother you.
Q. Page 3. There's a list going down the left hand column of contractors and, frankly, non-contractors. Can you point out to me which of those items that are there of that 2.4 million were actually for winterization?
A. So which -- all of them had involvement in this.
Q. Well, we just went through a whole list of things that you said were done. I'm just trying to figure out who did what and for how much money.
A. Paving would be part of it.
Q. Paving would be part of it?
A. Yeah.
Q. What needed to be paved prior to the winter starting?
A. The soil.
Q. Why did the soil need to be paved before the winter started?
A. To prevent erosion onto adjacent properties,
because it wasn't paved. It wasn't excavated and it was all hilly and dirt and mounds of dirt. That creates freeze and thaw. Snow melting and running off into adjacent properties.
Q. And that was something that had to be done before winter started?
A. Yes.
Q. So it was completed by March.
A. A portion -- yeah, not all of the pavement.

There was a portion of preparing it, yes.
Q. What portion was prepared and completed before, say, December 5th --
A. I don't recall.
Q. But you think it was all done by March?
A. This scope -- what this scope of work was, yes.
Q. So if it didn't get done until March, what made it imminent to be needed to be done before winter?
A. Regardless of the time duration that it took and the timeline, it needed to be done and performed prior to the onset of the weather. The structure is exposed to element. That's known. So if the building is not watertight or there's no windows, the windows weren't completed, the doors weren't completed, the storefront wasn't completed, that allows water, snow, wind, intrusion into the property.
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Q. But we weren't talking about any of those things. We were talking about soil erosion.
A. Okay.
Q. That's what we were talking about.

MR. DOLAN: Read the question back. That's not what the question was. Read the question back before her answer, please.
(Whereupon, the referred to testimony was read back by the Reporter.)
Q. I was talking about the pavement. That's all I was talking about. She changed it to something else.

MR. DOLAN: Well, you're saying "it" and "that." If you want to be specific, you need to be specific.
Q. Ma'am, I was talking about the pavement. So what of that payment was completed by December 5th, if anything? Or you don't know because you weren't there until January?
A. I said I don't recall.
Q. You don't recall. What are the other items that were done as part of the winterization here?
A. Roofing.
Q. What did CentiMark Corp. do for the roofing for winterization purposes?
A. Applied tape at the joints, seams, to prevent
the water from coming in, which was coming in.
Q. And that cost $\$ 37,000$ ?
A. Yup.
Q. What did Albanelli do for $\$ 391,000$ ?
A. They poured the basement garage.
Q. What did that have to do with winterization?
A. That was to protect the pipes that got damaged prior. And the pipes were prepared and they poured it so that that wouldn't happen again.
Q. How would the pipes be damaged if nothing's happening at the building?
A. They were damaged prior to us getting the building.
Q. Right, but what did that have to do with winterization?
A. I said there was more than just winterization performed.
Q. Okay --
A. Security. Making sure the building was safe.
Q. Time out. So just so we're clear, did any of Albanelli's work have anything to do with winterization?
A. I don't know for sure.
Q. So I'm sorry, where were you next? You talked about CentiMark, DMV, excavate to expose footing. Do you know what that was?
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A. Yes.
Q. What was that?
A. For where the building settled to expose the footing.
Q. Did that have to be done before the winter started?
A. Yes.
Q. Why?
A. The building was settling. It was showing cracks on the floor.
Q. So that's $\$ 3,000$. What did Eagle do for earth work?
A. Earth work, to level out the land so that it wasn't trespassing water or any type of -- from the freeze and thaw and water coming onto other people's properties.
Q. And that had to be done before winter.
A. Yes.
Q. And was it completed before the winter began?
A. Scope was completed by March.
Q. So that's a no to my question. Correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you ever provide a statement or a warning or anything at all to Mr. Schubner or anyone at Packard Square notifying the developer that there were these items that had to be completed before winter?

MR. DOLAN: Object to the form of the question. Go ahead.
A. I don't recall.
Q. Well, in other words, I guess I'll try to ask it a different way. In your position as construction consultant, if there was something that you saw as being a construction deficiency, is it something that you would take upon yourself to inform a developer if you were aware of a problem that you felt needed to be corrected to please correct the problem? Is that what you do as part of your job?
A. If it's an imminent safety issue to a person where they would fall down a shaft or they would get injured, then I would do it at the site. But otherwise, no.
Q. So if, for example, you had a different project, say, the Long Island City project, and you happened to note last fall that there were in your mind a requirement that there needed to be plastic sheeting on windows, you would not take it upon yourself to call up the contractor and say hey, Bill, you might want to get some plastic sheeting on those windows?
A. No.
Q. Who does that?
A. The developer.
Q. So if there's a deficiency, nobody points it
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out to the developer?
A. I don't know.
Q. You don't.
A. No, I don't.
Q. So the next item here is environmental specialist, vapor barrier repairs for $\$ 6,000$. What was that?
A. That was in the retail space.
Q. What needed to be done before winter for that?
A. The vapor barrier was repaired, and that had to do with the city being very upset that it wasn't completed.
Q. So it had nothing to do with -- anything having to do with winter or the damage that might come from a winter issue, correct?
A. Yup. Like I said, there was more than winterization performed.
Q. I know, but I'm talking about winterization right now.
A. Okay.
Q. So there was silt fencing around the site to protect against erosion, wasn't there?
A. Yes. It was failed in some portions, yes.
Q. How many feet of portions would you say it "failed"?
A. I don't recall exactly how much.
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Q. Storm drains, Evergreen Civil, what was that?
A. I believe -- I don't recall but I believe this has to do with off-site work.
Q. Okay, so it's got nothing to do with winterization.
A. Well, it has to do with the adjacent properties.
Q. How so? If it's off site, how would it effect winterizing this property?
A. It has to do with the connections for the other -- timelines that were promised to adjacent properties I believe.
Q. Okay, but just so we're clear, there's nothing about that that had to be done by winter or else the building would suffer imminent harm. Is that true?
A. Yes.
Q. Jermor I think is next. Plumbing -- did I skip one? Fastdecks, shoring. What is that? What did they do?
A. They installed shoring in the garage.
Q. What did that have to do with something necessary for winter?
A. It was to bring -- because the courtyard was not accessible. So in order to allow the weight of the equipment to come into the courtyard and to provide the drainage within that courtyard to mitigate any water coming
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into the building, the shoring had to be installed to allow for the equipment to actually go in.
Q. But if work wasn't being performed -construction work wasn't being performed, that wasn't really necessary, was it? At that time.
A. Yes, it was. It mitigated water. The courtyard is open to the air so rain gets in there and water gets in there.
Q. So what would happen?
A. It would come into the building.
Q. Into the building.
A. Yeah.
Q. I thought this was in the courtyard?
A. It is. In the center of the building.
Q. How would it get in the building from the courtyard?
A. Through the foundation.
Q. Oh. And that was something that had to be done by wintertime?
A. Yes.
Q. And it was performed by December.
A. March.
Q. By March. Okay.

