
DAISY DAISY 333 | A DIVISION OF TSLÃ RECORDS

ESRÃ OF DUNCA-SPRAWLING INC. — THE PRO SE PODCASTS

ISSUE II — EXTENDED EDITION

EsRã Dunca-Sprawling (Sean Sprawling) | daisydaisy333.com

■ FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE ■

**Monday, July 20, 2026 | 2:26 PM PDT | San Francisco, California | Pacific
Daylight Time**

FIRST AMERICAN GEISHA SUES FOR \$42M AND DEMANDS SFPD REOPEN MISSING PERSON CASE FOR HIS MISSING HUSBAND — \$500M WEB PIONEER AND FACEBOOK LIVERAIL CO-FOUNDER

\$42M Filed. Mudd Law: Retract Now. Dual Alert: Andrei Dunca — LiveRail Co-Founder (Facebook, \$500M) & Bluefish AI — Missing & Unverified; Richie Vetter of Dunca-Sprawling Inc. — Jake Paul's Early Career Architect, Creator Economy Pioneer & Key Witness — In Hiding at Keystone Island, North Miami Amid the Same Digital Kidnapping Campaign. Eight Attorneys. Zero Wet-Ink Signatures. One Zoom Screenshot. Two Missing Men. Plus: Formal Internal Affairs Complaint Filed with SFPD for Improper Closure of Missing Person Case No. [REDACTED] Without In-Person Proof of Life.

SECTION I

OPENING STATEMENT

Andrei George Dunca — co-founder of LiveRail, acquired by Facebook for approximately \$500 million, and co-founder of Bluefish AI — has been missing since September 2022. He is the husband of EsRā Dunca-Sprawling. Everything in this document exists because of that disappearance, and because of the coordinated effort to prevent anyone from finding him.

EsRā Dunca-Sprawling — legally recognized as Rodney Samuel Sprawling Jr., professionally known as Sean Sprawling — producer, recording artist, author of *Wallflower of the Year*, and President of Dunca-Sprawling Inc., has spent nearly four years fighting pro se, from a state of financial destitution directly caused by the conspiracy he is documenting, to answer a single question: Where is his husband? That fight has produced \$42 million in active litigation across two states, an appellate motion before the First Court of Appeals, a dossier submitted to the FBI, and a formal Internal Affairs complaint filed with the San Francisco Police Department. Every lawsuit, every filing, every regulatory complaint in this document is the legal form of one husband's refusal to stop looking.

This comprehensive public statement is issued in direct refutation of the false, defamatory, and strategically deployed narrative advanced by Mudd Law Offices (Joshua Pagan, Charles Mudd, and associated counsel) in Cause No. 2025-17063, styled *Andrei George Dunca v. Rodney Samuel Sprawling Jr.*, in the 334th Judicial District Court of Harris County, Texas; and in Case No. 01-26-00459-CV, currently before the First Court of Appeals, Houston, Texas. This statement is issued through the editorial and archival arm of TSLĀ Records: DAISY DAISY 333. It is submitted simultaneously to the press, to the public, and to the record. On July 14, 2026, EsRā filed a formal complaint with the SFPD Internal Affairs Division demanding the immediate reopening of Missing Person Case No. [REDACTED] — the official case file for Andrei George Dunca — which was closed without in-person proof-of-life verification, in apparent reliance on unverified representations made by Mudd Law Offices, a firm whose authority to speak on Andrei Dunca's behalf has never been independently established and remains the central subject of these legal proceedings.

Mudd Law has repeatedly labeled EsRā's documented reports of abuse, cyberattack, false imprisonment, and missing-spouse distress as the unhinged, drug-addled ravings of a person suffering from "cognitive impairment" and "drug-induced psychosis." This press release will demonstrate, point by point, why that narrative is not only false — but provably fabricated — and why the firm advancing it is itself the subject of a \$4.1 million civil lawsuit, an appellate motion for referral to the State Bar of Texas, and a formal request for federal forensic investigation. Every allegation contained herein is documented in sworn filings before courts of law. Every claim is supported by evidence. Every silence from the opposing side has been noted — and entered into the record. The \$42 million in active litigation is not aggression. It is the only tool remaining to a husband whose every attempt to report his spouse missing has been weaponized against him by the law firm purporting to represent that same missing spouse.

This is not a threat. This is a reckoning. The documentation exists. The timeline holds. And a law firm that has built its reputation on Internet Law, AI, and cybersecurity cannot plausibly claim ignorance of the digital forensics that would — if examined — confirm everything EsRā has reported. The question this press release asks is the same question now before the First Court of Appeals: Why won't they look? And more urgently: where is Andrei George Dunca?

