

ONE TEAM ONE MISSION

THESE THINGS WE DO THAT OTHERS MAY LIVE



THUNDER VISION I/ITSEC 2022



ABOVE & BEYOND

NORTH STAR METRIC

ABSTRACT

In the evolving domain of federal disaster management, the imperative to deliver critical assistance with both strategic vision and technical rigor has never been greater. This paper introduces a hybrid project framework that centers FEMA's "Time to Deliver Critical Assistance" as its North Star Metric, marrying Waterfall's structured Requirements Definition and Initial Capabilities Development phases with the adaptability of Agile/Scrum iterative sprints. Through a "beautiful question" methodology, probing Why, What If, and How, we unlock AI-driven innovations capable of anticipating needs, optimizing resource allocation, and accelerating claims processing. Leveraging secure, scalable infrastructures such as AWS GovCloud and advanced fraud-detection platforms like FRACTAL AI, this approach not only streamlines operations but also enables the recovery of misallocated funds, the seizure of illicit assets, and the restoration of public trust. Positioned at the intersection of systems analysis and enterprise-scale IT ecosystems, the "Big Hammer" framework demonstrates a proven capacity to architect mission-critical solutions that protect taxpayer dollars, empower first responders, and ultimately save lives, underscoring the author's readiness to lead transformative initiatives as a Product Manager at US DOGE.

Anthony Sullivan

IT Specialist Systems Analysis Technologist & Problem Solver

Above & Beyond

North Star Metric

"Time to Deliver Critical Assistance to Displaced Populations"

This metric could be measured by the average time it takes FEMA to provide essential assistance (such as temporary housing, food, medical aid, etc.) to citizens affected by a disaster, from the moment the disaster strikes to the moment the assistance is provided. This metric directly ties into FEMA's core mission of responding rapidly to disasters and saving lives, which is its most critical priority.

Metrics Supporting FEMA's North Star Metric

1. Speed of Claim Processing

• Measure the average time to process and approve claims for individuals affected by disasters. A shorter processing time means quicker relief, helping residents recover faster.

2. Percentage of Critical Infrastructure Repaired Within 30 Days

• Track the percentage of critical infrastructure (roads, bridges, hospitals, etc.) that FEMA helps restore within the first 30 days after a major disaster.

3. Percentage of Affected Population Reached with Emergency Communications

 This metric tracks how effectively FEMA can communicate with and reach the affected populations through alerts, warnings, and information about evacuation plans, shelter locations, and emergency services.

4. Disaster Recovery Center Wait Time

• Monitor the wait time for citizens needing assistance at Disaster Recovery Centers (DRCs). The goal is to reduce waiting times, ensuring that survivors have easy access to vital services.

5. Resource Allocation Efficiency

 Measure the efficiency of FEMA's distribution of resources, such as food, water, and medical supplies. It can be tracked by how well FEMA matches supply distribution to real-time needs, preventing over- or under-supply.

6. Percentage of Disaster Survivors Satisfied with FEMA Assistance

• Through surveys and feedback loops, track the satisfaction level of disaster survivors to assess FEMA's effectiveness in delivering services and addressing citizens' needs.

How AI and Data Analytics Support the North Star Metric

To improve "Time to Deliver Critical Assistance", AI can be pivotal in the following ways:

- Predictive Analytics for Resource Allocation: AI can analyze historical disaster data to predict
 where resources will be needed most urgently, helping FEMA act faster and allocate resources
 more efficiently.
- **Real-Time Data**: AI systems can process real-time data from drones, satellite imagery, and social media to assess the damage and identify areas of high need quicker than traditional methods.
- **Automated Claim Processing**: AI can automate and speed up claims processing, significantly reducing the time it takes to get relief to disaster victims.
- Predictive Models for Future Disasters: By anticipating the types of resources that will be required in different disaster scenarios, AI helps FEMA better prepare for future events and reduce reaction times.

FEMA's North Star Metric should focus on <u>"Time to Deliver Critical Assistance"</u>, as this directly ties to the core mission of disaster response and recovery. Achieving this metric will require efficient use of data analytics, AI, and advanced resource management systems, which can help FEMA react faster, distribute resources more effectively, and ultimately save lives when disaster strikes. Supporting metrics, such as speed of claim processing and disaster recovery center wait times, will be essential to fine-tune FEMA's operations and ensure that it remains focused on delivering the highest quality of assistance to those in need.

Waterfall-Agile/Scrum Hybrid

The Waterfall-Agile/Scrum hybrid approach blends the structured, phase-driven nature of Waterfall with the flexibility and iterative cycles of Agile/Scrum. This combination is often adopted when:

- **Fixed requirements**: Some aspects of the project require a detailed, upfront plan (like regulatory compliance or infrastructure setup).
- Flexibility in execution: Other parts of the project, such as feature development or user experience, benefit from the iterative nature of Agile.

In practice, a team might use Waterfall for the overall project structure or in phases that require clear documentation and approval, while applying Agile/Scrum for development, testing, and feedback cycles to improve adaptability and ensure the product aligns with user needs and evolving requirements. However, before diving into the iterative development process, it is crucial to establish **Requirements Definition** and **Initial Capabilities Development Documents (ICDDs)**.

These foundational documents set clear expectations for system performance, functional requirements, and user needs, which will guide both the Waterfall phases and Agile iterations.

The **Requirements Definition** document outlines the detailed functional and non-functional requirements, as well as constraints, ensuring that all stakeholders have a shared understanding of the

project's goals and scope. This document serves as the backbone for both Waterfall and Agile phases, providing a reference point for validating features and capabilities during development.

Following the requirements, the **Initial Capabilities Development Documents (ICDDs)** describe the early-stage vision and high-level objectives of the system, ensuring that the system aligns with broader organizational and mission goals. These documents bridge the gap between high-level strategy and technical implementation, setting the stage for more detailed planning during the **System of Systems Development Document** (SSDD) phase.

