

AGENDA 21 IN BRIEF

Recognize reality excerpt from **SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT FROM LOCAL TO GLOBAL ACTION PLANS**

We can't fight what we do not understand. To fight locally without *understanding* the 'big picture' we will be overwhelmed with the depth of programs designed to secure the globalist objectives. When we understand the Sustainable Development objectives, we can formulate effective responses to establish, protect freedom and institute genuine remedies. Understand **GLOBAL-TO-LOCAL** connections and sustainability patterns, and then fight with determination. Inform others at every opportunity from hair dresser to dentist, barber to banker and doctor to dog groomer etc. Sustainable Development includes and affects an international plan of the redistribution of American financial wealth and American resources to third world countries. (Google 'Monterrey Consensus')



The method of governance has changed – so slowly in many cases that it has almost been unseen. But it has been changing. From the vision of our Founding Fathers – to the vision of Marx <https://www.marxists.org/archive/marx/works/1848/communist-manifesto/index.htm> – slowly but surely, it's been moving in a "new" direction. This different form of governance emerging in the United States IS NOT a constitutional republic, (i.e., governing by consensus; "sustainable development", public/private

partnerships) and it adversely affects our individual liberties as established by the U.S. Constitution and Bill of Rights. This new mode of governance is moving us into a socialist/Marxist/communist system and is unacceptable. These changes centralize control over our lives as Hayek so aptly informed the world in his book *The Road to Serfdom*. Citizens are learning about, and taking action, to have their cities and counties withdraw from ICLEI. Citizens must arm themselves with understanding and knowledge about this EVIL if we are going to combat this war on our freedoms please check out the following website. Save it in your favorites, it is a treasure trove of information. Put down your remote and spend some time on this site, your freedom depends on your awareness of how to defend it. <http://nwri.org/>

FUNDING AGENDA 21 The list of money sources paying for the implementation of U.N. Sustainable Development Agenda 21 is impressive. American taxes fund the federal agencies' present focus: implementing Sustainable Development. Over two thousand Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs) are accredited by the United Nations; most exist for the purpose of implementing Sustainable Development in America. They receive massive tax advantages under the IRS code. Some of these NGOs are the Nature Conservancy, the Sierra Club, the National Audubon Society, the American Planning Association, and the National Teachers Association. The third leg of the Sustainable Development money power elite are certain aristocratic tax-advantaged foundations. These include the Rockefeller Foundation, Pew Charitable Trusts, the Turner Foundation, the David and Lucile Packard Foundation, the James Irvine Foundation, the Carnegie Foundation, the McArthur Foundation, and numerous local Community Foundations and Land Trusts.

NATURAL FACTORS YOU SELDOM HEAR ABOUT:

- the earth's orbit around the sun
- the gravitational pull of the moon (affects tidal forces and trigger volcanoes which cool the earth and produce El Ninos)
- major volcanic eruptions which affect the ozone layer far more than any and all human activity
- sunspot activity/storms (times of great solar turbulence which heat the earth and recurs every eleven years)
- the earth's relationship to other stars and planets
- storm tracks
- the earth's magnetic field (deflects storm tracks)
- the annual decrease of stratospheric ozone each southern winter (our summer) when the sun's seasonal absence prevents ultraviolet rays from interacting with oxygen and producing ozone.

Local Agenda 21 Chapter 28 of Agenda 21 specifically calls for each community to formulate its own Local Agenda 21:

"Each local authority should enter into a dialogue with its citizens, local organizations, and private enterprises and adopt 'a local Agenda 21.' Through consultation and consensus-building, local authorities would learn from citizens and from local, civic, community, business and industrial organizations and acquire the information needed for formulating the best strategies." (Agenda 21, Chapter 28, sec 1,3.)

This tactic may sound reasonable until you realize that the dedicated "Stakeholder Group" that organizes and oversees local transformation is not elected by the public. And the people selected to represent the 'citizens' in your community will not present your interests. The chosen 'partners', professional staff, and working groups are implementing a new system of governance without asking your opinion. They probably don't even want you to know what they are doing until the regulatory framework is well under way. You may read in your local paper about "visioning", working groups, Total Quality Management, and partnership between churches, welfare and social service agencies, and other community groups. These are clues that, behind the scenes, the plan is moving forward. The goals and strategies are outlined in *Sustainable America*, the report from our President's Council on Sustainable Development (PCSD). President Clinton's PCSD is merely one of about 150 similar councils established by nations around the world, all following guidelines from the United Nations Commission on Sustainable Development.

