## FY 2025 Flint/Genesee Continuum of Care Project Scorecard

**Agency Name:**                                          **Project Name:**

|  | Reference APR | Your Answer |
|---|---|---|
| Unduplicated Persons Served | SPM | |
| Total Levers | SPM | |

**Supportive Services Only (SSO)**

### 1 Overall Agency Experience & Project Description

| Scored Category | Goal | Reference | Points Awarded | Points Criteria | Score | Total Possible Points | Notes |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Applicant Experience - Explanation of experience of applicant (and project partners if appliable) on operating programming of the kind it is applying for. | Excellent Description | Program Application | | **EXCELLENT:** Description is detailed and thorough=10 points; **GOOD:** Description is General = 7 points; **POOR:** No detail provided = 2 points | | 10 | |
| Project Description - Explanation of the project, including a description of the target population and intended outcomes | Excellent Description | Program Application | | **EXCELLENT:** Description is detailed and thorough=5 points; **GOOD:** Description is General = 3 points; **POOR:** No detail provided = 1 point | | 5 | |
| Project Timeline - Extent to which project will enroll first participant within 3 months after signing grant agreement | Excellent Description | Program Application | | **EXCELLENT:** Description is detailed and thorough=5 points; **GOOD:** Description is General = 3 points; **POOR:** No detail provided = 1 point | | 5 | |
| Capacity Managing Federal Funds - Description of agency's experience and capacity to manage federal funding. | Excellent Description | Program Application | | **EXCELLENT:** Description is detailed and thorough=5 points; **GOOD:** Description is General = 3 points; **POOR:** No detail provided = 1 point | | 5 | |

| Scored Category | Goal | Reference | Points Awarded | Points Criteria | Score | Total Possible Points | Notes |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Coordination - Description of how project will coordinate with other agencies. | Excellent Description | Program Application | | **EXCELLENT:** Description is detailed and thorough=5 points; **GOOD:** Description is General = 3 points; **POOR:** No detail provided = 1 point | | 5 | |
| **Population Served Total** | | | | | 0 | 30 | |

**2  Performance Measures**

| Scored Category | Goal | Reference | Points Awarded | Points Criteria | Score | Total Possible Points | Notes |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Movement into Housing and/or increasing client's self sufficiency - Evaluates applicant experience in moving people into permanent housing and/or increasing their self sufficiency and into shelter or other staff housing, and how the project will carry out these activities. | Excellent Description | Program Application | | **EXCELLENT:** Description is detailed and thorough=25 points; **GOOD:** Description is General = 15 points; **POOR:** No detail provided = 5 points | | 25 | |
| **Performance Measures Total** | | | | | 0 | 25 | |

**3  Data Quality and Effectivness**

| Scored Category | Goal | Reference | Points Awarded | Points Criteria | Score | Total Possible Points | Notes |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| HMIS Experience - Applicants will be evaluated based on their experience with HMIS or comparable database, and plan for maining HMIS data standards. | Excellent Descripton | Program Description | | **EXCELLENT**: Description is detailed and thorough=20 points; **GOOD:** Description is General = 10 points; **POOR:** No detail provided = 2 points | | 20 | |
| **Data Quality and Effectivness Total** | | | | | 0 | 20 | |

**4  Cost Effectiveness**

| Scored Category | Goal | Reference | Points Awarded | Points Criteria | Score | Total Possible Points | Notes |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Budget - Points earned to the extent that the budget is clear and logical and aligns with the rest of the application | Excellent Budget Description | Budget | | **EXCELLENT**: Description is detailed and thorough=5 points; **GOOD:** Description is General = 3 points; **POOR:** No detail provided = 1 point | | 5 | |

| Match - Project must meet HUD's 25% match requirement. A. Agencies that submit documentation of match may earn more points; B. Match documentation is not required at time of application submission. | Documented Program Match | Program Application | | **MATCH WITH DOCUMENTATION**: Description is detailed and thorough=10 points;  **MATCH ONLY**: Description is General = 7 points; **NO MATCH**: No detail provided = 2 points | | 5 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Cost Effectiveness Total** | | | | | **0** | **10** | |

### 5 Other

| Scored Category | Goal | Reference | Points Awarded | Points Criteria | Score | Total Possible Points | Notes |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Coordinated Entry Participation | Yes | HMIS Report | | Yes = 10 points No = 0 points | | 10 | *Based on NOFO page 56* |
| Proposed project will have participation requirements for program participants  (e.g. case management, employment training, substance use training, etc.) | Detailed Explainaltion of Supportive Service Opportunities | Program Application | | **EXCELLENT**: Description is detailed and thorough=10 points; **GOOD**: Description is General = 7 points; **POOR**: No detail provided = 2 points | | 10 | |
| Includes Persons with Lived Experience | Yes | Program Application | | Yes= 5 points No=0 points | | 5 | |
| PIT Count Tracking | Participation in PIT Count | Annual PIT Report | | No Points Provided | 0 | 0 | |
| Staff Training and Staff Development - Applicants will be evaluated on the extent to which the agency provides regular training to staff and the content of that training (best practices, regulatory requirements, etc). | Regular staff training and development | Program Application | | **EXCELLENT**: Description is detailed and thorough=10 points; **GOOD**: Description is General = 7 points; **POOR**: No detail provided = 2 points | | 5 | |

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Outstanding Audit Findings - Points may be deducted from project score based on outstanding or unresolved findings in an agency audit or funder monitoring reports. 2 points may be deducted from a project's score for each of the following that apply:<br><br>• Agency Financial Audit (other than A-133 Audit): Repeat and audit findings from prior audit year.<br><br>• A-133 Audit: Repeat audit findings from prior audit year associatedwith CoC grants.<br><br>• A-133 Audit: Repeat audit findings from prior audit year associated with federal grants other than CoC grants.<br><br>• HUD CoC Program Monitoring report: No Corrective Action Plan submitted by HUD's deadlines, or Correction Action Plan submitted did not meet HUD's approval. | Audit(s) with no outstanding or unresolved findings | Audit(s) | | Minus 2 points per bullet point. | | 0 | Total possible points deducted = 8 |
| **Other Total** | | | | | 0 | 30 | |

| | |
|---|---|
| **TOTAL SCORE** | 0 |
| **WEIGHTED TOTAL SCORE** | 0 |

**Project Financial Information**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| CoC Funding Request | $ | - |
| Amount of Other Public Funding (Federal, State, County, | $ | - |
| Amount of Private Funding | $ | - |
| Total Project Cost | $ | - |