Once these foundational documents are in place, the **System of Systems Development Document** (SSDD) can then take shape, detailing the architecture, integration plans, and interdependencies of the system components. By having these early documents clearly defined and approved, the team can ensure that the Agile/Scrum development process stays aligned with the overall strategic vision while also maintaining the flexibility to iterate based on feedback and evolving user needs.

In summary, the success of combining Waterfall and Agile methodologies lies in setting a solid foundation with well-defined **Requirements Definition** and **ICDDs** prior to diving into the **System of Systems Development Document** and iterative Agile cycles. This ensures that the project is grounded in clear objectives, minimizing the risks of scope creep or misalignment during the agile iterations.

A More Beautiful Question: Integrating FEMA's North Star Metric to Waterfall-Agile/Scrum Methodology

In the context of FEMA's North Star Metric, improving "Time to Deliver Critical Assistance," the process of asking a beautiful question involves engaging in a reflective, open-ended inquiry that explores how innovative, AI-driven solutions can fundamentally transform the way disaster recovery is managed. A "beautiful question" is one that challenges conventional thinking, encourages a deeper understanding of current processes, and inspires new avenues for improvement. For FEMA, the question could center around how artificial intelligence can be leveraged to expedite response times, optimize resource allocation, and improve coordination across federal, state, and local agencies during disaster events.

By posing such a question, FEMA opens the door to a comprehensive evaluation of its current systems and workflows. AI has the potential to revolutionize various aspects of disaster recovery, from automating the identification of urgent needs to predicting areas most at risk based on historical data. Through predictive modeling and natural language processing, AI can help FEMA anticipate the needs of affected populations more accurately and quickly, thus reducing the time it takes to deploy critical resources. Furthermore, the inquiry should look at AI's role in streamlining communication between agencies, creating more efficient and accurate reporting systems, and ensuring that no vital information is delayed or overlooked. The beauty of this question lies in its capacity to unlock new solutions that not only meet the immediate demands of a disaster but also build a more resilient infrastructure for future crises.

Real World Work Accelerating Change I/ITSEC 2022









VADM Morley responds to Mr. Sullivan on "Why & How"

LTGen Kevin Iiams responds to Mr. Sullivan "Equipping the US Marine"

To Mr. Sullivan his theme towards the end. He talked about asking why a lot and he also ended with the how. I was reading a book I brought with me on the plane last night flying down here. I think it's called a beautiful question, and it really is talking about how to innovate, you need to ask the right questions. But they kind of divided it into three basic questions to ask. WHY, WHAT IF and HOW.

Going forward on the training and education Continuum and support a force design must reckon the fact in my opinion that the Alchemy of what it takes to make a marine cannot change and that what we're going to do is we're going to take that marine and as was iterated by **Mr. Sullivan**. We're going to equip the Marine we're going to build on the foundation of what we know is great to improve the quality efficiency and capability of our core going forward.

Okay, so the WHY drives you to where to innovate the WHAT IF drives you to the application and HOW drives you to the implementation the do so our challenge we have to continue to ask these questions constantly, but I would tell you in the world that we're in now with taking advantage of the tools that are on the precipice of really driving Delta outcomes

We see us synthetic capability that blends all of our force-on-Force training systems, our simulation and our simulators such that as **Mr. Sullivan** pointed out. We're not just training our individual Marines and our units; we're training decision makers with AI to the high end.

Why?

The question begins with **Why**: "Why does FEMA struggle with delivering timely assistance during disasters?" In this case, the problem lies in inefficient resource allocation, delayed response times, and disjointed systems. Asking "Why" helps us understand that, despite FEMA's vast resources, traditional methods fall short in optimizing real-time data and resource distribution. This question uncovers the root causes of inefficiencies that prevent FEMA from meeting its operational goals.

What If?

The next step is to ask, "What If?": "What if AI could help FEMA better predict resource needs and allocate assistance more effectively?" By imagining a future where AI enhances predictive modeling, optimizes resource deployment, and refine decision-making processes, we open the door to transforming disaster recovery. AI could process real-time data from drones, satellites, and social media, offering a way to react faster and allocate resources where they're most needed—ultimately reducing response time and improving the efficiency of disaster recovery efforts.

How?

Finally, we zoom in on **How**: "How can we make this solution a reality?" By adopting the Waterfall-Agile/Scrum methodology, we break down the complexity of AI integration into manageable sprints. Using Story Points to measure progress, we'll incrementally develop, test, and refine AI solutions for real-time forecasting, resource allocation, and automated claim processing. This iterative approach ensures that, step by step, we align AI tools with FEMA's goals and deliver measurable improvements in the time to deliver critical assistance.

Through the process of asking "Why", "What If", and "How", we embrace a powerful cycle of inquiry that drives the development of AI-driven solutions, aligning perfectly with FEMA's North Star Metric and helping the agency meet its mission of enhancing disaster response and recovery.

This continuous cycle of questioning fosters innovation, allowing FEMA to identify new opportunities for improvement, optimize its operations, and ultimately reduce the time to deliver critical assistance during disasters.

Sprint Breakdown with 35% Increase for the Unexpected and Inevitable

Sprint	Story Points	Increased Story Points (35%)	Man Hours (8 hours per Story Point)	Revised Man Hours (with 35% increase)
Sprint 1: AI Framework & Setup	8	$8 \times 1.35 = 10.8 \approx 11$	64 hours	11 × 8 = 88 hours
Sprint 2: Predictive Modeling for Resource Allocation	10	$10 \times 1.35 = 13.5 \approx$ 14	80 hours	14 × 8 = 112 hours
Sprint 3: User Feedback Integration & AI Refinement	5	5 × 1.35 = 6.75 ≈ 7	40 hours	7 × 8 = 56 hours
Sprint 4: Real-Time Forecasting & Grant Management	10	$10 \times 1.35 = 13.5 \approx$ 14	80 hours	14 × 8 = 112 hours
Sprint 5: Scalability & AI Training	8	$8 \times 1.35 = 10.8 \approx 11$	64 hours	11 × 8 = 88 hours
Sprint 6: Review & Scaling Recommendations	5	5 × 1.35 = 6.75 ≈ 7	40 hours	7 × 8 = 56 hours

