# Before Starting the CoC Application

You must submit all three of the following parts  in order for us to consider your Consolidated Application complete:

 1. the CoC Application,
 2. the CoC Priority Listing, and
 3. all the CoC's project applications that were either approved and ranked, or rejected.

 As the Collaborative Applicant, you are responsible for reviewing the following:

 1. The FY 2024 CoC Program Competition Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for specific application and program requirements.
 2. The FY 2024 CoC Application Detailed Instructions which provide additional information and guidance for completing the application.
 3. All information provided to ensure it is correct and current.
 4. Responses provided by project applicants in their Project Applications.
 5. The application to ensure all documentation, including attachment are provided.

 Your CoC Must Approve the Consolidated Application before You Submit It
 - 24 CFR 578.9 requires you to compile and submit the CoC Consolidated Application for the FY 2024 CoC Program Competition on behalf of your CoC.
 - 24 CFR 578.9(b) requires you to obtain approval from your CoC before you submit the Consolidated Application into e-snaps.

Answering Multi-Part Narrative Questions
 Many questions require you to address multiple elements in a single text box.  Number your responses to correspond with multi-element questions using the same numbers in the question. This will help you organize your responses to ensure they are complete and help us to review and score your responses.

 Attachments
 Questions requiring attachments to receive points state, "You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen." Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed–including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process. Include a cover page with the attachment name.
 - Attachments must match the questions they are associated with–if we do not award points for evidence you upload and associate with the wrong question, this is not a valid reason for you to appeal HUD's funding determination.
 - We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).

# 1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at  CoC Program Competition  to assist you in completing the CoC Application.  Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
   - FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

**1A-1. CoC Name and Number:**  MI-505 - Flint/Genesee County CoC

**1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name:**  Greater Flint Health Coalition

**1A-3. CoC Designation:**  CA

**1A-4. HMIS Lead:**  Metro Community Development

# 1B. Coordination and Engagement–Inclusive Structure and Participation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at  CoC Program Competition  to assist you in completing the CoC Application.  Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO)  Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
  - FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
  - Frequently Asked Questions

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **1B-1.** | Inclusive Structure and Participation–Participation in Coordinated Entry. | |
| | NOFO Sections V.B.1.a.(1), V.B.1.e., V.B.1f., and V.B.1.p. | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | In the chart below for the period from May 1, 2023 to April 30, 2024: | |
| **1.** | select yes or no in the chart below if the entity listed participates in CoC meetings, voted–including selecting CoC Board members, and participated in your CoC's coordinated entry system; or | |
| **2.** | select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist in your CoC's geographic area: | |

| | Organization/Person | Participated in CoC Meetings | Voted, Including Electing CoC Board Members | Participated in CoC's Coordinated Entry System |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Affordable Housing Developer(s) | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 2. | CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction | Yes | No | Yes |
| 3. | Disability Advocates | Yes | Yes | No |
| 4. | Disability Service Organizations | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 5. | EMS/Crisis Response Team(s) | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 6. | Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 7. | Hospital(s) | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 8. | Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations) | Nonexistent | No | No |
| 9. | Law Enforcement | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 10. | Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender (LGBTQ+) Advocates | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 11. | LGBTQ+ Service Organizations | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 12. | Local Government Staff/Officials | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 13. | Local Jail(s) | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 14. | Mental Health Service Organizations | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 15. | Mental Illness Advocates | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 16. | Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color | Yes | Yes | Yes |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 17. | Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 18. | Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 19. | Other homeless subpopulation advocates | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 20. | Public Housing Authorities | Yes | No | No |
| 21. | School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 22. | Street Outreach Team(s) | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 23. | Substance Abuse Advocates | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 24. | Substance Abuse Service Organizations | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 25. | Agencies Serving Survivors of Human Trafficking | Yes | Yes | No |
| 26. | Victim Service Providers | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 27. | Domestic Violence Advocates | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 28. | Other Victim Service Organizations | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 29. | State Domestic Violence Coalition | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 30. | State Sexual Assault Coalition | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 31. | Youth Advocates | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 32. | Youth Homeless Organizations | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 33. | Youth Service Providers | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| | Other: (limit 50 characters) | | | |
| 34. | Federally Qualified Health Centers | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 35. | Veterans Services | Yes | Yes | Yes |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **1B-1a.** | Experience Promoting Racial Equity. | |
| | NOFO Section III.B.3.c. | |

Describe in the field below your CoC's experience in effectively addressing the needs of underserved communities, particularly Black and Brown communities, who are substantially overrepresented in the homeless population.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The Flint/Genesee CoC, led by new Collaborative Applicant, the Greater Flint Health Coalition (GFHC), prioritizes collaboration with underserved Black and Brown communities to design programs that equitably benefit them. For example, 56.3% of the city of flint (largest city in Genesee County) population is African American. Therefore the majority of organizations that serve this community are also led by Black, Brown, indigenous, and other people of color. Within our CoC's executive leadership, 75% of the roles are filled by Black/Brown organizational leaders. This allows organizational leadership, and furthermore, the CoC, to regularly engage and obtain feedback from underserved communities to support improvements to the existing system.  The Flint/Genesee CoC has gone a step further to ensure racial equity is at the core of all related efforts by participating in an opportunity through the State of Michigan called the Assessment and Prioritization Pilot Project. This Pilot Project was a competitive opportunity that is designed to: a) Improve experiences for those who are disproportionately represented in the homeless response system by ensuring that people feel fully seen and respected, and the process is participant-led, trauma-informed, and unbiased; b) Improve housing access and housing stability for those who are disproportionately represented in the homeless response system; and c) Document processes, tools, and resources that can be shared with other communities throughout the state to support the ongoing work of advancing a more equitable coordinated entry system. The Core Team of this project includes: Black, Indigenous, People of Color (BIPOC) representatives, at least two people with lived expertise of homelessness, Homeless Management Information System (HMIS)/Data lead, Housing Assessment and Resource Agency (HARA) and Coordinated Entry Staff leads, as well as frontline staff.  Through these efforts, it is expected that the CoC will only refine their process for integrating equitable principles and practices and shift coordinated entry systems in the direction of racial equity.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1B-2. | Open Invitation for New Members. | | |
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(2) | | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | **Describe in the field below how your CoC:** | |
| | 1. | communicated a transparent invitation process annually (e.g., communicated to the public on the CoC's website) to solicit new members to join the CoC; |
| | 2. | ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats; and |
| | 3. | invited organizations serving culturally specific communities experiencing homelessness in your CoC's geographic area to address equity (e.g., Black, Latino, Indigenous, LGBTQ+, and persons with disabilities). |

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The Flint/Genesee CoC prioritizes the engagement of new members to join the CoC. Through its new Collaborative Applicant (GFHC), the Flint/Genesee CoC was able to leverage its existing, vast network of various multi-sector partners and invite them to participate in the CoC via email and newsletter communications. The new CoC website, geneseehousing.org has a "join now" button on the landing page, making it easy for anyone to sign up. Opportunities to join the CoC have been widely distributed via email, newsletters, and social media. In person events that have been held, such as the Landlord Gathering also provide opportunities for CoC membership engagement. A primary area of focus moving forward will be identifying existing member gaps and proactively reaching out to those organizations for representation.

2) All Flint/Genesee County CoC communications offer accessible electronic formats. Web post formats (PDF, WordDocx, PowerPoint) and virtual meetings accommodate disabilities, and a resource list ensures accessibility. The Flint/Genesee CoC provides all communication materials in large print formats upon request, and our staff provide assistance in reading documents for clients needing assistance. Interpretation services for American Sign Language, Spanish, and other languages are available if needed. Design and updates for our website and documents are informed by the W3C Accessibility Initiative and ISO/IEC 40500 standard.  A set of accessibility resources is provided to staff to assist them in meeting needs. Building design is accessible for individuals with mobility challenges or wheelchairs.

3) The CoC is diverse in ethnicity, cultures, sexualities, gender identification, and disabilities. The Executive Committee and Governance Council are also diverse and representative of our clients and community. Multiple agencies that represent Black, Latino, LGBTQ+ and clients with disabilities actively participate in the various CoC related meetings. Our diverse membership and leadership include BIPOC, LGBTQ+, diverse religious affiliation, housing insecurity experiences, and various age groups. Moving forward, race and ethnicity data of members will be collected on an annual basis (should they choose to participate) in an effort to ensure diversity and guide additional outreach as needed.

| 1B-3. | CoC's Strategy to Solicit/Consider Opinions on Preventing and Ending Homelessness. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(3) | |

| | | Describe in the field below how your CoC: |
|---|---|---|
| | 1. | solicited and considered opinions from a broad array of organizations and individuals that have knowledge of homelessness, or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness; |
| | 2. | communicated information during public meetings or other forums your CoC uses to solicit public information; |
| | 3. | ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats; and |
| | 4. | took into consideration information gathered in public meetings or forums to address improvements or new approaches to preventing and ending homelessness. |

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) The Flint/Genesee County CoC actively solicits and considers opinions from a broad array of organizations and individuals that have knowledge of homelessness or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness. This occurs through monthly meetings, subcommittees, community conversations, and forums including the website and bi-weekly newsletter. The Flint/Genesee CoC prioritizes feedback from Persons With Lived Experience (PWLE). This is demonstrated by the recent passage of a PWLE Compensation Policy that allows individuals to be paid for their participating in CoC meetings. Additionally, a PWLE currently serves on the Executive Committee of the CoC.
2) A consistent practice in all CoC meetings, including Governance Council, Executive Committee,and subcommittees, is to create an inclusive environment for sharing and receiving feedback. Responses are encouraged, and ample time is allocated for discussion and planning next steps. Between meetings, email communications are widely distributed. Additionally, all CoC members have the ability to submit requests for agenda items at each meeting, which allow for new topics to be shared with the entire CoC. The diversity of the CoC memberships allows for various topics to be covered including the utilization of Homeless Court, addressing misinformation about Housing Choice Voucher, or issues related to trespassing.
3) The Flint/Genesee County CoC ensures that all communication materials are accessible in electronic formats such as PDF, WordDocx, PowerPoint. Virtual meetings accommodate disabilities and a resource list ensures accessibility. Upon request, the CoC provides communication materials in large print formats and interpretation services. Design and updates for our website and documents are informed by the W3C Accessibility Initiative and ISO/IEC 40500 standard. A set of accessibility resources is provided to staff to assist them in meeting needs. Building design is accessible for individuals with mobility challenges or wheelchairs for in-person meetings.
4) The CoC actively takes into consideration information that is gathered in public meetings. The Michigan State Housing Development Authority (MSHDA) regularly hosts public forums, information from those meetings is distributed to CoC members and utilized as needed. For example, upon publication, the 2024 MI Statewide Housing Needs Assessment published by MSHDA and the Housing Solutions for Health Equity was distributed to all CoC members.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1B-4. | Public Notification for Proposals from Organizations Not Previously Awarded CoC Program Funding. | |
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(4) | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | Describe in the field below how your CoC notified the public: | |
| 1. | that your CoC will consider project applications from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program funding; | |
| 2. | about how project applicants must submit their project applications–the process; | |
| 3. | about how your CoC would determine which project applications it would submit to HUD for funding; and | |
| 4. | ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats. | |

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) On 8/23/24, the Flint/Genesee County CoC formally announced the local competition process and request for proposals via the Flint/Genesee County CoC website (FY2024 NOFO section), an email sent to all CoC members, community-wide newsletter, and social media. It was also announced in the CoC membership meetings open to the public. In the RFP, agencies that had not previously received CoC funding were encouraged to apply (if HUD and local CoC thresholds were met). Based on feedback from the CoC's Executive Committee, special outreach was conducted to agencies that could potentially be funded through CoC Bonus or DV Bonus funding. As a result, four previously unfunded organizations submitted applications.

2) The Flint/Genesee CoC local competition materials detailed all specifics to the competition process. Including submission dates, timelines, and requirements. Individual technical assistance was also provided by the CA/IO to new project applicants to support navigation through e-snaps and other technical components.

3) The Flint/Genesee County CoC communicated that the HUD Rating and Ranking Tool (v. 8.1) would be utilized to rate and rank the project applications. In addition to the project applications, APR data, annual spend-down and CoC priorities (i.e. Domestic Violence projects) were used to help rank the projects. CoC members were invited to participate in the Prioritization and Funding Recommendations meeting on Tuesday, September 24. Project applicants provided an overview of their application and previous program success. Afterwards, non-project applicants remained in the room to discuss and determine the recommended overall rating and ranking. The recommendations from the committee were emailed on Wednesday, September 25, and following a time period to allow for Appeals, the Governance Council approved the Rating and Ranking on Tuesday, October 8, 2024.

4) The Flint/Genesee County CoC ensures that all communication materials are accessible in electronic formats such as PDF, WordDocx, PowerPoint. The rating and ranking process took place both virtually and in person to accommodate as many people as possible. A recording of the presentations is available on the website as well. Upon request, the CoC provides communication materials in large print formats and interpretation services.

# 1C. Coordination and Engagement

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at  CoC Program Competition  to assist you in completing the CoC Application.  Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
    - FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | 1C-1. | Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organizations. | |
| | | NOFO Section V.B.1.b. | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | | In the chart below: |
| | 1. | select yes or no for entities listed that are included in your CoC's coordination, planning, and operations of projects that serve individuals, families, unaccompanied youth, persons who are fleeing domestic violence who are experiencing homelessness, or those at risk of homelessness; or |
| | 2. | select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist within your CoC's geographic area. |

| | Entities or Organizations Your CoC Coordinates with for Planning or Operations of Projects | Coordinates with the Planning or Operations of Projects? |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Funding Collaboratives | Yes |
| 2. | Head Start Program | Yes |
| 3. | Housing and services programs funded through Local Government | Yes |
| 4. | Housing and services programs funded through other Federal Resources (non-CoC) | Yes |
| 5. | Housing and services programs funded through private entities, including Foundations | Yes |
| 6. | Housing and services programs funded through State Government | Yes |
| 7. | Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) | Yes |
| 8. | Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) | Yes |
| 9. | Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) | Yes |
| 10. | Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations) | Nonexistent |
| 11. | Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color | Yes |
| 12. | Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons | Yes |
| 13. | Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities | Yes |
| 14. | Private Foundations | Yes |
| 15. | Public Housing Authorities | Yes |
| 16. | Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY) | Yes |
| 17. | Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) | Yes |
| | Other:(limit 50 characters) | |
| 18. | Social Determinants of Health Hub funded through State Government | Yes |

| | 1C-2. | CoC Consultation with ESG Program Recipients. | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| | | NOFO Section V.B.1.b. | |

| | In the chart below select yes or no to indicate whether your CoC: |
| --- | --- |

| | | |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1. | Consulted with ESG Program recipients in planning and allocating ESG Program funds? | Yes |
| 2. | Provided Point-in-Time (PIT) count and Housing Inventory Count (HIC) data to the Consolidated Plan jurisdictions within its geographic area? | Yes |
| 3. | Ensured local homelessness information is communicated and addressed in the Consolidated Plan updates? | Yes |
| 4. | Coordinated with ESG recipients in evaluating and reporting performance of ESG Program recipients and subrecipients? | Yes |

| | 1C-3. | Ensuring Families are not Separated. | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| | | NOFO Section V.B.1.c. | |

| | Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ensures emergency shelter, transitional housing, and permanent housing (PSH and RRH) do not deny admission or separate family members regardless of each family member's self-reported sexual orientation and gender identity: |
| --- | --- |

| | | |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1. | Conducted mandatory training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated? | No |
| 2. | Conducted optional training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure family members are not separated? | No |
| 3. | Worked with CoC and ESG recipient(s) to adopt uniform anti-discrimination policies for all subrecipients? | No |
| 4. | Worked with ESG recipient(s) to identify both CoC- and ESG-funded facilities within your CoC's geographic area that might be out of compliance and took steps to work directly with those facilities to bring them into compliance? | Yes |
| 5. | Sought assistance from HUD by submitting questions or requesting technical assistance to resolve noncompliance by service providers? | Yes |

| | 1C-4. | CoC Collaboration Related to Children and Youth–SEAs, LEAs, School Districts. | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| | | NOFO Section V.B.1.d. | |

| | Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the entities your CoC collaborates with: |
| --- | --- |

| | | |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1. | Youth Education Provider | Yes |
| 2. | State Education Agency (SEA) | Yes |
| 3. | Local Education Agency (LEA) | Yes |
| 4. | School Districts | Yes |

| | 1C-4a. | Formal Partnerships with Youth Education Providers, SEAs, LEAs, School Districts. | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| | | NOFO Section V.B.1.d. | |

> Describe in the field below the formal partnerships your CoC has with at least one of the entities where you responded yes in question 1C-4.

(limit 2,500 characters)

As a partner in the Flint/Genesee County CoC, the Genesee Intermediate School District (GISD) engages with Local Educational Agencies (LEA) and public-school academies on eligibility and identification for McKinney-Vento educational services. The GISD hosts ongoing in-person and virtual professional development for local school districts, public school academies, school employees, and other community partners on outreach, identification, and eligibility of educational McKinney-Vento supports. The McKinney-Vento Specialist presents at numerous community meetings and local conferences as well as serves as a yearly presenter at the Michigan Department of Education Special Populations conference. The McKinney-Vento Specialist serves as a member of the CoC and on the CoC's Governance Council. The Specialist also serves as a board member on GCYC (REACH Resource Services), connecting area youth to emergency shelter and case management support. The GISD has formal partnerships with five local family and youth shelters and a case management agency to provide educational support (mentorship/ tutoring program), supplies, and services to the youth and families residing in shelters and families who are experiencing homelessness who are not supported with traditional services (doubled-up families). These partnerships include REACH Resource Services (Traverse Place), Shelter of Flint, Carriage Town Ministries, YWCA, and Family Promise.

| 1C-4b. | Informing Individuals and Families Who Have Recently Begun Experiencing Homelessness about Eligibility for Educational Services. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.d. | |

> Describe in the field below written policies and procedures your CoC uses to inform individuals and families who have recently begun experiencing homelessness of their eligibility for educational services.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The Flint/Genesee County CoC utilizes the GISD as a primary conduit to Local Educational Agencies (LEA) and public school academies on the eligibility and identification of homeless families for McKinney-Vento educational supports. The GISD provides written outreach materials such as brochures and posters at LEA's and Public-School Academies, local homeless shelters, and at community resource fairs. The GISD also provides outreach utilizing digital advertisements on local social media platforms and moving billboards (MTA buses). Shelters play a significant role in this process by informing families of their rights and available resources. When families engage with shelter case management, case managers facilitate connections with the designated McKinney-Vento liaison at either the school of residence or the school of origin. This liaison acts as the advocate, providing guidance and support to help families navigate the education system, ensuring that students receive the stability and resources they need to thrive academically despite their challenging circumstances.

| 1C-4c. | Written/Formal Agreements or Partnerships with Early Childhood Services Providers. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.d. | |

| | Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC has written formal agreements or partnerships with the listed providers of early childhood services: |
|---|---|

| | | MOU/MOA | Other Formal Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Birth to 3 years | No | Yes |
| 2. | Child Care and Development Fund | No | Yes |
| 3. | Early Childhood Providers | No | Yes |
| 4. | Early Head Start | No | Yes |
| 5. | Federal Home Visiting Program–(including Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home and Visiting or MIECHV) | No | No |
| 6. | Head Start | No | Yes |
| 7. | Healthy Start | No | No |
| 8. | Public Pre-K | No | Yes |
| 9. | Tribal Home Visiting Program | No | No |
| | Other (limit 150 characters) | | |
| 10. | | | |

| 1C-5. | Addressing Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking–Collaboration with Federally Funded Programs and Victim Service Providers. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.e. | |

| | In the chart below select yes or no for the organizations your CoC collaborates with: |
|---|---|

| | Organizations | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | State Domestic Violence Coalitions | Yes |
| 2. | State Sexual Assault Coalitions | Yes |
| 3. | Anti-trafficking Service Providers | Yes |
| | Other Organizations that Help this Population (limit 500 characters) | |
| 4. | Local YWCA and Voices for Children | Yes |

| 1C-5a. | Collaborating with Federally Funded Programs and Victim Service Providers to Address Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.e. | |

| | Describe in the field below how your CoC regularly collaborates with organizations that you selected yes to in Question 1C-5 to: |
|---|---|
| 1. | update CoC-wide policies; and |
| 2. | ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC's geographic area are trauma-informed and can meet the needs of survivors. |

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) Both the Flint/Genesee County CoC Program and ESG Program work closely with federally funded programs and victim services providers to address needs of survivors of domestic violence (DV), dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking to update CoC-wide policies. These relationships include the Division of Victim Services (DVS), Genesee Human Oppression Strike Team (G.H.O.S.T), homeless services providers, and other DV champions within the community. These relationships provide ongoing feedback about best practices to ensure CoC policies are up to date.

2) A variety of trainings are available to the Flint/Genesee County CoC's housing and service providers to ensure that providers are trauma-informed and can meet the needs of survivors. Our local community mental health provider, Genesee Health System provides a wide range of free, trauma-informed trainings to all Flint/Genesee CoC organizations. The CoC's VSP employees are required to attend a 40-hour training offered through the Michigan Coalition to End Domestic and Sexual Violence (MCEDSV) upon hiring. This training provides a comprehensive foundation to ensure services are survivor-driven and trauma-informed. In addition, employees must complete 16-20 total hours of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, Human Trafficking, Stalking and Survivors Best Practices training yearly. The YWCA is committed to educating our community by providing these trainings, working to change the societal norms, practices, and behaviors that allow or condone perpetration of abuse.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1C-5b. | Implemented Safety Planning, Confidentiality Protocols in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry to Address the Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking. | |
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.e. | |

| | |
|---|---|
| | Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry addresses the needs of DV survivors by including: |
| 1. | safety planning protocols; and |
| 2. | confidentiality protocols. |

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) The Flint/Genesee CoC has implemented safety planning and confidentiality protocols in our coordinated entry to address the needs of survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking. These processes are detailed within the Coordinated Approach System Inclusive of Entry, Delivery and Exit (Formerly known as Coordinated Entry System) Policies and Procedures. SAFETY PLANS AND PLANNING: A. Safety planning does not imply that the individual must leave their home. It is a plan to support the contemplation and process of determining the best course of action for each individual and his/her children and pets when necessary. B. Safety planning is not a substitute for calling 911, filing an order of protection, and/or contacting an attorney. However, each of these may be involved in the development of the individual's safety plan. C. Steps to consider in a safety plan include: 1) Preparation of the safety plan which includes collecting evidence and documentation of the history of the abuse when it is safe to do so; 2) Stashing a getaway bag in a safe place where it cannot be found by the abuser. This may be inclusive of children when necessary; 3) Planning for all possibilities since the survivor knows the abuser best. Understanding and taking into consideration the tactics of the abuser as the plan is developed to assure safety and the safety of the children and pets. Running through scenarios and determining alternative course of action for each situation;  4) After departure, survivors must remain diligent in ensuring their safety. Further protective actions may be necessary. D. For further details and a more comprehensive list of specific details in a safety plan, agency personnel shall refer the individual to the local DV provider as they provide housing services.

2) The Flint/Genesee CoC has implemented specific policies and procedures to support confidentiality of survivors of domestic violence. Flint/Genesee County CoC agencies are prohibited from sharing any information about a survivor without their written consent. Survivors are informed that they are 100% in control of what information is shared, to whom the information is shared, and what period of time the information can be shared. Survivors complete an authorization for release of information that includes the above-mentioned information.

| 1C-5c. | Coordinated Annual Training on Best Practices to Address the Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.e. | |

| In the chart below, indicate how your CoC facilitates training for project staff and coordinated entry staff that addresses best practices on safety planning and confidentiality protocols: |
|---|

| | | Project Staff | Coordinated Entry Staff |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Training Occurs at least annually? | Yes | Yes |
| 2. | Incorporates Trauma Informed best practices? | Yes | Yes |
| 3. | Incorporates Survivor-Centered best practices? | Yes | Yes |
| 4. | Identifies and assesses survivors' individual safety needs? | Yes | No |
| 5. | Enhances and supports collaboration with DV organizations? | Yes | Yes |
| 6. | Ensures survivors' rights, voices, and perspectives are incorporated? | Yes | Yes |

| | Other? (limit 500 characters) | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 7. | | | |

&nbsp

| | 1C-5d. | Implemented VAWA-Required Written Emergency Transfer Plan Policies and Procedures for Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking. | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | NOFO Section V.B.1.e. | |

| | | Describe in the field below: |
|---|---|---|
| | 1. | whether your CoC's written policies and procedures include an emergency transfer plan; |
| | 2. | how your CoC informs all households seeking or receiving CoC Program assistance about their rights to an emergency transfer; |
| | 3. | what your CoC requires households to do to request emergency transfers; and |
| | 4. | what your CoC does in response to households requesting emergency transfers. |

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The Flint/Genesee County Emergency Transfer Plan was adopted by the Flint/Genesee County CoC in 2019. The plan identifies tenants who are eligible for an emergency transfer, the documentation needed to request an emergency transfer, confidentiality protections, and how an emergency transfer may occur. In addition, it provides guidance for tenants on safety and security. The plan is based on a model emergency transfer plan published by HUD.

2) Education regarding rights to an emergency transfer occurs a variety of ways. It is expected that the CoC membership is educated regularly on the services of these agencies and changes that may occur that affect the referral process. This ensures providers are aware and can pass the information along. This allows for any individuals receiving services to be made aware of their rights to an emergency transfer. Access to emergency services may happen through an individual/family reaching out to an agency, making a phone call to a community organization upon discharge from a hospital, jail, and/or institutional facility. The goal is the same in all cases, utilizing a no-wrong-door approach, the providers should connect the individual/family through an appropriate partner.

3) The detailed steps of initiating the emergency transfer process are detailed within the procedure and include the following. To request an emergency transfer, a tenant must notify the housing program's administrator or manager and submit a written request for a transfer to that individual. The tenant's written request for an emergency transfer should include either: A) A statement expressing why the tenant reasonably believes that there is a threat of imminent harm from further violence if the tenant remains in the same dwelling unit assisted under the housing provider's program; or B) A statement that the tenant was a sexual assault victim and that the sexual assault occurred on the premises during the 90-day period preceding the tenant's request for an emergency transfer. Individuals in need can also reach out directly to the YWCA for DV victim support.

