

SaveOurFreedoms.org 10-Point Litigation Plan

In conjunction with the LifeLine Legal Defense Fund

Where we stand now and why we need a 10-point litigation plan

What happens in America affects America not only now but also far into the future. And what happens in America affects the world. Whatever level of tyranny is tolerated in America is multiplied by our neighbors and other countries ten- and one hundredfold. And within America, what happens in one county and one town affects the entire state and, beyond that, the entire country. The actions of individuals, as individuals, reshape the thinking of their neighbors and challenge the compromises made and submission endured by others.¹ If we show vitality, it sparks vitality in others. With enough people so inspired, then truly will be realized the verse, "Proclaim liberty throughout all the land unto all inhabitants thereof."

Tyrants cannot rule over unwilling people.² The tyrant can only rule over those who willingly surrender, who volunteer to be so ruled. So, to rule, the tyrant must first ensure that the groundwork has been laid with the spiritual decline, demoralization, and confused thinking of the population. Decline, demoralization and confusion are accomplished over a period of decades and centuries. They result from comfort, laxity, a lack of vigilance, and from a concerted effort by the cult of scarcity³ to derail the good people from the life of high morale and abundance they merit. The demoralized person fails to distinguish and discriminate between good and bad, light and dark; these differences and their consequences matter less and less to this person. This spiritual vacuum is filled by the few who helped create it and expand it, who then become masters over the demoralized. The words moral and morale have the same root, and for good reason: when a person is no longer rooted in the moral, their morale falls. They no longer have the energy to change themselves and the world around them. They may gripe about the annoying impingements on his liberty, and may spring into action temporarily about some particularly galling issue, but they will then retire at night to watch an immoral movie on Netflix or Disney.

This person increasingly wants something for nothing. Their displeasure at having life and liberty commandeered by the government is mollified by a stimulus check and an easy loan.⁴

¹ Much has been written about election integrity. But much more fundamental is individual integrity. Individual integrity is the backbone of liberty.

² The obverse is equally true – it is impossible to liberate people who lack the will to be free.

³ The cult of scarcity has fought since the beginning of humanity to convince humanity that there is not enough and the only way to solve the problem proponents of this cult have created is to agree to their solution, which revolves around reducing the number of consumers by reducing the reproduction rate through persuasion or force and eliminating unproductive/unworthy individuals through war, famine, and euthanasia along with rationing, through persuasion or force, the quantity consumed by the remaining individuals.

⁴ "The American Republic will endure until the day Congress discovers that it can bribe the public with the public's money." – Alexis de Tocqueville.

The Torah is replete with examples of this pattern: a prosperous and secure nation becoming lax and beginning to ascribe success to powers other than G-d Almighty, including their own prowess. That disconnect from the true source of abundance then encourages fear and weakness, which emboldens enemies to accelerate the demoralization and physical domination over the now terrified and devastated nation. Only a realization of the source of the problem and spiritual reconnection to G-d Almighty brings salvation.⁵

A spiritually fit population, on the other hand, is impervious to fear and is repulsed at the idea of receiving something for nothing from another human.⁶ In the face of a spiritually fit population, the cult of scarcity is forced to retreat to its ivory towers, clubs, and secret societies, waiting patiently for the opportunity to derail a future generation.

Law and liberty is a reflection of the spiritual level of the people

The state of the laws, the judicial rulings, and the enforcement of the laws is merely a reflection of the spiritual level of the people. The lower their spiritual level, the more controlling and draconian the laws, the more twisted the thinking of the judiciary becomes to justify these laws, and the crueler their enforcement.⁷ The lower the spiritual level of the people, the more they are both buoyed by superficial statements recalling and predicting glory days and satisfied with superficial and temporary reversals of tyrannical policies.

This is where we find ourselves in the United States of America.