Team	Story Points	Increased Story Points (35%)	Man Hours	Revised Man Hours (with 35% increase)
ALPHA Team (AI Integration & Development)	36	$36 \times 1.35 = 48.6 \approx 49$	288 hours	49 × 8 = 392 hours
BRAVO Team (Pilot Coordination & Stakeholder Engagement)	13	13 × 1.35 = 17.55 ≈ 18	104 hours	18 × 8 = 144 hours
CHARLIE Team (Data Collection & User Feedback)	15	$15 \times 1.35 = 20.25 \approx$ 20	120 hours	20 × 8 = 160 hours
DELTA Team (Reporting & Continuous Improvement)	15	$15 \times 1.35 = 20.25 \approx$ 20	120 hours	20 × 8 = 160 hours

Total Story Points (Revised) = 98
Total Man Hours (Revised) = 856 hours

Potential Issues for Each Team

To further refine the project estimates, let's look at the potential issues that could affect each team. These issues are highlighted in the analysis; we will now reflect on them with revised story points and man hours to give a clearer understanding of how unforeseen factors might impact team productivity.

By addressing these variables upfront, we can better anticipate challenges and ensure more accurate timelines and resource allocations moving forward. Additionally, this proactive approach will help mitigate risks early in the process, allowing for more efficient adjustments as the project progresses.

Team	Potential Issues	Impact on Story Points & Man Hours
ALPHA Team (AI Integration & Development)	 Complex AI integration with FEMA's existing systems. Real-time data processing challenges. Difficulty in scaling AI models across FEMA's nationwide operations. 	35% increase in story points and man hours reflects time needed for AI model development, data integration, and real-time adjustments. More complexity requires more time.
BRAVO Team (Pilot Coordination & Stakeholder Engagement)	 Misalignment with stakeholders leading to delays in feedback. Resistance to AI integration from some FEMA staff or leadership. Coordination challenges between multiple teams and stakeholders. 	35% increase in story points and man hours accounts for additional time spent aligning with stakeholders and addressing resistance to AI adoption.
CHARLIE Team (Data Collection & User Feedback)	 Poor quality or insufficient feedback, impacting AI solution refinements. Delayed feedback loops due to miscommunication or slow response times from users. Conflicting feedback from different user groups. 	35% increase in story points and man hours accounts for delays in data collection, time spent managing conflicting feedback, and additional iterations required.
DELTA Team (Reporting & Continuous Improvement)	 Performance tracking issues and gaps in data analysis. Difficulty in addressing scalability concerns. Continuous improvement cycles can be delayed due to resource constraints. 	35% increase in story points and man hours reflects the need for more time for performance evaluations and to handle scalability challenges in the post-pilot phase.

4. Table with Revised Data

Table to summarize the revised estimates after considering the 35% contingency:

Sprint	Story Points	35% increase)	Man Hours	35% Increase	Team	Potential Issues
Sprint 1: AI Framework & Setup	8	11	64 hours	88 hours	ALPHA Team	 AI integration complexity. Real-time data challenges. Scaling AI models.
Sprint 2: Predictive Modeling	10	14	80 hours	112 hours	ALPHA Team	 Data integration delays. Predictive modeling challenges for resource allocation.
Sprint 3: User Feedback & Refinement	5	7	40 hours	56 hours	CHARLIE Team	Conflicting feedback.Poor quality data collection.Slow feedback loops.
Sprint 4: Real-Time Forecasting	10	14	80 hours	112 hours	ALPHA Team	 Forecasting complexity. Real-time data processing challenges.
Sprint 5: Scalability & AI Training	8	11	64 hours	88 hours	ALPHA Team	Scalability issues.Training delays due to resource constraints.
Sprint 6: Review & Scaling Recommendations	5	7	40 hours	56 hours	DELTA Team	 Performance tracking challenges. Post-pilot scalability concerns. Difficulty in ensuring continuous improvements.

After applying a 35% contingency to account for unforeseen delays and administrative hurdles, the total revised story points increased from 73 to 98. Similarly, the total revised man hours increase from 632 to 744 hours.

Key Insights:

- 1. **ALPHA Team** (AI Integration & Development) sees the largest increase in story points and man hours due to the technical complexity of integrating AI and real-time data processing.
- 2. **BRAVO Team** (Pilot Coordination) will require additional time for stakeholder alignment and addressing resistance to AI.
- 3. **CHARLIE Team** (Data Collection) faces challenges related to data quality and conflicting feedback, which may require more iteration cycles than originally estimated.
- 4. **DELTA Team** (Reporting & Improvement) will experience delays in scalability assessments and continuous improvement cycles, which may need more time than initially planned.

This revised plan provides a realistic view of the time and resources needed to achieve the North Star Metric for FEMA, ensuring that AI-driven solutions align with FEMA's goals to improve "Time to Deliver Critical Assistance" in disaster recovery efforts.

Integration of ALPHA, BRAVO, CHARLIE, and DELTA Teams with FEMA's AWS GovCloud

Given FEMA's current infrastructure, which includes a multi-account AWS GovCloud environment with compute, messaging, storage, security, and data analytics, each team, ALPHA, BRAVO, CHARLIE, and DELTA, can be strategically aligned to work within this environment. Below is a table that outlines how each team can integrate seamlessly with the existing AWS infrastructure while contributing to FEMA's North Star Metric of improving "Time to Deliver Critical Assistance".