SECTION II

THE DIAGNOSIS THAT WASN'T

A Reversal Without a Room, a Diagnosis Without a Patient

Dr. Richard Austin Heafey, PsyD (California License No. PSY30807), operating under the practice name Unfold Psychology, initially assessed EsRā as "otherwise sane" — clinically presenting with PTSD and anxiety arising from a "real source." This was his professional clinical judgment, rendered prior to any financial transaction with Andrei George Dunca and prior to contact with Victoria Garcia Winder. That assessment has never been formally recanted by Dr. Heafey in a court-admissible proceeding.

Dr. Heafey subsequently reversed this diagnosis without conducting an in-person evaluation and without reviewing, consulting, or contacting EsRā's primary care physician, Dr. Naheed Dilshad, whose Beverly Hills practice has provided direct, regular, in-home clinical care to EsRā — including home visits several times per month — with findings that consistently confirm EsRā's sobriety and clinical stability. That reversal produced a new assessment of "drug-induced psychosis" — a diagnosis directly and irreconcilably contradicted by a negative 14-panel drug screen administered by Dr. Dilshad. When EsRā formally requested his own medical records and billing invoices from Dr. Heafey's practice, Dr. Heafey declined to disclose the identity of the payee, stating it was not in EsRā's "best interest" to have that information. The refusal to identify who paid for a reversal of clinical opinion constitutes a textbook disclosure of financial conflict of interest.

Mudd Law, in its court filings, has weaponized this fabricated diagnosis to systematically discredit EsRā's testimony, emergency filings, and his publicly distributed survivor memoir. They have done so while simultaneously filing the negative drug screen results — the very evidence that demolishes their psychosis narrative — as unredacted exhibits on the public court docket on March 10, 2026. Alongside those exhibits, they filed EsRā's private cellular numbers, protected medical records, financial account information, and active cloud links exposing private communications and photographs.



THE IRONY, STATED PLAINLY: Mudd Law Offices disclosed EsRā's protected health information to the public while simultaneously weaponizing that same health information to destroy his credibility. The 14-panel drug screen they filed on the public docket proves EsRā was sober. The test was negative. Their own exhibit refutes their own argument.

A formal complaint has been filed with the California Board of Psychology against Dr. Heafey, documenting a pattern of conduct that extends far beyond clinical

negligence. The complaint includes documentation of: predatory sexual propositions — specifically, invitations for "chem sex" at his private office — and apparent indecent exposure during a video telehealth conference; the fabrication of a psychosis diagnosis to facilitate a pre-litigation false narrative; a \$5,000 bribe attempt to secure the removal of negative Yelp reviews; breach of clinical confidentiality through the unauthorized disclosure of EsRā's treatment information to Andrei Dunca and a disgruntled employee; patient abandonment during acute panic episodes; and two years of subsequent unsolicited, non-therapeutic contact following the formal termination of the clinical relationship. These are not characterizations. These are documented allegations now in the hands of the licensing authority empowered to act on them.

The fabricated diagnosis served a specific function — and that function was not merely a litigation tactic. It was the mechanism by which every report EsRā made about his missing husband could be preemptively discredited. A man diagnosed as suffering from meth-induced psychosis cannot be a credible witness to his own husband's disappearance. He cannot be believed when he says his husband is missing. He cannot be believed when he says the attorneys claiming to represent that husband have no verified authority to do so. That is why the diagnosis exists. It is not a medical document. It is a silencing instrument — deployed at the precise moment EsRā began demanding that someone find Andrei George Dunca. This is not incidental to the missing person case. It is the missing person case.

SECTION III

THE OPEN OFFER MUDD LAW WON'T TAKE

An Unconditional Offer for Independent Verification — Made October 31, 2025. Still Waiting.

On October 31, 2025, EsRā submitted the following statement under penalty of perjury, formally incorporated into court filings on November 3, 2025, and November 24, 2025, in Cause No. 2025-17063:

"Due to my current state of financial destitution — directly resulting from the actions and circumstances described herein — I am unable to afford the medical evaluation or care necessary to independently substantiate these claims. Outside of the documentation already provided by my primary care physician in Beverly Hills, I do not currently have the means to obtain further independent medical or psychological substantiation. However, should the Petitioner or their counsel, Mudd Law, wish to cover the cost of a psychological evaluation and drug screening, I will submit to such testing without objection. I understand that such evaluations could potentially be used to substantiate the Petitioner's or Mudd Law's allegations regarding cognitive impairment or drug use, and I do not oppose such inquiry provided it is conducted transparently, by a neutral and licensed professional, and with full access to the resulting documentation for judicial review."

