

On March 19th Matt Sullivan personally publicly vilified and defamed my wife and me while not knowing all the facts. Furthermore, he refused to listen to an explanation of our side of events. Therefore, with full disclosure in mind, I sent the email below with a link to the 23 second video to clearly demonstrate what actually happened.

Barry Gorman <barrygorman10@gmail.com> Wed, Mar 20, 9:59 PM
to Matt, jpc, Paul, mike.stephany, joelgiudice, cawolfe

Attached is a link to the 23-second video taken to time, & date stamp the delivery of a records request to the HRN Office.

Clearly, there is no attempt to be rude or confrontational on our part. Your public outburst to try and vilify my wife and me was underhanded, defamatory and beneath someone in a leadership position. We expect to receive a public and written apology.

Approximately, one month and 14 days later after hearing nothing back from M. Sullivan, the certified letter (copied below) arrived from HRN's lawyer on May 6th was received.

The letter references a number of inaccurate impressions from the 23 second video.

1. The office staff member was not the person being video-taped.
2. At no time during the 23 second encounter did the office staff member state that she did not want to be video-taped.
3. The video is the personal recording of my wife taken to 'time & date stamp' the delivery of a records request and therefore cannot be deemed to be 'unauthorized.'
4. My wife and I did not post the video.
5. As clearly seen, my wife did not '*barge*' into the association's office. She opened the door and proceeded to hold the door open while she placed a written request on the reception desk.
6. No video camera was used. The entire 23 second event was recorded on a cell phone from outside the association's office.
7. The lawyer's demand to remove our personal 23 second video from a website is an obvious effort to suppress clear and precise evidence that exonerates my wife and me from any accusations of harassment, intimidation, rude, threatening or obnoxious behavior.
8. The video serves as protective proof in any legal interpretation that my wife and I did nothing wrong.
9. We are not authorized to remove the video from a website that doesn't belong to us.
10. This letter is a self-evident attempt to intimidate my wife and me and therefore justifies why we need to video tape any interaction with HRN personnel.