The same steps and strategies are detailed in *The Local Agenda 21 Planning Guide: An introduction to Sustainable Development*. This "planning framework for sustainable development at the local level" was prepared by The **International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives** (ICLEI) in partnership with the United Nations Environmental Program (UNEP) and the International Development Research Centre of Canada. Remember, UNEP also prepared the GBA which supposedly proves the environmental "crisis." Could there be a conflict of interest here?

ICLEI's step-by-step plan for transforming communities was made available to reporters during the 1996 UN Conference on Human Settlements (Habitat II). I started to read my bulky copy on the flight home from Istanbul. I soon learned how Stakeholders can broaden their working base and still maintain the original consensus: they simply seek partners who share their vision. After all, partners who challenge the Stakeholders' ideology would cause gridlock and slow progress. (Such gridlock is one of many criticism of the American political system voiced at various global conferences.)

The ICLEI Planning Guide suggests that Stakeholders select two kinds of people to serve their agenda: (1) ordinary people who don't have "a stake" in the old system and would expect to gain power by establishing a new political system, and (2) media, business, political, church, and education leaders who must be wooed and persuaded to promote the transformation within their sphere of influence. The following ICLEI list includes both:

1. **Community Residents:** women, youth, indigenous people, community leaders, teachers

2. Community-Based Organizations: churches, formal women's groups, traditional social groups, special interest groups

SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT PHILOSOPHY AND POLICY

*Subverts the principles of the Declaration of Independence

*Destroys the governing authority of the US Constitution.

*Turns the US (& all Sovereign nations) into globally governed nation states where human beings are treated as biological resources.

By designing a global movement coordinated by a 'global to local' action plan with the goal to create world governance in accordance with the following objectives;

- End of national sovereignty
- Abolishing private property
- Restructuring or destroying the traditional family unit
- Increase limits and restrictions on mobility (eliminate autos, encourage bicycles in guise of decreasing CO₂)

Methods employed to achieve these objectives are funded by federal government in the form of federal grants and as mentioned by our Council members, getting some of the gravy train in payback for our tax dollars to DC with fed money that will leave us to inevitably foot the UNSUSTAINABLE price tag of sustaining the ICLEI plans at our own peril.

The draw backs are the feds then have license to mandate policy of following policies:

- US monetary Policy
- Tax Policy
- Water Policy
- Environmental Policy
- Education Policy
- War Policy
- Foreign Policy
- Transportation Policy

People will suffer regrets when they realize that the threat is real and the party is over, many will continue to live in denial. A few will grow more desperate in pursuit of the *Sustainable Development* goals. Some of us though will understand the tyranny behind it and rise to the challenge and fight it.

It's time that people educate themselves and read the documents and related commentary, after that, get a copy of your city's General Plan and read it. You will find all sorts of policies that are nearly identical to those in U.N. A21. Unfortunately, their policies have advanced largely unnoticed and we are now in the end game. People need to identify their elected officials who are promoting the U.N.'s policies and hold them accountable for their actions. Only when we've identified who the people are and what they are trying to do will we be able to evaluate whether or not we approve of the policies they are putting forward. *Sustainable Development is restructuring our lives* and is targeting our children through an educational regime (Common Core) that seeks to develop collectivist attitudes, values and beliefs. Sustainable Development documents call for the abolishment of private property and the freedom that private property supports and causes irreparable harm to our economy.

If individual members of our society do nothing, the continuing loss of liberty will result in increasing social confusion and discord, rising resource shortages, financial decay and a dimming future for us and our posterity. The looming battle of ideas should be recognized as a classic and perhaps ultimate battle between Liberty and Tyranny. The social, economic, and political transformations Sustainable Development requires will mean the suppression of unalienable rights. It's time to bring U.N. A21 out in the open where we can have these debates and then set our own policies in accordance with our Constitution and Bill of Rights.