4) The Flint/Genesee County CoC process to respond to individuals and families emergency transfer requests is to honor the recommendation of the VSP or the agency serving the client. All agencies will utilize the emergency transfer plan adopted by the CoC in 2019.

| 1C-5e. | Facilitating Safe Access to Housing and Services for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking. | |
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.e. | |

| Describe in the field below how your CoC ensures households experiencing trauma or a lack of safety related to fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking have safe access to all of the housing and services available within your CoC's geographic area. |
| --- |

(limit 2,500 characters)

Detailed procedures and policies exist to address the needs of survivors of DV, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking. All services provided within the Flint/Genesee County area prioritize client-driven, trauma-informed practices that help address participants' physical, emotional, safety, privacy, and confidentiality needs. The Flint/Genesee County CoC ensures that survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking work closely with Coordinated Entry, the HARA, and outreach teams to communicate and facilitate all housing and services. Specifically, the YWCA Housing Outreach Advocate position is solely dedicated to work with survivors to ensure all the necessary assessments (CESA/VI-SPDAT) are completed and submitted to the CE Specialist. The Housing Outreach Advocate also coordinates with other service providers to address the specific needs of each survivor. In addition to victim service organizations, other organizations within the CoC are informed on a regular basis of available resources to support survivors. Training resources are also readily available to CoC organizations.

| 1C-5f. | Identifying and Removing Barriers for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking. | |
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.e. | |

| | Describe in the field below how your CoC ensures survivors receive safe housing and services by: |
| --- | --- |
| 1. | identifying barriers specific to survivors; and |
| 2. | working to remove those barriers. |

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Identifying and removing barriers for survivors of domestic violence is a critical step to ensuring survivors receive safe housing services. Currently, survivors of domestic violence are very active within the various CoC committees, which provides an opportunity for experiences to be shared. Additionally, local service providers conduct surveys on a regular basis to identify opportunities for quality improvement. DV survivors sharing of experiences often helps to identify existing barriers within the system. One barrier observed is not enough available DV housing for those in need. This feedback was considered by the Flint/Genesee CoC this year and two new DV Bonus Projects are being pursued. In the upcoming year, additional efforts will be focused on identifying barriers and developing detailed strategies to remove them.

2) As described above, this year the Flint/Genesee CoC has prioritized DV Bonus Projects and ideally will receive funding for those projects in an effort to address initial barriers.

| 1C-6. | | Addressing the Needs of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer+–Anti-Discrimination Policy and Equal Access Trainings. | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| | | NOFO Section V.B.1.f. | |

| | 1. | Did your CoC implement a written CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination? | Yes |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| | 2. | Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement the Equal Access to Housing in HUD Programs Regardless of Sexual Orientation or Gender Identity (Equal Access Final Rule)? | Yes |
| | 3. | Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement Equal Access in Accordance With an Individual's Gender Identity in Community Planning and Development Programs  (Gender Identity Final Rule)? | Yes |

| 1C-6a. | Anti-Discrimination Policy–Updating Policies–Assisting Providers–Evaluating Compliance–Addressing Noncompliance. | |
| --- | --- | --- |
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.f. | |

| | | Describe in the field below: |
| --- | --- | --- |
| | 1. | how your CoC regularly collaborates with LGBTQ+ and other organizations to update its CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy, as necessary to ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC are trauma-informed and able to meet the needs of LGBTQ+ individuals and families; |
| | 2. | how your CoC assisted housing and services providers in developing project-level anti-discrimination policies that are consistent with the CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy; |
| | 3. | your CoC's process for evaluating compliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies; and |
| | 4. | your CoC's process for addressing noncompliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies. |

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The Flint/Genesee County CoC regularly collaborates with LGBTQ+ and other organizations to ensure its CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy is trauma-informed and able to meet the needs of the LGBTQ+ individuals within our area. The leading LGBTQ+ agency in our community, Wellness Services, is an active CoC member and consistently provides insights, feedback, and opportunities to ensure policies are appropriate. Further, to ensure the LGBTQ+ needs are met, this year the Flint/Genesee CoC worked closely with Wellness Services to develop a new project application that will help to fill an existing gap within the community related to LGBTQ+ housing. 2) The standard policies and procedures of the CoC prioritize anti-discrimination and encourage housing and service providers to ensure theirs are well-designed and consistent. 3) Our CoC is committed to the development of a process for evaluating compliance with anti-discrimination policies. With the recent change of the Collaborative Applicant, this evaluation will include reviewing all existing policies for compliance, providing training on anti-discrimination policies, reviewing the grievance procedure, conducting surveys and a Racial Equity Impact Assessment. 4) Addressing noncompliance issues within our CoC occurs by utilizing our well-established grievance policy. This policy is as follows: A) Client completes grievance form and submits it to CoC CA; B) CoC CA reviews the complaint, substantiates concerns, and routes the complaint to the Grievance Review Committee (comprised of 3-5 Governance Council members and chaired by the Vice Chair of Programs);  C) The Grievance Committee then reviews the complaint, any additional information, and works to resolve the grievance with the client. The committee will confer with the CoC CA and other CoC partners as necessary; D) The Grievance Committee Chair will then provide a written response to the grievance within twenty-one (21) days of the review. Copies of the response will be forwarded to the client, GC Secretary, and CoC CA within ten (10) days of the decision; E) If the client is not satisfied with the response to the grievance, they will be invited to participate in a case conference with staff from CoC CA, Grievance Review Committee, and other CoC partners as necessary; F) If the client is not satisfied with the results of the case conference, they may then file a grievance with the appropriate funding body, following the procedures of that body (i.e. HUD).

| 1C-7. | Public Housing Agencies within Your CoC's Geographic Area—New Admissions—General/Limited Preference—Moving On Strategy. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.g. | |

You must upload the PHA Homeless Preference\PHA Moving On Preference attachment(s) to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Enter information in the chart below for the two largest PHAs highlighted in gray on the current CoC-PHA Crosswalk Report or the two PHAs your CoC has a working relationship with—if there is only one PHA in your CoC's geographic area, provide information on the one:

| Public Housing Agency Name | Enter the Percent of New Admissions into Public Housing or Housing Choice Voucher Program During FY 2023 who were experiencing homelessness at entry | Does the PHA have a General or Limited Homeless Preference? | Does the PHA have a Preference for current PSH program participants no longer needing intensive supportive services, e.g., Moving On? |
|---|---|---|---|
| MSHDA | 100% | Yes-Both | Yes |
| Flint Housing Commission | 41% | No | No |

| 1C-7a. | Written Policies on Homeless Admission Preferences with PHAs. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.g. | |

| | **Describe in the field below:** | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | steps your CoC has taken, with the two largest PHAs within your CoC's geographic area or the two PHAs your CoC has working relationships with, to adopt a homeless admission preference—if your CoC only has one PHA within its geographic area, you may respond for the one; or | |
| 2. | state that your CoC has not worked with the PHAs in its geographic area to adopt a homeless admission preference. | |

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) The Flint/Genesee CoC has a collaborative partnership with MSHDA relating to homeless admission preference. The representative assigned to our CoC regularly attends meetings and contributes with insights gained from the knowledge of working with other CoCs. The HARA, the CoC Lead Agency and Coordinated Entry regularly discuss admission preferences that may need to be updated or adjusted. One example is the accommodation of a particular voucher, for example EHV, which had different characteristics than other vouchers.

2) The Flint Housing Commission is in the middle of a major transition with HCV staff and Executive Leadership leaving their roles. New Collaborative Applicant, GFHC, has experience working with the local housing authority and will be bringing the conversation of a homeless admission preference to them in the coming year.

| 1C-7b. | Moving On Strategy with Affordable Housing Providers. | |
|---|---|---|
| | Not Scored–For Information Only | |

| | Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate affordable housing providers in your CoC's jurisdiction that your recipients use to move program participants to other subsidized housing: | |
|---|---|---|

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Multifamily assisted housing owners | No |
| 2. | PHA | Yes |
| 3. | Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) developments | Yes |
| 4. | Local low-income housing programs | Yes |
| | Other (limit 150 characters) | |
| 5. | | |

| 1C-7c. | Include Units from PHA Administered Programs in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.g. | |

| | In the chart below, indicate if your CoC includes units from the following PHA programs in your CoC's coordinated entry process: | |
|---|---|---|

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHV) | Yes |
| 2. | Family Unification Program (FUP) | Yes |
| 3. | Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) | Yes |
| 4. | HUD-Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (HUD-VASH) | Yes |
| 5. | Mainstream Vouchers | Yes |
| 6. | Non-Elderly Disabled (NED) Vouchers | No |
| 7. | Public Housing | Yes |
| 8. | Other Units from PHAs: | |
| | Project Based Vouchers | Yes |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1C-7d. | Submitting CoC and PHA Joint Applications for Funding for People Experiencing Homelessness. | |
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.g. | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Did your CoC coordinate with a PHA(s) to submit a competitive joint application(s) for funding or jointly implement a competitive project serving individuals or families experiencing homelessness (e.g., applications for mainstream vouchers, Family Unification Program (FUP), other programs)? | No |
| | | Program Funding Source |
| 2. | Enter the type of competitive project your CoC coordinated with a PHA(s) to submit a joint application for or jointly implement. | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1C-7e. | Coordinating with PHA(s) to Apply for or Implement HCV Dedicated to Homelessness Including Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV). | |
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.g. | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | Did your CoC coordinate with any PHA to apply for or implement funding provided for Housing Choice Vouchers dedicated to homelessness, including vouchers provided through the American Rescue Plan? | Yes |

# 1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at  CoC Program Competition  to assist you in completing the CoC Application.  Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO)  Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
   - FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1D-1. | Preventing People Transitioning from Public Systems from Experiencing Homelessness. | |
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.h. | |

| | |
|---|---|
| Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC actively coordinates with the public systems listed to ensure persons who have resided in them longer than 90 days are not discharged directly to the streets, emergency shelters, or other homeless assistance programs. | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Prisons/Jails? | Yes |
| 2. | Health Care Facilities? | Yes |
| 3. | Residential Care Facilities? | Yes |
| 4. | Foster Care? | Yes |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1D-2. | Housing First–Lowering Barriers to Entry. | |
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.i. | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2024 CoC Program Competition. | 16 |
| 2. | Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2024 CoC Program Competition that have adopted the Housing First approach. | 16 |
| 3. | This number is a calculation of the percentage of new and renewal PSH, RRH,  SSO non-Coordinated Entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects the CoC has ranked in its CoC Priority Listing in the FY 2024 CoC Program Competition that reported that they are lowering barriers to entry and prioritizing rapid placement and stabilization to permanent housing. | 100% |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1D-2a. | Project Evaluation for Housing First Compliance. | |
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.i. | |

| | |
|---|---|
| You must upload the Housing First Evaluation attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen. | |
| Describe in the field below: | |

| | 1. | how your CoC evaluates every project--where the applicant checks Housing First on their project application--to determine if they are using a Housing First approach; |
| | 2. | the list of factors and performance indicators your CoC uses during its evaluation; |
| | 3. | how your CoC regularly evaluates projects outside of your local CoC competition to ensure the projects are using a Housing First approach; and |
| | 4. | what your CoC has done to improve fidelity to Housing First. |

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) The Flint/Genesee County CoC evaluates every project (application). Housing First is a HUD and CoC Threshold requirement that must be met to move the application forward to prioritization.  All applications in this submission are Housing First Compliant and agency leadership signed the document committing to following the housing first approach. For those new projects all have committed to using a Housing First Approach as well. If funded, the CoC will ensure all new project applicants are implementing Housing First based on established best practices and recommendations.

2) The Flint/Genesee County CoC list of Housing First factors and performance indicators include; A. Is the agency committed to Low Barrier Access (with six additional yes or no questions)?; B. Is the agency committed to housing retention (five additional yes or no questions)?; C. Is the agency committed to ensuring the client is engaged in the choice to access services (three yes or no questions)? To substantiate that the agency is Housing First the CoC uses the Coordinated Entry number of referrals received, number of referrals housed, and reasons not housed. The CoC also looks at Annual Performance Reviews including System Performance Measures, customer interviews, and examination of policies during monitoring.

3) To evaluate projects outside of the local CoC competition, the CoC  regularly evaluates programs utilizing data from onsite monitoring reviews and policy reviews, Coordinated Entry System, case conferencing meetings, and HMIS reports to collaboratively discuss and evaluate the Housing First model.

4) Given the change in our Collaborative Applicant and Executive Leadership within the CoC, improving fidelity to the Housing First model is a critical priority in the upcoming grant year. The utilization of the HUD Housing First Standards Assessment Tool will be implemented in this upcoming year in order to ensure high quality evaluation of project-level fidelity to the Housing First model. It will provide a starting point for discussions that may be required to support projects in improving their implementation of the Housing First Model.

| 1D-3. | Street Outreach--Data--Reaching People Least Likely to Request Assistance. | |
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.j. | |

| Describe in the field below how your CoC tailored its street outreach to people experiencing homelessness who are least likely to request assistance. |

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

The Flint/Genesee CoC collaborates with the HUD funded street outreach team which works hard to engage all populations across the county. The street outreach team is funded to provide outreach efforts to unaccompanied minors and homeless youth, as well as the street outreach efforts of our community Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH) project who reach out to those with chronic and persistent mental health challenges.

Additionally, the outreach in Genesee County includes partnerships with mental health agencies, human services agencies serving the homeless, law enforcement agencies both local and county sheriff, local government officials, and those who operate the MTA (Mass Transit Authority) public transportation system. In addition, local CoC membership includes health care providers, the faith community, and people with lived experience of homelessness. They are able to and regularly speak to the needs of those in our community and challenge our teams to focus on the most vulnerable of our homeless population, those on the streets, living in abandoned dwellings, and other places not meant for human habitation. The street outreach team members work diligently each season by supporting the work of the staff of the warming center by being present two to three days a week to do assessments, homeless verifications, provide resources to community supports, and connecting those being served to the HARA and quality by-name list operated through the coordinated entry system.

Annually, the outreach team members bring together all the community partners to address the needs of those being served. They address the physical health, spiritual, behavioral health, education, employment, and housing needs collectively with a goal to find solutions to ending homelessness for these citizens.

| 1D-4. | Strategies to Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.k. | |

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate your CoC's strategies to prevent the criminalization of homelessness in your CoC's geographic area:

| | Your CoC's Strategies | Engaged/Educated Legislators and Policymakers | Implemented Laws/Policies/Practices that Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Increase utilization of co-responder responses or social services-led responses over law enforcement responses to people experiencing homelessness? | Yes | Yes |
| 2. | Minimize use of law enforcement to enforce bans on public sleeping, public camping, or carrying out basic life functions in public places? | Yes | Yes |
| 3. | Avoid imposing criminal sanctions, including fines, fees, and incarceration for public sleeping, public camping, and carrying out basic life functions in public places? | Yes | Yes |
| 4. | Other:(limit 500 characters) | | |
| | Implementation of Homeless Court | Yes | Yes |

| 1D-5. | Rapid Rehousing–RRH Beds as Reported in the Housing Inventory Count (HIC) or Longitudinal Data from HMIS. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.l. | |

| | | HIC Longitudinal HMIS Data | 2023 | 2024 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Enter the total number of RRH beds available to serve all populations as reported in the HIC or the number of households served per longitudinal HMIS data, e.g., APR. | HIC | 97 | 26 |

| 1D-6. | Mainstream Benefits–CoC Annual Training of Project Staff. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.m. | |

| | Indicate in the chart below whether your CoC trains program staff annually on the following mainstream benefits available for program participants within your CoC's geographic area: | |
|---|---|---|

| | Mainstream Benefits | CoC Provides Annual Training? |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Food Stamps | Yes |
| 2. | SSI–Supplemental Security Income | Yes |
| 3. | SSDI–Social Security Disability Insurance | Yes |
| 4. | TANF–Temporary Assistance for Needy Families | Yes |
| 5. | Substance Use Disorder Programs | Yes |
| 6. | Employment Assistance Programs | Yes |
| 7. | Other (limit 150 characters) | |
| | | |

| 1D-6a. | Information and Training on Mainstream Benefits and Other Assistance. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.m | |

| | Describe in the field below how your CoC: | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | works with projects to collaborate with healthcare organizations, including those that provide substance use disorder treatment and mental health treatment, to assist program participants with receiving healthcare services, including Medicaid; and | |
| 2. | promotes SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR) certification of program staff. | |

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) The CoC works diligently to ensure collaboration with healthcare organizations, specifically those that provide substance use disorder (SUD) and mental health treatment, as well as healthcare services such as Medicaid. In fact, local organizations that provide these services are active members of the CoC including: Genesee Health System, New Paths, Inc., and Genesee Community Health Center, all local SUD and mental health treatment providers. Healthcare service providers include the Genesee County Health Department, Genesee Health Plan, Hamilton Community Health Network, McLaren Health Plan, and Oak Street Health. The new Collaborative Applicant for the Flint/Genesee CoC, the Greater Flint Health Coalition (GFHC), also provides a variety of Healthcare and SUD services. CoC HARA, Street Outreach, PATH Outreach, and Coordinated Entry Specialists communicate numerous times a day with these organizations to strategize the most appropriate connection based on the need of the program participants.

2) The Flint/Genesee County CoC has an active SOAR Workgroup that has brought hundreds of thousands of dollars to our clients. Combined, they have a high application success rate. The Chair of the SOAR Workgroup consistently encourages community members to become navigators who will assist the community from their agency. This last year, the SOAR team had a 78.3% approval rate for SSI/SSDI benefits. This workgroup is chaired by Genesee Health System, an active voting member of the Continuum and a MDHHS credentialed SOAR service provider. Before its formal adoption in the CoC governance process, the contributions of SOAR and the accomplishments of SOAR-trained staff were recognized and reported back to the CoC membership at its monthly meetings. The SOAR Across Michigan Initiative is recognized by the Continuum Membership, Governance Council and Executive Committee as a critical adjunct to supporting the mission and values of the Continuum of Care and its partner agencies and service providers.

| | ID-7. | Partnerships with Public Health Agencies–Collaborating to Respond to and Prevent the Spread of Infectious Diseases. | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | NOFO Section V.B.1.n. | |

| | | Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively collaborates with state and local public health agencies to develop CoC-wide policies and procedures that: |
|---|---|---|
| | 1. | respond to infectious disease outbreaks; and |
| | 2. | prevent infectious disease outbreaks among people experiencing homelessness. |

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) The Flint/Genesee CoC collaborates with the local Genesee County Health Department (GCHD) as well as other healthcare providers when responding to infectious disease outbreaks among people experiencing homelessness. If an outbreak is identified, the GCHD will disseminate information to the community as required by their local jurisdiction. The CoC will ensure any information provided by the GCHD is widely distributed via service providers, email distribution, newsletters and social media. This information will generally include an overview of the disease, treatment options, and opportunities to prevent further disease spread. During the COVID-19 Public Health Emergency, local Emergency Shelters and housing providers worked with the State and local health departments to develop and implement policies and procedures to respond to infectious disease outbreaks. Their response plans include isolating individuals suspected of being infected, notifying the GCHD and CoC, contacting partnering healthcare providers to arrange testing and treatment, and monitoring the homeless population until the outbreak is resolved.

2) To prevent infections disease outbreaks among people experiencing homelessness, the Emergency Shelters and Outreach teams employ of a variety of strategies that are detailed in the Standard Operating Procedures of the CoC. This includes information on safety and sanitation requirements of facilities. Additional mechanisms to prevent disease include community education, distribution of personal protective equipment and encouraging the use of other best practices such as keeping immunizations up to date, washing hands and/or using hand sanitizer. The local health department works in partnership with key CoC entities to prevent disease whenever possible.

| ID-7a. | Collaboration With Public Health Agencies on Infectious Diseases. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.n. | |

| | Describe in the field below how your CoC: |
|---|---|
| 1. | effectively shared information related to public health measures and homelessness; and |
| 2. | facilitated communication between public health agencies and homeless service providers to ensure street outreach providers and shelter and housing providers are equipped to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants. |

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) The Flint/Genesee CoC collaboration with public health agencies is critical to ensure information regarding public health measures and homelessness is widely distributed. The GCHD actively communicates best practices to ensure homeless individuals are protected from infectious diseases. The GCHD has a variety of resources on its website to increase awareness around the prevention of disease outbreaks. This information is also distributed to services providers directly and through the existing CoC communication mechanisms.

2) The Flint/Genesee CoC has facilitated communication between public health agencies and homeless service providers to ensure street outreach providers and shelter and housing providers are equipped to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants. This has been done for years, by leveraging an established relationship with the GCHD. This ability to communicate information has only increased and improved during the COVID-19 pandemic. During this time weekly COVID-19 conference calls included GCHD, representation from the Governor's office, MDHHHS, emergency shelters, housing programs, community health program, emergency managers, Red Cross, and data shared from HUD, CDC, MCAH and MSHDA. Needs and concerns were discussed and strategies and resources were committed during the meetings. This established communication strategy will be used again if an infectious disease outbreak occurs. In addition to calls, newsletters, emails, and social media, communications are also distributed in an effort to limit infection disease outbreaks.

| 1D-8. | Coordinated Entry Standard Processes. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.o. | |

| | | Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system: |
|---|---|---|
| | 1. | can serve everybody regardless of where they are located within your CoC's geographic area; |
| | 2. | uses a standardized assessment process to achieve fair, equitable, and equal access to housing and services within your CoC; |
| | 3. | collects personal information in a trauma-informed way; and |
| | 4. | is updated at least annually using feedback received from participating projects and households that participated in coordinated entry. |

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) The CoC CES covers 100% of the geographic area using a no-wrong-door approach. CES has a widely published number and provides shelter and HARA information. Of those engaging in the homeless response system, 98% enter through the HARA, Homeless Help Line, or Street Outreach teams; 2) The CES utilizes two standardized assessment tools, CES Assessment Form (CESA), and the Vulnerability Index-Service Prioritization Decision Assistance Tool (VI-SPDAT), to collect responses from clients and determine placement on the Quality By-Name List (QBNL). This process ensures that those with the highest needs are prioritized. Scoring is used to match clients with the appropriate housing resources. Clients scoring 8 or higher are eligible for PSH, while those scoring between 4-7 qualify for RRH. Clients with scores below 4 are not placed on the QBNL but are assisted in navigating their own resources for resolution of their needs. Partners in the CES process have signed a Qualified Services Organization Business Associate Agreement (QSOBBA) to maintain confidentiality and compliance with standards. Agencies request referrals based on their available funding and program focus. The CES matches these requests with the next eligible individual on the QBNL who meets the criteria. The CES facilitates QBNL meetings that begin with discussions of the highest-scoring clients and proceed downward, ensuring that each client's case is reviewed thoroughly. During the meetings, client statuses may be updated if they provide current homeless verification, removed from the QBNL (when appropriate), or exited. If a client does not self-resolve within five days, the CESA or VI-SPDAT is re-administered. This approach allows the CES to maintain a transparent system that prioritizes high-need clients, ensures efficient referrals, and fosters collaboration; 3) The CE process collects information using a person-centered approach that is trauma-informed and respectful. This includes obtaining consent and collecting accurate information. Staff completing assessments are trained in cultural competence, trauma-informed care, and Mental Health First Aid; 4) The CoC's IST/CQI Committee reviews and updates annually the written policies and procedures for CES. The Committee is composed of project staff with first-hand experience in how the process functions. This Committee addresses feedback from clients on an ongoing basis via focus groups and the grievance process.

| | 1D-8a. | Coordinated Entry–Program Participant-Centered Approach. | |
| | | NOFO Section V.B.1.o. | |

| | | Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system: |
|---|---|---|
| | 1. | reaches people who are least likely to apply for homeless assistance in the absence of special outreach; |
| | 2. | prioritizes people most in need of assistance; |
| | 3. | ensures people most in need of assistance receive permanent housing in a timely manner, consistent with their needs and preferences; and |
| | 4. | takes steps to reduce burdens on people seeking assistance. |

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) The Flint/Genesee County Continuum of Care (CoC) Coordinated Entry Specialists work closely with both Street Outreach and PATH Outreach teams to engage individuals who are least likely to connect with the homeless response system. These outreach teams actively visit areas where individuals experiencing severe and persistent mental health challenges or SUD are likely to be found, working to increase awareness of the Coordinated Entry process for those in need. Through consistent outreach, these teams have built trust with some of the most challenging clients, helping them overcome fears and engage in the housing stabilization process. The PATH Outreach team, in particular, specializes in working with individuals who are the most difficult to engage.

2) The Flint/Genesee County CES utilizes a county-wide Quality By-Name List (QBNL), organized by acuity scores generated from the VI-SPDAT (Vulnerability Index-Service Prioritization Decision Assistance Tool). This ensures that clients with the highest needs are prioritized for housing. Those with higher acuity scores are placed at the top of the QBNL, while lower-scoring clients are placed further down the list.

3) The Flint/Genesee County CES assigns VI-SPDAT scores to clients based on individual needs, with scores determining their placement on the QBNL. Clients are listed in descending order of acuity to ensure those with the greatest needs receive priority. The PSH eligibility range on the VI-SPDAT is between 8 and 17, while the RRH eligibility range is between 4 and 7. The limited availability of safe and affordable housing stock in Genesee County presents significant challenges to placing clients in a timely manner. However, two new affordable housing developments were recently completed, with the capacity to house a total of eighteen individuals. As part of the CoC's client-centered approach, individuals are encouraged to find housing that aligns with their preferences to ensure long-term stability and satisfaction.

4) The CoC has implemented several improvements to reduce the burden on the client by reducing the complexity of engaging the homeless response system via the no-wrong-door approach. A significant starting point has been to devote more time on the front end explaining the process and making warm handoffs, which reduces some anxiety. We also let the clients know they have a voice in their housing stability journey.

| 1D-8b. | Coordinated Entry–Informing Program Participants about Their Rights and Remedies–Reporting Violations. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.o. | |

| | Describe in the field below how your CoC through its coordinated entry: |
|---|---|
| 1. | affirmatively markets housing and services provided within the CoC's geographic area and ensures it reaches all persons experiencing homelessness; |
| 2. | informs program participants of their rights and remedies available under federal, state, and local fair housing and civil rights laws; and |
| 3. | reports any conditions or actions that impede fair housing choice for current or prospective program participants to the jurisdiction(s) responsible for certifying consistency with the Consolidated Plan. |

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) The Flint/Genesee County CoC collaborates with the HARA, Street Outreach teams, and other CoC-affiliated agencies to distribute information about available housing and services. On average, the CoC receives 3,000 calls per month through the HARA and the Housing Crisis Help Line, reflecting the community's need for housing assistance. The CoC provides support to individuals in need without discrimination based on race, color, national origin, religion, sex, gender identity, sexual orientation, age, family status, or disability. Housing services are also marketed to individuals experiencing homelessness via flyers, websites, and social media.

2) The Flint/Genesee County CoC is deeply committed to upholding the principles of fair housing and protecting the civil rights of all program participants. To ensure that individuals are aware of their rights and legal protections under federal, state, and local fair housing laws, the CoC has implemented several measures. Participants receive educational materials that explain their fair housing rights and available remedies. Case managers also conduct one-on-one counseling sessions where fair housing issues are addressed, and participants are provided with warm handoffs to Legal Services of Eastern Michigan for specialized support from fair housing attorneys. Additionally, the CoC leverages workshops and training sessions to educate both program participants and the wider community on fair housing matters.