The spiritual blossoming that culminated in the Declaration of Independence, and the willingness to take decisive action and fight for the Divine rights recognized therein, was the culmination of 150 years of spiritual work, an awakening⁸ to the Divine source of our rights. But even then,

⁵ Today, the cult of experts discourages our citizens from reading and learning from history, particularly the precedents in the Torah. One only need read the Book of Judges with its descriptions of the repeated forty-year cycles in which these lessons were learned and then sidelined, to wake up to the problem and the solution. (The cult of experts is a tool of the cult of scarcity through which common sense is disparaged and access to approved knowledge is restricted to those who join the order. Permission to speak on a matter is granted only to those who have achieved degrees in the order—bachelor’s, master’s, doctorate—and then only with permission of peers. Ideas that would question the rites and beliefs of the cult are prohibited.)

⁶ On the other hand, we are constantly receiving “something for nothing” from G-d Almighty – even if we did every possible righteous deed for an entire lifetime, it would not be sufficient compensation for just one breath of life.

⁷ The city of Sodom was spiritually degraded, and its justice system reflected that. For example, victims of physical attacks were made to compensate the aggressors, and everyone was forced to be equalized, even in their physical features.

⁸ The word ‘awakening’ is misused today to focus on revelations of evil people and evil plans. This is an intentional distraction. An ‘awakening’ is not an experience of coming to know shocking information. An ‘awakening’ is a change in spiritual state from a state of spiritual slumber. The cause of spiritual slumber is never someone else’s bad action. The cause of spiritual slumber is the slumbering individual’s own choices. An ‘awakening’ is a spiritual

there was a fierce battle, not for the benefit of the King of England, but to prevent mankind from seeing the simplicity of life and the Divine rights entrusted to each person. And the battle to derail that awareness and willingness to take action has only accelerated in the 245 years since.

The primary battle front is spiritual

The primary battle front is spiritual.⁹ First, spirituality is marginalized to a fixed day and time. Then spirituality is mocked, originally just in fringe theatre and literature and then in education and in everyday discourse. Spirituality is degraded through the titillating and lewd. The knowledge of G-d Almighty is removed from the schools for good reason: How can a person possibly know about Divine individual rights if they don't know about G-d Almighty?

Today, we see that the highest pedestals are reserved for the theater and the atheist academies of science. Theater has always been a repository for the most immoral elements of society and a vehicle to transmit demoralizing messages to society. In times of old, however, a person had to travel to a theater, a special location at a set time, to submit their mind to the persuasions of activists for immorality. Now, with no effort, it enters the home and bedroom, accessible 24 hours a day, with hundreds of simultaneous persuasions available based on whatever catches a person's attention at the moment.

Meanwhile, the atheist academies of science mock what humanity has always known and revered – that there is something higher, a world beyond that which meets the physical eye. These academies point to their primitive and limited gauges and attempt to persuade the rest of society to only accept as 'real' and 'true' whatever they, the academies, have agreed to be 'real' and 'true.' This campaign forms the basis of most secular education and has even infiltrated traditional religious education.

For these reasons, an American today, even a judge who has read the Declaration of Independence and deeply respects the Constitution, who is not spiritually grounded and does not believe in G-d Almighty, cannot reach the right conclusions and consistently distinguish and discriminate between good and bad. The Declaration of Independence and Constitution, when stripped of the spirituality that originally infused them, are empty, meaningless and easily manipulated documents. This is how judges are able to uphold the most extreme and tyrannical governors' orders: their belief in the words of a segment of scientists completely overrides their commitment to uphold the Constitution.

Liberty was originally the highest ideal in American society, regardless of its cost. Today, this highest ideal has been replaced by the ideal of saving lives according to the precise instructions of a particularly entrenched group of bureaucratic public health officials, regardless of the cost in liberty.

experience that energizes better choices in line with G-d Almighty's vision for the person himself.

⁹ Freedom from physical slavery first requires freedom from spiritual slavery. To maintain liberty and avoid physical slavery, it is essential to avoid spiritual slavery. Thus, the primary battle front is spiritual.

This, the larger picture, is essential to understand before developing a plan to restore liberty, particularly through a litigation plan. Solely making the right arguments in court will be insufficient to reach the result that we seek: liberty. Righteous and just arguments have little to do with the decisions of the courts at this point.

