

UN-directed programs are destroying US and state sovereignty, our rights and the very fabric of our lives. As your State's Chief Legal Officer, it is incumbent upon you to reject these incursions, thus protecting the integrity of our legal system and our way of life.

All laws, regulations, policies, and agencies implementing any UN program must be reviewed, reversed and/or revoked immediately, given the lack of any treaty obligation between the UN and the US.

The Supremacy Clause of the Constitution does not apply and no compulsion exists on the several States to comply with any UN policy or program.

Policies, programs and practices in conformity with UN agendas stand in direct conflict with the rights, needs and values of the American People, given that the UN, like the World Health Organisation (WHO), has far exceeded its original mandate by engaging in unconstitutional policy-making, violating our constitutional Rights.[1]

Note:

- Treaties are entered into between Sovereign States. The UN, et.al., are not Sovereign States
- The Constitution does not authorize creating national obligations by “signing a Charter”
- The 1945 signing of the UN Charter, misrepresented as a “treaty”, and the Senate’s vote, did not create Treaty Obligations on the US and was therefore never binding
- Truman presented the Charter to the Senate in a deceitful manner. The President Pro Tem of the Senate presented the vote to the Members as the “ratification of a ‘Treaty’” and it was then falsely so referenced in the Federal Register
- Despite the requirement for deposit of an Instrument of Ratification with the Department of State, no such Instrument was deposited. Thus, the alleged ‘ratification’ originated in deceit and has been perpetuated by funding, Acts and actions of Congress which failed to cure any defect of legal standing, legitimacy, or authority. The result is an expensive, destructive, decades-long fraud upon the American people
- The UN's self-authorized, ever-expanding, unregulated authority and intrusive social reorganization, implemented at the State level, threatens the fabric of life in the US. Compliance with UN programs (e.g., Agenda21/2030, One Health, Comprehensive Sexuality Education, Digital Vaccine Passports, etc.) is based on the presumption of treaty obligation to an organization with which no treaty can exist
- Violating the 10th Amendment, complex, expensive, comprehensive, intrusive, and intertwining UN policies and programs have been illegally and deceptively presented and funded.

The corruption, persistent failure and expanding authority of the UN, et.al., threatens every aspect of our personal and civic lives. State and Federal Constitutions make clear the protective responsibility which lies in your hands as our judicial bulwark against tyranny.

Compliance with UN programs is fundamentally antithetical to our form of governance. Our Constitutions recognize inalienable rights while the UN Universal Declaration of Human Rights claims to both "grant" and withdraw rights [2] and stipulates that all actions must support and further the goals and programs of the UN.[3] I urge you to use the powers of your Office to reverse the impact of already-implemented programs and protect against further degradation of our Constitutional rights.

Since the US was misled in the process of entry into, and participation in, the UN, et.al., all participation in the UN and its programs at every level, is unlawful, ultra vires, and therefore null and void.

States participating in this fraudulent entanglement are in violation of their Constitutions and their obligations to the People.

All UN adherence, as well as cooperation and participation in its policies and programs, is based on legal fiction and falls outside the legal authority, requirements, and interests of the People.

At the end of WW II, the Allies agreed to the establishment of the UN as a successor to the League of Nations, which the US had never joined. The UN Conference in San Francisco (1945) "adopted" the Charter and "opened it for signatures." At the Conference, Truman directed the US Secretary of State to "sign the Charter" (6/26/45) [4] which specifies requirements for its "ratification" (Article 110):

- "1.The present Charter shall be ratified by the signatory states in accordance with their respective constitutional processes.
- 2.The ratifications shall be deposited with the Government of the US of America, which shall notify all the signatory states of each deposit as well as the Secretary-General of the Organization when he has been appointed.
- 3.The present Charter shall come into force upon the deposit of ratifications by the Republic of China, France, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, and the US of America, and by a majority of the other signatory states. A protocol of the ratifications deposited shall thereupon be drawn up by the Government of the US of America which shall communicate copies thereof to all the signatory states."[5]

Truman presented the Charter to the Senate for its 'advice and consent' as if it were a treaty.