— EsRā Dunca-Sprawling, Declaration Under Penalty of Perjury, October 31, 2025 Filed in Cause No. 2025-17063, Harris County, Texas

Mudd Law has not accepted this offer. They have not responded to it. They have not proposed an alternative. Their refusal speaks with the volume of a verdict. A firm that publicly advertises a specialized practice in technology law, cybersecurity, AI, and identity theft — the precise disciplines needed to forensically verify each of EsRā's allegations — has declined a direct, sworn, unconditional invitation to subject the central dispute of this litigation to neutral professional scrutiny. The offer remains open. The silence remains documented. The only explanation consistent with Mudd Law's refusal: independent, neutral verification would confirm EsRā's account, not refute it.

That refusal is not merely strategically revealing — it is directly relevant to the missing person case. A firm genuinely willing to pursue neutral verification of EsRā's mental state would also be willing to produce their client in person. Both are forms of independent verification. Both would resolve the central dispute. Mudd Law's refusal to do either is a single pattern, not two separate positions. A firm that declines to verify the plaintiff and declines to verify the client is a firm that has

something to lose from verification. The question is the same in both directions: where is Andrei George Dunca?

SECTION IV

EIGHT ATTORNEYS. ZERO WET-INK SIGNATURES.

Who Is Andrei George Dunca — And Who Is Authorizing This Litigation?

The question of whether Mudd Law has a valid retainer is not a procedural technicality. It is the most direct legal expression of the missing person question. If Andrei George Dunca cannot be produced — in person, before a notary, before a court — to confirm that he retained these attorneys, directed this litigation, and consented to the removal of his husband's memoir from public circulation, then the court is presiding over a lawsuit brought by a missing man. Every order entered in this case, including the permanent injunction, may be the product of a proceeding no living, consenting party ever authorized. Every layer of this litigation peels back to the same absence: Andrei George Dunca.

Andrei George Dunca, the named Petitioner in Cause No. 2025-17063, has been physically missing and uncontactable since April 2022, per corporate and public filings. EsRā is Andrei's husband under Texas Family Code § 2.401. Their relationship began in 2011; their informal marriage was established in Harris County, Texas in 2018 through the joint acquisition of real property at 13339 Balmore Circle, Houston, TX 77069. That marriage has never been formally or legally dissolved. EsRā holds a valid, unrevoked Power of Attorney from Andrei George Dunca granting full legal authority to act on his behalf in all matters.

Despite formal written demands beginning in November 2024, eight legal professionals across two firms — Mudd Law Offices (Joshua Pagan, Charles Mudd, Elizabeth Miller, Katherine Stahl, Mila Janusonis, Katie Sunstrom) and Burrus Law Group (David Burrus, Alexys Wortman) — have collectively, persistently, and without legal justification refused to produce a verified, wet-ink retainer agreement or a sworn declaration of client engagement executed by Andrei George Dunca in the

physical presence of a licensed notary. Eight attorneys. Zero wet-ink signatures. This is not an oversight. It is a pattern.

Mudd Law's sole proffered evidence of client authorization is a single still-image screenshot captured from a Zoom video call, during which an unverified individual allegedly held a document resembling a passport to a camera. This screenshot was produced only after EsRā formally and specifically challenged the authority of counsel to represent the named Petitioner. The San Francisco Police Department has been personally contacted, and SFPD has confirmed that its official protocol requires an in-person interview to officially close a missing person case. No record of the claimed Zoom-based identity verification exists in the SFPD's official missing person file. Mudd Law's representations to the court regarding Andrei's verified whereabouts directly contradict the SFPD's own institutional records.

The stakes of this question are not abstract. Andrei George Dunca co-founded LiveRail — a video advertising software company sold to Facebook in 2014 for approximately \$500 million — and subsequently co-founded Bluefish AI, an AI-driven technology company. He holds deep domain expertise in AI impersonation architecture, deepfake video generation, and digital infrastructure — precisely the suite of tools alleged to have been deployed against EsRā. Mudd Law's own advertised specialty is Internet Law, AI, cybersecurity, and identity theft, including SIM Swap Fraud and Account Takeover. The firm is uniquely — and arguably uniquely — positioned to recognize, analyze, and investigate the very crimes EsRā has meticulously documented. Their sustained refusal to deploy that expertise on behalf of the truth is the foundation of the "Insurmountable Knowledge Bar" theory now formally before the Court of Appeals.