Judges and religious leaders need proper grounding

In a properly functioning—that is, Divinely grounded—court system, if just the right arguments were made, the judges would be persuaded and issue fair and just decisions. However, many judges today are already so demoralized that they are not capable of a decision that diverges from the demands of the public health bureaucrats. For example, a recent United States Supreme Court appointee upheld the totalitarian measures of the Governor of Michigan. And many, if not most, court decisions proclaim themselves as subservient to the decrees of the public health bureaucrats since in their worldview public health is of such supreme importance that it overrides all else. The few slaps on the wrist delivered by courts to governors have been based on disparate treatment and other trite arguments--while the fundamental surrender of liberty to government in the name of public health is allowed to continue to grow and spread unchecked.

Religious leaders, too, have fallen into the same traps as the judges: the ideals of the cult of scarcity and the cult of experts and the ideology that the collective supersedes the individual. Thus, it is essential to recognize that a religious leader who is arrested for opening their house of worship has not thereby been terrorized. This religious leader remains spiritually free no matter how long they sit in prison. It is the religious leader who gives in and closes their house of worship who has let themselves be terrorized. They may sleep well at night, but they are not spiritually free at all. They have surrendered to a force other than G-d Almighty.

Changes in words are used to distort our focus

Even the choice of words in law and legislation is manipulated to further degrade the connection to Divine inalienable rights, and to promote the supremacy of the collective over the individual. The terms ‘individual rights’, ‘individual liberties’ and ‘Divine rights’ have been replaced with ‘civil rights’, ‘civil liberties’ and ‘human rights.’ ‘Civil’ derives from the word ‘civic,’ meaning “of or relating to a citizen, a city, citizenship.” ‘Civil rights’ arise out of a person’s citizenship in a city or community. They are granted and withdrawn by the ‘city’ and are only possessed while in the ‘city.’

Contrast this with the individual Divine rights recognized by the Declaration of Independence. The source of these rights is G-d Almighty and they belong to each individual in every location and throughout all time. The rights are inalienable, meaning that not only can the ‘city’ not take them away, but these rights cannot be relinquished by the individual.

The change of terminology is therefore extremely significant: it represents an entirely different concept of rights and, indeed, represents a seismic shift in the very conception of rights. Increasingly, even those who respect the Declaration of Independence use the ‘civil’ terminology because they do not understand the words’ meaning and do not understand why the terms have

intentionally been shifted from ‘individual’ to ‘civil’ in law schools, legislation, and court decisions.

These changes of terminology and underlying principles are also represented in the replacement of the term ‘common law,’ an unchangeable repository of ancient wisdom guiding the stable interactions between people possessing inalienable rights such as property rights, with ‘civil law,’ a collection of statutes and codes that can be added to and subtracted from at the whim of the legislatures and upheld or invalidated at the whim of the judiciary.^{10 11 12}

In line with this, police officers have been relabeled “law enforcement” and “public safety” officers. While the purpose of policing in America was originally to help an individual exercise and defend his rights when faced with a threat to his exercise of those rights, today, police training has morphed into training “law enforcement officers” to enforce any law, whether or not it is valid or just, and even if it openly violates a person’s inalienable rights.

This philosophy also animates the emphasis on the “rule of law.” It is demanded of the populace that they comply with the ‘law’ even though the law at hand may be a mere invention of a legislature and morally corrupt.^{13 14}

¹⁰ Arising out of the French Revolution, “a new conception of law appeared in France: statute was deemed the basic source of law.” (*Encyclopaedia Britannica*, “Civil Law”) The concept of ‘civil law’ is a core concept of the revolutionary war against the Divine. Under this conception, human statute, rather than the Divine, becomes the ultimate source of law.

¹¹ An example of the results of shifting from common law to codified law is seen in the courts’ treatment of euthanasia in New Jersey. Under the common law, assisting a person to commit suicide is murder and, therefore, illegal. In 1979, New Jersey codified the criminal law, and this prohibition was included in the criminal codes. In 2019, however, a new euthanasia law in New Jersey stated that as long as the person providing the poison to facilitate the suicide is a doctor, the act is not considered murder. So, when we argue in court that this euthanasia law permits murder, the state responds by saying the act is not murder because the statute says it is not murder. And the court agrees, stating that since the common law no longer applies and was replaced by a statutory code, the common law murder definition is irrelevant! This example demonstrates how the civil law/code system can explicitly legalize murder while simultaneously pretending it is not murder.