Team Integration Breakdown

Team	Primary Responsibilities	AWS Infrastructure Integration	North Star Metric (Time to Deliver Critical Assistance)
ALPHA Team (AI Integration & Development)	 AI Model Development Predictive Analytics Real-Time Data Integration 	Compute (Amazon EC2): Use EC2 instances in multiple availability zones for high availability and auto-scaling. Utilize Elastic Load Balancers for routing traffic efficiently. Data Persistence (Amazon RDS): Store and manage disaster recovery data in relational databases Data & Analytics (OpenFEMA Datasets): Leverage AWS S3-backed data lakes for training and testing AI models using disaster data.	Faster Decision-Making: AI-powered predictive models running on EC2 instances process real-time data (from SQS, S3) to quickly predict resource needs, optimizing resource allocation and improving the speed of assistance delivery. Scalable AI models ensure timely updates to disaster recovery efforts.
BRAVO Team (Pilot Coordination & Stakeholder Engagement)	 Stakeholders Coordination Pilot Execution Communication 	Messaging (Amazon SQS): Coordinate alert messaging and data exchanges between teams using SQS for decoupling and buffering messages. Security (AWS Shield, AWS WAF): Ensure secure communication channels with FEMA's systems to prevent unauthorized data access during pilot phases.	Stakeholder Feedback: Collect feedback through SQS message queues, ensuring efficient communication. Adjust AI solutions based on pilot feedback to improve system effectiveness and deliver critical assistance faster.
CHARLIE Team (Data Collection & User Feedback)	Data CollectionUser FeedbackKPI Tracking	Storage/Archive (Amazon S3): Store and manage user feedback and disaster recovery logs in Amazon S3 for long-term analysis and quick retrieval. Logging & Audit (AWS CloudTrail, Amazon CloudWatch): Track user	Improved User Satisfaction: By collecting and storing real-time feedback in S3 and using CloudWatch for system health monitoring, the team can make timely adjustments to AI models, improving the user experience and ensuring that assistance is delivered faster to those in need.

Team	Primary Responsibilities	AWS Infrastructure Integration	North Star Metric (Time to Deliver Critical Assistance)
		interactions and system performance to refine AI models based on real-world data.	
DELTA Team (Reporting & Continuous Improvement)	Performance Reporting Continuous Improvement Scalability Recommendations	Data & Analytics (OpenFEMA Datasets): Use FEMA's Open Data to assess pilot performance and identify areas for improvement. Security & Monitoring (AWS Config, AWS Security Hub): Monitor system security and compliance during performance evaluation and scaling. Networking (AWS Transit Gateway): Ensure networking connectivity for large-scale deployment of AI tools.	Data-Driven Decisions: The team uses data from OpenFEMA datasets to evaluate AI model performance and scalability, ensuring continuous improvement of the system and the speed of disaster recovery. Real-time security and compliance monitoring ensures that the system can scale securely as FEMA grows.

Key Insights for Seamless Integration

1. ALPHA Team (AI Integration & Development):

- AWS EC2 instances allow for high availability and auto-scaling, ensuring AI models can process real-time data effectively.
- Amazon RDS provides a centralized, scalable database for storing disaster data, which is essential for training predictive AI models.
- By leveraging OpenFEMA datasets stored in S3-backed data lakes, ALPHA can efficiently train AI models for resource allocation, speeding up disaster recovery and critical assistance.

2. **BRAVO Team** (Pilot Coordination & Stakeholder Engagement):

- Amazon SQS enables efficient messaging and communication between teams, ensuring seamless coordination between FEMA staff and stakeholders during pilot phases.
- Security features such as AWS Shield and WAF ensure that pilot data is protected, foster trust with stakeholders and minimize the risk of data breaches.

3. **CHARLIE Team** (Data Collection & User Feedback):

 Amazon S3 serves as the repository for user feedback and disaster recovery logs, making it easy to analyze and adjust the AI models. CloudWatch provides real-time metrics and system logs, allowing CHARLIE Team to monitor AI performance and refine the models based on user satisfaction and system effectiveness.

4. **DELTA Team** (Reporting & Continuous Improvement):

- OpenFEMA Datasets on AWS S3 are used to analyze pilot performance, enabling the DELTA Team to make informed decisions for scaling AI solutions.
- AWS Transit Gateway and Direct Connect ensure that data flow between FEMA data centers and GovCloud VPCs is efficient and secure as the system scales.
- AWS Security Hub and AWS Config monitor the compliance and security of AI tools during scalability, ensuring continuous improvement without compromising on security.

Dashboard Overview: Team Integration with AWS Infrastructure

Team	Primary Responsibilities	AWS Service Integration	How It Contributes to North Star Metric
ALPHA Team (AI Integration & Development)	 AI Model Development Predictive Analytics Real-Time Data Integration 	Amazon EC2 (Compute) Amazon RDS (Data Persistence) Amazon S3 (Data & Analytics)	Fast, predictive resource allocation and real- time data processing using AI models to deliver critical assistance quicker. Auto-scaling improves response time.
BRAVO Team (Pilot Coordination & Stakeholder Engagement)	Stakeholder CoordinationPilot Execution	Amazon SQS (Messaging) AWS Shield (Security) AWS WAF (Security)	Ensures smooth communication and alignment with stakeholders, ensuring the AI system meets expectations, speeding up the feedback loop for quicker disaster response.
CHARLIE Team (Data Collection & User Feedback)	Data CollectionUser FeedbackKPI Tracking	Amazon S3 (Storage/Archive) Amazon CloudWatch (Logging & Metrics) AWS CloudTrail (Audit)	Collects real-time user feedback , adjusts AI models based on user satisfaction , and ensures timely delivery of assistance by refining AI systems.
DELTA Team (Reporting & Continuous Improvement)	 Performance Reporting Continuous Improvement Scalability Recommendations 	OpenFEMA Datasets (Data & Analytics) AWS Config (Security Monitoring) AWS Transit Gateway (Networking)	Ensures AI models improve through data-driven decisions and continuous scaling, optimizing FEMA's disaster response efficiency. Security and compliance are ensured.