Jermor Plumbing, $\$ 57,000$ in plumbing it says.
Do you know what that was for?
A. Yes. As I said before, it was to repair the plumbing that was damaged prior.
Q. Prior to what?
A. To the receiver taking over.
Q. Again, that had nothing to do with -- anything having to do with imminent harm --
A. Well, the drains had to be connected to something that were connected from the roof. So it can't go through a broken pipe. So they had to correct the pipes. They had to fix them.
Q. What broken pipes were broken? How many were there?
A. I don't know.
Q. 2? 12? 100?
A. I don't know.
Q. John Tagle, building assessment. That wasn't a hard cost, right?
A. That's the architect.
Q. That's not a hard cost, right?
A. It depends on how you look at it.
Q. No it doesn't. You defined what a hard cost
was. Architecture is not a hard cost, right?
MR. DOLAN: Objection to the form of the
question. If you're just going to argue with her --
MR. MILLER: I'm not arguing.
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MR. DOLAN: She said no it doesn't. That's argumentative. If you want to ask her a question ask her a question. If you want to argue with her, do it after the deposition.
Q. It's your testimony that John Tagle's building assessment for $\$ 5100$ is a hard cost?
A. Yes.
Q. How so?
A. It's necessary to do the other work.
Q. So if that differs from your definition of a hard cost from before, that was a misstatement?
A. It's part of the process to build the building and get it fixed.
Q. We'll go back and look at your definition of a hard cost later.

## Underpinning work --

A. You do that.
Q. I will. Underpinning work by Kent Companies, what's that?
A. Underpinning work. Exactly what it says.
Q. Well, can you describe it for the lay people in the room?
A. For the who?
Q. The lay people in the room.
A. It's underpinning.
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Q. I don't know what that means. You can tell me it's chemical engineering, I wouldn't know what that means.
A. It's underpinning underneath an existing
concrete structure to support the building. It was where it was failing.
Q. And that had to be done before wintertime?
A. Yes.
Q. If it hadn't been done before winter, what
would have happened?
A. The building would have settled more.
Q. And that couldn't have been repaired?

MR. DOLAN: Is that a question?
Q. Yes. It couldn't have been repaired?
A. What do you think that is?
Q. How much more would it have settled?
A. I don't know.
Q. Were you aware of whether TEC performed any reports about settling?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Is it possible that the TEC reports said that there was no settling?
A. I don't recall.
Q. The retaining wall, Landtek, for $\$ 61,665$. What was that?
A. A retaining wall at the front of the property
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to hold back dirt. That's what a retaining wall does.
Q. And that had to be done before winter or else what would have happened?
A. It's part of soil. Preparing the site for soil.
Q. And did it get done before winter?
A. Got done through the date of this application.
Q. So by April 30th.
A. March -- well, it was a little earlier in

April, yes.
Q. It says period from --
A. Yup. That's how they work.
Q. Okay. Moving tile materials, Metro Plus. What is that?
A. That's to move tile materials from whether it was outside into the garage to keep it safe. Keep it from breaking.
Q. And it cost $\$ 8,000$ to move something from outside the house to inside the house?
A. Yes, that's what it says.
Q. Are you sure that's what it was for?
A. Yeah.
Q. Nagle Paving, asphalt paving, \$112,713.90.

What was that for?
A. Paving.
Q. And why was that needing to be done prior to winter?
A. To allow fire truck to get in there if the building was burning down.
Q. Didn't we talk about that earlier --
A. Yeah, we did.
Q. You don't need it just to be paved. You just need to have a manner by which they can drive it. It doesn't have to be paved, correct?
A. Well, it has to support a truck.
Q. Doesn't compacted rock support a truck?
A. Not necessarily, no. And not all fire departments will allow that.
Q. And that had to be done by winter or else --
A. During this time frame, yes.
Q. Ma'am, the time frame I'm talking about is before winter started. Your time frame, I just want to make sure we're clear, is by April, or the end of April. So which time frame are you referring to?
A. This time -- March. By March/early April.
Q. They did the asphalt?
A. They did this scope of work. Not all the asphalt.
Q. Are you aware of a City of Ann Arbor requirement that you're relying on to make the statement Page 213 that you just made?
A. No. My experience is based on fire departments; they will not drive their truck unless they know it's not going to sink.
Q. And it had to be done or else the building was in imminent harm.
A. Yes.
Q. Really. So have you seen an Ann Arbor Fire Department requirement that supports that statement?
A. No.
Q. So you're just assuming it.
A. My experience tells me that.
Q. I see.
A. Yes.
Q. What is Nowak \& Fraus?
A. An engineer.
Q. And so that $\$ 51,126.75$ certainly did not go to hard costs of the project, correct?
A. I believe that was involved -- my recollection is that that was part of the process for the underpinning work.
Q. Okay, that's your recollection.
A. Yes.
Q. Could you be mistaken about any of this stuff?
A. I could recall incorrectly, yes.
Q. Just checking.

Forensic accounting, $\$ 16,166.81$. Certainly that didn't add any value to the building, correct?
A. That cost was so that they could track the paperwork and figure out what was purchased, what was on site and to figure out the trail of paperwork prior to.
Q. So the answer to my question is no, it had nothing to do with hard costs to the project.
A. No, that's not true. I just said that it had to do with them reviewing paperwork associated with hard costs. So that wouldn't have nothing to do with hard costs. They were trying to figure out the hard costs.
Q. So their scope of work for $\$ 16,000$ added exactly what in value to the building?