¹² Many make the mistake of attempting to combat these statutes and codes with slippery slope arguments, which courts usually ignore. Slippery slope arguments are fundamentally flawed because it presents the current issue as not truly problematic in and of itself, but rather only a problem because the issue could later become more distorted. This misses the point that the starting point itself is wrong. Instead, from a moral compass perspective, the smallest deviation from the moral compass means the problem is already present, not a hypothetical future situation.

¹³ This primacy of the ‘rule of law’ is often intertwined with the deification of ‘democracy.’ Democracy is referred to as a ‘sacred’ value and recently the Speaker of the House even referred to Congress as the “Temple” – indeed, a new twist on replacement theology. This line of thinking dictates that whatever the legislature legislates is law and, since it is the product of the ‘sacred’ democratic rule, it must be obeyed, since it is the ‘rule of law.’ It then must be enforced

Also distorted today is the understanding of the role of the judiciary. The common law court system was not created to decide, for example, whether an individual has property rights. The court only adjudicates when there is a *conflict* between two people claiming a property right to the same property. And, in the American context, the court system was not established to decide whether individuals have liberties and rights. The courts are not the source of our rights any more than the legislature or the ‘city’ is the source of our rights. Our liberties and rights, being Divine and inalienable, have always existed, continue to exist today, and will always exist no matter what anyone says or decides, for themselves or for others.

Divine inalienable rights need to become front and center

To summarize our current situation, there is a large gap between reality and many Americans’ state of mind. Our society includes a significant number of people who do not understand that their rights are Divine, individual, and inalienable. These individuals don’t understand or are unaware of the documents and teachings throughout history that have pointed to these rights and they don’t understand that the only way to actualize these rights is to act decisively and consistently to exercise those very rights.¹⁵ This gap in understanding and awareness exists at all

without thought by the ‘law enforcement officers’ sworn to enforce any and all laws. Since the proponents of this idea have also ensured that G-d Almighty has been taken out of the education system for generations now, they are assured that the majority of the legislators and those who elect them are no longer educated in, grounded in, or inspired by Divine concepts. Out of fear and greed, they will and do increasingly pass every form of immoral law and then demand enforcement. And, to the extent the judiciary shares this lack of grounding, the laws will be upheld. A democracy where the majority lack a moral compass is nothing sacred at all. Rather, genuine sanctity is the product of people who seek to honor the Divine.

¹⁴ This term ‘rule of law’ is consciously used to demand societal obedience. Who would dare disagree with the ‘rule of law’? But in agreeing, most people don’t understand what they are agreeing to and how it is then used to demand their compliance to *all* laws, no matter how immoral they are and whether their rights are violated in the process. Another example of the ‘required’ allegiance to an idea is the mandatory statement that violence is never allowed. It is important to remember that this country was founded through a violent uprising. Adolph Hitler himself points out in *Mein Kampf* that whoever defines violence as “never an option” will by definition lose when matched against those who inevitably arise who are willing to use violence. Yet today we are increasingly compelled to join the chorus and declare that violence is never an option, not because those who seek power aren’t or won’t use violence, but because they want to make sure that no one else does. Once they get everyone to solemnly agree that the rules of engagement prohibit violence, they just sail to victory using actual and threatened violence. This is another example of messaging that is divorced from a Divine anchor and can only exist when G-d Almighty is removed from the education system. The Torah states clearly that we are obligated to move decisively and violently, as necessary, to pre-emptively defeat any threat to our lives.

¹⁵ While the verb ‘exercise’ means “to make effective in action” it also means “to use repeatedly in order to strengthen or develop.” To exercise our rights strengthens and develops our spiritual

levels: in the population as a whole, and also in the governors, the judges, the legislators and ‘law enforcement officers’ who establish and carry out laws.