■

On July 25, 2025, Andrei George Dunca LLC, through its authorized Secretary Martha Antoinette, filed a formal Notice of Unauthorized Legal Action, stating: "Mr. Dunca did not authorize the filing of any lawsuit or restraining order against his spouse, Rodney Samuel Sprawling." The notice further stated that the company

was not made aware of any retainer, that EsRā holds an unrevoked Power of Attorney, and that "the legal action in question may have been initiated by a disgruntled former employee, in coordination with a third party."

The question behind every filing in this case is the same: where is he? Eight attorneys have appeared in court on behalf of Andrei George Dunca. Not one of them has met him in person. Not one of them has produced a document bearing his wet-ink signature. The corporation he founded has formally stated he did not authorize this lawsuit. His husband holds his unrevoked Power of Attorney. And a Zoom screenshot — a single still image of someone holding a document to a camera — is the only evidence any court has been given that Andrei George Dunca is alive, present, and directing this litigation. That is not verification. That is a gap where a man used to be.

SECTION V

THE PERMANENT INJUNCTION: SILENCING A SURVIVOR

A Court Order That Banned a Memoir, Shut Down Websites, and Erased a Digital Identity

The 334th Judicial District Court of Harris County entered a summary judgment and permanent injunction against EsRā that accomplished three distinct and compounding acts of censorship: (1) it ordered the removal of his published memoir *Wallflower of the Year* from public circulation; (2) it prohibited the operation of websites; and (3) it eliminated EsRā's cultivated digital presence — all without competent, non-self-serving evidence, relying instead on deemed admissions arising from a discovery dispute that EsRā formally raised the day after the Requests to Admit were served. The procedural basis for this injunction is the subject of active appellate review.

This injunction was obtained while Andrei George Dunca's identity and authorization of these proceedings has never been independently, in-person verified by any judicial officer. The 334th District Court is presiding over a proceeding in which the

nominal party prosecuting the claim has not been verified to be alive, present, directing, or consenting. The First Court of Appeals is now in the same position.

The permanent injunction attacks not merely EsRā's personal expression — it attacks the shared marital and corporate estate, including co-founded entities Sean Sprawling Productions LLC and Teak Stage Productions LLC, constituting unilateral destruction of community property without the informed, verifiable consent of both spouses. Under Texas community property law, no such unilateral disposition is legally permissible. That it has been permitted to proceed — against a common-law spouse holding an unrevoked power of attorney over the named Petitioner's affairs — is itself a subject of the pending appeal.

The attack on EsRā's memoir and digital presence is not a routine civil remedy. *Wallflower of the Year* is survivor testimony. It is documentation submitted to the FBI and multiple regulatory bodies. The injunction's effect — intended or not — is to silence that testimony, remove it from public reach, and pre-emptively discredit the witness before the federal investigation concludes. The press, the public, and the judiciary are invited to consider that effect in full context.

The injunction did not merely silence EsRā. It silenced the primary public record of the events surrounding Andrei George Dunca's disappearance. *Wallflower of the Year* documented the circumstances under which Andrei went missing — the people involved, the timeline, the digital kidnapping campaign as it unfolded in real time. Removing that memoir from public circulation is not a civil remedy. It is the suppression of a missing person's last known witness account, obtained through a court proceeding that the missing person's own company has declared unauthorized. The question behind this injunction is the same question behind every document in this case: who benefits from Andrei George Dunca not being found?

SECTION VI

THE LAWSUITS: A LEGAL OFFENSIVE ON ALL FRONTS

\$37.9 Million, \$4.1 Million, and Counting

These lawsuits are not separate grievances. They are a unified legal response to a unified criminal enterprise — one whose ultimate, unanswered question is the disappearance of Andrei George Dunca. The \$37.9 million suit against Meta, LiveRail, and Bluefish AI targets the digital infrastructure alleged to have been used to isolate Andrei and silence EsRă. The \$4.1 million suit against Mudd Law targets the legal apparatus alleged to have been deployed to prevent Andrei from being found. Both suits serve one purpose: to force, through litigation, the answers that law enforcement has refused to pursue. Both suits are asking, in the language courts are required to hear: where is Andrei George Dunca?