The Congressional Record shows the Senate's Roll Call vote (7/28/45) [6]:

“Two-thirds of the Senators present concurring therein, the resolution of ratification is agreed to, and the treaty is ratified.”

The US Department of State, as official Depository, shows the ratifications of the original UN members. The National Archives contains a photograph of Truman “signing the UN Charter” at the Potsdam Conference (8/08/45).[7]

There is no record of a formal “Instrument of Ratification” as required by the Charter.[8,9]

The US Constitution states, Article VI:

"This Constitution, and the Laws of the US which shall be made in Pursuance thereof; and all Treaties made, or which shall be made, under the Authority of the US, shall be the supreme Law of the Land; and the Judges in every State shall be bound thereby."

There is no provision for “signing a Charter” as equivalent to making a Treaty.

The Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (VCLT, 1961, 1969) defines “Treaties” as contracts between Sovereign States.

"Article 1– Scope of the present Convention - The present Convention applies to treaties between States.

Article 3– International agreements not within the scope of the present Convention.

The fact that the present Convention does not apply to international agreements concluded between States and other subjects of international law...." [10]

Congress adopted the UN Participation Act of 1945 [11] after Truman “signed the Charter.” While the Act provides for an Ambassador-rank US Representative appointed by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate, it does not authorize US participation in the UN.

Any relationship with the UN is unconstitutional, conceived and maintained in deceit and thus, null and void. Its adoption and all subsequent implementation of UN procedures and protocols at national or state levels are ultra vires.

Truman misled the Senate when presenting the Charter:

"I am appearing to ask for the ratification of the Charter... in accordance with the Constitution.... For this is not a static treaty...."[12]

The Senate was misinformed about the true nature of the documents. The US Department of State’s official compendium of Treaties in Force [13] does not list the Charter as a Treaty in Force, because there is, and can be, no treaty with the United Nations.

The VCLT is clear: international agreements, such as charters, are not treaties, which can only be concluded among Sovereign nations. Therefore the US, as a Sovereign nation, cannot legally be subjected by treaty obligation to the requirements, policies, mandates, programs or directives of a non-sovereign entity, corporate body or association like the UN, et.al.

Unconstitutional UN policies, mandated to the States by the federal government, which lacks authority to mandate under both Separation of Powers and non-existent US/UN treaty obligations must not be implemented despite federal threats of loss of funding, etc.

Attorneys General have the power to resist the destructive globalist incursions now underway.

I urge you to uphold your Oath of Office and use the authority vested in you to protect the Rule of Law and the fabric of our lives from any and all UN-related policies and programs.

[1] “Since March 2020, we may have experienced the greatest intrusions on civil liberties in the peacetime history of this country” *Arizona v. Mayorkas*, 143 S. Ct. 1312, 1314 (2023)

[2] <https://www.un.org/en/about-us/universal-declaration-of-human-rights> article 8

[3] <https://www.un.org/en/about-us/universal-declaration-of-human-rights> article 29(3)

[4] <http://www.sfmuseum.org/un/signing.html>

[5] <https://www.un.org/en/about-us/un-charter/full-text>

[6] <https://www.senate.gov/about/resources/pdf/un-charter-roll-call-vote-1945.pdf>

[7] <https://2017-2021.state.gov/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/298-UN-Charter.pdf>

[8] <https://www.trumanlibrary.gov/photograph-records/64-50>

[9] <https://history.state.gov/historicaldocuments/frus1945v01/introduction>

[10] https://legal.un.org/ilc/texts/instruments/english/conventions/1_1_1969.pdf

[11] <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/COMPS-1090/pdf/COMPS-1090.pdf>

[12] <https://www.trumanlibrary.gov/library/public-papers/69/address-senate-urging-ratification-charter-united-nations>

[13] <https://www.state.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/08/TIF-2020-Full-website-view.pdf>