Therefore, any plan, legal or otherwise, must address this fundamental gap and reconnect each man, woman, and child with the Divine source of existence, the Divine Image in which every person is created and, consequently, the ultimate source of their rights. Mere rapid-fire litigations will produce nothing more than temporary or illusory victories for liberty; ultimately, it would fail to shift the entire population to an appreciation of, and exercise of, their Divine rights.¹⁶

One final principle must be understood clearly before creating any type of action plan:

Relief from torture can create a false sense of hope

As some states reshape their policies in a way that creates an appearance of increased liberty, it can create a false sense of hope that normalcy is returning, when in fact, the entire foundation of liberty has been replaced by the idea that liberty is allowable so long as an emergency does not override it. In a few ways, the appearance of liberty can be even more insidious than its outright violation:

- 1) The new more lenient policies still exist within the context of a state of emergency. While you may now be able to walk the streets, it is because the governor’s order says you can. That is not liberty.

muscle of living in line with our Divine rights and strengthens and develops among others the general expectation that this is the proper way to live.

¹⁶ In fact, this rapid-fire and scattered reactive response is exactly the ‘reactionary’ response the Marxist anticipates and relishes. Marxists use the terminology of ‘revolutionaries’ to describe themselves while calling their opponents ‘reactionaries’ because they believe that their average opponent has no long-term strategy to build liberty and abundance. Instead, opponents only ‘react’ when something bothers them enough to rouse them from complacency, and even then, the reaction is selfish and short-lived. ‘Reactionaries’ will abandon their efforts as soon as the immediate discomfort is resolved, or the battle looks too difficult; they generally only care about their own discomfort. We can see this clearly when Marxist ideology targets a particular industry or segment of society for re-structuring and other industries or segments of society do not pay attention because it does not affect them. (When a Cuban child was being kidnapped and put in the hands of a Communist terror regime, Cuban Americans rallied to his defense, but where was everyone else?) Before long, piece by piece, the entire society has been restructured. Similarly, reactionaries will fight a particular legislation that offends them, and may even manage to block it, but will completely ignore the fact that the entire foundation of society has shifted while they are celebrating their victory in a relatively minor battle. For example, some may fight abortion and may even succeed in reducing abortion rates, while at the same time they send their own children to college where they are educated to marry later in life, have fewer children, or not marry at all. So, the net effect is a massive reduction in the number of children being born even though there may be pockets of victory against abortion.

- 2) The executive orders of the past year have not been revoked *nunc pro tunc*, meaning in admission of an earlier error, and officials have shown no remorse for these acts. This emboldens governors to claim the same and further unprecedented powers in future mutations and twists and turns of the current pandemic and future ‘emergencies.’ The powers are no longer ‘unprecedented.’
- 3) We can deduce from the totalitarian measures in other countries that the United States is merely in a ‘loosening phase’ in advance of new ‘contraction,’ G-d forbid.¹⁷

Therefore, now, in this time of complacency, action is even more vital.

The following Ten Point Legal Action Plan has been carefully considered in light of all the preceding and takes into account all the steps necessary in a truly expansive strategic plan.

Action Plan

- 1) **Reality and deficiency check.** Clarify the key gaps between reality (e.g., rights are Divine and inalienable) and what people perceive as reality (e.g., a governor can block exercise of those rights) and help people become conscious of how they act (e.g., compliance). While the background to this Action Plan discusses some of these gaps as a starting point, there exist many more that we do not have the time and space to identify here.¹⁸
- 2) **Divine-based education.** Educate members of the public at large, individuals in government positions, and individuals in the judiciary. This vital education starts with the youth but should take place across all levels simultaneously, since we do not want to wait decades for a positive change. The spirit of liberty is a Divine heritage that has been stolen from the people by a spiritually deficient and leaching education system, and it can be re-surfaced rapidly with a proper Divine-based education.