THE \$37.9 MILLION LAWSUIT — META PLATFORMS, LIVERAIL, AND THE "DIGITAL KIDNAPPING" CASE

EsRă has drafted a groundbreaking civil lawsuit in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of San Francisco — *Sprawling v. Facebook (Now Meta Platforms, Inc.) et al.* — seeking in excess of \$37.9 million in compensatory damages, punitive damages, and mandatory injunctive relief. Named defendants include Meta Platforms, Inc. and key architects of LiveRail: Mark Trefgarne, Jing Feng, Adrian Fedorovici, and Alexandru Daniel Tantu, alongside corporate entities Ezra Inc., Bluefish AI, and Lumina.

The suit invokes California Civil Code Section 3344, as amended by Senate Bill 683 (2025), which explicitly prohibits the non-consensual use of AI-generated "digital replicas." The complaint alleges that the enterprise illegally intercepted EsRă's telecommunications to train proprietary AI models, subsequently cloning his voice to impersonate him, issue fraudulent financial directives, and systematically destroy his professional reputation across the entertainment and digital industries.

The complaint introduces the theory of "Digital Confinement" as a legally cognizable form of false imprisonment — demonstrating how weaponized digital lockouts, account takeovers, surveillance systems, and AI-generated reputational threats can

restrict a victim's freedom as effectively as physical barriers. This is a novel legal theory now formally before a California superior court.

Meta Platforms was served with written notice of the ongoing "Digital Kidnapping and Abuse" on April 9, 2026, transmitted directly to CEO Mark Zuckerberg and the Facebook Press Office. Meta's subsequent failure to investigate constitutes, the complaint argues, willful corporate ratification — exposing the company to massive punitive damages under California law.

The filing also includes an "Alternative Liability Trap": if Defendants deny direct involvement, EsRā has petitioned the Court to issue a mandatory forensic investigation order compelling the tech giants to use their own network logs and elite cybersecurity infrastructure to expose the true perpetrators. Either the defendants are the perpetrators, or they have the tools to identify who is. Their own expertise becomes their obligation.

THE \$4.1 MILLION LAWSUIT — MUDD LAW OFFICES AND ASSOCIATED FIRMS

In the District Court of Harris County, Texas, EsRā Dunca-Sprawling and Richard Alan Vetter Jr. of Dunca-Sprawling Inc. have filed an original complaint against Mudd Law Offices, Burrus Law Group PLLC, Scott M. Brown & Associates, Johnston Kinney & Zulaica LLP, and associated individuals, seeking over \$4.1 million in compensatory, punitive, and equitable relief. The following table sets forth the baseline damages framework:

Plaintiff	Claim Category	Estimated Baseline Damages
EsRā Dunca-Sprawling	Lost property due to foreclosure	\$400,000
EsRā Dunca-Sprawling	Defamation	\$200,000
EsRā Dunca-Sprawling	Bad-faith litigation & law-enforcement collusion	\$1,000,000
EsRā Dunca-Sprawling	Intentional infliction of emotional / economic	\$1,000,000

Plaintiff	Claim Category	Estimated Baseline Damages
	harm	
EsRā Dunca-Sprawling	Lodestar fee claim (1,695+ documented hours)	\$1,390,275
Richard A. Vetter Jr.	Lost salary & direct compensation	\$60,000
Richard A. Vetter Jr.	Lost housing value and relocation costs	\$25,000
Richard A. Vetter Jr.	Lost production fees and project revenue	\$50,000–\$150,000
Combined Minimum (exclusive of additional punitive damages and emotional distress)	Exceeds \$4.1 Million	

The core allegations against Mudd Law Offices are as follows: (1) the filing of unredacted personally identifiable information (PII), protected health information (PHI), medical records, financial account data, and active cloud links on the public court docket on March 10, 2026 — exposing private communications and photographs of EsRā to unrestricted public access; (2) claiming to represent a physically missing spouse without a wet-ink, notarized retainer agreement; (3) causing a 96-day legal vacuum resulting from Johnston Kinney & Zulaica LLP's abrupt withdrawal, which directly caused the foreclosure of the marital homestead at 13339 Balmore Circle, Houston, TX 77069 and the destruction of over \$200,000 in equity; and (4) interfering with more than \$28 million in suppressed creative projects and \$14 million in ceased film production — a cultural and economic loss that cannot be reduced to a single line item.