MR. DOLAN: Object to the form of the question.
A. I don't know.
Q. Nothing, right? You can't get that back out of the building.
A. I just said I don't know.
Q. Ma'am, you've been doing this for how many years? You must have an opinion.
A. I just explained to you what it was for. Your question I don't have an answer to.
Q. The curb and the gutters, Saladino

Construction. I'm assuming that means they put in some
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curbing and some gutters, correct?
A. That would be correct.
Q. And that had to be done before winter why?
A. So that the water doesn't flow to the adjacent properties.
Q. And that would affect imminent harm to the building how?
A. That would affect the adjacent properties.
Q. But that's not even close to responsive to my question. I'm asking about this project. How would it affect this project? The imminent harm to this project if it hadn't been done by winter.

MR. DOLAN: Her answer was it will affect the adjacent properties.

MR. MILLER: I'd like her to answer the actual question.

MR. DOLAN: I think she did answer that question.

MR. MILLER: I don't.
MR. DOLAN: You can't figure out how hurting the adjacent properties hurts this project? Creating liability on the neighbor, you can't see how that hurts this project?

MR. MILLER: I can't wait to take your
deposition, Ben, but it's not happening today.

MR. DOLAN: You said she didn't answer the question. I'm saying she did. You can't figure it out. MR. MILLER: Because you think I'm a moron, I got it.

MR. DOLAN: I might. But if you can't figure that out, you've got problems.

MR. MILLER: Whatever.
MR. DOLAN: These are nonsensical objections. We're just dragging this out for no reason. But that's fine. But don't yell at me for taking breaks when you're asking questions like that.

MR. MILLER: I have nothing but time now. My flight's been delayed for three hours.

MR. DOLAN: That's apparent by these questions.
Q. So ma'am, could you answer my question about how the curbs and gutters not being completed before winter caused potential imminent harm to this project?
A. The curbs and gutters would affect this project because if water flowed into the adjacent properties, there would be an issue with the adjacent properties to the property. That's your answer.
Q. I see. I don't find that to be completely responsive, but that's fine.

> Select Construction, stairwell footing and walls. What was that for?
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A. It's for footings and walls. It's for footings and walls.
Q. Why did that have to be done before winter?
A. That was part of the retaining wall. Part of the same thing.
Q. Which same thing?
A. Retaining wall above.
Q. With Landtek?
A. Yes.
Q. Starky Construction, weatherproofing and temporary doors. Ah-hah. Now it looks like something having to do with "winterizing." $\$ 70,790.91$. Is that what you were referring to with the plastic on the windows and closing the doors?
A. Yes.
Q. So $\$ 70,790.91$ of that amount of money was for "winterizing" in my estimation. Am I reading that right?
A. That's our estimation, yes.
Q. Is there a different estimation that you have that these other items were similarly situated?
A. We just went through all of them as to how they affected the building, so yes.
Q. Fine, l'll stick with your answers. Concrete and soil testing for $\$ 18,823$. What did that have to do with for winterizing?
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A. That has to do with the underpinning work. And you have to test the soil to make sure that it can support what's getting put in. And you have to test the concrete to make sure it reaches the PSI that is needed to support the building.
Q. And then professional services from testing engineers for $\$ 33,500$. What was that for?
A. I don't recall. I would have to look at the engagement.
Q. You would agree with me that that doesn't have anything to do with hard costs added to the building? Your answer, ma'am?
A. It does because it coincides with the above.
Q. What are unassigned funds?
A. Those are savings. Money we didn't spend.
Q. So I'm very curious about the last two items.

O'Brien general conditions and builder's overhead and profit of $\$ 730,000$. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. $\$ 730,000$ of $\$ 2.4$ million is almost -- is more than 30 percent, is it not?
A. I don't know. I'm not doing the math. You clearly did it already, so if you'd like me to figure out the math for you, I can do that.
Q. That's true, it's worse than that. I'm wrong.
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It's $\$ 730,000$ based on the $\$ 1.7$ million. Do you see that? The whole contract -- right. Let me rephrase that.
2.4 million was the total amount. 1.7 was
supposedly spent, and the other $\$ 730,000$ was spent by Starky's -- I'm sorry, spent by O'Brien on their general conditions and builder's overhead and profit. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. So let's see. Siri, what percentage is 730 out of 1.7 million?

Siri tells me it's 43 percent. So by my estimation here, O'Brien paid themselves 43 percent of their amount billed for their general conditions and overhead and profit. Do you see that? Do you agree with that?
A. I see the numbers, yes.
Q. Do you think that's high?
A. It's what it was. I don't know what to tell
you.
Q. I do, because you told me that the industry norm was about 5 percent, 6 percent, maybe 7 and a half percent.
A. Right.
Q. Why are they entitled to get 43 percent?
A. But what's normal about this project. Nothing.
Q. Who approved that O'Brien would get 43 percent
in general conditions overhead and profit?
A. I don't know. But there's nothing normal about this project. So we can't mix normal projects with this project. This is different.
Q. So your position is that O'Brien's entitled to that type of return?
A. No. What I'm telling you is that is what the cost was. That's it. I don't know --
Q. Well, is there a document somewhere that shows us --
A. There's general conditions. That includes staffing, putting in trailers, putting this -- it's all the stuff I mentioned earlier.
Q. And you're okay with the 43 percent number. That doesn't give you pause at all.
A. Yeah, it's high but it's also not a normal project. Someone's stepping in and protecting the property and putting work in place.
Q. Who decides what is an appropriate amount for general conditions on this project?
A. I don't know.
Q. Does O'Brien just get to pick any number it wants?
A. I don't believe that's accurate.
Q. Well, do you review it?
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A. They're engaged to McKinley.
Q. Did you review it?
A. Their contract is with McKinley.
Q. What do you review on a monthly basis? All the contracts are with McKinley.
A. I review the requests and make sure the work is actually completed.
Q. So did you approve $\$ 448,934$ in general conditions based on this document?
A. Yes.
Q. And you thought that was acceptable?
A. They substantiate their payrolls and their costs that contributed to that.
Q. And the builder's overhead and profit of $\$ 280,000$, you think that was substantiated as well?
A. That's part of their contract.
Q. Do you think it's a fair contract for the receiver or do you think O'Brien's getting away with something here?

