jury, this will be the closing arguments. I will charge you now and also charge you again later, that these are not evidence and should not be taken as evidence in any way shape or form. The only things that you can consider as evidence is what came from the witnesses and the exhibits admitted into evidence during the trial.

MR. WISEMAN: If it please the Court, Mr. Banks, ladies and gentlemen of the jury:

On February 13, 1994, the Defendant drove Alton Davison's car, his 1982 Omega, to the Bucyrus Fstates Apartment Complex that you see depicted on State's Exhibit 20. His purpose was to kill the occupants of Apartment 1712B, the apartment of Marichell and Marchae Chatman and at that time being occupied by Richard Warren also, that night at approximately 9:00 O'clock.

Linda Chatman and Quanita and Quinton Reeves were present. The purpose of his murderous intentions was revenge for the activities of Rudel Chatman which resulted in the State of Ohio securing trafficking indictments against himself and other members of his family and friends.

He had come to carry out his intentions. He had with him a 9mm weapon concealed in a trash bag. The Defendant arrived approximately 9:00 O'clock, after Linda Chatman had been there for a few minutes. He had been seen outside of the apartment by Richard Warren who went to the

door. At which point the Defendant asked if Linda was there. And Richard turned around and said, "Somebody's here to see you."

At that point Linda went outside and talked to the Defendant. While Richard was inside he spoke to Marichell, when Linda and the Defendant were outside. And he asked what all of us might say, "Who is that?" To which Marichell said, "That's Kevin." And then he couldn't remember the last name. "He was involved in a big drug bust." At which time, his curiosity satisfied, sat back down and resumed watching T.V.

It was shortly after that, the Defendant and Linda came back inside whereby the Defendant requested a glass of water. He was given a glass of water and drinking the water, he made idle conversation with Richard. "Who's winning the basketball game?" At this point Richard knew nothing was wrong. Shortly after that, the Defendant pulled a 9mm weapon out of his trash bag and pointed it at Richard Warren and says to him, pointing the gun at him, "You get over here."

Richard just looked and he says, "I ain't bullshitting you, get over here." At which time Richard goes over and gets down on the floor, as ordered by the Defendant. That included the little children and Marichell and Linda. You will see the photos of the aftermath of

that. They are in evidence.

When everyone is down on the floor and the Defendant has the gun pointed at Marichell, she is saying, "Kevin, what are you doing? We don't have anything. What are you doing."

"Don't say my name," he said.

She said his name several times. And he kept saying, "Don't say my name." At that point words to the affect of you know, "What are you doing. We don't have any thing. Why would-- With these children around you are not going to hurt anybody."

At one point in the conversation, the Defendant says, "Well, you should have thought about that before your brother started ratting on people."

Whereby Marichell says, "Even if he did, it didn't have anything to do with us."

Shortly after that, at point blank range, the Defendant shoots Marichell Chatman. That is the evidence, the physical evidence, as given by Dr. Fardal supporting that fact.

Richard Warren was shot, the other occupants of the apartment were shot. You can see from Exhibit 41, the diagram of the apartment which you will have with you. You will see the size of the apartment. The Defendant was here, victims on the floor as they were shot by the Defendant.

After being shot at, Richard Warren laid on the floor where he heard the other shots going off and the confusion and the aftermath. When he heard the door slam, somehow after being shot three times, he managed to arise and attempt to flee the apartment.

When he gets outside, he began to yell and run towards -- thinking there is a phone at Ike's Restaurant which you can see from this exhibit it a direct shot out of the apartment. What he didn't know was the Defendant was still in the area. The Defendant having left his car down here in the parking lot.

The Defendant heard and saw him and went after him again and began shooting at him. And we know he shot him again because you are going to have Exhibit 86 with the bloody spots in the snow where the 4th bullet hit Richard Warren.

By some miracle he made it to Ike's Restaurant where he received aid for the four bullet wounds. And he was than transferred to the Columbus Hospital.