¹⁷ For example, the United Kingdom is now rolling out home testing linked to cellphones. Positive results trigger a response team to come to the home to ensure that the person can ‘safely’ ‘self-isolate’ (euphemism for quarantine) and remove them to a government facility if needed to isolate them from other family members. In addition, the continued lockdowns in many countries trigger other crises that ‘justify’ even greater totalitarian responses and trigger a complete reshaping of the economic system into the Marxist/communist model.

¹⁸ For example, we often hear people say they did something they felt forced to do because they “did not have a choice.” However, this is a false statement since you always have a choice. Only when a person ascribes omnipotence to a force other than G-d Almighty do they lose sight of free choice; in fact, their current situation is based on many choices that they have made and continue to make. Re-awakening the awareness that you always have a choice is the first step in freedom from slavery and toward genuine liberty. In G-d Almighty’s world there are *always* many choices, at every instant.

- 3) **Create connection.** A spiritual connection with G-d Almighty and between members of society is an essential foundation of liberty. Since the problem we face is demoralization (meaning removing the morals of the people and thereby leaving them without morale), the solution is re-moralizing the people by reconnecting them to G-d Almighty and to recognition of the significance of our actions as people created in G-d's Image, which simultaneously brings morale – the willingness, energy and ability to act.
- 4) **Identify the key threats.** Move first from a mode of merely reacting to annoyances to one of blocking the shift in the underlying societal building-blocks (such as defining who is essential and non-essential, eligible/ineligible and the blocking of health care for those who are no longer deemed “productive” and worth the cost of keeping them alive¹⁹). Next, orchestrate a shift to permanently re-establish America on the foundation of Divinity, liberty, and the sanctity of human life.
- 5) **Court action.** Take considered action in court to restore the Divine rights of every American and defeat the clear and present totalitarianism which manifests through public health, euthanasia, and medical resource rationing. Potential tactical approaches include:
 - a. Open all businesses – reject the essential / non-essential distinction.
 - b. Open all houses of worship.
 - c. Open all schools.
 - d. Open mikvehs (Jewish ritual bath) to all users.
 - e. Combat the denial of services, food, travel,²⁰ jobs and education to people who do not wear masks, do not take tests, do not prove antibodies, and/or who remain vaccination-free.
 - f. Combat wedding and funeral restrictions.
 - g. Combat mask tickets *en masse*.
 - h. Defend the right to assemble and rally.
 - i. Undo the advances of the public health euthanasia campaign. Challenge and defeat physician assisted suicide, the program of medical murder.²¹

¹⁹ While many are annoyed that they can't eat in a certain restaurant because of a mask requirement, they are missing the main thrust in the reshaping of society. Euthanasia is now allowed in nine states and death lobbyists are advocating an expansion into more states. The net effect of the permissibility of euthanasia among medical doctors is that, coupled with the erasing of negligence liability, doctors and hospitals have been emboldened to deny the elderly fluids and food, while in the hospital and simultaneously hospice unit team members swooped in to urge patients to consent to morphine drips so they could be eliminated more quickly. This is an immediate effect of decades of medical school education and shifting beliefs and priorities that leave every person vulnerable to being determined 'non-essential' and a 'life not worthy of life,' and thus a candidate for direct or indirect elimination. These moves parallel the course of German medicine in the 1920s and the Nazi implementation in the 1930s and 1940s at the hands of medical doctors.

²⁰ For example, contesting the CDC travel ban on untested people from entering the United States via airplane. Left in place, the ban runs the risk of being expanded to domestic travel also. See appendix.