3) Fair housing is a top priority for the CoC. When barriers to fair housing choices are identified, either for current or prospective participants, the CoC follows a structured process to address these issues. The steps include documenting any conditions that raise fair housing concerns, gathering evidence and statements, and referring cases to Legal Services of Eastern Michigan if necessary. Notification within our jurisdiction occurs when needed, to ensure consistency with the Consolidated Plan. The CoC also collaborates with relevant entities to investigate and resolve issues, ensuring compliance with fair housing laws and promoting equal access to housing opportunities.

| 1D-9. | Advancing Racial Equity in Homelessness–Conducting Assessment. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.p. | |

| 1. | Has your CoC conducted a racial disparities assessment in the last 3 years? | Yes |
|---|---|---|
| 2. | Enter the date your CoC conducted its latest assessment for racial disparities. | 09/01/2022 |

| 1D-9a. | Using Data to Determine if Racial Disparities Exist in Your CoC's Provision or Outcomes of CoC Program-Funded Homeless Assistance. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.p. | |

| | Describe in the field below: | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | the data your CoC used to analyze whether any racial disparities are present in your CoC's provision or outcomes of CoC Program-funded homeless assistance; and | |

| | 2. | how your CoC analyzed the data to determine whether any racial disparities are present in your CoC's provision or outcomes of CoC Program-funded homeless assistance. |
|---|---|---|

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) The Flint/Genesee County CoC uses multiple data sources to identify disparities in housing services, including the HUD CoC Analysis Tool: Race and Ethnicity, HMIS data, Stella P, Coordinated Entry System (CES) records, the Housing Inventory Count (HIC), Point-in-Time (PIT) Count, and project Annual Performance Reports (APRs). These findings were further confirmed through the CoC's collaboration with the State of Michigan's Michigan Coalition to End Homelessness (MCTEH) and now with the ARC4 Justice team. The CoC continues to advance this work through the ARC4 Justice program, which focuses on addressing racial inequities in homelessness. The CoC's HMIS System Administrator is actively working with the ARC4 Justice project and local members to analyze data related to racial inequities in the community. Through a racial equity lens, the CoC identified several key concerns, including the time required to secure housing referrals, landlord rejections and evictions, and disparities in clients assigned to Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) versus Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH). While only a few specific projects initially exhibited racial inequalities, ongoing analysis remains critical.

2) Utilizing the data described in question one, the CoC was able to determine that racial disparities are present within our CoC. For example, the CoC found that BIPOC individuals make up 20% of households in Flint/Genesee County but account for 56.6% of the PIT Count. This data reveals that BIPOC households are 3.2 times more likely to experience homelessness. Additionally, the rate of return to homelessness is significantly higher for Black households at 64%, compared to 31% for white households, highlighting the need for targeted interventions.

| 1D-9b. | Implemented Strategies to Prevent or Eliminate Racial Disparities. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.p | |

| | Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the strategies your CoC is using to prevent or eliminate racial disparities. | |
|---|---|---|

| 1. | Are your CoC's board and decisionmaking bodies representative of the population served in the CoC? | Yes |
|---|---|---|
| 2. | Did your CoC identify steps it will take to help the CoC board and decisionmaking bodies better reflect the population served in the CoC? | Yes |
| 3. | Is your CoC expanding outreach in your CoC's geographic areas with higher concentrations of underrepresented groups? | Yes |
| 4. | Does your CoC have communication, such as flyers, websites, or other materials, inclusive of underrepresented groups? | Yes |
| 5. | Is your CoC training staff working in the homeless services sector to better understand racism and the intersection of racism and homelessness? | Yes |
| 6. | Is your CoC establishing professional development opportunities to identify and invest in emerging leaders of different races and ethnicities in the homelessness sector? | Yes |
| 7. | Does your CoC have staff, committees, or other resources charged with analyzing and addressing racial disparities related to homelessness? | Yes |
| 8. | Is your CoC educating organizations, stakeholders, boards of directors for local and national nonprofit organizations working on homelessness on the topic of creating greater racial and ethnic diversity? | Yes |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 9. | Did your CoC review its coordinated entry processes to understand their impact on people of different races and ethnicities experiencing homelessness? | Yes |
| 10. | Is your CoC collecting data to better understand the pattern of program use for people of different races and ethnicities in its homeless services system? | Yes |
| 11. | Is your CoC conducting additional research to understand the scope and needs of different races or ethnicities experiencing homelessness? | Yes |
| | Other:(limit 500 characters) | |
| 12. | | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1D-9c. | Plan for Ongoing Evaluation of System-level Processes, Policies, and Procedures for Racial Equity. | |
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.p. | |

Describe in the field below your CoC's plan for ongoing evaluation of system-level processes, policies, and procedures for racial equity.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Based upon lessons learned from the CoC's previous participation in the HUD SNAPS CE Equity Demo and other technical assistance, the CoC has initiated a plan to further address the prevention or elimination of racial disparities through all CoC processes, policies, and procedures. Activities undertaken include:
- Ensuring BIPOC community leaders are active participants throughout the structure of the CoC including the general membership, Governance Council, and Executive Committee.
- Empowering Governance Council and Executive Committee in the review of existing policies and procedures such as CoC Governance Charter and Coordinated Approach System to identify revisions necessary to remove barriers and promote equity.
- Supporting the Executive Committee's development of a new policy regarding the engagement and compensation of PWLE to ensure their ability to participate at all CoC tables.
- Providing technical assistance and training on specific diversity, equity, and inclusion topics including cultural competence, implicit bias, trauma informed care, micro-aggressions, gender identity, generational diversity, disability, and white supremacy culture.
- Participating in the State of Michigan's Assessment & Prioritization Pilot Project, working with four other Michigan communities and ARC4 Justice Anti-Racism Center for Justice and Transformative Change to develop an equitable common assessment tool to replace the VI-SPDAT which research has shown is unreliable and perpetuates racial inequities.
- Requesting HMIS administrator to update current assessment/registration forms to reflect new OMB racial and ethnic data standards and provide training on person-level data collection methodology for all system users.

Evaluation of the plan's implementation will occur through a variety of means including:
- Tracking member demographics and meeting attendance.
- Documenting the plan and results of annual review processes.
- Providing written schedule of training opportunities and participation.
- Demonstrating the adoption of a new assessment tool and prioritization procedure.
- Ongoing monitoring of the quality of HMIS demographic data.
- Conducting additional focus groups with individuals within various points or programs in the service delivery system.

| 1D-9d. | Plan for Using Data to Track Progress on Preventing or Eliminating Racial Disparities. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.p. | |

| | Describe in the field below: |
|---|---|
| 1. | the measures your CoC plans to use to continuously track progress on preventing or eliminating racial disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance; and |
| 2. | the tools your CoC plans to use to continuously track progress on preventing or eliminating racial disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance. |

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) The Flint/Genesee County CoC has the following measures in place to track the progress of preventing and eliminating disparities. They include but are not limited to data collection and analysis, performance metrics, equity audits, client feedback, comparative analysis, policy reviews, partnerships and training and awareness. These measures will help the CoC assess progress in addressing disparities in homeless assistance and work towards more equitable outcomes for all individuals and families experiencing homelessness. Data can be filtered by multiple parameters to create custom reports readily accessible for ongoing comparison. Annual completion of the Racial Disparities Tool, Equal Access Self-Assessment, and progress on actions in the Commitment to Further equity help gauge progress.

2) The Flint/Genesee County CoC employs various tools that include data management such as System Performance Measures; Stella P; HUD HIC and PIT Count; Coordinated Entry System utilization and outcomes; census data; national, state, and local data; equity assessment tools; client surveys and listening sessions; partners on community mapping comparative statistical data; qualitative research methods; and community engagement platforms. The CoC data, however, cannot stand alone in tracking progress on preventing and eliminating inequities that are influenced by broader systems. Meaningful cross-system data sharing with mainstream resources and other institutions is crucial to understanding and addressing disparities. Partnering with justice systems, health and behavioral health, foster care, law enforcement, and non-HUD funded providers such as those offering crisis response to periodically assess system effectiveness and progress may be challenging but is essential to success. External, publicly available data like that offered through State HDIS, employment development, or population characteristics, offer baselines and context for CoC tracking and analysis.

| 1D-10. | Involving Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness in Service Delivery and Decisionmaking–CoC's Outreach Efforts. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.q. | |

| Describe in the field below your CoC's outreach efforts (e.g., social media announcements, targeted outreach) to engage those with lived experience of homelessness in leadership roles and decisionmaking processes. |
|---|

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

The Flint/Genesee CoC prioritizes feedback from PWLE and therefore participates in a variety of outreach efforts. The most productive outreach strategy involves seeking out referrals from local service providers. The service providers have been able to develop trusted relationships with PWLE and help connect them to the opportunity to participate in CoC efforts. The recently approved, PWLE payment policy will support the additional onboarding of individuals experiencing homelessness. This will continue to be a priority moving into the next funding year. In addition to "warm hand-off," social media, flyers, and focused outreach of individuals via other non-traditional partners also occur.

| 1D-10a. | Active CoC Participation of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.q. | |

You must upload the Lived Experience Support Letter attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Enter in the chart below the number of people with lived experience who currently participate in your CoC under the four categories listed:

| | Level of Active Participation | Number of People with Lived Experience Within the Last 7 Years or Current Program Participant | Number of People with Lived Experience Coming from Unsheltered Situations |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Routinely included in the decisionmaking processes related to addressing homelessness. | 2 | 0 |
| 2. | Participate on CoC committees, subcommittees, or workgroups. | 1 | 0 |
| 3. | Included in the development or revision of your CoC's local competition rating factors. | 1 | 0 |
| 4. | Included in the development or revision of your CoC's coordinated entry process. | 1 | 0 |

| 1D-10b. | Professional Development and Employment Opportunities for Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.q. | |

Describe in the field below how your CoC or CoC membership organizations provide professional development and employment opportunities to individuals with lived experience of homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The Flint/Genesee County CoC is committed to creating a supportive and inclusive environment where everyone has the chance to thrive. The CoC member organizations provide several avenues for professional development and/or employment opportunities. The CoC uses internships, continuing education and skills-based training. It also provides professional development and employment opportunities to individuals with lived experience of homelessness. The CoC has partnerships with organizations such as GST Works!, MRS, Mott Community College, St. Luke's, Carriage Town Ministries, Catholic Charities, Flint Healthcare Employment Opportunities Program, and MADE Institute, that offer training programs tailored to the unique needs and aspirations of individuals with lived experience. These programs encompass a range of skills, including job readiness, communication, and leadership development. Individual service providers also provide opportunities to individuals with lived experience of homelessness by providing on-site trainings such as financial literacy, domestic violence prevention, resume building, job application completion, mock interviews, health and wellness, and parenting.

The CoC collaborates with local educational institutions and vocational training centers to ensure access to quality training. CoC members offer the following: mentorship programs, employment partnerships, resume building and interview coaching: job placement services, entrepreneurship support: Advocacy and Leadership. By offering these comprehensive professional development and employment opportunities, the CoC aims to empower individuals with lived experience to achieve their career goals, regain independence, and make positive contributions to our community. The CoC is committed to creating a supportive and inclusive environment where everyone in the community has the chance to thrive.

| 1D-10c. | Routinely Gathering Feedback and Addressing Challenges of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.q. | |

| | Describe in the field below: |
|---|---|
| 1. | how your CoC gathers feedback from people experiencing homelessness; |
| 2. | how often your CoC gathers feedback from people experiencing homelessness; |
| 3. | how your CoC gathers feedback from people who received assistance through the CoC Program or ESG Program; |
| 4. | how often your CoC gathers feedback from people who have received assistance through the CoC Program or ESG Program; and |
| 5. | steps your CoC has taken to address challenges raised by people with lived experience of homelessness. |

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) Obtaining feedback and addressing challenges of individuals with lived experience of homelessness is critically important to the Flint/Genesee CoC. This feedback is obtained a variety of ways including outreach to shelters, program case managers, meal programs, and street-based services. The established outreach team works tirelessly to build trusting, supportive relationships with residents, allowing the outreach team to solicit their feedback in a respectful manner. Anonymous surveys are also made available at shelter facilities in an effort to identify areas of potential improvement.

2) The CoC gathers feedback on an ongoing basis from people experiencing homelessness. This feedback is reviewed by the CoC on an annual basis.

3) To ensure the CoC captures the experiences and opinions of those who have received assistance though our CoC or ESG programs, the CoC administers a post-services survey. These surveys are sent electronically, conducted over the phone, or via face-to-face interviews. These opportunities allow the recipients to provide feedback on their experience with case management, housing placements, and supportive services. The CoC also organizes listening sessions to delve deeper into experiences and to gather qualitative insights. In addition, the CoC engages recipients via periodic check-ins to obtain real time feedback and address any emerging concerns.

4) The CoC gathers feedback on an ongoing basis from people who have received assistance through the CoC program or ESG Program. This feedback is reviewed by the CoC on an annual basis.

5) When individuals with lived experience of homelessness raise challenges or concerns, the CoC takes immediate and comprehensive action. The steps taken by the Flint/Genesee County CoC to address challenges raised by people with lived experience are a) collect specific information about the challenge, b) determine if it's systemic or agency related, c) make sure CoC leadership is aware, d) discuss with community and e) explore remedies to adjust. An example of this would be concerns raised by individuals experiencing homelessness regarding arrests that were occurring for trespassing. This concern is currently being explored by the Emergency Response Committee and they are working to identify opportunities to engage law enforcement to address this issue.

| 1D-11. | Increasing Affordable Housing Supply. | |
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.s. | |

| | Describe in the field below at least two steps your CoC has taken in the past 12 months to engage city, county, or state governments that represent your CoC's geographic area regarding the following: |
| 1. | reforming zoning and land use policies to permit more housing development; and |
| 2. | reducing regulatory barriers to housing development. |

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) Since the adoption of the city's new Zoning Ordinance in 2022, which Flint/Genesee CoC members supported and advocated for, the CoC continues engaging with City officials and staff regarding continued improvements to housing policies. The CoC has a great working relationship with city staff and receives regular updates on their work to improve efficiency for housing development permitting processes. Encouraging the requirement of affordable housing units in new developments is a policy CoC members strongly advocate for and encourage city council to implement. Further, the new Collaborative Applicant, GFHC, has a CoC dedicated staff member who also serves on the City's Building Board of Appeals, working to assist homeowners in rehabilitating their houses for habitation instead of demolishing them.

2) Regarding regulatory barriers to housing development, one GFHC CoC staff serves on the Steering Committee for the Statewide Housing Partnership advising the state on ways to better allocate funding to the region. That staff person also serves on the statewide Equity Advisory Committee which works to advise the MSHDA on opportunities to become more equitable to renters, homeowners, and developers alike. Reducing barriers to housing development is a large topic of discussion for that group. This and similar topics are brought to the CoC for updates and further discussion periodically and when support is needed.

# 1E. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking–Local Competition

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at  CoC Program Competition  to assist you in completing the CoC Application.  Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
   - FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1E-1. | Web Posting of Advance Public Notice of Your CoC's Local Competition Deadline, Scoring and Rating Criteria. | |
| | NOFO Section V.B.2.a. and 2.g. | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Enter the date your CoC published its submission deadline and scoring and rating criteria for New Project applicants to submit their project applications for your CoC's local competition. | 08/23/2024 |
| 2. | Enter the date your CoC published its submission deadline and scoring and rating criteria for Renewal Project applicants to submit their project applications for your CoC's local competition. | 08/23/2024 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1E-2. | Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in Its Local Competition.  We use the response to this question and the response in Question 1E-2a along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below. | |
| | NOFO Section V.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., 2.d., and 2.e. | |

| |
|---|
| You must upload the Local Competition Scoring Tool attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen. |
| Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ranked and selected project applications during your local competition: |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Established total points available for each project application type. | Yes |
| 2. | At least 33 percent of the total points were based on objective criteria for the project application (e.g., cost effectiveness, timely draws, utilization rate, match, leverage), performance data, type of population served (e.g., DV, youth, Veterans, chronic homelessness), or type of housing proposed (e.g., PSH, RRH). | Yes |
| 3. | At least 20 percent of the total points were based on system performance criteria for the project application (e.g., exits to permanent housing destinations, retention of permanent housing, length of time homeless, returns to homelessness). | Yes |
| 4. | Provided points for projects that addressed specific severe barriers to housing and services. | Yes |
| 5. | Used data from comparable databases to score projects submitted by victim service providers. | Yes |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 6. | Provided points for projects based on the degree the projects identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers. | | Yes |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1E-2a. | Scored Project Forms for One Project from Your CoC's Local Competition.  We use the response to this question and Question 1E-2. along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below. | |
| | NOFO Section V.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d. | |

| |
|---|
| You must upload the Scored Forms for One Project attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen. |
| Complete the chart below to provide details of your CoC's local competition: |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | What were the maximum number of points available for the renewal project form(s)? | 210 |
| 2. | How many renewal projects did your CoC submit? | 11 |
| 3. | What renewal project type did most applicants use? | PH-PSH |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1E-2b. | Addressing Severe Barriers in the Local Project Review and Ranking Process. | |
| | NOFO Section V.B.2.d. | |

| | |
|---|---|
| Describe in the field below: | |
| 1. | how your CoC analyzed data regarding each project that has successfully housed program participants in permanent housing; |
| 2. | how your CoC analyzed data regarding how long it takes to house people in permanent housing; |
| 3. | how your CoC considered the specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities experienced by program participants preventing rapid placement in permanent housing or the ability to maintain permanent housing when your CoC ranked and selected projects; and |
| 4. | the severe barriers your CoC considered. |

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) The Flint/ Genesee CoC analyzes data to determine if the projects successfully housed the program participants in permanent housing. The data used primary for this includes HMIS and Annual Performance Reports. Community partners were also able to provide feedback and insights for each project. Additional conversations occurred regarding priorities within the community regarding vulnerable populations and true needs.

2) The CoC used the APR length of time to project move-in to support scoring of projects and analyze how long it takes to move people into permanent housing. Conversations also occurred regarding challenges associated with moving individuals into permanent housing such as domestic violence, sexual assault and childhood abuse. Other considerations included the severity of mental challenges of program's clients.

3) The specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities experienced by program participants preventing rapid replacement in permanent housing or the ability to maintain permanent housing is taken into consideration when CoC projects are ranked and selected. It is important to note, that conversations around client severity of need occur on a regular basis via the monthly QBNL meetings. Considerations include barriers and strategies of the best approach to move the client to permanent housing. The acuity score is the leading indicator utilized to determine the clients with the most severe needs and discussion around placement. This  information along with overall performance are considered in the rating and ranking process.

4) The severe barriers our CoC considered included the vulnerabilities that lie within our community including: history of victimization/abuse, domestic violence, sexual assault, childhood abuse, criminal histories, chronic homelessness, low or no income, and current or past substance abuse. Priorities that rose to the top includes those experiencing domestic violence and the LGBTQIA+ community.

| | 1E-3. | Advancing Racial Equity through Participation of Over-Represented Populations in the Local Competition Review and Ranking Process. | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | NOFO Section V.B.2.e. | |

| | | Describe in the field below: |
|---|---|---|
| | 1. | how your CoC used input from persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, to determine the rating factors used to review project applications; |
| | 2. | how your CoC included persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population in the review, selection, and ranking process; and |
| | 3. | how your CoC rated and ranked projects based on the degree that proposed projects identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and steps the projects took or will take to eliminate the identified barriers. |

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) The Flint/Genesee County CoC included input from those that are over-represented in our homeless response system. BIPOC are over-represented 3.2 times than that of their white counterparts representing only 20% of the county's residents. Over half of the Rating and Ranking committee were BIPOC individuals and were responsible for reviewing, selecting, and ranking projects in our local CoC's competition.

2) The Flint/Genesee County CoC determined it would utilize the rating factors found in HUD rating and ranking tool as they had done previously, with special emphasis being placed upon the equity factors included, such as BIPOC representation in Board of Directors, Management, and Leadership Positions; processes for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience, and internal policies that have an equitable lens. Additionally, persons of diverse races and ethnicities participated in the prioritization of the projects. Of those that voted, 58% were BIPOC.

3) The CoC Rating and Ranking process took into consideration proposed projects that identified barriers to participation, specifically for those individuals of different races and ethnicities. During project discussions, conversations occurred regarding how the proposed projects acknowledged barriers and also provided solutions to eliminate the identified barriers. Those projects with clear mechanisms to address disparities were ranked higher than projects that did not address those disparities.

| 1E-4. | Reallocation–Reviewing Performance of Existing Projects. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.2.f. | |

| | | Describe in the field below: |
|---|---|---|
| | 1. | your CoC's reallocation process, including how your CoC determined which projects are candidates for reallocation because they are low performing or less needed; |
| | 2. | whether your CoC identified any low performing or less needed projects through the process described in element 1 of this question during your CoC's local competition this year; |
| | 3. | whether your CoC reallocated any low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year; and |
| | 4. | why your CoC did not reallocate low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year, if applicable. |

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) New this year, the Flint/Genesee CoC has established a formal Reallocation policy that can be found on geneseehousing.org that details the Reallocation process. Flint/Genesee County CoC acknowledges that preserving existing renewal projects for the community provides continuity of care for project participants and strengthens the system infrastructure only when the quality of those projects is managed effectively and efficiently. Therefore, the intent of the Flint/Genesee County CoC is to maintain a Reallocation policy that is in alignment with HUD CoC Competition(s) requirements, the HEARTH Act, and prioritizes spending and project performance to improve overall system performance, ensure effective use of funds, and better respond to community needs. Accordingly, the CoC will ensure all projects meet performance and spending benchmarks while accounting for implementation barriers that may hinder a projects ability to fully utilize grant funds during the performance period as part of the annual funding review process. The policy details considerations for reallocation for finance and spending, voluntary reallocation, transition grants, and involuntary reallocation.

2) The Flint/Genesee County CoC reviews projects that tend to have the lowest rank scores. One of which is the lone project that serves the homeless youth in our community. The clients in these programs have unique barriers that the community is continuing to determine how to address. This program had no client grievances or complaints and is valuable to our community. Therefore, the CoC determined not to reallocate the lower scoring project.

3) The Flint/Genesee County CoC did not reallocate low scoring projects because the projects are valuable to the community serving unique clients.

4) The Flint/Genesee County CoC did not reallocate funds due to recognition of unique factors of these projects and our specific community needs.

| 1E-4a. | Reallocation Between FY 2019 and FY 2024. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.2.f. | |

| | Did your CoC cumulatively reallocate at least 20 percent of its ARD between FY 2019 and FY 2024? | No |

| 1E-5. | Projects Rejected/Reduced–Notification Outside of e-snaps. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.2.g. | |
| | You must upload the Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen. | |

| 1. | Did your CoC reject any project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition? | No |
|---|---|---|
| 2. | Did your CoC reduce funding for any project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition? | Yes |
| 3. | Did your CoC inform applicants why your CoC rejected or reduced their project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition? | Yes |

| 4. | If you selected Yes for element 1 or element 2 of this question, enter the date your CoC notified applicants that their project applications were being rejected or reduced, in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2024, 06/27/2024, and 06/28/2024, then you must enter 06/28/2024. | 10/11/2024 |
|---|---|---|

| 1E-5a. | Projects Accepted–Notification Outside of e-snaps. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.2.g. | |
| | You must upload the Notification of Projects Accepted attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen. | |

| | Enter the date your CoC notified project applicants that their project applications were accepted and ranked on the New and Renewal Priority Listings in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2024, 06/27/2024, and 06/28/2024, then you must enter 06/28/2024. | 10/11/2024 |
|---|---|---|

| 1E-5b. | Local Competition Selection Results for All Projects. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.2.g. | |
| | You must upload the Local Competition Selection Results attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen. | |

| | Does your attachment include:<br>1. Project Names;<br>2. Project Scores;<br>3. Project Status–Accepted, Rejected, Reduced Reallocated, Fully Reallocated;<br>4. Project Rank;<br>5. Amount Requested from HUD; and<br>6. Reallocated Funds +/-. | Yes |
|---|---|---|

| 1E-5c. | Web Posting of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application 2 Days Before CoC Program Competition Application Submission Deadline. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.2.g. and 24 CFR 578.95. | |
| | You must upload the Web Posting–CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen. | |

| | Enter the date your CoC posted the CoC-approved Consolidated Application on the CoC's website or partner's website–which included:<br>1. the CoC Application; and<br>2. Priority Listings for Reallocation forms and all New, Renewal, and Replacement Project Listings. | 10/28/2024 |
|---|---|---|

| 1E-5d. | Notification to Community Members and Key Stakeholders by Email that the CoC-Approved Consolidated Application is Posted on Website. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.2.g. | |
| | You must upload the Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen. | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | Enter the date your CoC notified community members and key stakeholders that the CoC-approved Consolidated Application was posted on your CoC's website or partner's website. | 10/28/2024 |

# 2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Implementation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at  CoC Program Competition  to assist you in completing the CoC Application.  Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO)  Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
   - FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

| 2A-1. | HMIS Vendor. | |
|---|---|---|
| | Not Scored–For Information Only | |

| | Enter the name of the HMIS Vendor your CoC is currently using. | Wellsky |
|---|---|---|

| 2A-2. | HMIS Implementation Coverage Area. | |
|---|---|---|
| | Not Scored–For Information Only | |

| | Select from dropdown menu your CoC's HMIS coverage area. | Statewide |
|---|---|---|

| 2A-3. | HIC Data Submission in HDX. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.3.a. | |

| | Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2024 HIC data into HDX. | 04/23/2024 |
|---|---|---|

| 2A-4. | Comparable Databases for DV Providers–CoC and HMIS Lead Supporting Data Collection and Data Submission by Victim Service Providers. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.3.b. | |

| | In the field below: | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | describe actions your CoC and HMIS Lead have taken to ensure DV housing and service providers in your CoC collect data in HMIS comparable databases; and | |
| 2. | state whether DV housing and service providers in your CoC are using a HUD-compliant comparable database–compliant with the FY 2024 HMIS Data Standards. | |

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) The YWCA, a key partner in the CoC, uses Empower DB, an HMIS-comparable database, to collect and report de-identified aggregate data. Empower DB complies with both the Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) and HUD reporting requirements. VAWA prohibits victim service providers from sharing identifying information, ensuring the safety and privacy of survivors.