BIG HAMMER FRAMEWORK

This framework illustrates how ALPHA, BRAVO, CHARLIE, and DELTA Teams will seamlessly integrate into FEMA's existing AWS GovCloud infrastructure. By leveraging AWS services such as Amazon EC2, Amazon S3, Amazon RDS, SQS, and CloudWatch, each team can collaborate efficiently while ensuring security, scalability, and real-time data processing.

This integration will help FEMA meet its North Star Metric of improving "Time to Deliver Critical Assistance", providing faster, more efficient, and more data-driven disaster recovery efforts.

OpenFEMA Datasets

OpenFEMA refers to FEMA's (Federal Emergency Management Agency) initiative to make its public data available in an open, accessible, and reusable format. These datasets contain federal disaster response data, disaster declarations, assistance programs, and other related information that can be accessed by the public, businesses, researchers, and developers to enhance transparency, improve analysis, and drive innovation.

The goal of **OpenFEMA** is to provide disaster-related data that can support research, policy development, improvement of disaster response strategies, and create third-party applications that improve community resilience and awareness.

Key Components of OpenFEMA Datasets:

- 1. **Disaster Declarations**: OpenFEMA includes datasets on disasters declared by the President under the Stafford Act. These declarations include details about the type of disaster (e.g., hurricane, earthquake), location, and the financial assistance provided for recovery efforts.
- 2. **Individual Assistance Program**: Data related to the Individual Assistance (IA) program includes information on the aid provided to individual victims of disasters, including assistance for housing, medical needs, and other relief efforts.
- 3. **Public Assistance Program**: This dataset tracks the aid provided to state and local governments for repairing infrastructure damaged by disasters, including roads, bridges, public buildings, and utilities.
- 4. **Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP)**: Provides datasets on grants awarded to states and localities for projects that reduce the long-term risk of disaster damage, such as flood protection systems or wildfire prevention.
- 5. Federal Disaster Recovery Center (DRC) Data: Contains details about Disaster Recovery Centers set up during disasters, including their locations, operating hours, and the services they provide to affected populations.
- 6. **National Response Framework (NRF) Data**: Includes datasets on the response framework used by FEMA in collaboration with other federal agencies to manage the national response to disasters.

Formats and Accessibility

- OpenFEMA datasets are available in machine-readable formats such as CSV (Comma Separated Values) and JSON (JavaScript Object Notation), making them easy to analyze and incorporate into various applications.
- These datasets are freely available to the public and can be accessed through the **OpenFEMA** website, the FEMA data portal, and can be used in research, development, or for public knowledge.

How OpenFEMA Datasets Are Used

- 1. **Research & Analysis**: Researchers use the data to analyze disaster trends, the effectiveness of FEMA's response strategies, and the economic impact of disasters. This can help inform policy changes and improvements in emergency management.
- 2. **Disaster Response**: By providing access to data on disaster declarations and response efforts, developers can create tools that help local governments, first responders, and the public stay informed and prepared during future disasters.
- 3. **Public Engagement**: OpenFEMA encourages transparency by making data available to the public, ensuring that FEMA's actions are accountable and accessible to those affected by disasters.
- 4. **Third-Party Applications**: Developers and companies can leverage the datasets to create applications that provide real-time updates, forecast disaster risks, or streamline the recovery process.

OpenFEMA Portal

The OpenFEMA portal is the centralized platform for accessing these datasets.

- Search for specific disaster data.
- **Download datasets** for further analysis.
- Query data using custom filters or by program.
- Access API endpoints to retrieve data programmatically for integration into apps or websites.

OpenFEMA Datasets provide valuable insights into FEMA's disaster response operations, offering transparency and the opportunity for public involvement. They allow researchers, developers, and policymakers to analyze disaster trends, improve response strategies, and create tools for faster recovery. By making this data freely available, FEMA promotes better disaster preparedness, resilience, and recovery efforts.

The Big Hammer

Using AI to Claw Back Fraudulent Funds and Seize Assets

Now that we've examined the potential challenges and refined our project estimates, it's time to shift focus to a critical area where innovative technology can drive a significant impact. A solution that leverages artificial intelligence to enhance fraud detection, recover stolen funds, and ensure accountability. This approach not only addresses financial misconduct but also sets the stage for transforming how agencies combat fraud in real-time.

Incorporating AI-driven systems into FEMA's disaster relief processes has the potential to do more than just prevent future fraud; it can also enable the clawback of funds that were fraudulently obtained in the past. By using advanced AI techniques, FEMA can identify patterns of fraudulent activity, track down assets, and recover misallocated disaster relief funds. Additionally, AI can be utilized to freeze assets, seize properties, and even confiscate funds linked to fraudulent claims. The financial impact of these actions could be staggering, with billions of dollars in fraudulent funds potentially being recovered, along with significant assets.

This proactive approach not only strengthens FEMA's financial integrity but also sends a powerful message that fraud will not be tolerated, enhancing public trust in the agency's disaster relief efforts.

How AI Can Identify Fraud and Facilitate the Clawback Process

1. Advanced Fraud Detection and Historical Analysis:

- AI can scan historical disaster assistance data (e.g., from previous hurricanes, wildfires, and floods) to identify fraudulent claims that were approved due to human error or oversight.
- Machine learning models can analyze large datasets to spot recurring patterns in fraudulent claims, such as duplicate addresses, inflated damage estimates, or phony identities. These AI systems can track connections between multiple claims submitted by the same entity, finding evidence of intentional fraud.
- AI can detect anomalies based on factors such as inconsistent financial records, abnormal claim amounts compared to actual damage reports, or applications that fall outside typical claim patterns (e.g., unusually large or high-frequency claims).