MR. DOLAN: Objection. Relevance, form and foundation. Go ahead.
A. I don't know.
Q. So is it true that looking at this document, that for the six months prior to April 30th, so from when the receiver took over, because I think that's what -- this
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is the 2.4 million, that was the first requisition, right?
A. Mm -hmm.
Q. There's nothing here for HVAC, correct?
A. No.
Q. What's here for HVAC?
A. There's nothing here for HVAC.
Q. Oh, okay. Is there anything here for electrical work?
A. No.
Q. Is there anything here for insulation?
A. No.
Q. Is there anything here for drywall?
A. No, you can't put drywall in a building that doesn't even have heat.
Q. Is there anything here for fire suppression?
A. No.
Q. And there's just the $\$ 57,000$ from Jermor having to do with plumbing, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Let's move now to Exhibit 1.
(Whereupon, Declaration of Ms. Van Curen was marked as Exhibit 1 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.)
Q. Have you gone to Exhibit 1?
A. I'm in it.
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Q. Let's start with your findings, paragraph 6.
"When I inspected the building and the site on September 15, 2016 I observed the following conditions. The roof has not been completed. The coping, roof membrane terminations, and associated waterproofing, all of which are contingent upon a completed facade, have not been commenced." Do you see that?
A. Yup.
Q. When did that get finished?

MR. DOLAN: What's that?
Q. Those items. When did those items get
finished?
MR. DOLAN: Objection to relevance. Go ahead.
A. When did the roof -- it was protected by

March -- the end of March to prevent water from coming in.
Q. When --
A. It was temporarily protected by March.
Q. It was temporarily protected by March.
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. How was it temporarily protected?
A. By taping any seams that were open and applying any type of vinyl vapor barrier.
Q. And the completed facade, when was that completed?
A. Where are you reading from?
Q. The third line of Section A. It's the only one I've been on. I haven't skipped anything.
A. Yeah, what I'm stating here is that is all -this is discussing the roof and that it's all part of -- in order to complete the facade, the roof has to be completed.
Q. Okay, I'm asking a different question now. When was the facade completed?
A. It was temporarily -- everything was temporarily protected by the end of March/early April.
Q. When was the facade completed?
A. That work is -- that work is still going on.
Q. That's what I thought, okay.
A. The caulking of it is.
Q. So here we are over a year and a half later and the facade is still not completed, correct?
A. Right. Well, let me rephrase that. All of the panels are installed. The caulking part of it is underway currently. So all the panels are installed currently.
Q. When did all of the panels get completely and finally installed?
A. Recently.
Q. So during the winter?
A. Is that a question?
Q. Yes.
A. What's the question?
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Q. So it was completed recently. I'm asking you if it was completed during the winter.
A. We're in April. It got completed, like, last month.
Q. March of --
A. March of 2018.
Q. -- 2018.

You're familiar with the winter conditions that were in place in Ann Arbor in March of 2018, were you not?
A. Yeah, but there's multiple facades on this building and elevations. So I would have to say three-quarters of the elevations had been completed prior. The main work that's been ongoing in the last several months is the courtyard facade. So to be very specific, there are portions that were completed and then there's the facades within the courtyard that were still going on and recently completed.
Q. Let's look at the last sentence. "Open windows and the likely failure of this temporary system." What temporary system?
A. The roof.
Q. And freezing temperatures will lead to water infiltration and ultimately interior system failures.
A. Mm-hmm.
Q. That's a yes?
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A. Yes.
Q. Okay, so --
A. Yes.
Q. What was necessary to protect by temporarily protecting the roof? What had to be done?
A. All the open seams had to be closed up with tape.
Q. And --
A. And flashing.
Q. If that hadn't been done, what would have happened?
A. Water would have got into the building.
Q. And what would have happened?
A. It would have damaged the wood and rotted out the wood.
Q. Throughout the entire building --
A. Wherever the water landed. Mold would have developed.
Q. Are you a mold expert?
A. No. Are you?
Q. I've litigated a number of cases. It doesn't make me an expert but I'm familiar with it.

B, "The building's envelope is not watertight or fully enclosed." When did the building's envelope finally get to be watertight or fully enclosed?
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A. I don't know the exact date.
Q. Was it within the last six months?
A. As of today it is.
Q. Okay, but that's not very helpful. Was it true in, say, November of 2017?
A. Yes. The area that they were working on is actually closed up.
Q. What area who was working on?
A. The north wing.
Q. Who was working on it?
A. O'Brien.
Q. Oh. And so was it made watertight or fully enclosed by the winter of 2016 ?
A. No. This was issued in 2016. All the work was -- the temporary work to make sure it was watertight was completed by the end of March. The actual final facade and completion is complete -- is watertight now.
Q. Was your declaration when you wrote it only
referring to the north wing, or was it referring to the entire building?
A. No, the entire building. You asked me if it was watertight and I'm telling you that, yes, it was.
Q. So back to the fall of 2016 --
A. Okay.
Q. -- what --
A. The fall? That was when I visited the site.
Q. Yeah, that's when you wrote this. This is from October of 2016 when you hadn't visited since you had been there since September. I got it. So what portion of the building's envelope was not watertight or fully enclosed when you wrote this?
A. The facade, the windows, the roof, the terraces, there was mechanical openings on the roof. The roof drains were not connected properly.
Q. And all of these were addressed and taken care of before the winter of 2016.
A. They were addressed during the winterization scope, which was completed in March of 2017.
Q. I thought you said April some of these items were --
A. It's end of March/early April. Please put that in there.
Q. Did you ever notify the owner at any point between September 15 or -- between September 15 and October 21st that there was an issue at the building relating to the envelope not being watertight or fully enclosed?
A. Which owner?
Q. Well, there's only one owner.
A. I know. Who are you speaking to? You're
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asking if I sent any communication to somebody. Which person are you referring to?
Q. Anybody at Packard Square LLC. That's the owner.
A. And the question is if I submitted at what time?

