

ST. PAUL'S HOSPITAL OF ILOILO, INC.

General Luna Street, Iloilo City 5000 Philippines
Tel. Nos. (033) 337 2741-49 Fax. No. (033) 338 0676

www.sphi.com.ph sphiloilo@gmail.com

	IRB PROTOCOL EVALUAT	FION FORM* (Form 3	3.2)	
IRB Protocol No.		Date (D/M	л/Y):	
Protocol Title:		Spons	sor:	
Principal Investigator:		Contact no./ Email		
Adviser:		Contact no./ Emai	il	
Study Coordinator:		Contact no./ Emai	il	
Type of Study:			Review Status:	
() Intervention () Document review () Social Survey	() Epidemiology () Observat () Individual based () Genetic () Others, specify - Descriptive	ional study	() Full Board () Expedited	
Description of the Study in brief: Mark whatever applies				
() Randomized Drug	() Use of Genetic Materials () Doub	ole blind () Medica	l Device () Multicenter study	
() Single blind () Observational	() Vaccine () Oper () Questionnaire () Globa	label () Diagnos		

To be filled out by IRB					
ASSESSMENT POINTS		Indicate if the Study Protocol contains the specified assessment point		Page and paragraph where is found	REVIEWER COMMENTS
1.SCIENTIFIC DESIGN	YES	NO	N/A		
1.1. Objectives					
Review of viability of expected output					
1.2. Literature review					
Review of results of previous animal/human					
studies showing known risks and benefits of					
intervention, including known adverse drug					
effects, in case of drug trials					
1.3. Research design					
Review of appropriateness of design in view					
of objectives					
1.4. Sampling design					
Review of appropriateness of sampling					
methods and techniques					
1.5. Sample size					
Review of computation of sample size					
1.6. Statistical analysis plan (SAP)					
Review of appropriateness of statistical					
methods to be used and how participant data					
will be summarized					
1.7. Data analysis plan					
Review of appropriateness of statistical and					
non-statistical methods of data analysis					
1.8. Inclusion criteria					
Review of precision of criteria both for					
scientific merit and safety concerns; and of					
equitable selection					
1.9. Exclusion criteria					
Review of criteria precision both for scientific					
merit and safety concerns; and of justified					
exclusion					
1.10. Withdrawal criteria					
Review of criteria precision both for scientific					
merit and safety concerns					
2. CONDUCT OF STUDY					
2.1. Specimen handling					
Review of specimen storage, access, disposal,					
and terms of use					
2.2. PI qualifications					
Review of CV and relevant certifications to					
ascertain capability to manage study related					
risks					
2.3. Suitability of site Review of adequacy of qualified staff and					
infrastructures.					
2.4. Duration					
Review of length/extent of human participant					
involvement in the study					
3. ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS					
3.1. Conflict of interest					
Review of management of conflict arising					
from financial, familial, or proprietary					
considerations of the PI, sponsor, or the study					
site					
3.2. Privacy and confidentiality					
Sizi : rivacy and confidentiality					l

			1
Review of measures or guarantees to protect			
privacy and confidentiality of participant			
information as indicated by data collection			
methods including data protection plans			
3.3. Informed consent process			
Review of application of the principle of			
respect for persons, who may solicit consent,			
how and when it will be done; who may give			
consent especially in case of special			
populations like minors and those who are			
not legally competent to give consent, or			
indigenous people which require additional			
clearances			
3.4. Vulnerability			
Review of involvement of vulnerable study			
populations and impact on informed consent			
(see 4.2). Vulnerable groups include children,			
the elderly, ethnic and racial minority groups,			
the homeless, prisoners, people with			
incurable disease, people who are politically			
powerless, or junior members of a			
hierarchical group			
3.5. Recruitment			
Review of manner of recruitment including			
appropriateness of identified recruiting			
parties			
3.6. Assent			
Review of feasibility of obtaining assent vis à			
vis incompetence to consent; Review of			
applicability of the assent age brackets in			
children:			
0-under 7: No assent			
7-under 12: Verbal Assent			
12-under15: Simplified Assent Form			
15-under18:Co-sign informed consent form			
with parents			
3.7. Risks			
Review of level of risk and measures to			
mitigate these risks (including physical			
,psychological, social, economic), including			
plans for adverse event management; Review			
of justification for allowable use of placebo as			
detailed in the Declaration of Helsinki (as			
applicable)			
3.8. Benefits			
Review of potential direct benefit to			
participants; the potential to yield			
generalizable knowledge about the			
participants' condition/problem; non-			
material compensation to participant (health			
education or other creative benefits), where			
no clear, direct benefit from the project will			
be received by the participant			
3.9. Incentives or compensation			
Review of amount and method of			
compensations, financial incentives, or			
reimbursement of study-related expenses			
3.10. Community considerations			
<u> </u>			

community when to whom finding be linked; including of local cultural traditions trady 3.11. Collabora Review of term especially in calinstitutional stapproperty rights information and	ding issues like stigma or all capacity; sensitivity to ons, and involvement of the decisions about the conduct of decisions		
	RECOMMENDATION		
DECISION:	Approval Major Revisions Minor Revision Disapproval		
COMMENTS: Informed Consent Study Methodology Inclusion/exclusion criteria Study Design/ sampling design Sample size Data collection/ data gathering instruments Data Analysis			
Reviewer's Na	me: Date:		
Cignoturo			
Signature:			