TEN FACTS & TEN MYTHS ON CLIMATE CHANGE

By Prof. Robert M. Carter of James Cook University, Queensland, Australia Global Research.ca 12-9-9

1. Climate has always changed, and it always will. The assumption that prior to the industrial revolution the Earth had a "stable" climate is simply wrong. The only sensible thing to do about climate change is to prepare for it.
2. Accurate temperature measurements made from weather balloons and satellites since the late 1950s show no atmospheric warming since 1958. In contrast, averaged ground-based thermometers record a warming of about 0.40 C over the same time period. Many scientists believe that the thermometer record is biased by the Urban Heat Island effect and other artefacts.
3. Despite the expenditure of more than US\$50 billion dollars looking for it since 1990, no unambiguous anthropogenic (human) signal has been identified in the global temperature pattern.
4. Without the greenhouse effect, the average surface temperature on Earth would be -180 C rather than the equable +150 C that has nurtured the development of life.
5. Carbon dioxide is a minor greenhouse gas, responsible for ~26% (80 C) of the total greenhouse effect (330C), of which in turn at most 25% (~20C) can be attributed to carbon dioxide contributed by human activity. Water vapor, contributing at least 70% of the effect, is by far the most important atmospheric greenhouse gas. 5. On annual (1 year) and geological (up to 100,000 year) time scales, changes in atmospheric temperature PRECEDE changes in CO₂. Carbon dioxide therefore cannot be the primary forcing agent for temperature increase (though increasing CO₂ does cause a diminishingly mild positive temperature feedback).
6. The UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) has acted as the main scaremonger for the global warming lobby that led to the Kyoto Protocol. Fatally, the IPCC is a political, not scientific, body. Hendrik Tennekes, a retired Director of Research at the Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute, says that "the IPCC review process is fatally flawed" and that "the IPCC willfully ignores the paradigm shift created by the foremost meteorologist of the twentieth century, Edward Lorenz".
7. The Kyoto Protocol will cost many trillions of dollars and exercises a significant impost those countries that signed it, but will deliver no significant cooling (less than .020 C by 2050, assuming that all commitments are met). The Russian Academy of Sciences says that Kyoto has no scientific basis; Andre Illarianov, senior advisor to Russian president Putin, calls Kyoto-ism "one of the most aggressive, intrusive, destructive ideologies since the collapse of communism and fascism". If Kyoto was a "first step" then it was in the same wrong direction as the later "Bali roadmap".
8. Climate change is a non-linear (chaotic) process, some parts of which are only dimly or not at all understood. No deterministic computer model will ever be able to make an accurate prediction of climate 100 years into the future.

9. Not surprisingly, therefore, experts in computer modelling agree also that no current (or likely near-future) climate model is able to make accurate predictions of regional climate change.

10. The biggest untruth about human global warming is the assertion that nearly all scientists agree that it is occurring, and at a dangerous rate. The reality is that almost every aspect of climate science is the subject of vigorous debate. Further, thousands of qualified scientists worldwide have signed declarations which (i) query the evidence for hypothetical human-caused warming and (ii) support a rational scientific (not emotional) approach to its study within the context of known natural climate change. <http://rense.com/general88/climchn.htm>

TEN GLOBAL WARMING MYTHS

Myth 1 AVERAGE GLOBAL TEMPERATURE (AGT) has increased over the last few years.

FACT AGT has not increased since 1995 and has declined since 2002, despite an increase in atmospheric CO₂ of 8% since 1995.

Myth 2 During the late 20th Century, AGT increased at a dangerously fast rate and reached an unprecedented magnitude.

FACT The late 20th Century AGT rise was at a rate of 1-20 C/century, which lies well within natural rates of climate change for the last 10,000 yr. AGT has been several degrees warmer than today many times in the recent geological past.

Myth 3 AGT was relatively unchanging in pre-industrial times, has sky-rocketed since 1900, and will increase by several degrees more over the next 100 years (the Mann, Bradley & Hughes "hockey stick" curve and its computer extrapolation).

FACT The Mann et al. curve has been exposed as a statistical contrivance. There is no convincing evidence that past climate was unchanging, nor that 20th century changes in AGT were unusual, nor that dangerous human warming is underway.

Myth 4 Computer models predict that AGT will increase by up to 60 C over the next 100 years.

FACT Deterministic computer models do. Other equally valid (empirical) computer models predict cooling.