## Q. Any time --

A. During this affidavit I did.
Q. Well, this wasn't directed to the owner. This was directed to the court. So I'm asking if you reached out in any -- communicated in any way with anybody associated with Packard Square LLC about this problem at the property. MR. DOLAN: Object to form. Other than this affidavit?
A. I don't know.

MR. MILLER: First of all, it's a declaration.
It's not even called an affidavit. And second of all, I'm just asking if she communicated directly with Packard Square. It's a very easy question.

MR. DOLAN: She wasn't hired by Packard Square to do anything. Why would she communicate with anybody at Packard Square?

MR. MILLER: I'm asking her that. I would think that that would be something that the lender might do before taking the very forceful action that it took. I'm
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simply asking.
Q. The answer is no, ma'am, you did not?
A. No. I didn't communicate with Packard Square directly.
Q. Well, about this. You did communicate with Packard Square on other matters. We've seen e-mails that you've sent, correct? In fact, you testified to some. Right?
A. I'd have to look at those again if you're going to revisit them.
Q. I don't want to revisit them.
A. So if that's what my testimony says, yes.
Q. The majority of the ground floor remains open to the elements. How so? The majority of the ground floor.
A. The retail space was open, there was no storefront windows in the entire retail space, the door openings didn't have anything on them, they were open. So exactly what it says. The ground floor openings were not covered.
Q. And when were the doors finally installed to the ground floor?
A. Temporary doors were put in to protect it. That's part of the winterization.
Q. How about the windows, when did the storefront windows go in?
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A. They were covered.
Q. When did the storefront windows go in ultimately?
A. My recollection is they started this year. I could be off a month or two on the timing, but they were started this year. The final product. But prior to that there was temporary material applied over it to enclose it.
Q. Plastic, in other words.
A. Yes, mm-hmm.
Q. Did the plastic ever fail?
A. It has to be maintained.
Q. Did the plastic ever fail?
A. That's typical in construction, yes, it's temporary and it gets replaced. Yes.
Q. And if that plastic and temporary doors hadn't been installed, "There would be interior system failures."
A. Yes, because there was no slab on the inside of the retail space. It was all dirt. So the water would get in and it could undermine the foundation as it eroded.
Q. We talked about that earlier as to why in a dark shell you might want to have dirt there because we don't have the retail space prepared yet, correct?
A. The retail space is prepared now.
Q. When?
A. It's covered.
Q. No, but at the time, back in September, it wasn't -- there was nothing to put in yet because the retail space hadn't been leased or prepared yet, isn't that right?
A. At the time there was dirt in there, yes.
Q. We talked about how that would be appropriate because you don't want to put in the concrete and then have to rip it up again.
A. For piping.
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. If you -- so would it have been your
recommendation to pour the concrete in the retail spaces at that time?
A. You're mixing up two things. You're going through a sequence of construction, which is where you put plumbing in the ground and then you pour concrete.

This is referencing how the window openings were open and because there was no concrete because of the stage of construction it was at, therefore the water could get in, it could erode the dirt because dirt washes away when water hits it and it could therefore explode the foundation and undermine the foundation. That's just how it works.

You're mixing it up. You're saying isn't it okay to have soil. That's not the case. The case is that
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the building was not ready for the concrete so therefore the openings had to be closed up. That's what that's saying.
Q. And if it hadn't been done, what would the damage have been?
A. It could have ultimately undermined the foundation of the building.
Q. D, "The site remains incomplete and the soil is bare, not stabilized and unprotected. Emergency vehicle access is limited, improper drainage, soil erosion will occur. Completion work on all barriers must be immediately commenced or, at minimum, covered with straw, mulch, erosion control blankets or other suitable erosion prevention measures. Emergency vehicle entry path and means of access must be put in place." When did that get taken care of?
A. That was implemented during the same period of the winterization. They put hay bales over the street, they had to put silt bags to protect any dirt from going into the drains. So that was implemented.
Q. My question was when.
A. During the same period of the winterization.
Q. So at some point between November 1 and April 30th.
A. Yes.
Q. "With the exception of temporary power," why would there be permanent power at this point? Isn't
temporary power all there should have been?
A. No. There's jobs that have it at 30 percent and there's jobs that have it at 70 percent.
Q. What was the problem if there was only temporary power?
A. I'm not saying -- I'm saying that there's an exception. I'm not saying there was a problem. I was indicating that the utilities and mechanical systems were incomplete. Therefore the building could not be heated on its own. It had to have generators brought in to heat the systems. That's what that says.
Q. When was the heating installed that would allow for that generator not to be necessary?
A. The heating is in place now. I don't know the exact date when it was turned on.
Q. You just testified when we were looking at Exhibit 127 that there was nothing in there for HVAC. Remember?
A. Okay.
Q. So nothing could have been done --
A. That's what that says. It says mechanical systems are incomplete.
Q. So what's the problem?
A. It's indicating what the status was there. I don't see me writing anything that says it's a problem.
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Q. What were you asked to do in this declaration?
A. To document the site conditions at the time of the visit.
Q. For what purpose?
A. I don't recall exactly what purpose. To document what was there and what was going on and if the structure was vulnerable in any way.
Q. And nobody asked you to do this for a reason? Nobody said we're doing this because we're going to try and take the property back?
A. No, I'm sorry, that didn't happen.
Q. Well, it's written by a lawyer with a law firm and a case caption on the front page. You didn't just do this in a vacuum, ma'am.
A. Yeah, but I'm not aware of what you just said.
Q. Well, look at the front. There's a lawsuit
being prepared, and you were competent to attest to these matters.
A. You just said yourself that this was a declaration, not anything else.
Q. Are you aware --
A. It's a declaration.
Q. Are you aware of how the court saw your declaration?
A. No.
Q. Are you aware that the court relied upon your declaration to decide that it was imperative that a receiver be appointed?

MR. DOLAN: Object to the form and foundation.
She just said she doesn't know.
Q. Do you know?
A. I don't know.
Q. Did anybody at Canyon say Maria, we need you to do this declaration so that we can take this property back?