The Flint/Genesee County CoC relies on de-identified aggregate data provided by the YWCA and consults with YWCA advocates to develop strategies that address the most pressing needs of clients. Coordinated Entry teams work closely with the YWCA to protect client identities and ensure a seamless transition to housing stability. This process is aligned with the HMIS Data Standards.

2) The CoC is fully compliant with the 2023 HMIS Data Standards, meeting all security and privacy requirements established by HUD, VAWA, and other federal, state, and local regulations. The CoC collects all required universal and project-specific data elements and ensures timely production of required reports, including the Annual Performance Report (APR) and the Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER).

| 2A-5. | Bed Coverage Rate–Using HIC, HMIS Data–CoC Merger Bonus Points. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.3.c. and V.B.7. | |

| Using the 2024 HDX Competition Report we issued your CoC, enter data in the chart below by project type: |
|---|

| Project Type | Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current Non-VSP Beds [Column F of HDX Report] | Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current VSP Beds [Column K of HDX Report] | Total Year-Round, Current, HMIS Beds and VSP Beds in an HMIS Comparable Database [Column M of HDX Report] | HMIS and Comparable Database Coverage Rate [Column O of HDX Report] |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Emergency Shelter (ES) beds | 257 | 257 | 224 | 87.20% |
| 2. Safe Haven (SH) beds | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% |
| 3. Transitional Housing (TH) beds | 97 | 97 | 49 | 50.50% |
| 4. Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds | 26 | 26 | 26 | 100.00% |
| 5. Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) beds | 36 | 36 | 36 | 100.00% |
| 6. Other Permanent Housing (OPH) beds | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% |

| 2A-5a. | Partial Credit for Bed Coverage Rates at or Below 84.99 for Any Project Type in Question 2A-5. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.3.c. | |

| | For each project type with a bed coverage rate that is at or below 84.99 percent in question 2A-5, describe: |
|---|---|
| 1. | steps your CoC will take over the next 12 months to increase the bed coverage rate to at least 85 percent for that project type; and |
| 2. | how your CoC will implement the steps described to increase bed coverage to at least 85 percent. |

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

Transitional Housing (TH):
1) The CoC will continue to take the following steps to increase TH bed coverage to at least 85 percent:
a) actively engage with the TH programs; b) educate the transitional housing agencies on the benefits of increasing bed coverage rate; c) provide a support system and training for TH program agency staff; and d) utilize the HMIS Administrator to resolve technical issues and ensure data is accurately collected. Additional strategies that will be considered for increasing bed coverage rates include using technology to simplify check-in and eliminating duplicate data entry.

2)The agency directors and HMIS Administrator continue to engage in dialogue to explore HMIS participation, and develop a project workplan, and identify key milestone and metrics for success in order to increase the bed coverage rate over 85%.

| 2A-6. | Longitudinal System Analysis (LSA) Submission in HDX 2.0. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.3.d. | |
| | You must upload your CoC's FY 2024 HDX Competition Report to the 4B. Attachments Screen. | |

| | |
|---|---|
| Did your CoC submit at least two usable LSA data files to HUD in HDX 2.0 by January 24, 2024, 11:59 p.m. EST? | Yes |

# 2B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at  CoC Program Competition  to assist you in completing the CoC Application.  Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO)  Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
   - FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

| 2B-1. | PIT Count  Date. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.4.a | |

| | Enter the date your CoC conducted its 2024 PIT count. | 01/31/2024 |
|---|---|---|

| 2B-2. | PIT Count Data–HDX Submission Date. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.4.a | |

| | Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2024 PIT count data in HDX. | 05/10/2024 |
|---|---|---|

| 2B-3. | PIT Count–Effectively Counting Youth in Your CoC's Most Recent Unsheltered PIT Count. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.4.b. | |

| | Describe in the field below how your CoC: | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | engaged unaccompanied youth and youth serving organizations in your CoC's most recent PIT count planning process; | |
| 2. | worked with unaccompanied youth and youth serving organizations to select locations where homeless youth are most likely to be identified during your CoC's most recent PIT count planning process; and | |
| 3. | included youth experiencing homelessness as counters during your CoC's most recent unsheltered PIT count. | |

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) The contracted street outreach team operated by REACH Resources Services (REACH) is the provider in Genesee County serving the unaccompanied youth population.  They are a contract holder with both the state and federal government Department of Health and Human Services to provide runaway and homeless youth services.  The coordinator for this team has been the principal lead on training volunteers and other community members doing PIT counts for the past seven years.  In addition, he coordinates the teams working on the PIT count night to reach out into the areas where the homeless congregate and other locations across the county where the team has concerns for where there may be those experiencing homelessness and staying "under the radar."

2) The team is the local youth serving agency (REACH).  Prior to the count night, the agency worked with the county's McKinney-Vento (MV) Coordinator to help identify those locations across the county where the MV school liaisons have identified homeless students and families.

3) In past years, the youth residing in the runaway and homeless youth transitional living program have participated in the PIT count night activities.  Since COVID-19 these youth have not been reengaged.  The goal has been to get them to reengage with the team as a mechanism to help identify youth for the PIT Count.

| 2B-4. | PIT Count–Methodology Change–CoC Merger Bonus Points. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.5.a and V.B.7.c. | |

| | In the field below: |
|---|---|
| 1. | describe any changes your CoC made to your sheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2023 and 2024, if applicable; |
| 2. | describe any changes your CoC made to your unsheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2023 and 2024, if applicable; |
| 3. | describe whether your CoC's PIT count was affected by people displaced either from a natural disaster or seeking short-term shelter or housing assistance who recently arrived in your CoCs' geographic; and |
| 4. | describe how the changes affected your CoC's PIT count results; or |
| 5. | state "Not Applicable" if there were no changes or if you did not conduct an unsheltered PIT count in 2024. |

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) The Flint/Genesee County CoC followed the same methodology as in previous years for the PIT count, with a few key updates. This year, volunteers were added to support the Street Outreach teams, enhancing data collection efforts. Additional volunteers were also assigned to assist with counts at soup kitchens over the two days following the PIT count to accurately determine where individuals had slept on the night of the count.

2) Another significant change was the expansion of the warming center, which operated from two locations this year. Although the beds from both locations were counted together, this differed from previous years when only a single location was used. A major data quality improvement involved submitting the PIT data using the HDX 2.0 platform instead of the previous HDX 1 system. This transition required several adjustments to the submission timeline, resulting in multiple changes to the submission date.

3) The Flint/Genesee CoC PIT Count was not affected by people displaced by a natural disaster.

4 & 5) Not applicable

# 2C. System Performance

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at  CoC Program Competition  to assist you in completing the CoC Application.  Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO)  Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
    - FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

| 2C-1. | Reducing the Number of First Time Homeless–Risk Factors Your CoC Uses. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.5.b. | |

| | In the field below: |
|---|---|
| 1. | describe how your CoC determined the risk factors to identify persons experiencing homelessness for the first time; |
| 2. | describe your CoC's strategies to address individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless; and |
| 3. | provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness for the first time |

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) The Flint/Genesee CoC leveraged its existing partners' (HARA, ESG prevention recipients, MDHHS, Legal Services of Michigan, Street Outreach, HMIS Administrator, and local Mental Health Agencies) experiences as well as research conducted by national organizations, such as the National Alliance to End Homelessness, to identify risk factors to homelessness. Those risks include: low-income families, individuals who are facing eviction and/or utility shut-offs due to non-payment, those with mental or physical disabilities, individuals experiencing domestic violence, and/or past evictions.

2) The Flint/Genesee County CoC utilizes several strategies to address reducing first time homelessness. Activities include advertising eviction diversion programs, prevention resources, and landlord education. Special emphasis has been placed on landlord engagement and education as a mechanism to prevent evictions. Within our CoC, an Eviction Diversion workgroup is in place that is actively working on simplifying court processes to prevent more evictions, and ensure clients are referred to Coordinated Entry for prevention services. Additionally, given the importance of income for homeless prevention, information is widely shared about local job training programs and employment opportunities. Finally, the street outreach team is in the community seven days each week providing education and resources to community partners and those on the streets.  During those engagements, people can identify their at-risk status.

3) The HMIS Program Administrator & CE Specialist as well as the Executive Committee are responsible for overseeing the CoC's strategy to reduce the number of individuals and families.

| 2C-1a. | Impact of Displaced Persons on Number of First Time Homeless. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.5.b | |

| | Was your CoC's Number of First Time Homeless [metric 5.2] affected by the number of persons seeking short-term shelter or housing assistance displaced due to: | |
|---|---|---|

| 1. | natural disasters? | No |
|---|---|---|
| 2. | having recently arrived in your CoC's geographic area? | No |

| 2C-2. | Reducing Length of Time Homeless–CoC's Strategy. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.5.c. | |

| | In the field below: | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and persons in families remain homeless; | |
| 2. | describe how your CoC identifies and houses individuals and persons in families with the longest lengths of time homeless; and | |
| 3. | provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless. | |

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) The Flint/Genesee County CoC has adopted Housing First and Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) strategies to reduce the duration of homelessness. The Coordinated Entry System (CES) utilizes the VI-SPDAT, TAY-VI-SPDAT, and F-VI-SPDAT to identify and prioritize the most vulnerable clients for Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH). The Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI) Subcommittee is responsible for identifying and exploring possible solutions to reduce the length of time individuals experience homelessness. The Coordinated Entry Specialist (CES) and HMIS System Administrator support this effort by providing relevant data to inform the CQI's recommendations to the Governance Council (GC) and guide strategic improvements. In 2023, the length of time individuals remained homeless increased from 50 days in 2022 to 56.9 days in 2023. Several factors contributed to this rise, including a temporary halt in the issuance of Housing Choice Vouchers (HCV) and a shortage of safe, affordable housing. Additionally, some landlords were unwilling to participate in HCV programs or accept third-party funding sources. For unaccompanied minors who are under the age of 18, the Reach program is an immediate emergency shelter for youth ages 10 through 17. Youth ages 18 to 25 may be able to access the transitional living program and/or rapid rehousing program through the completion of an application to get the process for intake started. Neither are emergency programs, but access is typically between 3 and 30 days depending on circumstances.

2) The Flint/Genesee County CoC utilizes data from the Coordinated Entry's QBNL (Quality By Name List), case conferencing and HMIS to identify clients with the longest lengths of time homelessness. Housing agencies request referrals from the QBNL, and clients are referred to the agency according to their acuity score. Once a client is referred the housing search begins and housing placement occurs.

3) The Coordinated Entry Specialist and HMIS Administrator of Metro Community Development are responsible for overseeing the COC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 2C-3. | Successful Permanent Housing Placement or Retention –CoC's Strategy. | |
| | NOFO Section V.B.5.d. | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | In the field below: | |
| 1. | describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in emergency shelter, safe havens, transitional housing, and rapid rehousing exit to permanent housing destinations; | |
| 2. | describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in permanent housing projects retain their permanent housing or exit to permanent housing destinations; and | |
| 3. | provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and families exit to or retain permanent housing. | |

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) The Flint/Genesee County CoC utilizes case managers in the emergency shelters and transitional housing programs to assess the needs of the clients who are placed on the QBNL. Those who qualify utilize Rapid Rehousing programs to exit to permanent housing destinations. Clients who are in permanent housing projects receive supportive services that ensure that they have access to eviction diversion programs, bill pay programs and are connected to mental health housing counselors. Once the individual has been verified homeless, a VI-SPDAT is done and they are immediately referred to Emergency Shelter or Transitional Housing. Rapid-Rehousing programs are used to quickly move individuals and families who qualify from Emergency Shelter and Transitional Housing into permanent housing destinations.

2) The Coordinated Entry Specialist works closely with the shelters, RRH case managers, Youth Transitional Case Managers, HCV Manager at the HARA, HCV manager at The Flint Housing Commission, PSH case managers and affordable housing agencies to identify resource availability. Once referrals have been requested from the HCV, EHV and PSH, case managers work tirelessly to ensure clients are leased up and moved into permanent affordable housing. Housing stock that is safe and affordable in Genesee County has become a major barrier for getting clients moved into permanent housing quickly. For those residing in the RRS rapid rehousing program, permanent housing is the end goal.   This is the only program that serves youth and young adults with children. The case manager works with each youth to develop an individualized treatment plan and then meets twice monthly to assess progress towards those goals.  Part of these case management meetings is to determine what actions need to be taken and what resources the staff need to provide to assist the youth to achieve those goals.  Aftercare is provided for up to six months after discharge to assure that the skills needed to retain their housing are in place.

3) The Coordinated Entry Specialist and HMIS Admin at Metro Community Development is responsible for overseeing the Flint/Genesee County CoC's strategy to increase the rate of individuals and families to attain permanent affordable housing.

| | 2C-4. | Reducing Returns to Homelessness–CoC's Strategy. | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | NOFO Section V.B.5.e. | |

| | | In the field below: |
|---|---|---|
| | 1. | describe your CoC's strategy to identify individuals and families who return to homelessness; |
| | 2. | describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate that individuals and families return to homelessness; and |
| | 3. | provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate individuals and persons in families return to homelessness. |

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) The Flint/Genesee County CoC Coordinated Entry team, the HARA and shelters utilize the Street Outreach Teams, HMIS data and case managers to identify clients who return to homelessness. These clients are deemed homeless and placed on the QBNL in order of their acuity score.

2) The Flint/Genesee County CoC's strategy to reduce the rate of additional returns is to work with our programs, communicate through our monthly QBNL case conferencing meetings and identify trends based on HMIS data and feedback from Outreach Teams. CoC service providers also ensure additional wrap around services are available so that participants do not return to homelessness upon their exit. Additionally, the most recent attempt to reduce this has been working with landlords to partner and build relationships to assure that the eviction of clients is minimized. The CQI committee looks at the data on a quarterly basis and analyzes what it shows and develops strategies to combat the numbers.

3) The HMIS Program Coordinator and CE Specialist at Metro Community Development are responsible for overseeing the CoC's strategy to reduce the return to homelessness rate.

| 2C-5. | Increasing Employment Cash Income–CoC's Strategy. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.5.f. | |

| | In the field below: |
|---|---|
| 1. | describe your CoC's strategy to access employment cash sources; |
| 2. | describe how your CoC works with mainstream employment organizations to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness increase their employment cash income; and |
| 3. | provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase income from employment. |

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The Flint/Genesee County CoC's strategy to increase employment income relies on connecting participants to the employment agencies that best fit their capabilities through case management with each specific service provider.

2) Our shelters, RRH and PSH case managers and the Disability Network work diligently with employment partners including the local Michigan Works! specialized and non-traditional employment agencies, Michigan Rehabilitation Services, Peckham, and St. Luke's who all are committed to increasing employment income.

3) The HMIS Program Coordinator with support from the Collaborative Applicant, Greater Flint Health Coalition are responsible for overseeing the CoC's strategy and partner engagement around increasing income from employment for clients.

| 2C-5a. | Increasing Non-employment Cash Income–CoC's Strategy | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.5.f. | |

| | In the field below: |
|---|---|

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | 1. | describe your CoC's strategy to access non-employment cash income; and |
| | 2. | provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase non-employment cash income. |

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) The Flint/Genesee County CoC refers clients who qualify for disability but have had issues filing paperwork and getting approval with the SOAR (SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery) Navigator. The SOAR Navigators assists participants who qualify with the applications for the following: the SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR), Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) benefits, Medicaid/Medicare, Unemployment compensation, Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF), and other mainstream benefits. The Flint/Genesee County CoC has an active SOAR Workgroup that has brought hundreds of thousands of dollars to our clients. Combined they have a high application success rate. The Chair of the SOAR Workgroup consistently encourages community members to become navigators who will assist the community from their agency. This last year, the SOAR team had a 78.3% approval rate for SSI/SSDI benefits.

2) The HMIS Program Coordinator along with the SOAR Workgroup and support from the Collaborative Applicant (GFHC) are responsible for overseeing the CoC's strategy to increase non-employment cash income.

# 3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at  CoC Program Competition  to assist you in completing the CoC Application.  Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO)  Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

| 3A-1. | New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Housing Resources. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.6.a. | |
| | You must upload the Housing Leveraging Commitment attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen. | |

| | Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses housing subsidies or subsidized housing units which are not funded through the CoC or ESG Programs to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness? | No |
|---|---|---|

| 3A-2. | New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Healthcare Resources. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.6.b. | |
| | You must upload the Healthcare Formal Agreements attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen. | |

| | Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH  project that uses healthcare resources to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness? | No |
|---|---|---|

| 3A-3. | Leveraging Housing/Healthcare Resources–List of Projects. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Sections V.B.6.a. and V.B.6.b. | |

| | If you selected yes to questions 3A-1. or 3A-2., use the list feature icon to enter information about each project application you intend for HUD to evaluate to determine if they meet the criteria. | |
|---|---|---|

| Project Name | Project Type | Rank Number | Leverage Type |
|---|---|---|---|
| This list contains no items | | | |

# 3B. New Projects With Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at  CoC Program Competition  to assist you in completing the CoC Application.  Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO)  Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
   - FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

| 3B-1. | Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.r. | |

| | Is your CoC requesting funding for any new project application requesting $200,000 or more in funding for housing rehabilitation or new construction? | No |
|---|---|---|

| 3B-2. | Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.r. | |

| | If you answered yes to question 3B-1, describe in the field below actions CoC Program-funded project applicants will take to comply with: |
|---|---|
| 1. | Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u); and |
| 2. | HUD's implementing rules at 24 CFR part 75 to provide employment and training opportunities for low- and very-low-income persons, as well as contracting and other economic opportunities for businesses that provide economic opportunities to low- and very-low-income persons. |

(limit 2,500 characters)

# 3C. Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at  CoC Program Competition  to assist you in completing the CoC Application.  Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO)  Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
   - FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 3C-1. | Designating SSO/TH/Joint TH and PH-RRH Component Projects to Serve Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes. | |
| | NOFO Section V.F. | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | Is your CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO, TH, or Joint TH and PH-RRH component projects to serve families with children or youth experiencing homelessness as defined by other Federal statutes? | No |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 3C-2. | Cost Effectiveness of Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes. | |
| | NOFO Section V.F. | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | You must upload the Project List for Other Federal Statutes attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen. | |
| | If you answered yes to question 3C-1, describe in the field below: | |
| 1. | how serving this population is of equal or greater priority, which means that it is equally or more cost effective in meeting the overall goals and objectives of the plan submitted under Section 427(b)(1)(B) of the Act, especially with respect to children and unaccompanied youth than serving the homeless as defined in paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of the definition of homeless in 24 CFR 578.3; and | |
| 2. | how your CoC will meet requirements described in Section 427(b)(1)(F) of the Act. | |

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

# 4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants for New DV Bonus Funding

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at  CoC Program Competition  to assist you in completing the CoC Application.  Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO)  Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
 - 24 CFR part 578;
  - FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
 - Section 3 Resources;
 - PHA Crosswalk; and
  - Frequently Asked Questions

| 4A-1. | New DV Bonus Project Applicants. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section I.B.3.j. | |

| | Did your CoC submit one or more new project applications for DV Bonus Funding? | Yes |
|---|---|---|

| 4A-1a. | DV Bonus Project Types. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section I.B.3.j. | |

| | Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the type(s) of new DV Bonus project(s) your CoC included in its FY 2024 Priority Listing. | |
|---|---|---|

| | Project Type | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | SSO Coordinated Entry | No |
| 2. | PH-RRH or Joint TH and PH-RRH Component | Yes |

### You must click "Save" after selecting Yes for element 1 SSO Coordinated Entry to view questions 4A-2, 4A-2a. and 4A-2b.

| 4A-3. | Data Assessing Need for New DV Bonus Housing Projects in Your CoC's Geographic Area. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(c) and I.B.3.j.(3)(c) | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Enter the number of survivors that need housing or services: | 819 |
| 2. | Enter the number of survivors your CoC is currently serving: | 241 |
| 3. | Unmet Need: | 578 |

| 4A-3a. | How Your CoC Calculated Local Need for New DV Bonus Housing Projects. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(c) | |

| | Describe in the field below: |
|---|---|
| 1. | how your CoC calculated the number of DV survivors needing housing or services in question 4A-3 element 1 and element 2; and |
| 2. | the data source (e.g., comparable databases, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects); or |
| 3. | if your CoC is unable to meet the needs of all survivors please explain in your response all barriers to meeting those needs. |

### (limit 2,500 characters)

1) The number of women and children requesting shelter minus the number that were housed.

2) We solicited data from local DV agencies utilizing Empower DB a comparable HMIS database.

3) The largest barriers to meeting the needs of all survivors is not enough beds, limited safe and affordable housing options, survivors experiencing challenges with steady income and/or credit worthiness.

| 4A-3b. | Information About Unique Project Applicant Requesting New DV Bonus Housing Project(s). | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1) | |

| | Use the list feature  icon to enter information on each unique project applicant applying for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus projects–only enter project applicant information once, regardless of how many DV Bonus projects that applicant is applying for. | |
|---|---|---|

| Applicant Name |
|---|
| Voices for Childr... |
| YWCA Greater Flint |

# Project Applicants Applying for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH DV Bonus Projects

| 4A-3b. | Information About Unique Project Applicant Requesting New DV Bonus Housing Project(s). | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1) | |

| | Enter information in the chart below on the project applicant that applied for one or more New DV Bonus housing projects included on your CoC's FY 2024 Priority Listing for New Projects: |
|---|---|

| 1. | Applicant Name | Voices for Children Advocacy Center |
|---|---|---|
| 2. | Rate of Housing Placement of DV Survivors–Percentage | 30% |
| 3. | Rate of Housing Retention of DV Survivors–Percentage | 70% |

| 4A-3b.1. | Applicant's Housing Placement and Retention Data Explanation. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d) | |

| | For the rate of housing placement and rate of housing retention of DV survivors reported in question 4B-3b., describe in the field below: |
|---|---|
| 1. | how the project applicant calculated the rate of housing placement; |
| 2. | whether the rate for housing placement accounts for exits to safe housing destinations; |
| 3. | how the project applicant calculated the rate of housing retention; and |
| 4. | the data source (e.g., comparable databases, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects). |

### (limit 1,500 characters)

1) Voices for Children (VFC) calculated the rate of housing placement using data from the CoC's HMIS and DV service provider records over the past fiscal year. The formula used was: Housing Placement Rate = (Number of Survivors Placed in Safe Housing / Total Survivors Seeking Assistance) x 100. This calculation includes placements in emergency, transitional, and permanent housing.

2) Yes, the rate accounts for exits to safe housing destinations. It includes survivors transitioning to verified safe environments, such as permanent housing, transitional housing, and family reunification when appropriate.

3) VFC used historical data from similar programs to project the rate of retention for the new project. The formula was: Housing Retention Rate = (Number of Survivors Retained in Housing After X Months / Total Survivors Initially Housed) x 100. Retention was monitored for 6- and 12-month periods, factoring in ongoing case management and support services.

4) Data was sourced from internal data based and tracked in system provided by the National Children's Alliance.

| 4A-3c. | Applicant's Experience Housing DV Survivors. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d) | |

| | Describe in the field below how the project applicant: |
|---|---|
| 1. | ensured DV survivors experiencing homelessness were quickly moved into safe affordable housing; |
| 2. | prioritized survivors–you must address the process the project applicant used, e.g., Coordinated Entry, prioritization list, CoC's emergency transfer plan; |
| 3. | determined survivors' supportive services needs; |
| 4. | connected survivors to supportive services; and |
| 5. | moved survivors from assisted housing to housing they could sustain–address housing stability after the housing subsidy ends. |

### (limit 2,500 characters)

1) VFC swiftly collaborates with local shelters, rapid rehousing programs, and transitional housing services to secure safe and affordable housing for survivors. VFC prioritizes survivors and placing them in the safest available housing, ensuring confidentiality and trauma-informed support throughout the process. VFC has partnerships with landlords and housing providers who specialize in serving DV survivors which allow them to reduce placement times and overcome barriers such as lack of income or rental history;

2) VFC will utilize the Coordinated Entry system, a prioritization list and the CoC's emergency transfer plan. VFC immediately assesses needs and safety concerns, giving survivors top priority in receiving immediate and appropriate housing interventions. The prioritization list highlights those who are in the most urgent need of relocation to ensure their safety, and the emergency transfer plan facilitates quick access to available housing units, so survivors are not left in unsafe situations;

3) VFC uses an integrated, survivor-centered approach. The trauma-informed, survivor-centered assessment evaluates biopsychosocial needs in a safe, confidential setting. Supportive services can include immediate on-site mental health services and referral to specialized trauma-focused treatment; on-site medical services; assistance with legal issues (e.g., restraining orders or custody matters); and other supports, focusing on the individual needs of adults and children;

4) Following the intake and assessment process, VFC immediately links survivors to the necessary services based on their individualized needs. These include mental health services, including specialized trauma counseling; legal services; housing specialists; financial assistance programs; and employment services. With 25 years' experience and strong network of community partners lets VFC create a seamless and effective network to address survivors' immediate and long-term needs;

5) Support services will help survivors plan for long-term stability. VFC will assess their status as the end of the subsidy nears, to inform planning. Individualized housing plans will include building financial literacy and budgeting skills and linking with employment resources. VFC will offer job training, work with local employment agencies, and assist survivors in applying for housing assistance programs. Ongoing support will help with issues such as landlord mediation and rental navigation.

| 4A-3d. | Applicant's Experience in Ensuring DV Survivors' Safety. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d) | |

| | Describe in the field below examples of how the project applicant ensured the safety and confidentiality of DV survivors experiencing homelessness by: |
|---|---|
| 1. | taking steps to ensure privacy/confidentiality during the intake and interview process to minimize potential coercion of survivors; |
| 2. | making determinations and placements into safe housing; |
| 3. | keeping survivors' information and locations confidential; |
| 4. | training staff on safety and confidentially policies and practices; and |
| 5. | taking security measures for units (congregate or scattered site), that support survivors' physical safety and location confidentiality. |

### (limit 2,500 characters)

1) VFC conducts all intake sessions in private, secure spaces. Survivors are informed of their rights to confidentiality and the limitations of information sharing from the beginning. Staff use non-threatening, survivor-led questioning techniques. For phone or virtual intakes, we use coded language or neutral communication methods to protect those who may still be in contact with their abusers or in unsafe situations. All intake documents are stored in compliance with federal privacy standards.

2) VFC comprehensively assess each survivor's situation, focusing on their safety needs, risk factors, and immediate housing requirements, including the severity of the threat, the survivor's preferences, and any ongoing risks. VFC collaborates with local domestic violence shelters, rapid rehousing programs, and transitional housing providers to place survivors in safe, confidential environments.

3) All personal data, including addresses and other sensitive details, are securely stored within VFC systems. All communication with survivors is conducted using secure channels such as encrypted emails or coded phone communications, especially when survivors are still at risk or in contact with their abusers. Partner organizations adhere to the same confidentiality standards. VFC staff confidentiality training emphasizes the importance of safeguarding survivors' information.