Now after the fourth shot at Richard Warren,

Defendant then fled. Where did he go? He went back to

where he left Alton Davison's car in the parking lot -- got

into the car attempting to leave. But as he went past Nancy

Smathers house, he got stuck in the snow. Now, Nancy

Smathers has really no relationship to these people as you

heard. She just happened to hear the shots the Defendant fired at Richard Warren as he was attempting to flee the scene of carnage, and came to her front door. When she got to her front door, she saw the Defendant run that car right out there and get stuck. Whereupon she saw the Defendant exited the car, rocking the car to get it out of the snow bank and once successful, leave. Now if she did not come to the door and we don't really know why she did, but she did and she saw what she saw. She saw the Defendant rocking the car and flee.

Now Richard Warren -- Richard Warren is the classic case of the guy being at the wrong place at the right time. He is a man without motive or opportunity to fabricate. A man that had only known any of these people for a matter of weeks and didn't know some of them at all. He barely knew Marichell, Marchae, and Linda and I don't believe he knew, from the evidence, I don't believe he was really acquainted with the Reeves children at all.

In any event, he happens to be staying with Marichell when the Defendant decided to take out his revenge on anybody there.

Why should we believe Richard Warren? Well, I said he has no motive or opportunity to fabricate. His testimony, you will see, meets the facts completely. Where would he come up with the name Kevin. The testimony was that

as he was coming out of the surgery at 5:00 O'clock in the morning, he can't even speak, yet he is giving John Foor, his nurse, the name Kevin. John Foor called the Bucyrus Police Department. That is how the name Kevin found its way into this case.

He then, of course as you heard, later on was able to give a description of what went on. You will see from the exhibits that you will have with you, that his descriptions of the scene and the placement of the bodies and you should pay particular attention to things like that.

The description of Linda Chatman and her position which gave the attitude that she knew who this was and maybe wasn't taking it as seriously as it turned out. You will see from the photographs of the scene, Exhibit 92, that the comments and testimony which placed Linda by the door and the car keys and the lit cigarette, that she continued to smoke despite the threats by the Defendant. And that is present in the photographs.

You will see that the glass that Richard described the Defendant drinking out of is present. You will see this in the photographs.

You will see that the fatal wound borne out by the doctor's report. Richard said she was shot first in the head.

The trash bag in which the gun was concealed will

In re: Kevin A. Keith, OSP #A295-769 State's Clemency Materials Trial Transcripts Page 846 of 952 also be shown to you in the photographs and also corroborated by other testimony, other witnesses.

Nancy Smathers who apparently is unrelated to any of these people, either by friendship or blood, happened to be a nosey neighbor, I suppose. She happened to be curious when she heard the odd sounds. So she went to her door and what she saw was the Defendant attempting to flee the murder scene.

Now, why should we believe Nancy Smathers? Nancy Smathers' observations, curiously, just happened to be completely accurate. Nancy Smathers described the vehicle. She described the vehicle which the Defendant exited. Remember it was stopped here. (Indicating) And you will have State's Exhibit 39 with you, which shows the position of the snow where the Defendant's vehicle was stuck. And it also shows the relative position of Nancy Smathers' apartment. And you can see with the street lights over the Defendant, the opportunity she had to observe what went on as she saw the Defendant's vehicle which she described as a mid-sized vehicle and the straight back window, a dome light doesn't go on when the driver's door was open, the license plate light didn't work, and generally a light colored car, unsure about the color.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Well, when the car was recovered, which I will get to in a second, you will see that her observations were accurate. Because she saw the Defendant get stuck in the snow drift, she did a good citizen type thing. She went to the police and told them what she had seen and preserved the scene. You heard the testimony about that -- where the various members of the Bucyrus Police Department made sure that this spot where the Defendant's car had been stuck was not tampered with.

Because of that, when Agent Barnes of BCI got there, he was able to do several things. He was able to take these casts that you will see that he testified to. He was able to take photographs that, as you heard from the deposition of Michele Yezzo, were used in determining the tire prints and the partial license plate impression.

You will have these photographs with you so you can look at them. Because Agent Barnes did do that even before the car was recovered, there was quite a bit of information they were able to get.

And you also heard testimony about a large sheet of numbers, of 043 numbers, which only included Richland and Crawford County, which you also heard was narrowed down, by Captain Blankenship, to one of just a few cars and one of the cars was Alton Davison's vehicle.