MR. DOLAN: This is Tina.
Q. I'm sorry, did anyone at Canyon say Tina, we need to take this property back, we need you to do this declaration so that we can make that happen?
A. No. The main point of this was to make sure the site was protected and that it was not harmed by the elements.
Q. Wouldn't that have been solved by picking up the phone or sending an e-mail to the developer and saying hey, we've got these seven things that need to be taken care of, please take care of them?

MR. DOLAN: Objection.
Q. Wouldn't that have the same result?

MR. DOLAN: Form and foundation.
A. I don't know.
Q. But as far as you're aware, nobody tried that
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tactic.
A. I don't know.
Q. Weren't there foundations for columns that were exposed to the elements for a year after the receiver was put in place?
A. Not that I'm aware of. What columns?
Q. By Packard Street.
A. The underground drive-through?
Q. Yes.
A. They're underneath the overhang.
Q. But they were exposed to the elements.

Winter --
A. They're designed that way.
Q. Well, ultimately they were protected, weren't they?
A. Well, from cars hitting it. It's steel.
Q. "Structural remediation work within the retail section, severe settlement may occur if this work is not completed and snow loads could potentially increase and accelerate settlement of the structure; thus it is absolutely imperative that these conditions be addressed prior to winter weather." Is that a true statement?
A. Yes.
Q. Was that all taken care of prior to the
inclement weather starting in December of 2016?
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A. That work was addressed during the period of November 2016 through March 2017.
Q. So if it wasn't done until March 2017, then it wasn't absolutely imperative that the conditions be addressed prior to winter weather.

MR. DOLAN: Object to the form of the question.
Q. Ma'am?
A. I don't know.
Q. What don't you know?
A. I don't know what you're asking.
Q. I'll ask it again. If the work wasn't in fact done until March or April --
A. But do you -- go ahead, finish your question.
Q. If the work wasn't done until March or April, then it wasn't absolutely imperative that it be done prior to the winter weather starting.
A. Yes. So what I'm saying is that this work doesn't just get done overnight. It's not like painting a wall. You have to inspect it, you have to analyze it before you start excavating a foundation. The building was showing -- already had signs of settlement. And that was clear in one of the floors. Craig pointed that out to us during our tour.

So this work was completed during that process and that's what that whole list we just went through on that
correct?
A. On the day of my visit they were not.
Q. Right, because it was still summertime when you visited.
A. It was fall. Yes.
Q. Fall doesn't start until September 22nd, ma'am. You said in paragraph 7, "Immediate recommencement of construction." This again is based on your presumption that construction had stopped, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you did not confirm with anybody anything differently, correct?

MR. DOLAN: Object to the form of the question.
Go ahead and answer if you understand it.
A. I don't know.
Q. You didn't speak to anybody to confirm or deny whether there had been recommencement of construction, that's your phrase.
A. What is your question?
Q. Did you confirm with anybody that that is a true statement when you wrote it, that there had not been recommencement of construction?
A. Yes, that was my understanding.
Q. Based on what you had been told by someone that you haven't named.
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A. It's saying absent any immediate recommencement.
Q. How do you -- well, okay. But you don't know whether there was any or not, correct?
A. I know that Quandel was fired.
Q. Okay. The items that are set forth in paragraph 8, l'll let you go through them and I'll let you tell me which of them were completed prior to the winter commencement of 2016.
A. (The witness reviews the document.) MR. MILLER: Is this better, Ben?
Q. I was sort of hoping you'd go through them one by one, ma'am, and tell me that A, B, C, D, E, F or G were or were not completed prior to the winter commencement.
A. This work was completed during the winterization period, which is November 2016 through March.
Q. Okay, so which one of them was completed prior to the commencement of winter 2016?
A. Is that a different question?
Q. You didn't answer the first question.
A. I'm telling you they were all completed.
Q. I didn't ask that. I asked which of them were completed prior to the winter commencement. Call it December 22nd, which is the first day of winter. Which of these were finished before that date?

# Tina Van Curen <br> 4/16/2018 

Page 242
A. What does that matter? They were all completed during that period of time that they were allocated to be done, which is November through March.
Q. I'm not -- so is it your testimony that none of them were completed by December 22nd?
A. No. It's not. I'm telling you they were completed during the period of -- you want me to pinpoint a day for you for each item? I don't know.
Q. Which of these were completed by December 22nd?
A. I don't know.
Q. What would you have reviewed to determine when they were completed? Just the pay applications in April? MR. DOLAN: She's never testified that she's charged with reviewing when things are done. Just pay -draw requests and pay applications.

MR. MILLER: Yes, except it's her declaration that put us in this lawsuit. So I want to make sure --

MR. DOLAN: Her declaration says these things need to be done. They don't say anything about when they are supposed to be done or when they were done. That's what you're asking her, which isn't her job.

MR. MILLER: Then if they were done, then it
sort of undermines --
MR. DOLAN: But it's not on her.
MR. MILLER: I'm not putting it on her. I'm
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asking her to tell me when they were done.
MR. DOLAN: It wasn't her job.
MR. MILLER: If she doesn't know, that would
have been fine.
MR. DOLAN: You keep asking her and she keeps
telling you she doesn't know.
MR. MILLER: I disagree.
MR. DOLAN: Sometime between November and when the draw-out was made. That's when they were all done.
Q. Ma'am, the building envelope itself, which you make reference to in Exhibit 1, show photos of it. Let's find the right photo. Like photo 11 for example, or even photo 10 maybe is a better one. The vinyl siding not installed. Do you see that, photo 10 ?
A. Yes.
Q. When was that finally completed?
A. I don't know the exact date.
Q. Was it sometime prior to this past winter?
A. Prior to the 2017-'18 winter?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. Was there any damage that was caused by the fact that it was not completed prior to the 2016-2017 winter?
A. It was taped up and there was flapping -- at
the time there was flapping vapor barrier on it that had to be re-adhered and connected to protect it.
Q. But it wasn't -- was there any damage to the building as a result of it not having been completed?
A. When?
Q. During the winter of 2016-2017.
A. It was protected -- the vapor barrier, it was temporarily protected so it wasn't unprotected.
Q. The whole facade was temporarily protected?
A. Yeah. In this picture it already had some of it on. But at the rooftop from the wind it was pulled off so it had to be reattached.
Q. So the fact that it was just a Tyvek, that was okay?
A. Yeah. It's protecting the wood.
Q. Todd Swash from ELS testified that there was a change order signed by Quandel relative to the building foundation that I think we discussed about the settlement today.
A. Okay.
Q. Are you familiar with that?
A. No.
Q. Do you think it's appropriate for Quandel and/or ELS to enter into a change order without knowledge of the architect, the engineer, the developer or the city? If
that's what happened?
A. I don't know the details on that to --
Q. Assuming that's what happened and that's what he testified to --
A. Who's ELS?
Q. The foundation contractor.
A. Okay. Entered into a contract -- into a change order with?
Q. Quandel, the general contractor.
A. Sure. The change order is for additional money.
Q. They changed the foundation from the plans.
A. Okay.
Q. If they did that without the city's approval or the architect's approval, the engineer's approval or the developer's approval, is that a problem for you? Do you think that's wrong?
A. That's not the normal course.
Q. What's not the normal course?
A. To just change it prior to the design team looking at it.
Q. There was a trailer on site. Why don't you just turn to Exhibit 30.
(Whereupon, Photographs were marked as Exhibit 30 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.)
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Q. Just flip through these pictures real fast.

