Housing Consultants of America

# Failed an Affordable Housing Audit? Here's What to Do Next

**A practical corrective-action guide for owners and management companies responding to HUD, state housing finance agency, investor, or other monitoring findings**

**Written by Jenna Ghisolfo**
President & Founder
Housing Consultants of America

Receiving an affordable housing audit report with findings can be stressful, especially when the review identifies multiple tenant-file errors, policy deficiencies, overdue certifications, rent or income issues, EIV concerns, or weaknesses that appear across more than one property. But a failed audit or monitoring review does not automatically mean the organization cannot recover.

What matters next is how quickly and systematically ownership and management respond. The strongest corrective-action plans do more than fix the exact files selected by the auditor. They determine why the errors occurred, identify whether the same problem exists elsewhere, correct affected files, update procedures, train staff, document the response, and establish quality controls that prevent the finding from repeating.

HUD's multifamily monitoring framework distinguishes individual errors from broader findings and expects corrective action to address identified deficiencies. HUD guidance also provides for written owner responses and ongoing follow-up until corrective actions are resolved. The exact response deadline and process depend on the monitoring program and notice received, so owners should follow the specific instructions in their audit report.

## Step 1: Read Every Finding Carefully Before Correcting Anything

The first instinct after a poor audit is often to begin changing files immediately. That can create additional problems if management has not first determined exactly what the reviewer found, what requirement was cited, which files are affected, and what evidence the monitoring organization expects in response.

- **Identify the exact finding.** Separate factual observations from the formal compliance finding and note the regulation, handbook, allocation-agency rule, regulatory agreement, policy, or other requirement cited.

- **Identify the sampled files or transactions.** Determine which households, units, certifications, reports, or procedures produced the finding.
- **Document the required corrective action.** The audit letter may specify file corrections, reimbursements, policy changes, training, expanded sampling, or additional documentation.
- **Record the response deadline.** Do not assume every agency uses the same timeline. HUD monitoring materials, for example, contemplate written responses and corrective plans after findings, but the governing notice controls the actual deadline.
- **Determine whether the finding can be appealed or clarified.** If management believes the reviewer relied on incomplete information or misunderstood the file, assemble supporting documentation before deciding how to respond.

## Step 2: Separate Isolated Errors from Systemic Problems

One incorrect calculation may be a single-file error. The same calculation method appearing in 15 files is a process problem. This distinction is critical because monitoring agencies may expect management to demonstrate that a finding has been corrected beyond the original sample when the error indicates a broader weakness.

For each finding, ask: How many files could have been affected? Which employees used the same procedure? How long was the procedure in place? Does the issue affect one property or the entire portfolio? Could the error affect eligibility, rent, subsidy, tax-credit compliance, or resident charges?

**The purpose is not to make the problem appear larger than it is. It is to define the true scope so management can demonstrate that the underlying compliance risk has actually been addressed.**

## Step 3: Build a Corrective-Action Matrix

| Corrective-Action Field | What to Track |
|---|---|
| Finding | State the issue exactly as identified by the monitoring organization. |
| Requirement | Record the regulation, handbook provision, agency manual, regulatory agreement, or policy requirement involved. |
| Affected files | List sampled files and any expanded universe of potentially affected households or units. |
| Correction required | Identify the exact file, financial, procedural, policy, or training correction. |
| Owner | Assign one person responsible for completion and escalation. |
| Due date | Use the monitoring organization's deadline and earlier internal deadlines. |
| Evidence | Identify what will prove correction: revised certification, verification, repayment record, policy, training roster, report, screenshot, or other documentation. |
| QC status | Require independent review before the item is marked complete. |

## Step 4: Correct Tenant Files Carefully

Tenant-file corrections should be accurate, transparent, and supported by documentation. Avoid simply replacing documents in a way that obscures what originally occurred. The file should allow a future reviewer to understand the original issue, the corrective action taken, and the final compliant outcome.

- **Income and asset findings:** Reconstruct the applicable verification and calculation using the requirements that applied to the certification being corrected.
- **Missing verification:** Obtain permissible supporting documentation and explain the correction when documentation is being obtained after the original effective date.
- **Rent or subsidy errors:** Determine the financial impact and follow the applicable program requirements for corrections, tenant charges, credits, repayments, or subsidy adjustments.
- **Late or incomplete certifications:** Reconstruct the timeline, complete missing requirements, document resident and management delays, and process necessary corrections.
- **EIV findings:** Resolve discrepancies, missing reports, new-hire follow-up, identity issues, or documentation weaknesses using applicable HUD requirements.
- **LIHTC findings:** Review eligibility, income, assets, student status, rent, utility allowance, unit status, and agency-specific requirements, and determine whether state-agency reporting or additional corrective action is required.

## Step 5: Expand the Review When the Finding Suggests a Pattern

Correcting only the five files selected by an auditor may not be enough if the same mistake could exist in 100 other files. Management should use risk-based expanded sampling - or, when warranted, a full review of the affected file population - to determine whether the problem is systemic.

- Sample additional files completed by the same employee or team.
- Review certifications completed during the same time period.
- Search for files using the same form, calculation method, utility allowance, income limit, or policy.
- Review other properties if the same central compliance procedure was used portfolio-wide.
- Document the expanded review methodology, results, corrections, and final conclusion.

## Step 6: Fix the Policy - Not Just the Paper

Many repeat findings happen because the organization corrects the resident file but never changes the procedure that created the error. If a finding resulted from unclear guidance, outdated forms, inconsistent approval authority, weak tracking, or missing quality control, those systems must be corrected as part of the response.