Now, Alton Davison's vehicle, as you also heard and

3

5

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

there was testimony that this vehicle was seized from the possession of a Melanie Davison, Mr. Alton's granddaughter. And the reason that fell into the hands of the Bucyrus Police was because she had committed some suspicious activity while visiting the Defendant in the County Jail.

And because of that, Officer Wilhite noticed the 043 license plate and one thing led to the other, and the car was seized. And what did they find when they looked at Mr. Davison's car? Well, you heard him testify. First off, and I don't think there is any question, Alton Davison's car as you will see in the Exhibit is an Omega and his granddaughter is associated with the Defendant.

You also heard Alton Davison testify that in August 1993, he put four brand new tires on the car and testified, I think I believe he stated he put around 3000 miles or certainly less than 5000 he figured he put on the car. will have the exhibit showing where he purchased the Firestone tires.

Curiously, when the police recovered Mr. Davison's car, the tires that were on it, were not the ones he bought. He didn't He testified he had no problems with the tires. authorize anyone to put new tires on. Here he has new tires on his car after only a few thousand miles. And Michele Yezzo, in her deposition, indicated she examined the tires on the car and also looked into the tires that Mr. Davison

had purchased and should have been on the car, and her conclusion was that the tires that Mr. Davison bought in August, are similar and consistent with the tire tracks found in the snow at the murder scene. The tires on the car when it was recovered are not.

The license plate was recovered from the snow, by virtue of Mr. Barnes' activities. And you see that. This is one of the Fxhibits you will have. You can look at these. It lets you see where the numbers were and what was actually in the snow. You will find that it was a plate that had the letters first and numbers on the outside. As you look at the front of the car on the far right, that's where the front of the car hit the snow bank and it was the letters that were left imprinted in the snow-- No, not the letters the numbers.

Now, after the car was recovered what did we find out remembering the testimony of Nancy Smathers observations? You heard Agent Hardin looked at this car and testified to a number of things. Number one: when you opened the driver's door the dome light didn't come on. He also testified that when he look at it, the reason it did not light is it was currently out.

And you will be able to see the general size of the car. And we believe you will agree that Nancy Smathers' description was completely accurate and that this, indeed,

Я

was the car that was used by the Defendant to flee the murder scene.

Ms. Yezzo gave us several exhibits which I have been referring to: the photographs and also the items belonging to Mr. Davison showing the ownership of the car.

Now, we know that the Defendant, on the night of the murders, picked up Zina Scott at the G.E. Plant on Walnut Street and Zina Scott said he did indeed do that. And we later found a person right across the street from there. Farnella Graham, just happened to find a 9mm shell casing on her walk the next morning. Now that is Exhibit 43 if I can find it. It is here, and you will have it with you, Exhibit 43. This is the shell casing recovered from the front of Farnella Graham's home.

You will have, of course, numerous other shell casings and you heard from Tom Nicholson of BCI, a ballistics expert, that all 25 casings recovered, including the one that was in front of Farnella Graham's house, was fired by the same weapon, being the murder weapon.

You heard Lt. Dayne testify to Rudel Chatman's involvement with the Keith family.

And finally, Dr. Fardel, you will have his report, which indicates the cause of death of Marichell, Marchae, and Linda Chatman and the wounds suffered by Quinton and Quanita and Richard Warren.

We anticipate you will be instructed on how to evaluate witnesses' testimony. We anticipate you will be instructed that that is pretty much up to you, to observe their demeanor, their motive, the reason to lie, the reason to tell the truth.

We believe that when you apply that standard to Richard Warren and Nancy Smathers, you will come to the same conclusion that the State has. That is, they have neither the motive or opportunity to fabricate. Their statements are corroborated both by each others and by other witnesses. There is no way they can be lying because their statements are backed by the facts as we have seen from other sources.

We believe that you will find that the Defendant is guilty of these counts of aggravated murder and counts of attempted murder. I am going to-- The State will get a chance to talk to you again.

We ask you to continue to give your attention to the defense counsel, separate facts from allegations and speculations, and when you do that, the State believes that you will agree with us, that the facts and justice requires your verdict of guilty against this Defendant.

Thank you. I look forward to talking to you again.

Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. BANKS: Your Honor, Mr. Wiseman, good morning ladies and gentlemen of the jury. I would like to