4) VFC staff undergo comprehensive training upon onboarding, covering key areas such as confidentiality protocols, maintaining the privacy of survivors and securing sensitive information. In addition to initial training, VFC provides annual refreshers on confidentiality and safety policies. This includes training on secure digital communication, encrypted data management, and the use of new technologies that help safeguard survivor information from potential breaches.

5) To maximize both safety and confidentiality, VFC primarily uses scattered-site housing for survivors. VFC ensures each housing unit meets high safety standards and is located in a secure neighborhood. VFC works with property managers to ensure they adhere to VFC strict privacy protocols. VFC staff conduct safety assessments and offer survivors tailored safety planning services, such as providing security devices or alarm systems to enhance physical protection in their homes.

| 4A-3d.1. | Applicant's Experience in Evaluating Its Ability to Ensure DV Survivors' Safety. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d) | |

| Describe in the field below how the project evaluated its ability to ensure the safety of DV survivors the project served in the project, including any areas identified for improvement throughout the project's operation. |
|---|

(limit 2,500 characters)

To maximize both safety and confidentiality, VFC primarily uses scattered-site housing for survivors. This approach allows VFC to provide individualized and discreet housing options that are less easily identifiable, ensuring greater confidentiality and reducing the risk of survivors being located by abusers. Scattered sites also offer survivors and their families autonomy and independence, contributing to their sense of empowerment and control over their living environment. VFC carefully selects and vets each housing unit, ensuring that they meet high safety standards and are located in secure neighborhoods. Additionally, VFC works with property managers and landlords who understand the importance of maintaining confidentiality and adhere to our strict privacy protocols. VFC staff conduct safety assessments and offer survivors tailored safety planning services, such as providing security devices or alarm systems to enhance physical protection in their homes. This scattered-site approach not only ensures that survivors have the autonomy to rebuild their lives but also prioritizes their safety by minimizing the risk of location disclosure and maintaining a secure, supportive environment.

| 4A-3e. | Applicant's Experience in Placing and Stabilizing Survivors in Permanent Housing Using Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d) | |

| | Describe in the field below the project applicant's experience in: |
|---|---|
| 1. | prioritizing placement and stabilization of survivors; |
| 2. | placing survivors in permanent housing; |
| 3. | placing and stabilizing survivors consistent with their preferences; and |
| 4. | placing and stabilizing survivors consistent with their stated needs. |

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) VFC prioritizes survivors using the Coordinated Entry system, ensuring quick placement based on safety and urgency, integrating trauma-informed care throughout. VFC secures permanent housing through partnerships with trained landlords, using scattered-site housing for privacy and safety. Survivors are involved in choosing housing options that align with their needs and preferences, fostering empowerment and autonomy. VFC also offers tailored support services like employment assistance, legal advocacy, and childcare, ensuring housing stability and long-term independence through ongoing case management and follow-up.

2) VFC places survivors in permanent housing through HUD-funded options like Permanent Housing, Rapid Rehousing, and Housing Choice Vouchers (HCV). VFC partners with landlords trained in confidentiality to secure safe and affordable units.

3) VFC also uses non-HUD-funded options, including rental arrangements with ongoing or no subsidy, and facilitates connections for survivors to live permanently with family or friends if preferred. VFC's approach ensures survivors have flexible, stable housing options that prioritize their safety and long-term stability.

4) VFC prioritizes survivors using the Coordinated Entry system, assessing urgency and safety needs for immediate placement. VFC places survivors in permanent housing through HUD and non-HUD options, like Rapid Rehousing and Housing Choice Vouchers, using partnerships with trained landlords. Survivors actively participate in housing decisions, ensuring placements align with their preferences for location and type. VFC tailors support services, such as legal aid and employment assistance, to meet each survivor's stated needs, ensuring long-term stability and safety.

| 4A-3f. | Applicant's Experience in Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d) | |

| | | Describe in the field below examples of the project applicant's experience using trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches to meet needs of DV survivors by: |
|---|---|---|
| | 1. | establishing and maintaining an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures survivors and staff interactions are based on equality, and minimize power differentials; |
| | 2. | providing survivors access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing survivors with information on the effects of trauma; |
| | 3. | emphasizing survivors' strengths, e.g., strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans worked towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations; |
| | 4. | centering on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed; |
| | 5. | providing a variety of opportunities for survivors' connections, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and |
| | 6. | offering support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services. |

**(limit 5,000 characters)**

1) VFC emphasizes creating a supportive environment where survivors feel respected and empowered. VFC avoids punitive interventions, focusing on building trust through survivor-led engagement. Interactions between survivors and staff are based on equality, minimizing power differentials. VFC trains staff to actively listen, validate survivor experiences, and provide choices in service planning, ensuring survivors feel in control of their recovery. This approach promotes autonomy and mutual respect, fostering a healing environment.

2) VFC's program ensures that survivors have access to comprehensive information about trauma and its effects. Staff receive specialized training on trauma's impact, including physical, emotional, and psychological effects, and how to effectively communicate this information to survivors. VFC provides educational materials and conducts informational sessions, helping survivors understand their experiences and how trauma may affect their lives. This empowers them to make informed decisions about their care and healing process.

3) VFC uses a strengths-based approach in all interactions, recognizing and building on survivors' skills and resilience. Assessment tools and case plans highlight survivor strengths, encouraging them to set and pursue their goals. VFC offers strength-based coaching, helping survivors identify their achievements and capabilities. This focus on strengths fosters empowerment, resilience, and self-determination throughout the recovery process.

4) VFC prioritizes cultural competency and inclusivity, ensuring all survivors receive respectful and trauma-informed care. Staff are trained on nondiscrimination, language support, and cultural responsiveness. VFC offers translation services and adapts materials to be culturally appropriate, ensuring survivors from diverse backgrounds feel seen and understood. Services are inclusive and accessible to all, regardless of race, ethnicity, gender identity, or socioeconomic status.

5) The program offers numerous ways for survivors to build meaningful connections, such as peer support groups, mentorship programs, parent and child support groups, and spiritual support services. VFC collaborates with community organizations to provide survivors with access to groups that align with their interests and needs, fostering a sense of belonging and reducing isolation. These opportunities help survivors build supportive networks, empowering them to connect with others who have similar experiences and support their own healing journey.

6) Recognizing the unique challenges faced by survivor parents, VFC provides trauma-informed parenting classes and parent-child support groups to enhance their skills in supporting their children's development and emotional well-being. VFC also offers access to childcare services and legal assistance for custody issues. VFC's program ensures parents receive the resources needed to navigate their responsibilities while working towards stability, helping them build healthy, safe environments for their families.

| 4A-3g. | Applicant's Experience Meeting Service Needs of DV Survivors. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d) | |

Describe in the field below examples of supportive services the project provided to domestic violence survivors while quickly moving them into permanent housing and addressing their safety needs.

(limit 5,000 characters)

VFC provides comprehensive, trauma-informed supportive services tailored to meet the needs of DV survivors while ensuring their rapid transition to permanent housing and addressing their safety concerns. Here are examples of the services offered:

1.      Child Custody Support:
Partnering with legal service providers to assist survivors with child custody issues, including filing for restraining orders and navigating family court proceedings. VFC offers transportation to legal appointments and court dates. In addition, VFC provides support groups for survivors facing similar legal challenges, creating a space where they can share experiences and receive mutual support.

2.      Financial Assistance and Banking Support:
VFC partners with local credit unions and banking institutions to assist survivors in opening bank accounts and provide budgeting and financial education. Case managers work closely with survivors to help them build financial literacy and gain the skills needed to manage their finances independently.

3.      Housing Search and Navigation Services:
The program employs housing navigators who work directly with survivors to identify suitable and safe housing options. The housing navigator establishes relationships with local landlords who are supportive of our mission, ensuring survivors have access to available units quickly.

4.      Therapy and Art Therapy Services:
VFC offers full-time therapy and art therapy services designed to address the trauma experienced by survivors. VFC mental health professionals use evidence-based approaches. Art therapy offers an alternative, expressive method for survivors, especially children, to process their trauma in a safe, supportive setting.

5.      Onsite Medical Exams and Referrals to Medical and Dental Services:
The program provides onsite medical exams for survivors to address immediate health concerns and ensure their well-being. VFC also partners with local medical and dental clinics to offer referrals for free or low-cost medical and dental care, including preventative services and follow-up treatments

6.      Long-term Housing Stability and Safety Planning:
VFC develops individualized long-term safety and housing plans for each survivor as they prepare to exit the program. This includes self-advocacy training, legal support, and connection to community resources. Survivors receive continuous support from case managers who assist in securing housing vouchers, permanent supportive housing options, and other long-term stability solutions, ensuring that they have the tools and resources needed to maintain safe and stable housing.

7.      Education and Employment Support Services:
The program partners with local community colleges to provide survivors with opportunities to enroll in GED programs and vocational training. VFC also connects survivors to job readiness programs, resume building workshops, and job placement services to help them gain stable employment. By investing in survivors' education and employment skills, VFC empowers them to achieve financial independence and secure their future.

8.      Trauma Counseling and Mental Health Services:

The project offers on-site trauma counseling tailored to the needs of survivors and their children. VFC also provides group therapy sessions and parent-child support groups, helping families heal together. Mental health professionals use evidence-based practices and ensure a confidential, supportive environment where survivors can process their trauma and build coping skills.

9.      Childcare and Family Support Services:

Understanding the challenges that survivors with children face, the program offers childcare assistance during appointments, therapy sessions, and other critical services. VFC collaborates with local childcare providers to ensure survivors have reliable, safe, and accessible childcare options. VFC also provides essential support for families, ensuring they have access to holiday basic needs and food services, contributing to their overall well-being.

10.      Assistance with Furniture and Storage:

VFC provides survivors with resources to obtain furniture and storage solutions to support their transition into permanent housing. VFC partnerships with local organizations help families secure essential household items and manage storage needs, ensuring their new homes are safe, comfortable, and well-equipped.

| 4A-3h. | Applicant's Plan for Placing and Stabilizing Survivors in Permanent Housing Using Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s). | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(e) | |

| | Describe in the field below how the project(s) will: |
|---|---|
| 1. | prioritize placement and stabilization of program participants; |
| 2. | place program participants in permanent housing; |
| 3. | place and stabilize program participants consistent with their preferences; and |
| 4. | place and stabilize program participants consistent with their stated needs. |

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The new project will prioritize survivors' placement and stabilization by utilizing a Coordinated Entry (CE) system. Survivors and their nonoffending family fleeing domestic violence, sexual assault, and stalking will be assessed for immediate safety needs and given top priority for housing. A housing navigator will work directly with each participant to minimize wait times and ensure smooth transitions into housing. We will use trauma-informed care to ensure participants feel supported throughout the placement process, enhancing long-term stabilization and retention.

2) VFC's focus is on securing permanent housing through partnerships with landlords and local housing authorities. We will leverage resources such as Housing Choice Vouchers (HCV) and Rapid Rehousing programs, and connect participants with non-HUD funded housing options as needed. The housing navigator will maintain relationships with property managers committed to supporting survivors, ensuring access to affordable, stable housing options.

3) The project will actively involve participants in selecting housing options that align with their preferences, including location, type of housing, and proximity to work, schools, or family. Survivors will have the autonomy to choose housing that feels safe and comfortable. Our team will work closely with each participant to explore available options and provide support throughout the decision-making process, ensuring participants feel empowered and respected.

4) To address participants' individual needs, the project will use personalized assessments to create housing plans tailored to each survivor's situation. This includes providing services such as financial assistance, childcare, employment support, and mental health counseling. Our trauma-informed approach ensures that each participant receives the resources they need to maintain stability and thrive in permanent housing, promoting safety and long-term success.

| | 4A-3i. | Applicant's Plan for Administering Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Practices in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s). | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(e) | |

| | | Describe in the field below examples of how the new project(s) will: |
|---|---|---|
| | 1. | establish and maintain an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant and staff interactions are based on equality, and minimize power differentials; |
| | 2. | provide program participants access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing program participants with information on the effects of trauma; |
| | 3. | emphasize program participants' strengths—for example, strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans work towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations; |
| | 4. | center on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed; |
| | 5. | provide a variety of opportunities for program participants' connections, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and |
| | 6. | offer support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services. |

**(limit 5,000 characters)**

1) The new project will create a robust response for safety and housing for survivors, moving beyond the informal practices currently in place. By establishing a structured and survivor-centered environment, VFC will ensure that survivors and their families have access to basic needs, housing, and supportive services. The project will focus on building trust through survivor-led engagement, with interactions based on equality and minimizing power differentials. Staff will receive training to actively listen, validate survivor experiences, and provide participants with choices, promoting autonomy and mutual respect. This approach will enhance retention and stability for families as they navigate their recovery journey.

2) The project will ensure that participants have access to comprehensive information about trauma and its effects to empower and support their recovery. Staff will receive training to effectively communicate trauma-related information, helping survivors understand its physical, emotional, and psychological impacts. Through educational materials, workshops, and individualized sessions, survivors will learn about trauma responses and coping strategies. This structured support will enhance survivors' understanding, helping them make informed decisions about their care and promoting their long-term well-being.

3) This new project will emphasize survivors' strengths by implementing structured strength-based approaches. VFC will use assessment tools that focus on identifying skills and resilience, and case managers will provide coaching to help survivors build on these strengths. Survivors will define their own goals, and case plans will be designed to align with these objectives, encouraging self-determination. This approach, coupled with consistent support and resources, will ensure survivors feel empowered, enhancing retention and long-term success in housing.

4) To create a culturally responsive and inclusive environment, the new project will provide extensive training for staff on cultural competence, language access, and nondiscrimination. VFC will ensure that services are accessible and trauma-informed for diverse populations. By offering translation services and adapting materials to be culturally appropriate, VFC will create a welcoming environment where survivors of all backgrounds feel supported and understood. This inclusive approach will strengthen connections with survivors, contributing to their stability and increasing retention rates.

5) VFC will create multiple structured opportunities for survivors to connect and build supportive networks, improving retention and stability. The project will offer peer support groups, mentorship programs, and spiritual support services tailored to survivor needs. VFC will also establish parent and child support groups, fostering family bonds and reducing isolation. By partnering with community organizations, we will create diverse and meaningful opportunities for survivors to connect, promoting healing and empowerment.

6) The new project will offer trauma-informed parenting classes and expand childcare services to ensure survivor parents receive the support they need. This includes access to childcare during appointments and program activities, as well as connections to legal services for custody and family matters. Additionally, VFC will ensure that survivors and their families have their basic needs met, such as housing, food, and other supportive services, creating a stable foundation that enhances retention and ensures long-term success for families.

| 4A-3j. | Applicant's Plan for Involving Survivors in Policy and Program Development, Operations, and Evaluation in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s). | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(f) | |

| | Describe in the field below how the new project will involve survivors: |
|---|---|
| 1. | with a range of lived expertise; and |
| 2. | in policy and program development throughout the project's operation. |

### (limit 2,500 characters)

1) The new project will actively incorporate the voices of survivors with diverse lived experiences, including those who have faced homelessness, domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking. VFC will prioritize the recruitment of individuals with lived expertise onto advisory committees and as peer mentors within the program. Having survivors on the VFC board and staff, who already contribute valuable insights, will be essential for this project. VFC will ensure their perspectives are integral to the planning and decision-making processes, fostering a program that is truly reflective of survivor needs and realities.

2) To integrate survivor input throughout the project's operation, VFC will establish regular focus groups and feedback sessions with current and former program participants. These sessions will allow survivors to share their experiences and recommend improvements. VFC will also create a survivor advisory panel that will participate in the development, implementation, and evaluation of program policies and procedures. This panel will work closely with staff to co-create trauma-informed practices and review outcomes, ensuring that the program evolves based on survivor feedback. By embedding survivor input in all stages of the project, VFC aims to build a responsive and effective program that meets the needs of those served.

# Project Applicants Applying for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH DV Bonus Projects

| 4A-3b. | Information About Unique Project Applicant Requesting New DV Bonus Housing Project(s). | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1) | |

| | Enter information in the chart below on the project applicant that applied for one or more New DV Bonus housing projects included on your CoC's FY 2024 Priority Listing for New Projects: |
|---|---|

| 1. | Applicant Name | YWCA Greater Flint |
|---|---|---|
| 2. | Rate of Housing Placement of DV Survivors–Percentage | 100% |
| 3. | Rate of Housing Retention of DV Survivors–Percentage | 90% |

| 4A-3b.1. | Applicant's Housing Placement and Retention Data Explanation. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d) | |

| | For the rate of housing placement and rate of housing retention of DV survivors reported in question 4B-3b., describe in the field below: | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | how the project applicant calculated the rate of housing placement; | |
| 2. | whether the rate for housing placement accounts for exits to safe housing destinations; | |
| 3. | how the project applicant calculated the rate of housing retention; and | |
| 4. | the data source (e.g., comparable databases, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects). | |

(limit 1,500 characters)

1) YWCA  calculated the rate of housing placement by tracking internal placement of those being served.

2) Yes, the rate accounts for exits to safe housing destinations.

3) YWCA conducts follow-up surveys to survivors who have been placed in permanent, affordable housing to determine their housing retention.

4) The data is from Empower and HMIS.

| 4A-3c. | Applicant's Experience Housing DV Survivors. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d) | |

| | Describe in the field below how the project applicant: | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | ensured DV survivors experiencing homelessness were quickly moved into safe affordable housing; | |
| 2. | prioritized survivors–you must address the process the project applicant used, e.g., Coordinated Entry, prioritization list, CoC's emergency transfer plan; | |
| 3. | determined survivors' supportive services needs; | |
| 4. | connected survivors to supportive services; and | |
| 5. | moved survivors from assisted housing to housing they could sustain–address housing stability after the housing subsidy ends. | |

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Upon admission to SafeHouse, domestic violence survivors are assigned a Housing Advocate who provides essential support and resources. The advocate supports each individual survivor as they develop a personalized Safety and Housing Goal Plans, aimed at securing them permanent housing and addressing any existing barriers to their housing stability. The plans are reviewed and assessed weekly.

2) DV Survivors are prioritized on the CoC QBNL based on acuity scores from the CESA and the appropriate VI-SPDAT. Scores reflect survivors' individual needs. Specifically, the scoring ranges for PSH and RRH are as follows: PSH: 8-17, RRH: 4-7. The objective of the system is to ensure that clients with the greatest need are prioritized for housing. By using the QBNL, YWCA aims to effectively allocate resources and provide support to those most vulnerable in our community.

3) The housing advocate supports survivors with goal planning and developing individualized housing and safety plans aimed at securing permanent housing and addressing any existing barriers to their housing stability.  The housing advocate, the empowerment coach, and the family advocate work to help survivors set their own goals and be empowered with resources for employment, childcare, children's needs, education and financial assistance available for transportation.

4) The YWCA provides individualized support through our SafeHouse with the housing advocate. who is responsible for providing supportive services to residential clients and advocacy and referral to community agencies, with the focus to assist clients in obtaining safe, affordable housing by providing education, referrals and advocacy to provide the best outcomes.

5) The housing advocate works from the start to assess and address barriers for clients experiencing homelessness; assist clients in locating appropriate choices for housing options; maintain frequent contact with the residential clients; administer SPDAT assessments; and provide ongoing assistance in navigating through the various agencies and resources to remain stable.

| | 4A-3d. | Applicant's Experience in Ensuring DV Survivors' Safety. | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d) | |

| | | Describe in the field below examples of how the project applicant ensured the safety and confidentiality of DV survivors experiencing homelessness by: |
|---|---|---|
| | 1. | taking steps to ensure privacy/confidentiality during the intake and interview process to minimize potential coercion of survivors; |
| | 2. | making determinations and placements into safe housing; |
| | 3. | keeping survivors' information and locations confidential; |
| | 4. | training staff on safety and confidentially policies and practices; and |
| | 5. | taking security measures for units (congregate or scattered site), that support survivors' physical safety and location confidentiality. |

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The YWCA creates confidential and private spaces to do assessment of intake, follow federal regulations around HIPPA and VAWA.

2) All survivors receiving services from the YWCA Greater Flint are provided with SPADAT to assess their eligibility for rapid re-housing and each survivor is assigned a housing navigator and empowerment coach to navigate and eliminate housing barriers.

3) The YWCA follows all HIPPA guidelines and all information regarding the victim is locked in the assigned advocates office.  Placement beyond the SafeHouse is disclosed only to the DV victim and not shared with anyone else.

4) The YWCA staff receives trauma-informed training during on-boarding with additional on-going training during their tenure.  The training includes policies and practices related to confidentiality, building and maintaining the trust of the victim and understanding the importance of safety for the victim.

5) The YWCA Greater Flint SafeHouse is safe and secure.  The building is under 24 hour surveillance in the outside perimeter, as well as the public spaces inside the building.  Admission to the building is granted only after someone who can be seen on camera rings the bell and advises the purpose of their visit.  Once admitted, the guest is required to stop at the front office, located immediately inside the building.  Survivors access the SafeHouse by elevator and a key is required for the elevator to move to the SafeHouse floor. A Security Guard works nights M-F 11pm – 7am.

| 4A-3d.1. | Applicant's Experience in Evaluating Its Ability to Ensure DV Survivors' Safety. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d) | |

| | Describe in the field below how the project evaluated its ability to ensure the safety of DV survivors the project served in the project, including any areas identified for improvement throughout the project's operation. |
|---|---|

(limit 2,500 characters)

A quality improvement committee combined of staff members, survivors and former guests of the SafeHouse assist with project evaluation. A rapid change process model is used to check program processes and intervention to drive overall program improvement. The organization uses a data-driven approach, guided by a quality improvement process and interventions through a rapid change model, ensuring we meet data needs and drive overall program improvement.

| 4A-3e. | Applicant's Experience in Placing and Stabilizing Survivors in Permanent Housing Using Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d) | |

| | Describe in the field below the project applicant's experience in: |
|---|---|
| 1. | prioritizing placement and stabilization of survivors; |
| 2. | placing survivors in permanent housing; |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 3. | placing and stabilizing survivors consistent with their preferences; and | |
| 4. | placing and stabilizing survivors consistent with their stated needs. | |

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) Support services begin when a DV victim initiates contact. Contact can be made by utilizing our 24/7 HELP Phone Line where a YWCA specially trained staff person answers or upon their arrival at our location. Once contact is made, the victim is assigned to an Advocate/Case Manager who will work with them 1:1 to overcome their obstacles. When a victim arrives in need of a safe, secure place to hide, we will house them in the YWCA SafeHouse. The SafeHouse has the capacity to house 32 women and children and if that is full, we will relocate the victim to a partner hotel to reside until there is space in the SafeHouse.

2) The Empowerment Coach

• Helps participants develop an action plan with realistic benchmarks to achieve their identified goals.

• Meets with each survivor weekly to assess needs and progress.

• Helps survivors identify the necessary internal and external resources for overcoming their barrier.

• As survivors transition into a new apartment or house, ensure that they have necessary
furniture and household supplies.

• Connects survivors with needed and available community resources.

3) Upon outreach to the YWCA Greater Flint, through our 24/7 HELP Line or when they arrive in person, the staff operate with a Trauma-Informed approach with the number one priority being safety. The survivor is assigned an advocate and an Empowerment Housing Coach who works 1:1 with the survivors to remove barriers, supporting survivors by locating access to additional funding sources (social security, state assistance, etc). The SPADAT is completed and sent to Coordinated Entry to begin the housing placement process and the entire time, the survivor voice drives the goal planning and initiatives.

4) Flint and Genesee County housing providers utilize a no-wrong-door approach. This allows survivors to access services without barriers. All survivors who access services with YWCA Greater Flint begin safety planning immediately upon arrival. The Safety Plan is created in collaboration with survivors utilizing a trauma-informed approach that ensures concrete strategies to reduce ongoing risk.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 4A-3f. | Applicant's Experience in Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches. | |
| | NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d) | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | Describe in the field below examples of the project applicant's experience using trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches to meet needs of DV survivors by: | |
| 1. | establishing and maintaining an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures survivors and staff interactions are based on equality, and minimize power differentials; | |

| | 2. | providing survivors access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing survivors with information on the effects of trauma; |
|---|---|---|
| | 3. | emphasizing survivors' strengths, e.g., strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans worked towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations; |
| | 4. | centering on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed; |
| | 5. | providing a variety of opportunities for survivors' connections, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and |
| | 6. | offering support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services. |

(limit 5,000 characters)

1) YWCA employees who work directly with survivors are required to complete a 40-hour training called New Service Provider Training.  This training is offered by the Michigan Coalition to End Domestic and Sexual Violence and provides a comprehensive foundation for providing survivor-driven, trauma-informed advocacy.

2) The YWCA offers survivors both individual support and group support that address the complex dynamics of domestic violence and the effects of domestic violence.  Staff are well trained in meeting clients where they are emotionally and providing survivor-centered, trauma-informed services.

3) Staff work with survivors to identify immediate needs, short-term goals, and long-term goals.  Goal planning tools are used to create realistic, achievable steps to accomplish set goals.  Part of the goal planning process is helping survivors identify their strengths, resources and support systems.

4) Training is on-going and has included specialized training on engaging with the LGBTQ+ community as well as a session on cultural competency. Coming soon is an all staff training on racial equity.  Our intent is to ensure all staff operate under a trauma-informed lens that includes cultural humility.

5) Survivors of domestic violence are often isolated from community engagement and may have complex services needs that cannot be met by any single organization. Staff help support survivors by finding, creating, and connecting them to resources they may need to thrive.  The YWCA has well established relationships throughout the community and will utilize these relationships to connect survivors as needed.

6) YWCA employees who work directly with survivors are required to complete a 40 hour training called New Service Provider Training.  This training is offered by the Michigan Coalition to End Domestic and Sexual Violence and provides a comprehensive foundation for providing survivor-driven, trauma-informed advocacy.

| 4A-3g. | Applicant's Experience Meeting Service Needs of DV Survivors. | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d) | |

| Describe in the field below examples of supportive services the project provided to domestic violence survivors while quickly moving them into permanent housing and addressing their safety needs. |
|---|

**(limit 5,000 characters)**

The YWCA Greater Flint empowerment coach works directly with program participants to develop long-term housing safety plans in preparation for the program participant exiting the project, which includes self-advocacy, systems advocacy, legal support, representative support, community accompaniment. This includes providing counsel around bad debt and strategies to overcome it and remove barriers to permanent housing. Resources are available to pay off bad debt and financial literacy programs and budgeting are provided.