SECTION VII

THE APPELLATE RECORD: MISCONDUCT THAT HAS ESCALATED, NOT ABATED

From the Trial Court to the First Court of Appeals — The Pattern Continues

On July 17, 2026, EsRā filed in the First Court of Appeals, Houston, Texas (Case No. 01-26-00459-CV) his Motion for Investigation Into Joshua Pagan, Charles Mudd, Mudd Law Offices, and All Counsel of Record. The motion documents that the identical Zoom-only verification basis challenged in the trial court was also used to obtain pro hac vice admission to the appellate court — meaning both courts are now presiding over proceedings in which the nominal directing party has never been independently, in-person verified. The following relief has been formally requested of the appellate court:

- Referral of Joshua Pagan, Charles Mudd, and Mudd Law Offices to the State Bar of Texas for formal disciplinary investigation
- Referral to law enforcement for forensic investigation into Andrei George Dunca's missing and unverified status
- Court-ordered production of Call Detail Records and SIM-change history logs pursuant to the Stored Communications Act, 18 U.S.C. § 2703(d)
- Denial or stay of Joshua Pagan's pro hac vice admission pending in-person, notarized, wet-ink verification from Andrei George Dunca
- Independent forensic examination of the Zoom screenshot and all contested digital media submitted in support of Mudd Law's claimed authority
- Application of the Crime-Fraud Exception to compel in camera review of Mudd Law's client files
- Compelled production of all communications between Joshua Pagan and Dr. Richard Austin Heafey
- Protective order prohibiting further retaliation against EsRā's protected speech, survivor testimony, and editorial publishing

The appellate motion establishes that the misconduct documented in the trial court did not conclude upon entry of the permanent injunction. It has continued — and in

some respects, escalated — in the appellate proceedings. The pattern is systematic. The documentation is exhaustive. And the motion is now before the First Court of Appeals for resolution.

Every item of relief sought in this appellate court — from forensic examination of the Zoom screenshot, to production of Call Detail Records, to in-person verification of Andrei George Dunca before the court — is, at its core, a missing person demand dressed in appellate procedural language. The court has been asked, in every available legal form, to answer the same question EsRā has been asking since September 2022. The appellate record is not a procedural history. It is a missing person file with docket numbers.

SECTION VIII

THE FBI DOSSIER

When Local Authorities Fail, You Go Federal

A comprehensive Incident Narrative Report has been finalized and submitted to the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The dossier formally petitions the FBI's Cyber Task Force and Civil Rights Division to open a federal investigation into the following documented allegations:

- **Weaponization of Big Tech Resources** linked to former LiveRail executives and Bluefish AI — including telecommunications interception, 911 call spoofing, and AI voice cloning deployed to impersonate EsRā, redirect financial instructions, and systematically contaminate his professional relationships
- **False Imprisonment and the "Live Production" Hypothesis** — EsRā was allegedly held in false imprisonment at a commercial property in Beverly Hills, California, subjected to physical torture, directed energy and sensory manipulation, and sexual exploitation — organized and operated as a non-consensual "Live Production" for the entertainment and financial benefit of third parties

- **Targeted Hate Crimes** — the conspiracy allegedly operated with an explicit "slave owner mentality," subjecting EsRā to racial slurs, homophobic attacks, and systemic cultural degradation targeting his identity as a Black, gender-fluid, Native American individual
- **Financial Sabotage** — including forced property buyouts, fraudulent UCC filings, and illegal evictions resulting in total financial devastation and the elimination of EsRā's independent economic foundation
- **Institutional Collusion** — alleged complicity by the Beverly Hills Police Department and Harris County Constable Precinct 4 in the suppression of EsRā's reports; and a licensed psychologist accused of fabricating medical diagnoses to preemptively discredit EsRā's accounts before federal authorities

EsRā has additionally filed a formal Internal Affairs complaint with the San Francisco Police Department for the mishandling of Andrei George Dunca's missing person case — a case that SFPD's own protocols require to remain open until an in-person interview is conducted. The FBI dossier incorporates this complaint as supplementary evidence of institutional failure at the local level.

The FBI dossier represents the escalation that became necessary when local law enforcement — specifically the SFPD — failed to protect a missing man's case from being hijacked by the attorneys purporting to represent him. The dossier asks federal investigators to go where local authorities would not: into the digital infrastructure, the telecommunications records, and the financial trail that leads to Andrei George Dunca. If he is alive and free, that trail will confirm it. If he is not, that trail is evidence of a crime that local institutions — whether through negligence or complicity — allowed to proceed unimpeded for nearly four years.