Myth 5 Warming of more than 20 C will have catastrophic effects on ecosystems and mankind alike.

FACTS A 20 C change would be well within previous natural bounds. Ecosystems have been adapting to such changes since time immemorial. The result is the process that we call evolution. Mankind can and does adapt to all climate extremes.

Myth 6 Further human addition of CO₂ to the atmosphere will cause dangerous warming, and is generally harmful.

FACT No human-caused warming can yet be detected that is distinct from natural system variation and noise. Any additional human-caused warming which occurs will probably amount to less than 10 C. Atmospheric CO₂ is a beneficial fertilizer for plants, including especially cereal crops, and also aids efficient evapo-transpiration.

Myth 7 Changes in solar activity cannot explain recent changes in AGT.

FACT The sun's output varies in several ways on many time scales (including the 11-, 22 and 80-year solar cycles), with concomitant effects on Earth's climate. While changes in visible radiation are small, changes in particle flux and magnetic field are known to exercise a strong climatic effect. More than 50% of the 0.80 C rise in AGT observed during the 20th century can be attributed to solar change.

Myth 8 Unprecedented melting of ice is taking place in both the north and south Polar Regions.

FACT Both the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets are growing in thickness and cooling at their summit. Sea ice around Antarctica attained a record area in 2007. Temperatures in the Arctic region are just now achieving the levels of natural warmth experienced during the early 1940s, and the region was warmer still (sea-ice free) during earlier times.

Myth 9 Human-caused global warming is causing dangerous global sea-level (SL) rise.

FACT SL change differs from time to time and place to place; between 1955 and 1996, for example, SL at Tuvalu fell by 105 mm (2.5 mm/yr). Global average SL is a statistical measure of no value for environmental planning purposes. A global average SL rise of 1-2 mm/yr occurred naturally over the last 150 years, and shows no sign of human-influenced increase.

Myth 10 The late 20th Century increase in AGT caused an increase in the number of severe storms (cyclones), or in storm intensity.

FACT Meteorological experts agree that no increase in storms has occurred beyond that associated with natural variation of the climate system. Robert M. Carter is a Research Professor at James Cook University (Queensland) and the University of Adelaide (South Australia). He is a palaeontologist, stratigrapher, marine geologist and environmental scientist with more than thirty years professional experience.

SOME FACTUAL TRUTHS

1. Climate has always changed, and it always will. The assumption that prior to the industrial revolution the Earth had a "stable" climate is simply wrong. The only sensible thing to do about climate change is to prepare for it.

2. Accurate temperature measurements made from weather balloons and satellites since the late 1950s show no atmospheric warming since 1958. In contrast, averaged ground-based thermometers record a warming of about 0.40 C over the same time period. Many scientists believe that the thermometer record is biased by the Urban Heat Island effect and other artefacts.

3. Despite the expenditure of more than US\$50 billion dollars looking for it since 1990, no unambiguous anthropogenic (human) signal has been identified in the global temperature pattern.

4. Without the greenhouse effect, the average surface temperature on Earth would be -180 C rather than the equable +150 C that has nurtured the development of life.

Carbon dioxide is a minor greenhouse gas, responsible for ~26% (80 C) of the total greenhouse effect (330C), of which in turn at most 25% (~20C) can be attributed to carbon dioxide contributed by human activity. Water vapour, contributing at least 70% of the effect, is by far the most important atmospheric greenhouse gas.

5. On both annual (1 year) and geological (up to 100,000 year) time scales, changes in atmospheric temperature PRECEDE changes in CO₂. CO₂ therefore cannot be the primary forcing agent for temperature increase (though increasing CO₂ does cause a diminishingly mild positive temperature feedback).

6. The UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) has acted as the main scaremonger for the global warming lobby that led to the Kyoto Protocol. Fatally, the IPCC is a political, not scientific, body. Hendrik Tennekes, a retired Director of Research at the Royal Netherlands

Meteorological Institute, says that "the IPCC review process is fatally flawed" and that "the IPCC willfully ignores the paradigm shift created by the foremost meteorologist of the twentieth century, Edward Lorenz".

7. The Kyoto Protocol will cost many trillions of dollars and exercises a significant impost those countries that signed it, but will deliver no significant cooling (less than .020 C by 2050, assuming that all commitments are met).