The Family Advocate will act as a liaison for the YWCA of Greater Flint with GISD McKinney-Vento program to assist residential and non-residential survivors of domestic abuse and their children with school enrollment and transportation arrangements to ensure children continue their education. The Family Advocate will act as a liaison for the YWCA of Greater Flint with CPS workers, Family First workers or any other community agency to provide advocacy, support, and resources to residential and non-residential survivors of domestic abuse. The Family Advocate maintains knowledge of all community programs, make referrals, and provide supportive services to residential and non-residential survivors of domestic abuse and their children as needed.

| | 4A-3h. | Applicant's Plan for Placing and Stabilizing Survivors in Permanent Housing Using Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s). | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(e) | |

| | Describe in the field below how the project(s) will: |
|---|---|
| 1. | prioritize placement and stabilization of program participants; |
| 2. | place program participants in permanent housing; |
| 3. | place and stabilize program participants consistent with their preferences; and |
| 4. | place and stabilize program participants consistent with their stated needs. |

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) The YWCA Greater Flint plans to continue to place DV Survivors in our SafeHouse Shelter or if it is full, we will find a temporary location (hotel) to place the victim until an opening becomes available. During the survivor's initial intake, the survivor will outline their needs, goals, preferences and aspirations with our emphasis being on safety and safety planning in addition to meeting their other needs.

2) The YWCA Greater Flint's plan is to expand our Rapid Re-Housing department with an additional Housing Outreach Advocate (HOA) to work confidentially with our survivors to expedite placement in permanent housing. The HOA will have first hand knowledge of the survivors placement goals and needs and will work with established housing and landlord relationships to ensure the expectations of the survivor is met.

3) The plan is for the Housing Outreach Advocate to assist survivors in evaluating housing choices that are consistent with each survivor's resources, needs and preferences. This may include the cost of unit, proximity to public transportation, proximity to employment, childcare if needed and most importantly the safety needs of survivors. Ultimately, the survivor makes the final decision when choosing a housing unit.

4) Once the the survivor is placed in permanent, affordable housing, they are assigned an advocate and an Empowerment Housing Coach who works 1:1 with the survivors to remove barriers, supporting survivors by locating access to additional funding sources (social security, state assistance, etc). The support will remain up to 24 months and will assist the survivor on their move, meeting their needs to furnish a home, help them sign up for utilities and follow-up during their first two years of placement to ensure long-term sustainability.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 4A-3i. | Applicant's Plan for Administering Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Practices in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s). | | |
| | NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(e) | | |

| | | Describe in the field below examples of how the new project(s) will: |
|---|---|---|
| | 1. | establish and maintain an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant and staff interactions are based on equality, and minimize power differentials; |
| | 2. | provide program participants access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing program participants with information on the effects of trauma; |
| | 3. | emphasize program participants' strengths–for example, strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans work towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations; |
| | 4. | center on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed; |
| | 5. | provide a variety of opportunities for program participants' connections, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and |
| | 6. | offer support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services. |

**(limit 5,000 characters)**

1) All program intervention is done through an equity lens. Alumni of the program are invited to participate in the continuous quality improvement committee. Process and policy are developed to mitigate the risk of re-traumatization of the survivor.

2) With the addition of onsite licensed clinical professionals, we will provide group classes for survivors in our SafeHouse and those placed in permanent housing.

3) The YWCA Greater Flint initiates a self-equity questionnaire upon arrival detailing their income, job skills, and history. The plan is to add an individual strengths-based survey, and training to meet the needs, goals and aspirations of those we serve.

4) The YWCA Greater Flint is rolling out Racial Justice training for DEI and cultural competencies. We will implement trauma informed best practices around survivors and employees.

5) We collaborate with Henry Ford for Peer-to-peer and Mentor Moms. We also provide access to faith based institutions to meet the spiritual needs of survivors. We remain vigilant in identifying additional resources needed by victims.

6) Group classes to help with the healing process and navigating the challenges faced by survivors will be evaluated and offered as needed for those in our care. These programs will be available once the survivor is placed in permanent housing as well. We will remain in contact and as a support agent for up to 24 months.

| 4A-3j. | Applicant's Plan for Involving Survivors in Policy and Program Development, Operations, and Evaluation in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s). | |
|---|---|---|
| | NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(f) | |

| | | Describe in the field below how the new project will involve survivors: |
|---|---|---|
| | 1. | with a range of lived expertise; and |
| | 2. | in policy and program development throughout the project's operation. |

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1) The DV survivors the YWCA Greater Flint supports are from a wide range of scenarios and all could provide valuable insight in assessing the impact of the programs and services we provide. The invitation to give input will be extended to all survivors throughout their experience.

2) We will invite survivors to be part of the CQI committee. We intentionally recruit and welcome survivors as employees of the shelter and other areas of the organization, as well as board seats. Program processes and policies will be streamlined through the CQI committee.

# 4B. Attachments Screen For All Application Questions

| | We have provided the following guidance to help you successfully upload attachments and get maximum points: |
|---|---|

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | 1. | You must include a Document Description for each attachment you upload; if you do not, the Submission Summary screen will display a red X indicating the submission is incomplete. |
| | 2. | You must upload an attachment for each document listed where 'Required?' is 'Yes'. |
| | 3. | We prefer that you use PDF files, though other file types are supported–please only use zip files if necessary.  Converting electronic files to PDF, rather than printing documents and scanning them, often produces higher quality images.  Many systems allow you to create PDF files as a Print option.  If you are unfamiliar with this process, you should consult your IT Support or search for information on Google or YouTube. |
| | 4. | Attachments must match the questions they are associated with. |
| | 5. | Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed–including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process. |
| | 6. | If you cannot read the attachment, it is likely we cannot read it either. |
| | | . We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time). |
| | | . We must be able to read everything you want us to consider in any attachment. |
| | 7. | After you upload each attachment, use the Download feature to access and check the attachment to ensure it matches the required Document Type and to ensure it contains all pages you intend to include. |
| | 8. | Only use the "Other" attachment option to meet an attachment requirement that is not otherwise listed in these detailed instructions. |

| Document Type | Required? | Document Description | Date Attached |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1C-7. PHA Homeless Preference | No | | |
| 1C-7. PHA Moving On Preference | No | | |
| 1D-10a. Lived Experience Support Letter | Yes | Lived Experience ... | 10/25/2024 |
| 1D-2a. Housing First Evaluation | Yes | Housing First Eva... | 10/25/2024 |
| 1E-2. Local Competition Scoring Tool | Yes | Local Competition... | 10/25/2024 |
| 1E-2a. Scored Forms for One Project | Yes | Scored Forms for ... | 10/25/2024 |
| 1E-5. Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced | Yes | Notification of P... | 10/25/2024 |
| 1E-5a. Notification of Projects Accepted | Yes | Notifications of ... | 10/25/2024 |
| 1E-5b. Local Competition Selection Results | Yes | Local Competition... | 10/25/2024 |
| 1E-5c. Web Posting–CoC-Approved Consolidated Application | Yes | | |
| 1E-5d. Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application | Yes | | |

| 2A-6. HUD's Homeless Data Exchange (HDX) Competition Report | Yes | FY 2024 HDX Compe... | 10/25/2024 |
|---|---|---|---|
| 3A-1a.  Housing Leveraging Commitments | No | | |
| 3A-2a. Healthcare Formal Agreements | No | | |
| 3C-2. Project List for Other Federal Statutes | No | | |
| Other | No | | |

# Attachment Details

Document Description:

# Attachment Details

Document Description:

# Attachment Details

Document Description:   Lived Experience Support Letter

# Attachment Details

Document Description:   Housing First Evaluation

# Attachment Details

Document Description:   Local Competition Scoring Tool

# Attachment Details

**Document Description:**   Scored Forms for One Project

# Attachment Details

**Document Description:**   Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced

# Attachment Details

**Document Description:**   Notifications of Projects Accepted

# Attachment Details

**Document Description:**   Local Competition Selection Results

# Attachment Details

**Document Description:**

# Attachment Details

**Document Description:**

# Attachment Details

Document Description:   FY 2024 HDX Competition Report

# Attachment Details

Document Description:

# Attachment Details

Document Description:

# Attachment Details

Document Description:

# Attachment Details

Document Description:

# Submission Summary

Ensure that the Project Priority List is complete prior to submitting.

| Page | Last Updated |
|---|---|
| 1A. CoC Identification | 09/17/2024 |
| 1B. Inclusive Structure | 10/25/2024 |
| 1C. Coordination and Engagement | 10/25/2024 |
| 1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd | 10/25/2024 |
| 1E. Project Review/Ranking | 10/25/2024 |
| 2A. HMIS Implementation | 10/25/2024 |
| 2B. Point-in-Time (PIT) Count | 10/25/2024 |
| 2C. System Performance | 10/25/2024 |
| 3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare | 10/22/2024 |
| 3B. Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs | 10/22/2024 |
| 3C. Serving Homeless Under Other Federal Statutes | 10/22/2024 |

| | |
|---|---|
| **4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants** | 10/25/2024 |
| **4B. Attachments Screen** | Please Complete |
| **Submission Summary** | No Input Required |

# Lived Experience
# Support Letter

October 23, 2024
RE: MI-505 FY2024 CoC Consolidated Application
Lived Experience Support Letter

To Whom It May Concern,

Please accept this letter confirming that the Flint/Genesee County CoC (MI-505) continuously involves individuals with lived experience of homelessness in service delivery and decision making.

As the Chair of the Flint/Genesee County CoC, I can confirm that at least four members of our Governance Council have lived experiences of homelessness. Each of these individuals participate regularly in sub-committees, the decision making processes related to addressing homelessness, development and revision of our local competition rating factors, and the revision of our coordinated entry process.

Our Governance Council fully supports the CoC's priority to serve individuals and families experiencing homelessness with severe service needs in our county. The participation of individuals with lived experience of homelessness within our CoC helps our community better assist those with severe service needs.

Sincerely,

Claudnyse Holloman

Chair

Flint/Genesee County CoC

# Housing First Evaluation

# HOUSING FIRST ASSESSMENT WORKSHEET

Project Agency and Name: **GENESEE HEALTH SYSTEM**

Housing First projects are effective in assisting all homeless people access and sustain permanent stable housing. It has been demonstrated that projects can be well-run and safe without imposing requirements that prevent many homeless individuals from entering and/or remaining in housing. As part of the NOFO solicitation for new and renewal projects applicants are required to answer the following questions related to the existing or proposed project's eligibility criteria and project rules.

1. Low Barrier access:

    a. Will/Does the project require clients to pass a background screening prior to project entry (excluding sexual offender/predator check)?

        Yes ☐ No ☒

    b. Will/Does the project require all persons with specified criminal convictions to be excluded on a blanket basis from admission (excluding registered sexual offender/predator, and background screening imposed by other funders)?

        Yes ☐ No ☒

    c. Will/Does the project require participants to be clean and sober for a specified period prior to project entry as a condition for admission?

        Yes ☐ No ☒

    d. Will/Does the project serve individuals and families regardless of sexual orientation, family composition, or marital status and are transgendered persons served according to the gender with which they identify?

        Yes ☒ No ☐

    e. Will/Does the project expedite the admission process including aiding in assembling necessary documents to support the application for admission?

        Yes ☒ No ☐

    f. Does the project actively participate in coordinated entry including attendance at meetings and case conferencing and, if applicable, are all new project entrants being referred through coordinated entry?

Yes ☒ No ☐

2. Housing Retention

   a.  Will/Does the project terminate participants for failure to participate in treatment or support services including case management?

   Yes ☐ No ☒

   b.  Will/Does the project terminate participants solely for engaging in substance use?

   Yes ☐ No ☒

   c. Will/Does the project require participants to obtain earned or benefit income as a condition of remaining in the project?

   Yes ☐ No ☒

   d. Will/Does the project make all efforts to avoid discharging participants into homelessness including referral back to coordinated entry for those who cannot remain in the project?

   Yes ☒ No ☐

   e. Are/Will project participants held to standards/behaviors not found in mainstream leases (such as not being allowed visitors, curfews, required to do chores, or not allowed to have alcoholic beverages in their

   Yes ☐ No ☒

3. Participant engagement

   a.  Will/Does the project provide participant choice in accessing services and are efforts made to connect participants to community-based services?

   Yes ☒ No ☐

   b. Will/Does the project provide regular opportunities for program participants to provide input on project policies and operations?

Yes ☒ No ☐

c. Will/Does the project employ Person Centered Planning as a guiding principle of the service planning process? Person Centered Planning focuses on helping the individual to identify and then accomplish their own goals in terms of relationships, community and program participation, achieving control over their lives, and developing the skills and resources needed to accomplish these goals

Yes ☒ No ☐

d. Are/Will the project staffed be trained in clinical and non-clinical strategies to support participant engagement including harm reduction, motivational interviewing, trauma informed approaches, and strength based?

Yes ☒ No ☐

TOTAL SCORE: _____

Certification of Responses

I attest that the answers above are true and are provided without any additional comment or clarification.

_Danis Russell_

Authorized Applicant Signature

Danis Russell, CEO
_____
Authorized Applicant Name and Title

09/09/2024
_____
Date

# Local Competition
# Scoring Tool

## NAVIGATION

| GO | Customize Threshold Requirements |
|---|---|
| GO | Filter Rating Factors |
| GO | Customize Renewal/Expansion Project Rating Tool |
| GO | Customize New Project Rating Tool |

## CUSTOMIZE NEW AND RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

### CoC Threshold Requirements

*(Delete the X in the box next to any requirements you do not wish to include.)*

| X | Coordinated Entry Participation |
|---|---|
| X | Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation |
| X | Documented, secured minimum match |
| X | Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit, as defined locally |
| X | Project is financially feasible |
| X | Applicant is active CoC participant |
| X | Application is complete and data are consistent |
| X | Data quality at or above 90% |
| X | Bed/unit utilization rate at or above 90% |
| X | Acceptable organizational audit/financial review |

*(The first five requirements are recommended to included in the rating process either as Thresh Requirements or as Rating Factors)*

## FILTER RATING FACTORS

### Select project type

**All**

*Using these drop-down menus, select which rating factors to show and customize*

### Select special popul

**All**

## CUSTOMIZE RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

*Delete the X in the box besides any rating factor below that you do not wish to include. If desired, adjust the factor/goal and point value for each measure. You can add additional locally-defined criteria below. See the Data Source Chart for information about where to obtain data to use in scoring.*

### Performance Measures

| | | Factor/Goal | | Max Point Valu | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Length of Stay** | | | | | |
| X | RRH (General) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in | 15 | days | 20 | points |
| X | RRH (DV) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in | 30 | days | 20 | points |
| X | PSH (General) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in | 15 | days | 20 | points |
| X | PSH (DV) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in | 15 | days | 20 | points |
| X | TH (General) - On average, participants stay in project XX days | 180 | days | 20 | points |
| X | TH (DV) - On average, participants stay in project XX days | 180 | days | 20 | points |
| X | TH+RRH (General) - TH Component (General) - On average, participants stay in project XX days | 180 | days | 10 | points |
| X | TH+RRH (DV) - TH Component - On average, participants stay in project XX days | 180 | days | 10 | points |
| X | TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in | 15 | days | 10 | points |
| X | TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in | 15 | days | 10 | points |
| **Exits to Permanent Housing** | | | | | |
| X | RRH (General) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing | 90 | % | 25 | points |
| X | RRH (DV) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing | 80 | % | 25 | points |
| X | PSH (General) - Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing | 90 | % | 25 | points |
| X | PSH (DV) - Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing | 90 | % | 25 | points |
| X | TH (General) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing | 90 | % | 25 | points |
| X | TH (DV) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing | 90 | % | 25 | points |
| X | TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent move to permanent housing | 90 | % | 25 | points |
| X | TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent move to permanent housing | 90 | % | 25 | points |
| **Returns to Homelessness (if data is available for project)** | | | | | |
| X | RRH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing | 10 | % | 15 | points |
| X | RRH (DV) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing | 20 | % | 10 | points |
| X | PSH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing | 10 | % | 15 | points |
| X | PSH (DV) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing | 20 | % | 10 | points |
| X | TH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing | 10 | % | 15 | points |
| X | TH (DV) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing | 20 | % | 10 | points |
| X | TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing | 10 | % | 15 | points |
| X | TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing | 20 | % | 10 | points |
| **New or Increased Income and Earned Income** | | | | | |
| X | RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers | 8 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers | 8 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers | 8 | % | 2.5 | points |

| | Criteria | Value | | Points | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| X | PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers | 8 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers | 8 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers | 8 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers | 8 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers | 8 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers | 10 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers | 10 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers | 10 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers | 10 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers | 10 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers | 10 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers | 10 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers | 10 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers | 15 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers | 15 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers | 15 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers | 15 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers | 15 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers | 15 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers | 15 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers | 15 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers | 25 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers | 25 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers | 25 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers | 25 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers | 25 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers | 25 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers | 25 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers | 25 | % | 2.5 | points |

## Serve High Needs Populations

| | Criteria | Value | | Points | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| X | Project focuses on chronically homeless people | *<-- select from drop-down menu* | | | |
| X | RRH (General) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless | 95 | % | 20 | points |
| X | RRH (DV) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless | | % | | points |
| X | PSH (General) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless | 95 | % | 20 | points |
| X | PSH (DV) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless | | % | | points |
| X | TH (General) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless | 95 | % | 20 | points |
| X | TH (DV) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless | | % | | points |
| X | TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - XX% of participants are chronically homeless | 95 | % | 20 | points |
| X | TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - XX% of participants are chronically homeless | | % | | points |

## Project Effectiveness

| | Criteria | Value | | Points | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| X | RRH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type | Yes | | 20 | points |
| X | RRH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type | Yes | | 10 | points |
| X | PSH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type | Yes | | 20 | points |
| X | PSH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type | Yes | | 10 | points |
| X | TH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type | Yes | | 20 | points |
| X | TH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type | Yes | | 10 | points |
| X | TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type | Yes | | 20 | points |
| X | TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type | Yes | | 10 | points |
| X | RRH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects) | 95 | % | 10 | points |
| X | RRH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects) | 95 | % | 10 | points |
| X | PSH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects) | 95 | % | 10 | points |
| X | PSH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects) | 95 | % | 10 | points |
| X | TH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects) | 95 | % | 10 | points |
| X | TH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects) | 95 | % | 10 | points |
| X | TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects) | 95 | % | 10 | points |
| X | TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects) | 95 | % | 10 | points |
| X | RRH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of  project policies and procedures | Yes | | 10 | points |
| X | RRH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of  project policies and procedures | Yes | | 10 | points |
| X | PSH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of  project policies and procedures | Yes | | 10 | points |
| X | PSH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of  project policies and procedures | Yes | | 10 | points |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| X | TH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures | Yes | 10 points |
| X | TH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures | Yes | 10 points |
| X | TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures | Yes | 10 points |
| X | TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures | Yes | 10 points |

## Equity Factors

**Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies**

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| X | Recipient has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions | Yes | 10 points |
| X | Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience of homelessness | Yes | 10 points |
| X | Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience of homelessness | Yes | 10 points |
| X | Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers | Yes | 10 points |

**Program Participant Outcomes**

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| X | Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations | Yes | 10 points |
| X | Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes | Yes | 10 points |
| X | Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations | Yes | 10 points |

## Other and Local Criteria          *(select from drop-down menu)*

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| X | CoC Monitoring Score | Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards | Yes | 10 points |

| **Total Maximum Score** | | |
|---|---|---|
| RRH-General projects: | 210 | points |
| RRH-DV projects: | 175 | points |
| PSH-General projects: | 210 | points |
| PSH-DV projects: | 175 | points |
| TH-General projects: | 210 | points |
| TH-DV projects: | 175 | points |
| TH+RRH-General projects: | 210 | points |
| TH+RRH-DV projects: | 175 | points |

## CUSTOMIZE NEW PROJECT RATING TOOL

| Experience | Factor/Goal | Max Point Value |
|---|---|---|

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| X General-A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application. | | 15 points |
| X DV-A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application. | | 15 points |
| X General-B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, self-disclosed or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity or gender expression. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases. | | 10 points |
| X DV-B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, self-disclosed or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity or gender expression. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases. | | 10 points |
| X General-C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants. | | 5 points |
| X DV-C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants. | | 5 points |

## Design of Housing & Supportive Services

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| X General-A. Extent to which the applicant 1) Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2) Demonstrates that type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the needs of clients to be served. 4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits. 5) Establishes performances measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks. | | 15 points |
| X DV-A. Extent to which the applicant 1) Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2) Demonstrates that type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the needs of clients to be served. 4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits. 5) Establishes performances measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks. | | 15 points |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| X | General-B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs. | 5 | points |
| X | DV-B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs. | 5 | points |
| X | General-C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently. | 5 | points |
| X | DV-C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently. | 5 | points |
| X | General-D. Project leverages housing resources with housing subsidies or units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs. | 10 | points |
| X | DV-D. Project leverages housing resources with housing subsidies or units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs. | 10 | points |
| X | General-E. Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization. | 10 | points |
| X | DV-E. Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization. | 10 | points |

## Timeliness

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| X | General-A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award. | 10 | points |
| X | DV-A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award. | 10 | points |

## Financial

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| X | General-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. | | 5 | points |
| X | DV-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. | | 5 | points |
| | B. Organization's most recent audit: | | | |
| X | General-1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess | | 5 | points |
| X | DV-1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess | | 5 | points |
| X | General-2. Identified agency as 'low risk' | | 5 | points |
| X | DV-2. Identified agency as 'low risk' | | 5 | points |
| X | General-3. Indicates no findings | | 5 | points |
| X | DV-3. Indicates no findings | | 5 | points |
| X | General-C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements. | | 5 | points |
| X | DV-C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements. | | 5 | points |
| X | General-D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable. | | 20 | points |
| X | DV-D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable. | | 20 | points |

## Project Effectiveness

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| X | General-Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals | 95 | % | 5 | points |
| X | DV-Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals | 95 | % | 5 | points |

## Equity Factors

### Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| X | New project has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions | Yes | 10 | points |
| X | New project's organizational board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience (per 578.75(g)) | Yes | 10 | points |
| X | New project has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience or a plan to create one | Yes | 10 | points |
| X | New project has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers that exacerbate disparities and outcomes | Yes | 10 | points |

### Program Participant Outcomes

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| X | New project describes their plan for reviewing program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age. If already implementing a plan, describe findings from outcomes review | 10 | points |
| X | New project describes plan to review whether programmatic changes are needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review | 10 | points |
| X | New project describes plan to work with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and or/age. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review | 10 | points |

## Other and Local Criteria

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Total Maximum Score** | | General projects: | 120 points |

# RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: _____

Organization Name: _____

Project Type: _____

Project Identifier: _____

| RATING FACTOR | PERFORMANCE GOAL | PERFORMANCE | POINTS AWARDED | | MAX POINT VALUE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **PERFORMANCE MEASURES** | | | | | |
| **Length of Stay** | | | | | |
| Rapid Re-Housing | On average, participants are placed in housing 15 days after referral to RRH | ☐ days | ☐ | out of | 20 |
| **Exits to Permanent Housing** | | | | | |
| Rapid Re-Housing | 90% move to PH | ☐ % | ☐ | out of | 25 |
| **Returns to Homelessness** | | | | | |
| Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing | ≤ 10% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH | ☐ % | ☐ | out of | 15 |
| **New or Increased Income and Earned Income** | | | | | |
| Earned income for project stayers | 8%+ of participants with new or increased income | ☐ % | ☐ | out of | 2.5 |
| Non-employment income for project stayers | 10%+ of participants with new or increased income | ☐ % | ☐ | out of | 2.5 |
| Earned income for project leavers | 15%+ of participants with new or increased income | ☐ % | ☐ | out of | 2.5 |
| Non-employment income for project leavers | 25%+ of participants with new or increased income | ☐ % | ☐ | out of | 2.5 |
| **Performance Measures Subtotal** | | | 0 | out of | **70** |
| **SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS** | | | | | |
| Rapid Re-Housing | ≥ 95% of participants are chronically homeless | ☐ % | ☐ | out of | 20 |
| **Serve High Need Populations Subtotal** | | | 0 | out of | **20** |
| **PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS** | | | | | |
| Project has reasonable costs | Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type | ☐ | ☐ | out of | 20 |

## RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: _____

Organization Name: _____

Project Type: _____

Project Identifier: _____

| RATING FACTOR | PERFORMANCE GOAL | PERFORMANCE | POINTS AWARDED | | MAX POINT VALUE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Coordinated Entry Participation | ≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals | [     ]% | [     ] | out of | 10 |
| Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation | Commits to applying Housing First model | [     ] | [     ] | out of | 10 |
| **Project Effectiveness Subtotal** | | | 0 | out of | **40** |

**EQUITY FACTORS**

**Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies**

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Recipient Management & Leadership Positions | BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation | [     ] | [     ] | out of | 10 |
| Recipient Board of Directors | BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation | [     ] | [     ] | out of | 10 |
| Process for receiving & incorporating feedback | Process includes persons with lived experience | [     ] | [     ] | out of | 10 |
| Internal Policies and Procedures | Policies with equitable lense, no undue barriers | [     ] | [     ] | out of | 10 |

**Program Participant Outcomes**

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Outcomes with an equity lens | Data disaggregated by underserved populations | [     ] | [     ] | out of | 10 |
| Program changes for equitable outcomes | Plan to create more equitable program outcomes | [     ] | [     ] | out of | 10 |
| HMIS data review with equity lens | Plan to review disaggregated data | [     ] | [     ] | out of | 10 |
| **Equity Factors Subtotal** | | | 0 | out of | **70** |

**OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA**

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| CoC Monitoring Score | Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards | [     ] | [     ] | out of | 10 |
| **Other and Local Criteria Subtotal** | | | 0 | out of | **10** |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **TOTAL SCORE** | | | 0 | out of | **210** |
| **Weighted Rating Score** | | | | out of | **100** |

**PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| CoC funding requested | *NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab* | $ - |
| Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city) | | [     ] |
| Amount of private funding | | [     ] |
| **TOTAL PROJECT COST** | | $ - |

# RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: _____

Organization Name: _____

Project Type: _____

Project Identifier: _____

| RATING FACTOR | PERFORMANCE GOAL | PERFORMANCE | POINTS AWARDED | MAX POINT VALUE |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year | *NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab* | | | $ - |
| CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year | *NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab* | | | $ - |
| **Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year** | | | | **0%** |

# NEW PROJECTS THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: _____    *Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list*

Organization Name: _____

Project Type: _____    *If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.*

Project Identifier: _____

New Projects
Threshold Review Complete

| 0% |

| THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS | YES/NO |
|---|---|

*For each threshold, select "Yes" if applicant has fulfilled the threshold requirement and is eligible to submit an application.*

Stakeholders should NOT assume all requirements are fully addressed through this tool. CoC Program application requirements change periodically and annual NOFAs may provide more detailed guidance. The CoC collaborative

☐ Yes to all

## HUD THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

1. Applicant has Active SAM registration with current information, and maintains an active SAM registration annually.

2. Applicant has Valid UEI (Unique Entity Identifier) Number.

3. CoC Program Eligibility – Project applicants and potential subrecipients meet the eligibility requirements of the CoC Program as described in the Act and the Rule and provide evidence of eligibility required in the application (e.g., nonprofit documentation).

