


Look what they slipped into the consent agenda right before the meeting - amendments to meeting minutes for the past 2 years. Of course, there was no discussion about any of this...



Like · Reply · 8w



Robyn Domes Mathias Joe Baird do you think they're trying to make them appear not as sparse as they mentioned at the meeting today when they were talking with their attorney?

Like · Reply · 8w



Joe Baird Robyn Domes Mathias Quite possibly. I know a lot of what was contained in Fishbane's report was based on what he found in meeting minutes and agendas. I'm sure they're trying to re-create history.

Like · Reply · 8w





Joe Baird Thinking more about this. The board speaks through their minutes and their voting record. Therefore, amending the meeting minutes amounts to changing your story. In my opinion...

Like · Reply · 8w



Robyn Domes Mathias Joe Baird that's exactly what I was thinking. They will amend them to curve the opinion that they had the best of intentions and they did nothing wrong

Like · Reply · 8w





Write a reply...













Tamara Murphy Switken Because the Treasurer was not official. They just went back today and did that so all of the votes that were cast over that time period would be valid.

Like · Reply · 8w





Joe Baird I wonder what Fishbane would say about that. Would he say they were ratifying an illegal act today?

Like · Reply · 8w



Joe Baird Tamara Murphy Switken - They went all the way back to August of 2017. Why? Bolduc was "elected" just over 6 months ago. They wouldn't need to go back that far just to cover Bolduc.

Like · Reply · 8w





Robyn Domes Mathias Joe Baird mr. Arnold would find a way to twist it so it appeared there is no wrongdoing. I was a tad miffed that the entire last half of the meeting was them defending themselves. Isn't that what is

