

All forms and scripts are always free. Edit/remove what's not applicable to you and use as you wish. Go get your entitlement....Nic ☺

Dear VREO,

I am hoping I might be able to speak with you about my situation at your earliest convenience. While being denied Voc rehab services for justifiable reasons is just a part of life for some and I understand the response often given by VR&E services is for the veteran to submit a higher level review or an appeal, my situation is a little different.

I submitted irrefutable undeniable evidence that I have not overcome my vocational impairment through education or training because I meet the textbook definition of having an EH because for the last three consecutive years, I have been unable to obtain suitable employment due to no fault of my own and because of that, by definition I have not overcome my vocational impairment and therefore have an employment handicap."

As a result of that irrefutable proven and undeniable evidence, my VRC and the supervisor have told me because I have a degree I am not entitled. There is absolutely no reference in the M28R, the M28C or the CF code that suggests a veteran is not entitled to V/R services if they have a degree. They gave no further explanation, they ignored my evidence, they refused to acknowledge my evidence, they refused to acknowledge the fact that I meet the employment handicap criteria in the M28C guidelines (which I referenced myriad times) and they also refused to allow me any further communication unless I filed a higher level review.

As a result, my question to you is this, when a veteran submits clear evidence and undeniable justification for entitlement and a VRC and that VRC's supervisor refuses to acknowledge it thereby denying said veteran the benefits to which they are clearly entitled based on CFR codes established by the United States Congress, what role does the VREO play in acknowledging this clear and unmistakable error and righting the wrong executed? It would seem untoward, illogical, wasteful, and dishonest as well as a violation of the rights of that veteran and a clear failure to honor their duty to assist when a VRC suggest to a veteran that they submit a higher level review when it's already been established that a clear and unmistakable error took place. That should not be something that someone can just ignore and pretend it does not exist.

My hope is that you will not sweep this under the rug as the supervisor has done but will instead, please allow me to present the evidence that my VRC and the supervisor ignored so that some faith in this process can be restored and further money time and resources will not have to be wasted on an unnecessary higher-level review. An HLR is totally logical when the veteran simply disagrees with the decision a counselor makes based on the M28C guidelines. However, an HLR should not be an option when a counselor ignores evidence and makes a decision based on emotion or some opinion devoid of M28C logic, especially when that veteran can prove they meet every single criterion of having an employment handicap.

I thank you for your time and look forward to hearing from you.