4. Financial and Management Capacity: Project applicants and subrecipients demonstrate the financial and management capacity and experience to carry out the project as detailed in the project application and the capacity to administer federal funds.

5. Certifications - Project applicants submit the required certifications specified in the NOFO.

6. Population Served - The population to be served meets program eligibility requirements as described in the Act, the Rule, and the NOFO.

7. HMIS Participation - Project applicants, except Collaborative Applicants that only receive awards for CoC planning costs and, if applicable, UFA Costs, agree to participate in a local HMIS system. However, in accordance with Section 407 of the Act, any victim service provider that is a recipient or subrecipient not disclose, for purposes of HMIS, any personally identifying information about any client. Victim service providers use a comparable database that captures the required HMIS data in addition to meeting the needs of the local HMIS.

8. Applicant has no Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts – It is HUD policy, consistent with the purposes and intent of 31 U.S.C. 3720B and 28 U.S.C. 3201(e), that applicants with outstanding delinquent federal debt will not be eligible to receive an award of funds unless.

   a) A negotiated repayment schedule is established and the repayment schedule is not delinquent, or

   b) Other arrangements satisfactory to HUD are made before the award of funds by HUD

9. Applicant has no Debarments and/or Suspensions – In accordance with 2 CFR 2424, no award of federal funds may be made to debarred or suspended applicants, or those proposed to be debarred or suspended from doing business with the Federal government.

10. Pre-selection Review of Performance - If your organization has delinquent federal debt or is excluded from doing business with the Federal government, the organization may be ineligible for an award. In addition, before making a Federal award, HUD reviews information available through any OMB-designated repositories of government-wide eligibility qualification or financial integrity information, such as Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS), and the "Do Not Pay" website. HUD reserves the right to:

   a) Deny funding, or with a renewal or continuing award, consider suspension or termination of an award immediately for cause;

   b) Require the removal of any key individual from association with management or implementation of the award; and

   c) Make provisions or revisions regarding the method of payment or financial reporting requirements

11. Sufficiency of Financial Management System - HUD will not award or disburse funds to applicants that do not have a financial management system that meets Federal standards as described at 2 CFR 200.302. HUD may arrange for a survey of financial management systems for applicants selected for award who have not previously received Federal financial assistance, where HUD Program officials have reason to question whether a financial management system meets Federal standards, or for applicants considered high risk based on past performance or financial management findings.

# NEW PROJECTS THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: _____          *Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list*

Organization Name: _____

Project Type: _____          *If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the*          New Projects
                                                 *LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.*          Threshold Review Complete

Project Identifier: _____                                                  | 0% |

| THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS | YES/NO |
|---|---|
| 12. False Statements - A false statement in an application is grounds for denial or termination of an award and may result in criminal, civil, and/or administrative sanctions, including fines, penalties, and imprisonment. Recipient or applicant confirms all statements are truthful. | |
| 13. Mandatory Disclosure Requirement - Recipients or applicants disclose in writing to the awarding program office at HUD, all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award within ten days after learning of the violation. Recipients that have received a Federal award including the term and condition outlined in Appendix XII to 2 CFR part 200—Award Term and Condition for Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters are required to report certain civil, criminal, or administrative proceedings to SAM. Failure to make required disclosures can result in any of the remedies described in § 200.338 Remedies for noncompliance, including suspension or debarment. (See also 2 CFR part 180, 31 U.S.C. 3321,and.S.C. 2313.) | |
| 14. Prohibition Against Lobbying Activities - Applicants are subject to the provisions of Section 319 of Public Law 101-121, 31 U.S.C. 1352, (the Byrd Amendment), and 24 CFR part 87, which prohibit recipients of federal awards from using appropriated funds for lobbying the executive or legislative branches of the Federal government in connection with a Federal award. All applicants submit with their application the signed Certification Regarding Lobbying included in the Application download from Grants.gov. In addition, applicants disclose, using Standard Form LLL (SFLLL), "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities," any funds, other than federally appropriated funds, that will be or have been used to influence federal employees, members of Congress, or congressional staff regarding specific awards. Federally-recognized Indian tribes and tribally designated housing entities (TDHEs) established by federally-recognized Indian tribes as a result of the exercise of the tribe's sovereign power are excluded from coverage of the Byrd Amendment, but state-recognized Indian tribes and TDHEs established only under state law shall comply with this requirement. Applicants submit the SFLLL if they have used or intend to use non-federal funds for lobbying activities. | |
| 15. Equal Participation of Faith-Based Organizations in HUD Programs and Activities – Projects ensure that all projects meet the requirements under 24 CFR 5.109. On April 4, 2016, HUD amended 24 CFR 5.109 consistent with E.O. 13559, entitled Fundamental Principles and Policymaking Criteria for Partnerships with Faith-Based and Other Neighborhood Organizations (75 Fed. Reg. 71319 (Nov. 22, 2010)). (See 81 FR 19355). These regulations apply to all HUD programs and activities, including all of HUD's Native American Programs, except as may be otherwise provided in the respective program regulations, or unless inconsistent with the respective program authorizing statute. | |
| 16. Resolution of Civil Rights Matters - Outstanding civil rights matters be resolved before the application submission deadline. Project applicants, who after review are confirmed to have civil rights matters unresolved at the application submission deadline, will be deemed ineligible. Their applications will receive no further review, will not be rated and ranked, and will not receive funding. | |

## CoC THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

*For each requirement, select "Yes" if the project has provided reasonable assurances that the project will meet the requirement, has been given an exception by the CoC or will request a waiver from HUD. Otherwise select "No".*

| | |
|---|---|
| Coordinated Entry Participation | |
| Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation | |
| Documented, secured minimum match | |
| Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit, as defined locally | |
| Project is financially feasible | |
| Applicant is active CoC participant | |
| Application is complete and data are consistent | |
| Data quality at or above 90% | |
| Bed/unit utilization rate at or above 90% | |
| Acceptable organizational audit/financial review | |

## NEW PROJECTS THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: _____

*Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list*

Organization Name: _____

Project Type: _____

*If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.*

Project Identifier: _____

New Projects
Threshold Review Complete

| 0% |
|---|

| THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS | YES/NO |
|---|---|

# Scored Forms for
# One Project

# RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: SOF CHI Renewal
Organization Name: Shelter of Flint
Project Type: PSH (General)
Project Identifier:

| RATING FACTOR | PERFORMANCE GOAL | PERFORMANCE | POINTS AWARDED | | MAX POINT VALUE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

### Length of Stay

| Permanent Supportive-Housing | On average, participants are placed in housing 60 days after referral to PSH  APR reports 0 | days | | out of | 20 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### Exits to Permanent Housing

| Permanent Supportive-Housing | 90% remain in or move to PH  APR reports 85%; SOF reported 11% | % | | out of | 25 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### Returns to Homelessness

| Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing | ≤ 10% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH  Local data required, none provided | % | | out of | 15 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### New or Increased Income and Earned Income

| Earned income for project stayers | 8%+ of participants with new or increased income  APR 19a1 reports 38% | % | 2.5 | out of | 2.5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Non-employment income for project stayers | 10%+ of participants with new or increased income  APR 19a1 reports 0% | % | 0 | out of | 2.5 |
| Earned income for project leavers | 15%+ of participants with new or increased income  APR 19a2 reports 0% | % | 0 | out of | 2.5 |
| | 25%+ of participants with new or increased income  APR 19a2 reports 33% | % | 2.5 | | |
| Non-employment income for project leavers | | | | out of | 2.5 |

| Performance Measures Subtotal | | | 5 | out of | **70** |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

## SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS

| Permanent Supportive-Housing | ≥ 95% of participants are chronically homeless  APR reports 50%; SOF reported 25% | 50 % | 10 | out of | 20 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

| Serve High Need Populations Subtotal | | | 10 | out of | **20** |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

## PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS

| Project has reasonable costs | Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type | | | out of | 20 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

# RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: SOF CHI Renewal

Organization Name: Shelter of Flint

Project Type: PSH (General)

Project Identifier:

| RATING FACTOR | PERFORMANCE GOAL | PERFORMANCE | POINTS AWARDED | | MAX POINT VALUE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Coordinated Entry Participation | ≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals | 100 % | 10 | out of | 10 |
| Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation | Commits to applying Housing First model | Yes | 10 | out of | 10 |
| **Project Effectiveness Subtotal** | | | 40 | out of | **40** |

**EQUITY FACTORS**

**Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies**

| RATING FACTOR | PERFORMANCE GOAL | PERFORMANCE | POINTS AWARDED | | MAX POINT VALUE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Recipient Management & Leadership Positions | BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation | Yes | 10 | out of | 10 |
| Recipient Board of Directors | BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation | Yes | 10 | out of | 10 |
| Process for receiving & incorporating feedback | Process includes persons with lived experience | Yes | 10 | out of | 10 |
| Internal Policies and Procedures | Policies with equitable lense, no undue barriers | Yes | 10 | out of | 10 |

**Program Participant Outcomes**

| RATING FACTOR | PERFORMANCE GOAL | PERFORMANCE | POINTS AWARDED | | MAX POINT VALUE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Outcomes with an equity lens | Data disaggregated by underserved populations | Yes | 10 | out of | 10 |
| Program changes for equitable outcomes | Plan to create more equitable program outcomes | Yes | 10 | out of | 10 |
| HMIS data review with equity lens | Plan to review disaggregated data | Yes | 10 | out of | 10 |
| **Equity Factors Subtotal** | | | 70 | out of | **70** |

**OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA**

| RATING FACTOR | PERFORMANCE GOAL | PERFORMANCE | POINTS AWARDED | | MAX POINT VALUE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| CoC Monitoring Score | Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards | Yes | 10 | out of | 10 |
| **Other and Local Criteria Subtotal** | | | 10 | out of | **10** |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **TOTAL SCORE** | | | 155 | out of | **210** |
| **Weighted Rating Score** | | | 74 | out of | **100** |

**PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| CoC funding requested | *NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab* | - |
| Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city) | | |
| Amount of private funding | | |
| **TOTAL PROJECT COST** | | $ - |

# Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced

MI-505 did not reject or reduce any projects.

# Notification of Projects Accepted

Delete      Archive      Report ⌄      ↩ Reply      ↩ Reply all      ↗ Forward ⌄      Zoom      ✉ R      ⌄

## FY 2024 Continuum of Care (CoC) Final Funding Recommendation

**H** ⊗      **Housing**                                                      ☺ ↩ ↩ ↗ ⊞ ···

To: Tracey Hurd <thurd@metroflint.org>;  Theresa Hurley <thurley@ywcaflint.c    Fri 10/11/2024 5:04 PM

Cc: Trina Sanders <trinasanders@ywcaflint.org>;  DeAndra Larkin <deandralar

Dear YWCA,

On behalf of the Rating & Ranking Committee and Governance Council, I am pleased to notify you that the YWCA TH/RRH DV - TH/PH-RRH has been ACCEPTED and was recommended for inclusion in the MI-505 Priority Listing and Consolidated Application submission for FY 2024-25. The Rating & Ranking Committee and Governance Council voted to approve the below the Rating & Ranking Committee's final funding recommendations on 10/8/24.

Funding Recommendation: $256,733
Project Score (out of 100): 71
Project Rank:     9
Tier: 1

Please note that the amount Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) funds for your project application may be different than what was requested in your original application budget due to funding limitations and priorities for homelessness activities or HUD may not award the recommended funding due to other deficiencies in the application.

We appreciate all that your organization does for the community.

Sincerely,
Infrastructure Organization/Collaborative Applicant (IO/CA)
Flint/Genesee County Continuum of Care (CoC)
MI-505 CoC
Greater Flint Health Coalition

**Outlook**

## FY 2024 Continuum of Care (CoC) Final Funding Recommendation

**From** Housing <housing@flint.org>

**Date** Fri 10/11/2024 5:00 PM

**To** Tracey Hurd <thurd@metroflint.org>; Doub, William <WDoub@genhs.org>

**Cc** Levy, Ebony <elevy@genhs.org>; bglowiak@metroflint.org <bglowiak@metroflint.org>; Housing <housing@flint.org>

Dear Metro Community Development,

On behalf of the Rating & Ranking Committee and Governance Council, I am pleased to notify you that the GHS Lease Up Renewal - PSH  has been ACCEPTED and was recommended for inclusion in the MI-505 Priority Listing and Consolidated Application submission for FY 2024-25. The Rating & Ranking Committee and Governance Council voted to approve the below the Rating & Ranking Committee's final funding recommendations on 10/8/24.

Funding Recommendation: $428,141
Project Score (out of 100): 83
Project Rank:    4
Tier: 1

Please note that the amount Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) funds for your project application may be different than what was requested in your original application budget due to funding limitations and priorities for homelessness activities or HUD may not award the recommended funding due to other deficiencies in the application.

We appreciate all that your organization does for the community.

Sincerely,

Infrastructure Organization/Collaborative Applicant (IO/CA)
Flint/Genesee County Continuum of Care (CoC)
MI-505 CoC

**Outlook**

## FY 2024 Continuum of Care (CoC) Final Funding Recommendation

**From** Housing <housing@flint.org>

**Date** Fri 10/11/2024 5:00 PM

**To** Tracey Hurd <thurd@metroflint.org>; Linda Bielskis <lbielskis@shelterofflint.org>

**Cc** Shelly Hoffman <shoffman@shelterofflint.org>; bglowiak@metroflint.org <bglowiak@metroflint.org>; Housing <housing@flint.org>

Dear Metro Community Development,

On behalf of the Rating & Ranking Committee and Governance Council, I am pleased to notify you that the SOF Manor Renewal - PSH has been ACCEPTED and was recommended for inclusion in the MI-505 Priority Listing and Consolidated Application submission for FY 2024-25. The Rating & Ranking Committee and Governance Council voted to approve the below the Rating & Ranking Committee's final funding recommendations on 10/8/24.

       Funding Recommendation: $75,478

       Project Score (out of 100): 80

       Project Rank:     5

       Tier: 1

Please note that the amount Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) funds for your project application may be different than what was requested in your original application budget due to funding limitations and priorities for homelessness activities or HUD may not award the recommended funding due to other deficiencies in the application.

We appreciate all that your organization does for the community.

Sincerely,

Infrastructure Organization/Collaborative Applicant (IO/CA)

Flint/Genesee County Continuum of Care (CoC)

MI-505 CoC

Greater Flint Health Coalition

Outlook

## FY 2024 Continuum of Care (CoC) Final Funding Recommendation

**From** Housing <housing@flint.org>
**Date** Fri 10/11/2024 5:00 PM
**To** Tracey Hurd <thurd@metroflint.org>; Linda Bielskis <lbielskis@shelterofflint.org>
**Cc** Shelly Hoffman <shoffman@shelterofflint.org>; bglowiak@metroflint.org <bglowiak@metroflint.org>; Housing <housing@flint.org>

Dear Metro Community Development,

On behalf of the Rating & Ranking Committee and Governance Council, I am pleased to notify you that the SOF CoC Lease Up Renewal - PSH has been ACCEPTED and was recommended for inclusion in the MI-505 Priority Listing and Consolidated Application submission for FY 2024-25. The Rating & Ranking Committee and Governance Council voted to approve the below the Rating & Ranking Committee's final funding recommendations on 10/8/24.

> Funding Recommendation: $322,471
> Project Score (out of 100): 76
> Project Rank:      6
> Tier: 1

Please note that the amount Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) funds for your project application may be different than what was requested in your original application budget due to funding limitations and priorities for homelessness activities or HUD may not award the recommended funding due to other deficiencies in the application.

We appreciate all that your organization does for the community.

Sincerely,

Infrastructure Organization/Collaborative Applicant (IO/CA)
Flint/Genesee County Continuum of Care (CoC)
MI-505 CoC
Greater Flint Health Coalition

Delete        Archive        Report ∨        Reply        Reply all        Forward ∨        Zoom        R        ∨

**FY 2024 Continuum of Care (CoC) Final Funding Recommendation**

H    Housing                                                    ☺ ↩ ↩ ↪ ⊞ ⋯

⊗  To: Tracey Hurd <thurd@metroflint.org>;  Jime Perlaki <jimp@reach-traversep    Fri 10/11/2024 5:00 PM

Cc:  bglowiak@metroflint.org;  ⊗ Housing

Dear Metro Community Development,

On behalf of the Rating & Ranking Committee and Governance Council, I am pleased to notify you that the GCYC TL Renewal has been ACCEPTED and was recommended for inclusion in the MI-505 Priority Listing and Consolidated Application submission for FY 2024-25. The Rating & Ranking Committee and Governance Council voted to approve the below the Rating & Ranking Committee's final funding recommendations on 10/8/24.

    Funding Recommendation: $126,654
    Project Score (out of 100): 74
    Project Rank:     8
    Tier: 1

Please note that the amount Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) funds for your project application may be different than what was requested in your original application budget due to funding limitations and priorities for homelessness activities or HUD may not award the recommended funding due to other deficiencies in the application.

We appreciate all that your organization does for the community.

Sincerely,

Infrastructure Organization/Collaborative Applicant (IO/CA)
Flint/Genesee County Continuum of Care (CoC)
MI-505 CoC
Greater Flint Health Coalition

Delete    Archive    Report ∨    Reply    Reply all    Forward ∨    Zoom    R ∨

## FY 2024 Continuum of Care (CoC) Final Funding Recommendation

**H**    Housing    😊 ↩ ↩ ↪ ⊞ ⋯

⊗ To: Tracey Hurd <thurd@metroflint.org>    Fri 10/11/2024 5:00 PM

Cc: bglowiak@metroflint.org;  ⊗ Housing

Dear Metro Community Development,

On behalf of the Rating & Ranking Committee and Governance Council, I am pleased to notify you that the HMIS Renewal has been ACCEPTED and was recommended for inclusion in the MI-505 Priority Listing and Consolidated Application submission for FY 2024-25. The Rating & Ranking Committee and Governance Council voted to approve the below the Rating & Ranking Committee's final funding recommendations on 10/8/24.

    Funding Recommendation: $89,577
    Project Score (out of 100): N/A
    Project Rank:    1
    Tier: 1

Please note that the amount Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) funds for your project application may be different than what was requested in your original application budget due to funding limitations and priorities for homelessness activities or HUD may not award the recommended funding due to other deficiencies in the application.

We appreciate all that your organization does for the community.

Sincerely,

Infrastructure Organization/Collaborative Applicant (IO/CA)
Flint/Genesee County Continuum of Care (CoC)
MI-505 CoC
Greater Flint Health Coalition

Delete      Archive      Report ⌄      Reply      Reply all      Forward ⌄      Zoom      R ⌄

## FY 2024 Continuum of Care (CoC) Final Funding Recommendation

**H**

**Housing**

To: ⊗ Greater Flint Health Coalition                                    Fri 10/11/2024 3:58 PM

Cc: ⊗ Xavier Endress;  ⊗ Housing

Dear Greater Flint Health Coalition,

On behalf of the Rating & Ranking Committee and Governance Council, I am pleased to notify you that the CoC Planning Grant has been ACCEPTED and was recommended for inclusion in the MI-505 Priority Listing and Consolidated Application submission for FY 2024-25. The Rating & Ranking Committee and Governance Council voted to approve the below the Rating & Ranking Committee's final funding recommendations on 10/8/24.

Funding Recommendation: $335,965
Project Score (out of 100): N/A
Project Rank:     Not Ranked
Tier: N/A

Please note that the amount Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) funds for your project application may be different than what was requested in your original application budget due to funding limitations and priorities for homelessness activities or HUD may not award the recommended funding due to other deficiencies in the application.

We appreciate all that your organization does for the community.

Sincerely,

Infrastructure Organization/Collaborative Applicant (IO/CA)
Flint/Genesee County Continuum of Care (CoC)
MI-505 CoC
Greater Flint Health Coalition

Delete    Archive    Report ∨    Reply    Reply all    Forward ∨    Zoom    R   ∨

## FY 2024 Continuum of Care (CoC) Final Funding Recommendation

**H**    Housing          ☺ ↩ ↩ ↪ ⊞ ⋯

To: Tracey Hurd <thurd@metroflint.org>;  Linda Bielskis <lbielskis@shelteroffli    Fri 10/11/2024 5:00 PM

Cc: bglowiak@metroflint.org;  Shelly Hoffman <shoffman@shelterofflint.org>;

Dear Metro Community Development,

On behalf of the Rating & Ranking Committee and Governance Council, I am pleased to notify you that the SOF CHI - PSH has been ACCEPTED and was recommended for inclusion in the MI-505 Priority Listing and Consolidated Application submission for FY 2024-25. The Rating & Ranking Committee and Governance Council voted to approve the below the Rating & Ranking Committee's final funding recommendations on 10/8/24.

> Funding Recommendation: $535,994
> Project Score (out of 100): 74
> Project Rank:     7
> Tier: 1

Please note that the amount Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) funds for your project application may be different than what was requested in your original application budget due to funding limitations and priorities for homelessness activities or HUD may not award the recommended funding due to other deficiencies in the application.

We appreciate all that your organization does for the community.

Sincerely,
Infrastructure Organization/Collaborative Applicant (IO/CA)
Flint/Genesee County Continuum of Care (CoC)
MI-505 CoC
Greater Flint Health Coalition

Dear Metro Community Development,

On behalf of the Rating & Ranking Committee and Governance Council, I am pleased to notify you that the GCYC TL Renewal has been ACCEPTED and was recommended for inclusion in the MI-505 Priority Listing and Consolidated Application submission for FY 2024-25. The Rating & Ranking Committee and Governance Council voted to approve the below the Rating & Ranking Committee's final funding recommendations on 10/8/24.

> Funding Recommendation: $126,654
> Project Score (out of 100): 74
> Project Rank:      8
> Tier: 1

Please note that the amount Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) funds for your project application may be different than what was requested in your original application budget due to funding limitations and priorities for homelessness activities or HUD may not award the recommended funding due to other deficiencies in the application.

We appreciate all that your organization does for the community.

Sincerely,

Infrastructure Organization/Collaborative Applicant (IO/CA)
Flint/Genesee County Continuum of Care (CoC)
MI-505 CoC
Greater Flint Health Coalition

Sent via Automations on ▶️ Airtable

**Outlook**

## FY 2024 Continuum of Care (CoC) Final Funding Recommendation

**From** Housing <housing@flint.org>

**Date** Fri 10/11/2024 5:00 PM

**To** Tracey Hurd <thurd@metroflint.org>; Doub, William <WDoub@genhs.org>

**Cc** Levy, Ebony <elevy@genhs.org>; bglowiak@metroflint.org <bglowiak@metroflint.org>; Housing <housing@flint.org>

Dear Metro Community Development,

On behalf of the Rating & Ranking Committee and Governance Council, I am pleased to notify you that the GHS RRH Renewal - RRH has been ACCEPTED and was recommended for inclusion in the MI-505 Priority Listing and Consolidated Application submission for FY 2024-25. The Rating & Ranking Committee and Governance Council voted to approve the below the Rating & Ranking Committee's final funding recommendations on 10/8/24.

Funding Recommendation: $290,651

Project Score (out of 100): 71

Project Rank:     10

Tier: Tier 1/Tier 2 Split

Please note that the amount Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) funds for your project application may be different than what was requested in your original application budget due to funding limitations and priorities for homelessness activities or HUD may not award the recommended funding due to other deficiencies in the application.

We appreciate all that your organization does for the community.

Sincerely,

Infrastructure Organization/Collaborative Applicant (IO/CA)

Flint/Genesee County Continuum of Care (CoC)

MI-505 CoC

Greater Flint Health Coalition

### Outlook

## FY 2024 Continuum of Care (CoC) Final Funding Recommendation

**From** Housing <housing@flint.org>

**Date** Fri 10/11/2024 5:00 PM

**To** Tracey Hurd <thurd@metroflint.org>

**Cc** Jime Perlaki <jimp@reach-traverseplace.org>; bglowiak@metroflint.org <bglowiak@metroflint.org>; Housing <housing@flint.org>

Dear Metro Community Development,

On behalf of the Rating & Ranking Committee and Governance Council, I am pleased to notify you that the GCYC TH-RRH Renewal - TH/PH-RRH has been ACCEPTED and was recommended for inclusion in the MI-505 Priority Listing and Consolidated Application submission for FY 2024-25. The Rating & Ranking Committee and Governance Council voted to approve the below the Rating & Ranking Committee's final funding recommendations on 10/8/24.

Funding Recommendation: $214,253

Project Score (out of 100): 69

Project Rank:     11

Tier: 2

Please note that the amount Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) funds for your project application may be different than what was requested in your original application budget due to funding limitations and priorities for homelessness activities or HUD may not award the recommended funding due to other deficiencies in the application.

We appreciate all that your organization does for the community.

Sincerely,

Infrastructure Organization/Collaborative Applicant (IO/CA)

Flint/Genesee County Continuum of Care (CoC)

MI-505 CoC

Greater Flint Health Coalition

**o** Outlook

## FY 2024 Continuum of Care (CoC) Final Funding Recommendation

**From**  Housing <housing@flint.org>

**Date**  Fri 10/11/2024 5:00 PM

**To**      Tracey Hurd <thurd@metroflint.org>

**Cc**      Jime Perlaki <jimp@reach-traverseplace.org>; bglowiak@metroflint.org <bglowiak@metroflint.org>; Housing <housing@flint.org>

Dear Metro Community Development,

On behalf of the Rating & Ranking Committee and Governance Council, I am pleased to notify you that the GCYC Outreach  has been ACCEPTED and was recommended for inclusion in the MI-505 Priority Listing and Consolidated Application submission for FY 2024-25. The Rating & Ranking Committee and Governance Council voted to approve the below the Rating & Ranking Committee's final funding recommendations on 10/8/24.

Funding Recommendation: $107,217

Project Score (out of 100): N/A

Project Rank:     3

Tier: 1

Please note that the amount Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) funds for your project application may be different than what was requested in your original application budget due to funding limitations and priorities for homelessness activities or HUD may not award the recommended funding due to other deficiencies in the application.

We appreciate all that your organization does for the community.