- j. Sue hospitals and medical centers for gross negligence and intentional harm in the elimination of COVID-19 patients through the use of morphine drips, starvation, dehydration, ventilators, isolation. This litigation is critical to prevent future eliminations of patients.
 - k. Sue states and pharmacies for the denial of effective remedies to patients. This denial is based on the faulty notion that you cannot take something for your health unless the government approves it.²² This step is vital to opening the widespread use of remedies that would end the claimed emergencies.
 - l. Block quarantines. Sue now to prevent creation of quarantine facilities, sue to block quarantines as the governors seek to quarantine people now and in future public health ‘emergencies.’
- 6) **Better litigation.** Taking an uncompromising and unrelenting approach through the courts, an ongoing barrage aimed at exposing and articulating the core issues:
- a. For example, strike at the core legitimacy of the governors’ orders. Attack the basis for the powers, the scope of the grant of powers, the scope of the actual orders and excesses in time and abuses of power. The misplaced focus on ‘disparate treatment’ issues is too superficial; ultimately it is just griping that the situation is unfair because not everyone was shut down completely. It inadvertently gives the government the power to shut people down, as long as it is equally destructive.
 - b. Challenge the assumption that the pandemic justifies total public health control. Require that the government prove that the science and medicine justify any emergency powers granted.²³
 - c. Do not enter settlements or compromises that leave government overreach fundamentally intact.
 - d. Set strategy by vision and not by committee.
 - e. Mount multiple lawsuits in multiple states to create a powerful movement in the courts, powerful education, and powerful results. The ACLU and the Southern Poverty Law Center have been very successful in mounting parallel litigation simultaneously in various states, districts and circuits. This strategy works by

²¹ For example, Rabbi Yosef Glassman, MD’s ongoing legal challenge to the New Jersey physician assisted suicide act in which an appeal is pending. See appendix.

²² Since the government has been handed the gift of this unrestrained power by the people, the government will now move onwards to *requiring* everyone to take steps it deems necessary for a person’s health, as defined by the government. This is an example of people not liking a government action but being unaware that it has come about as a result of their prior decision to surrender to the government. This ultimately deprives individuals of their own decision making, while the very same power is then used by the government to mandate obedience on the part of the individual.

²³ Most lawsuits start with the declaration that (1) COVID-19 is the worst threat to humanity since the Spanish Flu and (2) the Governor/State has broad police power for the public safety. Then they proceed to complain about something unfair or inequitable in the emergency orders. It is no wonder that judges, after reading this, rule in favor of the governors.

creating conflicting rulings that then require the review of higher courts. This is how cases are forced to the Supreme Court.

- f. Carefully identify the consequences of prior court rulings that undermine liberty and urge their overturn by showing how they are in direct conflict with the Constitutions of the United States and the individual States.²⁴
- 7) **General education.** Educate the public about the significance of court actions; how the issues at stake in the litigation are directly related to the consequence of the gaps identified above in Action Step 1, and how Divine-based liberty is the only solution. Awareness of the total power exercised by governors should motivate the public to eliminate emergency powers acts at the state and federal levels.
- 8) **Media education.** Educate the public through media channels, writing every legal paper not merely with the judge in mind, but the public. Every legal paper has the dual advantage of being an action and a convincing polemic. Distribute the contents of the legal papers out to the world through press releases, interviews, social media campaigns, ongoing articles, op-eds, and speaking engagements. Work to build alliances and collaborations with like-minded individuals and organizations.
- 9) **Spark action.** It is essential to persuade individuals to take action in the home and street consistent with their Divine inalienable rights. Once this happens, even if the legislators and courts fail in their responsibility to uphold moral laws, mass action by a public infused with morale and awareness of rights and liberties will render moot any laws that conflict with those rights. This is really the key because the actions of the population, starting with individuals each thinking they are the only one, and then massing into a society-wide way of life, will shift the judiciary,²⁵ the governors and the legislators, and make liberty the *de facto* reality.²⁶

²⁴ With few exceptions, liberty and freedom law groups are focused on incremental change and are stuck in the law paradigms taught by law school professors and promoted by the Supreme Court.

²⁵ The courts are motivated not only by their own philosophical agendas, but by their read on the state of mind of the people. If the people seem unbothered by emergency orders, or actually seem happy to live under those orders, it lends force to those orders.

²⁶ The truth is that the governors are not completely at fault. Most will do whatever they can get away with. The fault also lies with the people who comply, and the people who shut their businesses out of fear of a fine or jail. When people refuse to open their businesses unless a judge says it is acceptable, we are in a sorry state of affairs. The only people who can produce desirable results are the people themselves - not the parties, not the legislature, not the judiciary, and not law enforcement. When the people are spiritually grounded and not afraid of the blandishments of those who would derail them from liberty, they will take decisive action to live in liberty.