2. Identifying Fraudulent Actors and Assets:

- Once fraudulent claims are identified, AI can help uncover the assets linked to the perpetrators, including properties, bank accounts, investments, and vehicles. Using data linkage techniques, AI systems can connect information from multiple sources, including property records, financial transactions, and social media profiles.
- AI-powered data mining algorithms can also search through open public records, blockchain transactions, and financial databases to track down assets that were purchased with

- fraudulently obtained FEMA funds. This can include identifying the tracing of suspicious financial transactions, such as large withdrawals or deposits linked to disaster claims.
- Pattern recognition tools can match fraudulent claimants to undisclosed assets and bank accounts, even if these assets were hidden behind multiple layers of obfuscation (e.g., shell companies, complex financial arrangements).

3. Clawing Back Fraudulent Payments:

- Once fraud is detected, AI-driven automated notifications can alert FEMA and law enforcement agencies to initiate recovery processes, including asset seizures and fund confiscation.
- AI systems can flag suspicious bank accounts for freezing, identifying large sums of fraudulently obtained disaster relief funds that are still in the hands of criminals. Using data analytics and real-time transaction monitoring, FEMA can act to prevent the funds from being transferred or dissipated, ensuring that money is recovered before it disappears into untraceable accounts.

4. Seizing Properties and Confiscating Assets:

- AI can track the ownership of real estate purchased with fraudulent FEMA funds. If a claim
 was used to unlawfully obtain financial assistance that was then used to buy properties, AI
 tools can help trace the properties back to fraudulent claimants and help FEMA initiate legal
 actions to seize the properties.
- In certain cases, AI can help identify luxury assets (such as cars, boats, or jewelry) that were purchased or financed through fraudulently obtained relief funds, facilitating the confiscation of those assets.
- AI can also help monitor asset transfers in cases where fraudsters attempt to hide ill-gotten
 wealth by moving assets into the names of family members or shell corporations. AI systems
 can analyze transaction patterns and flag suspicious activity, ensuring that assets are properly
 seized and returned to the public trust.

Forward-Looking Estimate: How Much Money Could Be Clawed Back from Fraud?

Given the historical data on FEMA fraud and the potential for AI to significantly reduce and recover fraudulent funds, let's make a forward-looking estimate of how much money could be clawed back from fraud, confiscation, and seized property.

1. Clawing Back Fraudulent Payments:

• As mentioned, the FEMA Office of Inspector General (OIG) reports that improper payments account for approximately 10-16% of all FEMA disaster relief funds. Given that FEMA's

- annual budget for disaster assistance typically ranges from \$10 billion to \$20 billion, this means that anywhere between \$1 billion to \$3.2 billion is at risk of fraud each year.
- With AI fraud detection systems in place, we can expect to recover 50% of improperly distributed funds by targeting fraudulent claims, improving claim verification, and catching errors before they become widespread. This would amount to approximately \$500 million to \$1.6 billion annually in clawed-back funds.

2. Freezing Bank Accounts and Confiscating Funds:

- Using AI to freeze fraudulent bank accounts and confiscate funds could lead to the recovery of millions of dollars tied to fraudulent claims. AI-powered transaction monitoring can identify suspicious activity such as large transfers of FEMA funds into private accounts.
- If around 5-10% of all fraudulently claimed funds are still in the hands of the fraudsters in the form of bank balances, investments, or assets, AI could help recover \$50 million to \$320 million annually in frozen or seized assets.

3. Seizing Properties and Assets:

- AI tools could assist in the identification of real estate and luxury items purchased through fraudulent funds. If AI helps seize just 1-2% of all fraudulently claimed funds in the form of properties, vehicles, and luxury items, the amount could total \$20 million to \$64 million annually in asset confiscation.
- Over the course of 5-10 years, AI-enabled fraud detection could result in the seizure of hundreds of millions in properties, vehicles, and other assets tied to fraudulent disaster claims.

Total Estimate for Fraud Recovery and Clawback

- Clawed Back Funds (Fraudulent Payments): \$500 million to \$1.6 billion annually.
- Frozen and Seized Assets (Bank Accounts and Cash): \$50 million to \$320 million annually.
- Confiscated Properties and Luxury Assets: \$20 million to \$64 million annually.

Total Potential Savings: By using AI to stop fraud dead in its tracks, FEMA could claw back between \$570 million and \$2 billion annually, with billions of dollars potentially saved over the next decade. The savings would be even greater when factoring in the elimination of wasteful spending due to inefficiencies in the existing system.

The Potential for AI to Claw Back Fraud and Save Billions

AI's role in fraud detection and asset recovery can completely transform FEMA's disaster relief processes, turning the tide on widespread fraud, waste, and abuse. By proactively identifying fraudulent claims, freezing assets, and confiscating ill-gotten wealth, AI ensures that FEMA resources are safeguarded, and disaster relief funds are used as intended, to help those in need. With the potential to recover billions of dollars annually, AI stands as a powerful tool in the fight against fraud and the efficient, fair distribution of federal disaster relief funds. One such tool, FRACTAL AI, exemplifies how advanced AI models can be deployed to detect fraudulent patterns, streamline asset recovery, and significantly bolster FEMA's ability to maintain financial integrity in disaster response efforts.

Using Fractal AI to Unravel Complex Financial Networks in FEMA Fraud Detection

The use of Fractal AI in combating FEMA fraud is a highly suitable approach, given the nature of the financial networks involved in fraudulent claims and the complexity of detecting hidden connections between seemingly unrelated entities. Fractal AI, with its advanced capabilities in machine learning, deep learning, and data mining, is uniquely positioned to address the intricate financial networks and patterns that are typically used to perpetrate fraud in disaster relief programs.

Why Fractal AI is Ideal for FEMA Fraud Detection

Fractal AI specializes in leveraging AI-driven analytics, specifically designed to uncover hidden patterns in large, complex datasets. Its ability to understand non-linear relationships and detect anomalies in vast networks makes it particularly effective at unearthing fraud schemes that involve multiple layers of obfuscation, such as fraudulent claims submitted through shell companies, multiple fake identities, or even large, coordinated fraud rings.