The Russian Academy of Sciences says that Kyoto has no scientific basis; Andre Illarianov, senior advisor to Russian president Putin, calls Kyoto-ism "one of the most aggressive, intrusive, destructive ideologies since the collapse of communism and fascism". If Kyoto was a "first step" then it was in the same wrong direction as the later "Bali roadmap".

8. Climate change is a non-linear (chaotic) process, some parts of which are only dimly or not at all understood. No deterministic computer model will ever be able to make an accurate prediction of climate 100 years into the future.

9. Not surprisingly, therefore, experts in computer modelling agree also that no current (or likely near-future) climate model is able to make accurate predictions of regional climate change.

10. The biggest lie about human global warming is the assertion that nearly all scientists agree it is occurring, and at a dangerous rate.

The reality is that almost every aspect of climate science is the subject of vigorous debate. Further, thousands of qualified scientists worldwide have signed declarations which a. query the evidence for hypothetical human-caused warming and b. support a rational scientific (not emotional) approach to its study within the context of known natural climate change.

AGENDA 21/Common Core

It should be our Party's goal to "shine the light" on the Agenda 21 process, and share the insights offered by various individuals about methods which can be used to peacefully turn the emphasis back to a representative form of government. We *must* be a Party of Action to get this information out to as many individuals as possible, leadership needs to support our effort by active involvement and as we have the endorsement of the 2012 Republican Platform stating that our Party does not support the United Nation's Agenda 21 (and Common Core which is an outreach of same) we are amiss to not act. Awareness of the ICLEI (NGO) as the main vehicle of implementation of the vile 'Sustainable Development' that is threatening our freedom by subversive local level power plays to regulate and rezone our cities and towns.

Problem – There is an increase of different forms of governance emerging in the United States other than a constitutional republic, (i.e., governing by consensus; public/private partnerships via the implementation of Sustainable Development through various programs as set forth under UN Agenda 21) which adversely affects individual liberties as established by the U.S. Constitution and Bill of Rights. These new tools of governance are moving us further into a socialist system we previously recognized as a danger to our freedom and something to be defended against. These changes centralize control over our lives, even though many here still value liberty and control over our own lives.

Goal - To educate the general public about the changing form of governance and how it presently or will affect their rights, transportation, water rights, land management, property ownership, education at every level as well as health and welfare.

Assumption – With education, precinct committeemen and voters will become more actively involved in how they are and will be governed.

Objectives – To reach a large percent of the population, along with elected officials before the upcoming election cycles.

1. Focus public attention on the value of the freedoms protected to Americans by the Declaration of Independence, and encourage individual and community interest in protecting those freedoms by educating them on the United Nations Agenda 21 and the NGO, ICLEI implementing this agenda nationwide and globally.

2. Promote, educate and strengthen and insure only candidates that adhere to the principles of freedom and the Constitution be elected.

3. Inform the public about local, national, and international threats to individual freedoms by the U.N. Agenda 21.

4. Unite against the advance of international collectivist movements causing poverty, oppression, and a degraded Earth.

Methods – The Party should use the websites, both state and county and use the Internet to educate the electorate, **publish informational brochures/flyers** about Agenda 21, hold some public forums, in order to accomplish this goal, and to develop a list of source sites to enlighten the electorate, have tapes and books made available for all the Counties use in education of PCs, and serve as a network for grassroots organizations.

Political Environment

Policies, procedures, and laws enacted by government and non-government organizations in the name of diversity, community, and earth are diminishing individual liberty, degrading ecology, and threatening human life and happiness across America. These policies are approaching full implementation with little public discourse and with no voter input. While the political environment might seem disheartening to those who value individual liberty, the following opportunities appear to portray a changing political horizon:

The adverse consequences of collectivist policies and laws enacted by county governments are affecting every American citizen. As residents recognize the cause of the problems, they may be increasingly interested in supporting political change in their local political environment. World events have caused Americans to become aware of local and international threats to their individual liberties. (War, 911, Global Warming Scams, Patriot Act, etc...) *If we all work together for a common cause, we can accomplish our goals!!!* **GOD BLESS OUR REPUBLIC**

*Barbara Medal
4/15/2019!*