Have you ever seen this before?
A. Not this picture, no.
Q. No, not the picture, I meant the trailer. This is the interior of the trailer. The trailer is depicted on the last page.
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know what happened to the items that were in this trailer?
A. I don't.
Q. Do you know what happened to the trailer?
A. It's not there anymore.
Q. Do you know why it was removed?
A. I don't know exactly why, no.
Q. Do you know who removed it?
A. No.
Q. Do you know if there are any retail leases that have been signed?
A. I do not.
Q. Is that something you would even work over?
A. No.
Q. Do you know anything about inspections and $C$ of Os? Do you oversee any of that?
A. I would get a TCO if it happens.
Q. Temporary certificate?
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## A. Yes.

Q. Let me ask it a different way. Do you work
with the city in any way, shape or form to coordinate certificates of occupancy?
A. No.
Q. So would you know about inspections that have been done by the city, what has been done, what hasn't been done, what has been finished, what hasn't been finished?
A. They're tagged on the doors. When the city comes there's date a on the doors.
Q. Are you aware of any of them having been done?
A. Yes.
Q. There have been some temporary certificates of occupancies?
A. No. There's been inspections.
Q. Are there any certificates of occupancies yet?
A. No.
Q. Are there any final certificates of occupancy?
A. No. In order to have a final you need a temporary first.
Q. Have you walked through the apartment units recently?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you aware of the number of which there have been no construction done, or very little construction done
in them? Or do you think they're all progressing at the same rate?
A. The project was done in phases.
Q. Let me ask it a different way. There's a number of apartment units. I think 249.
A. Yes.
Q. Are all of them progressing at the same rate or are some being completed before others are being started?
A. Yes, it's a phased project. So yes, some would be completed and some would be in the rough stages.
Q. Okay. So have there been MEP inspections in all of the apartment units?
A. Every single one of them?
Q. Yes.
A. Fire suppression has been done in all of them.

The MEPs, it depends on the unit so I wouldn't say all but a lot.
Q. Would you say about 150 haven't had any yet?
A. Haven't had any?
Q. Yes.
A. No, I wouldn't say that.
Q. How many do you think haven't had any inspections yet?
A. I don't know.
Q. If somebody said last fall, in September, that
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there were 30 units ready to deliver at that time for occupancy, that would not have been a true statement, correct?
A. Last fall.
Q. September.
A. September.
Q. 2017.
A. 2017. That there were 30 units to be delivered?
Q. Ready to deliver at that time. That would not have been a true statement, correct?
A. I'm not sure.
Q. Are there 30 units ready to deliver today?
A. Yes.
Q. There are?
A. $M m-h m m$.
Q. With certificates of occupancy and ready to go?
A. They need the certificate of occupancy. But they're --
Q. Okay, when did those 30 units become just awaiting certificates of occupancy?
A. There's about 46 units awaiting that.
Q. Again, when were they ready for delivery?
A. I don't know the exact date.
Q. Well, within the last month, two months, three
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months?
A. Yes, within the last month.
Q. Do you know who decided to tear out the walls in the OA and OB units?
A. I do not know who made the decision.
Q. Were you a party to any conversation about it?
A. I'm aware of it. I wasn't directly involved in the conversations.
Q. What was your opinion of that decision?
A. I didn't have an opinion of it either way.
Q. Do you know if the whole project with O'Brien has been fully bought out at this point?
A. Yes.
Q. It has been?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know when that was done? Completely finally bought out?
A. No. I mean, it's typical for it to be within

90 percent bought out and there's always a little -- some that have to be bought out throughout the process.
Q. Do you know when that was done finally?
A. No. I would say honestly it's about 90 percent bought out at this point. Not fully.
Q. Have you received any gifts from Canyon?
A. No.
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Q. Have you received any gifts from McKinley?
A. No.
Q. Have you received any gifts from Matt Mason?
A. No.
(Whereupon, CANIV 79622 through 79633 was marked as Exhibit 36 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.)
Q. Let's go to Exhibit 36. Have you ever seen this before?
A. No.
Q. Well, it seems to be a report issued by John

Tagle Associates. Would this be something that you would normally see on a job if an architect issued a report like this?
A. No. Is this normal? Is that the question?
Q. No. If there were such a report issued by the architect about issues similar to those that we discussed today, would you have normally been -- would you normally be shown this report?
A. No.
Q. Have you worked with Mr. Tagle or Tagle

Associates on this job?
A. Prior to this job?
Q. No, no, on this job. Do you work directly with them?
A. Not directly. He attends the requisition review.
Q. So how would you describe his role as it pertains to your role? You're just sort of peripherally involved in the same conversations but not really working together?
A. No. We're involved -- as far as what we're involved with together is the requisition.
Q. Okay, let's just look -- this is dated February 1, 2017. So within that zone of winterization you're describing, okay? True?
A. Yes.
Q. Let me direct your attention to page 3. Why don't you read the last full paragraph to yourself that starts "despite."
A. (The witness reviews the document.) Okay.
Q. So having read that paragraph, do you think that that in any way contradicts your declaration?
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. First of all, this was issued in February. My declaration is based on a September visit.
Q. Yup. But it says work was halted six months ago, allegedly.
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A. Okay.
Q. So it says the condition that had been of the materials that had been installed appeared good. This afforded them the greatest protection from the elements. The building appeared to be relatively watertight.