- 10) **Grow advocacy.** Create advocate training programs which will serve as moral grounding for lawyers and potential lawyers. In this way, an increasing number of advocates will be able to work towards re-grounding America in the reality of Divine individual rights.²⁷

Everyone must participate and everyone can take action.

The Lifeline Legal Defense Fund, Inc., is a (501)(c)(3) entity that is working with SaveOurFreedoms.org to implement this plan. We encourage you to invest in the future of America to support the re-establishment of the bedrock of liberty at <https://www.passaicclarity.org/donations/donation-2/>. Thank you and G-d Almighty bless you.

Appendix

The following is a list of litigations in which Lifeline Legal Defense Fund is actively involved in court and/or preparation:

Litigation already in court – partially funded but requiring funding to continue

Campaign to stop euthanasia in New Jersey. As one New Jersey legislator correctly pointed out, the euthanasia legislation is the beginning of Nazism in New Jersey. This matter is now on appeal. Plaintiffs include Rabbi Dr. Yosef Glassman. Defendant is the Attorney General of New Jersey.

News Coverage:

<https://newyork.cbslocal.com/live/cbsn-new-york/video/2754708-20190816122420-nj-judge-temporarily-stops-states-right-to-die-law/>

More videos at edslaw.net/media.html

Press Conference at Statehouse in Trenton:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BajlWOcFdRw&t>

²⁷ Most lawyers today have been educated in Marxist ideology, which has traded age-old legal concepts for modern legal charms: property rights for privacy rights; individual rights for civil rights; Divine rights for human rights; common law with codes; juries with judges; law itself with public policy. On a larger scale, state legal variances have been replaced by model uniform rules as a precursor to federalized laws. It is taught in law school and law journals that law should be used as a revolutionary battering ram to reshape society. In addition, we have seen that most attorneys are afraid to sue those governors who abuse their office. This is particularly true in small states, but even large states suffer from the same malaise. The legal profession needs to be infused with a new inspiration as to the source and meaning of our Divine individual rights.

Litigation in preparation – partially funded but funding required to complete preparation and file litigation

Campaign to stop CDC travel bans based on ‘health’ criteria. The CDC, in violation of the Constitution and federal law, has directed airlines to bar passengers without a negative PCR test from travelling to the United States. The White House has said that it is considering expanding this requirement to domestic travel. Plaintiffs: American citizens wanting to travel to the US by air without taking a PCR test. Defendant: Centers for Disease Control.

Read the campaign call to action here:

http://www.edslaw.net/urgent_to_stop_travel_restrictions.html

Litigation requiring funding to begin preparation and file litigation

- **Campaign to stop Pfizer export of ‘mRNA vaccines’ to Israel.** Pfizer has knowingly allowed the Israeli Ministry of Health to promote its product with fraudulent misrepresentations and without required collection and reporting of adverse event data. Plaintiffs: injured recipients of the ‘mRNA vaccine’. Defendant: Pfizer.
- **Campaign to stop college campuses from creating and using snitch squads.** College campuses have worked to condition the next generation to an America with snitch squads and police raids for violating social distancing and assembly orders. Plaintiffs: college students. Defendants: the universities and host cities which have created different restrictions for students living close to campus.
- **Campaign to end mask mandates in general and in schools.** Children, employees and customers have been forced to endure face coverings to the detriment of their health and mental well-being. Plaintiffs: students, employees and customers. Defendants: schools and their administrators, employers and stores.
- **Campaign to end mandatory testing in workplaces and schools and restore employment and schooling to those who have been terminated or expelled.** Plaintiffs: students and employees. Defendants: schools and their administrators, and employers.
- **Campaign to prevent mandatory genetic code injections at work and in schools.** Plaintiffs: students and employees. Defendants: schools and their administrators, and employers.
- **Litigation against township and police force that used violence to break up Jewish religious gatherings in New Jersey.** This important to prevent further occurrence during further lockdowns. Plaintiff: Rabbi. Defendant: township.

For more information, email info@lifelinelegalfund.com

All contributions are tax deductible.