Is Food Delivery the Right Thing for My Brand?

By Eric Faber, Founder & CEO, U.S. Restaurant Consultants

Few decisions in today's restaurant landscape create more internal debate than whether to offer third-party delivery. Operators see the demand. They also see the fees, the operational headaches, and the constant question: Does delivery strengthen my brand—or dilute it?

As consultants, we've analyzed delivery models across hundreds of restaurants, from independents to multi-unit groups. The question isn't whether delivery works; clearly, it does for many. The real question is whether it works **for your brand**. Here's how to make a data-driven, brand-aligned decision.

1. Start With Your Brand Identity

Before looking at revenue projections or fees, ask:

What experience does my brand promise?

- If your brand lives on ambiance—chef interaction, craft cocktails, tableside service—delivery may undermine your core value proposition.
- If your brand is built on convenience, speed, or comfort food, delivery may enhance brand reach and loyalty.
- If you emphasize product integrity that doesn't travel well (e.g., soufflé, high-end seafood, delicate fried items), delivery might damage your perceived quality.

Your first test is simple:

Does the food and experience I'm known for survive a 30-minute journey in a car?

2. Understand the Economics (Not Just the Fees)

Operators often fixate on third-party commissions, typically 15–30%. But the more important measure is **contribution margin**—what's left *after* food cost, packaging, labor, and fees.

Delivery works financially when:

- You have excess capacity (unused kitchen labor or slow periods).
- Packaging protects product quality and helps maintain perceived value.
- Menu items have sufficient margin to absorb fees.
- Delivery customers are incremental—not customers who would have dined in.

Delivery does not work when:

- Your dining room is full and delivery orders displace higher-margin dine-in guests.
- Packaging is expensive or ineffective.
- Your menu requires last-second execution that doesn't travel.
- You rely on high service touchpoints to differentiate.

Delivery is not a volume game; it's a **profit game**. Some restaurants add \$30,000/month in delivery sales and make less money than before.

3. Protect Your Brand Through Packaging

One of the most overlooked parts of restaurant economics is packaging strategy—something we've spent decades analyzing in foodservice and manufacturing.

Packaging should:

- Lock in heat without creating sogginess
- Maintain crispness or texture
- Separate moisture-sensitive items
- Protect color, garnishes, and aromatics
- Convey brand messaging (stickers, labels, QR codes, inserts)

Poor packaging equals poor brand experience—even if the food was perfect when it left the kitchen.

4. Control the Digital Guest Journey

If you partner with DoorDash, Uber Eats, or Grubhub, they become your digital lobby.

Make sure:

- Your menu is clean, curated, and optimized for travel
- Photos are professional
- Descriptions emphasize value and craveability
- You map out delivery radiuses and blackout zones
- Your labor flow and expo line are designed for dual service

Restaurant failures often trace back not to delivery itself, but to mismanagement of the **digital storefront** and the **operational flow** that supports it.

5. Consider Hybrid or Controlled Models

Not every restaurant needs to dive into full third-party delivery. Strategic alternatives include:

- Pickup-only with curbside
- Limited delivery menus designed for travel
- Self-delivery within a 1–2 mile radius
- Operating only during slow dayparts to avoid peak conflict
- Virtual brands to leverage excess capacity without diluting your core concept

The goal is to scale delivery *strategically*, not emotionally.

6. Look at Guest Behavior, Not Just Trends

Delivery demand isn't the same for all concepts. We look at:

- Local density
- Competitor mix
- Time-of-day ordering patterns
- Guest income levels
- Family vs. single-household behavior
- Day of week frequency
- Average ticket vs dine-in ticket
- Substitution risk (will they dine in less often?)

Delivery is often strongest for:

- Fast-casual
- Mexican & Asian cuisines
- Burgers, wings, bowls, salads
- Comfort food categories
- Brands with strong digital loyalty programs

It is often weakest for:

- Fine dining
- High-ticket entrées
- Fragile fried items
- Concepts where ambiance is the product

7. Your Final Test: Does It Build Long-Term Value?

Delivery is neither inherently good nor bad. It's a **strategic tool**, and like any tool, it must fit your mission.

Delivery is right for your brand if it:

- Reaches a new guest segment
- Increases total profit, not just revenue
- Expands awareness and trial
- · Maintains food integrity and brand experience
- Fits seamlessly into operations
- Builds habit-forming convenience for your local market

Delivery is wrong for your brand if it:

- Cannibalizes dine-in
- Erodes perceived quality
- Creates operational stress
- Causes negative guest experience
- Lowers overall profitability
- Conflicts with your brand promise

Conclusion

Delivery is not a trend—it's a permanent change in the guest expectation landscape. But that does *not* mean every brand should chase it.

The right question isn't "Should I offer delivery?"

The right question is:

Does delivery extend or undermine the brand I've built?

When approached analytically and strategically, delivery can be a powerful revenue stream. When approached reactively, it can quietly destroy margins and brand equity.