	FY 2025	Flint/Genesee Continuum of Care Proje	ect Scorecard					
Name: Project Name:  Project Used for Data Metrics:					тн			
	Reference APR	Your Answer						
Total Number of Persons Served (Use data from previous	APR: Q5a1	Tour Alliswer			Transit	ional Housin	g	
Total Number of Adults (Use data from previous TH or	APR: Q5a2		Transitional Housing  Population Type: All populations except Domestic Violence-only projects					e-only projects
Total Leavers (Use data from previous TH or PSH	APR: Q5a5		L	·		•		,, ,
Total Number of Adult Leavers (Use data from previous TH or PSH Program)	APR: Q5a6							
1 Population Served								
Scored Category	Goal	Reference	Point	s Awarded	Points Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
Priority Population: % of Chronically Homeless and Children Served	>50%	(APR: Q5a11 Chronically Homeless Number + Q5a3 children /APR: Q5a1 Total Number Served)			>50% = 10 points 40-49% = 7 points 30-39%= 5 points 20- 29% = 3 points <19% = 0 points	10	10	
Population Served Total						10	10	
2 Performance Measures								
Scored Category	Goal	Reference	Points	s Awarded	Points Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
% of Exits to PH Destinations	50%	APR: Q23c			85–89% = 20 points 80–84% = 15 points 75–79% = 10 points 70–74% = 5 points <70% = 0 points	25	25	
Performance Measures Total						25	25	
3 Employment and Income Measures								
Scored Category	Goal	Reference	Point	s Awarded	Points Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
					>50%=10 points 40%-49% = 8 points			
% of participants age 18 or older with increased earned Income at Annual Assessment (Leavers)	50%	APR: Q19a2 Row 1, Column 9			30-39% = 6 points 20-29% = 4 points <19 = 0 points	10	10	Goals aligns with recommen on pg. 56 of NOFO
	50%	APR: Q19a2 Row 1, Column 9			20-29% = 4 points <19 =	10	10	_
Income at Annual Assessment (Leavers)	50%	APR: Q19a2 Row 1, Column 9			20-29% = 4 points <19 =	-		~

ŀ	HMIS Data Quality - % of data field completed without errors	95%	HMIS Report (# of missing fields/total fields = % of data quality)		95% = 20 points 90–94% = 15 points 85–89% = 10 points 80–84% = 5 points ≤79% = 0 points	20	20	
	Data Quality and Effectivness Total					20	20	

## 5 Cost Effectiveness

Scored	Category	Goal	Reference	Points Awarded	Points Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
the most recent completed	nspent program funds from grant term (for the identified 6 of total project awards	<5% unspent funds	HUD Report (Total Funds Awarded/Total Spent) Sage APR & BLI Report		0-5% = 5 points 5-10% 3 points 11-15% = 1 point >16%= 0 points	5	5	Need to identify correct document, current reference is from houston
	er household served for the reasonable	Yes	Program Application		Yes= 5 points No= 0 points	5	5	Cost Reasonableness
Cost Effecti	veness Total	•			•	10	10	

## 6 Other

Scored Category	Goal	Reference	Points Awarded	Points Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
Coordinated Entry Participation	Yes	HMIS Report		Yes = 10 points No = 0 points	10	10	
Proposed project will provide 40 hours per week of customized services for each participants (e.g. case management, employment training, substance use training, etc.)	Detailed Explaination of Supportive Service Opportunities	Program Application		EXCELLENT: Description is detailed and thorough=20 points; GOOD: Description is General = 10 points; POOR: No detail provided = 5 points	20	20	Based on NOFO page 56
Includes Persons with Lived Experience	Yes	Program Application		Yes= 5 points No=0 points	5	5	
PIT Count Tracking	Participation in PIT Count	Annual PIT Report		No Points Provided	0	0	
Other Total	•				35	35	

TOTAL SCORE 110
WEIGHTED TOTAL SCORE 100

## **Project Financial Information**

CoC Funding Request	\$ -
Amount of Other Public Funding (Federal, State, County,	
City)	\$ -
Amount of Private Funding	\$ -
Total Project Cost	\$ -