1. Detecting Hidden Connections in Financial Networks

Fraudulent claims often involve complex financial networks that are designed to hide the identity of perpetrators. This could include multiple bank accounts, shell companies, fake identities, and staged transactions. Fractal AI can process and analyze vast amounts of data across various **systems** (e.g., bank records, FEMA claims, real estate transactions, and corporate ownership data) to uncover hidden links between individuals or entities involved in fraud.

• Pattern Recognition: Fractal AI uses sophisticated algorithms to identify non-obvious patterns within these vast networks of data. These might include hidden connections such as shared addresses, financial transactions, or corporate ownership, which can signal coordinated fraudulent efforts.

Network Analysis: By applying advanced graph analysis techniques, Fractal AI can visually map
out complex financial networks and detect abnormal clusters of activity, such as multiple disaster
claims filed under the same address or accounts with similar spending patterns linked to fraudulent
actors.

Example:

If multiple fraudulent claims are being filed using fake names or stolen identities, Fractal AI can analyze the relationships between the claims and identify patterns like multiple claims being filed from a single IP address, fraudulent names tied to dummy bank accounts, or shared payment destinations across multiple claims. This can lead investigators to uncover a broader fraud ring that might otherwise remain undetected.

2. Unmasking Financial Fraud Through Transaction Analysis

Fraudulent actors often use sophisticated methods to hide the true flow of money, including money laundering techniques, layering, and asset obfuscation. Fractal AI can trace complex financial transactions in real time, revealing unusual patterns and suspicious activities that might signal fraud.

- Transaction Anomaly Detection: AI models in Fractal AI can scan and analyze transaction histories to identify unusual spikes or suspicious behavior in the distribution of FEMA funds. For example, it can flag sudden, large withdrawals or transfers that appear inconsistent with an applicant's typical behavior or financial profile.
- Cross-System Linkage: Fractal AI can connect disparate financial systems, such as FEMA's
 disaster relief database, banking records, and asset registers. By cross-referencing these databases,
 it can pinpoint individuals or entities, moving funds between bank accounts, properties, or
 corporate entities with the intent of concealing the origins of fraudulently obtained FEMA funds.

Example:

If a fraudulent claim results in a payment to a bank account, Fractal AI can trace that payment to multiple accounts, showing that funds were transferred to other accounts or assets (e.g., luxury goods or real estate) before they were laundered or spent. By doing this in real-time, Fractal AI ensures that funds are frozen before they can be dissipated.

3. Leveraging Predictive Analytics for Fraud Prevention

One of the most powerful aspects of Fractal AI is its ability to not only detect fraud but predict it before it happens. Fractal AI uses historical data, past fraud patterns, and advanced machine learning algorithms to identify predictive risk factors, factors that indicate a high probability of fraud. This predictive capability is crucial for proactively addressing fraud before it becomes widespread.

- Fraud Risk Scoring: Fractal AI can create a fraud risk score for each applicant based on their historical data and patterns seen in the larger dataset. This scoring system can be used to flag high-risk claims that need closer investigation. AI can automatically assign higher scrutiny to claims that fall outside normal parameters, such as unusually high claim amounts, previous involvement in fraud, or links to other flagged entities.
- **Real-Time Fraud Alerts**: Predictive models in Fractal AI can issue real-time alerts about potentially fraudulent claims or transactions. These alerts can be sent to FEMA agents or investigators, allowing them to intervene promptly before any funds are disbursed or fraudulent claims are approved.

Example:

If historical data shows that individuals who file claims from certain zip codes or who have a pattern of high claim amounts are more likely to be involved in fraud, Fractal AI can flag similar claims in real-time. By analyzing the context of these claims, AI can alert FEMA staff and prompt further investigation.

4. Streamlining Asset Recovery and Clawback

Once fraud is detected, Fractal AI can help recover misallocated FEMA funds by efficiently identifying and seizing fraud-related assets, as well as tracking stolen funds across financial systems.

- **Asset Tracing**: AI can trace assets acquired through fraudulent claims, such as real estate, luxury goods, and vehicles, by analyzing transaction histories, public property records, and business transactions. Once these assets are identified, Fractal AI can facilitate the seizure or confiscation of such properties through automated reporting and asset tracking.
- Bank Account Freezing: AI can track suspicious financial activity in real-time and notify relevant authorities to freeze bank accounts associated with fraud. This prevents perpetrators from liquidating their ill-gotten funds, and ensures they are returned to FEMA or the government for redistribution.

Example:

If AI identifies that a fraudulent FEMA claimant used disaster relief funds to purchase properties or assets, AI can link these assets back to the original fraudulent claim. This allows FEMA to file for asset seizure and confiscation of properties linked to fraudulent activity.

Additionally, AI can track and trace any further financial transactions related to these assets, identifying additional illicit holdings and ensuring that all fraudulent funds are recovered. By automating this process, AI accelerates the recovery timeline and ensures that resources are swiftly returned to FEMA for legitimate use in disaster relief efforts.

Forward-Looking Estimate on Clawed Back Funds

Given that FEMA has historically dealt with billions of dollars in fraud, waste, and abuse, the use of Fractal AI can substantially claw back misappropriated funds:

- Clawback of Fraudulent Funds: If AI-driven fraud detection reduces the improper payment rate by just 5-10%, this could result in \$500 million to \$1 billion saved annually based on FEMA's annual disaster assistance budget of \$10 billion to \$20 billion.
- **Seizing Assets**: Fractal AI can identify properties and luxury assets purchased using fraudulent FEMA funds. This could potentially seize billions of dollars in real estate, vehicles, and high-value assets. A conservative estimate could see \$200 million to \$500 million in assets being recovered every year.
- Freezing Bank Accounts: By identifying fraudsters who attempt to launder FEMA funds through complex financial networks, AI can freeze bank accounts, halting the movement of at least \$50 million to \$200 million annually in stolen funds before they are dissipated.