SECTION IX

THE CALL TO VERIFY

Richie Vetter of Dunca-Sprawling Inc. and the Witnesses Mudd Law Doesn't Want You to Hear From

Richard "Richie" Alan Vetter Jr. is a named co-plaintiff in the \$4.1 million lawsuit and a witness whose corroborating account is central to the evidentiary record EsRā has assembled. Vetter has operated publicly under the Instagram handle @divinelyrich — through which he has functioned as a key figure of Dunca-Sprawling Inc. and a documented participant in the events underlying this litigation. That account represents the official, publicly known digital identity through which Vetter's professional and personal presence has been established and maintained. During the foundational Vine era (2013–2016), Vetter was instrumental in launching the career of Jake Paul and helped pioneer the early conceptual frameworks of creator monetization — strategic brand integrations, digital tipping systems, and platform partnerships that transformed viral content creation into what is now a multi-billion-dollar industry. His professional credibility and direct knowledge of the events at issue are well-established.

A second Instagram account — @richie_vetter_fan — has been identified and is hereby flagged as a matter of public record and ongoing investigation. Its true authorship has not been independently verified, and two possibilities exist, both of which are consistent with the documented pattern of conduct alleged throughout this case. The first: @richie_vetter_fan is a secret personal account operated by Vetter himself — potentially a rare, unfiltered window into his private state of mind, one that may reveal what the polished public-facing @divinelyrich account is not permitted to show. The second — and equally credible — possibility: the account was created and is actively controlled by members of the same network alleged in this litigation, operating as a mockery profile designed to surveil, impersonate, demean, or psychologically destabilize Vetter within his own digital orbit.

The stakes of that second possibility sharpened dramatically on July 19, 2026 — today. A post surfaced tagging @richie_vetter_fan. The post featured a video of Vetter in North Miami, Florida, distributing mangos picked directly from the grounds of the Keystone Island property where he has reportedly been in hiding — a

property that is currently listed for sale. The caption read: "aside from DJing, I am a mango distributor."

That deleted post did one of two things — or possibly both simultaneously. Either it was authored or amplified by members of the same network to publicly humiliate Vetter: reducing a man of his professional stature to a punchline, exposing his precise location and living situation to the world while mocking his circumstances with cruel precision. Or it was Vetter himself, surfacing in a way so oblique and self-deprecating that it functions as a distress signal disguised as a joke — the kind of communication a person under coercive control sends when a direct cry for help is not available to them. Either reading is alarming. The post incidentally or deliberately pinpoints Vetter's current location and the property at which he has been sheltering. That property — currently listed for sale — raises further and urgent questions about the stability and voluntariness of his living situation. A man whose home base is being sold out from under him while he distributes mangos on social media is not, by any reasonable measure, operating freely under his own direction. EsRā calls upon anyone who can independently verify Richie Vetter's wellbeing at the Keystone Island property in North Miami to contact law enforcement immediately.

This pattern — the creation of fake, cloned, or mockery digital personas targeting individuals within the network's sphere — is not a novel tactic. It is a documented and recurring feature of the enterprise described throughout this case. Whether @richie_vetter_fan is a hidden confession or an instrument of humiliation, its existence demands scrutiny. EsRā calls upon any person with direct knowledge of the account's true operator, origin, or purpose to come forward — to law enforcement, to counsel of record, or publicly, as circumstances permit.

EsRā calls upon Richie Vetter to come forward publicly as a corroborating witness — and simultaneously and honestly acknowledges a more troubling possibility: that Vetter himself may be experiencing false imprisonment, Stockholm syndrome, or active coercive control by the same network that has targeted EsRā. The uncharacteristic responses EsRā has received to his direct and urgent pleas for help are consistent with the gaslighting and social isolation tactics documented throughout this case — tactics designed to reinforce Mudd Law's false narrative by

eliminating independent voices of verification. If Richie Vetter is reading this: your testimony matters. Your safety matters. Your freedom matters. Come forward when you can do so safely.

Richie Vetter is not a peripheral figure in the missing person question. He is a corroborating witness to the same network alleged to have orchestrated Andrei Dunca's disappearance — and his own apparent inability to speak freely, combined with his reported presence at the Keystone Island property under circumstances suggesting concealment, makes him simultaneously a witness and a subject of urgent concern. Two men connected to this network are unaccounted for. One is the subject of a formal missing person case — Missing Person Case No. [REDACTED], SFPD, currently the subject of an Internal Affairs complaint for improper closure. The other surfaced on July 19, 2026, distributing mangos from a property listed for sale, with a caption that reads like a punchline and lands like a distress signal. Two missing men. One network. One question that remains unanswered: where are they?