Sincerely,

**Outlook**

## FY 2024 Continuum of Care (CoC) Final Funding Recommendation

**From** Housing <housing@flint.org>

**Date** Fri 10/11/2024 5:00 PM

**To** Tracey Hurd <thurd@metroflint.org>

**Cc** bglowiak@metroflint.org <bglowiak@metroflint.org>; Housing <housing@flint.org>

Dear Metro Community Development,

On behalf of the Rating & Ranking Committee and Governance Council, I am pleased to notify you that the Coordinated Entry has been ACCEPTED and was recommended for inclusion in the MI-505 Priority Listing and Consolidated Application submission for FY 2024-25. The Rating & Ranking Committee and Governance Council voted to approve the below the Rating & Ranking Committee's final funding recommendations on 10/8/24.

> Funding Recommendation: $124,374
> Project Score (out of 100): N/A
> Project Rank:     2
> Tier: 1

Please note that the amount Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) funds for your project application may be different than what was requested in your original application budget due to funding limitations and priorities for homelessness activities or HUD may not award the recommended funding due to other deficiencies in the application.

We appreciate all that your organization does for the community.

Sincerely,

**Outlook**

## FY 2024 Continuum of Care (CoC) Final Funding Recommendation

**From** Housing <housing@flint.org>

**Date** Fri 10/11/2024 4:01 PM

**To** Teresa Springer <tspringer@wellnessaids.org>

**Cc** Housing <housing@flint.org>

Dear Wellness Services,

On behalf of the Rating & Ranking Committee and Governance Council, I am pleased to notify you that the Wellness Services – New Project has been ACCEPTED and was recommended for inclusion in the MI-505 Priority Listing and Consolidated Application submission for FY 2024-25. The Rating & Ranking Committee and Governance Council voted to approve the below the Rating & Ranking Committee's final funding recommendations on 10/8/24.

Funding Recommendation: $261,662

Project Score (out of 100): 49

Project Rank:     12

Tier: 2 - CoC Bonus Funding

Please note that the amount Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) funds for your project application may be different than what was requested in your original application budget due to funding limitations and priorities for homelessness activities or HUD may not award the recommended funding due to other deficiencies in the application.

We appreciate all that your organization does for the community.

Sincerely,

Infrastructure Organization/Collaborative Applicant (IO/CA)

Flint/Genesee County Continuum of Care (CoC)

MI-505 CoC

Greater Flint Health Coalition

**Outlook**

## FY 2024 Continuum of Care (CoC) Final Funding Recommendation

**From** Housing <housing@flint.org>

**Date** Fri 10/11/2024 4:01 PM

**To** claudnyse@voicesforcac.org <claudnyse@voicesforcac.org>

**Cc** Housing <housing@flint.org>

Dear Voices for Children,

On behalf of the Rating & Ranking Committee and Governance Council, I am pleased to notify you that the Voices for Children – DV – New Project has been ACCEPTED and was recommended for inclusion in the MI-505 Priority Listing and Consolidated Application submission for FY 2024-25. The Rating & Ranking Committee and Governance Council voted to approve the below the Rating & Ranking Committee's final funding recommendations on 10/8/24.

> Funding Recommendation: $300,000
> Project Score (out of 100): 84
> Project Rank:     14
> Tier: 2– DV Bonus Funding

Please note that the amount Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) funds for your project application may be different than what was requested in your original application budget due to funding limitations and priorities for homelessness activities or HUD may not award the recommended funding due to other deficiencies in the application.

We appreciate all that your organization does for the community.

Sincerely,

Infrastructure Organization/Collaborative Applicant (IO/CA)
Flint/Genesee County Continuum of Care (CoC)
MI-505 CoC
Greater Flint Health Coalition

**Outlook**

## FY 2024 Continuum of Care (CoC) Final Funding Recommendation

**From** Housing <housing@flint.org>

**Date** Fri 10/11/2024 4:00 PM

**To** Vanessa Ferguson <vanessa@actioncommunication.net>; Leon El-Alamin <leonelalamin8@gmail.com>

**Cc** Housing <housing@flint.org>

Dear MADE Institute,

On behalf of the Rating & Ranking Committee and Governance Council, I am pleased to notify you that the MADE Institute New Project has been ACCEPTED and was recommended for inclusion in the MI-505 Priority Listing and Consolidated Application submission for FY 2024-25. The Rating & Ranking Committee and Governance Council voted to approve the below the Rating & Ranking Committee's final funding recommendations on 10/8/24.

> Funding Recommendation: $241,474
> Project Score (out of 100): 73
> Project Rank:     16
> Tier: 2- CoC Bonus Funding

Please note that the amount Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) funds for your project application may be different than what was requested in your original application budget due to funding limitations and priorities for homelessness activities or HUD may not award the recommended funding due to other deficiencies in the application.

We appreciate all that your organization does for the community.

Sincerely,

Infrastructure Organization/Collaborative Applicant (IO/CA)
Flint/Genesee County Continuum of Care (CoC)
MI-505 CoC
Greater Flint Health Coalition

**Outlook**

## FY 2024 Continuum of Care (CoC) Final Funding Recommendation

**From** Housing <housing@flint.org>

**Date** Fri 10/11/2024 3:52 PM

**To** Debra Hayes <debrahayes1.mbk@gmail.com>

**Cc** Housing <housing@flint.org>

Dear My Brother's Keeper,

On behalf of the Rating & Ranking Committee and Governance Council, I am pleased to notify you that the My Brother's Keeper - New Project has been ACCEPTED and was recommended for inclusion in the MI-505 Priority Listing and Consolidated Application submission for FY 2024-25. The Rating & Ranking Committee and Governance Council voted to approve the below the Rating & Ranking Committee's final funding recommendations on 10/8/24.

Funding Recommendation: $303,180

Project Score (out of 100): 76

Project Rank:     13

Tier: 2 - CoC Bonus Funding

Please note that the amount Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) funds for your project application may be different than what was requested in your original application budget due to funding limitations and priorities for homelessness activities or HUD may not award the recommended funding due to other deficiencies in the application.

We appreciate all that your organization does for the community.

Sincerely,

Infrastructure Organization/Collaborative Applicant (IO/CA)

Flint/Genesee County Continuum of Care (CoC)

MI-505 CoC

Greater Flint Health Coalition

# Local Competition
# Selection Results

**FY 2024 CoC Program NOFO**
**MI-505 Flint/Genesee County CoC**
**Project Access, Reject, Reduce Notification**
**Approved Tuesday, October 8, 2024**

| Organization Name | Project Name | Project Type | CoC Funding Request | Renewal New Expansion | Accept | Reject | Reduce | Ranking |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Metro Community Development | HMIS Renewal | HMIS | $89,577.00 | Renewal | X | | | 1 |
| Metro Community Development | Coordinated Entry | SSO-CE | $124,374.00 | Renewal | X | | | 2 |
| Genesee County Youth Corporation (Reach) | GCYC Outreach | SSO | $107,217.00 | Renewal | X | | | 3 |
| Genesee Health System | GHS Lease Up Renewal - PSH | PSH | $428,141.00 | Renewal | X | | | 4 |
| Shelter of Flint | SOF Manor Renewal - PSH | PSH | $75,478.00 | Renewal | X | | | 5 |
| Shelter of Flint | SOF CoC Lease Up Renewal - PSH | PSH | $322,471.00 | Renewal | X | | | 6 |
| Shelter of Flint | SOF CHI - PSH | PSH | $535,994.00 | Renewal | X | | | 7 |
| Genesee County Youth Corporation (Reach) | GCYC TL Renewal | TH | $126,654.00 | Renewal | X | | | 8 |
| YWCA Flint | YWCA TH/RRH DV | TH/RRH DV | $256,733.00 | Renewal | X | | | 9 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | - TH/PH-RRH | | | | | | | | |
| Genesee Health System | GHS RRH Renewal - RRH | RRH | $290,651.00 | Renewal | X | | | | 10 |
| Genesee County Youth Corporation (Reach) | GCYC TH-RRH Renewal - TH/PH-RRH | TH+RRH | $214,253.00 | Renewal | X | | | | 11 |
| Wellness AIDS Services, Inc. | Wellness Services – New | Joint TH & PH-RRH | $261,662.00 | New | X | | | | 12 |
| My Brother's Keeper | My Brother's Keeper – New | TH-PH-RRH | $303,180.00 | New | X | | | | 13 |
| Voices for Children | Voices for Children - DV - New | TH-PH-RRH | $300,000.00 | New | X | | | | 14 |
| YWCA Flint | YWCA - DV - New | TH- PH-RRH | $707,895.00 | New | X | | | | 15 |
| MADE Institute | MADE Institute | TH- PH-RRH | $241,474.00 | New | X | | | | 16 |

# FY 2024 HDX
# Competition Report

**2024 HDX Competition Report**

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data
MI-505 - Flint/Genesee County CoC
FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

**Measure 1: Length of Time Persons Remain Homeless**
This measures the number of clients active in the report date range across ES, SH (Metric 1.1) and then ES, SH and TH (Metric 1.2) along with their average and median length of time homeless. This includes time homeless during the report date range as well as prior to the report start date, going back no further than the look back stop date or client's date of birth, whichever is later.

Metric 1.1: Change in the average and median length of time persons are homeless in ES and SH projects.

Metric 1.2: Change in the average and median length of time persons are homeless in ES, SH, and TH projects.

a. This measure is of the client's entry, exit, and bed night dates strictly as entered in the HMIS system.

| Metric | Universe (Persons) | Average LOT Homeless (bed nights) | Median LOT Homeless (bed nights) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1.1 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, and SH | 1,585 | 56.9 | 19.0 |
| 1.2 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, and TH | 1,640 | 61.3 | 21.0 |

## 2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

MI-505 - Flint/Genesee County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

b. This measure is based on data element 3.917

This measure includes data from each client's Living Situation (Data Standards element 3.917) response as well as time spent in permanent housing projects between Project Start and Housing Move-In. This information is added to the client's entry date, effectively extending the client's entry date backward in time. This "adjusted entry date" is then used in the calculations just as if it were the client's actual entry date.

| Metric | Universe (Persons) | Average LOT Homeless (bed nights) | Median LOT Homeless (bed nights) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1.1 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, and PH (prior to "housing move in") | 1,702 | 676.6 | 204.0 |
| 1.2 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH, and PH (prior to "housing move in") | 1,742 | 669.1 | 201.0 |

**2024 HDX Competition Report**

## 2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

MI-505 - Flint/Genesee County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

### Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness for Persons who Exit to Permanent Housing (PH) Destinations

This measures clients who exited SO, ES, TH, SH or PH to a permanent housing destination in the date range two years prior to the report date range. Of those clients, the measure reports on how many of them returned to homelessness as indicated in the HMIS for up to two years after their initial exit.

| Metric | Total # of Persons Exited to a PH Destination (2 Yrs Prior) | Returns to Homelessness in Less than 6 Months (0 - 180 days) | | Returns to Homelessness from 6 to 12 Months (181 - 365 days) | | Returns to Homelessness from 13 to 24 Months (366 - 730 days) | | Number of Returns in 2 Years | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Count | Count | % of Returns | Count | % of Returns4 | Count | % of Returns6 | Count | % of Returns8 |
| Exit was from SO | 27 | 2 | 7.4% | 0 | 0.0% | 1 | 3.7% | 3 | 11.1% |
| Exit was from ES | 420 | 119 | 28.3% | 22 | 5.2% | 28 | 6.7% | 169 | 40.2% |
| Exit was from TH | 22 | 3 | 13.6% | 0 | 0.0% | 2 | 9.1% | 5 | 22.7% |
| Exit was from SH | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% |
| Exit was from PH | 87 | 5 | 5.8% | 0 | 0.0% | 4 | 4.6% | 9 | 10.3% |
| TOTAL Returns to Homelessness | 556 | 129 | 23.2% | 22 | 4.0% | 35 | 6.3% | 186 | 33.5% |

## 2024 Competition Report - SPM Data
MI-505 - Flint/Genesee County CoC
FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023
**Measure 3: Number of Homeless Persons**

**Metric 3.1 – Change in PIT Counts**
Please refer to PIT section for relevant data.

**Metric 3.2 – Change in Annual Counts**
This measures the change in annual counts of sheltered homeless persons in HMIS.

| Metric | Value |
|---|---|
| Universe: Unduplicated Total sheltered homeless persons | 1,682 |
| Emergency Shelter Total | 1,626 |
| Safe Haven Total | 0 |
| Transitional Housing Total | 69 |

## 2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

MI-505 - Flint/Genesee County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

### Measure 4: Employment and Income Growth for Homeless Persons in CoC Program-funded Projects

This measure is divided into six tables capturing employment and non-employment income changes for system leavers and stayers. The project types reported in these metrics are the same for each metric, but the type of income and universe of clients differs. In addition, the projects reported within these tables are limited to CoC-funded projects.

**Metric 4.1 – Change in earned income for adult system stayers during the reporting period**

| Metric | Value |
|---|---|
| Universe: Number of adults (system stayers) | 156 |
| Number of adults with increased earned income | 5 |
| Percentage of adults who increased earned income | 3.2% |

**2024 HDX Competition Report**

## 2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

MI-505 - Flint/Genesee County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

**Metric 4.2 – Change in non-employment cash income for adult system stayers during the reporting period**

| Metric | Value |
|---|---|
| Universe: Number of adults (system stayers) | 156 |
| Number of adults with increased non-employment cash income | 18 |
| Percentage of adults who increased non-employment cash income | 11.5% |

**Metric 4.3 – Change in total income for adult system stayers during the reporting period**

| Metric | Value |
|---|---|
| Universe: Number of adults (system stayers) | 156 |
| Number of adults with increased total income | 23 |
| Percentage of adults who increased total income | 14.7% |

**Metric 4.4 – Change in earned income for adult system leavers**

| Metric | Value |
|---|---|
| Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers) | 71 |
| Number of adults who exited with increased earned income | 11 |
| Percentage of adults who increased earned income | 15.5% |

## 2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

MI-505 - Flint/Genesee County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

**Metric 4.5 – Change in non-employment cash income for adult system leavers**

| Metric | Value |
|---|---|
| Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers) | 71 |
| Number of adults who exited with increased non-employment cash income | 17 |
| Percentage of adults who increased non-employment cash income | 23.9% |

**Metric 4.6 – Change in total income for adult system leavers**

| Metric | Value |
|---|---|
| Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers) | 71 |
| Number of adults who exited with increased total income | 26 |
| Percentage of adults who increased total income | 36.6% |

## 2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

MI-505 - Flint/Genesee County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

### Measure 5: Number of Persons who Become Homeless for the First Time

This measures the number of people entering the homeless system through ES, SH, or TH (Metric 5.1) or ES, SH, TH, or PH (Metric 5.2) and determines whether they have any prior enrollments in the HMIS over the past two years. Those with no prior enrollments are considered to be experiencing homelessness for the first time.

**Metric 5.1 – Change in the number of persons entering ES, SH, and TH projects with no prior enrollments in HMIS**

| Metric | Value |
|---|---|
| Universe: Person with entries into ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH or TH during the reporting period. | 1,545 |
| Of persons above, count those who were in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH or any PH within 24 months prior to their entry during the reporting year. | 346 |
| Of persons above, count those who did not have entries in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH or PH in the previous 24 months. (i.e. Number of persons experiencing homelessness for the first time) | 1,199 |

**2024 HDX Competition Report**

## 2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

MI-505 - Flint/Genesee County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

**Metric 5.2 – Change in the number of persons entering ES, SH, TH, and PH projects with no prior enrollments in HMIS**

| Metric | Value |
|---|---|
| Universe: Person with entries into ES, SH, TH or PH during the reporting period. | 1,624 |
| Of persons above, count those who were in ES, SH, TH or any PH within 24 months prior to their entry during the reporting year. | 376 |
| Of persons above, count those who did not have entries in ES, SH, TH or PH in the previous 24 months. (i.e. Number of persons experiencing homelessness for the first time.) | 1,248 |

## 2024 Competition Report - SPM Data
MI-505 - Flint/Genesee County CoC
FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

**Measure 6: Homeless Prevention and Housing Placement of Persons defined by category 3 of HUD's Homeless Definition in CoC Program-funded Projects**
Measure 6 is not applicable to CoCs in this reporting period.

**Measure 7: Successful Placement from Street Outreach and Successful Placement in or Retention of Permanent Housing**
This measures positive movement out of the homeless system and is divided into three tables: movement off the streets from Street Outreach (Metric 7a.1); movement into permanent housing situations from ES, SH, TH, and RRH (Metric 7b.1); and retention or exits to permanent housing situations from PH (other than PH-RRH).

**Metric 7a.1 – Change in SO exits to temp. destinations, some institutional destinations, and permanent housing destinations**

| Metric | Value |
|---|---|
| Universe: Persons who exit Street Outreach | 244 |
| Of persons above, those who exited to temporary & some institutional destinations | 6 |
| Of the persons above, those who exited to permanent housing destinations | 9 |
| % Successful exits | 6.2% |

**2024 HDX Competition Report**

## 2024 Competition Report - SPM Data
MI-505 - Flint/Genesee County CoC
FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

**Metric 7b.1 – Change in ES, SH, TH, and PH-RRH exits to permanent housing destinations**

| Metric | Value |
|---|---|
| Universe: Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH and PH-RRH who exited, plus persons in other PH projects who exited without moving into housing | 1,410 |
| Of the persons above, those who exited to permanent housing destinations | 224 |
| % Successful exits | 15.9% |

**Metric 7b.2 – Change in PH exits to permanent housing destinations or retention of permanent housing**

| Metric | Value |
|---|---|
| Universe: Persons in all PH projects except PH-RRH who exited after moving into housing, or who moved into housing and remained in the PH project | 275 |
| Of persons above, those who remained in applicable PH projects and those who exited to permanent housing destinations | 263 |
| % Successful exits/retention | 95.6% |

## 2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

MI-505 - Flint/Genesee County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

### System Performance Measures Data Quality

Data coverage and quality will allow HUD to better interpret your SPM submissions.

| Metric | All ES, SH | All TH | All PSH, OPH | All RRH | All Street Outreach |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Unduplicated Persons Served (HMIS) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Leavers (HMIS) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Destination of Don't Know, Refused, or Missing (HMIS) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Destination Error Rate (Calculated) | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |

**2024 HDX Competition Report**

## 2024 Competition Report - PIT Summary

MI-505 - Flint/Genesee County CoC

For PIT conducted in January/February of 2024

### Submission Information

| Date of PIT Count | Received HUD Waiver |
|---|---|
| 1/31/2024 | Not Applicable |

### Total Population PIT Count Data

| Category | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| PIT Count Type | Sheltered and Unsheltered Count | Sheltered and Unsheltered Count | Sheltered and partial unsheltered count | Sheltered and Unsheltered Count | Sheltered and Unsheltered Count | Sheltered and Unsheltered Count |
| Emergency Shelter Total | 365 | 252 | 227 | 285 | 318 | 327 |
| Safe Haven Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Transitional Housing Total | 37 | 24 | 62 | 61 | 65 | 71 |
| Total Sheltered Count | 402 | 276 | 289 | 346 | 383 | 398 |
| Total Unsheltered Count | 48 | 73 | 47 | 14 | 13 | 25 |
| Total Sheltered and Unsheltered Count* | 450 | 349 | 336 | 360 | 396 | 423 |

1) *Data included in this table reflect what was entered into HDX 1.0 and 2.0. This may differ from what was included in federal reports if the PIT count typ was either sheltered only or partial unsheltered count.

2) Aggregated data from CoCs that merged is not displayed if PIT data were entered separately - that is, only data from the CoC into which the merge occu are displayed. Additional reports can be requested via AAQ for any CoCs that have been subsumed into other CoCs.

## 2024 Competition Report - PIT Summary

MI-505 - Flint/Genesee County CoC

For PIT conducted in January/February of 2024

# 2024 HDX Competition Report

## 2024 Competition Report - Summary
### MI-505 - Flint/Genesee County CoC

## HDX Data Submission Participation Information

| Government FY and HDX Module Abbreviation | Met Module Deadline* | Data From | Data Collection Period in HDX 2.0 |
|---|---|---|---|
| 2023 LSA | Yes | Government FY 2023 (10/1/22 - 9/30/23). | November 2023 to January of 2024 |
| 2023 SPM | Yes | Government FY 2023 (10/1/22 - 9/30/23).** | February 2024 to March 2024 |
| 2024 HIC | Yes | Government FY 2024. Exact HIC and PIT dates will vary by CoC. For most CoCs, it will be last Wednesday in January of 2024. | March 2024 to May 2024 |
| 2024 PIT | Yes | Government FY 2024. Exact HIC and PIT dates will vary by CoC. For most CoCs, it will be last Wednesday in January of 2024. | March 2024 to May 2024 |

1) FY = Fiscal Year
2) *This considers all extensions where they were provided.
2) **"Met Deadline" in this context refers to FY23 SPM submissions. Resubmissions from FY 2022 (10/1/21 - 9/30/22) were also accepted during the data collection period, but these previous year's submissions are voluntarily and are not required.

**2024 HDX Competition Report**

## 2024 Competition Report - HIC Summary

MI-505 - Flint/Genesee County CoC

For HIC conducted in January/February of 2024

### HMIS Bed Coverage Rates

| Project Type | Total Year-Round, Current Beds | Total Year-Round, Current Beds in HMIS or Comparable Database | Total Year-Round, Current, Non-VSP Beds | Removed From Denominator: OPH EHV[†] Beds or Beds Affected by Natural Disaster* | Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current, Non-VSP Beds | Adjusted HMIS Bed Coverage Rate for Year-Round, Current Beds |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ES | 257 | 224 | 257 | 0 | 257 | 87.2% |
| SH | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| TH | 97 | 49 | 97 | 0 | 97 | 50.5% |
| RRH | 26 | 26 | 26 | 0 | 26 | 100.0% |
| PSH | 36 | 36 | 36 | 0 | 36 | 100.0% |
| OPH | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| **Total** | **416** | **335** | **416** | **0** | **416** | **80.5%** |

## 2024 Competition Report
MI-505 - Flint/Genesee County
For HIC conducted in January/I

### HMIS Bed Coverage Rates

| Project Type | Total Year-Round, Current Beds | Total Year-Round, Current, VSP Beds in an HMIS-Comparable Database | Total Year-Round, Current, VSP Beds | Removed From Denominator: OPH EHV[†] Beds or Beds Affected by Natural Disaster** | Adjusted Total Year-Round Current, VSP Beds | HMIS Comparable Bed Coverage Rate for VSP Beds |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ES | 257 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| SH | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| TH | 97 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| RRH | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| PSH | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| OPH | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| **Total** | **416** | **0** | **0** | **0** | **0** | **NA** |

## 2024 Competition Report
MI-505 - Flint/Genesee County
For HIC conducted in January/I

### HMIS Bed Coverage Rates

| Project Type | Total Year-Round, Current Beds | Total Year-Round, Current, HMIS Beds and VSP Beds in an HMIS-Comparable Database | Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current, Non-VSP and VSP Beds | HMIS and Comparable Database Coverage Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| ES | 257 | 224 | 257 | 87.16% |
| SH | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| TH | 97 | 49 | 97 | 50.52% |
| RRH | 26 | 26 | 26 | 100.00% |
| PSH | 36 | 36 | 36 | 100.00% |
| OPH | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| **Total** | **416** | **335** | **416** | **80.53%** |

# 2024 HDX Competition Report

## 2024 Competition Report - HIC Summary

MI-505 - Flint/Genesee County CoC

For HIC conducted in January/February of 2024

### Rapid Re-housing Beds Dedicated to All Persons

| Metric | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| RRH beds available to serve all pops. on the HIC | 148 | 199 | 103 | 97 | 26 |

1) † EHV = Emergency Housing Voucher
2) *This column includes Current, Year-Round, Natural Disaster beds not associated with a VSP that are not HMIS-participating. For OPH Beds, this includes beds that are Current, Non-HMIS, and EHV-funded.
3) **This column includes Current, Year-Round, Natural Disaster beds associated with a VSP that are not HMIS-participating or HMIS-comparable database participating. For OPH Beds, this includes beds that are Current, VSP, Non-HMIS, and EHV-funded.
4) Data included in these tables reflect what was entered into HDX 2.0.
5) In the HIC, "Year-Round Beds" is the sum of "Beds HH w/o Children", "Beds HH w/ Children", and "Beds HH w/ only Children". This does not include Overflow ("O/V Beds") or Seasonal Beds ("Total Seasonal Beds").
6) In the HIC, "Current" beds are beds with an "Inventory Type" of "C" and not beds that are Under Development ("Inventory Type" of "U").
7) For historical data: Aggregated data from CoCs that merged are not displayed if HIC data were created separately - that is, only data from the CoC into which the merge occurred are displayed. Additional reports can be requested via AAQ for any CoCs that have been subsumed into other CoCs.

# 2024 HDX Competition Report

## 2024 Competition Report - LSA Summary & Usability Status

MI-505 - Flint/Genesee County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

### LSA Usability Status 2023

| Category | EST AO | EST AC | EST CO | RRH AO | RRH AC | RRH CO | PSH AO | PSH AC | PSH CO |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Fully Usable | ☑ | ☑ | ☑ | | | | | | |
| Partially Usable | | | | | | | | | |
| Not Usable | | | | ☑ | ☑ | ☑ | ☑ | ☑ | ☑ |

### EST

| Category | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 |
|---|---|---|---|
| Total Sheltered Count | 1,225 | 1,626 | 1,574 |
| AO | 831 | 1,054 | 1,034 |
| AC | 380 | 514 | 515 |
| CO | 21 | 21 | 26 |

### RRH

| Category | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 |
|---|---|---|---|
| Total Sheltered Count | 214 | 168 | 90 |
| AO | 103 | 70 | 61 |
| AC | 108 | 95 | 27 |
| CO | 1 | 0 | 0 |

## 2024 Competition Report - LSA Summary & Usability Status

MI-505 - Flint/Genesee County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

**PSH**

| Category | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 |
|---|---|---|---|
| Total Sheltered Count | 349 | 299 | 325 |
| AO | 147 | 120 | 129 |
| AC | 197 | 176 | 190 |
| CO | 0 | 0 | 0 |

1) Glossary: EST = Emergency Shelter, Save Haven, & Transitional Housing; RRH = Rapid Re-housing; PSH = Permanent Supportive Housing; AO = Persons in Households without Children; AC = Persons in Households with at least one Adult and one Child; CO=Persons in Households with only Children
2) Because people have multiple stays in shelter over the course of a year and stay in different household configurations, a single person can be counted in more than one household type. Therefore, the sum of the number of people by household type may be greater than the unique count of people.
3) Total Sheltered count only includes those served in HMIS participating projects reported by your CoC.
4) For CoCs that experienced mergers during any of these reporting periods, historical data will include only the original CoCs.