The Transformative Power of Fractal AI

Fractal AI represents a game-changer in FEMA's efforts to combat fraud, waste, and abuse. Its ability to unravel complex financial networks, predict fraud before it occurs, and automatically identify assets linked to fraudulent activities makes it an essential tool in safeguarding taxpayer dollars. By leveraging predictive analytics, anomaly detection, and real-time monitoring, Fractal AI can help FEMA recover billions of dollars in misappropriated funds, seize fraudulently acquired assets, and ensure that disaster relief is provided only to those who truly need it.

In the coming years, the widespread adoption of AI for fraud detection could claw back up to \$2 billion annually, with a significant portion of this coming from seized assets, frozen accounts, and confiscated properties. The implementation of Fractal AI will not only protect the integrity of FEMA's programs but also provide a more efficient and transparent disaster relief system, ultimately ensuring that funds are used for their intended purpose, helping those in need.

1. Fractal Analytics' Generative AI for Fraud Detection

> What it is:

A suite of generative-AI models trained on large claims and transaction datasets to spot anomalies, simulate new fraud scenarios, and continuously adapt to evolving tactics.

> AWS underpinnings:

- Amazon Bedrock: LLM inference platform (https://docs.aws.amazon.com/bedrock/latest/userguide/what-is-bedrock.html)
- Amazon EKS: Kubernetes orchestration
 (https://docs.aws.amazon.com/eks/latest/userguide/what-is-eks.html)

• Amazon OpenSearch Service: Semantic search and dashboards (https://aws.amazon.com/opensearch-service/)

> Key capabilities:

- Learns "normal" claim patterns and flags deviations in real-time
- Generate synthetic fraud examples to harden detection models
- Integrates into streaming pipelines for near-zero-latency scoring

2. "Unraveling Fraud Networks" by Fractal AI

> What it is:

A graph-analytics platform that maps relationships among applicants, addresses, devices, and bank accounts to expose coordinated fraud rings. More at https://fractal.ai/solutions/graph-analytics/

> AWS underpinnings:

- Amazon EKS: Container deployment (https://docs.aws.amazon.com/eks/latest/userguide/what-is-eks.html)
- Amazon Neptune: Managed graph database (https://docs.aws.amazon.com/neptune/latest/userguide/intro.html)
- Amazon SageMaker: Model training and pipelines (https://aws.amazon.com/sagemaker/)

Key capabilities:

- Link-analysis to surface suspicious entity clusters
- Risk-scoring on graph nodes and edges for prioritized investigations
- Interactive Kibana dashboards via OpenSearch (https://aws.amazon.com/opensearch-service/)

3. FractalGPT

> What it is:

An enterprise-grade, secure chat assistant fine-tuned on your claims data, designed to help investigators query histories, draft suspicious-activity summaries, and generate next-step recommendations.

> AWS underpinnings:

- Amazon Bedrock or SageMaker Endpoints for LLM hosting
 (https://docs.aws.amazon.com/bedrock/latest/userguide/what-is-bedrock.html |
 https://aws.amazon.com/sagemaker/)
- Amazon S3: Secure data lake (https://aws.amazon.com/s3/)
- AWS IAM: Role-based access control (https://docs.aws.amazon.com/iam/)

> Key capabilities:

- Natural-language querying of multi-year claims data
- Automated synthesis of investigation findings
- APIs to feed alerts into case-management systems

4. AWS Native Fraud-Detection Services

> Amazon Fraud Detector:

Fully managed ML service that ingests events (e.g., new applications, address changes, device fingerprints) and returns a risk score. https://aws.amazon.com/fraud-detector/

> SageMaker Reference Architectures:

Pre-built real-time and batch-mode pipelines using:

- Amazon Kinesis Data Streams: https://aws.amazon.com/kinesis/data-streams/
- AWS Lambda: https://docs.aws.amazon.com/lambda/latest/dg/welcome.html
- Amazon SageMaker Endpoints: https://aws.amazon.com/sagemaker/

Execution

- 1. **Ingest your data:** Stage FEMA claims, payments, and supporting docs in Amazon S3 (https://aws.amazon.com/s3/) or Redshift/DynamoDB.
- 2. Pilot with Amazon Fraud Detector: Low-lift integration to get initial risk scores.
- 3. Layer on Fractal's Generative AI: Deploy Bedrock models for deeper anomaly detection.
- 4. **Graph-analysis proof-of-concept:** Spin up "Unraveling Fraud Networks" on EKS
- 5. Connect to Neptune, and surface coordinated fraud rings.

All these solutions leverage AWS's scalable, secure infrastructure plus Fractal's advanced AI tooling to help you detect and stay ahead of, fraudulent FEMA claims and grant applications.

Conclusion

In today's high-stakes landscape of federal disaster management, success hinges on the seamless fusion of visionary strategy and technical precision. By centering FEMA's "Time to Deliver Critical Assistance" as the North Star Metric, we have established a unifying purpose that drives every decision, from the rigor of Waterfall's Requirements Definition and Initial Capabilities Development to the nimble, iterative sprints of Agile/Scrum. This hybrid framework ensures that each phase is anchored in clear objectives while remaining responsive to evolving needs on the ground.

Our "beautiful question" approach, asking **Why**, **What If**, and **How**, can propelled us beyond conventional thinking, unlocking AI-driven innovations that anticipate needs, optimize resource allocation, and accelerate claims processing. Leveraging AWS GovCloud's robust infrastructure and integrating cutting-edge tools like FRACTAL AI, we can detect and claw back fraudulent funds, safeguard assets, and restore public trust, all while streamlining operations to deliver life-saving assistance faster than ever before.

As a Product Manager candidate for US DOGE, I bring a proven track record of systems analysis and a deep understanding of enterprise-scale IT ecosystems, combined with a mastery of project management methodologies. This proposal not only demonstrates my ability to architect complex, mission-critical solutions, but also underscores my commitment to driving measurable impact where it matters most. In an exceptionally competitive process, "The Big Hammer" framework stands as a testament to my readiness to lead transformative initiatives that protect taxpayer dollars, empower front-line responders, and, above all, save lives.