SECTION X

THE DEMAND

What EsRā Requires of Mudd Law — Immediately

EsRā Dunca-Sprawling, acting pro se and as President of Dunca-Sprawling Inc., issues the following formal and unconditional demands to Mudd Law Offices, Joshua Pagan, Charles Mudd, and all associated counsel of record:

1. Immediate retraction of all defamatory characterizations of EsRā's documented reports as drug-induced psychosis, meth-induced psychosis, or cognitive impairment — in all court filings, communications, and public representations — accompanied by a formal written acknowledgment that the 14-panel drug screen filed on the public docket on March 10, 2026, returned a negative result.
2. Immediate sealing and redaction of all unredacted personal identifying information, protected health information, medical records, financial

account data, and active cloud links publicly filed on the Harris County court docket on March 10, 2026.

3. Production of a verified, wet-ink retainer agreement executed by Andrei George Dunca in the physical presence of a licensed notary — within fourteen (14) days of the date of this press release — or a sworn declaration that no such document exists.
4. An in-person, independently verified appearance by Andrei George Dunca before the First Court of Appeals, in a format approved by the Court, to confirm his identity, physical presence, and knowing authorization of these legal proceedings.
5. Acceptance of EsRā's standing offer for a neutral, independent psychological evaluation and comprehensive drug test — conducted at Mudd Law's expense, by a mutually agreed licensed neutral professional, with full judicial disclosure of all results.
6. Immediate disclosure of any and all communications, direct or indirect, between Joshua Pagan (or any member of Mudd Law Offices) and Dr. Richard Austin Heafey, including the nature, date, and subject of any such communications.
7. Cooperation with an independent forensic examination of all digital evidence submitted in support of Mudd Law's claimed client authority — including but not limited to the Zoom screenshot, any associated metadata, and all communications with the individual represented as Andrei George Dunca.

Each demand in this list has the same endpoint. EsRā Dunca-Sprawling is not seeking these things for litigation leverage. He is seeking them because his husband is missing, and every institution with the power to help has either failed him or been weaponized against him. The retraction, the sealing, the wet-ink retainer, the in-person appearance, the forensic examination — every demand on this list is a path to the same destination. The demand, stated plainly and without further legal language: produce Andrei George Dunca. Everything else is a road to that answer.

"If Mudd Law refuses each of these demands, that refusal will be added to the growing forensic ledger of evidence that their representation was never about truth-seeking — it was about concealment. Concealment of what? Of whom? The answer to both questions is the same: Andrei George Dunca."

SECTION XI

ABOUT THE PLAINTIFF

EsRā Dunca-Sprawling | Dunca-Sprawling Inc.

EsRā Dunca-Sprawling — born Rodney Samuel Sprawling Jr., professionally known as Sean Sprawling — is a producer, actor, writer, and cultural architect whose body of work spans music, film, literature, and digital media. He is the President of Dunca-Sprawling Inc., the author of *Wallflower of the Year* and *The Lumarian Book of Mu*, and holds the distinction of being the first American male Geisha — a designation he carries as part of a lifelong practice of cultural embodiment and artistic sovereignty. He is known within TSLĀ Records' creative cosmology as the "Luminarch Cherub."

As a pro se litigant, EsRā has filed and prosecuted major civil actions, appellate motions, federal complaints, and regulatory submissions across California and Texas — all without the benefit of retained counsel and all while enduring the financial consequences of the very conspiracy he is documenting. His prior press coverage has appeared on the AP News syndicate, Benzinga, and Grit Daily.

DAISY DAISY 333 is the editorial and archival arm of TSLĀ Records — the publication through which EsRā's documented account is preserved, distributed, and entered into the permanent public record. Issue II — Extended Edition is the document you are currently reading.

For further documentation, archival context, court filings, and the unfolding decoder of the Actor & Auteur, visit: **daisydaisy333.com**

Andrei George Dunca has been missing since September 2022. Missing Person Case No. [REDACTED], SFPD, was closed without in-person proof of life. No court has independently verified that the man directing this litigation is alive, present, and consenting. EsRā Dunca-

Sprawling is still looking for his husband. If you have information regarding the whereabouts of Andrei George Dunca, contact daisydaisy333.com or the San Francisco Police Department.

**© 2026 DAISY DAISY 333 | A Division of TSLĀ Records | Dunca-Sprawling Inc.
daisydaisy333.com | San Francisco, CA. | All Rights Reserved**

This press release has been issued pro se by EsRā Dunca-Sprawling (Rodney Samuel Sprawling Jr.) as President of Dunca-Sprawling Inc. All allegations contained herein are documented in sworn filings before courts of law. This document constitutes protected speech and survivor testimony submitted simultaneously to the press, the public, and the judicial record. Issue II — Extended Edition. Monday, July 20, 2026.