MR. DOLAN: Misrepresenting that paragraph so I'm objecting.
Q. Okay, well, Ms. Van Curen, tell me how I'm not accurately reading, or misrepresenting this paragraph based on --

MR. DOLAN: That was my objection.
Q. I know. Compared to your declaration.
A. This is based on February. This has a February
date. My declaration is based on a September visit. They're two totally different points in time. And the fact that the winterization had started and commenced in November and was underway at the time that this was actually written.
So it just goes to show that the winterization work that was put in place actually helped.
Q. But is Mr. --
A. That's what this says to me.
Q. But it says here that work had been halted six months ago. So this implies that no winterization work had been yet done in February. Does it not?
A. That's your assumption. That's not how I'm

# Tina Van Curen <br> 4/16/2018 

Page 254
Page 256
reading it, no.
Q. You're reading that it had been done.
A. I'm reading that he noted that it was halted six months ago.
Q. So when did it restart?
A. But the normal course of construction was halted six months ago. That's how I'm interpreting it. Again, I didn't write this and this is an interpretation.
Q. Let's turn the page. Let's look at page 4,
second paragraph under conclusions. It starts, "Although all construction on the building had been stopped for a period of approximately six months, the condition of the areas observed appeared, with minor exceptions, to be sound, stable and showing of no deterioration." Doesn't that lie in the face of your declaration?
A. No.
Q. You think it's consistent with your declaration?
A. I think this was written several months after my declaration. My declaration is based on what I saw. The pictures support what I saw. It was basically documenting what could potentially happen to the project now that there wasn't a contractor on the site and work had stopped.
Q. Okay.
(Whereupon, Receiver's Payment Report was
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marked as Exhibit 2 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.)
Q. We're almost done. Let's just go to Exhibit 2, ma'am. Ma'am, Exhibit 2, have you ever seen these documents before?
A. The form of it. I don't know if it's this
specific document because they're not dated.
Q. These came from the receiver's reports.
A. Okay.
Q. And what I'd like to just have you point out, because I don't want to go through each page, is if you can establish by looking at these documents when the work for which payment is sought was done.
A. Please ask the question again. I don't understand what you're asking.
Q. Yeah. Start at the first page. There's a vendor with due dates. Do you see that? Does that reflect when the work was done?
A. No. That's the payment date.
Q. So the work was done before that date.
A. Or a part of it.
Q. So is there any way to look forward, like application 1 detail, application 2 detail, application 3 detail, is there any way to determine the dates that this work was done by looking at these documents, or that's not

## possible?

MR. DOLAN: I'm just going to object to
foundation. I don't think this witness created these documents, so.
A. I didn't.
Q. Aren't these similar to the pay applications?

MR. DOLAN: I'm just objecting again to foundation to the extent this witness doesn't know who created this document or how it was created.

MR. MILLER: I understand. It came from the receiver report. I grant you that.
Q. So wouldn't there be payouts that would match these that you would have reviewed?
A. Yes.
Q. These would, I think based on your prior testimony, have paid for work that was done in the month prior to when they were requested, isn't that right?
A. It would be based on the application number and whatever period that application number covered.
Q. Okay, so if you look at the application itself, that would show the answer to that question.
A. That would show the time frame that the work was done, yes.
Q. Better than this document would.
A. Yes.
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Q. Give me one second.
(Pause.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record. The time is $5: 27$.
(Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record.
The time is $5: 30$.
(Whereupon, Application for Payment was marked as Exhibit 143 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.)
Q. Ma'am, I'm handing you what's been marked as Exhibit 143. Are you familiar with this document?
A. Yes.
Q. What is it?
A. Application for payment.
Q. What's the date on it?
A. $8 / 1$ through $8 / 31 / 2017$.
Q. In flipping through that document, can you tell me what the hard costs at the project for the receiver were through that date?
A. The total?
Q. Yes.
A. 9.1.
Q. Where does it say that?
A. Up here.
Q. No, that's the contract sum. The payments are within the affidavit.
A. Okay.
Q. So if you'd flip to the last page of Exhibit

143, does it say what's been completed to date?
A. Which page?
Q. The last page.
A. The sworn statement?
Q. Yes.
A. That's a different document.
Q. It's not. It's all tied together as Exhibit 143.

MR. DOLAN: It's part of the same exhibit. But she's saying it's another document. That's all.

MR. MILLER: That's fine. I appreciate that.
A. Yeah, this is different.
Q. So what was the amount spent to date as of the sworn statement of August 31st, 2017?
A. What do you want to know, the amount completed to date?
Q. Yes.
A. $5,387,009.36$.
Q. $5,387,009.36$ ?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's what had been spent on construction
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through August 31st, 2017, is that correct?
A. No. This is for one budget -- one part of the budget. This is based on the $\$ 9.1$ million.
Q. Right, but there was no other budget yet.
A. Sure there was. There was the 2.4.
Q. So you're saying the 2.4 million is not inclusive of the 5.3 million?
A. Correct.
Q. That's in addition?
A. Yes.
Q. So you add the two together.
A. Yes.
Q. I see. So what portion of the 5.3 million went to O'Brien for general conditions and fees?
A. What portion of general conditions?
Q. How much of the 5.3 million went to general conditions and fees?
A. General conditions was 345,000 . And the fee was 366,000.
Q. All right, thank you, ma'am. We're done. We'll get you out of here faster than I anticipated. MR. DOLAN: Appreciate it very much.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Any other questions?
MR. DOLAN: No questions from me.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Mr. Pascoe?

MR. PASCOE: No questions at this time.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of today's deposition. The time is $5: 34$. We're off the record. (Whereupon, at 5:34 P.M., the Examination of this witness was concluded.)
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I FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct transcript of the testimony given by me at the time and place specified hereinbefore.
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Subscribed and sworn to before me this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$
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