- **Update written policies and procedures** to reflect the correct program requirement and workflow.
- **Replace outdated forms, checklists, templates, or calculation tools.**
- **Define who prepares, reviews, approves, and submits each compliance transaction.**
- **Add escalation procedures** for overdue certifications, missing verifications, resident nonresponse, EIV discrepancies, or unresolved eligibility questions.
- **Standardize procedures across properties** when the same compliance function is decentralized.
- **Document the effective date of the revised procedure** and retain evidence that staff received it.

## Step 7: Train Staff on the Actual Findings

Generic compliance training may not solve an audit problem. Training should address the exact behavior that caused the finding. If staff calculated income incorrectly, walk through the calculation. If files lacked documentation, show what the completed file should contain. If recertifications were late, teach the tracking and escalation process. If managers applied different interpretations, establish one approved procedure.

Maintain the training agenda, materials, attendance records, date, instructor, and any post-training competency review. Those records can also support the organization's corrective-action response by demonstrating that the process change was communicated to the employees responsible for implementation.

## Step 8: Prepare a Strong Written Response

A corrective-action response should make the monitoring organization's job easier. Reviewers should not have to guess what was corrected or search through hundreds of pages to find supporting evidence.

- **Address findings in the same order as the audit report.**
- **State whether management agrees, partially agrees, or is providing clarification** when the response process permits it.
- **Describe the corrective action completed** rather than simply stating that the issue has been fixed.
- **Attach organized supporting evidence** and label it by finding.

- **Explain expanded testing** when management reviewed additional files to determine the scope.
- **Describe policy and training changes** that address the root cause.
- **Identify any items still in progress** and provide a realistic completion date when allowed.
- **Quality-control the entire response package** before submission.

## Step 9: Implement Quality Control Before the Next Audit

The most important part of corrective action happens after the response is submitted. If the organization returns to the same workflow, repeat findings are likely. A sustainable compliance program needs routine internal testing.

| QC Control | Recommended Practice |
| --- | --- |
| Move-ins | Review eligibility, verification, calculations, required forms, signatures, rent, and program-specific requirements before or immediately after approval. |
| Recertifications | Track upcoming deadlines and perform targeted review of calculations, effective dates, EIV, notices, and supporting documentation. |
| Monthly sampling | Select a defined number or percentage of files from each property, specialist, or program for independent QC. |
| Trend reporting | Track findings by category, property, employee, program, and recurrence. |
| Corrective coaching | Provide targeted retraining when the same error appears more than once. |
| Management review | Give leadership a recurring compliance dashboard showing open corrections, overdue files, repeat findings, and audit readiness. |

## When Outside Corrective-Action Support Makes Sense

Some audit responses are manageable internally. Others require more capacity or specialized expertise than the onsite team can reasonably provide while continuing normal operations. Third-party affordable housing compliance support can be especially useful when findings involve multiple programs, a large number of tenant files, financial corrections, significant backlogs, staff turnover, or a short response deadline.

- The audit identified numerous or repeat findings.
- Management is unsure whether the issue is isolated or portfolio-wide.
- Dozens or hundreds of files may require review or correction.
- The compliance director or experienced property staff have recently left.
- The organization needs an independent interpretation of what the findings actually require.
- Leadership wants the corrective-action package independently reviewed before submission.
- The organization needs temporary specialists to complete corrections without disrupting current certifications and move-ins.

## How Housing Consultants of America Can Help After an Audit

Housing Consultants of America (HCA) can assist owners and management companies after HUD, a state housing finance agency, investor, lender, contract administrator, or other monitoring organization identifies compliance deficiencies. HCA can help turn a complicated audit report into an organized corrective-action project with clear responsibilities, deadlines, documentation, and quality control.

- **Audit finding assessment.** Review findings, cited requirements, affected files, deadlines, and the potential scope of each issue.
- **Tenant-file correction.** Assist with income, asset, rent, EIV, recertification, LIHTC, HUD, and other file corrections within the applicable program framework.
- **Expanded file audits.** Determine whether findings are isolated or represent a broader pattern across the property or portfolio.
- **Corrective-action tracking.** Build and manage a finding-by-finding matrix showing ownership, status, evidence, QC, and completion.
- **Policy and procedure updates.** Revise workflows and written guidance that contributed to the original finding.
- **Staff training.** Provide targeted training based on the actual deficiencies identified during the audit.
- **Response preparation.** Organize corrective-action narratives and supporting documentation for management's submission to the monitoring organization.
- **Ongoing quality control.** Establish recurring third-party file sampling and compliance reviews designed to identify problems before the next audit.

## A Failed Audit Should Become a Compliance Reset

An unfavorable audit can expose real risk, but it can also provide management with a roadmap. Every finding tells the organization something about its files, staffing, training, policies, supervision, or quality-control process. The objective should not be to make the audit report disappear. The objective should be to make sure the same findings do not appear again.

**Correct the files. Determine the scope. Fix the policy. Train the staff. Document the response. Then test the new process until management has evidence that it is working.**

That is how an organization moves from reacting to findings to building a stronger, more defensible affordable housing compliance operation.

**Compliance Note:** Corrective-action requirements, deadlines, appeal rights, and reporting obligations vary by program and monitoring organization. HUD, state housing finance agencies, investors, lenders, and other agencies may use different procedures. Follow the specific audit report, regulatory agreement, agency guidance, and applicable program rules. This article is educational and is not legal or tax advice.

### Housing Consultants of America

Post-Audit Corrective Action | HUD & LIHTC File Corrections | Third-Party File Audits | MOR Support | Policy Review | Staff Training | Ongoing Quality Control